DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 358 761 HE 026 486

AUTHOR Tang, Thomas Li-Ping; Chamberlain, Mitchell

TITLE Perceptual Differences between Administrators and

Faculty Members on Teaching and Research.

raculty numbers on leaching and Research

PUB DATE Mar 93

NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Southeastern Psychological Association (39th,

Atlanta, GA, March 24-27, 1993).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports - General

(140)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Administrator Attitudes; Attitude Measures; College

Administration; *College Faculty; College

Instruction; Comparative Analysis; Faculty Promotion;

Higher Education; Perception; Research; *Teacher

Attitudes; Teacher Evaluation

ABSTRACT

This study examined, via a 22-item questionnaire, the perceptual differences regarding teaching and research between 134 administrators and 196 faculty members of 6 Tennessee Board of Regents universities. Study results indicated that administrators' attitudes concerning teaching and research were different from those of faculty members on 15 of the items; specifically, while administrators tended to have a strong emphasis that both research and teaching are important, faculty members felt they need to have particular strength in one or the other. Because of this difference in attitudes, administrators' decisions could run contrary to those of faculty regarding such matters as faculty members' tenure and promotion. A table displays the statistical results for 15 variables. (GLR)



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

Perceptual Differences Between Administrators and Faculty Members on Teaching and Research

Thomas Li-Ping Tang

Mitchell Chamberlain

Middle Tennessee State University

Running head: TEACHING AND RESEARCH

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

. --

Thomas Li-Ping Tang

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Portions of this paper have been presented at the Thirty-Ninth Annual Meeting of the Southeastern Psychological Association Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA, March 24-27, 1993.

Address all correspondence to Thomas Li-Ping Tang, Box 516,

Department of Management and Marketing, College of Business, Middle

Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN 37132.



N

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Abstract

This paper examines the perceptual differences between administrators (n = 134) and faculty members (n = 196) of six Tennessee Board of Regents universities on teaching and research using a 22-item questionnaire. The results of a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) show that administrators and faculty members differ on 15 items. In general, administrators rate both teaching and research as more important than do faculty members.



Perceptual Differences Between Administrators and Faculty Members on Teaching and Research

In the academic world, "publish or perish" is well known by administrators and faculty members. Research publications and many other forms of intellectual achievements have been considered as important factors in awarding tenure and promotion. Many faculty members are stars precisely because they are busy writing books, articles, and making discoveries.

State regional universities enroll more than 25% of the undergraduate students currently in higher education (Bassis & Guskin, 1986). Although the historical legacy of regional state colleges and universities emphasizes teaching, many of these universities have radically transformed their character and increased the importance of research in recent years (Stark, 1986). On the other hand, a majority of the major leading research institutions have undertaken initiatives to strengthen teaching and learning at the undergraduate level (e.g., a \$7 million program at Stanford).

Faculty's teaching effectiveness is more subjective and qualitative, and difficult to evaluate and judge, relatively speaking, than faculty's research productivity. For major research institutions, research will carry a heavier weight than teaching, whereas for teaching institutions, teaching will carry a heavier weight than research, however, research is still very important. The major purpose of the present study was to investigate the possible perceptual differences between administrators and faculty members of regional state universities on teaching and research.



Method

Subjects

A survey questionnaire was given to 230 administrators (189 department chairs, 35 deans, and 6 academic vice presidents) of six Tennessee Board of Regents (TBR) universities in the State of Tennessee and 384 faculty members (a randomly selected stratified sample provided by the Tennessee Board of Regents, TBR). Usable data were collected from 330 individuals (134 administrators and 196 faculty members).

Measures

A 22-item questionnaire was developed for the present study to measure different attitudes toward teaching and research. The items were selected based on suggestions in the literature (Clark, 1987; Seldin, 1980). Subjects were asked to rate these items using a 4-point scale with strongly agree (1), agree (2), disagree (3) and strongly disagree (4) as anchor points.

Results

The results of a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) showed that administrators and faculty members were different in their perceptions $[\underline{F}(22, 307) = 10.86, \underline{p} < .001, \text{Wilks' lambda} = .562]$. Further univariate \underline{F} -tests $(\underline{df} = 1, 328)$ showed that administrators and faculty members differed on 15 out of the 22 items (see Table 1).

Administrators expressed stronger feelings than faculty members on the following items: both teaching and research are essential, teaching is rewarded, teaching effectiveness is related to survival, teaching and research are mutually supportive,



universities need to hire faculty with strength in both teaching and research. Faculty members felt more strongly than administrators on the following items: research is rewarded, research productivity is related to survival, and universities need to hire faculty with strength either in teaching or research. Further, administrators felt that they are not researchers and people will devote less time and effort to research if tenure and promotion decisions are not contingent on research productivity.

Discussion

The results of the present study show that administrators' attitudes concerning teaching and research are different from that of faculty members. Administrators tend to have a strong emphasis that both research and teaching are important. Faculty members tend to feel that they need to have strength in either research or teaching. Due to these differences, administrators and faculty members may develop different behavior patterns in an academic institution. One possible implication may be related to these administrators' decisions concerning faculty members' tenure and promotion in an academic setting.



References

- Bassis, M. S., & Guskin, A. E. (1986, July/August). Building quality: Research and the regional institutions. Change, 57-66.
- Clark, B. R. (1987). The academic life: Small worlds, different worlds. Princeton: Carnegie Poundation for the Advancement of teaching.
- Seldin, P. (1980). Successful faculty evaluation programs: A

 practical guide to improve faculty erformance and promotion/

 tenure decisions. Crugers, NY: Coventry.
- Stark, J. S. (1986). Administrator and faculty views of scholarly performance. In J. W. Creswell (Ed.), Measuring faculty research performance. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Table 1

<u>Differences Between Administrators and Faculty Members</u>

		Administrator Faculty					
Variable		<u>M</u>	SD	M	SD	<u>F</u>	P —
1.	Research is essential	1.55	.61	1.78	.79	7.60	.006
2.	Teaching is essential	1.07	.29	1.18	. 45	6.63	.010
3.	Research is rewarded	2.67	.87	2.45	.90	5.02	.026
4.	Teaching is rewarded	2.57	.79	2.78	.84	5.17	.024
5.	Research productivity	2.85	.74	2.55	.90	10.47	.001
6.	Teaching effectiveness	2.30	.69	2.65	.85	16.05	.000
7.	Mutually supportive	1.57	.62	1.82	.81	8.86	.003
8.	Rewards influence performance	1.89	.71	2.08	.84	4.52	.034
9.	Research interferes teaching	2.87	.75	2.63	.89	6.83	.009
10.	Teaching offers satisfaction	2.16	.65	1.85	.78	14.31	.000
11.	Do less research (T & P)	2.09	.68	2.74	.87	53.34	.000
12.	A researcher	3.10	.46	2.95	.64	5.34	.022
13.	Both in higher education	2.12	.65	1.77	.71	21.08	.000
14.	Strength in both	2.01	.81	2.28	.93	6.89	.009
15.	Strength in either	2.24	.82	1.89	.80	15.04	.000

Note. df = 1, 328. A low score indicates high agreement with the statement.