#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 329 152 HE 023 468

AUTHOR Genthon, Michele; Joscelyn, Mary K., Ed.

TITLE Administrative Barriers to Improving Undergraduate

Education. Accent on Improving College Teaching and

Learning, 6.

INSTITUTION Michigan Univ., Ann Arbor.; National Center for

Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and

Learning, Ann Arbor, MI.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED).

Washington, DC.

PUB DATE 89

CONTRACT G008690100

NOTE 7p.

AVAILABLE FROM National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary

Teaching and Learning, 2400 SEB, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259 (free with

self-addressed, stamped envelope).

PUB TYPE Collected Works - Serials (022) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Academic Deans; \*Administrative Change;

Administrative Organization; \*Administrator Role; \*Change Strategies; College Administration; College

Curriculum; Educational Finance; \*Educational Improvement; Higher Education; National Surveys;

Problem Solving; Teacher Improvement

#### ABSTRACT

Chief academic officers at 1,053 institutions of higher education across the United States were surveyed about the barriers to improving teaching and learning. Using factor analysis, responses were reduced to nine general problem areas. In order of importance from most important to least important, the problems identified were: financial support, faculty support systems, student limitations, student academic support programs, academic administration, limitations of faculty, academic constraints, academic support systems, and enrollment demographics. Rankings are contrasted among comprehensive, four-year, and two-year institutions, and between public and private institutions. The identified problems had common features in that they concerned resource issues, external constraints, and administrative or governance structures. Academic administrators can address these barriers by such actions as working with other administrators to develop budgets and set institutional priorities, developing cooperative programs to improve the preparation of students before they reach college, and reorganizing ineffective administrative systems. Two references are included. (dad)

 POSTSECONDARY

Q

3

り ジ

# IMPROVING COLLEGE TEACHING AND LEARNING

# Administrative Barriers to Improving Undergraduate Education

Changes in student demographics, critical national reports, charges from external agencies, and a general level of frustration among faculty and aministrators with student learning have all focused attention on evaluating and revising the undergraduate curriculum. Research completed at NCRIPTAL, however, leads us to question whether we are attacking the right problems.

The responsibility for improving the quality of undergraduate education cannot be placed solely on the shoulders of faculty. The institution as a whole must be committed to excellence and open to change. The administration must support faculty and student activities. Most important, academic management policies and practices must be available and structured to encourage and support teaching, learning, and program improvement.

To learn how academic administrative practices affect undergraduate programs, a team of researchers at NCRIPTAL surveyed chief academic officers at 1,053 institutions across the United States. They asked these administrators about two things: What are the barriers to improving teaching and learning, and what practices improve teaching and learning? We focus here on the barriers; the practices are addressed in a forthcoming **Accent**.

#### **Barriers**

Using factor analysis of thirty potential barriers identified by a pilot study of 300 administrators, responses by the 1,053 surveyed academic leaders were reduced to nine general problem areas. In order of importance from most important to least important, the problems identified were:

#### 1. Financial Support

Inadequate capital funds Inadequate operating funds for educational improvement

#### 2. Faculty Support Systems

Inadequate incentives and rewards for teaching and improvement Inadequate faculty development programs
Inadequate faculty and administrator recruitment

#### 3. Student Limitations

Inadequate student preparation

Poor student attitudes about learning

Student-faculty value gap

4. Student Academic Support Programs
Inadequate student academic support programs

#### 5. Academic Administration

Inadequate evaluation of and rewards for teaching
Inadequate student assessment
Ineffective planning or resource allocation
Inadequate academic leadership
Curriculum problems
Ineffective academic governance process

#### 6. Limitations of Faculty

Narrow faculty specialization
Faculty or departmental resistance to change
Tenured or aging faculty
Poor quality teaching
Low faculty commitment to teaching
Low faculty morale

#### 7. Academic Constraints

Collective bargaining and unionization State-level constraints Heavy teaching loads

#### 8. Academic Support Systems

Inadequate study space
Dependence on part-time faculty or TA's
Restrictive accreditation requirements
Inadequate library and acquisitions

#### 9. Enrollment Demographics

Declining enrollments
Changing student demographics

#### Institutional Differences

Concerns about financial support ranked higher than all other concerns for comprehensive, four-year, and two-year institutions, and academic administrators at all three types of institutions appeared to be equally concerned about this issue. In seven of the remaining eight problem areas (excepting academic support systems), administrators at community colleges expressed more concern about these issues than did administrators at comprehensive and four-year institutions.

Four-year institutions gave the lowest ranking of the three groups to most of the problem areas. Comprehensive institutions were the most concerned about academic support systems and the least concerned about enrollment demographics.

When comparing public and private institutions, the highest-ranked problem is still financial support. The level of concern about enrollment demographics, surprisingly, is outweighed by most of the other problem areas identified and is similar at public and private institutions. In all other problem areas, public institutions gave higher rankings to the problem areas than did private institutions.

#### **Common Themes**

In general, the identified problems, which were shared by all institutions, had some common features: Many were related to resource issues, some addressed external constraints, and others referred to administrative or governance structures — many overlap.

The most common concern, resource issues, includes budget, facilities, materials, and personnel. The two problems cited most often as barriers to improving academic programs were the lack of discretionary funds for programmatic change (Factor 1: Financial Support) and inadequacy of programs supporting faculty (Factor 2: Faculty Support Systems). Inadequate study space, dependence on part-time faculty or teaching assistants, and inadequate library and acquisitions (Factor 8: Academic Support Systems) are also the results of resource limitations, as are inadequate student academic support programs (Factor 4).

External constraints are evident in enrollment demographics (Factor 9) and student limitations (Factor 3) as well as in restrictive accreditation requirements (Factor 8: Academic Support Systems). Other external constraints are caused by the institution itself. Heavy teaching loads are seen as constraints imposed by the administration on faculty, and collective bargaining and unionization are seen as constraints on the administration, while state-level constraints affect both faculty and the administration (Factor 7: Academic Constraints).

Administrative or governance structures manifest themselves as problems in the areas of planning,



curricular inflexibility, evaluation, assessment, and resource allocation (Factor 5: Academic Administration) as well as in faculty specialization, resistance to change, and tenure (Factor 6: Limitations of Faculty). The inadequacy of programs supporting faculty (Factor 3: Faculty Support Systems) may also be a structural issue related to institutional priorities. What is not known is whether these structures are preferred or whether they are caused, or at least exacerbated, by the lack of adequate resources to address these issues differently.

#### **Turning Problems Into Solutions**

The problems listed as a result of this study are drawn from the observations of academic administrators. They represent only one perspective and do not include that of the governing board, the president, other administrators, or, most significantly, the faculty. They are, however, the views of those most immediately responsible for overseeing academic programs, and they seem to provide a guide for how academic administrators can address curricular problems.

To overcome these barriers, resource issues need to be addressed. Academic administrators need to be involved in obtaining additional resources for academic programs. They also need to be active partners with other administrators in developing budgets and setting institutional priorities.

Attempts to manage external constraints also need to be made. Poor preparation of students does not have to be accepted as inevitable. Academic leaders in higher education are in a position to develop cooperative programs to improve the preparation of students before they reach college. They are also in a position to develop programs that address new markets in lieu of the declining number of high school students.

Barriers related to administrative structures must also be addressed. Time needs to be spent reorganizing ineffective administrative systems—a project that is extremely time-consuming and requires finely honed consensus-building skills. Efforts must also be made to change faculty so that their limitations will not inhibit the academic program. Although difficult, there are many aspects of this issue that can be addressed. It may also be that addressing the resource, structure, and external constraint issues may have the effect

of changing faculty morale and commitment—important components of changing faculty behavior, as we are finding in a related study.

Further research on these issues is currently being undertaken by NCRIPTAL. Case studies of specific institutions clarify how the institution can support academic change. We need not wait for these results, however, before taking action. Using what has already been learned, it seems clear that we must accurately identify the barriers within the institution that stand in the way of more effective teaching and learning. Then, we must address the problems themselves. If we are revising the curriculum when the real problems are finances, external forces, and unwieldy administrative systems, the possibility of improving teaching and learning may be minimal at best. If, however, we attack the barriers themselves, we could improve the possibility of improving student outcomes.

- Michele Genthon

#### REFERENCES

Peterson, Marvin W.: Cameron, Kim; Alexander, Joanne; Jones, Philip; and Mets, Lisa. (1987). "Report of pre-survey of key problems which inhibit and academic management practices which improve teaching and learning." Working paper, National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning, Ann Arbor, MI.

Peterson, Marvin W.; Cameron, Kim; and Alfred, Richard L. (1987). Academic Management Practices Survey. Ann Arbor, MI: National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning.

This Accent is based on the research of Marvin W. Peterson and the staff of NCRIPTAL's research program, Organizational Context for Teaching and Learning.



# REQUEST FORM

Please send me the following reports for which is enclosed payment to The University of Michigan to cover the costs of production and handling. Materials requested are not returnable.

## SELECTED NCRIPTAL PUBLICATIONS

Title	Price	Qty	Total
Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for Faculty			
K. Patricia Cross and Thomas A. Angelo 88-A-004  Success for the Underprepared: Linking Student	\$15.00		<del></del>
Characteristics and Academic Programs			
Patricia J. Green. Gerald M. Gurin. and Kathleen M. Shaw 89-A-005	forth- coming		
Approaches to Research on the Improvement of			
Postsecondary Teaching and Learning: A Working Paper			
Patricia J. Green and Joan S. Stark 86-A-001	\$ 5.00		
Focusing on Student Academic Outcomes A Working Paper			
Joanne M. Atexander and Joan S. Stark 86-A-002.0	\$ 5.00		
Postsecondary Teaching and Learning Issues in Search of Researchers: A Working Paper Carol D. Vogel and Joan S. Stark 86-A-003	\$ 5.00		
Teaching and Learning in the College Classroom: A Review of the Research Literature, 2nd Edition			
Wilbert J. McKeachie. Paul R. Pintrich, Yi-Guang Lin. David A.F. Smith, and Rajeev Sharma		Reposition and value	
90-B-001	\$10.00		
Psychological Models of the Impact of College on Students		-	
Harold A. Korn 86-B-002	\$ 5.00		
Planning Introductory College Courses: Influences on Faculty Joan S. Stark, Malcolm A. Lowther, Richard J. Bentley, Michael P. Ryan, Michele Genthon. Gretchen G. Martens, and Patricia A. Wren			
89-C-003	\$15.00		
Reflections on Course Planning: Faculty and Students Consider Influences and Goals Joan S. Stark, Malcolm A. Lowther, Michael P. Ryan, Sally Smith Bomotti, Michele Genthon, and C. Lynne Haven 88-C-002	\$15.00		
Designing the Learning Plan: A Review of Research and Theory Related to College Curricula Joan S.Stark and Malcolm A. Lowther, with			
assistance from Sally Smith 86-C-001	\$10.00		
Performance Appraisal for Faculty: Implications for Higher Education Robert T. Blackburn and Judith A. Pitney 88-D-002	\$10.00		
Faculty as a Key Resource: A Review of the Research Literature Robert T. Blackburn, Janet H. Lawrence, Steven Ross,			
Virginia Polk Okoloko, Jeffery P. Bieber, Rosalie Meiland, and Terry Street 86-D-001	\$10.00		
The Organizational Context for Teaching and Learning: A Review of the Research Literature			
Marvin W. Peterson, Kim S. Cameron, Lisa A. Mets. Philip Jones, and Deborah Ettington 86-E-001	\$10.00		
Design in Context: A Conceptual Framework for the Study of Computer Software in Higher Education R. Para and Robert Bangert-Drowns 87-F-002	\$10.00		
Anther Provided by Line 5		- <b>.</b>	

Title		Price	Qty	Total	
Electronic Information: Literacy Skill Computer Age <i>lerome Johnston</i> 86-F-001	s for a	\$5.00			
The Electronic Classroom			<del> </del>		
videotape series  Ierome Johnston and Susan Gardner					
In Higher Education (55 min.) 88-F-009	VHS 3/4"	\$45.00 \$60.00			
At the University of Michigan (57 min.) 88-F-006	VHS 3/4"	\$35.00 \$50.00			
In the Regional Teaching Univers (32 min.) 88-F-007	3/4"	\$35,00 \$50,00			
In the Community College (33 min.) 88-F-008	VHS 3/4"	\$35.00 \$50.00			
The Electronic Classroom in Higher E	ducation:				
A Case for Change Terome Lohnston and Susan Gardner 88-F-016		\$7.50			
Directory of Software Submitted for					
the 1989 EDUCOM/NCRIPTAL Higher Education Software Awards 89-F-014	Mac disk IBM disk	\$10.00 \$10.00			
The Best of '89 videotape	VHS	\$25.00	+	<del>                                     </del>	
Jerome Johnston and Susan Gardner 89-F-015	3/4"	\$40.00			
Other titles available in the Accent serie for single issues, inquire for bulk order p					
Helping Teaching and Learning Cer Improve Teaching	nters				
Faculty Performance Appraisal: A Recommendation for Growth and	Change				
Why Does It Take "Forever" to Revise the Curriculum?					
Assessing Growth in Thinking in Ce Courses: A Caveat	ollege				
The Computer Revolution in Teach	ing				
	Subtotal	<u> </u>			
(For videotapes or non-Book Rate handling, add \$5.00)	Special Shipping				
	TOTAL				
NAME					
TITLE					
DEPARTMENT			·· <del>·</del>		
INSTITUTION					
MAILING ADDRESS				<del></del> .	
OUTLAND THE COM					
CHY/STATE/ZIP					
TELEPHONE					
TELEPHONE  Please add my name to your mailing	list.	AL.			
TELEPHONE  Please add my name to your mailing  Please send me further information a	list. bout NCRIPT				
TELEPHONE  Please add my name to your mailing	list. bout NCRIPT 'RIPTAL publ	ications.	Michig	រុង៧.	
TELEPHONE  Please add my name to your mailing  Please send me further information a  Please send me a complete list of NC  Make checks and purchase orders payable  Mail request form and payment to: NCR	list. bout NCRIPT 'RIPTAL publ le to <b>The Univ</b>	ications. ersity of			

Copyright © 1989 by the Regents of The University of Michigan. All rights reserved.

Accents summarize and present current issues and findings on teaching and learning in higher education. Accents are a publication of NCRIPTAL, the National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning.

Single copies of this **Accent** are available free from NCRIPTAL if the request is accompanied by a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Please write to the Editor at NCRIPTAL for permission to reproduce this **Accent** partially or in its entirety. Additional copies of **Accent** are available at nominal cost; contact the Editor for prices.

NCRIPTAL, the National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning, is funded at The University of Michigan by grant G008690010 from the Office of Research of the U. S. Department of Education's Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI/ED) and The University of Michigan. The opinions expressed herein do not reflect the position or policy of OERI/ED or the Regents of The University of Michigan, and no official endorsement by the OERI/ED or the Regents should be inferred.

NCRIPTAL, 2400 SEB, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1259; (313) 936-2741. Joan S. Stark, Director; Wilbert J. McKeachie, Associate Director; Mary K. Joscelyn, Editor.

### **NCRIPTAL**

2400 School of Education Bullding The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259 NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION U.S. POSTAGE PAID Ann Arbor, MI PERMIT NO. 144

