

ED 375 154

TM 022 103

AUTHOR Trent, Lynn M.; And Others
 TITLE Two-Semester Validity Study of the Use of the College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level 1 for Placement into M 403K at UT Austin. Fall 1988 and Spring 1989.

INSTITUTION Texas Univ., Austin. Measurement and Evaluation Center.

REPORT NO RB-90-3
 PUB DATE Mar 92
 NOTE 15p.
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Achievement Tests; College Faculty; *College Students; Cutting Scores; *Decision Making; Grades (Scholastic); Higher Education; *Mathematics; Scores; Statistical Analysis; *Student Placement; *Test Use; Test Validity

IDENTIFIERS College Board Achievement Tests; *University of Texas Austin

ABSTRACT

At the request of the Department of Mathematics at the University of Texas Austin (UT Austin), the Measurement and Evaluation Center conducted a validity study to assist in revising the department's placement policy for Mathematics 403K (M 403K). The existing decision score on the College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level 1 (460) was causing unacceptable placement error. Data, including Achievement Test scores and final course grades, were analyzed for 1,337 fall semester students and 781 spring semester students. A recommendation was made that the decision score of 460 be changed to 530. Faculty representatives subsequently lowered the decision score to 480, although students with scores from 480 to 520 were advised that completing an algebra course prior to enrolling in M 403K would probably improve their grades. Eight tables present analysis data. (SLD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

H. P. KELLEY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

TWO-SEMESTER VALIDITY STUDY OF THE USE OF
THE COLLEGE BOARD ACHIEVEMENT TEST
IN MATHEMATICS LEVEL I FOR
PLACEMENT INTO M 403K
AT UT AUSTIN
FALL 1988 and SPRING 1989

Lynn M. Trent, Michael J. Barrett, Barbara G. Dodd
and H. Paul Kelley

RB-90-3

March 1992

MEASUREMENT AND EVALUATION CENTER
The University of Texas at Austin

ED 375 154

1022103

TWO-SEMESTER VALIDITY STUDY OF THE USE OF THE COLLEGE BOARD ACHIEVEMENT
TEST IN MATHEMATICS LEVEL I FOR PLACEMENT INTO M 403K
AT UT AUSTIN
FALL 1988 and SPRING 1989

Lynn M. Trent, Michael J. Barrett, Barbara G. Dodd,
and H. Paul Kelley

At the request of the Department of Mathematics at The University of Texas at Austin, the Measurement and Evaluation Center (MEC) conducted a validity study to assist the department in revising its placement policy for Mathematics 403K (M 403K). For the testing period April 1988 through March 1989, the department had found that its existing decision score of 460 on the College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I was causing unacceptable placement error, shown by the fact that students in M 403K with scores close to 460 were performing poorly.

MEC staff members gathered data from two semesters for students with both Math Level I scores and M 403K final grades. The staff analyzed test scores in relation to student course performance, as measured by final course grade, in order to present to the Department of Mathematics possible placement decision scores.

Method

Subjects

From University records MEC staff members gathered data consisting of both Math Level I scores and M 403K final grades for students enrolled in M 403K for the first time — 1,337 in the fall semester of 1988 and 781 in the spring semester of 1989. The Mathematics Level I test is used by the department for placing students in its lower division mathematics course sequences. M 403K (*Calculus for Business and Economics*) is the introductory calculus course for the business mathematics sequence. Students lacking sufficient demonstrated competence on the Math Level I test in prerequisite skills for differential and integral calculus must satisfy pre-calculus course prerequisites before registering for M 403K. Therefore students with low scores on the Math Level I test had earned credit for at least one college-level mathematics course prior to enrolling in Math 403K; this additional instruction in mathematics would be expected to reduce the relationship between the Math Level I scores and the final grades in M 403K.

Materials

The Math Level I Test is an achievement test administered six times yearly nationwide by The College Board, and before each registration period and during all summer orientation sessions on the UT Austin campus by the MEC. The Math Level I Test consists of 50 multiple-choice items sampling topics including algebra, plane Euclidian geometry, trigonometry, functional notation, and mathematical reasoning. Scores are reported in increments of 10 using The College Board's 200-800 scale.

Procedure

After obtaining test score and final course grade data from University records, MEC staff members analyzed the relationship between test score and final course grade to obtain test score mean and standard deviation, final course grade mean and standard deviation, and the coefficient of correlation between test scores and course grades. Frequency distributions of the test scores (200-800 scale) were crosstabulated with final course grades (0-4 scale), and regression equations were obtained by which to estimate expected test scores from final grades, and expected final grades from test scores. Additional analyses were performed to estimate for each test score value the accuracy of placement to be expected if that value were to be used as a decision score. A table of possible decision scores was prepared for departmental consideration.

Results

MEC staff members prepared Tables 1.1-1.4 and 2.1-2.4 to present the results of the validity studies to faculty members in the Department of Mathematics. The first set of tables is based upon the 1,377 matching sets of test scores and final grades from the 1988 fall semester, and the second set of tables is based upon the 781 matching sets of test scores and final grades from the 1989 spring semester.

Fall 1988 Study

Table 1.1 shows the frequency distribution of test scores (left column) crosstabulated with the five course grade levels *F* through *A* (0 through 4, columns 3-7) and with the total group of 1,337 students (right column). Across the bottom of the table are (a) the number and percentage of students in each grade level and in the total group, and (b) the test score mean and standard deviation for each grade level and for the total group. To the right are the final course grade mean (2.11) and standard deviation (1.37) for the entire group, the coefficient of correlation between test scores and final course grades (.42), and two regression equations for estimating expected grades and expected scores.

Table i.1

Scores on The College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I in Relation To Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Frequency Distributions, Descriptive Statistics, Regression Equations, Expected Grades, and Expected Scores
Fall 1988
(N = 1,337)

| Test Scores | Expected Grades | Final Grades in Mathematics 403K | | | | | Total N |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | 0 F | 1 D | 2 C | 3 B | 4 A | |
| 580-800 | 2.39-4.00 | 36 | 32 | 91 | 146 | 151 | 456 |
| 560-579 | 2.24-2.38 | 18 | 15 | 40 | 37 | 27 | 137 |
| 550 | 2.16 | 14 | 10 | 23 | 28 | 16 | 91 |
| 540 | 2.08 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 44 |
| 530 | 2.01 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 49 |
| 520 | 1.93 | 23 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 8 | 77 |
| 510 | 1.85 | 15 | 11 | 29 | 21 | 3 | 79 |
| 500 | 1.77 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 14 | 2 | 48 |
| 490 | 1.70 | 16 | 12 | 25 | 8 | 1 | 62 |
| 480 | 1.62 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 2 | 52 |
| 470 | 1.54 | 22 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 64 |
| 460 | 1.47 | 15 | 14 | 21 | 5 | 3 | 58 |
| 400-459 | 1.00-1.46 | 29 | 27 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 99 |
| 200-399 | 0.00-1.00 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 21 |
| Total | | 225 | 198 | 350 | 336 | 228 | 1,337 |
| % | | 17% | 15% | 26% | 25% | 17% | 100% |
| Mean Score | | 513.24 | 508.59 | 530.23 | 563.45 | 599.82 | 544.38 |
| Standard Deviation | | 59.14 | 65.73 | 66.45 | 66.63 | 60.90 | 71.71 |
| Expected Score | | 497 | 519 | 542 | 565 | 587 | |

Expected Grade =
(Test Score x
0.0077) - 2.0754

Expected Score =
(Preliminary Grade x
22.6514) + 496.6405

| | |
|------------|--------------------|
| Mean Grade | Standard Deviation |
| 2.11 | 1.32 |

Coefficient of
Correlation
 $r = .42$

Table 1.2

Scores on The College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I in
Relation To Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Combined
Frequency Distributions and Descriptive Statistics
Fall 1988
(N = 1,337)

| Test Scores | Final Grades in M 403K | | Total N |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | Unsatisfactory 0,1 | Satisfactory 2-4 | |
| 580-800 | 68 | 388 | 456 |
| 560-579 | 33 | 104 | 137 |
| 550 | 24 | 67 | 91 |
| 540 | 16 | 28 | 44 |
| 530 | 15 | 34 | 49 |
| 520 | 36 | 41 | 77 |
| 510 | 26 | 53 | 79 |
| 500 | 16 | 32 | 48 |
| 490 | 28 | 34 | 62 |
| 480 | 25 | 27 | 52 |
| 470 | 42 | 22 | 64 |
| 460 | 29 | 29 | 58 |
| 400-459 | 56 | 43 | 99 |
| 200-399 | 9 | 12 | 21 |
| Total | 423 | 914 | 1,337 |
| % | 32% | 68% | 100% |
| Mean Score | 511.06 | 559.80 | 544.38 |
| Standard Deviation | 62.28 | 70.56 | 71.71 |

| | |
|------------|--------------------|
| Mean Grade | Standard Deviation |
| 2.11 | 1.32 |

| |
|----------------------------|
| Coefficient of Correlation |
| $r = .42$ |

Table 1.3

Scores on the College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I
in Relation to Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Possible
Decision Scores and Corresponding Accuracies of Placement
Fall 1988
(N = 1,337)

| Place- ment Category | Cumulative Number of Students | | | | Percent of Students in Each Placement Category | | | Overall Accuracy of Placement | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|---------|---|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|
| | Unsatisfactory 0,1 (N = 423) | | Satisfactory 2-4 (N = 914) | | Unsatisfactory | Satisfactory | Placement Accuracy | Number of Students | % of Students | |
| 560 - up | Too High | 101 | 492 | Correct | Too High | 24% | 54% Correct | Too High | 101 | 8% |
| Below 560 | Correct | 322 | 422 | Too Low | Correct | 76% | 46% Too Low | Correct | 814 | 61% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 422 | 32% |
| 550 - up | Too High | 125 | 559 | Correct | Too High | 30% | 61% Correct | Too High | 125 | 9% |
| Below 550 | Correct | 298 | 355 | Too Low | Correct | 70% | 39% Too Low | Correct | 857 | 64% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 355 | 27% |
| 540 - up | Too High | 141 | 587 | Correct | Too High | 33% | 64% Correct | Too High | 141 | 11% |
| Below 540 | Correct | 282 | 327 | Too Low | Correct | 67% | 36% Too Low | Correct | 869 | 65% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 327 | 24% |
| 530 - up | Too High | 156 | 621 | Correct | Too High | 37% | 68% Correct | Too High | 156 | 12% |
| Below 530 | Correct | 267 | 293 | Too Low | Correct | 63% | 32% Too Low | Correct | 888 | 66% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 293 | 22% |
| 520 - up | Too High | 192 | 662 | Correct | Too High | 45% | 72% Correct | Too High | 192 | 14% |
| Below 520 | Correct | 231 | 252 | Too Low | Correct | 55% | 28% Too Low | Correct | 893 | 67% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 252 | 19% |
| 510 - up | Too High | 218 | 715 | Correct | Too High | 52% | 78% Correct | Too High | 218 | 16% |
| Below 510 | Correct | 205 | 199 | Too Low | Correct | 48% | 22% Too Low | Correct | 920 | 69% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 199 | 15% |
| 500 - up | Too High | 234 | 747 | Correct | Too High | 55% | 82% Correct | Too High | 234 | 18% |
| Below 500 | Correct | 189 | 167 | Too Low | Correct | 45% | 18% Too Low | Correct | 936 | 70% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 167 | 12% |
| 490 - up | Too High | 262 | 781 | Correct | Too High | 62% | 85% Correct | Too High | 262 | 20% |
| Below 490 | Correct | 161 | 133 | Too Low | Correct | 38% | 15% Too Low | Correct | 942 | 70% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 133 | 10% |
| 480 - up | Too High | 287 | 808 | Correct | Too High | 68% | 88% Correct | Too High | 287 | 21% |
| Below 480 | Correct | 136 | 106 | Too Low | Correct | 32% | 12% Too Low | Correct | 944 | 71% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 106 | 8% |
| 470 - up | Too High | 329 | 830 | Correct | Too High | 78% | 91% Correct | Too High | 329 | 25% |
| Below 470 | Correct | 94 | 84 | Too Low | Correct | 22% | 9% Too Low | Correct | 924 | 69% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 84 | 6% |
| 460 - up | Too High | 358 | 859 | Correct | Too High | 85% | 94% Correct | Too High | 358 | 27% |
| Below 460 | Correct | 65 | 55 | Too Low | Correct | 15% | 6% Too Low | Correct | 924 | 69% |
| | | | | | | | | Too Low | 55 | 4% |

Table 1.4

Scores on The College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I
 in Relation to Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Scores
 Suggested by Six Guidelines for Use in Selecting Decision Scores
 Fall 1988
 (N = 1,337)

| Guideline | Mathematics Level I Score |
|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. Expected Score for students whose performance in course was just minimally satisfactory (i.e., students with preliminary grades of C; see Expected Score row at bottom of Table 1.1). | 542 |
| 2. Score for which Expected Grade was just minimally satisfactory (i.e., C; see Expected Grade column in Table 1.1). | 530 |
| 3. Score for which percents of errors of students in each academic performance category (Unsatisfactory, Satisfactory) were most nearly equal. (See % Too High and % Too Low values in middle columns of Table 1.3.) | 540 |
| 4. Score for which overall percents of errors were most nearly equal. (See % Too High and % Too Low values in last column of Table 1.3.) | 510 |
| 5. Score that would have cut off (or field back) approximately the same number of students as were in the Unsatisfactory performance group. (See Table 1.2 for number of students in the Unsatisfactory group and the test score that most nearly identifies that number of low-scoring students.) | 510 |
| 6. Score that would have maximized overall accuracy of placement. (See number Correct in next-to-last column of Table 1.3.) | 480 |

Table 1.1 also presents values for two variables estimated using the two regression equations shown: the Expected Grade (column 2) for each test score, and the Expected Score (bottom row) for each grade level. These data may be used, for example, to determine that the minimally satisfactory grade of *C* (2.00) is expected for the group of students with the test score of 530, or that for students achieving a final grade of *C*, the expected test score is 542.

Table 1.2 collapses the five grade levels of Table 1.1 into two performance levels: Unsatisfactory (grades *F* and *D*, or 0 and 1), and Satisfactory (grades *C*, *B*, and *A*, or 2, 3, and 4). Across the bottom of Table 1.2 appear the number and percentage of students in each performance category, and the test score mean and standard deviation for each level.

Table 1.3 presents the expected placement accuracies for 11 possible decision scores. In the left column are placement categories (possible decision scores). Two pairs of columns to the right present, respectively, the cumulative number and percentage of students in each academic performance category (Unsatisfactory and Satisfactory) who would be placed correctly and incorrectly using each of the possible decision scores. Incorrect placement for students in the Unsatisfactory category means being placed "Too High," while incorrect placement for students in the Satisfactory category means being placed "Too Low." The final three columns present the overall accuracy of placement ("Too High," "Correct," and "Too Low") by number and percentage for the two academic categories combined.

Table 1.4 lists six guidelines suggested by various authorities for selecting decision scores to be used for course placement and awarding of credit by examination. Each guideline refers the reader to one of the preceding tables. Based on this validity study, possible decision scores justified by the six guidelines range from a low of 480 to a high of 542.

Spring 1989 Study

Table 2.1 shows the frequency distribution of test scores (left column) crosstabulated with the five course grade levels *F* through *A* (0 through 4, columns 3-7) and with the total group of 781 students (right column). Across the bottom of the table are (a) the number and percentage of students in each grade level and in the total group, and (b) the test score mean and standard deviation for each grade level and for the total group. To the right are the final course grade mean (2.07) and standard deviation (1.41) for the entire group, the coefficient of correlation between test scores and

Table 2.1

Scores on The College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I in Relation To Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Frequency Distributions, Descriptive Statistics, Regression Equations, Expected Grades, and Expected Scores
Spring 1989
(N = 781)

| Test Scores | Expected Grades | Final Grades in Mathematics 403K | | | | | Total N |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | 0 F | 1 D | 2 C | 3 B | 4 A | |
| 580-800 | 2.31-3.50 | 32 | 17 | 43 | 46 | 86 | 224 |
| 560-579 | 2.21-2.31 | 23 | 9 | 10 | 25 | 17 | 84 |
| 550 | 2.15 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 41 |
| 540 | 2.10 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 36 |
| 530 | 2.04 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 44 |
| 520 | 1.99 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 36 |
| 510 | 1.94 | 14 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 50 |
| 500 | 1.88 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 38 |
| 490 | 1.83 | 10 | 6 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 44 |
| 480 | 1.77 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 34 |
| 470 | 1.72 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 36 |
| 460 | 1.67 | 18 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 51 |
| 400-459 | 1.34-1.66 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 20 | 3 | 58 |
| 200-399 | 0.26-1.34 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | | 161 | 111 | 184 | 164 | 161 | 781 |
| % | | 21% | 14% | 24% | 21% | 21% | 100% |
| Mean Score | | 523.35 | 522.25 | 527.77 | 534.09 | 578.94 | 537.95 |
| Standard Deviation | | 61.63 | 60.93 | 62.53 | 67.28 | 67.10 | 67.40 |
| Expected Score | | 513 | 525 | 537 | 549 | 562 | |

$$\text{Expected Grade} = (\text{Test Score} \times 0.0054) - .8179$$

$$\text{Expected Score} = (\text{Preliminary Grade} \times 12.1897) + 512.7448$$

| | |
|------------|--------------------|
| Mean Grade | Standard Deviation |
| 2.07 | 1.41 |

$$\text{Coefficient of Correlation} \\ r = .26$$

Table 2.2

Scores on The College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I in
 Relation To Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Combined
 Frequency Distributions and Descriptive Statistics
 Spring 1989
 (N = 781)

| Test Scores | Final Grades in M 403K | | Total N |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | Unsatisfactory 0,1 | Satisfactory 2-4 | |
| 580-800 | 49 | 175 | 224 |
| 560-579 | 32 | 52 | 84 |
| 550 | 14 | 27 | 41 |
| 540 | 13 | 23 | 36 |
| 530 | 22 | 22 | 44 |
| 520 | 14 | 22 | 36 |
| 510 | 22 | 28 | 50 |
| 500 | 14 | 24 | 38 |
| 490 | 16 | 28 | 44 |
| 480 | 12 | 22 | 34 |
| 470 | 13 | 23 | 36 |
| 460 | 26 | 25 | 51 |
| 400-459 | 22 | 36 | 58 |
| 200-399 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 272 | 509 | 781 |
| % | 35% | 65% | 100% |
| Mean Score | 522.90 | 545.99 | 537.95 |
| Standard Deviation | 61.24 | 69.20 | 67.40 |

| | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Mean Grade 2.07 | Standard Deviation 1.41 |
|--------------------|----------------------------|

| |
|---|
| Coefficient of Correlation $r = .26$ |
|---|

Table 2.3

Scores on the College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I
in Relation to Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Possible
Decision Scores and Corresponding Accuracies of Placement
Spring 1989
(N = 781)

| Place- ment Category | Cumulative Number of Students | | | | Percent of Students in Each Placement Category | | | | Overall Accuracy of Placement | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|---------|---|-----|--------------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | Unsatisfactory 0,1 (N = 272) | | Satisfactory 2-4 (N = 509) | | Unsatisfactory | | Satisfactory | | Placement Accuracy | Number of Students | % of Students |
| 560 - up | Too High | 81 | 227 | Correct | Too High | 30% | 45% | Correct | Too High | 81 | 10% |
| Below 560 | Correct | 191 | 282 | Too Low | Correct | 70% | 55% | Too Low | Correct | 418 | 54% |
| 550 - up | Too High | 95 | 254 | Correct | Too High | 35% | 50% | Correct | Too High | 95 | 12% |
| Below 550 | Correct | 177 | 255 | Too Low | Correct | 65% | 50% | Too Low | Correct | 431 | 55% |
| 540 - up | Too High | 108 | 277 | Correct | Too High | 40% | 54% | Correct | Too High | 108 | 14% |
| Below 540 | Correct | 164 | 232 | Too Low | Correct | 60% | 46% | Too Low | Correct | 441 | 56% |
| 530 - up | Too High | 130 | 299 | Correct | Too High | 48% | 59% | Correct | Too High | 130 | 17% |
| Below 530 | Correct | 142 | 210 | Too Low | Correct | 52% | 41% | Too Low | Correct | 441 | 56% |
| 520 - up | Too High | 144 | 321 | Correct | Too High | 53% | 63% | Correct | Too High | 144 | 10% |
| Below 520 | Correct | 128 | 188 | Too Low | Correct | 47% | 37% | Too Low | Correct | 449 | 57% |
| 510 - up | Too High | 166 | 349 | Correct | Too High | 61% | 69% | Correct | Too High | 166 | 21% |
| Below 510 | Correct | 106 | 160 | Too Low | Correct | 39% | 31% | Too Low | Correct | 455 | 58% |
| 500 - up | Too High | 180 | 373 | Correct | Too High | 66% | 73% | Correct | Too High | 180 | 23% |
| Below 500 | Correct | 92 | 136 | Too Low | Correct | 34% | 27% | Too Low | Correct | 465 | 60% |
| 490 - up | Too High | 196 | 401 | Correct | Too High | 72% | 79% | Correct | Too High | 196 | 25% |
| Below 490 | Correct | 76 | 108 | Too Low | Correct | 28% | 21% | Too Low | Correct | 477 | 61% |
| 480 - up | Too High | 208 | 423 | Correct | Too High | 76% | 83% | Correct | Too High | 208 | 27% |
| Below 480 | Correct | 64 | 86 | Too Low | Correct | 24% | 17% | Too Low | Correct | 487 | 62% |
| 470 - up | Too High | 221 | 446 | Correct | Too High | 81% | 88% | Correct | Too High | 221 | 28% |
| Below 470 | Correct | 51 | 63 | Too Low | Correct | 19% | 12% | Too Low | Correct | 497 | 64% |
| 460 - up | Too High | 247 | 471 | Correct | Too High | 91% | 93% | Correct | Too High | 247 | 32% |
| Below 460 | Correct | 25 | 38 | Too Low | Correct | 9% | 7% | Too Low | Correct | 496 | 64% |
| | | | | | | | | | Too Low | 38 | 5% |

Table 2.4

Scores on The College Board Achievement Test in Mathematics Level I
 in Relation to Student Performance in Mathematics 403K: Scores
 Suggested by Six Guidelines for Use in Selecting Decision Scores
 Spring 1989
 (N = 781)

| Guideline | Mathematics Level I Score |
|---|---------------------------------|
| 1. Expected Score for students whose performance in course was just minimally satisfactory (i.e., students with preliminary grades of C; see Expected Score row at bottom of Table 2.1). | 537 |
| 2. Score for which Expected Grade was just minimally satisfactory (i.e., C; see Expected Grade column in Table 2.1). | 530 |
| 3. Score for which percents of errors of students in each academic performance category (Unsatisfactory, Satisfactory) were most nearly equal. (See % Too High and % Too Low values in middle columns of Table 2.3.) | 540 |
| 4. Score for which overall percents of errors were most nearly equal. (See % Too High and % Too Low values in last column of Table 2.3.) | 510 |
| 5. Score that would have cut off (or held back) approximately the same number of students as were in the Unsatisfactory performance group. (See Table 2.2 for number of students in the Unsatisfactory group and the test score that most nearly identifies that number of low-scoring students.) | 510 |
| 6. Score that would have maximized overall accuracy of placement. (See number Correct in next-to-last column of Table 2.3.) | 470 |

final course grades (.26), and two regression equations for estimating expected grades and expected scores.

Table 2.1 also presents values for two variables estimated using the two regression equations shown: the Expected Grade (column 2) for each test score, and the Expected Score (bottom row) for each grade level. These data may be used, for example, to determine that the minimally satisfactory grade of *C* (2.00) is expected for the group of students with the test score of 530, or that for students achieving a final grade of *C*, the expected test score is 537.

Table 2.2 collapses the five grade levels of Table 2.1 into two performance levels: Unsatisfactory (grades *F* and *D*, or 0 and 1), and Satisfactory (grades *C*, *B*, and *A*, or 2, 3, and 4). Across the bottom of Table 2.2 appear the number and percentage of students in each performance category, and the test score mean and standard deviation for each level.

Table 2.3 presents the expected placement accuracies for 11 possible decision scores. In the left column are placement categories (possible decision scores). Two pairs of columns to the right present, respectively, the cumulative number and percentage of students in each academic performance category (Unsatisfactory and Satisfactory) who would be placed correctly and incorrectly using each of the possible decision scores. Incorrect placement for students in the Unsatisfactory category means being placed "Too High," while incorrect placement for students in the Satisfactory category means being placed "Too Low." The final three columns present the overall accuracy of placement ("Too High," "Correct," and "Too Low") by number and percentage for the two academic categories combined.

Table 2.4 lists six guidelines suggested by various authorities for selecting decision scores to be used for course placement and awarding of credit by examination. Each guideline refers the reader to one of the preceding tables. Based on this validity study, possible decision scores justified by the six guidelines range from a low of 470 to a high of 540.

Discussion and Decision Making

During a January 1990 meeting with the Department of Mathematics faculty, MEC staff members recommended changing the then-current decision score of 460 to 530, which was the score for which the Expected Grade was just minimally satisfactory (see Guideline 2 in Tables 1.4 and 2.4). The department faculty representatives felt a decision score of 530 would result in too many students being placed in a course which might not be useful to them; consequently, the faculty representatives chose 480 as the new decision score. Students with Math Level I scores between 480 and 520,

however, would be told that data suggest that completing M 301 (*College Algebra*) prior to enrolling in M 403K probably will improve their grades in M 403K. The department subsequently approved a placement plan based on four Math Level I score ranges:

| Range | Placement Decision |
|---------|--|
| 200-399 | "Low Score": consult advisor |
| 400-479 | Must take M 301 before taking M 403K |
| 480-579 | May take M 403K, but data show that those with scores between 480-520 probably will improve their grades by taking M 301 first |
| 580-800 | May take M 403K, but consult with advisor concerning alternatives |

The placement plan was implemented beginning with the April 1990 testing period.