DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 364 287

JC 940 004

AUTHOR

Holt, Marianne; Lucas, John A.

TITLE

Follow-Up Study of 1991 Dental Hygiene Graduates.

Volume XXI, No. 4.

INSTITUTION

William Reiney Harper Coll., Palatine, Ill. Office of

Planning and Research.

PUB DATE

Nov 92

NOTE

24p.; For a related study, see JC 940 008.

PUB TYPE

Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

*Allied Health Occupations Education; *College Graduates; Community Colleges; *Dental Hygienists; Graduate Surveys; Job Satisfaction; Job Search Methods; Job Skills; *Outcomes of Education; *Participant Satisfaction; Program Effectiveness; Program Evaluation; Questionnaires; Salaries; *Student Attitudes; Two Year Colleges; Vocational Education; Vocational Followup

IDENTIFIERS

William Rainey Harper College IL

ABSTRACT

In a continuing effort to measure the quality of their Dental Hygiene program, explore the need for changes, and substantiate the program's goals for accreditation standards, a follow-up study was conducted of the 1991 Dental Hygiene program graduates from William Rainey Harper College (WRHC) in Palatine, Illinois. Surveys were mailed to all 28 associate degree graduates, requesting information on their current employment and/or enrollment in school, employment search experience, salary/benefits, job satisfaction, job tasks, the quality of their WRHC preparation for those tasks, and suggestions for improving the WRHC program. Study findings, based on a 79% response rate, included the following: (1) all of the 22 respondents were employed in the dental hygiene field, with 13 working full-time and 3 more working full-time at 2 part-time jobs; (2) 27% of the respondents were working in a job-setting with only 1 practitioner, compared to 37% of the 1990 graduates; (3) respondents' search for employment typically involved 5 interviews, with 45% using the local newspaper and 54% using a personal contact; (4) 95% of the graduates were paid on an hourly basis, with a median wage of \$18.50 per hour, or approximately \$37,700 per year; (5) 86% of the respondents were very satisfied with their chosen profession; (6) respondents reported being underprepared to perform 7 of the 41 skills for which they were trained; and (7) while only 1 graduate was currently working toward an advanced degree, almost half intended to do so. Detailed data tables and the survey instrument are included. (MAB)



ABSTRACT

THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO FOLLOW UP THE 28 1991 DENTAL HYGIENE GRADUATES AS ONE INDICATOR OF THE QUALITY OF THE PROGRAM, AND TO ASCERTAIN THE NEED FOR APPROPRIATE CHANGES AND IN ORDER TO SUBSTANTIATE THE PROGRAM'S GOALS FOR ACCREDITATION STANDARDS. A SURVEY INSTRUMENT WAS MAILED TO THESE GRADUATES AND AFTER SEVERAL FOLLOW-UP EFFORTS, A 79 PERCENT RESPONSE RATE WAS OBTAINED.

RESULTS OF THE STUDY INDICATED THAT ALL THE 1991 DENTAL HYGIENE GRADUATES WERE EMPLOYED IN THEIR FIELD AND ALMOST ALL WERE IN SITUATIONS THEY PREFER. THEY ARE GENERALLY VERY SATISFIED WITH THEIR CAREER CHOICE AND WITH THEIR EDUCATION AT HARPER. SALARIES ARE VERY GOOD AT AROUND \$37,700 WHICH IS UP 3.5 PERCENT FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR AND CONSIDERABLY HIGHER THAN WHAT OTHER HARPER CAREER GRADUATES EARN. HOWEVER, BENEFITS RECEIVED BY GRADUATES HAVE DRASTICALLY DROPPED FROM WHAT WAS RECEIVED BY PAST GRADUATES. GRADUATES ONCE AGAIN REPORT THEY ARE WELL PREPARED IN THE SKILL AREAS THEY PERFORM MOST OFTEN.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
ı.	Purpose	1
II.	Population Surveyed and Methodology	1
111.	Discussion of the Results	1-2
IV.	Major Conclusions	2
v.	Detail Results	
•	A. Current Employment Situation	3 .
	B. Employment Situation - Practice Setting	3 3 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 7
	- Professional Development	8 8 8
	D. Frequency of Performing Various Dental Hygiene Duties	9
	E. Adequacy of Preparation for Various Dental Hygiene Skills	10
	F. General Comments	11-12
v.	Appendix	
	Common 7	

- Survey Instrument



Purpose

The purpose of this study was to follow up the 1991 Dental Hygiene graduates as one indicator of the quality of the program, the need for appropriate changes and in order to substantiate the program's goals for accreditation standards. The study was requested by the Coordinator of the Dental Hygiene Program.

Population Surveyed and Methodology

The survey instrument shown in the appendix was designed by the Coordinator of the Dental Hygiene Program with input from the Office of Planning and Research. This survey was mailed to all 28 1991 Dental Hygiene associate degree graduates. After four weeks those not responding were mailed a second copy of the survey. One month later, those still not responding were called and surveyed over the telephone. With this follow-up effort, 22 completed surveys were obtained for a 79 percent response rate. Results were compared with a similar 1990 graduate class survey.

Discussion of the Results

Among the 22 respondents, all are employed, at least part time, in the dental field. Thirteen are employed full time in one situation while 3 more are employed full time with 2 part-time jobs. Another 6 are employed part-time as dental hygienists by choice. In addition, only 1 is employed in a geographic location she does not prefer. Thus, the employment situation for Harper graduates is excellent.

In examining their employment situations, 27 percent are in a setting with only one practitioner while 73 percent are with more than one practitioner. All are employed in general practice. The average situation in their search for employment was to go through 5 job interviews. There were various avenues used in searching for employment but the most frequent means (54%) was through personal contact. Another 45 percent got their job through the local newspaper and 64 percent of the graduates reported their job is in the northwest suburbs.

Almost all (95%) are paid on an hourly salary basis, while a few receive commissions or bonuses or salary on another type of basis. The median hourly salary is about \$18.50 per hour and the median daily salary is about \$145. This latter figure is equivalent to an annual salary of just over \$37,700 - up about 3.6 percent over the 1990 dental hygiene graduates. This is higher than the median nursing graduate salary (\$29,000) or the median career graduate (\$24,300). Benefits dropped sharply among 1991 graduates compared to past classes. For example, malpractice insurance was provided for only 5 percent of the 1991 class as compared to 33 percent the year before. life insurance was provided for only 10 percent of the 1991 class as compared to 30 percent of the previous year's class. Paid sick days were only provided to 29 percent of the 1991 class while this was provided to 48 percent of the previous year's graduating class. Overall, 11 benefits declined, 2 remained the same while only 3 increased.

Among those employed in dental hygiene, 86 percent are very satisfied with their chosen profession while all are at least satisfied with their chosen profession. This is a big jump in satisfaction from the previous year.

These graduates were also asked how frequently they perform certain dental hygiene skills and how well they were prepared to perform these skills. There were 41 skill areas that were rated by all 3 classes (1989-1991). The frequency these skills were



-

<u>Discussion of the Results</u> (continued)

practiced and the degree to which they were prepared for these skills was about the same for all three classes. Twelve skills have shown a trend in the 3 years that they are now being practiced more frequently. They are radiographs (interproximal and occlusal), radiology safety procedures (equipment inspection and badges), instruments (Gaceys, langers and files), topical floride application, root planning, indices (bleeding and gingival) and air polishing. Seven skills show a trend in the 3 years that they are now being practiced less frequently. They are intro/extra oral exam, universal curettes instruments, ultrasonic scaling, periodontal evaluation, complete charting, detition charting, nutritional counseling and suture removal.

Five skills have shown a trend in the 3 years that students now feel they are better prepared in these areas. They are gingival indices, impressions for study models, margination (EVA), file instruments, and polishing analgrams. On the other hand 7 skills have shown a trend in the 3 years that students now feel less prepared in these areas. They are intra/extra oral exam, taking medical history, periodontal scaling, ultrasonic scaling, group patient information, nutritional counseling and curettage.

As for continuing their education, 1 1991 graduate is working toward an advanced degree (social relations at Northeastern). However, almost half of the 1991 graduates anticipate eventually working toward an advanced degree. Almost all (91%) of the graduates have maintained membership in the IDHA/ADHA and 71 percent participated in formalized continuing education presentations. When asked how satisfied they were with their professional education, all said they were satisfied or very satisfied. Almost all commented that the dental hygiene program was excellent and prepared them well for their job. Three reported stressful negative experiences in their clinic work.

Major Conclusions

All the 1991 Dental Hygiene graduates were employed in their field and almost all in situations they prefer. They are generally very satisfied with their career choice and with their education at Harper. Salaries are very good at around \$37,700 which is up 3.5 percent from the previous year and considerably higher than what other Harper career graduates earn. However, benefits received by dental hygienists have dropped dramatically from what graduates received in previous years. Graduates once again report they are well prepared in the skill areas they perform most often.



CURRENT EMPLOYMENT SITUATION

	19	90	19	991
Situation	<u>N</u>	P' `.	N	PCT
Employed full time as dental hygienist	16	57.1	13	59.1
Employed in two part-time jobs as a dental hygienist equaling full-time employment in hours worked	5	17.9	3	13.6
Employed as a part-time dental hygienist by choice Employed as a part-time dental hygienist but	5 .5	17.8 1.8	6 0	27.3 0
prefer full time Employed outside dental hygiene field but would prefer working as a dental hygienist	.5	1.8	0	0
Employed in dental field but not as a hygienist	1.0	3.6	_0	0
Total	28	100.0	22	100.0
EMPLOYMENT SITUATION				
Practice Setting				
Solo practitioner	10.5	37.5	6	27.3
More than one practitioner	15.5	55.4	16	72.7
Clinic Type	2.0	7.1	_0	0
Total	28	100.0	22	100.0
Type of Practice				
Prosthodontics	1.5	5.3	0	0
General Practice	23.09		22	100.0
Perio	1.58		0	0
Oral Surgery	. 25	.9	0	0
Pedo	1.58	<u>5.7</u>	_0	0
Total	28	100.0	22	100.0
Number of Jobs Interviewed for in Employment Search				
One	8	32	7	33.3
Two	1.5	6	3	14.3
Three	7.5	30	3	14.3
Four	5	20	0	0
Five	1.5	6	0	0
Six Seven	.5	2	0	0
Eight	0	0	5	23.8
Twelve	1 0	4 0	0	0
Thirteen	0	0	1 1	4.8 4.7
Sixteen	_0	0	1	<u>4.7</u> _4.8
Total	25	100.0	$\frac{1}{21}$	$\frac{4.8}{100.0}$
Median Number of Interviews	3		3	}
Mean Number of Interviews	2	.9	•	4.7



How Salary is Determined
Hourly salary
Commission
Salary and commission
Bonus and one of the aforementioned
Independent contracting
Annually
Weekly Salary
Commission only on certain tasks
Daily
Bimonthly
Monthly
Profit Sharing

Average	Daily	Salary	

	1990	
Amount.	N	PCT
\$112	1	4.0
\$120	1	4.0
\$124	1	4.0
\$125	1	4.0
\$128	2	8.0
\$ 132	1	4.0
\$136	5	20.0
\$140	2	8.0
\$144	5	20.0
\$145	3	12.0
\$160	2	8.0
\$205	_1	4.0
Total	25	100.0

Median Daily Salary - \$140 Equivalent to an annual salary of \$36,400

Hourly Salary

		1990
Amount	N	PCT
\$15.00	1	4.0
\$15.50	2	8.0
\$16.00	2	8.0
\$16.50	1	4.0
\$17.00	6	24.0
\$17.50	1	4.0
\$18.00	. 9	36.0
\$20.00	_3	12.0
Total	25	100.0

Median Hourly Salary - \$17.50 Equivalent to an annual salary of \$36,400

1990		1991		
PCT of 28			PCT of 22	
_N	<u>Respondents</u>	N	Respondents	
19	67.9	21	95.5	
1	3.6	1	4.5	
2	7.1	1	4.5	
3	10.7	1	4.5	
1	3.6	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
4	14.3	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
1	3.6	0	0	
0	0	1	4.5	

	1991	
Amount	N	PCT
\$ 96	1	5.3
\$100	1	5.2
\$ 130	1	5.3
\$ 135	1	5.3
\$143	1	5.2
\$144	6	31.6
\$145	1	5.3
\$1 52	3	15.8
\$156	2	10.5
\$160	1	5.2
\$178	_1	5.3
Total	19	100.0

Median Daily Salary - \$144 Equivalent to an annual salary of \$37,400

	1	<u>991 </u>
Arount	N	PCT
\$17.00	2	10.5
\$18.00	9	47.4
\$19.00	4	21.0
\$19.50	2	10.5
\$20.00	1	5.3
\$23.00	_1	5.3
Total	19	100.0

Median Hourly Salary - \$18.00 Equivalent to an annual salary of \$37,400



Work Week - Hours Per Week

work week - nours	rer week					
199		_			.991	
Hours.	N PCT			Hours	_N_	PCT
15	1 3.6			3	1	4.5
23 24	1 3.6			4	2	9.1
32	2 7.1 2 7.1			16	1	4.6
32.5	2 7.1 1 3.6			20	1	4.5
34	2 7.1			22	1	4.6
35	1 3.6			25 2 6	1 1	4.5
35.5	1 3.6			32	2	4.5 9.1
36	3 10.7			34	2	9.1
37	2 7.1			35	4	18.2
37.5	3 10.7	,		36	2	9.1
38	1 3.6			40	_4	18.2
40	7 25.0				<u> </u>	
45	<u> </u>					
Total	28 100.0			Total	22	169.0
Median Hours Per	Week - 36.25				3	4.0
		19	990			1991
Number of Offices	•	<u>N</u>	PCT	-	N	PCT
One		14	63.6		17	77.3
Two		7	31.8		5	22.7
Three		1	4.6		_ <u>o</u>	0
Total		22	100.0		22	-
			100.0		22	100.0
Type of Geographic	: Area	19	90	_		1991
in Which Employed		<u>N</u>	PCT	-	N_	PCT
Urban		.5	1.8		0	0
Suburban		27.0	96.4		21	95.5
Rural		<u> </u>	1.8		_1	4.5
Total		28	100.0		0.0	
10001		20	100.0		22	100.0
Location of Employ	ment	19	90			1991
		N	PCT	_	<u></u>	PCT
North Suburi		3.5	12.5		5	22.7
Northwest Su		18.5	66.0		14	63.7
Southwest Su Chicago	Durbs	2.5	8.9		1	4.5
	tside Chicago	.5	1.8		1	4.6
Colorado	raine outcago	1 1	3.6 3.6		1	4.5
Tennessee		_1	3.6 3.6		0 0	0
			—— 		_ _ ¥	0
Total		28	100.0		22	100.0



Preference of Employment	1990		<u> 1991 </u>	
Location	N	PCT	<u>N</u>	PCT
Location was preferred choice Location was not preferred choice	27 _1	96.4 3.6	20 _1	95.2 4.8
Total	28	100.0	21	100.0

		1990	19	91
Avenues Used to Find		PCT of	_	PCT of
Employment Situation	N	25	<u>N</u>	22
Personal contact	15	53.6	12	54.5
Local newspaper	6	21.4	10	45.5
Major city newspaper	3	10.7	4	18.2
Private placement agency	4	14.3	3	13.6
Present or past employer	3	10.7	3	13.6
ADHA Placement	3	10.7	2	9.1
Dentist called student	2	7.1	1	4.5
Harper Dental Hygiene Office	2	7.1	1	4.5
Bulletin Board				

- Difficulty in finding employment: 1990 1 difficulty finding F/T job close to home.
 - 1 difficulty finding office which student would find comfortable and enjoyable
 - 1991 1 no difficulty
 - 1 no difficulty but had difficulty
 finding office matching ethics
 standards student wanted
 - 1 found minority discrimination

	19	90		1991
Goal During Employment Search Full-time work in one office	N 21	PCT 77.8	<u>N</u> 13	<u>PCT</u> 59.1
(more than 30 hours/week) Part-time work in more than one	2	7.4	3	13.6
office to equal full time Part-time employment (less	_4	14.8	<u>_6</u>	27.3
than 30 hours/week Total	27	100.0	22	100.0

Satisfaction with		19	90	1991		
Chosen Profession	Index	N_	PCT	N	PCT	
Very Satisfied	+2	19	67.9	18 ·	85.7	
Satisfied	+1	9	32.1	3	14.3	
It is OK	0	0	0	0	0	
Dissatisfied	-1	_0	0	_0	0	
Total		28	100.0	22	100.0	
Average Satisfaction Index		+1	1.68	+	1.86	



,	19	990		1991
		PCT of		PCT of
	<u>N</u>	2 5	<u>N</u>	<u>21</u>
Benefits Included in Work Situation				
Christmas bonus	20	74.1	17	81.0
Reduced fee for dental work	23	85.2	15	71.4
Paid vacation - Median 1.2 weeks	18	66.7	14	66.7
1st year - 1.8 weeks 2nd year	••			
Paid holidays - median 6 days	20	74.1	12	57.1
Continuing education courses paid	18	66.7	12	57.1
Uniform allowance	15 16	55.6 59.3	12 9	57.1 42.9
Major medical Paid sick days - medica 3 days	13	48.1	6	28.6
Paid sick days - median 3 days Bonus for production increase	10	37.0	6	28.6
Pension plan	6	22.2	3	14.3
Profit sharing	4	14.8	3	14.3
Life insurance	8	29.6	2	9.5
No benefits	1	3.7	2	9.5
Malpractice insurance	9	33.3	1	4.8
Disability insurance	4	14.8	1	4.8
Paid dues for association	2	7.4	1	4.8
Liability insurance	1	3.7	1	4.8
Paid lunch on Saturday	0	0	1	4.8
Salary for attendance at employee mtgs	_	Ö	1	4.8
Well Pay (2)	1	3.7	Ō	0
Advanced Education		sional Development		
Working Toward An Advanced Degree - 19 Yes - Special Education - University of B.S. Degree - NIU Bachelor of Dental Hygiene Teaching Degree - NIU		ado	<u> N</u>	PCT 15.4
No				22 84.6
Working Toward An Advanced Degree - 19 Yes - Social Relations - Northeastern			_ <u>N</u>	PCT 4.8
No -				20 95.2
Anticipate Eventually Working Toward & Yes - Undecided - 9 Medical MYO Functional Therapy Dental		16 66.7		
Science - 3 Health	Manageme	ent and Education		8 33.3
Anticipate Eventually Working Toward A Yes - Science (Health Related), Biolog Marketing, Teaching Business, Un	gy, Publi	ic Health Educatio	n	9 45.0
No -	= '			11 55.0



				1990	19	1991	
·				PCT of		PCT of	
Professiona	al Development		_ <u>N</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>N</u>	21	
Maintained	membership in IDHA/A	пна	24	88.9	19	90.5	
	ed in formalized cont		15	55.6	15	71.4	
_	n presentations			00.0	20	,	
	al IDHA component mee	tings	7	25.9	9	42.9	
	d for community proje	ects	10	37.0	6	28.6	
or activi	lties						
Satisfaction	on with Professional			1990		1991	
	at Harper College	Index		PCT	N	PCT	
				 			
Very Satis	fied	+2	21	77.8	16	76.2	
Satisfied		+1	6	22.2	5	23.8	
Somewhat S		0	0	0	0	0	
Dissatisfie	ed	-1	0	0	0	0	
Tota	1		27	100.0	21	100.0	
	tisfaction Index			1.78		100.0	
in orașe ba				2.,,0	•	1.70	
Comments:	Felt very well prepa	ared for j	ob	5		8	
	Excellent program			8		6	
	Not well prepared in			1		0	
	Skills not fully uti	_	job	1		0	
	Was given second cha	ance to		0		1	
	finish program			•		•	
	Enjoy my job			0		1	
	Finished strenuous p		d .				
	graduated with hono			0		1	
	Enjoy the dental hyg	grene rier	a	0		1	
	Wonderful staff	1	•	0		1	
	Needed more perio ed experience	ucation a	na	0		6	
	Greatest strength of up-to-date informat		vas	0		1	
	Needed more experien		orio Dt	·c 0		1	
	Need more experience			0		2	
	oxide	s with hit	Tous	· ·		2	
	Be more realistic at actually practiced		is	0		1	
	More education on fe		ients	0		1	
	More clinic			0		1	
	More root planing an	d curetta	ge	0		1	
	experience	·					
	Offer dental hygiene	in eveni	ng	0		1	
	More community dent	istry		0		1	



PREQUENCY OF PERFORMING VARIOUS DENTAL HYGIENE DUTIES

Frequency Index
2 = Often 1 = Occasionally 0 = Never

	1990		1991		
Duty	_N_	Index	N	Index	
Radiology Safety Procedure/Lead Apron	25	2.00	12	2.00	
Hand Scaling	26	1.96	12	2.00	
Patient Education - Individual	26	1.96	12	2.00	
Medical History of Patient	26	1.88	12	2.00	
Radiographs - Interproximal Survey	26	1.88	12	2.00	
Periodontal Evaluation - Probings	26	1.85	12	2.00	
Instruments - Graceys	26	1.81	12	2.00	
Polishing - All Patients	25	1.88	12	1.92	
Periodontal Scaling	25	1.76	12	1.75	
Radiographs - Perapicals	25	1.72	12	1.75	
Topical Fluoride Applications	26	1.62	12	1.75	
Root Planning	26	1.58	12	1.75	
Radiographs - Complete Intra Oral Survey	26	1.73	12	1.67	
Instruments - Langers	24	1.25	12	1.50	
Intra/Extra Oral Exam	26	1.73	12	1.42	
Indices - Bleeding	24	1.33	11	1.42	
Periodontal Evaluation - Complete Charting	25	1.48	11	1.36	
Radiology Safety Procedure/Equipment	24	1.17	11	1.36	
Inspection	_ ,			1.00	
Instruments - Universal Curettes	25	1.72	12	1.33	
Ultrasonic Scaling	26	1.54	12	1.33	
Dentition Charting	26	1.42	12	1.33	
Indices - Periodontal	24	1.25	12	1.25	
Indices - Gingival	23	1.09	12	1.25	
Sealants	26	1.12	12	1.17	
Radiology Safety Procedure/Monitor Badge	24	.54	12	1.17	
Subgingival Irrigation	25	1.12	12	1.08	
Radiographs - Panorex	26	1.08	12	1.08	
Indices - Plaque	23	1.17	12	1.00	
Air Polishing	25	.64	11	1.00	
Curettage	26	1.08	12	.92	
Instruments - Files	22	.36	12	.83	
Impressions for Study Models	25	.76	12	.67	
Blood Pressure/Pulse Screening	26	.69	12	.67	
Selective Polishings	25	1.00	10	.60	
Radiographs - Occlusal	25	.28	12	.42	
Nutrition Counseling	26	.81	12	.33	
Suture Removal	25	.40	12	.25	
Perio-Pack Removal	24	.13	12	. 25	
Polishing Analgrams	26	. 27	12	.17	
Patient Education - Group	22	. 45	9	.11	
Margination (EVA)	26	.12	12	0	
Number of Tasks		41		41	
Average Index of those tasks rated		1.21		.23	
			_		
- Uses sickles often		3			
- Uses Columbias often		Ō			
- Uses 204's often		1			
- Occasionally uses CEPH Radiographs		1			
- Often uses CEPH Radiographs		2			
- Often uses scalers		ī			
- Often uses AFLER 5		1			
- 9	_	_			

ADEQUACY OF PREPARATION FOR VARIOUS DENTAL HYGIENE SKILLS

Adequacy Index

2 = Very well prepared

1 = Adequately prepared

0 = Imadequately prepared

	1	990			
Duty	N	Index	N	Index	
Radiographs - Interproximal Survey	24	1.92	12	2.00	
Periodontal Evaluations - Probings	24	1.92	12	2.00	
Topical Fluoride Applications	24	1.92	12	2.00	
Instrument - Graceys	22	1.91	12	2.00	
Radiology Safety Procedure/Lead Apron	20	1.90	11	2.00	
Hand Scaling	24	1.92	12	1.92	
Radiographs - Perapicals	22	1.91	12	1.92	
Radiographs - Intra-oral Survey	23	1.91	11	1.91	
Dentition Charting	24	1.79	11	1.91	
Sealants	22	1.68	11	1.91	
Blood Pressure/Pulse Screening	19	1.79	8	1.88	
Patient Education - Individual	24	1.92	12	1.83	
Polishing - All Patients	23	1.91	12	1.83	
Indices - Bleeding	19	1.89	12	1.83	
Subgingival Irrigation	22	1.82	12	1.83	
Instrument - Langers	18	1.78	12	1.83	
Instrument - Universal Curettes	21	1.90	11	1.82	
Periodontal Evaluation - Charting	22	1.86	11	1.82	
Radiology Safety Procedure/Badge	16	1.75	11	1.82	
Indices - Gingival	18	1.67	11	1.82	
Impressions for Study Models	23	1.52	10	1.80	
Selective Polishing	19	1.89	9	1.78	
Air Polishing	20	1.70	9	1.78	
Indices - Periodontal	18	1.72	11	1.73	
Indices - Plaque	18	1.72	11	1.73	
Instrument - Files	15	1.00	10	1.70	
Intra/Extra oral Exam	24	1.92	12	1.67	
Medical History of Patient	24	1.92	12	1.67	
Periodontal Scaling	24	1.83	12	1.67	
Root Planning	24	1.67	12	1.67	
Ultrasonic Scaling	24	1.79	12	1.58	
Radiology Safety Procedure - Equip-	18	1.33	11	1.45	
ment Inspection					
Margination (EVA)	19	1.26	8	1.38	
Radiographs - Panorex	30	1.10	11	1.18	
Curettage	23	1.35	12	1.17	
Patient Education - Group	19	1.63	7	1.14	
Polishing Analgrams	19	1.00	8	1.13	
Nutrition Counseling	24	1.42	10	.90	
Suture Removal	19	.53	9	.89	
Radiographs - Occlusal	19	1.00	8	.88	
Perio Pack Removal	17	.29	9	. 44	
Number of Tasks		41	-	1	
		_	·		

⁻ Well prepared to use equipment

Average index of those tasks rated

1.67



1.63

⁻ Adequately prepared to use columbias

⁻ Adequately prepared to use CEPH Radiograph

⁻ Inadequately prepared to use CEPH Radiograph

⁻ Well prepared to use sickles

⁻ Well prepared to use 204's

⁻ Well prepared to use scalers

Comments on how Harper can improve the quality of its program - 1990

- Would like to see more classes and hands-on with perio patients.
- Elaborate on perio aspect possibly extend length of program.
- Get more familiar with nitrous oxide while in the program.
- Be more realistic, i.e., especially about the jobs out there if you find the "ideal" job you are lucky.
- Not every DDS does all the indices that you are supposed to do.
- It takes a while to get things changed.
- More perio
- No improvement necessary
- I can't think of anything I would change now that I'm out of college it all seems fair.
- Include some nitrous oxide lectures. I don't use it that often but occasionally on fearful patients more lectures on fearful patients.
- Good thorough program
- Possibly more clinic, more perio experience, root planing and curettage.
- More time with perio patients (root planing and curettage) in the clinic.
- Possibly to offer some DHY courses at evening hours.
- More perio program and how to introduce in general practice.
- Cover perio more and community dentistry.

Comments on how Harper can improve the quality of its program - 1991

- If possible, it would be great to have more perio pts.
- With all the preparations done in school, I was really prepared to perform my duties.
- Ease the pressure of clinic requirements.
- During last semester 2nd year there should be 1 day a week where we have 1 hour perio pt. and do procedures that are done in private practice. Example if probings don't chart entire mouth. It was hard to go from 3 hrs. per pt. to 1 hour.
- I feel the education was excellent; however, I feel some of the clinical instructors acted unprofessionally toward the students. Once an opinion was formed of that student the instructors would treat her a certain way whether she deserved it or not. A few instructors seemed to be on a power trip and mentally intimidated many students. The program would have been less stressful if the students would not have been literally "scared" of many instructors. It is a shame to say I have very unfond memories of a few instructors which makes me want to avoid ever visiting in the future.
- Internship during 2nd year to provide on-the-job experience for the job market.
- The quality of the program was excellent it prepared us for the real outside world in all aspects.
- Make the program and knowledge less difficult and more applicable to outside world
- I was very satisfied with the professional education but do believe it should have been more fun and less stressful. The Dental Hygiene Program often attracts married women or mothers, (single or married) who like this possibility of flexible employment. But these women have other "stresses" in their lives and do not need the extra stress placed on them during the program sometimes causing a normal/rational person to "snap". Unfortunately I have seen that it caused some former students to hate Harper and speak negatively of the program. For hygienists to be successful as a lobbying group, we need to be cohesive, not divisive. I would prefer to see more clinical time spent, I feel I was not properly prepared to see 8-12 patients a day when all I had seen was two. Although I occasionally use the information I learned in community dentistry, one class, not three should be sufficient to teach this class. I also think an introduction to dental materials is a good idea and perhaps an optional class made available for those who would like to continue on in dentistry. But frankly I don't use anything I learned in that class. I feel I would have adjusted to



Comments on how Harper can improve the quality of its program - 1991 (continued) the "real world" better had I seen 2 patients a day in the spring of the first year, 3 a day in the summer, 4 a day in the fall and 5 a day in the spring of the second year. I felt very prepared to take the boards, both national and state, and I lieve I was well educated in dental hygiene and had some excellent roll models in the program which has helped me to develop a positive rapport with my patients. Unortunately there are a lot of board questions that deal with community dentistry and dental materials - perhaps we need to convince them that these questions are not applicable to most hygienists.

Comments on Satisfaction/Dissatisfaction with Professional Education -- 1991

- I was very satisfied with my education I feel I still have a long way to go on RP perio pts.
- Excellent instructors
- Program is excellent
- We were over prepared when we went out into the world! I brought up situations the dentist was knocked for a loop about! We blow them away in perio! It's great!
- Very helpful and knowledgeable instructors.
- I feel I had an extremely adequate education. I think I have an excellent knowledge base Thanks!
- I felt that the education was quality; however, the game 'laying power control that some faculty expressed was sickening. There is no need to p'ay games with students minds. All they want is to learn. If you did not make buddy buddy with the certain staff members they made the two years Hell. It is sad because it could be such a positive program. Also a little less time on Comm. Dent. Just teach (Real World Skills)
- Overall, I am very satisfied with the education I received.
- You don't use the degree -- production is more important.
- I felt <u>very</u> prepared to take the Boards both National and State, and I believe I was well education in Dental Hygiene and had some excellent role models in the program which has helped me to develop a positive rapport with my patients.



APPENDIX

- Survey Instrument with Cover Letter





Spring 1992

Dear Class of 1991.

Greetings from Harper's Dental Hygiene Program! By now you have been working in your new profession for nearly a year, and we are anxious for you to share with us your thoughts about our program and your dental hygiene education.

We are especially interested in how you found your employment, how you like your chosen profession, are you continuing your professional growth, and how well do you feel we prepared you?

Please take a few minutes to complete the enclosed survey and return it in the self-addressed envelope which is provided for your convenience. Any information you supply will be kept strictly confidential and will be used only in combination with responses from other students. The number on the survey enables us to take your name off the mailing list when your completed response is received. This saves the cost of unnecessary mailings to non-respondents and saves you the bother of receiving a second copy of the survey in addition to a follow-up telephone call.

We greatly appreciate your response to this survey and especially enjoy hearing from our recent graduates.

Very truly yours,

Marine P. Holt, R.D.H., M.A.

Coordinator

Dental Hygiene Program

jc Enclosures



WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE

DENTAL HYGIENE PROGRAM

ALUMNI SURVEY

1- Check (x) your current employment situation	
A. Employed full time as a dental hygienist B. Employed in two part-time jobs as a dental hygienist equalir full-time employment in hours worked C. Employed as a part-time dental hygienist, by choice	ıg
D. Employed as a part-time dental hygienist but would prefer	
full-time employment E. Employed outside the dental hygiene field by choice	
Job Title	
Why did you prefer this?	
F. Employed outside the dental hygiene field but would prefer working as a dental hygienist	
Present Job Title	
G. Unemployed but searching for employment H. Unemployed because am enrolled in college	
Major College	
I. Unemployed because of being a homemaker J. Unemployed for some other reason	
U. Onemployed for some other reason	
2- Practice setting: A. Solo Practitioner	
B. More than one practitioner C. Clinic type	
D. Other	
	
3- Type of Practice: A. General Practice	
B. Perio	
C. Pedo	
D. Other	
4 A. Number of work hours/week	
B. Number of offices	
5- In which geographic area are you employed? A. Urban B. Suburban C. Rural	
6- In which location are you employed? A. North suburbs E. Chicago F. Illinois/out of Chicago	area
C. South suburbs G. Out of state (specify) D. Southwest suburbs	



/-	was this	Yes Yes	
	^ B		
8-		nues did you use to find your employment situation(s)? Check	
		that apply.	
		Personal contact F. Local newspaper	
		ADA Placement G. Other	
		ADHA Placement	
		Private Placement Agency	
	Е.	Major City Newspaper	
0	TE was h	ad difficulty finding employment, what type of difficulty?	
9-	II you ib	ad difficulty finding employment, what type of difficulty:	
10-		y employment search, I was looking for:	•
		Full-time work in one office (more than 30 hours)	
		Part-time work in more than one office to equal a full-time work we	ek.
		Part-time employment (less than 30 hours/week)	
	D.	Other - specify	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11-	How many	jobs did you interview for?	
	non money	Jobb 616 706 16627108 101	
12-	How is y	your salary determined? Please (x) check all that apply.	
		Hourly salary E. Salary and Commission	
		Weekly salary F. Bonus and 1 of the aforemention	ed
		Monthly salary G. Independent contracting	
		Commission H. Other - specify	
13-	What is	your average daily salary (based on an 8-hour day?)	
14-	What is	your hourly salary?	
15	When her	refits and included in your work citystion? Check (v) all those that	
		nefits are included in your work situation? Check (x) all those that	
	apply.	Daid halidana - Harr manyo	
		Paid holidays - How many? Paid sick days - How many?	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Pension Plan	
		Profit Sharing	
	·	Paid Vacation - How much?	
	_{5.} .	Insurance: 1) Major medical	
		2) Life	
		3) Disability	
		4) Liability	
		5) Malpractice	
	G.		
		2) Continuing education courses paid	
		3) Paid dues for Association	
		4) Reduced fee for dental work	
		5) Bonus for production increase	
		6) Christmas bonus	
•	н.	Other - Please explain, especially if you are an independent	
		contractor:	
	-	No horosta	
	1,	No benefits - 2 - 2()	
		- 2 - 20	

ERIC Full Test Provided by ERIC

16- Look over the following job duties and indicate which ones you perform and how well prepared you feel in each area. Check (x) one in each section.

area. Check (x) one in each section. Feel Feel Feel Feel Feel Feel Feel Fee						Feel	
Der	tal Evgienist Duty	Often Perform This Duty	Occasionally Perform This Duty	Never Perform This Duty	Very Well	Adequately Prepared in This Area	Inadequately Prepared in
	Medical history of patient						
	Intra/extra oral exam						
	Blood pressure/pulse screening						
D.	Periodontal evaluation						
	1- Probings						
	2- Complete Charting						
3.	Indices						
	1- Bleeding						·
	2- Plaque						
	3- Gingival						
	4- Periodontal						
	5- Other (specify)						_
	Ultrasonic scaling						
	Hand scaling						
	Periodontal scaling						
I.	Instruments						
	1- Graceys						
	2- Langers						
	3- Universal Curets						
	4- Files						
	5- Other (specify)						-
J.	Root planning					•	
K.	Curettage						
L.	Polishing/all patients						
M.	Selective polishings						
N.	Air polishing						
0.	Subgingival irrigation						
P.	Patient education						
	1- Individual					*********	
	2- Group						
0.	Topical fluoride applications						
	Nutrition counseling				-		
	Margination (EVA)						
	Polishing analgams						
	Impressions for study models						
	Dentition charting						
	Sealants						
	Suture removal						
	Perio pack removal						
	Radiographs						
	1- Interproximal survey						
	2- Complete intraoral survey			*******			
	3- Panorex					. —	
	4- Perapicals		_		****		
	5- Occlusal						
	6- Other (Specify)				_		
11	Radiology safety procedures						
AA.	1- Lead apron						
	2- Monitor badge	_					
	3- Equipment inspection	-					
	a significant emphanement				_		(Over)



THANK YOU for all your help in responding to this survey!



Operational Staff:

Janice Cook,

Secretary

Cal Melteson, Karla Hill, Research Analyst Research Clerk

Salome Joseph Linda Goers Donna Woodruff Rebecca Korbecki Karen Holmer Lauren Cook





