DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 386 708 CS 012 266

AUTHOR

Wills, Janet M.

TITLE Reading Workshop. Literacy Report No. 20.

INSTITUTION Northern Illinois Univ., DeKalb. Curriculum and

Instruction Reading Clinic.

PUB DATE Nov 95

NOTE 9p.

AVAILABLE FROM Northern Illinois University, The Reading Clinic--119

Graham Hall, DeKalb, IL 60115 (\$3.50, postage

included; make check or money order payable to NIU

Reading Clinic).

PUB TYPE Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.)

(120)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Class Activities; Classroom Environment; Elementary Education; *Reading Instruction; *Reading Motivation; *Reading Strategies; Teacher Role; Teaching Methods;

*Workshops

IDENTIFIERS

Response to Literature

ABSTRACT

Reading workshop employs teaching methods to accomplish the goals of preparing seif-disciplined readers who read and write by choice, motivating students to read and write because of a genuine interest, and developing students who learn to read and write by doing. Reading Workshop came into existence as a reaction to the disproportionate amount of time students spend on completing worksheets. A typical Reading Workshop period would include these five components: (1) sharing time; (2) mini-lesson; (3) state-of-the-class, in which teacher and students review responsibilities and progress they have made; (4) self-selected reading and response; and (5) sharing time. When given the opportunity to explore topics of interest while being taught reading strategies, even the lowest readers can be successful. (RS)



Northern Illinois University

Literacy Report No. 20

READING WORKSHOP

Janet M. Wills

November 1995

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improve EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the Person or organization originating it.

C Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Curriculum and Instruction Reading Clinic—119 Graham DeKalb, Illinois 60115



Literacy Report No. 20

READING WORKSHOP

Janet M. Wills

November 1995

Northern Illinois University Reading Clinic—119 Graham DeKalb, Illinois 60115 815-753-1416



Reading Workshop

Imagine walking into a classroom that at first glance appears noisy, chaotic, unfocused. As you near the groups of students, you hear one student telling about how she has a little sister who is just like Ramona. You hear another asking if anyone else in the group was confused by what happened in chapter three. What at first appeared to be an "unstructured" environment is actually a structured environment designed to give students the opportunity to explore their interests in reading and writing through choice. What you have entered into is a Reading Workshop classroom.

According to the National Assessment of Educational Progress, only 15 to 25% of students learn well with a traditional teacher-centered approach. What about the 75 to 85% of the students teachers fail to reach? The National Training Laboratories in Bethel, Maine have found the following retention rates: lecture - 5%, reading - 10%, audiovisual - 20%, demonstration - 30%, discussion group - 50%, practice by doing - 75%, teach other/immediate use of learning - 90% (Ryan, 1990, p. 16). Reaching, at best, 25% of all students through a teacher-centered approach is ineffective. Perhaps a shift in focus is necessary. According to retention rates research, two of the best teaching methods available are students teaching others and students immediately using their learning. Practicing by doing comes in a close third followed by discussion groups. It seems logical to conclude that if a reading program employs these methods, it will reach more students than the traditional teacher-centered model. Reading workshop employs teaching methods to accomplish the goals of having self-disciplined readers who read and write by choice, who are motivated to read and write because of a genuine interest, and who learn to read and write by doing.

Reading Workshop came into existence as a reaction to the disproportionate amount of time students spend on completing worksheets. If students are to improve their reading and/or writing, doesn't it make sense that students spend time practicing those desired skills? Typically children in primary and intermediate grades spend between seven and 15 minutes per day reading independently (Anderson,



Hiebert, Scott, & Wilkinson, 1985): Would a baseball player make it to the big leagues if s/he only practiced batting for seven to 15 minutes per day?

One of the main goals of Reading Workshop is to get students reading. In, In the Middle:

Writing, Reading, and Learning with Adolescents, Nancy Atwell establishes four criteria that need to be considered in organizing for effective reading instruction. First, students should be given choices in how they spend their time. Second, activities need to promote the importance of reading as the primary a tivity integrated with writing, listening, and speaking. Third, the teacher needs to model the importance of reading by example. Fourth, opportunities to use and share reading strategies through writing, speaking, and assessment of individual progress must be abundant.

Meeting these criteria as well as maintaining the standards set at state, district, and school levels can seem overwhelming. The consensus in the literature is to start slowly. Set aside one or two days each week to try Reading Workshop. As fear of change subsides and evidence of student growth becomes apparent, Reading Workshop might gradually infiltrate the remaining days of the school week. A typical Reading Workshop period would include these five components: (1) Sharing time, (2) Mini-lesson, (3) State-of-the-class, (4) Self-selected reading and response, and (5) Sharing time (Reutzel & Cooter, 1991).

Sharing time occurs during the first five to ten minutes of the period. During this time the teacher tries to "hook" students into reading by talking about possible free reading selections. This time can also be used to "set up" the mini-lesson. The mini-lesson also takes five to ten minutes. During this portion of the workshop, the teacher demonstrates reading strategies and responds to student needs that have become apparent through workshop activities. A five minute state-of-the-class portion allows the teacher and students to review responsibilities and progress they have made.

The next portion of Reading Workshop, self-selected reading and response, is divided into three types of activities: self-selected reading, literature response, and individual reading conferences. Self-selected reading activities account for more than half the time allotted for Reading Workshop. This section



Wills Reading Workshop

begins with all students and the teacher engaging in ten minutes of free reading. At the end of this period, some students continue reading while others meet in their literature response groups to read and respond to certain pieces of literature and to develop related projects. Those who are not participating in a literature response group have several options, such as reading to accomplish goals of their reading-response group, engaging in free reading, updating state of class chart and reading response logs, or signing up for individual conferences. The teacher meets with individual students at the end of each Reading Workshop. S/he may set up standards for each student's required conferences in a given time period. During these conferences, the teacher and student discuss individual needs and set individual goals. The final minutes in Reading Workshop involve student sharing. Students may share whatever their group is working on (e.g., good book, a favorite poem).

When the teacher considers implementing Reading Workshop, s/he should reflect upon the advantages. Learners read and write daily. There are frequent opportunities for feedback and guidance both by the teacher and other students. Students get the opportunity to formulate and express their ideas in pairs, small groups, and whole class formats. New ideas are welcomed and mistakes are opportunities for growth. Students are an integral part of the goal setting process. Individual needs are accounted for by individually setting goals. Each student is assessed based a individual growth. Teachers model a variety of strategies while interacting with the students or doing mini-lessons. Students develop self-discipline through setting goals and achieving them. They are given the tools and the opportunity to acquire their own knowledge.

By setting up Reading Workshop, teachers give the responsibility for reading and writing back to students. Students see that they do have control over their learning and that learning can be fun when they have options. When given the opportunity to explore topics of interest while being taught reading strategies, even the lowest readers can be successful.



References

- Anderson, R.C., Hiebert, E.H., Scott, J.A., & Wilkinson, I.A.G. (1985). <u>Becoming a nation of readers</u>. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Education.
- Atwell, N. (1987). In the middle: Writing, reading, and learning with adolescents. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Reutzel, D.R., & Cooter, R.B. (1991). Organizing for effective instruction: The reading workshop.

 The Reading Teacher, 44 (8), 548-554.
- Ryan, D.L. (1990). Want to try reading/writing workshop? The English Record, 40 (3), 6-20.

LITERACY RESEARCH AND REPORTS ORDER FORM

		No. of Copies
No. 11	Socio-Educational Influences on Standardized Reading Tests, 1900-1991 [ED 335 662] by Peggy VanLeirsburg	
No. 12	Assessment Literacy: Perceptions of Preservice and Inservice Teachers Regarding Ethical Considerations of Standardized Testing Procedures [ED 341 666] by Peggy VanLeirsburg & Jerry L. Johns	
No. 13	Differences in the Written Recalls of Folktales Among Above-Average, Average, and Below-Average Second-Grade Readers [ED 346 442] by June E. Barnhart	
No. 14	Pre-School, Kindergarten, and First-Grade Inventive Spellers' Treatment of Onsets and Rimes by Donald J. Richgels, Lea M. McGee, & Jeanne M. Burns	
No. 15	What Teachers Have Been Telling Us About Literacy Portfolios [ED 348 657] by Jerry L. Johns & Peggy VanLeirsburg	
No. 16	From Traditional Reading Clinics to Wellness Centers [ED 349 544] by Jerry L. Johns	
No. 17	Literacy Development in Preschool and Kindergarten Children: Patterns Between Groups and Across Tasks by Donald J. Richgels & June E. Barnhart	
No. 18	Teachers As Readers by Peggy VanLeirsburg & Jerry L. Johns	
No. 19	The Role of Metacognition in Reading Comprehension: Implications for Instruction by Barbara Abromitis	
No. 20	Reading Workshop by Janet M. Wills	
No. 21	Effective Phonics Instruction by Jackie Easley	
No. 22	Multicultural Education by Karen Gersten	***************************************



		•		No. of Copies
No. 23	Effectiveness and Creat by Barbara Abromi	ivity in the Resourc	e Role	
			age costs will be added for larg y order payable to the NIU Rea aham Hall, DeKalb, IL 60115	e orders or overseas shipments iding Clinic and send to:
Ship to:	`			
Name				
Address				Amount
				Enclosed
City		State	Zip	\$
Country	-	_		· -
	Place me on your mailin	g list		

An ED number is given in square brackets following the citation for some reports. For approximately 98% of the ERIC documents, the full text can be found in the ERIC microfiche collection. This collection is available in over 800 libraries across the country. Alternatively, you may prefer to order your own copy of the document from the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). You can contact EDRS by writing to 7420 Fullerton Road, Suite 110, Springfield, VA 22153-2852, or by telephoning them at (800) 443-ERIC (3742) or (703) 440-1400.

