DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 329 147 HE 023 403

AUTHOR Genthon, Michele; Joscelyn, Mary K., Ed.

TITLE Helping Teaching and Learning Centers Improve

Teaching. Accent on Improving College Teaching and

Learning.

INSTITUTION Michigan Univ., Ann Arbor.; National Center for

Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and

Learning, Ann Arbor, MI.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED),

Washington, DC.

PUB DATE 89

CONTRACT G008690100

NOTE 6p.

AVAILABLE FROM National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary

Teaching and Learning, 2400 SEB, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259 (free with

self-addressed, stamped envelope).

PUB TYPE Collected Works - Serials (022) -- Guides -

Non-Classroom Use (055)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *College Faculty; Educational Facilities; *Faculty

Development; Higher Education; *Program Development; *Resource Centers; *Teacher Improvement; *Teaching

Methods

ABSTRACT

Suggestions are offered for developing teaching and learning centers or faculty support programs to improve college teaching. Suggestions include: develop a faculty partnership, be discipline specific, allow time for divergence, offer variety, provide a smorgasbord, focus on both planning and teaching, translate research findings into classroom applications, read and share the current literature, use "draft" form when distributing documents about plans for teaching improvements or principles of teaching and learning, use technology, and provide opportunities for professional growth. (JDD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

* from the original document.





NATIONAL CENTER FOR RESEARCH TO IMPROVE POSTSECONDAR TEACHING upg LEARNING

で の の の

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

IMPROVING COLLEGE TEACHING AND LEARNING

Helping Teaching and Learning Centers Improve Teaching

How can NCRIPTAL research be used to improve teaching?

What does NCRIPTAL research tell us about how to develop teaching and learning centers for faculty?

Does this research have implications for faculty development?

These questions come up repeatedly whenever NCRIPTAL researchers talk to faculty around the country. Although they are phrased in different ways, the meanings are similar: Faculty and administrators want to know how research on higher education will guide them in improving teaching.

A study recently completed by NCRIPTAL's curriculum research team shows that faculty who are teaching introductory courses in different fields have different beliefs about education, organize the presentation of material in courses differently, and are affected by different influences. Within each academic discipline, however, faculty hold

suprisingly consistent views, regardless of the type of institution in which they teach.

This study of influences on course planning also indicates that faculty are not very likely to use teaching and learning centers or other resources that may help them improve their course designs. Because of the current high interest in improving teaching among faculty. you have to ask whether faculty fail to use resources for teaching improvement at their colleges because of a general discomfort with seeking assistance or because the resources available may not meet their particular needs. The fact that faculty say they do turn to their colleagues, and even their families, for help in planning their courses has led us to develop suggestions that may be useful to those who are developing teaching and learning centers or faculty support programs. While these suggestions have not been tested empirically, they fit our experience and the results of related research projects currently underway.



S aggestions for Improving Teaching and Learning Centers

1. Develop a faculty partnership.

Plans for improving teaching and learning should be guided by faculty members whether the objective is to establish an informal support group, to build a collegewide program, or to establish a physical site that serves as a center for faculty development. Include faculty from as many disciplines as possible, even if some of them are only involved part time. Committees should include as many disciplinary viewpoints as possible.

2. Be discipline specific.

NCRIPTAL's research shows that faculty are guided more by discipline in their teaching than by any other factor, including the type of institution in which they teach. Research must be adapted for each discipline to accommodate these differences. For example, methods developed for the sciences most typically are structured and hierarchical in nature; in literature, methods focus more often on individual student needs than on discipline concepts.

3. Allow time for divergence.

Rather than immediately attacking the business at hand, begin by encouraging faculty members to share their disciplinary points of vigw and to understand how and why they differ. Discussing the purposes of education and how they influence course structure may help to avoid arguments later.

4. Offer variety.

Because disciplines vary so greatly in their approaches to teaching, a single approach to improving teaching and learning is unlikely to succeed with faculty from different fields. A generalist in a teaching and learning center must offer appropriate choices

5. Provide a smorgasboard.

Faculty differ by discipline not only in how they teach but in their basic educational beliefs. It is unlikely that one teaching "tip" will be suitable for all. As intelligent decision makers, faculty will want a list of alternatives from which they can choose. Options allow faculty to adapt their own disciplines as well as to maintain autonomy.

6. Focus on both planning and teaching.

Teaching occurs in the classroom, but, to be effective, it must be planned first. Teaching activities differ substantially from planning activities. If teaching is to be improved, the focus must be on the teacher as planner as well as on the teacher as performer.

7. Prepare translators.

Someone needs to take the research that appears in educational journals and translate it for classroom use in each discipline. Researchers often expect the results of their studies to speak for themselves and fail to suggest practical applications for their findings. Translation is best done at the campus level where the research can be adapted for particular students, faculty, and settings.

8. Read and share the current literature.

To know what advances may help improve teaching and learning, a center staff member, preferably a faculty member, should keep current with the literature about research on teaching and learning. This person should then have a way to share findings with other faculty, whether through organizing a small library for this purpose, writing for a fac by new sletter, or giving seminars on the topic.

9. Use DRAFT form.

When distributing documents about plans for teaching improvement programs or about principles of teaching and learning, clearly



mark the document as a draft. Encourage reactions, suggestions, and opinions from faculty. This makes the material seem less prescriptive and gives faculty a chance to add their own ideas, thus promoting ownership of plans and activities.

10. Use technology.

If technology is accessible and acceptable to the majority of your faculty, consider the possibilities of using it. Computers, electronic mail, and coding machines all present new teaching possibilities. But keep in mind those who are still technophobes—don't structure an entire program around technology or otherwise force it on reluctant faculty.

11. Provide opportunities for professional growth.

An adequate travel budget encourages faculty to attend conferences about teaching

in general as well as conferences about their own disciplines. As their emphasis on teaching and learning becomes more coequal with the emphasis on their disciplines, colleges must provide funding that makes participation in both activities possible.

These recommendations do not represent a complete formula for success in building teaching and learning centers for faculty. What they do reflect is the collected wisdom of researchers and faculty about how to affect the teaching process. If they serve as a discussion point for those who are working to improve the work of faculty, then their purpose will have been served.

- Michele Genthon

This **Accent** is based on the research of Joan S Stark and the staff of NCRIPTAL's research program on Curriculum Design Influences and Impacts.

Copyright - 1989 by the Regents of The University of Michigan. All rights reserved.

Accents summarize and present current issues and findings on feaching and learning in higher education. Accents are a publication of NCRIPTAL, the National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary feaching and Learning For a complete list of Accent topics, contact the NCRIPTAL Editor at the address below

Please write to the Editor at NCRIPTAL for permission to reproduce this **Accent** partially or in its entirety

Single copies of **Accent** are available free from NCRIPTAL if the request is accompanied by a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Additional copies of this **Accent** are available at nominal cost; contact the Editor for prices.

NCRIPTAL, the National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning, is funded at The University of Michigan by grant G008690010 from the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI/ED) and The University of Michigan. The opinions expressed herein do not reflect the position or policy of OERI/ED or the Regents of The University of Michigan, and no official endorsement by the OERI/ED or the Regents should be inferred.

NCRIPTAL, 2400 SEB, The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 1259 (313) 936-2748 Joan S. Stark, Director, Wilbert J. McKeachie Associate Director: Mary K. Joscelyn, Editor



REQUEST FORM

Please send me the following reports for which is enclosed payment to The University of Michigan to cover the costs of production and handling.

Materials requested are not returnable.

NCRIPTAL PUBLICATIONS	Price	Quantity	lotal
Classroom Assessment Techniques:			
A Handbook for Faculty K Patricia Cross and Thomas A. Angelo 88-A-004	\$15.(R)		
Success for the Underprepared: Linking Student Characteristics and Academic Programs Patricia J. Green. Gerald M. Gurin, and Kathleen M. Shaw			
88-A-(0)1	\$ 7,50	1	
Approaches to Research on the Improvement of Postsecondary Teaching and Learning: A Working Paper Patricia J Green and Joan S. Stark 86 A:001	50.00		
	י אוי פ		al manage
Focusing on Student Academic Outcomes: A Working Paper Joanne M. Alexander and Joan S. Stark — 86-A-002	\$ 5,00		
Postsecondary Teaching and Learning Issues in Search of Researchers: A Working Paper Carol D. Vogel and Joan S. Stark, 86, A 003	S 5 (R)		
Teaching and Learning in the College			
Classroom: A Review of the Research Literature Wilhert J. McKeachie, Paul R. Pintrich, Yi-Guang Lin, and David A. F. Smith			
(Supplemented November, 1987) 86-B-001	\$10.00		
Psychological Models of the Impact of College on Students Harold A Korn 86-B-002	\$ 5 (%)	†· - · · • •	
Reflections on Course Planning: Faculty and Students Consider Influences and Goals Joan S. Stark, Malcolm A. Lowther, Michael P. Ryan, Sally Smith Bomotic, Michael Crention.			, ==-
and C. Lynne Haven 88 C 002 Designing the Learning Plan: A Review of			· · ·—
Research and Theory Related to College Curricula Joan S. Stark and Malcolm A. Lowther, with assistance from Sally Smith			
86-C-001	\$10,00		
Performance Appraisal for Faculty: Implications for Higher Education Robert T. Blackburn and Juduh A. Puncy			
88-D-002	\$10.00		
Faculty as a Key Resource: A Review of the Research Literature Robert I Blackburn. Janet H. Lawrence, Steven Ross, Virginia		!	
Polk Okoloko, Jeffers P. Bicher, Rosalte Meiland, and Terrs Street — 86/D 001	\$10.00		
The Organizational Context for Teaching and Learning: A Review of the Research Literatur Marvin W. Peterson, Kim S. Cameron, Lisa & Mets. Philip Jones, and Deborah Litington 86-E-001	510,00		
Design in Context: A Conceptual Framework for the Study of Computer Software in Higher Education Robert Kozma and Robert		† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Bangert-Drowns 87 F 002	\$10.00		r raines and desire
Electronic Information: Literacy Skills for a Computer Age Jerome Johnston 86-F-001	S 5 (B)		
		1 1	



The Electronic Officers of the second	Price	Quantity	Total
The Electronic Classroom videotape series Jerome Johnston and Susan Gardner			
	- \$50,00		
Prices vary depending on length an	d format	-	
The Electronic Classroom in Higher Education (55 min.) 88-F-009			
The Electronic Classroom at the			
University of Michigan* (57 min.) 88-F-006		ļ	
The Electronic Classroom in the Regional Teaching University* (32 min.) 88-F-007	· ·	ļ 	
The Electronic Classroom in the Community College* (33 min.) 88-F-008			
The Electronic Classroom Seminar, includes			
The Electronic Classroom in Higher Education vone of the institutional case study videotapes; Th	•		
'88 videotape; three copies of Electronic Informa			,
Literacy Skills for a Computer Age; and a semina	ir guide.	<u> </u>	
The Best of '88 (including The Best of '87),			
vidcotape Jerome Johnston and Susan Gardner 88-F-013	\$25.00		
1988 EDUCOM/NCRIPTAL Higher			
Education Software Awards			
Robert B. Kozma and Jerome Johnston 88-F-011	\$10.00		
EDUCOM/NCRIPTAL Higher Education			
Software Awards 1987			
Robert B. Kozma, Jerome Johnston and Robert L. Bangert-Drowns 87-F-003	\$10.00		
	210700	+	
Directory of Software Submitted for the 1988 EDUCOM/NCRIPTAL Higher			i i
Education Software Awards (Available in			<u>:</u>
print, IBM disk, or Macintosh disk forms) 88-F-012	\$10.00	!	
Directory of Software Submitted for the 1987 EDUCOM/NCRIPTAL Higher Education Software Awards (Available in print, IBM disk, or Macintosh disk forms) 88 F-004	\$10.00		
			·
	TOTAL	<u>:</u>	<u></u>
*Institutional case studies For videotapes or non-book rate handling add \$	5.00		
NAME			
torus.			
TITLE			
DEPARIMENI			
	ermenter manimiere en en en en en		,,
MAILING ADDRESS			
CITY			
STATE/ZIP	NE		
Please add my name to your mailing live Please correct my name or address on o	St.		
Mail request form and payment to:		<u>_</u>	
NCRIPTAL 2400 School of Education Building The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259 Make checks payable to The University of	Michiga	-	
FILE	iva il 1888 (I	•••	
EKIC			
To the second se			