ED 370 889   SP 035 129

AUTHOR   Forrest, Linda; And Others
TITLE   Win/Win Restructuring: Counseling Psychology
                Collaboration with Teacher Education in Professional
                Development Schools.
PUB DATE   [93]
NOTE   23p.
PUB TYPE   Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Viewpoints
                (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.) (120)

EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   College School Cooperation; Conflict Resolution;
                *Counseling Psychology; *Counselor Role; Educational
                Change; Elementary Secondary Education; Faculty
                Evaluation; Higher Education; *Interdisciplinary
                Approach; *Interprofessional Relationship;
                *Preservice Teacher Education; School Restructuring;
                Schools of Education
IDENTIFIERS   *Professional Development Schools; Reform Efforts

ABSTRACT
        This paper uses the integration of the disciplines of
counseling psychology and teacher education in their work in
Professional Development Schools (PDS) as a model to generate
recommendations for restructuring schools, colleges, and departments
of education (SCDE). Because the involvement of counseling
psychologists in PDS has created a win/win situation from the
perspective of teacher education and counseling psychology faculty as
well as the school-based PDS personnel, a more thorough examination
of this collaboration may provide insights from actual practice in
restructuring to guide the reform activities in SCDE. After setting a
historical context, data from interviews with principals, teachers,
teacher educators, and counseling psychologists who are actively
collaborating together in PDS are summarized. The lessons learned
from these collaborations are identified and used to create
recommendations for SCDE reform. (Contains 37 references.)
(Author/IAH)

ED 370 889

Win/Win Restructuring:

Counseling Psychology Collaboration

with Teacher Education in

Professional Development Schools

Linda Forrest, Associate Professor, Counseling Psychology

Joyce Putnam, Professor, Teacher Education

Ellen M. Narusis, Graduate Student, Counseling Psychology

Patricia Peeke. Graduate Student, Counseling Psychology

Michigan State University

440 Erickson

East Lansing, MI 48824

517-355-8502 (work), 517-548-1128 (home)

Running head: Win/Win

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

2.

Win/Win Restructuring:

Using Counseling Psychology's Collaboration

with Teacher Education in

Professional Development Schools as a Model

ABSTRACT

This paper uses the integration of the disciplines of counseling psychology and teacher education in their work in Professional Development Schools (PDS) as a model to generate recommendations for restructuring school, colleges, and departments of education (SCDEs). Because the involvement of counseling psychologists in PDSs has created a win/win situation from the perspective of teacher education and counseling psychology faculty as well as the school-based PDS personnel, a more thorough examination of this collaboration may provide insights from actual practice in restructuring to guide the reform activities in SCDEs. After setting an historical context, data from interviews with principals, teachers, teacher educators and counseling psychologists who are actively collaborating together in PDSs are summarized. The lessons learned from these collaborations are identified and used to create recommendations for SCDEs reform.

Win/Win Restructuring:

Using Counseling Psychology's Collaboration

with Teacher Education in

Professional Development Schools as a Model

Increasingly, authors are pressing for the need to restructure schools, colleges, and departments of Education (SCDEs) so they support the work of educational reform (e.g., Clift, Veal, Johnson, & Holland, 1990; Stoddart, Winitzky, & O'Keefe, 1992; Winitzky, Stoddart, & O'Keefe, 1992). Meaningful educational reform requires that SCDEs undergo radical, systemic change, similar to that advocated for the Professional Development Schools (PDSs, Holmes Group, 1986). For further description of PDSs, see the Holmes Group, 1988; Murray, 1986; Wiggins, 1986; Yinger & Hendricks, 1990; Zimper, 1990. These calls for reform of SCDEs suggest broad scope reform; all aspects of SCDEs could be affected (Holmes, 1986, 1990).

The purpose of this paper is to use the integration of the discipline of counseling psychology into the PDS reform agenda as a model from which to generate recommendations for restructuring SCDEs. Because the involvement of counseling psychologists in PDSs has created a win/win situation from the perspective of teacher education and counseling psychology faculty members as well as the school based PDS personnel, we believe a more thorough examination of this collaboration will provide insights from actual practice to guide reform activities within SCDEs. To accomplish this goal of using the integration of counseling psychology into PDSs as a model for understanding SCDEs reform, we will: 1) review the problems experienced in PDSs, 2) outline

the history of the discipline of counseling psychology that is relevant

to PDSs, and 3) describe the perceptions of the roles and functions of

counseling psychology in PDSs from the perspective of teachers,

administrators, teacher educators and counseling psychologists who are

actively collaborating together in PDSs. Finally, lessons learned from

the counseling psychology/teacher education collaboration in PDSs will

be identified and used to create recommendations for SCDEs

restructuring.

Problems Identified in PDSs Work

Significant concerns and problems have emerged as the work of PDSs

has developed. The founders of the Holmes Group forecasted

difficulties, and in fact, asserted "... small tries, concrete problems,

shared values and high ambitions: This is the stuff of a Professional

Development School" (Holmes group, 1990, p. 85). Naturally, during the

process of inquiry and reflection, problems will and, even, should

emerge if true reform is to take place (Dixon & Ishler, 1992). Radical

change does not come without difficulties.

Teachers and university faculty alike talk about changes in

classroom practices and school governance that occur so fast that

established systems of communication and operation cannot effectively

adapt (Forrest & Belcher, 1992). Communication within and between the

school and university cultures is another issue that has gained

attention in PDSs (Clift et al., 1990; Forrest & Belcher, 1992; Putnam &

Belcher, 1992; Rosaen & Hoekwater, 1990). Implicit communication rules

and patterns that have existed within school buildings and universities

for decades are inadvertently challenged when different communication

patterns of various PDS collaborators come together.

Another commonly reported concern in PDSs pertains to role
conflicts and changes in roles (Clift et al., 1990; Forrest & Belcher,
1992; Putnam & Belcher, 1992; Rushcamp & Roehler, 1992). Regarding role
conflicts Rushcamp and Roehler (1992) wrote,

> All participants met with conflict and dilemmas related to their
> new roles. The teachers found their new roles as researchers and
> managers of professional development activities sometimes
> conflicted with norms, perspectives, and expectations of the
> teacher role. ... the university researcher met with conflicts as
> they became teachers in classrooms. (p. 26)

The process of change, communication and shifting roles comprise
the very substance of PDSs: the developing norms and values that
support collaboration. A recent editorial in the Journal of Teacher
Education stated that unless conflicting norms and values of the school
and university cultures are resolved "the professional development
school will become just another educational fad" (Ashton, 1992, p.2).
Clearly, these issues warrant attention.

The Role of Counseling Psychology in PDSs

A major role for counseling psychologists in the school reform
agenda is to explain and predict what happens psychologically to
individuals and groups in the midst of change created by PDS
collaborations and to assist individuals and groups to better understand
themselves and others in the midst of these changes. We believe that
counseling psychologists are well suited for this work because of their
historical ties to SCDEs as well as their psychological skills and
training.

Of the 60 counseling psychology doctoral programs accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA, 1990), over 80% are housed in SCDEs. In addition, 60% of the APA-approved programs are housed in universities that are institutional members of the Holmes Group (Holmes Group, 1990). Simply put, most counseling psychology programs and faculty share a home with university-affiliated PDSs.

Counseling psychologists specialize in developmental, educational and preventive interventions to decrease the likelihood of psychological problems (See Authier, Gustafson, Guerney, & Kasdorf, 1975; Fretz, 1982; Ivey, 1976; Morrill, Oetting, & Hurst, 1974; Rude, Weissberg, & Gazda, 1988; Sprinthall, 1990; Watkins, 1983). The "Specialty guidelines for the delivery of services by counseling psychologists" state that their services emphasize the "positive aspects of growth and adjustment.... with a developmental orientation" (APA, 1981, p. 654). According to Fretz (1982), ".. the basic training and main strategies remain focused on helping people cope with personal-social problems, improving adaptability to changing life needs, and developing a variety of problem-solving and decision-making capabilities" (p. 15).

Counseling psychologists' special competencies are accomplished by using the scientist-practitioner training model. According to Meara, Schmidt, Carrington, Davis, Dixon, Fretz, Myers, Ridley & Suinn (1988),

> the scientist-practitioner model is an integrated approach to knowledge that recognizes the interdependence of theory, research, and practice. The model emphasizes systematic and thoughtful analyses of human experiences and judicious application of the knowledge and attitudes gained from such analyses (p.368).

7

The professional training and skills of counseling psychologists
fit well with the philosophy of PDSs. Both the Holmes Group and the
counseling psychology profession advocate for inquiry through the merger
of research and practice. The developmental, prevention, and
adjustment lenses through which counseling psychologists view their work
provides psychological theories and models for understanding the process
of change for individuals and groups. Because counseling psychology as
a discipline studies the various strategies people use to cope with
change, and adjust to role conflicts and shifts, PDSs "win" when
counseling psychologists join these interdisciplinary teams

On the other side, counseling psychologists "win" by joining the
collaborations in PDSs. As the educational reform efforts within K-12
schools and teacher education take hold, the changing priorities of
SCDEs create a fluctuating environment for counseling psychology
programs. In the midst of these shifts and changes in SCDEs, many
counseling psychologists have suggested that counseling psychology's
natural home is in SCDEs (Krumboltz, 1989) and recommend concerted,
systematic, and "activist" efforts to educate non-counseling psychology
faculty and administrators in SCDEs about the discipline of counseling
psychology (Brooks, Elman, Fouad, Spokane, & Stoltenberg, 1989; Davis,
Alcorn, Brooks & Meara, 1992; Lent, Lopez, & Forrest, 1988; Meara et
al., 1988; Patton, 1991; Sprinthall, 1990; Walsh, 1992; Westefeld,
Meadows, & Talbert, 1987; Zytowski, Cases, Gilbert, Lent & Simon,
1988).

To summarize, counseling psychology programs have: 1)the
psychological expertise to address pressing concerns in PDSs, 2) an
historical investment in the work of education through their commitment

8

to developmental, adjustment, and prevention models of psychological
intervention, and 3) a need to be further integrated into SCDEs. These
factors, taken together, support the integration of the discipline of
counseling psychology into the PDS movement creating a win/win solution
to complex problems.

Perceptions of Counseling Psychologists in PDS

The work and role of counseling psychologists involved in PDSs at
one university are described below. Based on interviews, data were
gathered from two sources: 1) PDS members in various roles (e.g.,
principal, teacher, teacher educator) and 2) counseling psychologists
working in PDSs. Originally, we intended to present the data in a "we
said, they said" format to highlight that continued dialogue leads to
win/win outcomes. Because the "we said" and "they said" provided
similar accounts of the role of counseling psychologists, the data from
the two groups have been combined in the section that follows.

Data Collection. Semi-structured, open-ended, in-person interviews were
conducted with school administrators, teachers, graduate students and
teacher educators, all of whom worked in a PDS in which a counseling
psychologist was also working. The purpose of the interviews was to
understand the collaborators' perceptions of the actual and potential
roles of counseling psychologists in PDSs.

Semi-structured interviews were also conducted with three faculty
members and three doctoral students in counseling psychology who had
been participating in PDS work. They worked in a variety of capacities
within the PDSs, including 1) a consultant for communication processes,
2) a field supervisor for student teachers placed in a PDS 3) a building
coordinator responsible for the leadership and management of a PDS

operation, and 4) a member of a team of school and community personnel thinking through community support for kids. Those interviewed were asked about their specific roles and responsibilities, the psychological issues they observed in PDSs, and critical incidents that helped them conceptualize their role as a counseling psychologist in PDSs.

Salient examples from the interviews are provided below. They are organized according to the three types of psychological interventions that counseling psychologists provide: remediation, development, and prevention.

Remediation. Remedial interventions are viewed by counseling psychologists as the most serious and difficult level of intervention, because problematic psychological processes already exist and are routinized in behavioral interactions. Examples noted below focus on the counseling psychologist's role in intervening in dysfunctional professional relationships by identifying unproductive psychological responses to change, and challenging entrenched, unhealthy patterns of interaction.

Stereotypical assumptions were reported by university and school based PDS members about their collaborators. These assumptions created rigid boundaries and unnecessary misunderstandings within PDSs. Those interviewed reported that counseling psychologists helped to identify beliefs and attitudes about role groups and problem behaviors that interfered with effective interactions.

The counseling psychologists who were interviewed spoke similarly about their remedial roles. Specifically, they identified dysfunctional beliefs about gender and power that were embedded in the group interactions. Counseling psychologists also mentioned beliefs and

10

behaviors related to the title of one's position such as principal,

teacher, professor, graduate students, and the limitations created by

these hierarchical titles for developing collaborative relationships.

For example, in some PDSs, the counseling psychologists observed that

teachers did not question statements made by the principal or

professors, and that sometimes professors expected collaborating

teachers to conduct themselves as if they were their students.

A more specific example of a remediation function came from a

school group that reported their recent discovery that, as a building,

they had created a "dysfunctional family." Although they described

their relationships as caring, this group noted that they avoided

conflict; they worked hard to keep interactions pleasant at all costs.

There was little evidence of collegial support for challenging each

other professionally. The principal of this school reported that, with

the help of the counseling psychologist, the leadership team understand

the benefits of challenge and conflict. Granted, at the outset team

members reported feeling vulnerable and hurt when their ideas or beliefs

were challenged, they also noted that they were able to come together in

a stronger and more effective manner when they processed their conflicts

more fully.

Interviewed counseling psychologists reported similar patterns at

other sites. They noted that a pattern of avoiding conflict was well

established and evident in contexts ranging from team meetings to

individual encounters. No specific attention, at a systemic level, had

been paid to effective conflict resolution. Using their understanding

of remedial interventions, counseling psychologists strategic ways to

11

address and change dysfunctional, avoidant behavioral patterns of interaction at PDSs.

Development. Developmental interventions are designed to address the issues and concerns related to a specific stage of normal and healthy development for an individual or group. Most of the examples categorized under developmental interventions are related to teaching effective communication skills. PDS members reported valuing the counseling psychologist's ability to model, facilitate, and encourage more effective communication in PDSs.

Communication between and within the cultures that exist in the PDS context (school, university, and community) is heterogeneous. As these cultures come together to create greater levels of collaboration, counseling psychologists anticipated the developmental stages through which the groups would need to pass. Collaborators and counseling psychologists alike noted that everyone benefited from understanding better the communication strategies of their own and other cultures, and intentionally building communication skills to bridge the distance between school and university cultures. For instance, one principal reported that prior to PDS the faculty never processed "the process" of their interactions either socially or professionally. Once the PDS partnership began, the counseling psychologist created opportunities for the members of the leadership team to discuss their communication process, where it was working well and where it was in need of some help. These PDS leaders make links between the interpersonal process and its effect on the goals and outcomes of their collaborative work. The recognition of the benefits associated with taking time to debrief their interactions created a shared sense of a new culture, and a sense

of their readiness for the next step in their development as a group. They asked the counseling psychologist to take a more active role in commenting on their interaction as it happened rather than to intervene after the fact. The counseling psychologist took this opportunity to raise the next developmental step which was they could provide this intervention themselves.

Both the counseling psychologist and a leadership team at another site mentioned that the leadership team chose to focus on improving the clarity and honesty of their communication. Clarifying their understanding of what others had said became a regular part of the leadership group's interactions. The group appreciated how important it was to understand how, what and why people said what they said. After some practice, this leadership team expanded their concern for clarification in communication to the entire building staff. In this example, the counseling psychologist operated in a developmental fashion by providing knowledge and skill training that addressed the developmental stage of the PDS leadership team, thus assisting and supporting the change process.

Some administrators, teachers and teacher educators saw the counseling psychologist's role as one of providing reassurance. They reported that when the counseling psychologists assured them that feelings such as fear, anxiety, apprehension, and stress, were appropriate and natural for people in the midst of change, they were able to accept their reactions and move forward constructively. Counseling psychologists facilitated dialogue about the frustrations, humiliation, discouragement, and anger experienced during times of change. Counseling psychologists articulated the need to validate

13

participants' affective experiences as an important developmental step in the change process.

Counseling psychologists likewise commented on their abilities to address resistance to change. They perceived the resistance not as a rebellion against an idea or philosophy, but a protective, stance rooted in feelings of fear and uncertainty. Rapid changes and new approaches to curriculum design and teaching called into question previous philosophies, styles, techniques, and values. PDS members felt threatened that the presence of PDS projects suggested that what they had been doing, and how they had been thinking about education was somehow "wrong." Counseling psychologists were able to normalize the hesitancy expressed by site based professionals.

Prevention. Teachers, principals and teacher educators reported that they received help from counseling psychologists to explore in advance planned changes and how they might respond individually and interpersonally. By anticipating responses, potential problems were identified and adjustments made to avoid problematic situations. Some PDS leadership teams requested help to better understand how to make decisions together for the good of the whole group, without threatening or alienating other teachers or administrators. Other PDS personnel reported a need to operationally define how to collaborate, given their new and dynamic roles, before ineffective patterns became entrenched. The counseling psychologists were able to ask questions and provide psychological information to help PDS members anticipate the various responses of participants to proposed changes, thus creating a framework for thoughtful planning. The presence of counseling psychologists

14

provided an understanding of how to avoid unnecessary conflict and
prevent interpersonal problems.

Reform Needed to Support Counseling Psychologist's Work in PDSs

A national survey by Bernstein, Golston and Forrest (1992) of
counseling psychology faculty is particularly informative about reforms
needed to support the work of counseling psychologists working in PDSs.
Results indicated that although surveyed counseling psychology faculty
reported substantial interest and commitment to K-12 issues in their
research, and held an almost unanimous view that the profession could
make significant contributions to the reform of K-12 education, sizable
obstacles existed to hinder such efforts. Respondents noted that
currently the profession lacks publication outlets that value K-12
related research and furthermore, that support, whether it be financial,
administrative or collegial, for their involvement in school issues has
been minimal. Although respondents reported that their K-12 work was
beneficial to their college, department and program, 52% reported that
their association with K-12 activities was detrimental to them as
individuals professionally. The authors concluded that the data suggest
a polarity: "many counseling psychology faculty support a greater
involvement in K-12 issues, but fear a variety of professional
roadblocks to such involvement that is imposed by the profession itself"
(p. 26-27). The individual counseling psychology faculty member "caught
in this double-bind" must decide whether to risk being more involved in
K-12 issues and "jeopardize their professional futures within counseling
psychology" (p.27).

Lessons Learned/Recommendations for SCDEs Reform

Working together as teacher educators and counseling psychologists in PDSs has created opportunities for us to reflect on the structure of our institutions. These observations and reflections might be helpful to the reform and restructuring of SCDEs. We view our work in PDSs as leading the way to SCDE reform by providing insight into issues and problems. These insights provide a framework to better understand the types of reform and restructuring needed in SCDEs.

The more informal, and newly developing nature of PDSs creates an environment that is receptive and open to collaborations across disciplines. Prior to PDSs, collaborations across disciplines had occurred in the college of education, yet never as fully as in the PDSs where we integrated theory, research and practice. We learned about each others' disciplines, while participating in the "everyday" practice of our disciplines, thus we were able to know each others work not only theoretically and empirically, but practically. These experiences suggest that more fluid boundaries between departments and disciplines need to be a high priority in the restructuring of SCDEs as well as attention to the integration of all aspects of faculty work (theory, research and practice).

Knowing the content of other disciplines also enriches our instruction. Teacher educators experienced the benefits of the content expertise of counseling psychologists in PDSs, such that they requested that counseling psychology faculty members be added to each of the teacher education curriculum development teams. The original configuration of the curriculum development teams did not have representatives from counseling psychology, yet they had representatives

from all of the major content areas in teacher education and educational psychology. Thus collaboration in the field helped teacher educators realize that they wanted to extend these benefits to preservice teachers by including content about individual and group psychological processes in their courses. SCDEs need to restructure so that cross discipline teams are responsible for the development and implementation of the curriculum.

Evaluation is another major issue that we have discussed at length. We are not alone in being concerned about how faculty annual reviews and the tenure and promotion process limit our potential for fully engaging in school reform and truly collaborative work (Lawson, 1990; Prawat, 1992; Stoddart et al., 1992). We have identified 7 issues under the category of faculty evaluation. 1) The evaluation system needs to acknowledge the complexity of collaborative research conducted across disciplines and institutions. It takes longer for groups to agree on the questions of importance, the methods for studying the questions, etc. especially when group members come from different backgrounds. Time frames for evaluation may need to be extended to accurately represent the reality of this work. 2) Standards for evaluating field-based and applied research need to be developed separate from experimental design research. 3) Those faculty who integrate their service, teaching and research should receive the highest merit (Prawat, 1992); they have tackled a more difficult problem than faculty whose research is separate from their service and teaching. 4) Historically, the evaluation of faculty service activities has not warranted serious review, consequently we do not have time honored traditions that help us distinguish between truly great service, and other levels of quality in

faculty service. These traditions need to be developed. 5) For faculty working in PDSs, their closest collaborators are school-based personnel who have not traditionally used the written format to communicate, consequently if we want to include these players in the evaluation process, we will need to develop non-written formats for evaluating faculty work. 6) Because publication outlets may not exist within some SCDE faculty members disciplines and journal editors may be serving as gatekeepers on controversial reform topics, we need to develop evaluation strategies that acknowledge these problems. 7) Because some disciplines housed within SCDEs are less engaged on a national level in school reform and restructuring, individuals writing outside letters of evaluation for tenure and promotion need to be selected with care. Rethinking the faculty evaluation process and developing evaluation strategies that support school and SCDE reform need to be a major focus of any SCDE restructuring plan.

We have described in some detail our counseling psychology/teacher education collaboration in PDSs as a model from which to generate ideas about changes to consider in the restructuring of SCDEs. This model provides insights into SCDE reforms that would support further collaborations between counseling psychology and teacher education. These insights may have generalizability beyond counseling psychology and teacher education collaborations, they may be useful to others in SCDEs.

References

American Psychological Association. (1981). Specialty guidelines

for the delivery of services by counseling psychologists.

American Psychologist, 36, 652-663.

American Psychological Association. (1991). APA-accredited

doctoral programs in professional psychology: 1991.

American Psychologist, 46, 1328-1329.

Ashton, P.T. (1992). Editorial. Journal of Teacher Education, 43,

2.

Authier, J., Gustafson, K., Guerney, B, Jr., & Kasdorf, J.A.

(1975). The psychological practitioner as a teacher: A

theoretical-historical and practical review. The Counseling

Psychologist, 5, 31-50.

Bernstein, B.L., Golston, S., & Forrest, L. (1992, April).

Current practices of counseling psychologists in the schools:

Reporting on a national survey. Paper presented at the annual

meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San

Francisco, CA.

Brooks, L., Elman, N.S, Fouad, N.A., Spokane, A.R., & Stoltenberg,

C.D.(1989). Counseling psychology in college, of education. The

Counseling Psychologist, 17, 470-476.

Clift, R., Veal, M.L., Johnson, M., & Holland, P. (1990).

Restructuring teacher education through collaborative action

research. Journal of Teacher Education, 41, 52-62.

Davis, K.L., Alcorn, J.D., Brooks, L., & Meara, N.M. (1992).

Crystal ball gazing: Training and accreditation in 2000 A.D. The

Counseling Psychologist, 20, 352-371.

Dixon, P.N., & Ishler, R.E.(1992). Professional development

schools: Stages in collaboration. Journal of Teacher Education,

43, 28-34.

Forrest, L.F., & Belcher, P. (1992, April). Giving psychology away:

Understanding roles for counseling psychology in professional

American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.

Fretz, B.R. (1982). Perspective and definitions. The Counseling

Psychologist, 10, 15-19.

Ivey, A.E. (1976). Counseling psychology, the psychoeducator model

and the future. The Counseling Psychologist, 6, 72-74.

Lawson, H.A. (1990). Constraints on the professional service of

education faculty. Journal of Teacher Education, 41, 57-70.

Lent, R.W. (1990). Further reflections on the public image of

counseling psychology. The Counseling Psychologist, 18, 324-

332.

Lent, R.W., Lopez, F.G., & Forrest, L.F. (1988). Counseling

psychology in colleges of education: A time to leave home?

The Counseling Psychologist, 16, 488-491.

Meara, N.M., Schmidt, L.D., Carrington, C.H., Davis, K.L., Dixon, D.N.,

Fretz, B.R, Myers, R.A., Ridley, C.R., & Suinn, R.M. (1988).

Training and accreditation in counseling psychology. The

Counseling Psychologist, 16, 366-384.

Morrill, W.H., Oetting, E.R., & Hurst, J.C. (1974). Dimensions of

counselor functioning. Personnel and Guidance Journal, 52,

354-359.

Murray, F. B. (1986). Goals for the reform of teacher education:
An executive summary of the Holmes Group report. Phi Delta
Kappan, 68, 28-31.

Patton, M. (1991). President's Letter. Division 17 Newsletter, 12
(3), 1-2.

Prawat, R. S. (1992, April). Integrating research, teaching, and
service: A department chair's struggle with faculty commitment to
K-12 practice settings. A paper presented at the annual meeting of
the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.

Putnam, J. & Belcher, P. (1992, April). Rethinking educational
reform: The inclusion of counseling psychologists on the
Professional Development School Leadership Teams and in thePDSs.
A paper presented at the annual meeting of the American
Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.

Rosaen, C.L., & Hoekwater, E. (1990). Collaboration: Empowering
educators to take charge. Contemporary Education, 61, 144- 151.

Rushcamp, S., & Roehler, L.R. (1992). Characteristics supporting
change in a professional development school. Journal of
Teacher Education, 43, 19-27.

Rude, S.S, Weissberg, M., & Gazda, G.M. (1988). Looking to the
future: Themes from the third national conference for
counseling psychology. The Counseling Psychologist, 16, 423-
430.

Shapiro, B. J. (1985). Scholarly concerns of a faculty of
education. Journal of Educational Thought, 19, 40-48.

Sprinthall, N.A. (1990). Counseling psychology from Greyston to
Atlanta: On the road to Armageddon? The Counseling
Psychologist, 18, 455-463.

Stoddard, T., Winitzky, N., & O'Keefe, P. (1992, April). Developing
the Professional Development School. Paper presented at the
annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association,
San Francisco, CA.

The Holmes Group. (1986). Tomorrow's teachers. East   Lansing,
MI: The Holmes Group

The Holmes Group. (1990). Tomorrow's schools: Principles for the
design of professional development schools. East Lansing, MI:
The Holmes Group.

Walsh, W.M. (1992, July). Economic benefits of a counseling
psychology program in a college of education. Division 17
Newsletter, 13(3),2-3.

Watkins, C.E., Jr., (1983). Counseling psychology versus clinical
psychology: Further explorations on a theme or once more around
the "identity" maypole with gusto. The Counseling Psychologist,
11, 76-92.

Westefeld, J.S., Meadows, M.E., & Talbert, F.S. (1987). Issues faced
by counseling psychology programs located in colleges of
education. The Counseling Psychologist, 15, 624-625.

Wiggins, S. P. (1986). Revolution in the teaching profession: A
comparative review of two reform reports. Educational
Leadership, 44, 56-59.

Winitzky, N., Stoddart, T., & O'Keefe, P. (1992). Great

expectations: Emergent professional development schools.

Journal of Teacher Education, 43, 3-18.

Yinger, R. J., & Hendricks, M. S. (1990). An overview of reform in

Holmes Group institutions. Journal of Teacher Education, 41, 21-

26.

Zimpher, N. (1990). Creating professional development school sites.

Theory into Practice, 29, 42-49.

Zytowski, D.G., Cases, J.M., Gilbert, L.A., Lent, R.W., & Simon, N.P.

(1988). Counseling psychology's public image. The Counseling

Psychologist, 16, 332-346.