DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 331 535 JC 910 154

Report of Trends in Enrollment of Transfers: New TITLE

Jersey Public Institutions, 1987-1989. Part 1.

New Jersey State Dept. of Higher Education, INSTITUTION

Trenton.

PUB DATE Feb 91

NOTE 19p.: Prepared by the Transfer Advisory Board. Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical PUB TYPE

Data (110)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

*College Transfer Students; *Community Colleges; DESCRIPTORS

Educational Mobility; *Enrollment; *Enrollment

Trends; *Feeder Patterns; Higher Education;

Longitudinal Studies; Public Colleges; State Surveys; Tables (Data); Transfer Programs; Two Year Colleges;

Two Year College Students; Universities

IDENTIFIERS *New Jersey

ABSTRACT

Designed to examine New Jersey's articulation policy for two- and four-year public institutions, this report by the Transfer Advisory Board (TAB) analyzes longitudinal transfer data gathered from the state's public universities, state colleges, and community colleges for 1987 through 1989. Following a brief introduction, the first part of the report presents a historical overview of statewide articulation efforts, highlighting particularly the Full-Faith-and-Credit policy established in 1973 and updated in 1983. A description is also provided of the creation and role of TAB, a board comprised of representatives from the state colleges, community colleges, and business and industry, responsible for monitoring and addressing the major issues and concerns of the articulation process. In the next sections of the report, data are analyzed for "receiving" and "sending" institutions. Selected findings include the following: (1) transfer students comprised one-third of the new degree-seeking undergraduates (NDSU's) at New Jersey senior public institutions in 1988, and 37% in 1989; (2) in 1988, New Jersey community colleges contributed 46% of the transfers (n=3,491), as compared to 43% (n=3,676) in 1989; and (3) in 1988, the public universities enrolled 41% of the total NDSU's and 37% of all transfers to state's public senior institutions; and (4) for both years, 43% of the NDSU's attending the New Jersey Institute of Technology were transfer students; and (5) in 1989, the state colleges enrolled 63% of all NDSU's and 59% of all transfers entering the New Jersey senior public system. The report concludes with recommendations related to the articulation policy and information-gathering techniques. (JMC)

************* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION TRANSFER ADVISORY BOARD

"REPORT OF TRENDS IN ENROLLMENT OF TRANSFERS NEW JERSEY PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

1987 - 1989*

PART I

		TO REPRODUCE THE	
М.	В.	Villano	_
			_
			-
O THE E	DUC	ATIONAL RESOURCE	ES
NFORMA	TION	CENTER (ERIC)."	

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this document, do riot inecessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

February 1991



ACKNOHLEDGMENTS

The Transfer Advisory Board wishes to thank the following individuals for their assistance in the development of Part I of this report. They include: Dr. May Chen, Sathasivam Krishnan and Sharon Schley of the Office of Research and Planning. Their assistance was invaluable.



INTRODUCTION

A 1986 Department of Higher Education <u>Report of Trends in Enrollment of Transfers to New Jersey Colleges</u> noted that "transfer students are an important source of new admissions to undergraduate programs offered by four-year colleges in the state."

Overall, the data in the 1986 report revealed that transfer students represent a significant proportion of entering students at New Jersey's senior public colleges. In fall 1981, transfers to public senior colleges were 9.1 percent (9,863) of undergraduate enrollments; in fall 1985 they were 8.8 percent (8,717). During the same period transfers were 10.9 percent of NJIT's undergraduate students, 8.2 percent of Rutgers' and 8.9 percent of the state colleges'. While 44 percent of the students who entered senior public institutions as transfer students came from community colleges in 1981, this proportion increased to 47 percent in 1985. Nearly 4,100 former county college students enrolled in the senior publics that fall. Thus, county college transfers represented an important source of students to those institutions.

It is not surprising, given these large numbers, that reports from students and colleges regarding problems with transfer and articulation occur frequently. The creation, in 1986, of the Department's Transfer Advisory Board (TAB) was a timely response by the Board of Higher Education (BHE) to begin to address the issues of county community college transfer articulation which affect the State's higher education community.

BACKGROUND

Since its establishment in 1966, the Board of Higher Education's statewide planning efforts has included college transfer policy and the process of articulation, between two and four year institutions, in its statewide planning efforts.

The Board realized that for many students the very meaning of access to higher education and equal opportunity depends upon their ability to enroll initially in community college programs and to transfer the credit earned to four-year colleges offering the baccalaureate degree. The 1970 Goals for Higher Education in New Jersey: Phase I of a Master Plan, guaranteed every two-year college graduate a place in one of the state's four-year colleges.

The growth of community coileges increased the need for the development of statewide policies governing transfer articulation. As a result, in 1973 the BHE approved the Full Faith-and-Credit (FFAC) policy which spoke to chedits earned by graduates and non-graduates of both approved associate's degree transfer programs and terminal degree programs at community colleges and Edison State College. In summary, the policy stated that graduates of approved transfer programs were guaranteed admission to a state college, but not necessarily to the college of their choice or to a specific curriculum. However, criteria established for admission to a particular state college degree program were to be the same for all students — transfers and native students alike. Community college graduates with A.A. and A.S. degrees were considered to have completed all general education requirements: all other credits earned with grades of C or better were to be automatically transferred; and the senior institution was not to require more than 68 credits for a baccalaureate unless a change of major had occurred.



Non-graduates of approved transfer programs, while encouraged to complete the associates degree before applying for advance status in a state college, were technically eligible for full-faith-and-credit transfer if sufficient credits had been earned to graduate, even though a particular course requirement was lacking, so long as that missing course was not a requirement of the receiving institution's. For other non-graduates, the state colleges were permitted to make individual determinations regarding credit acceptability. Provision was also made for the guaranteed transferability of credits for graduates of A.A.S. programs in those instances where the particular state and community college entered into an articulation agreement.

(Not only was this policy endorsed in the 1981 Statewide Plan for Higher Education, but the Board of Higher Education also urged its extension to Rutgers and NJIT as well as to the independent institutions at that time.)

The Full-Faith-and-Credit policy was updated in 1983 in response to the 1981 revision of the state college general education requirements. The state college baccalaureate regulations had been revised in 1981 to require that general education courses constitute approximately half of every state college B.A. and B.S. program and that the "courses be spread across the major arts and sciences disciplines."

At the same time, the section of the FFAC policy which stated that graduates of approved transfer programs (i.e., all A.A. and A.S. programs) "shall be considered to have met all general education requirements of the state college normally expected of their own students in the freshman and sophomore years" was revised. Thus the Board updated the FFAC policy as follows: "General education credits earned by graduates of approved transfer programs shall be accepted in their entirety toward the general education requirement at the state colleges."

The identification of problems with implementation of the FFAC policy has not solely rested with the community colleges. The state colleges have been concerned about having to accept numerous courses in the major field of study that have been completed at the community college when such courses are routinely taught as junior-senior level courses at the state colleges. The state college administrative code limitations on the number of major credits that may be part of a B.A. or a B.S., and the recent elevation of most teacher education courses to the junior-senior level made this a real problem.

Nevertheless, some confusion existed in the state and community college sectors with respect to transfer articulation and the meaning of the FFAC policy. Transcript evaluation procedures and the timing of such evaluations were inconsistent across institutions. At that point, it was not fully clear whether these were endemic problems of articulation or only represented issues requiring fine-tuning and better understanding of the policy.

To address these problems the BHE created the TAB to study the major issues and concerns of the articulation process, to identify exemplary models of successful articulation processes, and to establish a policy agenda for transfer articulation within the state's higher education community.

The TAB is made up of representatives from the state colleges, the community colleges and from business and industry. Participants include Richard White. Director of Educational Development at Merck and Company,



Rahway; Fred J. Abbate, General Manager of Corporate Communications, Atlantic Electric Company; Thomas Grites, Director of Academic Advising, Richard Stockton State College; Carlos Hernandez, Vice President of Academic Affairs, Jersey City State College; J. Harrison Morson, Dean for Student Services, Mercer County Community College; and Mary Pat Robertson-Smith, Vice President and Dean of Instructional Services, Bergen Community College.

The specific charge to the TAB included: (1) develop recommendations to the Chancellor regarding appropriate articulation policy; and (2) make recommendations to the colleges regarding the resolution of individual transfer problems.

In June 1990 the TAB enunciated its goals for the 1990-1991 academic year. To acquire an understanding of transfer practices in the state the TAB determined that there was a need to collect transfer data for N.J. public institutions, including Rutgers University and NJIT. Until this point the collection of transfer data had beer fragmented and sporadic. This Fall, using the Department's Student Unit Record Enrollment (SURE) system, the TAB identified, gathered and analyzed transfer data for the period 1987-1989. Part I of the TAB report presents and analyzes data from the public universities (Rutgers and NJIT), the state colleges and the community colleges. Because the SURE system does not include data from the state's independent institutions, those institutions are not included in this report. Specific areas for which data were collected included: all new degree-seeking undergraduates (NDSU) and transfers admitted by receiving institutions. undergraduate transfers from out-of-state and in-state institutions to N.J. senior public institutions and sending (N.J. community colleges) and receiving (4-year senior institutions).

The TAB is currently reviewing data of transfer student cohorts to determine the progress being made by community college transfer students at the receiving institutions. The variables being studied include: retention/graduation rates and grade point averages. Part II of the report will provide an analysis of these variables by gender and race/ethnicity. The TAB intends to complete Part II of its report in late Spring 1991.

RECEIVING INSTITUTIONS: NEW JERSEY SENIOR PUBLICS

Data in Table 1 indicate that transfer students from all sources continue to be an important source of new admissions to senior public colleges and universities in New Jersey. They made up one-third of the new degree seeking undergraduates (NDSU) at N.J. senior public institutions in 1988, and 37% in 1989. (NDSU is defined as those who, for the first-time, enrolled at the institution in courses for credit which are recognized by the institutions as earning credit towards a degree or formal award.) Meanwhile during a period when enrollments were increasing the absolute number of transfers increased from 7,653 in 1988 to 8,504 in 1989. (Table 1).

The N.J. senior public institutions enrolling the highest percentages (average 1987-1989) of NDSU as transfer students, for the period 1987-1989 include: Rutgers-Camden (55%) and Newark (46%), NJIT (43%, 1988 and 1989 only), Kean (42%), Ramapo (45%) and Stockton (41%) (Table 1). Those institutions which enrolled the lowest percentages of NDSU as transfers are Rutgers-New Brunswick (25%) and Trenton (23%). This may be partially



-3-

explained by the fact that these two institutions, when compared to other institutions offered admission to low percentages of transfers who were new degree-seeking applicants. Data on applications and admissions for the new degree-seeking undergraduates and transfers to New Jersey senior public institutions are displayed in Attachment A.

The ratio of transfers from out-of-state institutions to transfers from in-state institutions is approximately 4 to 6 (**Table 2**). However, data available from the SURE system indicate that the majority of transfers from out-of-state institutions are New Jersey residents: 91% in 1987, 83% in 1988 and 84% in 1989.

Community colleges in New Jersey are major senders of transfers to N.J. senior public institutions. In 1988 and 1989 the sector contributed 46% and 43% of the transfers to New Jersey senior public institutions; although the actual number increased from 3,491 to 3,676. These proportions were similar to those figures in 1981 (44%) and 1985 (46.9%). Transfers from other New Jersey colleges and universities (e.g., public 4-year institutions, 2- and 4-year independent institutions) represented 16% and 17% of the total new transfers in 1988 and 1989. These percentages slightly decreased from the 1981 and 1985 figure which were both 19%.

The Public Universities

In 1988 the public universities (Rutgers and NJIT) enrolled 41% of the total NDSU and 37% of all transfers who entered the state's public senior institutions. In 1989, the percentage of NDSU grew to 43%, the percentage of transfers increased to 41%.

Approximately one-third of the NDSU who entered Rutgers or NJIT in 1988 and 1989 were transfers (Table 1). Despite the fact that slightly more than 40% of them transferred to the public universities from out-of-state institutions, the New Jersey community college sector has been the leading sender. As high as 44% in 1988 and 45% in 1989 of all transfers to the public universities came from the state's community college system. The remaining students who transferred to Rutgers or NJIT were from other colleges in New Jersey (13% in 1988 and 14% in 1989) (Table 2).

Rutgers University

Rutgers University (all campuses) enrolled approximately one-third of all transfers to the New Jersey senior public institutions (31% in 1988 and 35% in 1989). Among the three campuses, Rutgers-New Brunswick enrolled the highest percentage of transfers (16% in 1988 and 21% in 1989), followed by Rutgers-Newark (8% in 1988 and 7% in 1989) and Rutgers-Camden (7% in 1988 and 6% in 1989).

In 1987 the University enrolled 37 percent of its undergraduate transfers from out-of-state colleges. Out-of-state college transfers increased by 6 percent to 43 percent in 1988 but declined to 42 percent in 1989. In all three years, the data indicate that higher percentages of out-of-state transfers enrolled at Rutgers-Newark and New Brunswick than at Rutgers-Camden (Table 2).



-4-

At Rutgers University (all campuses) in 1987, 63 percent of its undergraduate transfers (N=2,821) were from in-state colleges (Table 2). In 1988 the percentage declined by 6 percent to 57 percent (N=2,411) then slightly increased by 1 percent in 1989 (N=3,022). In 1987, 46 percent of the transfers were from N.J. community colleges. The percentage declined to 43 percent in both 1988 and 1989. This decline occurred mainly at Rutgers-Newark and Rutgers-New Brunswick. At Rutgers-Camden, both numbers and percentages of transfers from community colleges grew steadily over the three year period.

In 1987 transfers from other 2-and 4-year colleges totaled 18 percent. This figure declined by 4 percent to 14 percent and remained unchanged in 1989.

NJIT

For the two years for which data were available 43% of the NDSU enrolled at NJIT were transfer students (Table 1). The number as well as the percentage of transfers from out-of-state institutions decreased over this two year period. Both community colleges and other colleges in New Jersey contributed to the increase of transfers from in-state institutions. The number of transfers from in-state institutions grew from 241 to 341, and the percentages increased from 56% to 69% (Table 2).

The State Colleges

In 1988 the state colleges enrolled 59% of all NDSU and 57% of all transfers who entered N.J. senior public institutions. In 1989, the percentages increased to 63% and 59%, respectively (Table 2).

Compared to the public universities, New Jersey state colleges enrolled a slightly higher proportion of NDSU as transfer students. In 1988, 35% of the state colleges' NDSU were transfers, while only 30% of the Universities NDSU were transfers. In 1989, the gap i rrowed down to 3%: transfers comprised 38% of the NDSU at the state colleges and 35% at Rutgers University and NJIT combined (Table 1). Meanwhile, higher percentages of transfers who entered the state colleges, than to the public universities, were from in-state (Table 2). This difference is mainly attributable to transfers from in-state institutions other than community colleges: 18% of the state colleges' transfers were from other in-state institutions in both 1988 and 1989, when compared to 13% in 1988 and 14% in 1989 of the universities transfers. The two sectors had similar proportions of transfers from New Jersey community colleges. Community college transfers comprised 46% in 1988 and 42% in 1989 at the state colleges, and 44% and 45% at the public universities.

Among the state colleges. Kean, Ramapo and Stockton enrolled more than 40% of their NDSU as transfers, while Trenton enrolled approximately a quarter (Table 1).

Compared to other state colleges, transfers enrolled at Kean, Stockton, and Trenton are mainly transfers from the state's community colleges.

From 1987 to 1989 Jersey City (+9%), Ramapo (+17%), Stockton (+12%) and William Paterson (+10%) increased the percentage of transfers from out-of-state institutions (**Table 2**). There was a large increase (+39%) at Glassboro between 1988 and 1989.



MAJOR SENDING INSTITUTIONS: NEW JERSEY COMMUNITY COLLEGES

Preliminary data gathered for Part II of this report appear to indicate that the majority of transfers from N.J. community colleges transfer to the state's senior public institutions prior to receiving an associate degree. Complete data will be analyzed in Part II of this report.

Data in Table 3 reveal that from 1987 to 1989 Bergen (463), Middlesex (424), Morris (365) and Brookdale (300) sent the highest average number of transfers to N.J. public 4 year institutions. Transfers from Middlesex (+225) and Union (+181) to senior public institutions showed an appreciable increase in number during those years.

The number of transfers from N.J. community colleges to N.J. senior public institutions ranged from 0-441 in 1987, 0-443 in 1988, and 3-506 in 1989. The median number of students transferring from N.J. community colleges grew from 135 in 1987 to 157 in 1988, then slightly declined to 152 in 1989.

Most transfers from N.J. community colleges tended to continue their education at institutions close to the community college they had attended. For example, in 1989 transfers from Atlantic predominantly attended Stockton (65), those transfering from Bergen attended Montclair (106), William Paterson (136) and Rutgers-Newark (54), while transfers from Burlington (39) and Camden (180) attended Rutgers-Camden.

Detailed information on undergraduate transfers by sending community colleges, for the three year period 1987-1989, is presented in Tables 3 and 4.

CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

As indicated by the recent data, transfer students continue to be an important source of the new degree-seeking students at the state's senior public institutions. The TAB believes that the apparent commitment of Rutgers and NJIT to enrolling transfer students warrants consideration of extending the FFAC policy to Rutgers University and NJIT and providing both institutions with membership on the TAB by the Board of Higher Education. The extension of the FFAC policy and representation on the TAB to both institutions will enhance the transfer articulation process.

The data also show that, in practice, some of the state's senior public institutions enrolled higher proportions of transfers than others. Further, some of them enrolled higher percentages of transfers from out-of-state institutions than from in-state institutions, although the majority of transfers from out-of-state were New Jersey residents. Whether these facts were the result of the number of applications received or of different institutional policies must be studied further.

The data contained in this report suggest that New Jersey community colleges are major senders of transfers to the state's senior public institutions, especially to those institutions in the immediate vicinity the community college they attended. Consequently, the TAB recommends that articulation agreements between senior institutions and near-by community colleges be strongly encouraged.



Although community colleges are major senders of transfers to the senior public institutions, some are more successful than others. In other words, the number of the transfers from some community colleges does not always coincide with the size of their enrollments. This finding displays a need to identify factors which may have prevented some New Jersey community college graduates from further pursuing their education in New Jersey.

To accomplish one of the TAB's responsibilities: to identify exemplary models of successful articulation processes, as a first step, it may be reasonable to study transfer policies of those institutions which enrolled high percentages of new degree-seeking students as transfers. They include Rutgers-Camden, Rutgers-Newark, NJIT, Kean, Ramapo, and Stockton.

In order to enable the TAB to (1) develop recommendations to the Chancellor regarding appropriate articulation policy; and (2) make recommendations to the colleges regarding the resolution of individual transfer problems, the TAB recommends that the following three tasks be undertaken:

- A. Request that all senior institutions submit to the TAB their existing policies regarding the admission of transfer students. An examination of these policies may assist the TAB in identifying problems as well as provide an opportunity for the TAB to make recommendations for the resolution of issues.
- B. Collect information on all transfer and articulation agreements established between senior institutions and two-year colleges in New Jersey. A survey would be distributed to all institutions to gather such information. This activity will not only enable the TAB to develop an understanding of the on-going transfer and articulation policies and practices, but would also assist in the process of identifying model agreements.
- C. Establish a user-friendly data bank which contains the general education and major requirements at all of the New Jersey colleges and universities. This data bank should allow users (students and staff) to compare and contrast the requirements at both the institutional and the program levels.

Table 1. The Number and Percentge of All New Degree-Seeking Undergraduates (NDSU) who Enrolled as True for Students at NJ Senior Public Institutions, 1987 - 1989

	1981	7	198	R	1989					
Receiving Institutions	*	*	*	%	170	%				
Rutgers-Camden										
Transfers	495	55%	541	56%	559	***				
NDSU	901	סרבנ	967	2070	1,0 3 8	54%				
Rutgers-Newark										
Transfers	677	47%	615	44%	622	47%				
NDSU	1,444		1,386		1,323					
Rutgers-New Brunswick										
Transfers NDSU	1,649 6,329	26%	1,255 5,983	21%	1,841	29%				
Rutgers-Total	0,367		3,763		6,452					
Transfers	2,821	33%	2,411	29%	3.022	34%				
NDSU	8,674	2370	8,336	er no	5,022 8,813	34.40				
NJIT	·		·		-,					
Transfers			434	43%	495	43%				
NDSU	N/A		1,015		1,151					
University Sector (Rutgers + NJTT)	_									
Transfers	***		2,845	30%	3,517	35%				
NDSU	N/A	1	9,351		9,964					
Glassboro State										
Transfers NDSU	760 2,011	38%	723 1,959	37%·	568 1,468	39%				
Jersey City	- 		4,000		2,100					
Transfers	382	32%	511	36%	555	39%				
NDSU	1,178		1,430	22.0	1,440	20.0				
Kean										
Transfers	900	41%	1,066	43%	1,014	43%				
NDSU	2,186		2,486		2,346					
Montelair										
Transfers NDSU	754 2,511	30%	735 2,371	31%	774 2,455	32%				
Ramapo	2428		2/2		2,433					
Transfers	490	48%	361	39%	490	49%				
NDSU	1,017	שמינה	917	3770	1,003	9378				
Stockton										
Transfers	440	37%	510	41%	565	44%				
NDSU	1,191		1.258		1,279					
Trenton										
Transfers	414	25%	315	24%	278	21%				
NDSU	1,624		1,315		1,346					
William Paternon	440	24.5		A. ~						
Transfers NDSU	619 1,988	31%	587 1,918	31%	743 1,856	40%				
State College Total			-,		-, -					
Transfers	4,759	35%	4.908	35%	4,987	38%				
NDSU	13,706	 :-	13,654		13,193					
Public 4-Year Total										
Transfers			7,653	33%	8,504	37%				
NDSU	N/A		23,005		23,157					

TABLE 2. ORIGINS OF ALL UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS TO NJ SENIOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS $1987\,-\,7989$

Receiving/	1987	,	1986		198:	,
Seeding Institutions	•	%	•	-	•	*
Ratgers-Camdea						
In-State Colleges	30	69%	351	45%	371	66%
NJ Community Colleges Others	263 79	59% 16%	300 51	55% 9%	321 50	57% 9%
Out-of-State Colleges	153	31%	190	35%	188	34%
Unimown	•	0%	D	0%	0	0%
Total	495		541		559	
Ratgert-Newart						
In-State Colleges	434	64%	723	.92%	340	55%
NJ Community Colleges Others	281	42%	243	40%	227	36%
Out-of-State Colleges	153 263	23% 36%	110 257	18% 42%	113 262	18% 45%
Unknown	0	0%	0	0%	-0	0%
Total	677		615		622	
Ratgers-New Brusswick						
In-State Colleges	1,014	61%	675	54%	1029	56%
NJ Community Colleges Others	746 268	45% 16%	493 182	39% 15%	758	41%
Out-of-State Colleges	222	10% 39%	142 580	46%	271 812	15% 44%
Unbown		0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	1,649		1,255		1,841	
Rutgers-Total						
In-State Colleges	1,790	63%	1,364	57%	1,740	50%
NJ Community Colleges	1,290	46%	1,041	43%	1,306	43%
Others	500	18%	343	14%	434	14%
Out-of-State Colleges Unknown	1,031 6	37% 0%	1,027 D	43% 0%	1,282 0	42% 0%
Total	2,821	· · ·	2.411	6 .40	3,022	- 40
NIT						
1s-State Colleges			241	56%	341	69%
NJ Community Colleges			220	51%	263	54%
Others	N/A		21	5%	73	15%
Out-of-State Colleges Unknown			193 8	44% 0%	154 0	31% 0%
Total			431	•	495	¥30
					430	
University Sector (Rusgers + NJTT)						
in-State Colleges NJ Community Colleges			1,625 1,261	57% 44%	2,081 1,574	59% 45%
Others	N/A		364	13%	507	14%
Out-of-State Colleges			1,220	43%	1,436	41%
Uninown			Đ	9%	٥	046
Total			2,845		3,517	
Glassboro State In-State Colleges	•		***			
M Community Colleges	0 8	0% 0%	517 430	72% 59%	1 8 6 163	33% 29%
Others	٥	2%	87	12%	23	4%
Cut-of-State Colleges	0	0%	206	25%	362	67%
Unknows	760	100%	Q	0%	٥	0%
Total	760		723		568	
Jenney City						
In-State Colleges	232	61%	307	60%	287	52%
NI Community Colleges	136	36%	173	34%	153	22%
Others Owt-of-State Colleges	94 150	25% 39%	134 204	26% 40%	134 268	24% 48%
Unknown	9	0%	9	0%	206 8	9%
Total	362		511		555	



TABLE 2. (continued)

Receiving/	198	7	198:	8	198	9
Sending Institutions	•	%	•	%	•	%
Kean						
in-State Colleges	1	0%	790	74%	772	76%
NJ Community Colleges Others	0	0%	549	52%	546	54%
Out-of-Start Colleges	1 0	0% 0%	241 276	23%	226	22%
Usknown	899	100%	470 0	26% 0%	242 0	24% 0%
Total	900		1,066	¥-ra	1,014	UNO
Montdeir						
In-State Colleges	531	70%	496	87~	544	70%
NJ Community Colleges	330	44%	313	43%	337	44%
Others	201	27%	183	25%	207	27%
Out-of-State Colleges	204	27%	204	28%	230	30%
Uaksows	19	3%	35	5%	0	0%
Total	754		735		774	
Ramapo						
In-State Colleges	169	34%	155	4.%	204	42%
NJ Community Colleges	125	26%	115	32%	115	23%
Others Out-of-State Colleges	44 199	9%	40	11%	89	18%
Unknown	122	41% 25%	206 0	57% 0%	2286 0	58% 0%
Totai	490	20	_	0.00	-	(PA)
	430		361		490	
Stockton	•					
In-State Colleges NJ Community Colleges	316 258	72% 61%	370 323	73%	339	60%
Others	48	11%	47	63% 9%	276 63	49% 11%
Owt-of-State Colleges	124	28%	140	27%	226	40%
Unknows	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	440		510		565	
Trenton						
In-State Colleges	261	63%	171	54%	177	64%
NJ Community Colleges	227	55%	148	47%	152	55%
Others Out-of-State Colleges	34	8%	23	7%	25	9%
Unknown	137 16	33% 4%	112	36%	90	32%
Total		476	32	10%	11	4%
	414		315		278	
William Paterson						
in-State Colleges	388	63%	266	45%	500	67%
NJ Community Colleges Others	262	42%	179	30%	360	48%
Out-of-State Colleges	126 143	20% 23%	87 121	15% 21%	140	19%
Unknown	88	14%	200	34%	243 0	33 % 0%
Total	619		587		743	•
State College Total						
In-State Colleges	1,898	40%	3,072	64%	1.000	400
NJ Community Colleges	1,350	28%	2.230	46%	3,009 2,102	60% 42%
Others	548	12%	842	18%	907	18%
Out-of-State Colleges	957	20%	1,469	31%	1,967	39%
Unknown	1,904	40%	267	6%	11	0%
Total	4,759		4,808		4,987	
Public 4-Year Total						
In-State Colleges			4,697	61%	5,090	60%
NJ Community Colleges Others	\$ 1/4		3,491	46%	3,676	43%
Out-of-State Colleges	N/A		1,206 2,689	16% 36%	1,414	17%
Unknown			267	35% 3%	3,403 11	40% 0%
Total			7,653		8,504	√ 70
			- part 4		******	



TABLE 3. INSTITUTIONAL ORIGINS OF UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS FROM NJ COMMUNITY COLLEGES TO NJ SENIOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

1987 - 1989 FALL HEADCOUNTS

Į														Receiv	ring le	St# stiO	R																						
							1									\mathbf{I}												i	danke is	-		Rutger	-	1	gothen	•	Total	Treasi	ens by
		Clean			mey C			Kess			loatele			Rempo		1 —	rockto			Trentor			W. Po			NIT	1000	,	Camdea		•	News			Brune		Sendin		
	1967	1965	1969	1987	1362	1969	1967	1965	1989	1967	1968	1989	1987	1969	1/6/	1987	175	1989	1987	1968	עפעו	1987	1908	INDA	1987	1765	1464	1987	1968	אפאנ	1967	1300	1363	1987	170	1369	170/	1362	136
Sending Institution						l	1	1 .			1				i	1									•						1 .	1 .							١.
Atlantic		20	12		1		1	2		5		4	<u> </u>	1		65	79			2	3		2	1_4		L_3		4	11			1	-			18	25		_
Bergen		9		22	2	<u></u>	<u> </u>	22	_34	106	8		77	2		5	•	12	2	0	. 0	136			_	27		1	. 1		_ 54	<u>52</u>	-						
Brookdele		21	10	•			5		70	23		32		4		25	28	25	18	9	14	10	12	20		30	16	0	_ 0	1	1		10	153	100	105	251		
Burlingson		57	17		1		0	2	4	2	1	•	*	1		13	4	15	*	19	18	1	0	2		2	1	24	79	79				22	9	14	157		
Camden		119	43		0			3	2	3	0	1	2	2	Ī	18	13	17	*	1	1	0	•	0		2	5	134	171	190	1		1	9	12	\$	177		
Comberland		6	16	0	_ C			1	9	0	1	0	1	0		32	4	7	1	2	1	•	1	1		0	6	5	3	•	i		_ (2	**	*	190	
Septem 1		0	0	72	30	()	4	36	41	28	26	18	2	3		0	1	2	٥	٥	Q	3	2	12		31	4	0	0	0	7	6	61	9	; **	29	141	25	22
Dioveester		63	33	0	0		1	0	- 4	1	0	1	1	0		12	. 7	7	3	0	2	٥	0	0		1	0	*	×	27			1	4	2	*	47	\$	
Eudece		0	0	58	66	7	9	7	6	3	2	3	1	3		0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	1		8	18	8	9	0			1	2	2		69	×	16
Mexer		16	6	3	1		2	1 4		•	4	10	3	0		14	14	1.0	63	57	35	3	2	7		19	16	2	8	3	1	1		47	N	60	139	157	_ 11
VidGeez		14	5	1	10		8	150	136	18	23	19	7	0		9	9	12	37	17	23	4	5	11		34	51	0	0	4	25	47	15	194	134	237	72	437	23
Monts		14	4	9	9		8	34	33	312	81	101	11	11		13	15	13	6	10		77	46	87		31	27	1	. 1	. 1	90	3	31	Ü	67	8	222	252	41
Ocean		23	- 7	1	3		4	15	22	14	17	23	6	3		37	72	77	25	17	14	3	6	12		4	_ 5	1	4	5			1	45	24	Z	135	133	23
Passaic		0	0	7	7		त्र 📉	2	1	10		7	1	0		0		9	0	0	1	14	12	22		0	3	0	0	0	9	1		2	0			N	_4
Resites		•	3	2	1		1	34	30	4	4	5	1	0		10	7	2	37	10	9	2	0	3		0	12	0	0	,			2	76	47	_61	118	110	15
Salem		9	3	C	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	9	0		2	7	2	1	1	٥	0	0	0		0	0	4	Q					1	0	1	Î	14	1
Sueses		9	0	0	-		ol I	7	5	0	0	3	1	2		0	1	0	0	C	0	1	2	0		0	0	Ō	0	6				0	0	1	*	7	
Union		2	2	1	9		d d	152	152	26	24	21	2	2		5	7	3	9	3	2		3	12		31	41	1	1	7	35	2	41	49	25	4	147	224	22
Warren		9	0	•	-		o	0	0	9	6	1	٥	0		0	0	-	-	0	1	٥	Ö	0		0	0	0	-	6	-		(0	0	1	8	0	
					1	1-	1	1				-																					1	T					
Total NJ County	9/9	430	163	136	173	15	3 8/2	349	546	336	313	337	125	115	11.	268	323	276	227	148	152	262	179	360	1/1	220	268	263	300	321	20	34	227	746	473	758	2,540	3,491	3,6
College Treasfers					l	l			1 1					1	1	1		,						1		1			1	_		1	<u>1</u>	l .		. ,			<u> </u>
						I	T																	$\Gamma^{}$									1						
Focal Transfers by	760	723	568	363	511	55	5 90	1,066	1,014	754	735	774	48	361	49	440	510	565	414	315	278	619	587	743	10/4	434	495	495	541	559	57	61	42	1,549	1,255	1,341	7,500	7,653	14
Receiving Institution	••			l	}		1	1			1 1			ŀ	1		i							Į	1		1		1 1			I	F	1					1

^{*} NUTT joined the SURE in 1988, therefore, the 1987 data are not available.



^{**} Transfers from NJ 4-year colleges, NJ independent colleges, out-of-state institutions, and unknowns are not reported separately on this table.

TABLE 4.

INSTITUTIONAL ORIGINS OF UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS FROM NJ COMMUNITY COLLEGES TO NJ SENIOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

1987 - 1989 FALL PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTIONS

	Receiving Institution																																						
	Clamboro Jersey City 1987 1988 1989 1987 1988 1989			ity		Kenn		N	fontels	ir		Ramepo				340	,	Tresto		,	. Pate	1804		יזונא			latgen Cande			Ratgen Newer		4	Rutgers v Bruss		Total 1				
	1987	1988	1989	1987	1988	1989	1987		1989	1987	1988	1989	1987	1980	1989	1987	1988	1989	1987	1988	1989						1989								1980				
ending Institution	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			(%)			(5)	(%)	(%)			(%)								(%)	(3)				
thatic		4	2	0	0	, o	· ·	` o	0	1	'n	1	. 0) i	•		1	1	·	1	1	ol 1	1	ď	9	1	` ′2	 '''1			0	0	, 9	1	1	```	\"
ergea		1	0	5	4	3		1	3	14	13	11	16	24	1	7	1	1 7	0	0		0 2	1	S 22			5	0	-	8		7	-6	7	3	7	1 3	7	_
eockdale		2	2	1	3	1		8	7	3	4	•	2	1			\$ 5	4	4	3		\$:	i i	2 3		7	3		0	6	1	1	7	1			3	1	\vdash
erliegton		8	3	0	Ó	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	6		7	!	3	1	6		6		0 8		o	0	17	15	H	0	e e	0		1		2	2	
andra		16		0	9	0		0	0	0	0	0	C	1			!	1 3	7	0		ol I		0 0		0	1	27	32	32	0	1 7	1 6		1		1	1	\vdash
hestoriese		7	3	0	. 0	0		0	0	0	8	0	0	0		N C	7 9	1 3	- 0	1				9 ($\overline{}$	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	8	10	1 8	7	1		_
latez .		0	. 0	6	- 6	6		3	4	4	4	2	0			N (10	0	8		0 (9 2		7	10	0	8	0	1	31	10	1	9	1	1	3	\vdash
Nowcester			5	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		X	1		1	0		1	1	8		0	0	5	-	3	0	-	0	0	7	0	1		\vdash
udece		0	٥	15	13	13		0	1,	0	٥	P	-0	0			1	0	0	8		0 (1	0 (2	4	0	0	0	1	-	1	0	7	0	1	1	\vdash
lexeur		H	1	1	0	ð		0	1	1	1	1	0	0			3	1 7	15	18	2	9	*	0 1		4	3	Ö	1	1	0	E	1	3	1	3			_
iddinets		1	1	2	*	1		16	13	2	73	3	ì				2	7	,	3				1 1		8	10	0	0	1	1	7	7	12	11	13	1		_
fornis		2	1	2	2	1		3	3	11	11	13	7	3			1	4 7	1	3		3 1		11		7	5	0	0	1	7	7	5	5	ड	5	4	3	$\overline{}$
otas.		3	2	0	1	1		1	2	2	2	3	1	1			H	14	6	5		5]		1 2		1	3	0	1	1	0		-0	3	2	3	2	1	abla
nasak		9	0	1	1	1		9	0	1	1	1	0	0)(c	10	0	8		9		2 3		6	1	0		0	1	1		-	0			o	$\overline{}$
aritan		1		1	0	0		3	3	1	1	1	0				1		4	3		3		9 6		0	2	0	-	0	1	1		3	4	3	7		$\overline{}$
nice		1	1	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		T	1		0	0				3 [6		0	5	1	-	1	0		70	0	0	8	a		
		0	٥	•	9	٥		0			8	0	9) (0	6	0		0 (9 6		0	0	0	Ö	- 6	-0	0	0	- 0	8		1	8	_
laice		9	٥	2	2	1		14	15	3	3	3	0	1		7	1		2	1		1	1	1 2		7	8	0	6	0	6		7	7	2	2	2	4	$\overline{}$
Varres		Û	Ô	9	0			9	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0		0 (0 6	T —	٥	0	٥	0	0	0	0	6	0	a	0	0	10	
																	Ι.							Ι.												\Box			
otal NJ County	2/2	55	26	35	34	25	4	23	54	#	43	44	26	22	7	6	63	49	35	47	5.	S 4	3	9 41		51	34	53	35	57	42	-	36	45	35	43	25	- 46	
ollege Transfers								Щ							<u> </u>	ļ	_	-			 	↓_	╙	<u>!</u>	<u> </u>	Ш					<u> </u>	ــــ		Ш			Ш		_
otal Number of	760	723	568	300	511	555	900	1,066	1 014	754	735	774	490	361	49	44	510	565	414		27	619	5	1												********		Frinches (A.	
competed of	,00	,23	708	-	211	233	~~	מטאנו	1,014	/34	22	1/4	470	, 20 1	**	7 🕶	7 "	300	414	315	1 47	" "	7 **	7 43	11/0	434	495	495	341	459	677	615	420	1,047	1,255	1,841	1,500	/,653	1.5
ecciving Institution															ł	i	1	1 '	1	l	[1	1	1	1				1	•	Į.	•	1 /	1 !	1 1	i 1	1 1	, I	1

^{*} NUTT joined the SURE in 1988, therefore, the 1987 data are not available.



^{**} Transfers from NU 4-year colleges, NU independent colleges, out-of-state institutions, and unknowns are not reported separately on this table.

			1987					1988					1989		
Receiving Institutions	e of Applicantions	∉ of Offers	Offers as a % of Applications	# of Enrolled	Enrolled as a % of Offers	# of Applicantions	∉ of Offers	Offers as a % of Applications	# of Enrolled	Enrolled as a % of Offers	# of Applicantions	ø of Offers	Offers as a % of Applications	ø of Earolled	Enrolled as a % of Offers
Rutgen-Camden													••		
Treasfers NDSU	1,237 2,094	822 1,115	56% 53%	512 396	62 % 15%	1,311 2,442	896 1,262	68% 52%	550 419	61% 33%	1,314 2,580	922 1,508	70% 58%	564 491	619 339
Rutgers-Newark															 -
Transfers NDSU	1,988 3,826	1,171 1,824	59% 48%	686 726	59% 40%	2,066 3,988	1,183 1,864	57% 47%	658 729	56% 39%	2,246 3,816	1,232 1,882	55% 49%	695 683	569 369
Rutgers-New Brunswick					i					i					
Transfers NDSU	10,3% 38,185	1,903 17,999	38% 47%	1,971 4,634	50% 26%	10,265 39,840	3,995 18,468	39% 46%	1,785 4,491	45% 24%	11,357 36,116	4,884 18,790	43% 52%	1,993 4,579	414 249
Rutgers-Total					1					1					
Transfers NDSU	13,599 44,105	5,896 20,939	43% 47%	3,169 5,756	54% 27%	13,662 46,270	6,074 21,594	44% 47%	2,993 5,639	49% 26%	14,917 42,512	7,038 22,180	47% 52%	3,252 5,753	469 269
NIT															
Transfers FIDSU	1,093 1,510	752 852	69% 56%	610 462	81% 54%	1,384 1,858	670 1,046	48% 56%	434 510	55% 49%	1,282 1,930	623 1,221	49% 63%	500 607	80 9 509
University Sector (Rutgers + N	<u>ยทา</u>				İ					Ī					
Transfers NDSU	14,692 45,615	6,648 21,791	45% 48%	3,779 6,218	57% 29%	15,046 48,128	6,744 22,640	45% 47%	3,427 6,149	51% 27%	16,199 44,442	7,661 23,401	47% 53%	3,752 6,360	499 279
Glassboro State					1					ì					
Transfers NDSU	1,737 4,306	1,278 2,455	74% 57%	896 1,279	70% 52%			N/A			1,842 4,242	1,125 1,946	61% 46%	717 8 90	649 469
Jersey City															
Transfers NDSU	1,185 2,239	860 1,507	73% 67%	567 801	56 % 53%	1,125 2,668	825 1,735	73% 65%	509 916	62% 53%	1,283 2,729	910 1,761	71% 65%	544 889	609 • 5 09
Kean															
Transfers NDSU			N/A		1	2,273 4,804	1,653 2,862	73% 60%	1,039 1,284	63% 45%	1,825 4,043	1,707 2,822	94% 70%	1,016 1,333	609 479
Montclair															
Transfers NDSU	2,342 7,375	1,124 3,955	48% 54%	751 1,704	67% 43%	2,424 7,718	1,122 3,813	46% 49%	718 1,604	64% 42%	2,573 7,470	1,067 3,701	41% 50%	767 1,693	729 469
Ramapo					1										
Transfers NDS'J	861 2,276	689 1,183	80% 52%	492 526	71% 44%	790 2,352	618 1,117	78% 47%	426 547	69% 49%	1,010 2,4 <i>2</i> 0	787 1,168	78% 48%	544 502	699 439
Stockton															
Transfers NDSU	1.132 3.199	77 <u>3</u> 1,450	68% 45%	461 753	60% 52%	1,401 3,535	901 1,425	64% 40%	556 750	62% 53%	1,443 4,008	1,023 1,781	73°5 44%	550 714	549 409
Trenton															
Transfers NDSU	1,745 5,585	731 2.333	42% 42%	416 1,172	57% 50%	1.492 6.129	541 2,039	36% 33%	97S 353	65/% 49%	1,360 5,427	443 2,218	33% 41%	276 1,058	629 489
William Paterson					1					İ					
Treaten NDSU	1,425 4,55 0	1.123 2,818	79% 62%	601 1,392	54% 49%	1.213 5,194	1,084 3,055	89% 59%	644 1,366	59% 45%	1,415 4,835	1,200 2,857	85% 59%	766 1,138	649 40 9
itate College Total					ļ					1					
Translers NDSU	10,427 29,53 0	6,578 15,701	63% 53%	4,184 7,627	64% 49%	10,718 32,400	5,744 16,046	63% 50%	4,244 7,465	67%	12,75° 35,174	8,262 18,254	ట% 52%	5,180 8,217	639 459
Public 4-Year Total										1					
Transfers NDSU	25,119 75,145	13,226 37,492	53% 50%	7,963 13,845	50% 37%	25,764 80,528	13,488 38,686	52% 48%	7,671 13,614	57% 35%	28,950 79,616	15,9 2 3 41,655	55% 52%	8,932 14,577	569 359

ERIC

Source: NJ IPEDS Form #11, p5