DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 332 538 FL 019 182

AUTHOR Neuman, Susan B.; Koskinen, Patricia

TITLE Captioned Television as "Comprehensible Input":

Effects of Incidental Word Learning from Context for

Language Minority Students.

PUB DATE 91

NOTE 48p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MFO1/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Bilingualism; *Captions; English (Second Language);

Grade 7; Grade 8; Junior High Schools; Language Proficiency; *Linguistic Input; Middle Schools; Science Instruction; *Second Language Learning;

Teaching Methods; *Television; *Vocabulary

Development

ABSTRACT

One theory of second language acquisition argues that children's competence in a second language is a function of the amount of "comprehensible input" acquirers receive and understand, without formal instruction in reading or grammar. To examine this hypothesis, this study analyzes whether comprehensible input in the form of captioned television might influence bilingual students' acquisition of vocabulary and conceptual knowledge in science. The 129 bilingual seventh and eighth graders in the study were assigned to one of the following groups: (1) captioned television; (2) traditional television without captioning; (3) reading along and listening to text; and (4) textbook only. Students in these groups either viewed or read 3 units from a science series, twice a week for a period of 12 weeks. Pretest checklist vocabulary tests and prior knowledge pretests were administered before the study of each unit; vocabulary measures analyzing a continuum of word knowledge of 90 target words were administered, along with a written retelling activity analyzing recall of science information. An analysis of word-related and video-related factors suggested that contexts providing explicit information yielded higher vocabulary gains. Further analysis indicated that those who were more proficient in English learned more words from context than others. These results suggest that along with the development of instructional strategies sensitive to differing levels of bilingualism, comprehensible input may be a key ingredient in language acquisition and reading development. (JL)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.

Captioned Television as "Comprehensible Input":

Effects of Incidental Word Learning from Context

for Language Minority Students

Susan B. Neuman

Temple University

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

5. VILLUDIA

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES

INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Patricia Koskinen
University of Maryland

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization organization organization

C) Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official DERI position or policy

Running Head: Captioned Television

Acknowledgements: This study was conducted while the first author was associated with the Education Department and the Center for Field Studies and Services at the University of Lowell. The authors sincerely appreciated the efforts of the teachers and the administrators in Lowell, Massachusetts who participated in the study as well as the help of research assistants, Carol Evans and Denise Marchionda. We also wish to thank William Nagy and Larry Ludlow for their thoughtful comments.

(In press, leading lesearch Quarterly)

1510 FRIC

2

Captioned Television

Abstract

A well-known theory of second language acquisition argues that children's competence in a second language (L2) is a function of the amount of "comprehensible input" acquirers receive and understand, without formal instruction in reading or grammar. To examine this hypothesis, this study analyzes whether comprehensible input in the form of captioned television might influence bilingual students' acquisition of vocabulary and conceptual knowledge in science. The 129 bilingual seventh and eighth graders in the study were assigned to one of the following groups: 1) captioned TV; 2) traditional TV without captioning, 3) reading along and listening to text, and 4) textbook only Students in the three treatment groups either viewed or read three units of science segments from the 3-2-1 Contact -(CTW) science series, twice a week for a period of 12 weeks. Pretest checklist vocabulary tests and prior knowledge pretests were administered prior to each unit; vocabulary measures analyzing a continuum of word knowledge of 90 target words were administered following the treatment, along with a written retelling analyzing recall of science concepts and use of target Results indicated that subjects in the closed-captioning group consistently outscored others in word knowledge as well as recall of science information. An analysis of word-related and Video-related factors suggested that contexts providing explicit information yielded higher vocabulary gains. Further analysis indicated that those who were more proficient in English learned more words from context than others. These results suggest that along with the development of instructional strategies sensitive to differing levels of bilingualism, comprehensible input may be a key ingredient in language acquisition and reading development.

Captioned Television

Effects of Incidental Word Learning from Context for Language Minority Students

Language acquisition has been described as a subconscious process, learned informally in the context of its functional uses (Chomsky, 1975; Halliday, 1975). Language acquirers are not usually aware of the fact that they are learning language; rather, it is acquired as children use language for communicative purposes.

It has been argued that a similar subconscious process occurs when acquiring competence in a second language (Krashen, 1982; 1985). Children develop linguistically by focusing on the meaning, not on the form or grammar of the message. Thus, one theory of second language acquisition holds that individuals acquire language by understanding messages or by receiving "comprehensible input" (Krashen, 1985). Stimulated by the sheer exposure to oral and written language in and out of school, children are thought to acquire lar uage and literacy incidentally without formal instruction, using the language they already know and cues from their environment (Elley & Mangubhai, 1983; Krashen, 1989).

Whether the amount of "input" is likely to strongly influence the acquisition of reading skills, however, is partially a function of the type of competence children bring to



their second language. For example, Cummins (1979) argues that if children's vocabulary-concept knowledge in their first language (L1) is limited, they may have great difficulty assimilating decontextualized language, and may have little insight into the fact that print is meaningful and that written language is different from speech. Thus, many of these children may be "confronted by nonsense" (Smith, 1977) in the task of reading in a second language (L2), since there is no way for them to relate the printed symbols to a known phenomenon. This would suggest that there is an interaction between children's conceptual-linguistic knowledge and what may be defined as "comprehensible input."

In addition to these cognitive influences, the motivation to learn and to identify with members of the L2 group appears to be an important determinant in successful second language acquisition (Cummins, 1986; Trueba, 1987; 1989). Fearing failure, some children may construct an "affective filter," or defense system which prevents them from utilizing the input they might receive for language acquisition (Krashen, 1985). In order to lower the filter, Krashen suggests that language programs must be highly motivating, nonevaluative, and involve children in ways that they temporarily seem to "forget" that they are hearing or reading another language.

Considering the range of children's conceptual-linguistic knowledge, motivation to learn and its influence on acquisition of input (Cummins, 1979), this study proposed that captioned



television, as a multi-sensory, largely entertaining medium, might be an important instructional resource in learning vocabulary and concepts. Captions are English subtitles which can be seen only on television sets equipped with a special electronic Telecaption decoder. Originally developed for the deaf and hard-of-hearing individuals, marketing studies suggest that over half of the Telecaption decoders are actually sold to the hearing population, many of whom are immigrant families (National Captioning Institute, 1989).

There are several reasons to believe that captioned television might especially benefit bilingual students. First, television's combination of pictures and sounds used to convey content such as verbal language might help children transform words into a representational form. Blosser (1988), for example, reported a positive relationship between television and reading comprehension scores for Hispanic students, albeit for those children with some English proficiency.

Second, the entertaining qualities of television make it a relatively 'easier' medium to access than text; L1 children generally perceive themselves to be highly efficacious in processing its messages (Salomon, 1984). Anecdotal evidence (Larsen-Freeman, 1983) suggests that L2 students seem to hold similar beliefs about television which might help in minimizing fear of failure in learning. Third, when using appropriate content, viewing can be a cognitively active experience (Anderson & Collins, 1988; Neuman, 1989; 1990; in press), engaging children



in making meaningful predictions of new vocabulary and content as they watch for entertainment. Rice and Woodsmall (1988), for example, using two 6-minute animated shows, found that preschoolers tended to engage in rapid on-line processing of new words with instantaneous attribution of meaning.

Finally, preliminary evidence on the impact of captioning indicates that the technology may be particularly effective for special populations of hearing audiences. Koskinen, Wilson, Gambrell, and Jensema (1987) reported significant differences in word recognition and oral reading skills between learning disabled students who viewed TV with captions as opposed to those who read the print text of captions. Initial studies with ESL adult students found that captions improved vocabulary and listening comprehension (Price, 1984), and comprehension (Markham, 1989). The multisensory characteristics of captioned television seemed to allow bilingual students to view words in meaningful and stimulating contexts.

To explore this issue in greater depth, this study examines whether "comprehensible input" in the form of captioned television might affect bilingual students' acquisition of vocabulary and conceptual knowledge. The purpose of this stuly was threefold. First, rather than focus on conscious language teaching, the study was designed to investigate the incidental acquisition of word meanings in context for bilingual students who exhibited a range of conceptual-linguistic knowledge. With the combination of visual (pictures and words) and auditory



stimuli (speech and sound effects), the guiding hypothesis was that students of varying levels of English proficiency would learn the meanings of many new words as they watched (and read) programs without any formal vocabulary instruction. Our first analysis was designed to examine whether captioned television might provide comprehensible input in comparison with other media. To assess this possibility, we investigated differences among four conditions: 1) captioned television; 2) traditional television viewing without captions; 3) reading along and listening to text; and 4) textbook only. If specific effects among the captioning group were found, a second purpose of the study was to identify the combination of word-related and videorelated variables that contributed to these vocabulary gains. Finally, a third purpose of the study was to examine the relationship between students' linguistic proficiency in English and their learning of vocabulary through "comprehensible input."

Machod

<u>Subjects</u>

One hundred and twenty nine bilingual seventh and eighth graders from 17 classrooms in a middle school participated in the study. The sample, representing the largest concentration of Southeast Asians on the East Coast, included 72% Cambodian, 10% Laotian, 2% Vietnamese students, as well as 16% Hispanic students. Identified by a community needs assessment as an "at risk" target population, children were at least 2 to 3 years below grade level as measured by grade performance (no formal



reading assessments by the school district were administered), 79% were on free or reduced lunch status indicating family financial need, and 69% were refugees (39% arriving in the first wave in the early 1980's; 61%, since 1985). Some of these students had received sporadic education in refugee camps according to family accounts; a small number were reported to be entirely new to any formal educational system.

Upon entrance in the school system, each student was given the IDEA Oral English Proficiency Ter (IPT) (Ballard & Tighe, 1982). A criterion-referenced test, the IPT assesses four areas of English proficiency: vocabulary, comprehension, syntax and verbal expression. An analysis of content validity by the authors indicated that the test covered a representative sample of language items. Using test-retest procedures, reliability ranged from .86 -.96. Scores from this test indicated that 77 students in our sample were at the mastery level (MEP); 23 were fluent (FEP); 26 were limited (LEP), and 3 were nonEnglish speakers (NEP).

All students were enrolled in various configurations (depending on their subject needs) of a transitional bilingual program. This program refers to the use of L1 as an instructional medium when needed in subjects; students are mainstreamed to L2 as soon as sufficient skills allow them to follow instruction in the language. Students in the sample all attended bilingual classes in their L1 language in science. The number of subjects in each classroom varied from a high of 22 to



a low of six. Five teachers participated in the study.

Materials

To explore the effects of learning words in context, television segments were selected from 3-2-1 Contact, a Children's Television Workshop science production, designed for a target audience of 8-12 year olds. This series was selected for its motivational presentation of scientific concepts, its special appeal to girls and minorities, and its magazine format, which offered flexibility in selecting scientific content most appropriate to the specific needs of the seventh and eighth grade curricula.

Forty 5 to 8 minute segments were screened by the authors. These segments were then given to a panel of three subject-area specialists to review on the basis of three criteria: relevance of science concept to curriculum, comprehensibility, and interest. Nine segments were selected by consensus. These were clustered into three separate science units on survival, protection, and breathing.

Three formats for each segment were created. In one format, segments were captioned. Subtitles, with minimally edited language, appeared on the bottom line of the screen at a speed of 120 words per minute. In the second format, the segments were seen without captions. In the third format, texts were written on the basis of the captioned scripts. These texts provided equivalent conceptual information with the same vocabulary occurring at the same frequency as the captioned materials in a

that would be most clearly discernable manner to the reader/listener. Due to differences in media, it was sometimes necessary to sequence the written materials differently than the captioned segments. Thus, for example, in a video segment, sometimes an example of a concept might be conveyed first visually, then described in detail verbally seconds later. When constructing the text, at times it was necessary to reverse this order for the sake of comprehensibility, presenting first the description of the concept followed by a specific example (see Appendix for sample text). None of these texts included any pictorial information.

The most difficult words from each segment were selected independently by five judges. Words for which four out of the five judges agreed became target words. These words were then pilot-tested for visual word familiarity on a bilingual sample of 30 Southeast Asian seventh and eighth graders in a different school. Using a modification of Johnson and Pearson's listen and locate task (1984) the teacher read a word and students identified the target word among four other distractors. Out of a total of 120 words, 90 target words were selected, 10 for each segment. These words included 54 nouns, 23 verbs, 12 adjectives, and 1 adverb. A description of the segments and the target words are shown in Table 1.

Insert Table 1



Measures

Pretests. For each unit of instruction, two pretests were The checklist vocabulary test, using guidelines developed. suggested by Anderson and Freebody (1983) and Nagy, Herman and Anderson (1985), was used as a measure of vocabulary knowledge prior to each science unit. Students were to indicate after reading each word silently whether they knew the meaning of the word by circling yes or no. Nonwords were used to adjust for guessing. The checklist tests used in this study contained 120 items in the following categories: 1) thirty general vocabulary words representing a range of words chosen from Dupuy's (1974) list of 123 general vocabulary words; 2) fifteen decoding distractors, (i.e. giraves, tornato); 3) fifteen pseudoderivatives, (i.e. defeatous, aunthood); fifteen nonwords, (i.e. behart, yaldo); and 4) thirty target words. Three checklist tests were developed, one for each science unit.

A prior knowledge test was constructed to assess students' conceptual knowledge of the science material about to be presented in each unit. This test contained nine multiple-choice questions with four options. Directions were to circle all correct options, with more than one answer possible for each item. Students could score a total of 15 on the test.

Posttests. Based on Nagy, Anderson, and Herman's theory of the incremental nature of learning words in context (1987), tests were designed to measure a range of word knowledge.

Two measures were administered at the end of each of the



nine weeks to analyze word recognition and recall of information. A weekly 10-item word recognition test was developed to measure students' ability to distinguish targo, words from nonword distractors. The test required students to circle a word they knew in each line from three other distractors all resembling the target word, as in the following examples:

- 1. atparphic atmosteric atherostic atmospheric
- 2. suffocate sappulate stimigrate stamurate

A concept question was developed for each weekly lesson to elicit written retellings. These questions were designed to measure the frequency of target words used in students' writing, as well as to assess their ability to recall information. For example, the concept question in Lesson 1 was, "Explain what you learned about keeping your body warm when it is very cold." The question was followed by ten blank lines.

At the end of each three week unit, a sentence anomaly test was constructed for assessing students' ability to understand the target words in context. Three target words, considered most central to the science concept in each segment, were selected through discussion by three judges. In this manner, nine words were selected for each unit. Using a format developed by Stahl and Clark (1987), three sentences were written for each word; one sentence used the word in context correctly, and one used it incorrectly. A third was randomly chosen to be either correct or anomalous, so that half were correct, and the other half incorrect, as in the following example.



1.	True	False	It is a natural instinct for	r animals
5	Messa	Falso	to search or food.	

5. True False The instinct has been in the house

8. True False A dog's instinct is to chase and bite.

Sentences with similar target words were randomly interspersed among the total number of items. Students were told to read each sentence and indicate which of the sentences could be true or false. There were 27 items on the test for each unit. Cronbach's alpha, measuring internal consistency, was adequate for each unit test measure (.74, .78, .80 respectively).

Finally, at the end of the study, a 90-item multiple choice test was constructed to measure knowledge of all target word meanings. Each of the target words was presented in isolation, with the correct response and three distractors. All options were designed to be relatively easy to read; distractors were the same parts of speech as the target word, but semantically quite different, as in the following example:

thermography means:

- a. a place where plays or movies are shown.
- b. a photographic record of heat.
- c. the shape of a land mass.
- d. a long hairy spider.

Cronbach's alpha was .91. To reduce student fatigue, this test was divided into two parts and given on two separate days.

In summary then, these posttest measures analyzed a continuum of vocabulary knowledge. At the lowest level, questions



could be answered on the basis of word recognition alone, without any knowledge of an individual word's meaning. At a slightly higher level of difficulty, some understanding of the meaning of a word was required to determine if it made sense in a familiar context. At a more difficult level, some minimal knowledge of the definition of isolated words was needed. The first level of word knowledge was assessed by weekly posttests, and the second level, at the end of each unit; a final posttest was given to measure the highest level of word knowledge. Three written retelling questions for each unit assessed the frequency of target words used in writing and the ability to freely recall science content.

Procedures

Intact classes were randomly placed in one of four groups:

1) captioned TV (N=32), 2) traditional TV without captions (N=37); 3) reading along and listening to text (N=32); and 4) textbook only (N=28). An analysis of variance indicated no significant differences between groups for IPT scores, F (3, 125)= 1.05, n.s.. Three of the teachers taught in all four conditions; two teachers, in two of the conditions.

Each science unit was taught over a three-week period. Prior to instruction, students in all four conditions were administered the vocabulary and prior knowledge pretest measures. One science lesson was then given to each class at the beginning of the week. This same lesson was repeated toward the end of the week, as reinforcement.



Students in the captioned TV (Group 1) and traditional TV viewing (Group 2) conditions were given a one sentence general introduction to the video, such as "watch to find out how animals survive in the winter." The television segment was then viewed without interruption. A brief summary statement followed the lesson. No definitions or explanations of target words were given. Total lesson time was approximately 15 minutes.

Following the same introduction as the video conditions, students in the reading along and listening to text condition (Group 3) were encouraged to read the stories first silently. Then with the help of their teachers, these stories were read aloud by a volunteer; others listened and followed along. As with the other groups, no instruction on target words or general discussion occurred. Questions were answered as briefly as possible. Lessons took approximately 20 minutes.

The textbook only condition (Group 4) acted as a control group. Science instruction in these bilingual classes was given in L1 followed by reading and exercises from their textbooks in L2.

At the end of each week following the second lesson, students in the first three conditions were given a word recognition test and a concept question for written retelling. These measures assessed immediate recognition of vocabulary and recall of concepts. The control group received only the pretests, the sentence anomaly unit tests, and the total word meaning posttest.



Two research assistants monitored the instructional conditions by informally visiting different classrooms and meeting with teachers on a weekly basis. The study was conducted over a 12-week period.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed in three steps. The first set of analyses examined differences among groups in recognizing and understanding words in context across three different science units. Scores from the three weekly word recognition tests in each unit were combined. Written retellings were analyzed by counting the total number of idea units contained in each recall protocol. Nine templates were developed for each concept question. These were used to quantify the number of idea units written in each protocol. Inter-rater reliability, determined by two judges rating a sample of 20 protocols per question, ranged from .90 to .98. The number of student's idea units (not counting repetitions), along with the target words used in weekly retellings were totaled for each unit.

Analyses of covariance were performed separately for each unit with three comparison conditions (captioned TV, traditional TV, and reading text) using the word recognition, and retelling scores, along with the target words used in these retellings, as dependent variables. ² The checklist vocabulary test and the prior knowledge test, specific to the unit of instruction, were used as covariates. Since the textbook control group did not receive weekly tests, analyses were conducted for all four



conditions for the sentence anomaly unit tests and the total word meaning posttest only. Planned comparison contrasts (Keppel, 1982) were conducted to test whether the captioned TV group differed significantly from other comparison conditions.

A second set of analyses from the captioned TV group was performed to determine if certain word-related and video-related factors reported to be associated with learning words in context (Carnine, Kameenui & Coyle, 1984; Elley, 1989; Jenkins, Stein, & Wysocki, 1984; Nagy, Anderson & Herman, 1987; Sheffelbine, 1990) were also indicative of incidental word learning from captioning.

To conduct this set of analyses, four variables were examined for each of the 90 target words. First, on the basis of research by Jenkins, Stein, and Wysocki (1984), and Elley (1989), exposure to words was predicted to be strongly related to vocabulary gains. This variable was measured by the number of times the target word was captioned. Second, the conceptual difficulty of the word has been reported by Nagy, Anderson and Herman (1987) to be an important indicator of incidental word Using a modified coding strategy from their study, this variable was estimated by having three ESL specialists rate each of the target words on a 4-point scale, ranging from "concept known and easily describable" to "concept not known and requires the learning of new information." Third, the importance of the word to the development of the science concept was analyzed by having teachers rate each word on a 4-point scale ranging from "not important to very important." Fourth, the



strength of the contextual support for each word was analyzed. Visual support was analyzed using a 4-point scale: 1) word actually represented in video form; 2) word described in video form; 3) word mentioned but not shown; 4) word mentioned with contrasting video. Contextual ratings for words were measured using Beck, McKeown, and McCaslin's (1983) 4-point rating scale: 1) directive: word meaning explicitly stated in captioned text; 2) general: context provided some information about word meaning 3) nondirective: context provided no assistance; and () misdirective: context seemed to lead to incorrect word meaning. These two scales were combined to form a contextual support measure, analyzing the degree to which these two contexts facilitated incidental word learning.

Three raters were trained in coding procedures. Following discussion of categories, each rater independently coded all words. The mean rating for each word was calculated among the three coders, and these means were used in the analyses.

These four variables were entered into a hierarchial multiple regression analysis, using the proportion of students in the captioned television group correctly identifying the target word meaning on the posttest as the dependent variable. Knowledge of the target word (as measured by the checklist vocabulary tests) was entered first in the equation to remove variance based on students' prior knowledge of words. Next, word properties were entered in the order of occurrence, difficulty, importance, and context to determine the extent to which each of



these properties were likely to contribute to learning words from context.

Finally, a third analysis was designed to measure whether vocabulary gains were influenced by students' existing language competence in L2. Combining all conditions, analyses of covariance, with pretest scores as covariates, examined the sentence anomaly unit tests and the overall word meaning posttest by levels of language proficiency as measured by the IPT scores.³

Results

Learning words in context

Our first analysis was designed to measure differences between groups in degrees of word learning. Tables 2 and 3 give the adjusted means and standard deviations for the word recognition, sentence anomaly, and word meaning posttests.

Insert Table 2 about here

Planned comparisons indicated that the captioned TV group scored significantly higher than the reading text group for all three units on word recognition (\mathbf{F} (2, 96) = 6.06, p < .05; 8.04, p < .01; 13.20, p. 001). Differences favoring those watching captioned TV from the traditional TV group were significant for Unit 2 (\mathbf{F} (2, 96) = 7.33, p < .01), but not Units 1 or 3.

Results analyzing differences among all four groups from the sentence anomaly unit tests, requiring knowledge of words in



context, indicated a similar trend in favor of captioning.

Insert Table 3 about here

Significant differences were recorded for three unit tests between the captioned TV group and the reading text group (F (3, 123)=11.81, p < .001; 13.41, p < .001; 10.65, p < .001) and the control group (F (3, 123)=8.56, p < .01; 17.39, p < .001; 16.49, p < .001). Again, differences were significant between captioned and traditional TV viewing groups for Unit 2 only (F (3, 123)=4.65, p < .05).

Scores on the word meaning posttest, analyzing students' knowledge of all target words, showed that the captioned TV group significantly differed from the three other groups including those viewing traditional TV (F $(3, 123) \approx 3.85$, p < .05; reading text (F (3, 123) = 23.26, p < .001); and the control group (F (3, 123) = 17.38, p < .001). Through captioned television, bilingual students appeared to make significant gains in vocabulary knowledge without any formal instruction.

Insert Table 4 about here

In sum, subjects in the captioned TV group consistently achieved higher mean scores than all other comparison groups on all word knowledge tests. These differences, however, were not always statistically significant from the other television

viewing group. These results suggest that the visual representation of words in video form appeared to be an important contributor to students' increased word knowledge.

Analysis of students' weekly recall of science concepts among the three comparison groups receiving equivalent information, indicated a similar trend as shown in Table 5.

Insert Table 5 about here

Subjects in the captioned TV group scored significantly higher on the number of idea units recalled from the science selection than those in the reading text group (F (2, 97)=21.02, p <.001; 13.81, p <.001; 18.18 p .001, respectively). In only Unit 1 were significant differences reported between the two video conditions (F (2,97)=4.46, p. 037). Closely associated with the number of idea units, the captioned TV group used target words more frequently in their writing than those in the reading text group for Units 1 and 2, (F (2, 98=8.75, p <.01; 13.59, p .001) and differed significantly with the traditional TV viewing group in Units 2 and 3 (F (2,98=5.82, p <.018; 3.91, p <.05).

Insert Table 6 about here

Thus, the results favoring captioning reflected not only different degrees of word knowledge, but qualitatively different kinds of word knowledge. Taken together, these data offer support



represented. Table 8 describes this relationship, indicating that as the level of contextual support decreases, so does the percentage of subjects answering correctly on the word meaning posttest.

Insert Table 8 about here

Linquistic competence and learning words in context

Finally, the third analysis examined whether the acquisition of word knowledge through comprehensible input was influenced by students' linguistic competence. Oral English proficiency scores were used to define language competence; post-test scores on the three sentence anomaly tests and the word meaning posttest were used as dependent measures of vocabulary learning. Table 9 displays means and standard deviations for those students defined as having limited, fluent or mastery-level skills in oral English. Three students, defined as nonEnglish speakers, were not included in this analysis.

Insert Table 9 about here

Results indicated that after adjusting for prior vocabulary knowledge, students at the mastery level of linguistic competence scored consistently higher than those who were of limited English proficiency. With the exception of Unit 3, the significant differences reported seemed to lie primarily between those who



were of limited and mastery proficiency levels (F 2, 121)= 33.14; p < .001; 16.36, p < .001 respectively) for the sentence anomaly test, and the word meaning posttest (F (2, 121) = 9.81, p < .01). Once students have become relatively fluent in English, however, scores did not significantly differ with those at the mastery level. The exception was Unit 2, where significant differences between fluent and mastery levels were recorded (F (2, 121)= 16.44, p < .001).

Higher levels of English proficiency, therefore, were associated with more vocabulary learned. Though word learning occurred at all levels, these data suggest that without increasing competence in English, word knowledge through incidental learning tended to follow the "rich get richer" maxim of the "Matthew Effect" (Shefelbine, 1990; Stanovich, 1986; Walberg & Tsai, 1983).

Conclusion

Central to Krashen's theory of second language acquisition is that basic competence in L2 is a function of the amount of "comprehensible input" acquirers receive and understand, as well as the degree to which they are provided with the motivation to learn. Children are thought to acquire language and literacy by reading structures that are "a little beyond" where they currently are. Thus, according to Krashen (1989), the acquisition process in language and reading is identical to what has been termed "incidental learning."

It follows, then, that reading materials with informative



contextual supports will most likely lead to a greater amount of incidental learning of word knowledge. Herman, Anderson, Pearson and Nagy (1987), for example, found that by elaborating the context to provide more thorough descriptions of concepts, eighth grade students gained more word knowledge than those reading the original texts. Elley (1989), as well, reported that the helpfulness of the context was positively correlated with the incidental learning of words.

In this study, we examined how "comprehensible input" in the form of captioned television, might influence the incidental learning of words for bilingual students. As a medium for incidental learning, it provided a number of clear advantages. Here, there were two contextual supports systems, with words vividly portrayed by video and accompanied by the printed word. In addition, captioned television had the advantage of being rather easy to access, providing a shared learning environment for student participation.

But there were also a number of potential disadvantages. First, the medium presents its content at an invariant pace; there were no opportunities in each session to review or reread. Second, captions are shown at a rate of approximately 120 words per minute, providing a challenge to even the most accomplished developing readers (Spache, 1981). Third, some have suggested that the "crowdedness" of television, requiring readers to simultaneously process through multiple modalities might be difficult due to hypothesized limits of human attention (LaBerge



& Samuels, 1974; Singer & Singer, 1983). With the decoding task so difficult for bilingual students, some question whether they have the attentional capacity to read, view, and listen at the same time (Williams & Snipper, 1990).

Contrary to these concerns, the results of this study clearly indicated that students incidentally learned more words from captioned television than either of the two treatment conditions as well as the control group. On all measures of word knowledge, students who viewed captioned television consistently outscored those who did not. Similarly, students in the captioning group appeared to remember more science information These results suggest that, in contrast to than others. exceeding their attentional capacity, different kinds information provided by different modalities appeared to enhance incidental learning from context. These findings may extend the results reported in McMahon's "reading while listening" study with developmental readers. Her study reported that the skill of combining modalities occurs early on and that flexibility in applying the skill increases through the grades (1983).

In this study, visual and printed contexts that provided explicit, and thus, redundant information supported incidental word learning. With such a carefully designed program as 3-2-1 Contact (CTW), it was not surprising that over 43% of the target words selected were viewed and read in supportive contexts. Using clips from ABC Afterschool Specials, another carefully developed series, Flagg, Carrozza, and Jenkins (1980) found similar results



in their pilot study of captioning with partially deaf students, reporting that eye fixations with complementary contexts were not reduced, while comprehension was increased. Whether these findings might also extend to typical television fare with its complex verbal word play, however, is an important area for further research. Generalizations regarding the benefits of captioned television, therefore, must be limited to take into-account the relationship between the particular content and incidental learning.

The results of this study have important implications for a theory of word learning through context. Nagy, Herman and Anderson (1985) have argued that regular, wide reading must be regarded as the major avenue of large-scale vocabulary growth. Certainly, television as a mass medium, with its vocabulary gauged at about 4th grade level (Comstock, 1978), cannot compete with the intellectual range of print materials. But it is probably a serious oversight to discount television as a medium for word learning. In this study, for example, subjects who viewed science segments appeared to gain a great deal of vocabulary knowledge, even without the accompanied captioned words. A content analysis by Rice (1984) suggests that at least some of the dialogue presented in children's television is wellsuited to their linguistic competencies. L1 children seem to absorb quick partial meanings of words, referred to as "fast mapping" (Dickinson, 1984) as they view television without intensive conversational interactions. Krashen argues that a



similar mechanism occurs with L2 students (1982). These examples would imply that vocabulary growth occurs through many different learning "contexts" in addition to book reading.

The results of this study indicated that students' ability to acquire vocabulary through context appeared to be influenced by their level of linguistic competence. Those who were more fluent in L2 learned more vocabulary than those who were of limited English proficiency. In concurrence with Cummins (1979), this analysis suggests that the level of competence or threshold that bilingual children achieve in L2 acts as an intervening variable in mediating the effects of learning through comprehensible input. This finding has important implications, for it suggests that without direct teacher intervention, input alone is not sufficient for those who are below a threshold of linguistic competence in their new language. In this respect, the input hypothesis appears in need developing specific of instructional strategies sensitive to differing levels and types of bilingualism.

In conclusion, the results of this study substantiate previous research (Elley & Mangubhai, 1983) indicating that bilingual students develop word meanings and language through comprehensible input. Captioned television appeared to provide a particularly rich language environment which enabled students to incidentally learn words through context as they developed concepts in science. These results suggest that along with the development of instructional strategies, comprehensible input may



be an essential environmental ingredient in language acquisition and reading development for bilingual students.



References

- Anderson, D. & Collins, P. (1988). The impact on children's education: Television's influence on cognitive development (Contract No. 430-86-0055). Washington, DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvement.
- Anderson, R.C. & Freebody, P. (1983). Reading comprehension and the assessment and acquisition of word knowledge. In B. Hutson (Ed.), Advances in reading/language research (pp. 231-256). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Ballard, B. & Tighe, P. (1982). The Individualized English

 Proficiency Test. Brea, CA: The Idea Company.
- Beck, I., McKeown, M., & McCaslin, E. (1983). All contexts are not created equal. <u>Elementary School Journal</u>. 83, 177-181.
- Blosser, B. (1988). Television, reading and oral language development: The case of the Hispanic child. The NABE Journal, 13, 21-42.
- Carnine, D., Kameenui, E.J. & Coyle, G. (1984). Utilization of contextual information in determining the meaning of unfamiliar words. Reading Research Ouarterly, 19, 188-204.
- Chomsky, N. (1975). <u>Reflections on language</u>. New York: Pantheon.
- Comstock, G. (1978). Trends in the study of incidental learning from television viewing. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 168 605).
- Cummins, J. (1979). Linguistic interdependence and the



- educational development of bilingual children. Review of Educational Research, 49, 222-251.
- Cummins, J. (1986). Empowering minority students: A framework for intervention. Harvard Educational Review, 56, 18-36.
- Dickinson, D. (1984). First impressions: Children's knowledge of words gained from a single exposure. Applied Psycholinguistics, 5, 359-374.
- Dupuy, H. (1974). The rationale. development. and standardization of a basic word vocabulary test.

 Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office (DHEW Publication No. HRA 74-1334).
- Elley, W. (1989). Vocabulary acquisition from listening to stories. Reading Research Quarterly, 24, 174-187.
- Elley, W. & Mangubhai, F. (1983). The impact of reading on second language learning. Reading Research Ouarterly, 19, 53-67.
- Flagg, B, Carrozza, F. & Jenkins, R. (1980). <u>Perception and comprehension of captioned television: A pilot study</u>. Cambridge: Center for Research in Children's Television, Harvard University.
- Glass, G.V. & Hopkins, K. D. (1970). <u>Statistical Methods in Education and Psychology</u>. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Halliday, M.A.K (1975). Learning how to mean: Explorations in the development of language. New York: Elsevier North-Holland.

- Herman, P.A, Anderson, R.C., Pearson, P.D., & Nagy, W. (1987).

 Incidental acquisition of word meaning from expositions with varied text features. Reading Research Ouarterly, 22, 263-284.
- Jenkins, J., Stein, M., & Wysocki, K. (1984). Learning vocabulary through reading. <u>American Educational Research</u>

 Journal, 21, 767-788.
- Johnson, D. & P.D. Pearson (1984). <u>Teaching reading vocabulary</u>. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Keppel, G. (1982). <u>Design and analysis: A research's handbook</u>. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Koskinen, P.S., Wilson, R.M., Gambrell, L.B., Jensema, C.J.

 (1987). <u>Using the technology of closed-captioned television</u>

 to teach reading to handicapped students. Performance

 Report, United States Department of Education. Grant No. G
 00-84-30067. Falls Church, VA: National Captioning
 Institute.
- Krashen, S. (1982). <u>Principles and practice in second language</u>
 acquisition. New York: Pergamon.
- Krashen, S. (1985). <u>The input hypothesis: Issues and implications.</u> New York: Longman.
- Krashen, S. (1989). We acquire vocabulary and spelling by reading: Additional evidence for the input hypothesis. The Modern Language Journal, 73, 440-464.
- LaBerge, D., & Samuels, S.J. (1974). Toward a theory of automatic information processing in reading. Cognitive



- psychology, 6, 293-323.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (1983). The importance of input in second language acquisition. In R. Anderson (Ed.), <u>Pidginization</u> and creolization as second language acquisition (pp. 87-93). Rowley, MA: Newbury House.
- Markham, P.L. (1989). The effects of captioned television videotapes on the listening comprehension of beginning, intermediate, and advanced ESL students. Educational Technology, 29, 38-41.
- McMahon, M. (1983). Development of reading-while-listening skills in the primary grades. Reading Research Quarterly, 19, 38-52.
- Nagy, W.E., Anderson, R.C., & Herman, P. (1987). Learning word meanings from context during normal reading. <u>American</u>
 <u>Educational Research Journal</u>, 24, 237-270.
- Nagy, W.E., Herman, P., & Anderson, R.C. (1985). Learning words from context. Reading Research Ouarterly, 20, 233-253.
- National Captioning Institute. (1989). The 1988 TeleCaption 3000

 Warranty Card Analysis. Falls Church, VA: National
 Captioning Institute.
- Neuman, S.B. (1990). Enhancing children's comprehension of a televised story through previewing. <u>Journal of Educational</u>
 Research, 83, 258-265.
- Neuman, S.B. (1989). The impact of different media on children's story comprehension. Reading Research and Instruction, 28, 38-47.



- Neuman, S.B. (in press). <u>Literacy in the television age: The</u>

 myth of the TV effect. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Price, K. (1984, Fall). Cloud-captioned TV: An untapped resource. MATSOL Newsletter, 12, 4-5.
- Rice, M. (1984). The words of children's television. <u>Journal of Broadcasting</u>, 28, 445-461.
- Rice, M. & Woodsmall, L. (1988). Lessons from television: Children's word learning when viewing. Child Development, 59, 420-429.
- Salomon, G. (1984). Television is "easy" and print is "tough":

 The differential investment of mental effort as a function of perceptions and attributions. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>. 76, 647-658.
- Shefelbine, J.L. (1990). Student factors related to variability in learning word meanings from context. <u>Journal of Reading Behavior</u>, 22, 71-97.
- Singer, J. & Singer, D. (1983). Implications of childhood television viewing for cognition, imagination, and emotion.

 In J. Bryant & D. Anderson (Eds.), Children's understanding of television (pp. 265-291). New York: Academic.
- Smith, F. (1977). Making sense out of reading instruction.

 Harvard Educational Review, 47, 386-395.
- Spache, G. (1981). Diagnosing and correcting reading disabilities. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Stahl, S. & Clark, C. (1987). The effects of participatory expectations in classroom discussion on the learning of



- science vocabulary. <u>American Educational Research Journal</u>, 24, 547-555.
- Stanovich, K.E. (1986). Matthew effects in reading: Some consequences of individual differences in the acquisition of literacy. Reading Research Quarterly, 21, 360-407.
- Trueba, H.T. (Ed.) (1987). <u>Success or failure</u>. Cambridge, MA.: Newbury House Publishers.
- Trueba, H.T. (1989). Raising silent voices. Cambridge, MA: Newbury House Publishers.
- Walberg, H.J. & Tsai, S. (1983). Matthew effects in education.

 American Educational Research Journal, 20, 359-373.
- Williams, J. & Snipper, G.C. (1990). <u>Literacy and bilingualism</u>.

 New York: Longman.



Captioned Television

Table 1
Summary of Unit Lessons and Target Words

Unit	Target words
Unit 1: Survival	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Keeping warm in winter	survive, energy, conserve, shelter, extremities, torso, organs, produce, conditions, blood vessels
Conserving energy	calories, carbohydrates, digestion, evaporate, fracture insulate, perspiring, breathe welding, chink
Generating heat	visual, vicinity, photographed muscles, excess, scarf, comfortable, friction, generated, thermography
Unit 2: Protection	
Instinctual Behavior	guarding, behave, threatening predator, instinct, novel stimulus, social synchrony, flock, protection, passive
Protecting others through Team Work	trauma, respiration, pulse fluid, victim, peripheral, dispatcher, rescue, squad tragedy
Fire Fighting	encounter, fuel, shields, extinguisher, smother, burned oxygen, atmospheric, pressure, suffocate



Unit 3: Breathing

Breathing Underwater

snorkeling, carbon dioxide, scuba, apparatus, compressor underwater, mouthpiece, weigh,

sensation, marine

Running a Marathon

marathon, automatically, exhaust, passages, microscopic alveoli, combustion, exhale, thermostat, joints

Running a Marathon (Part 2)

torture, stockpile, kilometers, emergency, experience, partner, relationship, physical, competitors, spectators

Table 2
Means and Standard Deviations for the Word Recognition Test

Group	Ur M	it 1 SD	Unit 2 M SD		Unit 3 M SD	
Group 1					 .	
Captioned TV	22.15	(4.35)	21.23	(4.51)	22.46	(4.61
Group 2						
Traditional Ty	20.17	(7.41)	17.97	(7.58)	20.17	(7.01
Group 3						
Reading along and listening to text	18.89	(6.88)	17.42	(7.09)	17.32	(6.90

Note: Means are adjusted for pretest vocabulary score and prior knowledge. A total score of 30 was possible.



Table 3

Means and Standard Deviations for the Sentence Anomaly Test

Group	M UI	n <u>it 1</u> SD	Unit	· 	Unit	· -
		30	M	SD	M	SD
Group 1						
Captioned TV	20.85	(2.45)	19.24	(3.43)	21.23	(2.58)
Group 2						
Traditional T						
	20.28	(4.10)	17.50	(4.89)	20.38	(3.38)
Group 3						
Reading along						
and listening to text	18.00	(3.96)	15.91	(3.60)	18.84	(3.10)
Group 4	~	•				
Textbook only	17.34	(3.12)	15.03	(2.86)	17.94	(2.45)

Note: Means are adjusted for pretest vocabulary score and prior knowledge. A total of 27 was possible for each test.

Table 4
Means and standard deviations for the Word Meaning Posttest

roup	Word Meaning Posttest M SD		
roup 1			
Captioned TV	56.56	(11.68)	
roup 2			
Traditional TV	52.34	(15.31)	
oup 3			
Reading along and listening to text	40.59	(14.27)	
oup 4			
extbook only	40.51	(9.31)	

Note: Means are adjusted for total pretest vocabulary score and total prior knowledge scores. A total score of 90 was possible.



Table 5
Means and Standard Deviations for Written Retellings

Group	<u>Unit 1</u> M SD		Unit 2		Unit 3	
	<u></u>	SD —————	M 	SD	M 	SD ·
Group 1						,
Captioned TV	10.92	(2.34)	7.19	(2.46)	6.80	(3.19)
Group 2						
Traditional TV	9.00	(3.78)	7.62	(2.83)	6.37	(2.50)
Group 3						
Reading along and listening to						
text	6.46	(3.69)	4.82	(2.28)	4.15	(1.96)

Note: Means are adjusted for prior knowledge scores.



Table 6

Means and standard deviations for the number of target words used in written retellings

Group	Unit 1		Unit 2		Unit 3	
	M SD		M SD		M	SD
Group 1						
Captioned TV	6.16	(4.56)	4.34	(2.76)	2.75	(2.42
Group 2						
Traditional TV	5.19	(3.76)	2.78	(3.15)	1.70	(1.94)
Group 3						
Reading along and listening to text	3.34	(2.93)	1. "	(1.90)	2.00	(2.23)

Note: Means adjusted for pretest vocabulary scores.



Table 7

Factors Related to Learning Words from Context

Variable	Regression Coefficient	F	P	
Previous Word Knowledge	.67	7.47	.001	
Number of occurrences	.12	1.32	ns	
Difficulty of concept	.01	.14	ns	
Importance of word to concept	.10	1.10	ns	
Context	.21	2.52	.01	

Table 8

Levels of contextual support and learning from context

Level of Contextual Support	No. of Words at this level	Percentage of students answering correctly on Word Meaning Test
Level 1		
Highly supportive context	16	66%
Level 2	24	
Supportive context	24	64%
Level 3	46	63%
Nondirective context		
Level 4		
Misdirective context	4	57%



Table 9

Means and Standard Deviations for Sentence Anomaly
and Word Meaning Posttest by Levels of Linguistic Competence

Level	<u>Un:</u> M	it 1	Unit		Unit :			aning
		SD 	M	SD ———	M	SD	M	SD ———
Limited English	16.51	(3.06)	14.69	(2.95)	18.28	(2.38)	37.85	(12.64)
Fluent English	18.76	(2.98)	14.85	(2.94)	18.93	(2.21)	41.83	(12.39)
Mastery English	19.54	(3.33)	:7.21	(4.10)	19.03	(3.77)	46.69	(16.25)

Note: Means adjusted for pretest vocabulary scores and prior knowledge.



Appendix A

Captioned Script: Fire Fighting: 283 words*

Narrator: Take the match and light the candle.

Chief Eastside showed me how a fire needs air.

This is basically what you have when there's a fire inside a house.

Chief: I'll put the glass over here, and watch what happens.

The fire went out. The fire <u>burned</u> up the <u>oxygen</u> inside that glass. Look what else is happening.

Kathy: The water came up! Why?

Chief: When the <u>oxygen</u> was used up, it created a space.

Atmospheric pressure outside the glass pushes water up inside there. It also left gases inside. We <u>encounter</u> that when we go into a fire. So we enter a room low. Any <u>oxygen</u> left will be down low.

Narrator: Ingelwood Training Academy, California. Me. Fire fighter for a day.

Chief: Here we got fuel. We've got heat, what else do we need?

Kathy: Oxygen.

Chief: And do we have oxygen?

Kathy: There's a whole yardful of it.

Chief: When I light this, you'll get some heat, so step back, put your face shields down. Kathy, take that extinguisher and see if you can put it out. All right, hit it one more time. You notice what's happening? It's like a grease fire at home. The <u>fuel</u> is lighter than the water, it floats to the top. Water won't put it out. How else can we get oxygen from the fire? <u>Smother</u> it. At home, how would you smother it? With baking soda. Here, we'll try dirt. Get those shovels and <u>smother</u> the fire. We've got to cut off the oxygen. It'll take quite a bit.

Kathy: How does it work? - Wy doesn't the fire move elsewhere?

Chief: You're containing it. You're holding the <u>fuel</u> there while you <u>smother</u> the <u>oxygen</u> from it. You cut off the <u>oxygen</u> and <u>suffocated</u> it.

*Target words underlined



Written story: Fighting Fires: 304 words

A fire needs air in order to burn. Place a candle and a candle holder in a dish of water. Take a match and light the candle. Then, if you cover the candle with a glass, the candle will go out. This is because the candle burned up all the oxygen. Keep watching and you will also see the water from the dish begin to rise up inside the glass. This is because when the oxygen was used up, it created a space. Atmospheric pressure outside the glass pushes water up inside the glass.

The fire also left gases inside the glass. Fire fighters encounter this when they go into a burning building, so they enter a room low. Any oxygen left will be down low by the floor.

Fuel and oxygen are both necessary for a fire to burn. There is plenty of oxygen in the air. If a pool of oil catches fire. it produces a lot of heat. The fire fighters need face shields to get close to the fire. If they try to put it out using an extinguisher they discover it doesn't work on oil. This is because oil is lighter than the water and it floats to the top. This is just like what happens in a grease fire in a house. The fire fighter needs to figure out what to use to keep oxygen from the fire to smother it. To smother a grease fire in a kitchen, you could use baking soda. Outside, they can use dirt to smother and cut off the oxygen and suffocate the fire. The dirt also holds the fuel, containing it and stopping it from moving while the dirt is cutting off oxygen to smother the fuel. These are some of the ways to protect yourself when there is a fire.

- 1. Captions were produced by the National Captioning Institute.
- 2. Tests of homeogeneity of the variance-covariance matrices were conducted using Box's M statistic. No significant differences were reported.
- 3. Box' M statistic revealed that Group 3 (Mastery Level) had the greatest covariation while Group 2 (Fluent Level) had the least. The effect of a significant difference in homogeneity of variance is felt strongest when the group with the smallest N is the one with the greatest covariation, resulting in an inflated Type 1 error rate. In the present case, Group 3 had the largest N while Group 2 had the smallest N. This results in a Type 1 error rate that is actually less than our original specified alpha (Glass & Hopkins, 1970). As a consequence, the analyses become conservative to the extent that the null hypothesis is rejected less times than would be expected. Given this situation, no transformations upon the data were performed.

