DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 385 091	EC 304 125
AUTHOR TITLE	Cruz, Rey E. de la Teacher Perspectives of the Social Skills Development of Children with Learning Disabilities.
PUB DATE NOTE	6 Apr 95 27p.; Paper presented at the Annual International Convention of the Council for Exceptional Children (73rd, Indianapolis, IN, April 5-9, 1995).
PUB TYPE	Speeches/Conference Papers (150) Reports - Research/Technical (143)
EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS	MF01/PC02 Plus Postage. Academic Achievement; Basic Skills; *Difficulty Level; Elementary Education; Elementary School Teachers; *Interpersonal Competence; *Learning Disabilities; Mainstreaming; *Skill Development; Social Integration; Special Education Teachers; *Teacher Attitudes

ABSTRACT

This study examined how 30 general education and 30 special education elementary grade teachers rated the importance of social skills to classroom success and positive relationships of children with learning disabilities, and also considered how teachers determine ratings of difficulty of acquisition of social skills by children with learning disabilities. A 140-item social skills survey instrument was developed and administered. The three highest ranked social skills by both groups of teachers combined were: (1) "accepts consequences of wrongdoing"; (2) "follows written directions"; and (3) "completes assigned academic work." The three behaviors given the highest difficulty ratings by teachers were: (1) "ignores distractions from peers when doing seatwork assignments"; (2) "finds productive use of time while waiting for teacher assistance"; and (3) "continues working on a difficult task until it is completed." Some differences were found between special education teachers and general education teachers in their ratings of skill importance and difficulty in acquisition. The study also found that both groups of teachers viewed social skills primarily in academic terms. (Contains 11 references.) (DB)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION / CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originizing it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

TEACHER PERSPECTIVES OF THE SOCIAL SKILLS DEVELOPMENT OF CHILDREN WITH LEARNING DISABILITIES

Rey E. de la Cruz

A Paper Presented on April 6, 1995, at the Annual Convention of the Council for Exceptional Children in Indianapolis, IN

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

A lacruz

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

2

20304/25

TEACHER PERSPECTIVES OF THE SOCIAL SKILLS DEVELOPMENT OF CHILDREN WITH LEARNING DISABILITIES

1

The study of development of social skills in students with learning disabilities has often concentrated on social skills relating to performance on academic tasks. In their review of social skills, Cartledge and Milburn (1978) found that attending, remaining on task, volunteering answers, complying with teacher requests, and interacting with teachers and peers about school work were positively related to academic success. A review of literature revealed that children with low academic achievement or learning disabilities tend to display deficits in the social skills necessary for academic achievement.

The social skills of childre. with learning disabilities who are mainstreamed, i.e., placed in general education classes, have been a concern. Gresham and Reschly (1986) noted significant differences in the social skills and peer acceptance between mainstreamed children with learning disabilities and children without learning disabilities. Teacher, parent, and peer ratings have consistently indicated deficits in the social skills and peer acceptance of children with learning disabilities.



Deficits in social skills and peer acceptance of mainstreamed children with learning disabilities were also indicated. Two versions of the Social Behavior Assessment (Stephens, 1978) were separately rated by parents and teachers. The data indicated that the mainstreamed children with learning disabilities showed the poorest social skills in task-related behaviors, which included: (a) attending behavior; (b) completing tasks; (c) on-task behavior; (d) following directions; and (e) independent work.

2

Mainstreaming has been radicalized to incorporate the ideals of inclusion. The instruction of students with mild disabilities, which include learning disabilities, is adapted in general education classes (Ysseldyke, Algozzine, & Thurlow, 1992). General education and special education are being merged into a single educational system to serve the needs of all students, while accommodating their

differences (Stainback & Stainback, 1986). Although the focus is on effective instruction (Gartner & Lipsky, 1987), socialization skills, attitude change of students without disabilities and teachers, and positive peer relations are also intended outcomes (Fuchs & Fuchs, 1994).

Classroom social skills were divided by Stephens (1992) into four categories: (a) environmental behaviors, e.g, movement around environment; (b) interpersonal behaviors, e.g., coping with conflict; (c) self-related behaviors, e.g., accepting consequences; and (d) task-related



behaviors, e.g., independent work. McGinnis and Goldstein (1984) organized teaching prosocial skills into five content areas: (a) dealing with feelings; (b) classroom survival skills; (c) alternatives to aggression;

3

(d) friendship- making skills; and (e) dealing with stress.

McGinnis (1988) contended that social skills are taught to increase students' repertoires of new behaviors. However, the application of such behaviors is a choice that students make themselves. Accordingly, the needs of students should be considered before the wishes of teachers and parents. Classroom-performance skills are important, but they should not be the only skills that are emphasized. A student facing provocation from peers, for instance, may benefit more from instruction in alternative ways of dealing with peers, rather than on procedures for revising academic assignments.

Social skills can be taught; which social skills should be taught is a difficult decision to make. Teachers' opinions vary, as do the social skills needs of students. Lists of social skills and ways of teaching them are available, but they may be inappropriate for a particular situation. With the mainstreaming and inclusion of students having learning disabilities into the general education classrooms, it is necessary to determine their social skills needs as perceived by both general education teachers and special education teachers.



The purpose of the study was to determine how general education and special education teachers rated the importance of social skills to classroom success and positive relationships of children with learning disabilities. Also, teacher ratings of the difficulty of acquisition of social skills by children with learning disabilities were determined.

Method

Subjects

Sixty teachers from a large urban school district, including 30 from general education and 30 from special education, were selected randomly to participate in a survey of social skills development of children with learning disabilities. All worked with elementary-age (i.e., 6- to 15-year-old) students with learning disabilities. General education teachers taught either single- or multiple-grade The frequency of grade levels taught by general levels. education teachers was as follows: sixth grade, 9; seventh grade, 8; eighth grade, 8; fourth grade, 6; third grade, 5; first through eighth grades, 5; second grade, 2; fifth grade, 2; and first grade, 1. Of the special education teachers, 26.7% taught in a learning disabilities resource program; 23.3% in a cross-categorical program (learning disabilities, emotional-behavioral disorders, and cognitive disabilities); 13.3% in a learning disabilities continuum



б

program; 13.3% in a cross-categorical program (learning disabilities and emotional-behavioral disorders); 6.7% in a learning disabilities inclusion program; 6.7% in a learning disabilities instructional/self-contained program; 6.7% in a learning disabilities and emotional-behavioral disorders continuum program; and 3.3% in a cross-categorical program (learning disabilities and cognitive disabilities). Special education teachers either taught single- or multiple-grade levels. The grade levels taught by special education teachers were intermediate level, 14; upper level, 13; primary level, 7; and all levels, 6.

Instrument

A 140-item social skills survey instrument was developed for the study. The content of the instrument was adapted from <u>Social Skills in the Classroom</u> (Stephens, 1992), a handbook that outlines procedures for teaching social skills. Content-related validity for the instrument was gathered by consulting four faculty members in a special education department at a state university. All were subject-matter experts on adaptive skills needed by students with learning disabilities. They were asked to review, add, delete, and modify items to conform to the objectives of the study. Based on the results of their examination, the content of the instrument was revised.

To establish the reliability of the instrument, 10 general education and special education teachers from the



7

large urban school district were randomly selected to participate in the reliability test. All had taught children with learning disabilities. They completed the instrument on two successive occasions. The second response was performed 10 days after the initial response. Test-retest reliability was established at .72.

6

Part I of the instrument was designed to provide information about the current teaching positions of the respondents, as well as the grade level or levels at which they taught. Part II of instrument featured 70 social skills. The respondents were asked to rate the importance of each social skills to peer relationships and classroom success of students with learning disabilities. They circled numbers representing a Likert scale by each item (<u>no opinion</u> = 0; <u>unimportant</u> = 1; <u>important</u> = 2; <u>very important</u> = 3). Part III was composed of the same 70 social skills as Part II. The respondents indicated how difficult each social skill is for students with learning disabilities to acquire (<u>no opinion</u> = 0; <u>no problem</u> = 1; <u>some problem</u> = 2; great problem = 3).

Procedure

One hundred copies of the instrument were disseminated by the researcher, using the intraoffice mail service of the large urban school district. The return rate was .75. A



deadline for submission was set. Also, only the first 30 special education teachers and 30 general education teachers who completed and returned the instrument were included in the sample.

Results

The mean ratings for importance and difficulty were computed for each item to determine which items were perceived to be most important to children with learning disabilities to demonstrate and most difficult for them to acquire. The comparison of the highest mean importance ratings between special education teachers and general

Table 1

Comparison of Highest Mean Importance Ratings on Social Skills Between Special Education Teachers and General Education Teachers

Special education		General educatio	n
Rai	nk teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) \underline{M}	teachers (\underline{n} = 30)) <u>M</u>
 1	Completes assigned 2.767	Follows written	2.900
*	academic work.	directions.	2.900

(table continues)

7



S

Table 1. (<u>continued</u>)

	Special education	General education
Ra	nk teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) <u>M</u>	teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) \underline{M}
2	Follows classroom 2.724	Accepts consequences 2.867
	rules in the absence	of wrongdoing.
	of the teacher.	
3	Follows directions 2.700	Follows teacher's 2.833
	when taking a test.	verbal directions.
4	Approaches teacher 2.690	Approaches teacher 2.767
	and asks	and asks
	appropriately for	appropriately for
	help, explanations,	help, explanations,
	instruction, etc.	instruction, etc.
	Accepts 2.690	Asks permission to 2.767
	consequences	use another's
	of wrongdoing.	property.
5	Knows and follows 2.655	Follows directions 2.759
	classroom rules.	when taking a test.
		Goes back over work 2.759
		to check errors.

(table continues)



ERIC

	Special education	General education
Rank teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) \underline{M}		teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) \underline{M}
6	Enters the 2.633	Apologizes when 2.733
	classroom and takes	actions have injured
	a seat without	or infringed on another.
	disturbing objects and	
	other people.	
	Responds to teasing 2.633	Answers or attempts 2.733
	or name-calling by	to answer a question
	ignoring, changing	when called on by the
	the subject, or using	teacher.
	some other constructive	Attempts to solve a 2.733
	means.	problem with school
	Follows written 2.633	work before asking
	directions.	for help.
		Ignores distractions 2.733
		from peers when doing
		seatwork assignments.
7	Completes and 2.600	Knows and follows 2.700
	returns homework	classroom rules.
	assignments.	Listens to someone 2.700

(table concinues)

9

11

.

Table 1. (<u>continued</u>)

Special education	General education
Rank teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) \underline{M}	teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) \underline{M}
Follows teacher's 2.600	speaking to the class.
verbal directions.	Completes assigned 2.700
	academic work.
	Makes use of 2.700
	teacher's corrections
	to improve work.
8 Answers or attempts 2.571	Finds acceptable ways 2.690
to answer a question	of using free time
when called on by the	when work is completed.
teacher.	
9 Asks permission to 2.567	Enters the classroom 2.667
use another's	and takes a seat
property.	without disturbing
Apologizes when 2.567	objects and other
actions have injured	people.
or infringed on	
another.	Finds productive use 2.655
10 Brings required 2.533	of time while waiting

(<u>table</u> continues)



Special education		General education	
Rank teachers $(\underline{n} = 30)$	<u>M</u>	teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$)	M
Volunteers an	2.533		
answer to			
teacher's questions			
Asks relevant	2.533		
questions.			
Finds productive	2.533		
use of time			
while waiting			
for teacher			
assistance.			
Ignores	2.533		•
distractions from			
peers when doing			
assignments.			

education teachers is presented in Table 1. Inspection of the items rated highest indicated that special education teachers assigned the greatest importance to task-related behaviors. However, the other items rated as important differed in nature: (a) "follows classroom rule in the



absence of the teacher," 'hich is an interpersonal behavior, for special education teachers, and (b) "accepts consequences of wrongdoing," which is a self-related behavior, for the general education teachers. It is interesting that two items received the same ranking from both general education teachers and special education teachers: (a) "approaches and asks appropriately for help, explanations, instruction, etc.," fourth ranked, and (b) "finds productive use of time while waiting for teacher assistance," tenth ranked.

The behaviors receiving the highest mean ratings of importance by general education and special education teachers are presented in Table 2. Although the 10 behaviors receiving the highest ratings were primarily

Table 2

<u>Highest Mean Importance Ratings on Social Skills by Special</u> <u>Education Teachers and General Education Teachers (N = 60)</u>

Rank	Item	Importance <u>M</u>
1	Accepts consequences of wrongdoing.	2./80
2	Follows written directions.	2.767

(table continues)

12



Rank	Item	Importance <u>M</u>
 3	Completes assigned academic work.	2.733
4	Follows directions when taking a test;	2.729
	Approaches teacher and asks appropriately	2.729
	for help, explanation, instruction, etc.	
5	Follows teacher's verbal directions.	2.717
6	Knows and follows classroom rules.	2.678
7	Asks permission to use another's property.	2.667
8	Answers or attempts to answer a question	2.655
	when called by the teacher.	
	Follows classroom rules in the absence of	2.655
	the teacher.	
9	Enters the classroom and takes a seat without	lt 2.650
	disturbing objects and other people.	
	Apologizes when actions have injured or	2.650
	infringed on another.	
10	Completes and returns homework assignments.	2.633
	Ignores distractions from peers when doing	2.633
	seatwork assignments.	



task-related, the highest rating was assigned to "accepts consequence of wrongdoing," a self-related behavior.

Comparison of the highest mean ratings for difficulty assigned by special education and general education teachers indicated a preponderance of task-related behaviors (Table 3). The following behaviors were ranked similarly by both special education and general education teachers:

Table 3

Comparison of Highest Mean Difficulty Ratings on Social Skills Between Special Education Teachers and General Education Teachers

	Special education	General education	
Rai	nk teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) <u>M</u>	teachers $(\underline{n} = 30)$	M
1	Ignores distractions 2.800	Finds productive	2.367
	from peers when doing	use of time while	
	assignments.	waiting for teacher	
		assistance.	
2	Completes assigned 2.667	Finds acceptable	2.345
	academic work within	ways of using free	
	the required time.	time when work is	
		completed.	

(table continues)



16

.

•

	Special education	General education
Ra	nk teachers $(\underline{n} = 30)$ <u>M</u>	teachers $(\underline{n} = 30)$ <u>M</u>
3	Continues working on 2.633	Provides reasons 2.267
	a difficult task	for opinions he/she
	until it is completed.	expresses.
		Continues working 2.267
		on a difficult task
	·	until it is completed.
		Ignores 2.267
	、 .	distractions from
		peers when doing
		seatwork assignments.
4	Finds productive 2.567	Completes assigned 2.200
	use of time while	academic work within
	waiting for teacher	the required time.
	assistance.	Attempts to solve 2.200
		a problem with school
		work before asking
		for help.

(table continues)



	Special education	General education
Ra	nk teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) <u>M</u>	teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) <u>M</u>
5	Goes back over 2.53	6 Works steadily 2.167
	work to check	for the required
	errors.	length of time.
		Goes back over 2.167
		work to check errors.
6	Completes and returns 2.53	33 Responds to teasing 2.138
	homework assignments.	or name-calling by
		ignoring, changing
	х.	the subject, or
١		using some other
		constructive means.
7	Responds to teasing 2.51	17 Approaches teacher 2.133
	or name-calling by	and asks
	ignoring, changing	appropriately for
	the subject, or	help, explanations,
	some other	instruction, etc.
	constructive means.	Completes assigned 2.133
		academic work.

(table continues)

ERIC[®]

18

16

÷

	Special education		General education	
Rank	teachers $(\underline{n} = 30)$	M	teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$)	<u>M</u>
			Completes and	2.133
			returns homework	
			assignments.	
			Follows teacher's	2.133
			verbal directions.	
			Follows written	2.133
			directions.	
			Changes from one	2.133
			activity to	
			another when	
			required by the	
			teacher.	
8 CC	ompletes assigned	2.433	Asks relevant	2.100
a	cademic work.		questions.	
At	tempts to solve	2.433		
a	problem with			
s	chool work before			
a	sking for help.			

(table continues)



	Special education		General education	
Rank	teachers $(\underline{n} = 30)$	м	teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$)	M
9 Acc	cepts consequences	2.414	Waits quietly for	2.067
of	wrongdoing.		recognition before	
			speaking out in	
			class.	
			Gains attention	2.067
			from peers in	
			appropriate ways.	
			Pays attention to	2.067
			the person speaking	
			in a conversation.	
			Accepts	2.067
			consequences of	
			wrongdoing.	
10 Fo	llows written	2.400	Makes use of	2.034
đi	rections.		teacher's	
Wo	orks steadily	2.400	corrections to	
fo	r the required		improve work.	
le	ength of time.			

(table continues)



Special education		General education			
Rank	teachers ($\underline{n} = 30$) <u>M</u>	teachers $(\underline{n} = 30)$	M	
Mal	kes use of	2.400			
teacher's corrections					
to	improve work.				
		•			

(a) "continues working on a difficult task until it is completed," ranked third; (b) "accepts consequences of wrongdoing," ranked ninth; and (c) "makes use of teacher's corrections to improve work," ranked tenth.

The 10 behaviors receiving the highest mean ratings for difficulty were again dominated by task-related (Table 4).

The combined perceptions of special education and general education teachers about importance and difficulty of social skills were disparate. Only three items appeared in both sets of data (Tables 2 and 4): (a) "ignores distractions from peers when doing seatwork assignments"; (b) "completes and returns homework assignments"; and (c) "completes assigned academic work."

Table 4

Highest Mean Difficulty Ratings on Social Skills by Special Education Teachers and General Education Teachers ($\underline{N} = 60$)

Rank	Item	Difficulty
		. <u>M</u>
1	Ignores distractions from peers when doing	2.533
	seatwork assignments.	
2	Finds productive use of time while waiting	2.467
	for teacher assistance.	
3	Continues working on a difficult task until	2.450
	it is completed.	
4	Completes assigned academic work within the	2.433
	required time.	
5	Goes back over work to check errors.	2.345
б	Finds acceptable ways of using free time	2.339
	when work is completed.	
7	Completes and returns homework assignments.	2.333
8	Responds to teasing or name-calling by	2.328
	ignoring, changing the subject, or using	
	some other constructive means.	

(table continues)

ERIC

22

· 20

Rank	Item	Difficulty
		M
	·	
9	Attempts to solve a problem with school work	¢ 2.317
	before asking for help.	
10	Works steadily for the required length of	2.283
	of time.	
	Completes assigned academic work.	2.283
	·	

Discussion

Results indicated that both special education teachers and general education teachers perceived task-related social behaviors to be important. Also, they indicated that task-related behaviors were most difficult for children with learning disabilities to acquire. This could be expected in that these children are, by definition, likely to have problems in reading skill, reading comprehension, written expression, mathematics calculation, and mathematics reasoning (Mercer, 1992).

Although both groups stressed task-related behaviors, special education teachers and general education teachers differed in their ratings as to importance and difficulty in acquisition. Consequently, there is a need for special



23

education teachers and general education teachers to communicate and collaborate when working to develop the social skills of children with disabilities, especially when mainstreaming and inclusion are being emphasized. Agreement between teachers on importance and difficulty in acquisition of social skills could facilitate intervention and maintenance of social behaviors.

A social skill that is viewed as difficult for children with learning disabilities to acquire does not necessarily mean it will be perceived to be important to special education teachers and regular teachers. The 10 most difficult items and the 10 most important items did not correspond to each other. Teachers tended to target social skills for academic purposes, rather than considering the specific needs of children with learning disabilities.

Conclusion

Children with learning disabilities are placed into this arbitrary diagnostic category primarily because of academic deficits. The results of this study indicated that special education teachers and general education teachers viewed social skills primarily in academic terms. As such, the intended socialization purpose of mainstreaming and inclusion could become ineffective. If academically related social skills are emphasized, children with learning



24

disabilities may actually be alienated, rather than included. Their frustrations, which basically center on academics, may be aggravated.

Classifying and targeting specific social skills are necessary for planning and organization. But effectiveness may best be achieved by providing a clear purpose for the development of social skills. Also, special and general education teachers should realize that children with learning disabilities have to learn to develop themselves and relate with their peers. Academically related social skills are basically performed to suit the requirements of teachers. Children with learning disabilities should have well-rounded social skills that would enable them to function not only in schools, but in any situation possible.



REFERENCES

Cartledge, G., & Milburn, J. F. (1978). The case for teaching social skills in the classroom: A review. <u>Review of</u> Educational Research, 1(1), 133-156.

Fuchs, D., & Fuchs, L. S. (1994). Inclusive schools movement and the radicalization of special education reform. Exceptional Children, 60(4), 294-309.

Gartner, A., & Lipsky, D. K. (1987). Beyond special education: Toward a quality system for all students. <u>Harvard</u> Educational Review, 57(4), 367-395.

Gresham, F. M., & Reschly, D. J. (1986). Social skill deficits and low peer acceptance of mainstreamed learning disabled children. <u>Learning Disability Quarterly, 9(1)</u>, 23-32.

McGinnis, E. (1988). Critical issues related to social skills training. Perceptions, 24(1), 10-13.

McGinnis, E. & Goldstein, A. P. (1984). <u>Skillstreaming</u> the elementary school child. Champaign, IL: Research Press.

Mercer, C. D. (1992). <u>Students with learning</u> disabilities (4th ed.). New York: Macmillan.

ERIC FullText Provided by ERI

26

Stainback, W., & Stainback, S. (1986). One system, one purpose: The integration of special and regular education. Entourage, 1(3), 12-16.

Stephens, T. M. (1978). <u>Social skills in the classroom.</u> Columbus, OH: Cedars.

Stephens, T. M. (1992). <u>Social skills in the classroom.</u> (2nd ed.). Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.

Ysseldyke, J. E., Algozzine, B., & Thurlow, M. L. (1992). <u>Critical issues in special education</u> (2nd ed.). Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.

