

ED347958 1992-05-00 Faculty Collaboration: Enhancing the Quality of Scholarship and Teaching. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Faculty Collaboration: Enhancing the Quality of Scholarship and Teaching. ERIC Digest.....	1
WHY IS FACULTY COLLABORATION A GROWING TREND?.....	2
HOW DO FACULTY COLLABORATE?.....	2
WHAT ARE THE KEY STEPS IN COLLABORATION?.....	3
WHY IS FACULTY COLLABORATION CONTROVERSIAL?.....	3
WHAT RECOMMENDATIONS EMERGE FROM A STUDY OF COLLABORATION.....	4
WHAT QUESTIONS REMAIN TO BE EXPLORED.....	4
REFERENCES.....	4



ERIC Identifier: ED347958

Publication Date: 1992-05-00

Author: Austin, Ann E. - Baldwin, Roger G.

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education Washington DC.| BBB27915 _
George Washington Univ. Washington DC. School of Education and Human
Development.

Faculty Collaboration: Enhancing the Quality of Scholarship and Teaching. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

Faculty collaboration has grown dramatically over the course of this century. Conventional stereotypes, which convey the image of professors conducting research in the isolation of a laboratory or teaching alone in front of a room of passive students, overlook important aspects of modern academic life. Many professors now do much of their work--teaching, conducting research, and writing--in partnership with colleagues.

Faculty collaboration occurs in a variety of settings and takes different forms, depending on the nature of the collaborative team and the goals of its members. Essentially, faculty collaboration is a cooperative endeavor that involves common goals, coordinated effort, and outcomes or products for which the collaborators share responsibility and credit. This definition is broad and flexible, because faculty collaboration varies in numerous ways contingent upon whether the partnership is for teaching or research as well as on the participants' fields of specialization, institutions of employment, career stages, and a host of other factors.

Professors choose to work in concert with colleagues for numerous reasons. Many believe collaboration increases productivity, maintains motivation, and stimulates creativity and risk taking. It can maximize the use of limited resources and could enhance the quality of teaching and research. Sometimes complex problems accompany faculty collaboration, however, such as difficulty concerning evaluation and assigning credit for work produced in collaboration. Because of the increasing popularity of faculty collaboration and the complex questions it poses to higher education, the time is right for a comprehensive examination of this important topic.

WHY IS FACULTY COLLABORATION A GROWING TREND?

The growth of collaboration is not limited to the academic sector. Indeed, higher education is in the midst of a larger movement that is sweeping across our society. For example, teaming is increasingly prevalent in business, health care, and public policy work. Turbulent environments, rapidly changing technologies, and increasingly specialized knowledge are some of the factors that are making collaboration more attractive to professors and society in general.

HOW DO FACULTY COLLABORATE?

Fundamentally, faculty collaboration takes two principal forms--collaboration in research and collaboration in teaching. Considerable variation occurs within these two categories, however. Collaboration in research can vary on several dimensions, including its purpose, organizational structure, team composition, and duration. In "supplementary collaboration," for example, researchers divide tasks among

distinctively qualified specialists and make separate contributions to a shared project. In "complementary collaboration," on the other hand, researchers with similar interests and qualifications work closely on all aspects of a joint endeavor (Smart and Bayer 1986). Collaboration in teaching can differ along several dimensions as well. Various classification schemes divide team teaching according to the roles teachers play (specialist versus generalist, for example), the degree of hierarchy in the team structure (hierarchical versus interactive, for example), and the extent to which disciplinary perspectives are integrated or maintained as distinct in the teaching collaboration (Easterby-Smith and Olve 1984; Rinn and Weir 1984).

Collaborative practices differ considerably across fields. Collaboration is most common in "data disciplines" with development of strong paradigms (like physics or chemistry). Collaboration is less widely practiced in "word disciplines" (like sociology or political science) and is rare indeed in fields like philosophy or literature (Bayer and Smart 1988; Berelson 1960; Fox and Faver 1984).

WHAT ARE THE KEY STEPS IN COLLABORATION?

Although each collaborative arrangement is distinctive, collaboration generally follows a common pattern. Small-group theory helps to illuminate the dynamics of collaboration. For example, negotiated order theory (Gray 1989) sees collaboration as a process of negotiation among stake holders. This theory emphasizes the temporary and emergent nature of collaboration as participants work out the details of executing a shared project or activity. Although small-group models might label the steps in the collaborative process somewhat differently, each effective collaborative team must proceed through four basic stages: (1) choosing colleagues or team members, (2) dividing the labor, (3) establishing work guidelines, and (4) terminating a collaboration. The way collaborators execute each step influences the evolution and outcomes of the team's effort.

WHY IS FACULTY COLLABORATION CONTROVERSIAL?

Collaboration among faculty often raises issues of power, influence, professional identity, and integrity. Evaluating individual contributions to collaborative endeavors and allocating credit fairly among partners are difficult challenges that frequently plague collaborators. Exploitation of lower-status parties in collaborative groups (women, minorities, junior colleagues, students, for example) is another problem that sometimes results when academics pool their talents. Most professional societies and higher education institutions have not implemented policies for resolving complex problems that can result from teamwork. As collaboration becomes more standard in the academic profession, clear policies are needed to ensure that faculty derive the maximum benefit from working together.

WHAT RECOMMENDATIONS EMERGE FROM A STUDY OF COLLABORATION

AMONG FACULTY?The growing trend toward collaboration has implications for faculty, administrators, and the general higher education community. Faculty who are accustomed to working alone should consider developing collaborative relationships. Carefully managed collaborative partnerships can enrich academic life. To be successful, collaborators must know the dynamics of the collaboration process and be prepared to cope with collaboration's challenges as well as reap its rewards.

Administrators have a key role to play in fostering effective collaboration. They can allocate discretionary resources and shape supportive policies to encourage faculty to work together. More important, administrators can stimulate collaborative work by recognizing and rewarding collaborative achievements in public and private ways. They can also promote teamwork by collaborating themselves in team teaching an occasional course or conducting research with faculty colleagues.

At present, many informal traditions and explicit policies (criteria for tenure and promotion, policies for merit pay, standards for faculty evaluation, for example) inhibit collaboration by faculty. If the higher education community wishes to encourage more faculty teamwork, some significant reforms will be needed. For example, as part of professional socialization, students should be introduced to the merits and processes of collaboration. Certainly, the frequently accepted idea that single-author publications are inherently more valuable than co-authored work should be reexamined. Breaking down the barriers that discourage collaboration by faculty is probably the most needed reform. By implementing supportive policies and creating organizational structures to facilitate collaboration across disciplinary and institutional boundaries, higher education could better reap the range of benefits that faculty collaboration promises.

WHAT QUESTIONS REMAIN TO BE EXPLORED

Many questions concerning faculty collaboration invite attention. Research that explores more deeply how the collaboration experience varies by discipline, type of institution, and career stage is needed. Studies specifically comparing the collaboration practices of genders and various ethnic groups would also enhance understanding of this complex phenomenon. More systematic research on the outcomes and benefits of collaboration is essential as well. Carefully crafted studies using qualitative and quantitative methodologies will help to eliminate myths about collaboration and enable faculty members to enter collaborative relationships armed with knowledge that will enhance their opportunities for a successful experience.

REFERENCES

Bayer, Alan E., and John C. Smart. 1988. "Author Collaborative Styles in Academic Scholarship." Paper presented at an annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, April, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Beaver, D. deB., and R. Rosen. 1978. "Studies in Scientific Collaboration, Part I: The Professional Origins of Scientific Co-Authorship." *Scientometrics* 1: 65-84.

Berelson, Bernard. 1960. "Graduate Education in the United States." New York: McGraw-Hill.

Easterby-Smith, Mark, and Nils-Goran Olve. 1984. "Team Teaching: Making Management Education More Student-Centered?" *Management Education and Development* 15(3): 221-36.

Fox, Mary Frank, and Faver, Catherine A. May/June 1984: "Independence and Cooperation in Research: The Motivations and Costs of Collaboration." *Journal of Higher Education* 55(3): 347-59.

Gray, B. 1989. "Collaborating: Finding Common Ground for Multiparty Problems." San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Oja, Sharon Nodie, and Lisa Smulyan. 1989. "Collaborative Action Research: A Developmental Approach." New York: Falmer Press.

Parker, Glenn M. 1990. "Team Players and Teamwork." San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Pelz, Donald C., and Frank M. Andrews. 1966. "Scientists in Organizations: Productive Climates for Research and Development." New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Rinn, Fauneil J., and Sybil B. Weir. 1984. "Yea, Team." *Improving College and University Teaching* 32(1): 5-10.

Smart, John C., and Alan E. Bayer. 1986. "Author Collaboration and Impact: A Note on Citation Rates of Single- and Multiple-Authored Articles." *Scientometrics* 10: 297-305.

Spiegel, Don, and Patricia Keith-Spiegel. 1970. "Assignment of Publication Credits: Ethics and Practices of Psychologists." *American Psychologist* 25: 738-47.

This ERIC digest is based on a new full-length report in the ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report series, prepared by the ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education in cooperation with the Association for the Study of Higher Education, and published by the School of Education at the George Washington University. Each report is a definitive review of the literature and institutional practice on a single critical issue. Many administrators subscribe to the series and circulate reports to staff and faculty

committees with responsibility in a report's topic area. Reports are available through subscriptions for \$90 per year (\$100 outside the U.S.). Subscriptions begin with Report 1 and conclude with Report 8 of the current series year. Single copies, at \$17 each, are available from: ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Reports, The George Washington University, One Dupont Circle, Suite 630, Washington, DC 20036-1183. Or phone (202) 296-2597.

This publication was partially prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. RI88062014. The opinions expressed here do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the department.

Title: Faculty Collaboration: Enhancing the Quality of Scholarship and Teaching. ERIC Digest.

Note: For the full-length report, see ED 346 805.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Target Audience: Administrators, Teachers, Practitioners

Available From: ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Reports, The George Washington University, One Dupont Circle, Suite 630, Washington, DC 20036-1186 (\$1).

Descriptors: College Administration, Collegiality, Cooperation, Educational Cooperation, Faculty College Relationship, Group Activities, Higher Education, Institutional Environment, Interprofessional Relationship, Organizational Climate, Participatory Research, Peer Relationship, Team Teaching, Teamwork

Identifiers: ERIC Digests

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)