ED 366 227 FL 021 853

AUTHOR Moody, James

TITLE Towards a Language Policy for Education in Papua New

Guinea.

PUB DATE 92

NOTE 25p.; Paper presented at a Meeting of the Faculty of

Education (Waigani, Papua New Guinea, August

31-September 4, 1992).

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Viewpoints

(Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.) (120) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Bilingualism; Change Strategies; *Educational Policy;

Elementary Secondary Education; English (Second Language); Foreign Countries; Language Attitudes; *Language of Instruction; *Language Planning; *Language Role; Languages for Special Purposes;

Language Variation; *Literacy Education; Multilingualism; Native Language Instruction; Pidgins; Policy Formation; *Public Policy

IDENTIFIERS *Papua New Guinea

ABSTRACT

Language role and language policy in education in Papua New Guinea (PNG) are discussed. First, the history of language policy since the turn of the century is chronicled briefly. The present policy of requiring initial literacy education in English is described and its critics cited, and current proposals to provide literacy education in each child's native language are outlined. The failure of literate multilingualism in PNG, problems of widespread semilingualism, evolving language prejudice, and trends in English pidginization are examined as they are perceived in PNG and in the context of linguistic research. A portion of this discussion focuses on pidginization patterns, code-switching, and code-mixing, with examples provided. Recommendations are made for a language syllabus to replace the current English syllabus, emphasizing, in addition to traditional linguistic and pragmatic aspects of language, the understanding of the interaction between languages. Finally, implications for the teaching of languages for specific purposes are noted. A 107-item bibliography is included. (MSE)



^{**} को दे के दे को दे के दे को दे को दे के दे के

. 13TH EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF THE FACULTY OF EDUCATION PARTICIPATING IN EDUCATIONAL CHANGE

UNIVERSITY OF PAPUA NEW GUINEA, WAIGANI CAMPUS AUGUST 31ST TO SEPTEMBER 4TH, 1992

TOWARDS A LANGUAGE POLICY FOR EDUCATION IN PAPUA NEW GUINEA

BY

JAMES MOODY

DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGE AND COMMUNICATION STUDIES PAPUA NEW GUINEA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, LAE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



History of Language Policy in Education

Because of the linguistic complexity of Papua New Guinea, it perhaps not surprising that there has been a long and acrimonious debate concerning language in education. The controversy goes back at least to the early years of the twentieth century. At that time, children beginning school acquired literacy only in their first languages, but by 1907 the teaching of English was made compulsory in mission schools (Swatridge, 30). By the 1920s, Hubert Murray (as Lieutenant Governor of Papua) took the position that since English was obviously a superior language, it should also be the language of instruction Smith, 1987: 56). This view was opposed by W.C. Groves, administrative officer who later became Director of Education. He claimed that it would never be possible to teach English even reasonably well in village schools and that therefore local languages should be used (R.K. Johnson, 1977b: 809). During the the dispute over language policy was taken up by the two most powerful colonial institutions in the land: "The mission priority of converting the heathen led them to the necessity of using vernacular languages, while colonial regimes government control wished to see the language of the metropole [English] spread more widely" (P. Smith, 1987: 120).

After World War II, the challenge to English came more Tok Pisin than from Tok Ples. The colonial government, however, a policy of English only, which has remained to the present day. This decision was given authority by the United Trusteeship Council Mission which, in 1953, recommended abolition of Tok Pisin altogether, partly because the guage was considered "corrupt" and "colonialistic" and could be replaced by standard English "by fiat and overnight" (Johnson, 1977a: 443). As it became obvious that such a policy, even if implemented, would never succeed, and as Tok Pisin and other lingue franche became even more widely used, the controversy raged on. In the 1950s the defenders of English reasoned that if English was necessary for secondary education (and no one suggested that it was not), then it should be introduced to pupils early as possible (Swatridge, 1985: 79). But other languages had their advocates too. Linguists such as S.A. Wurm argued that first language should be used in the initial years of school (Swatridge, 1985: 78). R.A. Hall (1955), supporting Pisin, wrote that there need be no opposition between it English; he was one of the first to suggest that Tok Pisin could be utilized in teaching English. By the 1970s, there was a tolerance for Tok Pisin, and at the time of Independence it become associated with nationalism (Wurm and Muhlhausler, 258). This change in popular attitude, however, was not reflected in the educational system.

Dutton (1975), a professor at UPNG, argued against the continued use of English as the medium of instruction on pedagogic, economic, psychological and cultural grounds, and urged its replacement by Tok Pisin. Dutton's remarks were reported in the national press, and a spirited national debate ensued, with letters to the editor taking sides for and against English or Tok Pisin (see McDonald, ed., 1976). But this public discussion had little effect on the continued choice of English as the language education, mainly for two perceived reasons: the role of English as a unifying factor and its use as a tool in national development. One result of this outlook was that PNG embraced the latest English Language Teaching (ELT) programmes. Not only was this "one of the first [non-native-speaking] countries to adopt a policy of English as the sole medium of instruction" (Johnson, 1977a: 445), it also was one of the first to implement a thorough-going functional English language syllabus (R.K. Johnson, 1977b: 820). As a result, "[w]ithout doubt pupils and parents regarded acquisition of literacy in English as their greatest asset" (Swatridge, 1985: 80). But by the 1980s the expectations for English had not been fulfilled. Swatridge's (p. 30) main theme is that education, including the English medium policy, has been the biggest "cargo cult" of all; it has failed to "deliver the goods" to Papua New Guineans, which were promised and expected.

The controversy surrounding language planning has, perhaps, made government officials reluctant to enter the fray. It politically healthier to perpetuate the status quo by doing nothing than to take a stand against which opponents can react. about the South Pacific area in general, Baldauf notes that there has been little in the way of language planning at all. In PNG there has been little change in language for education since the 1950s. The Department Education's Five Year Development Plan Committee recommended in 1974 (p. 38) that the medium of instruction in grades one to four should be "the functional language of the community which the serves" and that although English would be the medium of instruction after grade five, other languages (including Tok Pisin and Hiri Motu) should be encouraged "at all levels of the educational system". Even these modest proposals were considered "too radical a departure from the established system" Johnson, 1977a: 450), and they were rejected. Dutton (1975: has claimed that PNG has "no language policy"; this may be true in political terms since, as he points out, there is no statement about language in the constitution. But there has certainly been a de facto language policy for education. Successive governments, before and after independence, have, for better or worse, either implicitly or explicitly, made English the official medium of instruction at all educational levels.

The results of this policy, according to many commentators, including the writers of the <u>Education Sector Review [ESR]</u> (1991a), have been disastrous.



Present Policy and ESR Recommendations

An English medium policy, in effect, foreclosed the possibility of education for most school children (Lang, 1976: 6). The proposals for educational reform claim that when students begin schooling in an unfamiliar language, education becomes irrelevant to the majority. Youthful products of the system become forces for destruction instead of development (PNG Department of Education, 1991a: 2). English as the medium of tion has brought about the "mystification" of knowledge rather than facilitating access to it (Ahai, 1989). Moreover, the rate of crime in PNG has been specifically attributed to the use of English in schools (Nekitel, 1984: 10). Current language policy seen as defeating the stated aims for education in PNG: stead of integrating young people into the community, education has alienated them from it. The result has been an "awful (Kale, 1990: 193). The ESR (1991b, vol. 1: 4) states the case clearly: "The current practice of requiring all children to acquire initial literacy in a foreign language has resulted many of our primary school leavers remaining functionally illiterate in any language." This document consequently presents language policy as one of the major areas for reform, and it provides an opportunity for resolving almost a century of dispute over language in education.

Although the need for English in national development acknowledged, the ESR holds that learners should acquire education and initial literacy in a familiar medium and transfer their abilities to English or any of the other national languages (vol. 1: 43). Such a policy is advisable for educationpsychological and social reasons (vol. 2: 169 f.). Specific proposals for curriculum reform have been made in the past which foreshadow this recommendation. Among the most detailed are those Litteral (1975). He advocates that education should begin child's first language as the medium of instruction, also be the language of initial literacy. Teaching would continue in this language for the first two years or so of primary school. Then, from approximately grade 3 until grade 4 or 5, education would be through the medium of Tok Pisin or Hiri Motu. During this period English would be taught as a separate subject. By the end of primary school (grade 6) the situation would be reversed: English would be the medium of instruction and Tok Pisin/Hiri Motu a separate subject. Thus, a language "continuum" would extend throughout primary school, from a vernacular at the beginning, through a local lingua franca, to English by the end.

Another proposal has been made by Kerema (1989), who concentrates on how teaching should be organized in primary school and presents several possible alternatives. Since language conditions vary throughout PNG, the system chosen should reflect local



conditions. In a <u>Mixed Medium</u> school pupils and teachers would be familiar with a number of languages and would switch between them. In a <u>Dual Medium</u> school a language would be assigned to each subject (e.g., English for science; Tok Pisin for social studies). In a <u>Parallel Medium</u> school students would be initially streamed in classes according to the language they know best; another language would be taught as a subject so that students would learn one other's mother tongue.

Litteral's and Kerema's proposals take into account the fact that Papua New Guineans communicate in a number of languages. (p. 156) gives the rationale for such programmes as follows: "Each language [in PNG] is used with specific functions in specific situations so that the more languages a person knows, the more people he will be able to communicate with." The question remains, however, of which language to use at particular stages and/or in particular subjects. And this dilemma raises the prospect of reviving the old controversy at a new, more subtle level. Most language polices in PNG, actual and proposed, however antagonistic towards each other they may be, share one assumption in common. They all take it for granted that language planning in education has to be undertaken on an either/or basis. One language or another, it is maintained, must be accepted as the language, or at least the main language, for education in each class and at each stage.

is also the implication of the ESR (vol. 1, 1991b: recommendation that the medium both for instruction in early primary school and for initial literacy should be "a language to "the which the children speak"; this document also refers language" and "the language of early education" added). A previous PNG Minister for Education, N. Ebia Olewale, who recognized shortcomings in the present system, made the either/or approach explicit when he claimed that "... have to rely on learning several languages, but what we have to decide is which languages and the priority to be given to each of them" (quoted in R.K. Johnson, 1974: 261). The assumed necessity to make this kind of decision has, I suggest, contributed to much of the past controversy. As long as language planners feel they must opt for one language over another, then any language policy for PNG will probably be controversial. There is, however, a way surmounting this difficulty. To pursue it now involves change of perspective, a sensitivity to how communication in nation is actually accomplished. This approach involves developing a both/and policy for language in education to replace the either/or one presently followed.

Multilingualism and the Fallacy of Semilingualism

Multilingualism has generally been considered a problem for education in Papua New Guinea. Olewale (1977: 1003) has claimed that it is "a great obstacle to national progress". From this point of view, planning has proceeded in a negative way. For

6

example, one effect of selecting English as the language of instruction has been to make all children equally disadvantaged, since almost none have it as a first language. But 5) points out, such reasoning diminishes the positive values of multilingualism. It has been estimated that one-quarter of the world's languages are to be found in Melanesia (Laycock, 33) and that every adult in PNG knows at least three languages (G. Smith, personal communication). Furthermore, Papua New Guineans have been said to possess an unusual "aptitude tolerance for learning other languages" (Kale, 1990: 188). the practical need to communicate, children in PNG acquire language skills very well when they are with one another Johnson, 1974: 260). For pedagogical reasons alone, would appear logical for educators to consider such inherent abilities as resources to be exploited, rather than as problems to be solved. But Johnson also points out that students learn languages less well in a classroom situation. Schooling in PNG would appear to have made a potential advantage into an actual deficit.

inadequacy of pupils' language is a frequent complaint of parents, teachers and employers. It is often held that dren in urban PNG have less than competence in any language. Since they grow up speaking two or more languages, this reasoning goes, they are in danger of becoming marginal individuals who are deficient in their second language/s (because they can never gain efficiency") as well as in their first (because the quent use of another language causes them to forget what they once knew). This concept, known as semilingualism, has been a contentious issue in bi- and multilingual societies and educational systems throughout the world (Pieris, 1951; Haugen, 1966; 1977: 39 ff.). McCarthy (1975: 40) points to the danger that bilingual children in PNG may develop a limited function in both their first and second languages, thus becoming "children without a language". The language competence of PNG students has been faulted from three perspectives. Ahai (1989: 52), stance, says that communication in English medium schools is "ineffective and inefficient". Lewis (1971: 27) sums up a number similar criticisms when he notes that at the end of primary school a child is unlikely to have the degree of competence in English that a native speaker has at five years of age.

But a deficiency in English does not necessarily entail skill in another language. There are also complaints that school children fail to respect the integrity of lingue franche and local languages. Speakers of Rural Pidgin are reported to be prejudiced against the anglicised urban variety not only because it is unintelligible to them but also because it is perceived as an inferior way of speaking (Wurm and Muhlhausler, 1979b: 236). The users of the new variety, it is held, do not know their "own" language. As Tok Pisin borrows more and more from English (G. Smith, 1990: 285), speakers of the standard language believe that it is being spoiled. In addition, criticisms are made from the perspective of a child's Tok Ples. The pride Papua New Guineans

take in preserving local dialects and languages is an integral part of patriotism and ethnocentrism (Sankoff, 1977: 284). Speakers of these languages object to what they perceive as corrupt forms used by children who have acquired Tok Pisin and/or English. A child growing up in a town in PNG, then, is likely to be considered deficient in English, Tok Pisin and his/her Tok Plesand hence labeled semilingual.

The theory of semilingualism has been attacked by linguists and educators, mainly because it cannot be adequately defined, tested or measured. In response to the assertion that a bilingual can hope to attain only "95% efficiency" in a second language, De Camp (1971) wonders how many native speakers of any language are able to exploit its "full potential" and how this ability ever be assessed. Edelsky et al. (1983) conclude that tests to determine a deficit in bilinguals' language skills are based not their capacity to communicate in the real world but, on their successful performance of nonsensical tasks demanded by an irrelevant school curriculum. Martin-Jones and Romaine 28) have criticized the methods of evaluating semilingualism, which are based on isolated and communicatively unrelated structural components of a language. They also question whether development of skills in one language necessarily involves creased skills in another. It would appear, then, that there no linguistic or cognitive justification for claiming large-scale semilingualism among school children in PNG.

Actually, the bi- or multilingual child may have advantages over the monolingual insofar as s/he realizes that there are-- at least -- two ways to say the same thing (McCarthy, 1975: 40). Such awareness may enhance students' intellectual development 21). Studies in other parts of the world have shown bilingual children are likely to be more intellectually advanced with respect to concept formation, general mental flexibility and metalinguistic functioning than their monolingual counterparts (Sridhar, 1982: 141; Cummins, 1991: 86). These conclusions are based not so much upon linguistic ability as such but upon awareness and perception of social reality and a capacity to communicate successfully in a specific context (Haugen, 1973: 73; 1991: 77). Students are pragmatically motivated to acquire the language skills they consider essential in real-life situations. Ma and Herasimchuck (1979) stress the importance of they term the "community context" of bilingualism. point out that often children in multilingual urban environments exploit two or more languages. They interact with each other far more than they do with monolingual adults. Consequently they generate unique norms of language use.

The Language Ecology of PNG

There are two perspectives from which multilingualism can be approached: (i) in terms of the languages used, and (ii) in terms of the communication which occurs between language users. The

former begins with languages, the latter with what happens to languages. Approach (ii) provides the basis for language ecology (Enniger and Haynes, 1984), which has been designated the study of interactions between language and environment, including relationships obtaining between languages and their users". There are four concepts central to language ecology. A code is a communicative system whose complementary parts are combined together express meaning (see, e.g., Sankoff, 1971: 36). community (Gumperz, 1968) is a group of speakers who share a code well as a set of social attitudes towards its use. A speech community does not presuppose linguistic uniformity: codes usually consist of different varieties or dialects and, sometimes, different languages (Raith, 1984: 10). A communicative repertoire (e.g., Enniger and Haynes, 1984: 6) provides a single speaker as as a speech community with what Halliday (1978) terms "meaning potential". The speaker has at his/her disposal not only linguistic forms but also an ability to draw upon them in particular situations. The skill to opt for uses of language considered appropriate by the speech community is an important aspect of a speaker's communicative competence (Hymes, 1971).

(1968: 385 f.) refers to two types of multilingual repertoires. In one, which he designates as compartmentalized, languages are kept as separate codes. Speakers tacitly agree assign a set of functions to each language. In bilingual speech communities, these functions can be distributed among H(igh) domains in a system known as diglossia (Fishman, This concept has been expanded into one of triglossia (Mkilifi, and Ure, 1982) for multilingual communities such as those often found in urban PNG. A new M(edium) domain is added, so that functions are assigned to a first language or vernacular (L), a $\underline{\text{lingua franca}}$ (M) and English (H). A single individual in the PNG speech community might, for instance, use a Tok Ples wen discussing preparations for a traditional ceremony with wantoks, Tok Pisin when discussing the election of representatives to the government council (both examples given by Wurm, 1979: and English when discussing an academic subject. However, theory of diglossia cannot account entirely for the complexities language use in multilingual speech communities undergoing rapid social change. Gumperz's second communicative repertoire is termed <u>fluid</u>. It is characterised by frequent crossing over from one language to another when other circumstances of the communication remain constant. When this happens, the diglossia/triglossia theory of allocating domains to particular language codes difficult to maintain.

Moving from one language to another has commonly been designated code-switching (e.g., by Hymes, 1978). But when switching is very rapid, the functional distribution (L, M, H) among the various codes is lost. (This conclusion is supported by the research of Sankoff [1971], Fernando [1977, 1982] and Richards [1982: 164]). To account for rapid switching without diglossia, various terms have been used: code-mixing (Kachru, 1978: 27 f.), mix-mix switching (Richards, 1982: 164 ff.), code-swaying (Gib-



bons, 1987: 103) and polyglossia (Platt, 1977). Bickerton (1975: 24) is concerned with this phenomenon in PNG and attributes it to unstable diglossia. It is for him the linguistic result of a process of social mobility, especially in rapidly growing urban areas. Just as old social structures break up, so do formal distinctions between codes. Contacts between ethnic groups mean contacts between the languages they speak, and Bickerton suggests that these languages will begin on a "path of mutual influence". He sees the result as a linguistic continuum in which, for example, English will become more and more "flavoured" with Tok Pisin. Eventually there develops an "urban spectrum containing all linguistically possible varieties intermediate between Tok Pisin and English". Code-mixing can be considered an aspect of this process.

Communication through a Superordinate Code

Writing almost 20 years ago, Bickerton predicted the eventual "pidginization" of English in PNG. There is, indeed, that distinct and recognizable Papua New Guinea varieties English are developing, influenced by both the English-based Tok Pisin (A. M. Smith, 1986: 69) and by local first languages (Yarupawa, 1986: 73 f.). However, there is another possible scenario for the evolution of PNG's language ecology. Rather the eventual emergence of a new PNG English or an anglicized Pisin, both languages, together with Tok Ples vernaculars, continue to function together as one superordinate communicative code, while still preserving the formal features of their component languages. Muhlhausler (1979a: 170) believes that mixing English and Tok Pisin marks "a transitional stage between a clear diglossic situation and the development of a linguistic continu-The idea that different languages function together in superordinate code is supported by his statement that the mixing of the two systems will not inevitably lead to the replacement of one by the other and by his conclusion that the continued contact between English and Tok Pisin results in "a new third (1979b: 236). Muhlhausler (1979a: 168) points out that the mechanism underlying the mixing of these two languages is similar to that found between Tok Pisin and a local vernacular (1979a: 168). Sankoff (1971) has provided an account of code-switching between Tok Pisin and Buang, a language in Marobe Province. In the guage ecology of urban PNG, then, communicative repertoires may consist of elements (morphemes, words, phrases, etc.) number of distinct languages, but these elements complement one another and are combined in various ways to achieve communicative meaning in a single system.

Speakers engaged in code-mixing probably do not make distinctions between languages as monolinguals do. Both Bickerton (1975: 25) and Laycock (1976: 92) remark that in PNG the boundaries between separate languages are often blurred and that linguists' ideas of the "individuality and unmixability of language" can be at variance with social reality (Bickerton, <u>ibid</u>.).

Swan and Lewis (1990: 215) emphasize how common this way of communicating is in PNG: "there is a considerable amount of code-switching even in mid-sentence in educated Papua New Guinean speech." Muhlhausler (1979a: 170 f.) has provided the following transcriptions of code-mixing in conversations of students at the University of Papua New Guinea.

- (a) Nesonelis olsem, olgeta man i mas save longen ya. Wanpela samting tu ya, sam pipel ol i politically minded na mipela sampela olsem yupela i bein manipulated by others...

 (<u>Translation</u>: A nationalist like this, every one should know about him. And something else, some people who are politically minded and some people like you and me have been manipulated by others....)
- (b) Ol i ken do whatever they want to. Em nau, mi save. O, I don't like them. So what, laki tru na mi kam.... (<u>Translation</u>: They can do whatever they want. Now I know. Oh, I don't like them. So what, just as well I came....)
- (C) (Conversation about the movie Planet of the Apes)
 Na wanpela narapela man i tok: "What did you say?", na em
 i tok, dispela ape i toktok, na em i tok: "No, no, it's
 me, I said it. What did he say, the bastard?" Em all the
 other apes, they don't talk, but this one can talk na he
 got himself into trouble, dispela ape ya.
 (Translation: And another man said: "What did you say?",
 and he said, this ape who was talking, he said: "No, no
 it's me. I said it. What did he say, the bastard?" All
 the other apes, they don't talk, but this one can talk
 and he got himself into trouble, this ape.

When members of a multilingual speech community have languages in their repertoires, then rapid moving back forth between them is not normally done from the sender's or receiver's ignorance of how to say something in the guage. Neither is it done haphazardly or by chance. particular combinations are selected because they achieve communicative meaning within the overall superordinate "meaning tem" (Kachru, 1983: 235). Muhlhausler (1979a: 167) points out one of the strategies used by the speaker in example (c): to repeat emphasis the same thing with slight modification in another language (as in this one [English] ... dispela ape ya Pisin]). Another feature of (c) has been observed by Gumperz (1982: 75) as a common function of code-mixing: to switch to other language to mark a direct quotation. Throughout the first part of (c) the quotations are in English, but the narrative in Tok Pisin. In example (a) the English phrases (politically minded and manipulated by others) seem to be intertextual a political tract or a newspaper report, and the tions from speaker is able to give weight to what s/he is saying by implied "official" references in English. Example (b) emphasizes



through the switch of language a contrast which is also made literally: an opposition between the speaker and his/her negative feelings towards the people being talked about.

All three of the preceding examples illustrate textual or rhetorical switching, to mark emphasis or contrast or to indicate a stage in the development of the communication (Moody, 1989: 116 f.). The relationships set up here between language and function do not exist independently of these manifestations (e.g., in a diglossic relationship). The significance of mixing is negotiated entirely within a particular exchange (Akere, 1980). Hence, the ability to mix effectively (that is, in ways that are recognized and admired by the speech community) involve not only skills in more than one language but also the imagination to create new combinations of meaningful and appropriate language. Code-mixing, as an aspect of language ecology, then, is "a continual construction of the language system by the speakers themselves rather than a fixed linguistic system" (Raith, 1984: 6).

Wurm (1979: 8) sees the ability to engage in code-mixing as aspect of a newly emerging PNG contact culture which between the traditional and the Western. The superordinate code is a new "language"-- in the sense of a new way of meaning-this new culture. Although it retains elements from other cultures/languages, the fact that they are mixed together creates unique means of communication, reflecting and reinforcing a new way of life. A similar conclusion has been reached for multilingual speech communities in other parts of the world. In parts of urban Kenya, for instance, rapid switching between languages conversation among peers is accepted as the normal way of talking 1983: 122). Gibbons (1987: 39) concludes that a mixed in Hong Kong is most likely to be used in an intimate main: when the interlocutors are close in age, the interaction is informal and the topic is one of mutual interest. Asuncion-Lande and Pascasio (1979: 223 f.) claim that a superordinate code is a more explicit way of speaking in the Philippines than using any single language on its own would be.

articles have emphasized children's abilities to code-mix. Lance (1979: 261 f.), writing about bilinguals in the American Southwest, observes that rapid mixing involves a other than fluency in both languages; in order for the languages be "equally accessible for instantaneous use in relaxed conversations," speakers must begin mixing codes from a very early A similar conclusion is reached by Serpell (1980) whose evidence shows that primary school children in Zambia mixing without question. Children in multilingual communities may possess quite complex repertoires comprising several codes, (Martin-Jones they learn to draw on these codes in complex ways Romaine, 1986: 34). These studies all indicate not only how and superordinate codes are gaining ground in the language ecology of multilingual speech communities throughout the world, but also how deeply ingrained they are in the "contact cultures" of the speakers who use them from an early age.

A Language Policy for Teaching Communication in PNG

The potential and actual ways a superordinate code function should put paid to any notion of deficiency in the communicative repertoires of speakers using it. In the language ecology of PNG, any degree of semilingualism in a single language (a contentious issue in itself) can be compensated for by in manipulating a superordinate code consisting of several languages in a complementary relationship. Rather than concentrating on the perceived problem of a lack of "total efficiency" in one ploit, develop in one language, educationalists would do well to and refine the ways communication is achieved through mixing languages. By building upon the foundation of what is accepted as normal linguistic behaviour in the speech community, language policy for education in PNG can help to give schooling a new "relevance to the life of the majority of people" Ministry of Education, 1991a: 12). Decisions affecting the medium of instruction, the choice of languages to be taught and how best to teach them should all take into account how communication is actually accomplished.

Yalden (1983: 86) observes that there has over the past generation or so been a subtle change in many parts of the world from teaching language for communication to teaching communication through language. By making communication the priority, language teaching has become more responsive to social reality. use of a superordinate code for communication in the speech community, therefore, justifies a both/and language policy for education. Instead of focussing on skills in either one another, the concurrent use of several languages could be taught, studied and adopted as the medium of instruction. This is the logical consequence of teaching communication through guage in PNG. In order to illustrate some of the effects of a policy, its implications for three areas of language education will be considered here: the medium of initial literacy, secondary school syllabus design and teaching language for specific purposes.

1. The Medium of Initial Literacy

The ESR recommendation on language in early education reflects current views in PNG and elsewhere. Although Fasold (1984: 298 ff.) makes the obvious point that teaching children in a language they do not understand is "immoral", he adds that evidence is "inconclusive" regarding the effects of initial literacy in a first language on the acquisition of literacy in a second language. This uncertainty is echoed by teachers in PNG whose opinion is equally divided about whether initial literacy in Tok Pisin would make it easier for students to learn literacy in English later (Nidue, 1988: 227). However, research by Verhoeven (1991: 72), shows that a strong emphasis on instruction in a

first language not only leads to better literacy in that language but also does not retard progress in a language introduced at a later stage of education. In fact there is a likelihood skills acquired in the first language contribute to ability second. The positive influence of the use of a Tok Ples learning English in PNG was noted by K.R. McKinnon "...where children have opportunities to relax occasionally to use their own vernacular, their attitude to learning English (quoted improve-- they may even do better in English" will R.K. Johnson, 1977a: 448). Experience has shown that early educalead tion in the first language of the child is likely to not worse, results in English at a later stage. better, reports that students in Enga Province who attended (1989: Vernacular Pre-schools are doing much better in their subsequent education than those who have gone through the normal (Englishonly) system.

Many children entering school in PNG may know a number which, among peers in an urban setting, are used This situation justifies more than one language in classroom. R.K. Johnson (1974: 264 ff.) has suggested that bilingual teacher in a bilingual class should switch freely between languages, though, he adds, such a suggestion would probably appal monolingual English speakers. This idea is, haps, not as appalling now as it may once have seemed. As far the oral mode is concerned, teachers already adopt such a policy. Nidue (1988) claims that Tok Pisin is used unofficially many, perhaps most, primary school teachers. This observation supported by recent evidence from Jimi District, W.H.P. (Yarupawa et al., 1992: 11 f.). Multilingual children tend to prefer teachwho are themselves multilingual, presumably because mutual understanding is increased through two or more languages. mixing in the classroom is conducive to a more relaxed atmosphere and, thus, to better learning (Elias-Olivares, 1976: 133).

It has been claimed that for effective educational development a child needs to be exposed as early as possible to writing familiar language (Wuillemin, 1984: 5 f.). Initial literacy should be provided not simply in language the child knows best, the way this language is written should resemble as closely as possible the familiar oral medium (R.L. Johnson, 1979: 149). That "[o]ral competence is the basis for real literacy" (Edelsky 1983: 14) is an especially significant statement where languages have only recently begun to communities written. Literacy programmes elsewhere in the world have been adversely affected because materials are produced by translating written English into vernaculars. The result is that texts boring, awkward and unreal versions of language the child is used 1983). If literacy materials are to be in familiar (Moody, language and if the language most familiar to the urban child is a superordinate mixed code, then these materials should contain a mixture of languages. This would mean, for instance, texts with the same kind of code-mixing the child is used to in speech.



2, <u>Secondary School Syllabus Design</u>

With the advent of the "communicative" approach to the teaching of English (see, e.g., K. Johnson, 1982; Yalden, 1983), language syllabuses are commonly considered to have two central objectives:

(b) <u>Pragmatic</u>: acquiring the ability to use a language to initiate and respond to social situations (appropriateness);

It has sometimes been argued that (a) is a necessary condition and that to attempt (b) without laying the necessary groundwork of (a) is educationally unsound. On the other hand, Loveday (1982), has made a case for reversing the order and giving the priority to (b) since to know how to communicate takes precedence over a knowledge of formal structures. Communication breakdowns are more likely to be due to pragmatic failures than to linguistic ones (Clyne, 1985: 12 ff.). Most language teachers who follow the functional syllabus now in use in PNG schools (PNG Department of Education, 1987), would probably agree that objec-(a) and (b) should be pursued concurrently. But the structures and pragmatics of a single language (English any others) is not sufficient. For a multilingual community in which speakers share the same languages and a superordinate code operates, I suggest that a third objective be added:

(c) Ecological: acquiring an understanding of the interaction between languages, an awareness of the complementary functions they perform and the ability to switch between and mix them in meaningful ways.

What is required is a Language Syllabus (or, better, a Communication Syllabus) to replace the present English Syllabus. Some of the problems in using the functional syllabus are considered by Cane (1982). There is, he says, a need for further research into how students will be expected to use English when they leave school. If it is found that certain activities carried out more frequently through English than others, then the syllabus should give attention to these activities and the they require. When we consider this suggestion in guage forms terms of PNG language ecology, the design of a syllabus for overall communication would involve specifying not only functions are commonly performed in English but also those accomplished through other languages comprising the superordinate code. Ideally this new syllabus would incorporate a set of tions and functions for each language and the forms, styles registers appropriate to them. Cane also (p. 67) mentions difficulties of teachers who are not native speakers in implementing the present English syllabus. The proposed Communication Syllabus would, of course, require multilingual Papua New Guineans to teach it.



syllabus for Communication would not only apportion to functions. It would also set out to develop skills code-switching and code-mixing and give attention to when it appropriate and inappropriate to mix. Reasons for code-mixing could be ordered according to whether they signal changes in the ideas or topics being communicated, in the interpersonal tionship between the sender and receiver of the message or in the rhetorical structure of the discourse. (See, Halliday, e.g., 1978, for this framework.) This part of the Communication would train students to exploit the possibilities of languages within the superordinate code to achieve nuances subtleties of meaning. They would as well be taught to recognize and respond to such uses by fellow members of the speech community. In this way, students would develop their ability to assess a situation and to choose the most suitable code for it, including possibility of a mixed code. Thus, they would acquire the to make the following communicative judgements (expanded from Carswell and Rommetveit, 1972: 5):

(a) whether to speak or to remain silent;

(b) whether to mean x or to mean y;

(c) whether to use one word (or structure) or another to mean x;

(d) whether to use one style/register or another;

(e) whether to use one language or another;

(f) whether to use a single language or to mix languages.

3. Teaching Language for Specific Purposes

One pedagogic domain usually reserved for the English guage even in a multilingual speech community such as PNG, that of English for Specific Purposes (ESP) and its various subdivisions -- English for Occupational Purposes (EOP), English Academic Purposes (EAP), English for Science and Technology (EST), etc. Partly because of the international organization power structure of the English Language Teaching profession, possibility of using other languages for these purposes rarely been pursued in ESP scholarship, methodology or materials production (Moody, 1992). Most text books for ESP, for instance, take it for granted that the student is or will be engaged communication with a first-language speaker of English. In ESP movement has flourished around this assumption, still provides the rationale for language teaching in technical institutions in PNG. Little attention has been given to what the actually involved in communication for specific purposes in nation or to incorporating this information into teaching programmes.

Historically, one of the reasons for supporting an exclusively English language policy for education was the belief that it is the only possible language for academic, scientific and technological fields. Local languages were perceived as inadequate for communicating complex Western ideas. (See the comments of Murray, e.g., reported in P. Smith, 1987: 55 f.). And yet, it

is clear that other languages are commonly used for these purposes. Various studies have considered some of the problems and possibilities arising from Tok Pisin for soldiers and officers in the army (Bell, 1977), medical staff (Healey, 1977) and agricultural workers (Scott, 1977). There is too, of course, a long tradition of pidgins and local vernaculars in legal, official and administrative work in law courts and government agencies (Lang, 1977; Tomasetti, 1977; Voorhoeve, 1979). University students use Tok Pisin for academic work, both for studying (Swan and Lewis, 1990: 224) and in class (R.K. Johnson, 1977a: 455). Obviously they do not find the language inadequate. In the professional work-place languages other than English are common. Swan (1986: 15) found that more than three-quarters of Unitech graduates use Tok Pisin at work, for example. Language for specific purposes, then, is not limited to English in PNG.

Language policy for specialist education should reflect this social fact. Swan recommends designing tertiary courses to assist students to extend and refine their use of Tok Pisin specifically in professional areas. Ahai (1984: 36) and Hall, 1972: 150) have pointed out respectively that vernacular languages and Tok are not limited in their ability to express complex or technologmeanings. Language systems expand to suit the functional needs of the people who use them. One problem has been the of standardization: different specialist terms are introduced by groups for the same concept. Appropriate engineering, " including the teaching of technical subjects in languages, needs to be controlled by a central body and Muhlhausler, 1977: 72), such as a National Translation Service (Muhlhausler et al. 1979: 266 f.). In technical institutions, should be replaced by LSP (Language for Specific Purposes). aim would be to develop students' capacity to put what they have learned through English, into other languages. This would contribute to their academic development (LAP), because order to articulate ideas in another language they would need understand them thoroughly. It would also make students more productive workers (LOP) by enabling them to impart knowledge to co-workers who have not been fortunate enough to receive advanced education, in a language the latter can understand (Moody, 1992.)

Conclusion

Rossi-Landi (1971) has suggested an homology between language use and economic activity. Just as the value of a manufactured commodity is determined by the labour of the workers who make it, so also the value of a communicative code is gauged by the effort of people to create and use it. Papua New Guineans' linguistic labour has resulted in the complex of languages which function in the "sociolinguistic laboratory" (Wurm, ed., 1979) of the nation. They should respect the value of what they have made. First, in their development, maintenance and preservation of local traditional languages in the face of overwhelming pressures against them (see, e.g., G. Smith, 1992). Second, in the determi-

nation with which they have met every attempt to stamp out the pidgin languages and in their self-confidence to assert the value of these languages in the face of denigration by both wellforeign "experts" (see, e.g., R.K. Johnson, ill-intentioned 1977a: 459; Laycock, 1982: 35). Third, in their forging a standard local variety of English, so that this language is no longer the vehicle of a foreign culture but a means of expressing local perspectives and a marker of national identity (A.M. Smith, 1978, 1986; Yarupawa, 1986; Barron, 1986). And finally, in enriching switching and extending their communicative repertoire through and mixing various languages, to achieve a wider possible range in a superordinate code. The time has come meanings educationalists to formulate and implement a language which reflects and supports each facet of this achievement.

References

- Adler, M. (1977), Collective and Individual Bilingualism, a Sociolinguistic Study. Hamburg: Helmut Buske Verlag.
- Ahai, N. (1984), "A Case for Vernacular Education in PNG," Yagl-Ambu 11 (1), 25-38.
- (1989), "Language Choi'e and Information Flow in Education," in C. Thirlwall and P.J. Hughes, eds., 50-56.
- Akere, F. (1980), "Verbal Strategies in Communal Meetings: Codeswitching and Status Manipulation in a Bi-dialectal Yoruba Speech Community," <u>Language Sciences</u> 2 (1), 102-26.
- Baldauf, R.B. (1990), "Language Planning and Education," in R. Baldauf and A. Luke, eds., 14-24.
- Baldauf, R.B. and A. Luke, eds. (1990), <u>Language Planning and</u>
 <u>Education in Australasia and the South Pacific</u>. Clevedon:
 Multilingual Matters.
- Barron, C. (1986), <u>Lexical Nativisation in Papua New Guinean</u>
 <u>English</u>, PNG University of Technology, Department of Lan guage and Communication Studies, Research Report 7.
- Bell, H.L. (1977), "New Guinea Pidgin and the Army-- an Example of Pidgin in a Technically-Oriented Environment," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1977), 671-90.
- Bickerton, D. (1975), "Can English and Pidgin Be Kept Apart?" in K.A. McElhanon, ed., 21-27.
- Billy, N. (1989), "Which Way English?: an Elaboration," TESLA 7 (1), 3-6.
- Cane, G. (1983), "Language and Content," TESLA 2 (1), 43-46.
- Carswell, E.A. and R. Rommetveit (1972), Social Content of



16

- Messages. London and New York: Academic Press.
- Clyne, M. (1985), "Beyond Grammar: Some Thoughts on Communication Rules in Our Multicultural Society," in J.B. Pride, ed., Cross Cultural Encounters. Melbourne: River Seine Publications, 12-23.
- Cummins, J. (1991), "Conventional and Academic Language Proficiency in Bilingual Contexts," Aila Review 8, 75-89.
- DeCamp, D. (1971), "Introduction: the Study of Pidgin and Creole Languages," in D. Hymes, ed., <u>Pidginization and Creolization of Languages</u>. London: Cambridge University Press, 13-39.
- Dutton, T. (1975), Language and National Development-- Long Wanem Rot?" in B. McDonald, ed. (1976), 1-44.
- Edelsky, C., B. Altwerger, F. Barkin, B. Flores, S. Hudelson and K. Jilbert (1983), "Semilingualism and Language Deficit,"

 <u>Applied Linguistics</u> 4 (1), 1-22.
- Elias-Olivares, L. (1976), "Language Use in a Chicano Community: a Sociotinguistic Approach," in J.B. Pride, ed. (1979), 120-34.
- Enninger, W. and L.M. Haynes, eds. (1984), Studies in Language Ecology. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag.
- Fasold, R. (1984), The Sociolinguistics of Society. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Fernando, C. (1977), "English in Ceylon: a Case Study," Language in Society 6 (3), 341-60.
- Bilingual Community, in J.B. Pride, ed., New Englishes. Rowley, Mass.: Newbury House, 188-207.
- Gibbons, J. (1987), <u>Code-mixing and Code Choice</u>, a <u>Hong Kong Case</u>
 <u>Study</u>. Clevedon: <u>Multilingual Matters</u>.
- Gumperz, J.J. (1967), "On the Linguistic Markers of Bilingual Communication," The Journal of Social Issues 23 (2), 48-57.
- (1968), "The Speech Community," in <u>International</u>
 Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences, vol. 9. New York:
 MacMillan Company and the Free Press, 381-86.
- (1982), <u>Discourse Strategies</u>. Cambridge: CUP.
- Hall, R.A. (1955), <u>Hands Off Pidgin English</u>. Sydney: Pacific Publications.
- _____ (1972), "Pidgins and Creoles as Standard Languages,"



- in J.B. Pride and J. Holmes, eds. (1972), 142-53.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1978), Language as Social Semiotic. London: Edward Arnold.
- Haugen, E. (1966), "Linguistics and Language Planning," in W. Bright, ed., Sociolinguistics. The Hague: Mouton, 50-71.
- 1973), "The Stigmata of Bilingualism," in J.B. Pride, ed. (1979), 72-85.
- Healey, L.R. (1977), "New Guinea Pidgin Teaching: Training of Medical Staff in Pidgin," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1977), 703-22.
- Hymes, D. (1971), "On Communicative Competence," in J.B. Pride and J. Holmes, eds. (1972), 269-93.
- (1977), Foundations in Sociolinguistics, an Ethnographic Approach. London: Tavistock Publications.
- Johnson, K. (1982), Communicative Syllabus Design and Methodoloqy. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Johnson, R.K. (1974), "Language and Education in Papua New Guinea: Politics and Options," in J. Brammall and R.J. May, eds., Education in Melanesia. Canberra and Port Moresby: Research School of Pacific Studies ANU and UPNG, 259-67.
- (1977a), "Administration and Language Policy in Papua New Guinea," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1977), 429-68.
- (1977b), "English in Papua New Guinea," in S.A. Wurm, ed.(1977), 807-32.
- Johnson, R.L. (1979), "Development of a Literary Mode in the Languages of Non-literary Communities," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 129-55.
- Kachru, B.B. (1983), The Indianization of English: the English Language in India. Delhi: OUP.
- Kachru, B.B., ed. (1982), <u>The Other Tongue: English across Cultures</u>. Oxford and New York: Pergamon.
- Kale, J. (1990), "Language Planning and the Language of Education in Papua New Guinea," in R. Baldauf and A. Luke, eds., 182-96.
- Kaplan, R.B. (1990), "Introduction: Language Planning in Theory
 and Practice," in R. Baldauf and A. Luke, eds., 3-13.
- Kerema, P. (1989), "Bilingualism in Community School Education,"
 in C. Thirlwall and P.J. Hughes, eds., 104-9.



- Lance, D.M. (1979), "Spanish-English Bilingualism in the American Southwest," in W.F. Mackey and J. Ornstein, eds., Sociolin-guistic Studies in Language Contact: Methods and Cases. The Hague: Mouton, 247-64.
- Lang, R. (1976), A Plea for Language Planning in Papua New Guinea. Iaser Discussion Paper no. 1. Boroko: Institute of Applied Social and Economic Research.
- S.A. Wurm, ed. (1977), "Technical Aspects of Oral Interpretation," in
- Laycock, D. (1976), "Pidgin's Progress," in T.E. Barrington, ed.,

 Papua New Guinea Education. Melbourne: Oxford University
 Press, 177-86.
- Unsolved Problems in Papua New Guinea, in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 81-100.
- (1982), "Melanesian Linguistic Diversity: a Melanesian Choice?" in R.J. May and H. Nelson, eds., Melanesia: beyond Diversity. Canberra: ANU Research School of Pacific Studies, 33-38.
- Lewis, D. (1971), "Problems of Bilingualism in Papua and New Guinea," <u>Kivung</u> 4 (1), 21-29.
- Litteral, R. (1975), "A Proposal for the Use of Pidgin in Papua New Guinea's Education System," in K.A. McElhanon, ed., 155-65.
- Loveday, L. (1982), <u>The Sociolinguistics of Learning and Using a Non-native Language</u>. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Ma, R. and E. Herasimchuk (1979), "The Linguistic Dimensions of a Bilingual Neighbourhood," in J.B. Pride, ed. (1979), 111-19.
- Martin-Jones, M. and S. Romaine (1986), "Semilingualism: a Half-Baked Theory of Communicative Competence," <u>Applied Linguistics</u> 7 (1), 26-38.
- McCarthy, J. (1975), "Bilingual Education," in R. Loving, ed., Studies on Literacy and Education. Ukarumpa: Summer Institute of Linguistics, 37-61.
- McDonald, B., ed. (1976), <u>Language and National Development: the Public Debate 1976</u>. Port Moresby: UPNG Department of Language Occasional Paper 11.
- McElhanon, K.A., ed. (1975), <u>Tok Pisin I Go We</u>. Ukarumpa: Linguistic Society of PNG.
- Mkilifi, M.H.A. (1972), "Triglossia and Swahili-English Bilin-



- gualism in Tanzania, "Language in Society 1 (2), 197-213.
- Moody, J. (1983), "On the 'Zambianization' of Zambian Languages,"

 · Zambia Educational Review 4 (2), 74-94.
- (1989), Zambians Talking: a Study in Conversational Practice (unpublished manuscript).
- cise at Unitech. "Goroka Teachers College, Annual Research Seminar (unpublished paper).
- Muhlhausler, P. (1979a), "Code Switching in Papua New Guinea Pidgin, Hiri Motu and English," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 157-75.
- (1979b), "Sociolects in New Guinea Pidgin," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 225-242.
- Muhlhausler, P., S.A. Wurm and T.E. Dutton (1979), "Language Planning and New Guinea Pidgin," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 263-76.
- Nekitel, O.M. (1984), "Language Planning in Papua New Guinea: a Nationalist Viewpoint," Yagl-Ambu 11 (1), 1-24.
- Nidue, J. (1988), "A Survey of Teachers' Attitudes towards the Use of Tok Pisin as a Medium of Instruction in Community Schools in Papua New Guinea," Papua New Guinea Journal of Education 24 (1), 214-31.
- Olewale, E. (1977), "General Thoughts on Vernacular Education," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1977), 1003-6.
- Papua New Guinea Department of Education (1974), Report of the Five Year Education Plan Committee. Konedobu.
- (1987), The National High School English Syllabus for Grades 11 and 12. Port Moresby.
- (1991a), A Review of the Education System and Proposals for Reform. Port Moresby: National Executive Council.
- (1991b), Education Sector Review, vol. 1: Executive Summary and Principal Recommendations; vol. 2: Deliberations and Findings. Port Moresby.
- Pieris, R. (1951), "Bilingualism and Cultural Marginality,"
 British Journal of Sociology 2, 328-39.
- Platt, J. (1977), "A Model for Polyglossia and Multilingualism,"

 <u>Language in Society</u> 6 (3), 361-78.
- Pride, J.B., ed. (1979), Sociolinguistic Aspects of Language

- Learning and Teaching. Oxford: OUP.
- Pride, J.B. and J. Holmes, eds. (1972), <u>Sociolinguistics, Selected Readings</u>. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- Raith, J. (1984), "The Conceptualization of the Social Substratum of Language Ecology," in W. Enniger and L.M. Haynes, eds., 6-28.
- Richards, J.C. (1982), "Singapore English: Rhetorical and Communicative Styles," in B.B. Kachru, ed., 154-67.
- Rossi-Landi, F. (1971), "Linguistics and Economics," in T.A.
 Sebeok, ed. (1974), Current Trends in Linguistics, vol. 12:
 Linguistics and Adjacent Arts and Sciences. The Hague:
 Mouton, 1787-2017.
- Sankoff, G. (1971), "Language Use in Multilingual Societies: Some Alternative Approaches," in J.B. Pride and J. Holmes, eds. (1972), 33-51.
- Wurm, ed. (1977), "Multilingualism in Papua New Guinea," in S.A.
- Scott, R.P. (1977), "New Guinea Pidgin Teaching: Agricultural Problems and Pidgin," in S.A. Wurm (1977), ed. 723-31.
- Scotton, C. (1983), "The Negotiation of Identities in Conversation: a Theory of Markedness and Code Choice," <u>International</u> <u>Journal of the Sociology of Language</u> 44, 115-36.
- Serpell, R. (1980), "Linguistic Flexibility in Urban Zambian School-children," in V. Teller and S.J. White, eds., Studies in Child Language Bilingualism. New York: Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, vol. 345, 97-119.
- Smith, A.M. (1978), "The Papua New Guinea Dialect of English,"
 Port Moresby: UPNG Educational Research Unit.
- (1986), "Papua New Guinea English," Port Moresby: UPNG, PhD Thesis.
- Smith, G. (1990), "Idiomatic Tok Pisin and Referential Adequacy," in J.W. Verhaar, ed., Melanesian Pidgin and Tok Pisin, Studies in Language Companion Series vol. 20, Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 275-87.
- Perspective, "Survival and Susuami: a Ten Year

 Language and Linguistics in Melanesia 23, 5156.
- Smith, P. (1987), Education and Colonial Control in Papua New Guinea: a Documentary History. Sydney: Longman Cheshire.



- Sridhar, K.K. (1982), "English in a South Indian Urban Context,"
 in B.B. Kachru, ed., 291-306.
- Swan, J. (1986), "Looking beyond Unitech: Report of an Investigation of Employer Satisfaction with the Professional Communicative Competence of Graduates of the Papua New Guinea University of Technology," Lae: PNG UOT Report Series R, no. 52:86.
- Swan, J. and D.J. Lewis (1990), "Tok Pisin at University: an Educational and Language Planning Dilemma in Papua New Guinea," in R. Baldauf and A. Luke, eds., 210-33.
- Swatridge, C. (1985), <u>Delivering the Goods: Education as Cargo in Papua New Guinea</u>. Melbourne: Melbourne University Press.
- Thirlwall, C. and P.J. Hughes, eds. (1989), The Ethics of Development: Language, Communication and Power (vol. 6 of the papers presented at and arising from the 17th Waigani Seminar). Port Moresby: UPNG Press.
- Tomasetti, W.E. (1977), "Interpretation Problems in District Administration," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1977), 1129-38.
- Ure, J. (1982), "Introduction: Approaches to the Study of Register Range," <u>International Journal of the Sociology of Language 35, 5-23.</u>
- Verhoven, L. (1991), "Acquisition of Biliteracy," <u>Aila Review</u> 8, 61-74.
- Voorhoeve, C.L. (1979), "Turning the Talk: a Case of Chaininterpreting in Papua New Guinea," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 177-206.
- Wuillemin, D. (1984), "Late Acquisition of English: a Potential Handicap for Papua New Guinea University Students," in J.R. Morton, ed., The Role of Science and Technology in the Development of Papua New Guinea: the Policy Dimension (vol. 2 of the Waigani Seminar). Port Moresby: UPNG Press, 1-9.
- Wurm, S.A. (1979), "The Language Situation in the New Guinea Area," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 3-10.
- Wurm, S.A., ed. (1977), New Guinea Area Languages and Language Study, vol 3: Language, Culture, Society and the Modern World. Canberra: ANU Pacific Linguistics Series C140.
- (1979), New Guinea and Neighbouring Areas: a Sociolinguistic Laboratory. The Hague: Mouton.
- Wurm, S.A. and P. Muhlhausler (1977), "Registers in New Guinea Pidgin," <u>International Journal of the Sociology of Language</u> 35, 69-80.



- (1979), "Attitudes towards New Guinea Pidgin and English," in S.A. Wurm, ed. (1979), 243-62.
- Yalden, J. (1983), The Communicative Syllabus: Evolution, Design and Implementation. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Yarupawa, S. (1986), <u>Milne Bay Informal Variety of English</u>, PNG University of Technology, Department of Language and Communcation Studies, Research Report No. 9.
- Yarupawa, S., R. Topagur, B. Gandia, T. Gapulo, A.A. Agarenge, N. Konum, M. Senge, K. Bande (1992), An Investigation into the Factors Affecting the Quality of and Access to Education (and Access to a Sufficient Quantity) in the Jimi District of the Western Highlands Province: an Interim Report. Lae: PNG University of Technology, Community Development Committee (unpublished report).

