

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 378 740

EC 303 637

TITLE Evaluation of the 1991-1992 Special Education Professional in Training Program. OER Report.

INSTITUTION New York City Board of Education, Brooklyn, NY. Office of Educational Research.

PUB DATE Apr 94

NOTE 19p.; The report was produced by the Research Unit.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Disabilities; Elementary Secondary Education; Graduate Study; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; *Program Effectiveness; Program Evaluation; *Special Education Teachers; *Teacher Recruitment; Teacher Shortage; Training Allowances; *Tuition Grants; Urban Education

IDENTIFIERS *New York City Board of Education

ABSTRACT

The Special Education Professional In Training (SEPIT) program is a tuition assistance program designed to alleviate critical shortages of special education teachers and clinicians in New York City Public Schools. It gives individuals the opportunity to pursue post-baccalaureate study and obtain placement in bilingual and monolingual special education teaching and evaluation. The New York City Board of Education pays for each participant to obtain a maximum of 12 college credits in special education to qualify for a certificate as a Preparatory Provisional Substitute Teacher. In exchange for tuition assistance, each participant must sign a contract that indicates that he/she will provide a minimum of 1 year of service in a special education setting. This evaluation of the program found it to be successful in placing teachers in special schools. Of 46 respondents to a questionnaire, 45 had completed the program and worked in the New York City Public Schools. Overall, the participants rated the program highly. However, they asked that the Board of Education be more helpful and flexible with their job placement, be more prompt in paying their college tuition to avoid their receiving late fees, and be more efficient in processing paperwork. (JDD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it
 Minor changes have been made to improve
reproductio... quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy

ED 378 740



OER Report

EVALUATION OF THE 1991-1992
SPECIAL EDUCATION PROFESSIONAL
IN TRAINING PROGRAM

APRIL 1994

EC 303637

2

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Robert
Johias

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

EVALUATION OF THE 1991-1992
SPECIAL EDUCATION PROFESSIONAL
IN TRAINING PROGRAM

APRIL 1994



NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION

Carol A. Gresser
President

Irene H. Impellizzeri
Vice President

Victor Gotbaum
Michael J. Petrides
Luis O. Reyes
Ninfa Segarra-Vélez
Dennis M. Walcott
Members

Andrea Schlesinger
Student Advisory Member

Ramon C. Cortines
Chancellor

9/13/93

It is the policy of the New York City Board of Education not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, creed, religion, national origin, age, handicapping condition, marital status, sexual orientation, or sex in its educational programs, activities, and employment policies, and to maintain an environment free of sexual harassment, as required by law. Inquiries regarding compliance with appropriate laws may be directed to Mercedes A. Mesfield, Director, Office of Equal Opportunity, 110 Livingston Street, Room 601, Brooklyn, New York 11201, Telephone: (718) 935-3320.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PROGRAM BACKGROUND

The Special Education Professional In Training (SEPIT) program is a tuition assistance program designed to alleviate critical shortages of special education teachers and clinicians. It gives individuals the opportunity to pursue post-baccalaureate study, and obtain placement in bilingual and monolingual special education teaching and special education evaluation.

PROGRAM FINDINGS

The Board of Education asked the Office of Educational Research (O.E.R.) to evaluate the 1991-1992 SEPIT program. The program was successful in placing teachers in special schools. Of the 46 individuals who responded to the O.E.R.-developed questionnaire, 45 (98 percent) completed the program, and worked in the New York City Public Schools. Overall, the participants rated the program highly. However, they asked that the Board of Education: (1) be more helpful and flexible with their job placement; (2) be more prompt in paying their college tuition to avoid their receiving late fees; and (3) be more efficient in processing their applications, correspondence, and paperwork.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Office of Educational Research (O.E.R.) recommends that the Board of Education continue the Special Education Professional In Training program, and:

- be more helpful and flexible with job placement,
- pay the tuition to the colleges more promptly to avoid late fees for the SEPIT participants, and
- be more efficient in processing program applications and paperwork.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was produced by the Research Unit of the Office of Educational Research. The design of the study and the report's development were supervised by Mabel Payne. Thanks go to Rita Aiello for data analyses and report writing, Ira Brandenburg for instrument design, Vadim Markhasin and Juliet Whittle for instrument distribution, Adeola Joda for code development, and Renee Moseley for word processing.

Comments or information requests regarding this report can be directed to:

Ms. Mabel Payne
Research Unit Manager
New York City Board of Education
O. E. R.
110 Livingston Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	1
EVALUATION METHODOLOGY	3
SCOPE OF THIS REPORT	3
II. EVALUATION FINDINGS	4
PARTICIPANTS' ASSESSMENT OF THE PROGRAM	7
THE PROGRAM'S SUCCESS RATE	8
DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PARTICIPANTS	8
III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE 1: INITIAL DATE OF ENROLLMENT IN THE PROGRAM	5
TABLE 2: PARTICIPANTS' REASONS FOR JOINING THE PROGRAM	6
TABLE 3: DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PARTICIPANTS	9

I. INTRODUCTION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Special Education Professional In Training (SEPIT) program is a tuition assistance program designed to alleviate critical shortages of special education teachers and clinicians. It provides individuals the opportunity to pursue post-baccalaureate study, and obtain placement in special education settings. The program is available to individuals who wish to become bilingual and monolingual special education teachers, and special education evaluators.

Eligibility Criteria

Candidates for admission into the SEPIT program must meet the following prerequisites:

- no prior employment with the New York City Board of Education as a regularly licensed or substitute teacher;
- a baccalaureate degree from an accredited college or university with proof of a minimum 3.0 final grade point average;
- completion of at least 12 credits of academic course work in education or a minimum of six education credits for bilingual candidates; and
- proof of U.S. citizenship or permanent residency.

Tuition Assistance Credits

The New York City Board of Education pays for each participant to obtain a maximum of 12 college credits in special education to qualify for a certificate as a Preparatory Provisional Substitute Teacher. Participants are expected to complete all twelve special education credits within one fiscal year (July 1 of a given year to June 30 of the following year).

Reimbursement

The New York City Board of Education pays tuition to the cooperating institutions of higher education at the prevailing CUNY rate.

Fees and Other Expenses

Candidates are responsible for the cost difference if the tuition per credit exceeds the CUNY rate. The New York City Board of Education reimburses an additional \$37.00 to cover registration or laboratory fees for the participant's first semester in the program.

Service Obligation

Concurrent with the completion of courses, the program participants must apply for a certificate as a Preparatory Provisional Substitute Teacher. Upon the award of this certificate, the participants must accept an assignment in a hard-to-staff special education setting for a minimum of one year. In exchange for tuition assistance, each participant must sign a contract which indicates that he/she will provide a minimum of one year of service in a special education setting.

Participating Higher Education Institutions

Acceptance in the SEPIT program is contingent upon the program applicant's admission to a participating higher education institution. Program participants may apply for admission to any of the following participating institutions: Adelphi University, City College of New York, College of New Rochelle, College of Staten Island, Fordham University, Hofstra University, Long

Island University (Brooklyn campus), Mercy College, St. John's University, Wagner College, and Universidad Catolica de Puerto Rico.

EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

The Office of Educational Research (O.E.R.), in conjunction with representatives from the Board of Education's Office of Recruitment, Placement, Assessment, and Licensing, designed a questionnaire to evaluate how SEPIT participants rated various aspects of the program. O.E.R. sent this questionnaire to 136 SEPIT participants, asking them to fill it out and return it. Forty-six participants (34 percent) filled out the questionnaires and mailed it back. Eight questionnaires (six percent) were returned to O.E.R. undelivered, and the remaining 82 questionnaires (60 percent) were never returned to O.E.R. The data reported herein are based on the responses of the 46 participants who returned their completed questionnaires.

SCOPE OF THIS REPORT

Chapter I of this report provides a description of the SEPIT Program. Chapter II provides the major evaluation findings of this study. Chapter III provides O.E.R.'s conclusions and recommendations.

II. EVALUATION FINDINGS

The data reported herein are based on the responses of the 46 SEPIT participants who answered and returned their questionnaire. Of these 46 SEPIT participants, 45 (98 percent) completed the program and were working for the New York City Board of Education. These participants enrolled in both the monolingual and the bilingual special education program: 34 (74 percent) entered the monolingual special education program, 11 (24 percent) entered the bilingual (Spanish) special education program, and one (two percent) participant did not give a response to this item.

The participants began the SEPIT program at various times between fall 1990 and fall 1992 (see Table 1). The majority of the candidates (N=33; 72 percent) began the program in 1991. When the SEPIT participants were asked how they found out about the program, 26 (57 percent) participants reported reading an advertisement in the New York Times. Others reported hearing about it through Board of Education flyers and brochures, or a friend.

Participants' Reasons for Joining the Program

The participants listed multiple reasons for joining the program (see Table 2). The two most common reasons were interest in entering the special education field, and the tuition reimbursement incentive. Other reasons included interest in career advancement, a career change, looking for a job, and personal family reasons.

TABLE 1
INITIAL DATE OF ENROLLMENT IN THE PROGRAM

	Total Number of Respondents	Total Percentage of Respondents
Summer 1990	1	2
Fall 1990	1	2
Spring 1991	1	2
Summer 1991	15	33
Fall 1991	17	37
Spring 1992	8	17
Fall 1992	2	4
No response	1	2
	<u>46</u>	<u>99^a</u>

^a These percentages do not total 100 because of rounding errors.

- Thirty-three (72 percent of the total) participants began the program in 1991.

TABLE 2^a

PARTICIPANTS' REASONS FOR JOINING THE PROGRAM

Reasons	Total Number of Responses	Total Percentage of Respondents
Interest in special education field	17	37
Tuition reimbursement	14	30
No response	8	18
Career advancement	7	15
Career change	5	11
Obtaining a degree in special education	1	2
Looking for a job	1	2
Personal family reasons	1	2
	<u>54</u>	<u>117</u>

^a The 46 respondents gave more than one response to this O.E.R. questionnaire item. In addition, table percentages were calculated based on the 46 respondents rather than the total number of responses given; and therefore total more than 100.

- Interest in the special education field, and tuition reimbursement were the two most common reasons for joining the program.

The participants were asked whether they would have continued their education in the special education field without the help of the SEPIT program. Seventeen (37 percent) answered "yes," 14 (30 percent) answered "maybe," and 14 (30 percent) answered "no" (one participant did not respond).

PARTICIPANTS' ASSESSMENT OF THE PROGRAM

The Application Process

Participants were asked to rate the application process on a scale from zero (low rating) to five (high rating). Overall, they gave it a high rating: 43 (93 percent) applicants gave the application process a rating ranging from three to five. Eight (17 percent) participants suggested that the Board of Education could improve the application process by speeding up its information processing and the mailing of responses. Forty-three participants (93 percent) reported that the SEPIT program coordinators were helpful in recruiting them.

The Service Obligation

Participants were asked to rate the fairness of having to accept a job wherever offered in exchange for receiving free college tuition. They were asked to rate this service obligation using a scale from one to five, where one represented that it was unfair, and five that it was very fair. Overall, the participants rated the system as fair: 40 (87 percent) participants gave it a rating ranging from three to five. Nevertheless, 13 (29 percent) participants recommended that the Board of Education give them flexibility in their job placement.

One (two percent) participant proposed holding a job fair to meet the needs of the participants and of the schools more efficiently.

The Tuition Payment System

Participants were asked to rate the tuition payment system on a scale from zero (no experience) to five (high). Although 36 participants (79 percent) gave the tuition payment system a rating from three to five, 11 participants (24 percent) complained about the Board of Education's delay in paying tuition to their higher education institution. When a college did not receive the tuition payment on time, it requested that the SEPIT participants pay late fees or refused to issue a transcript altogether.

THE PROGRAM'S SUCCESS RATE

The program had a very high success rate. Forty-five (98 percent) of the 46 SEPIT participants completed the program and were currently working in the New York City Public Schools. Their titles included teacher, special education teacher, and bilingual special education teacher. Forty (87 percent) participants expected to be rehired in their present position next year.

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PARTICIPANTS

The demographic characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 3. As can be seen in the table, the majority (72 percent) were females, and more (37 percent) were in the age group 41-50 than in any other age group.

TABLE 3

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RESPONDENTS

Characteristic	Total Number of Respondents	Total Percentage of Respondents
<u>Gender</u>		
Females	33	72
Males	12	26
No response	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
	46	100
<u>Age</u>		
between 20-30	12	26
between 31-40	11	24
between 41-50	17	37
above 50	5	11
No response	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
	46	100
<u>Ethnicity</u>		
Latino	12	26
African-American	2	4
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	4
White/Spanish	1	2
Puerto Rican	1	2
South American	1	2
No response	<u>13</u>	<u>28</u>
	46	98 ^a
<u>Languages Spoken^b</u>		
English	36	78
Spanish	13	28
French	4	9
Haitian-Creole	1	2
Other	<u>7</u>	<u>15</u>
	61	132

^a Percentage does not total 100 because of rounding error.

^b The 46 respondents gave more than one answer to this O.E.R. questionnaire item and therefore the total number of responses is greater than 46 and the percentages are greater than 100.

- The majority of the participants were females.
- More participants were in the age group 41-50 than in any other age group.
- Most participants were white, Latino, or African-American.
- More participants spoke English than any other language.

The majority of the participants were white, Latino, or African-American. Specifically, 14 participants (30 percent) classified themselves as white, 12 (26 percent) as Latino, 12 (26 percent) as African-American, and two (four percent) as Asian/Pacific Islander. Three (six percent) fell into some other classification.

When asked what languages they spoke, more participants replied that they spoke English than any other language. Thirty-six (78 percent) spoke English, 13 (28 percent) spoke Spanish, four (nine percent) spoke French, one (two percent) spoke Haitian-Creole, and seven (15 percent) spoke other languages including Portuguese, Italian, Urdu, Chinese, Yiddish, and Russian.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, the participants rated the SEPIT program highly. Forty-one (89 percent) participants rated it from above average to excellent. Although the majority of the candidates considered it fair to have to perform a service obligation in exchange for receiving free college tuition, several candidates asked that the Board of Education be more helpful and flexible in the job assignment. A number of candidates suggested that the program would be improved if the Board of Education processed the applications and necessary paperwork more efficiently.

Although most candidates gave high marks to the tuition payment system, some candidates complained about Board of Education delays in paying the tuition to the colleges. When a college did not receive the tuition payment on time, it requested the SEPIT candidates to pay late fees or refused to issue a transcript altogether.

RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the above comments, O.E.R. recommends that the Board of Education continue the program and:

- be more helpful and flexible in the job assignments;
- pay the tuition to the participating higher education institutions more promptly to avoid late fees to the SEPIT participants; and
- speed up processing the applications and the necessary paperwork to avoid delays.

END

U.S. Dept. of Education

Office of Educational
Research and Improvement (OERI)

ERIC

Date Filmed
June 16, 1995



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

EC 303637
ERIC

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title Evaluation of the 1991-92 Special Education Professional In Training Program	
Author(s) Research Unit, O.E.R.	
Corporate Source New York City Public Schools	Publication Date

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.

Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Check here

Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ *Sample* _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

Level 1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ *Sample* _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

Level 2

or here

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy

Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Robert Tobias</i>	Position: Director
Printed Name: Robert Tobias	Organization: Office of Educational Research
Address: NYC Public Schools 110 Livingston Street Brooklyn, NY 11201	Telephone Number: (718) 935-3767
	Date:

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price Per Copy:	Quantity Price

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address

Name and address of current copyright/reproduction rights holder:
Name
Address

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse ERIC Clearinghouse 030 Huntington Hall Syracuse University Syracuse, NY 13244-2340 U.S.A.
