DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 358 115 TM 019 881

AUTHOR Grover, Burton L.

TITLE Trends in Published Meta-Analyses.

PUB DATE Apr 93

NOTE 22p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

American Educational Research Association (Atlanta,

GA, April 12-16, 1993).

PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Reports - Descriptive

(141) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Comparative Analysis; Databases; *Effect Size;

Literature Reviews; *Meta Analysis; *Publications; *Research Methodology; Scholarly Journals; Synthesis;

*Tre d Analysis

IDENTIFIERS Mean (Statistics)

ABSTRACT

Meta analytic procedures recommended by various authorities were the subject of a literature review designed not to discuss the relative merits of contrasting recommendations, but to find what is actually in the literature. The sample reviewed included 89 articles published between 1986 and 1992, from 2 journals and 2 information databases. Meta analyses were coded for a number of variables. Most reported the databases used to find the studies. The median number of studies synthesized by the data analysis was 48. About three-quarters of these reported collecting and aggregating mean differences. Of the 66 that examined mean differences, 55 calculated and reported these differences as standardized mean differences. Fifteen studies reported effect size, eight used the standard normal deviate, and eight used some other method. A large variety of statistical methods was reported for the analysis of the relationship of moderator variables with effect size. Fort, -seven studies reported an overall test of homogeneity of effect sizes. One implication of the study for researchers is that, given the diversity of approaches to meta analysis, a good part of the potential audience may well prefer a meta analytic approach that differs from that chosen by the researcher. Five tables present information on trends in meta analysis. An appendix lists studies that appeared in the two main journals reviewed. (SLD)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

70

57 8

D3

Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY BURTON L. GROVER

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

1,72

TRENDS IN PUBLISHED META-ANALYSES

Paper presented to the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Georgia, April 15, 1993

Burton L. Grover Western Wash. Univ. Bellingham, Wash.

TRENDS IN PUBLISHED META-ANALYSES

Paper Presented to The American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Georgia, April 15, 1993 Burton L. Grover Western Wash. Univ. Bellingham, Wash.

The prominence of meta-analyses in the research literature in education, psychology, and other fields has become evident. Unless some unexpected change occurs, investigators and other students in almost all fields can expect to encounter meta-analytic methods, either as a producer or as a consumer of the research literature, for some time to come.

At least two sources of confusion currently complicate the study of meta-analytic methods. One is the differing conclusions reached by separate meta-analyses on the same or similar topic. The other is the variation in meta-analytic procedures which have been recommended by various authorities. The latter concern led to the study reported here.

Differences in recommended procedures concern increasingly varied aspects of meta-analysis. The most obvious variation is in how to compute and report effect size, differences which even if mathematically equivalent can complicate the task of understanding the literature. Other variations concern procedures for analysis of moderator variables (even whether to code moderator variables), the application of tests of nomogeneity to the distribution of effect sizes, limitations on the number of effect sizes to come from a single study, the importance of including unpublished literature, if and what corrections to make of the effect size data, and whether to include a rating of methodological quality of individual studies as a moderator variable.

The present study was not designed to discuss the relative merits of contrasting recommendations but was intended to find out what is actually in the recent literature. Results of such a literature survey might possibly help one to decide who to believe if not who one **should** believe.

METHOD

Selecting Studies: The sample examined in this study consisted of 89 articles identified as meta-analyses and published between 1986 and 1992. They were taken from three sources, two of which are presumptive major sources of meta-analyses for psychologists and educators: (1) All articles identified as meta-analyses in the Review of Educational Research (RER) from 1986 through the first three issues of 1992, 18 in all; (2) all accessible articles identified as meta-analyses in the issues of the Psychological Bulletin (PB) from 1986 through 1991 (three issues missing during the search period), a total of



43; and (3) a group of 28 meta-analyses 1986-92 sampled from ERIC and PsychLIT and not published in either the RER or PB.

Coding Studies The following information was recorded for
each study:

publication source
year of publication
reference to previous meta-analysis on same topic
if inclusion criteria were described
data bases used
number of studies synthesized
nature of statistics aggregated and synthesized
corrections, if any, made to individual effect sizes
effect size formula used
if individual studies were rated for quality
presence of statistical significance tests for
 moderator variables
statistical tests used for moderator variable
 analysis

Except for number of studies, all variables were coded as present or absent. Accordingly, some of the above variables were broken down into sub-categories, e.g., t-tests for the last item above (Table I).

<u>Data Analysis:</u> An appropriate procedure for analyzing the data beyond simple description was not obvious. Accordingly, the basic procedure was simply to tabulate the data and note the frequencies for each coded study characteristic. Crosstabulating most of the variables with year of publication and publication source was a supplemental procedure.

RESULTS

The frequencies of all of the coded study features are presented in Table I. The distribution of the 89 sample meta-analyses by year and by publication source can be found in Table II. The 'ppendix contains the bibliographic citations of all the met: 'nalyses and how each was coded (last two pages of the Appendix).

Searching and Selecting Studies: Most meta-analyses in the study sample reported the data bases used for finding studies. The frequencies of reported use were as follows:

ERIC	34
PsychAbs/PsychLit/PsychINFO	50
Dissertation Abstracts	30
Medline	7
Other Computer	18

Most studies indicated that use of the above was supplemented by manual search and in some cases by personal corresondence or contact.



Seventy-three (82%) studies reported inclusion (or sometimes exclusion) criteria for the selection of studies. Usually these were specified quite clearly, but there were a few cases tough to call.

Number of Studies: The median number of studies synthesized by the meta-analyses in the sample was 48. The numbers ranged from six to 411. The latter was an outlier, for the next highest number was 172.

Moderator Variables: The coding of individual studies for potential moderator variables has normally been considered as an essential part of the meta-analytic process. This was done in 83 (93%) of the meta-analyses in the sample. Some of the exceptions were studies for which classification as a meta-analysis, rather than say a secondary data reanalysis, was questionable.

One of the noteworthy features of the original Smith and Glass meta-analysis (Glass, 1976) was to rate the quality of the individual studies and use that rating as a moderator variable rather than a basis for excluding studies. This practice was followed in only sixteen (18%) of the meta-analyses in the sample, and there was no statistically significant association of this practice with year of publication or publication source (Table III).

Types of Statistics Collected: Of the sample of 89 metaanalyses, about three-quarters (66) reported collecting and aggregating mean differences. Correlations were collected in sixteen (19%) of the studies. Twenty-four (27%) of the studies reported other statistics, such as proportions and in one instance variances, for a major part their syntheses. These numbers total more than 89 since some studies reported amassing more than one type of statistic.

There was a question whether a few of the studies in the sample should be considered as secondary data reanalyses and not meta-analytic. These were studies which synthesized raw data, usually from test-norming reports. Nonetheless, they were included and put in the "other" category indicated above.

Method of Calculating Effect Size: Of the sixty-six studies which examined mean differences, by far the large majority (55) calculated and reported mean differences as standardized mean differences, that is, the raw score difference between group means divided by a standard deviation of individual scores. Fifteen studies reported effect size as a correlation, eight used Z (standard normal deviate), and eight used some other method.

What to use as a denominator when computing standardized mean differences became an issue not long after Smith and Glass officially launched meta-analysis and proposed the use of the standard deviation of the control group. Whether because of the persuasiveness of the argument to use the pooled standard



deviation, because of the difficulty of finding and extracting the control group standard deviation, because of the editorial policy of the <u>Psychological Bulletin</u> which had the largest number of studies in the sample, or for some other reason, the majority of studies did **not** use the control group standard deviation. Of the twenty-two which did, only six were published after 1988, a significant drop-off (chi-square = 9.92, p <.01).

No other trends in the data about the various methods of reporting effect size were noted.

Statistical Analysis of Moderator Variables: A large variety of statistical methods were reported for the analysis of the relationship of moderator variables with effect size. Various studies reported more than one method, sometimes necessitated by the differences in the type of statistics collected and aggregated. Tallies of the various methods were as follows:

t tests	12
Analysis of variance (or an analog)	18
Significance of single correlations	11
Multiple regression	12
Successive homogeneity tests of subsets	21
Other	11
No significance test	9

The uses of the various tests were distributed fairly evenly over the surveyed years (Table IV), but it was noted that ANOVA procedures were more often used during the first part of the period while simple correlation and multiple regression were more frequent during the latter part.

In some cases where no statistical test of moderator variables was reported, the decision was deliberate because an overall test of homogeneity of effect sizes indicated no significant heterogeneity. (The overall test for homogeneity was somewhat arbitrarily counted as not a moderator variable test.)

Tests of Homogeneity: Forty-seven (52%) of the studies reported an overall test of homogeneity of effect sizes to see if there were significant differences among them which might be linked to moderator variables. The RER meta-analyses had a smaller proportion of these studies (six of eighteen or 33%), but this pattern was not statistically significant. However, there was a significant increase in the use of this test after 1988 (Table V).

DISCUSSION

Suggestions for further study, if there is merit in surveys of the current research literature, can just as well start with a Monday-morning quarterbacking attitude. With the benefit of hindsight, what would be done differently if this study were to begin now? The following ideas have come to mind, in no particular order:



- 1. Tally the number of effect sizes reported by each meta-analysis in addition to the number of studies included.
- 2. Expand the number of studies sampled from journals other than the RER and the PB.
- 3. Draw studies from other databases, at least MEDLINE, to check if trends and tendencies are different. With this also find a library which has most of the journals covered by MEDLINE (a real stumbling block; the idea of using MEDLINE was considered but then abandoned for this study).
- 4. Code each meta-analysis according to whether it included unpublished studies.
- 5. Code each meta-analysis according to indication of what source served as major reference point for meta-analysis methodology, whether Glass et al., Hedges and Olkin, Hunter and Schmidt, Rosenthal, or someone else.
- 6. Code for any indication of testing a priori hypotheses.
- 7. Pay more attention to the reported conclusions and try to rate them on substantive significance. Then try to relate the ratings to other characteristics, such as major point of reference (above).
- 8. For reasons not limited to an attempt to increase reliability of coding, make this something other than a solo effort. What are graduate students for anyway?

As well as figuring out what might have been done differently, there can be speculation about a more ambitious study. Assuming a more global domain of meta-analyses has been determined and clarified and kinks in the sampling procedure can be worked out, a quantitative synthesis of meta-analyses could be attempted (let us hope and pray, probably futilely, that the term "meta-meta-analysis" would be avoided). Collected as dependent variables would be overall effect sizes as well as number of significant associations of moderator variables with effect sizes. Coded study features, such as those considered for this study, would serve as moderator variables for this overall synthesis.

Such a study could shed light on whether the Hunter-Schmidt procedures do in fact lead to an appreciable smaller variance of effect sizes and fewer significant associations of moderator variables with effect sizes than the Hedges-Olkin procedures, as implied by Raudenbush (1991). Finding a difference, however, would



still not indicate which approach is more valid.

Reporting of Meta-Analyses: As far as reporting metaanalyses is concerned, this study has few implications, certainly little beyond the recommendations of Cooper (1989). And given the state of the art of meta-analytic theory and the occurrence of conflicting results from similar meta-analyses, this study in no way supports a call for greater standardization of procedures; we need to know what is right before deciding what to standardize. However, there is one implication that could help the consumer. That is for the authors of reviews to realize that a good part of the potential audience is not of the same meta-analytic camp as they are. One who is in the Hunter-Schmidt camp, for instance, should try to explain as clearly and simply as possible what it means to correct for artifacts and why and maybe also why an overall test of effect size homogeneity was not used. consumer, this would not make the meta-analytic fields any smaller but it could make traversing them smoother.

REFERENCES

Abrami, P. C., Cohen, P.A., & d'Apollonia. Implementational problems in meta-analysis. Review of Educational Research, 58(2). 151-179.

Cooper, H. M.(1989). Integrating Research: A Guide for Literature Reviews(2nd ed.). Newbury Park, Calif: Sage.

Glass, G. V (1976). Primary, secondary, and meta-analysis of research. *Educational Researcher*, 5(10), 3-8.

Glass, G. V, McGaw, B., & Smith, M.L. (1981). *Meta-Analysis in Social Research*. Beverly Hills, Calif: Sage.

Hunter, J. E. & Schmidt, F. L. (1990). Methods of Meta-Analysis: Correcting Error and Bias in Research Findings. Newbury Park, Calif: Sage.

Raudenbush, S. W. (1991). Book review of *Methods of Meta-Analysis* by J. E. Hunter & F. L. Schmidt. *Educational Researcher*, 20(7), 33-37.



TABLE I TALLIES OF CODED STUDY FEATURES

CODE	STUDY FEATURE	<u>N</u> %	of 89
<u>оорд</u> а	Published in 1986	12	13.5%
b	Published in 1987	15	
c	Published in 1988	12	
d	Published in 1989	15	
e	Published in 1990	17	19.1%
f	Published in 1991	16	18.0%
g	Published in 1992	2	2.3%
ń	Reference to previous meta-analysis, similar topic	36	40.5%
j	Inclusion (or exclusion) criteria described	73	82.0%
Ŕ	ERIC used	34	38.2%
1	PsychAbs/PsychInfo/PsychLit Used	50	56.2%
m	Dissertation Abstracts used	30	33.7%
0	MedLine used	7	7.9%
n	Other computer data base used	18	20.2%
q	Number of studies synthesized		
r ·	Mean differences aggregated	66	74.2%
s	Correlations aggregated	17	19.1%
t	Other statistics aggregated	24	27.0%
u	Effect size corrected for sample size	45	50.6%
V	Effect size corrected for attenuation	7	7.9%
w	Effect size corrected for other artifacts	13	14.6%
У	No corrections of effect size reported	31	34.8%
z	Moderator variables coded	83	93.3%
aa	Stand. mean diff., any standard deviation	55	61.8%
bb	Stand. mean diff., control group standard deviation	22	24.7%
CC	Effect size reported as correlation	15	16.9%
dd	Effect size reported as Z	8	8.9%
ee	Other mode for reporting effect size	8	8.9%
ff	Means not the statistic aggregated	22	24.7%
gg	Test of homogeneity for moderator variables	47	51.8%
hh	t test used for moderator variable analysis	12	13.5%
jj	ANOVA used for moderator variable analysis	18	20.2%
kk	significance of separate correlations tested	11	12.4%
11	multiple regression used for moderator analysis	12	13.5%
mm	Successive homogeneity tests for moderator analysis	21	23.6%
pp	Other methods used for moderator variable analysis	11	12.4%
đđ	No significance tests on moderator variables	9	10.1%

^{*}Variables coded "1" for present, "0" for absent except for number of studies.



TABLE II
STUDIES IN SAMPLE BY PUBLICATION AND YEAR

	<u>1986</u>	1987	1988	1989	1990	_1991/2	
Psych Bull	4	7	8	5	10	9	43
Rev of Ed Res	1	2	2	5	3	3	18
Other	5	6	2	5	4	6	28
	12	15	12	15	17	17/2	

TABLE III

NUMBER OF META-ANALYSES WH CH RATED QUALITY OF INDIVIDUAL STUDIES

	1986	987	1988	1989	1990 1	991/2
Studies which Rated Quality	4	3	0	4	1	4
Total Number of Studies	12	13	12	15	17	18



TABLE IV
STATISTICAL TESTS USED FOR MODERATOR VARIABLE ANALYSIS

	1986	1987	1988	1989	<u> 1990 </u>	1991/2	TOTAL
t test	3	2	1	1	4	1	12
ANOVA	3	5	3	1	4	4	18
Correlation	2	1	0	2	· 3	3	11
Multiple Regression	1	2	1	3	4	1	12
Successive Homogeneity	2	4	4	2	6	3	21
Other	1	3	2	0	2	3	11
Number of Studies	_12_	15	12	15	17	18	89

TABLE V
STUDIES WHICH TESTED FOR HOMOGENEITY OF EFFECT SIZES

•	1986-88	1989-9	
Homogeneity Test	14	33	47
No Homogeneity Test	25	17	42
_	39	50	89
•		X^2 (1 d.f.) = 9.78	p < .01



APPENDIX TO TRENDS IN PUBLISHED META-ANALYSES

Burton L. Grover Western Washington University AERA, Atlanta, GA. April 1993

Studies from <u>PSYCHOLOGICAL BULLETIN</u> 1986-1989

- 101 Achenbach, Thomas, Stephanie McConaughty, and Catherine Howell. "Child/Adolescent Behavioral and Emotional Problems: Implications of Cross-Informant Correlations for Situational Specificity," Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 101, No. 2, March 1987, pp. 213-232.
- 102 Amato, Paul and Bruce Keith. "Parental Divorce and the Well-Being of Children: A Meta Analysis," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 110, No. 1, July 1991, pp. 26-46.
- Booth-Kewley Stephanie and Howard Friedman. "Psychological Predictors of Heart Disease: A Quantitative Review," Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 101, No. 3, May 1987, pp. 343-362.
- 104 Bornstein, Robert, "Exposure and Effect: Overview and Meta-Analysis of Research, 1968-1987," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 106, No. 2 September 1989, pp. 265-289.
- Bowers, Thomas and George Clum. "Relative Contributions of Specific and Non-Specific Treatment Effects Meta-Analysis of Placebo-Controlled Behavior Therapy Research," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 103, No. 3, May 1988. pp. 315-323.
- Bushman, Brad and Harris Cooper. "Effects of Alcohol and Human Aggression: An Integrative Research Review," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vcl. 107, No. 3, May 1990, pp. 341-354.
- 107 Carlson, Michael and Norman Miller. "Explanation of the Relation Between Negative Mood and Helping," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 102, No. 1, July 1987, pp. 91-108.
- 108 Cohn, Lawrence. Sex Differences in the Course of Personality Development: A Meta Analysis, "Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 109, No. 2, March 1991, pp. 252-266.
- Durlak, Joseph; Teresa Fuhrman; and Claudia Lampman. "Effectiveness of Cognitive-Behavior Therapy for Maladapting Children: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol.110, No. 2, September 1991, pp. 204-14.
- Dush, David, Michael Hirt, and Harold Schroeder. "Self-Statement Modification in the Treatment of Child Behavior Disorders: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 106, No. 1, July 1989, pp. 97-108.



- Eagley, Alice; Richard Ashmore; Mona Makhijani; and Laura Longo. "What Is Beautiful is Good, But ...: A Meta-Analytic Review of Research on the Physical Attractiveness Stereotype," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 110, No. 1, September 1991, pp 109-128.
- 112 Eagley, Alice and Blair Johnson. "Gender and Leadership Style: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 108, No. 2, September 1990, pp. 223-256.
- 113 Eaton, Warren, and Leslie Reid Enns. "Sex Differences in Human Motor Activity Level," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 100, No. 1, July 1986, pp. 19-28.
- 114 Feingold, Alan, "Matching for Attractiveness in Romantic Partners and Same-Sex Friends: A Meta-Analysis and Theoretical Critique," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 104, No. 2, September 1988, pp. 226-235.
- Ford, Kevin; Kurt Kraiger and Susan Schechtman. "Study of Race Effects in Objective Indices and Subjective Evaluation of Performance: A Meta-Analysis of Performance Criteria."

 Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 99, No. 3, May 1988, pp 330-7.
- 116 Hardaway, Richard. "Subliminally Activated Fantasies: Facts and Artifacts," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol 107, No. 2, March 1990, pp 177-185.
- 117 Hazelbrigg, Mark; Harris Cooper; and Charles Borduin. "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Family Therapies: An Integrative Review and Analysis." <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 101, No. 3, 1987, pp 428-422.
- Hulin, Charles; Rebecca Henry, and Sharon Noon. "Adding a Dimension: Time as a Factor in the Generalizability of Predictive Relatioships." <u>Psychological Bulleitn</u>, Vol. 107, No. 3, May 1990. pp 328-340.
- Hull, Joy and Charles Bond, Jr. "Social and Behavioral Consequences of Alcohol Consumption and Expectancy" A Meta-Analysis," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 99, No. 3, May 1986, pp 347-360.
- 120 Hyde, Janet; Elizabeth Fennema; and Susan Lamon. "Gender Differences in Mathematics Performance: A Meta-Analysis," Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 107, No. 2, March 1990, pp 139-155.
- 121 Hyde, Janet and Marcia Linn. "Gender Differences in Verbal Ability: A Meta-Analysis," Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 104, No. 1, July 1988, pp. 53-68.

A-2



- Johnson, Blair and Alice Eagly. "Effects of Development on Persuasion: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 106, No. 2, September 1989, pp. 290-314.
- 123 Kaylor, Jeffrey, Daniel King, and Lynda King. "Psychological Effects of Military Service in Vietnam: A Meta-Analysis,"

 Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 102, No. 2, September 1987, pp. 257-271.
- 143 Lytton, Hugh and David Romney, "Parents' Differential Socialization of Boys and Girls: A Meta-Analysis. "Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 109, No. 2, March 1991, pp. 267-296.
- 125 Matthews, Karen. "Coronary Heart Disease and Type A Behaviors: Update on an Alternative to the Booth-Kewley and Friedman (1987) Quantitative Review," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 104, No. 3, November 1988, pp. 373-380.
- 126 McCartney, Kathleen; Monica Harris; and Frank Berniere, "Growing Up and Growing Apart: A Developmental Meta-Analysis of Twin Studies," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 107, No. 2, March 1990, pp. 226-237.
- 127 Miller, Paul and Nancy Eisenberg. "The Relation of Empathy to Aggressive and Externalizing/Antisocial Behavior,"

 Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 103, No. ., May 1988, pp. 324-344.
- 128 Miller, Todd; Charles Turner, Scott Tindale, Emil Posavac, and Bernard Dugoni. "Reasons for the Trend Toward Null Findings in Research on Type A Behavior." <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 110, No. 3, November 1991, pp 469-85.
- Nicholson, Robert and Karen Kugler. "Competent and Incompetent Criminal Defendants: A Quantitative Review of Comparative Research," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 109, No. 3, May 1991, pp 355-70.
- 130 Okun, Morris; Robert Olding; and Catherine Cohn. "A Meta-Analys is of Subjective Well-Being Interventions Among Elders,"

 <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 108, No. 2, September 1990, pp 257-266.
- 131 Parker, Kevin; John Hunsley; and Karl Hansen. "MMPI, Rorschach, and WAIS: A Meta-Analytic Comparison of Reliability, Stability, and Validity," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 103, No. 3, May 1988, pp. 367-373.



- Powers, Donald. "Relations of Test Item Characteristics to Test Preparation/Test Practice Effects: A Quantitative Summary," Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 100, No. 1, July 1986, pp. 67-77.
- Premack, Steven and John Hunter, "Individual Unionization Decisions," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 103, No. 2, March 1988, pp. 223-234.
- 134 Raz, Sarah and Natalie Raz. "Structural Brain Abnormalities in the Major Psychoses: A Quantitative Review of the Evidence from Computerized Imaging," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 108, No. 1, July 1990, pp. 93-108.
- Robinson, Leslie; Jeffrey Berman; and Robert Meimeyer.
 "Psychotherapy for the Treatment of Depression: A
 Comprehensive Review of Controlled Outcome Research,"
 Psychological Bulletin, Vol 105, No. 1, July, 1990, pp 30-49.
- 136 Rubonis, Anthony and Leonard Bickman. "Psychological Impairment in the Wake of Disaster: The Disaster Psychopathology Relationship," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 109, No. 3, May 1991, pp. 384-399.
- Searleman, Alan, Stanley Coren, and Clare Porac. "Relationship Between Birth Order, Birth Stress, and Lateral Preferences," Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 105, No. 3, May 1989, pp. 397-408.
- 138 Stebloy, Nancy. "Helping Behavior in Rural and Urban Environments: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 102, No. 3, November 1987, pp. 346-356.
- 139 Swim, Janet, Eugene Borgiclo, Geoffrey Maruyama, and David Myers, "Joan McKay versus John McKay: Do Gender Stereotypes Bias Evaluations," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 105, No. 3, May 1989, pp. 409-429.
- Wood, Wendy. "Meta-Analytic Review of Sex Differences in Group Performance," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol. 102, No. 1, July 1987, pp. 53-71.
- 141 Wood, Wendy; Nancy Rhodes; and Melanie Whelan. "Sex Differences in Positive Well-Being: A Consideration of Emotional Style and Marital Status," Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 106, No.1 2, September 1989, pp. 249-264.
- Wood, Wendy; Frank Wong; and Gregory Chachere. "Effects of Media Violence on Viewers' Aggression in Unconstrained Social Interaction," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, Vol 109, No. 3, May 1991, pp. 371-83.



Studies from THE REVIEW OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH 1986-1991

- 201 Bangert-Downs, Robert L., Chen-Lui Kulik, James Kulik, and Mary Teresa Morgan. "The Instructional Effect of Feedback in Test-Like Events," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 61, No. 2, Summer 1991, pp. 213-238.
- 202 Becker, Betsy Jane. "Coaching for the Scholastic Aptitude Test: Further Synthesis and Approval," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 60, No. 3, Fall 1990, pp. 373-417.
- 203 Chapman, James. "Learning Disabled Children's Self-Concepts,"
 Review of Educational Research, Vol. 58, No. 3, Fall 1988, pp.
 347-371.
- 204 Falchikov, Nancy and David Boud. "Student Self-Assessment in Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 59, No. 4, Winter 1989, pp. 395-430.
- 218 Feingold, Alan. "Sex Differences in Variability in Intellectual Abilities: A New Look at an Old Controversy." Review of Educational Research. Vol. 26, No. 1, Spirng 1992, pp. 61-4
- 205 Friedmann, Lynn. "Mathematics and the Gender Gap: A Meta-Analysis of Recent Studies on Sex Differences in Mathematical Tasks," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 59, No. 2, Summer 1989, pp. 185-213.
- 206 Fuchs, Douglas and Lynn Fuchs. "Test Procedure Bias: A Meta-Analysis of Examiner Familiarity Effects," Review Of Educational Research, Vol. 56, No. 2, Summer 1986, pp. 243-262.
- 207 Hamaker, Christiaan. "The Effect of Adjunct Questions on Prose Learning," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 56, No. 2, Summer 1986, pp. 212-242.
- 208 Hoge, Robert and Theodore Coladarci. "Teacher-Based Judgements of Academic Achievement: A Review of Literature," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 59, No. 3, pp. 297-313.
- 209 Kulik, Chen-Lui, James Kulik, and Robert Bangert-Downs. "Effectiveness of mastery Learning Programs: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, Vol. 60, No. 2, Summer 1990, pp. 265-299.
- 210 Lundeberg, Mary, and Paul Fox. "Do Laboratory Findings on Test Expectancy Generalize to Classroom Outcomes," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 61, No. 1, Spring 1991, pp. 94-106.



A-5 16

- 211 Ross, John A. "Controlling Variables: A Meta-Analysis of Training Studies," <u>Review of Education Research</u>, Vol. 58, No. 4, Winter 1988, pp. 405-437.
- 212 Slavin, Robert. "Ability Grouping and Student Achievement in Elementary Schools: A Best-Evidence Syntheses," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 57, No. 3, Fall 1987, pp. 293-336.
- 213 Slavin, Robert. "Mastery Learning Reconsidered," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 57, No. 2, Summer 1987, pp. 175-213.
- 214 Slavin, Robert. "Achievement Effect of Ability Grouping in Secondary Schools: A Best Evidence Syntheses," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 60, No. 3, Fall 1990, pp. 471-499.
- 215 Stahl, Steven A. and Marilyn Fairbanks. "The Effects of Vocabulary Instruction: A Model-Based Meta-Analysis," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 56, No. 1, 1986, pp. 72-110.
- 216 Stahl, Steven and Patricia Miller. "Whole Language and Language Experience Approaches for Beginning Reading: A Quantitative Research Synthesis," Review of Educational Research, Vol. 59, No. 1, Spring 1989, pp. 87-116.
- 217 Whitener, Ellen M. "A Meta-Analytic Review of the Effect on Learning of the Interaction Between Prior Achievement and Instructional Support," <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, Vol. 59, No. 1, Spring 1989, pp. 65-86.



Studies from Sources Other Than PSYCHOLOGICAL BULLETIN OR REVIEW OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH 1986-1992

- 301 Adair, John; Donald Sharpe; and Cam-Loi Huynh. "Hawthorne Control Procedures in Educational Experiments: A Reconsideration of Their Use and Effectiveness." Paper presented to the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C., April 1987. (ERIC No. ED 286926).
- 302 Christiansen-Szalanski, Jay and Cynthia Willham. "The Hindsight Bias," <u>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes</u>. Vol. 48, No. 1, February 1991, pp 147-168.
- 303 Feingold, Alan. "Gender Differences in Effects of Physical Attractiveness on Romantic Attraction: A Comparison Across Five Research Paradigms." <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, Vol. 59, No. 5, 1990, pp 981-993.
- 307 Fletcher, J.O. "Effectiveness and Cost of Interactive Videodisc Instruction in Defence Training and Education," IDA paper P-2372, Institute for Defense Analyses. (ERIC No. 326194).
- 304 Fuchs, Lynn and Douglas Fuchs. "Effects of Systematic Formative Evaluation: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, Vol. 53, No. 3, November 1986, pp 199-229.
- 305 Gerlsma, Coby; Paul Emmelkomp; and William Arrindell, "Anxiety, Depression, and Perception of Early Parenting: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Clinical Psychology Review</u>, Vol. 10, No. 3, 1990, pp 251-277.
- 306 Holloway, Elizabeth and Bruce Wampold. "Relation Between Conceptual Level and Counseling-Relating Tasks: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, Vol. 33, No. 3, 1986, pp 310-319.
- 308 Holweg, Kurt and Howard Markman, "Effectiveness of Behavioral Marital Therapy: Empirical Status of Behavioral Techniques in Preventing and Alleviating Marital Distress," <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</u>, Vol. 56, No. 3, 1988, pp 440-447.
- 309 Matt, Georg; Carmelo Vazquez; and Keith Campbell. "Mood Congruent Recall of Affectively Toned Stimuli; A Meta-Analytic Review," Clinical Psychology Review, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1992, pp 227-255.



A-7

- Mullen, Brian et al. "Boundaries Around Group Interaction: A Meta-Analysis Integration of the Effects of Group Size," The Journal of Social Psychology, Vol 131, No. 2, 1991, pp 271-283.
- Multon, Karen; Steven Brown; and Robert Lent. "Relation of Self-Efficacy Beliefs to Academic Outcomes: A Meta-Analytic Investigation," <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, Vol. 38, No. 1, January 1991, pp 30-38.
- Murphy, Kevin, and William Balzer. "Rater Errors and Rating Accuracy," <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, Vol. 74, No. 4, August 1989, pp 619-626.
- Noland, Theresa and Bob Tyler. "The Effects of Ability Grouping: A Meta Analysis." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, April 1986. (ERIC No. Ed 268 451).
- Perlman, Daniel. "Age Differences in Loneliness: A Meta-Analysis." Paper presented to the Convention of the American Psychological Association, Boston, August 1990 (ERIC No. ED 326 767).
- Salzman, Stephanie, "Father Absence, Socioeconomic Status and Race; Relations to Children's Cognitive Performance." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, April 1988 (ERIC No. ED 296 939).
- Salzman, Stephanie. "Meta-Analysis of Studies Investigating the Effects of Father Absence on Children's Cognitive Performance." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C., April 1987, (ERIC No. ED 283 883)
- 317 Samson, Gordon; Bernadette Strykowski; Thomas Weinstein; and Herbert Walberg. "The Effects of Teacher Questioning Levels on Student Achievement: A Quantitative Synthesis." <u>Journal of Educational Research</u>, Vol. 80, No. 5, May-June 1987, pp 290-295.
- Schueger, James; Karen Zarella; and Annette Hotz. "Factors that Influence the Temporal Stability of Personality by Questionnaire," <u>Journal of Psychology and Social Psychology</u>, Vol. 56, No. 5, May 1989, pp 777-783.
- 319 Suls, Jerry and Choi Wan. "Effects of Sensory and Procedural Information on Coping with Stressful Medical Procedures and Pain: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Journal and Consulting and Clinical Psychology</u>, Vol. 57, No. 3, 1989, pp 372-379.



- 320 Svartberg, Martin and Tore Stiles. "Comparative Effects of Short-Term Psychodynamic Psychotherapy: A Meta-Analysis,"

 <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</u>, Vol. 59, No. 5,
 October 1991, pp 704-714.
- 320 Swartout, David and David Synk. "The Effect of Age, Education and Work Experience on General Aptitude Test Battery Validity and Test Scores," <u>USES Test Research Report</u>, No. 50, 1987, (ERIC No. ED 310 171)
- Tenenbaum, Gerson and Ellen Goldring. "A Meta-Analysis of the Effect of Enhanced Instruction: Cues, Participation, Reinforcement and Feedback, and Correctives on Motor Skill Learning," <u>Journal of Research and Development of Education</u>, Vol. 22, No. 3, Spring 1989, pp 53-64.
- 322 Tobler, Nancy. "Meta-Analysis of 143 Adolescent Drug Prevention Programs: Quantitative Outcome Results of Programs Participants Compared to a Control or Comparison Group," Journal of Drug Issues, Vol. 16, No. 4, Fall 1986, pp 537-567.
- 326 Van Sickle, Ronald. "A Quantiative Review of Research on Instructional Gaming" A Twenty-Year Perspective." Theory and Research in Social Education, Vol. 14, No. 3, Summer 1986, pp 245-264.
- 323 Wagner, John III and Richard Gooding. "Shared Influences and Organizational Behavior: A Meta-Analysis of Situational Variables Expected to Moderate Participation-Outcome Relationships," <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, Vol. 30, No. 3, September 1987, pp 524-541.
- 324 Wellman, Henry; David Cross, and Karen Bortsch. "Infant Search and Objective Permanence: A Meta-Analysis of the A-Not-B Error." Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, Vol. 51, No. 3, Serial No. 214, 1987.
- 325 Witters-Churchill, Laurie and Lee Witters. "Hearing-Impaired Students' Performance on the Piagetian Liquid Horizontality Test: An Analysis and Synthesis." Paper presented at the International Symposium on Cognition, Education, and Deafness, Washington, D.C., July 1989 (ERIC No. 313 845).
- 327 Yammarino, Francis: Steven Skinner, and Terry Childs, "Understanding Mail Survey Response Behavior: A Meta-Analysis," <u>Public Opinion Quarterly</u>. No. 55, No. 4, Winter 1991, pp 613-639.



CODING OF INDIVIDUAL STUDIES

P U BID	<u>ABCDEFGHJKLMON</u>	ABCDEFGHJKLMPQ ORSTUVWYZXABCDEFGHJKLMPO
301 302 303 304	01000001000000 000001001111011 00001000111100 1000000	4410010000010000010000100 22100010010101000100
305 306 307 308	00001000101000 10000000101000 00()1000111001 00100000100000	3211011001010100010000100 2910000001110000011100000 2810000011010000010000000 24100000110111000010000
309 310 311 312 313	00000010101000 000001011000000 000001001	006001000110000100000000000 3901010001100000110000100 1001011001000000
314 315 316 317 318	00001000000000 00100001100000 0100000110100 01000001110100 0001000000	1401000001000000100010000 8210000011011000000100000 L3710000011111000000100000 1410000001011010000100000 8901000011000000100001
319 320 320 321	00010000101010 00000100101010 010000001000000	2110010101010000010000100 1910000101100100010
322 323 324 325 201	10000000100001 01000001100000 01000000101000 00010001110000	9810000001011000000001000 7010010001000000111000100 3000100011000000100000000 710000010010000000000
202 203 204 205	00001001110100 00100000000000 0001000011011	04800100011000011110001000 211000001101100000000
206 207 208 209 210	00010000111000 00001001110100	2210000011111000010100000 6100111010000000101000000 160100001100000100000001 10810100011011000011101000 107100100010100000000
211 212 213 214	00100000110101 01000001111100 01000001110100 00001001	6510010001011111100100000 141000001111100000000
215 216 217 101	00010001110100 00010000110000 01000000101000	
102 103 104 105	01000000101010 00010000101000	87001000110001000000000010 1341100001100011



One line per study. The first three numbers indicate the identification number of the study as listed in the bibliography.

Meanings of code letters for variables may be found on Table I of the paper.

