DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 327 535 SP 032 868

AUTHOR Runyan, Charles Kent

TITLE No More Isolated Cinderellas at the Swimming Hole: A

Call for Needs-Based Developmental Induction.

PUB DATE Nov 90

NOTE 18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the

National Council of States on Inservice Education

(15th, Orlando, FL, November 16-20, 1990).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Viewpoints (120)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Beginning Teacher Induction; Beginning Teachers;

*Developmental Programs; Elementary Secondary

Education; Emotional Adjustment; Helping

Relationship; *Institutional Characteristics; *Needs

Assessment; Professional Development; *Program

Effectiveness; Self Efficacy

ABSTRACT

A needs-based developmental induction program for beginning teachers should concentrate not only on orientation and development of individual strengths, but also on the situational/contextual personal and professional concerns of first-year teachers. The successful program tries to identify and meet the instructional and noninstructional individual needs of beginning teachers and to develop their personal strengths. Such a program must recognize that the concerns of a first-year teacher are not always specifically associated with actual instruction and usually involve nonteaching duties, administrative details, human relation skills, and job perception difficulties. To help fashion a needs-based developmental model a number of decisions must be made to fit the characteristics of the school system. The size, geographical restrictions, and financial resources of the school system will influence the scope of the components. To serve as a possible guideline, a continuum of decision areas that should be considered is presented, as well as a list of the goals and critical components of this type of induction program. A 3-page chart detailing the elements of local needs-based induction decisions is included. (JD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

* from the original document. *



No More Isolated Cinderellas
At The Swimming Hole:
A Call For Needs-based Developmental Induction

Dr. Charles Kent Runyan
Department of Curriculum and Instruction
Pittsburg State University
Pittsburg, Kansas

A Paper Presented at the

15th Annual National Conference
National Council of States

on Inservice Education

MATION Orlando, Florida

November 1990

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating if
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

2 R. Rungan

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "



032

NO MORE ISOLATED CINDERELLAS AT THE SWIMMING HOLE: A CALL FOR NEEDS-BASED DEVELOPMENTAL INDUCTION

Charles Kent Runyan
Pittsburg State University
Pittsburg, KS 66762

Paper presented at the 15th Annual National Conference National Council of States, Orlando, Florida, 1990.

It was just another day for Cinderella at the swimming hole. Today, just like most days, she would continue to swim the muddy waters alone, perfecting her strokes in hopes of reaching the distant shore. Unlike a host of other swimmers, she had to swim the deepest parts of the lake for Cinderella was new, and the others knew the hardships of the deep water currents. They took up the room near the shore. She would have to learn just like everybody else had learned - to sink or swim by handling the roughest waters. Besides, many thought that for her, the Cinderella who recently graduated from a science class in oceanography, swimming should be easy and she should need no help. Yes, till she could find an open swimming pool, it was just another day for Cinderella at the swimming hole.

Thus it may be for thousands of beginning teachers. Just like our imaginary Cinderella learning to swim alone in the roughest waters, too many of today's beginning teachers are learning to teach in isolation, in situations and climates not conducive to developing effective skills in teaching. They find themselves in school systems full of unfamiliar routines, habitbound faculties, and evaluation by surveillance.



For too many beginning teachers, swimming the channels of today's classrooms and negotiating the complicated currents of the tasks of teaching are done alone and cause too many to choke and accept the course of least resistance. For too many beginning teachers the perception that they are Cinderellas who were magically outfitted as master teachers with their college degree causes too many to be placed in demanding positions no other teachers want and face too harsh a reality. For too many beginning teachers, the traditional idea that one has to learn for himself to either sink or swim has caused them to swim ashore and leave the profession.

Though a number of states and local school systems have developed induction programs of one sort or another, it is far from enough or comprehensive enough to meet beginning teacher needs; we still have too many learning to swim on their own, too many simply being evaluated and offered remedial help with little concern for the expressed needs of the teacher. Too many programs are simply another layer of evaluation, a deficit model that sees the beginning teacher as one who lacks specific skills and its role is thus to correct any specific problem areas.

To keep our Cinderellas swimming, it is time to promote and refine developmental induction programs that see the beginning teacher as one who has a set of skills and, as a result of the program, develops, extends, modifies, or refines these skills. It is time to develop needs-based developmental induction that roots its orientation and assistance on the perceived needs of



the individual teacher, not the sole evaluation of a principal, mentor, or supervisor. It is time to develop diverse programs that allow the first-year teacher to express his own concerns and offer a number of vehicles to motivate the meeting of these needs. It is time for induction programs to allow the beginning teacher to develop creative teaching ideas and new personalized ways of making a difference with students. It is time for induction programs to take the orientation that to really improve one must first see a need and have a desire to improve.

Developmentally, needs-based induction concentrates not only on orientation and development of strengths, but on the situational/contextual personal and professional concerns of first year teachers. It tries to identify and meet the instructional and non-instructional individual needs of beginning teachers, not just giving them a dose of standardized pedagogy and evaluating their mastery of the "golden rules." It tries to develop personal strengths and ideas to help change education for the better, not stifling the creativity and idealism of first year teachers by legislating dependency of accepted methods and materials. For it is only through meeting the individual personal and professional needs of first year teachers do we have a valid chance of retaining and developing consistent and effective professional personnel striving to master the art of teaching. It is only through giving a voice to the professional ideas of beginning teachers that schools will dignify, humanize and develop committed professionals.



Today's Beginning Teacher Situation

Though the research on beginning teacher induction is beginning to develop, there are indications that the waters are rough and there is a need for need-based induction. First, teaching has increasingly become a short-term career. It has been estimated that up to 30 percent of America's beginning teachers will leave the profession within two years and nearly 50 percent will leave after four years (Schlechty and Vance, 1983). Second, there will perhaps be a future shortage of teachers. With the expectation that demand will exceed the supply, it is imperative that neophytes be given the foundation and climate to perform and stay in the classroom.

Not only are we faced with high turnover and future shortages, the beginning teacher possesses some characteristics that make swimming difficult. First, it seems many beginning teachers are bearers of unrealistic expectations which may at times produce disillusionment, job dissatisfaction, and a yearning to leave the profession. They enter teaching with perceived expectations and needs, but the climate and environment do not contribute to their development (Duke, 1984). Secondly, there seems to be some agreement that the survival of a first year teacher is not always based on ability or training in academic areas but rather upon the ability to cope with noninstructional concerns. These concerns are not specifically associated with actual instruction, and they usually involve nonteaching duties, administrative details, human relation



skills, teacher professionalism, and job perception difficulties (Perkerson, 1980).

Finally, many educational systems create environments that force the beginning teacher to swim the deepest waters. First, beginning teachers are often assigned lower achieving students with multiple preparations and no permanent classrooms. Second, education is one of the only professions where first year personnel are expected to assume the full duties the first day on the job (Huling-Austen, 1988). They are expected to know the policies, norms, cultural aspects, and expected operational methods when they first report to school and are many times evaluated on such. From day one they perform all functions of the experienced teacher.

Today's Induction Programs

Today's induction programs have developed a number of ways to help our Cinderellas swim, but not all make the swimming easier.

Nationally, two years ago, only 17 states had induction programs in place, with 14 more states in the planning stages (Huling-Austin, 1988). To strengthen the continued possibility of funding, most of these programs had aspects of accountability built into their effort to improve instructional and management skills and operated from the deficit model. For many, the programs seldom rose above the procedural compliance level (Hoffman, et al., 1986).

Locally, however, hundreds of district or county wide programs have sprouted and offer the greatest chance of providing



a developmental needs-based program. It is here where the instinct to provide support and to individualize seems to be the highest.

Why Developmental Induction

Whatever the program, be it state or national, the best hope for a calmer and cleaner swimming hole rests with developmental induction programs that help meet the individualized personal and professional needs of beginning teachers.

On a broad scale, research on the effects of teacher induction programs points to support for giving assistance to the Cinderella swimmer through a developmental perspective. area of retention, Huling-Austen (1988) summarized that "the data reported to date indicate that teacher induction programs potentially hold a great deal of promise for retaining greater numbers of beginning teachers" (p. 21). In the area of performance, several studies found positive changes occurring on a variety of teaching skills (Summers, 1987; Elsner, 1984; Marockie and Looney, 1988). Most significantly, however, the research illustrates the positive impact induction has on the beginning teacher when it is developmental in support. Odell (1986) found that emotional support was the most beneficial element in the induction program, yet was not the major concern of clinical supervisors. In general, several studies found the inducted teachers had significantly higher positive perceptions about education than those who had no formal support (Huling-Austen and Murphy 1987; Summers, 1987).



Why Needs-based Developmental Induction

A closer examination of the research supports the needs-based developmental induction approach in helping Cinderella to swim the waters. Specific research on beginning teachers illustrates that each is different. "Blanket statements about what to provide for first-year teachers are not very helpful" and "our data seem to indicate that the most useful thing that can be done with regard to induction is to personalize and individualize this support and gear it to the needs of specific beginning teachers." (Grant and Zeichner, 1981, p. 110).

One study found first-year teachers to have different personality needs and behavioral tendencies that were illustrated in such factors as sex, marital status, age, parenthood, educational level, degree location, and school placement. It was also found that different school climates could influence the behavioral tendencies of beginning teachers (Runyan, 1988). With such diversity in people and variations in specific school climates, it is apparent that induction programs, to be effective, have to offer individualization and diversity.

It is also apparent from the research that beginning teachers need emotional support early in their career, a colleague to share their trials and tribulations during the first lonely months. In fact the emotional support was considered so important, it was concluded that instructional matters were dealt with more effectively once emotional support was established (Huling-Austin, 1988). Several other studies (Summers, 1987;



Huling-Austin and Murphy, 1987) suggest that when beginning teachers are not supported by a mentor concerned with positive emotional support, they tend to question their teaching effectiveness as well as their decisions to become teachers. In essence, though the research is not yet comprehensive, there are definite signs pointing to needs-based developmental induction.

If retention, teaching performance, and positive orientations to the profession can be potentially enhanced through recognizing the beginning teacher's individual differences and providing various types of personal and professional support, then perhaps it is time to pay more attention to the self-perceived needs of teachers. For example, research has indicated that the first year teacher has significantly higher needs than the average adult for hard work, perseverance, personal achievement, intellectual curiosity and orderly application of skills (Runyan, 1988). If this is true, it is time to base induction, to a greater extent than is now present, on the beginning professionals' own perceived needs and concerns. Beginning teachers can and want their career to be successful; they want to make a difference and want the support to do so.

Further research on general human needs consistently suggests that the self-perception of meeting needs through work is essential to job satisfaction and performance. However, what fulfills the needs of one person may be considerably different from that which fulfills the needs of another (Vroom, 1964; Lortie, 1975). It is the need-based induction contention that if



the induction support is shaped to fit the individual's own perception of needs it will pay off in honest long-term effectiveness and motivation.

Thus, though the waters may still be rough for many of our nation's Cinderellas, the swimming hole is getting smaller. More and more induction programs are looking for effective ways in helping the first year teacher make a difference in the lives of children. The rationales, goals, methods and participants seem to vary with each system. However, it is this writer's contention that only when the beginning teacher's own perception of personal and professional need is directly assessed and dealt with through a host of support areas, there is a higher chance of long-term motivation, development, and satisfaction. Let's don't make it another year at the swimming hole.

Common Local Needs-based Developmental Induction Decisions

To help fashion a needs-based developmental model for enhancing the personal satisfaction and professional competence of the first year teacher, a number of decisions need to be made to fit the characteristics of the school system. The size, geographical restrictions, and the financial resources of the school system will influence the scope of the components. To serve as a possible guideline, the following continuum of decision areas could be considered (Runyan, 1990).



LOCAL NEEDS-BASED DEVELOPMENTAL INDUCTION DECISIONS

DEVELOPMENTAL PHILOSOPHICAL ORIENTATION								
Professional Need Personal Need Orientation Assistance Assistance Combination	Combination							
[1]								
RATIONALES								
Retention Professional Personal Individualize Vehicle fo Competence Satisfaction Needs Remediation	_							
[11111]								
Recruitment Instructional Developmental Climate Quality Growth Support								
GOALS								
Orderly Skill Interpreting/ Feedback to Transition Development Coping with Needs Skill Development								
[11111111								
Retention/ Problem Positive Role Positive Recruitment Reduction Development Climate								
CLIENT IDENTIFICATION								
1st Year 1st Year 2nd Year 3nd Year Uncertified (O yrs) (Exp.) Personnel	_							
[11]								
Substitutes Paraprofessionals Student Teachers								
CLIENT PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS								
Voluntary Elective Mandatory								
[1]								



PROGRAM T	IME SPAN							
Half Sem	One Sem	One Ye	ar Two	Years Th	ree Years	Continuous		
[1	1	1		L	1]		
COMPENSAT	ION FOR CL	IENTS AND	MENTORS					
Non e	Inservice Reduced Class Credit Load			Compensa	Compensation			
[1	1	1		1-]			
PROGRAM A	DMINISTRAT	'IVE ARRANG	E MENTS					
Local Supervisors Principals Mentors		0 0 1	ricts	RES	Chaha Damamhmanh			
]		
LOCAL PER	SONNEL INV	OLVEMENT						
Principal		. Traine Mento		Univers RES A	ity Tea	am Consultants		
[1	1	1	1	1		11		
SELECTION	CRITERIA	FOR MENTO	RS					
Willing to Comm	mess Po it At	sitive titude	Success A Teacher	s Same Subjec	Same t Locati	e Compatible ion Philosophy		
[1		1	1	1	1]		
MENTOR TR	AINING MET	CHODS						
Local Staff	Outside Agency		Dep	tate artment -11		University 1]		
F		nservice	Seminars		ge Tra:	ining erences		



MENTOR PROFESSIONAL FOCUS WITH BEGINNING TEACHER

Student Instructional Student Classroom School Classroom Concerns Relationships Success Culture Management Preparation [----(Getting Started)----/---(Teaching Science)----/--(Teaching Art)--] Legal/Ethical Lesson Student Community Concerns Organization Evaluation Development Adjustment MENTOR PERSONAL FOCUS WITH BEGINNING TEACHER Value in Balancing Work/ Doubts on Reality Time Home Life Management Ability Teaching Focus Isolation New Personal Community Relationships Adjustment Adjustment Avoidance METHODS OF BEGINNING TEACHER NEEDS ASSESSMENT Student Self Assessment Mentor Checklists Instruments Observation Video-taping Assessment [----]-----1-----1-----1------] Principal Interaction Discussion Surveys Evaluation Timelines Luestions PROGRAM ACTIVITIES FOR BEGINNING TEACHERS Conference College Handbook Administrative Seminar Orientation Support Provisions Courses Session Support Support Newsletter Portfolio Social Mentor Peer Inservice Support Observation Functions Development Sesions METHODS TO EVALUATE PROGRAM

Retention Performance Perception Data Questionnaire Standards Differences Collection Rates Responses [----]-----]------]------]------]-----] Central Mentor Outside Beginning

Office

Teachers



Teams

Consultants

Summary

In summary, an effective needs-based induction program should strive to:

- 1. have a developmental philosophy that sees the beginning teacher as one who has a set of skills and needs and, as a result of the program:
 - a. develops, extends, modifies, or refines these skills:
 - b. orients the beginning teacher to the school system; and
 - addresses and meets the perceived personal and professional needs of the teacher;
- 2. have a well defined set of rationales and goals;
- 3. provide continuous year-long support from the preschool orientation meeting to the end of the induction timeframe through various organized support systems:
- 4. use various personnel to offer a vast array of materials, instruments, and activities to personalize each beginning teacher's year;
- 5. have mentors selected, compensated, trained and focused using the current knowledge available about the first year teacher;
- 6. provide daily support interaction and targeted interaction topics to help the beginning teacher in adjusting, expressing needs, and developing;
- 7. offer a large number of instructional and noninstructional areas on which the beginning teacher could focus when the need surfaces;
- 8. not interfere with the school's evaluation system but allow for the program to provide an improvement system for any weaknesses found in the formal evaluation; and
- 9. be able to show positive growth from the beginning teacher's own perception of skills and knowledge as well as other data.

By providing a needs-based developmental environment that is positive (not punitive), collegial, and oriented towards meeting



the concerns and needs of beginning teachers as they perceive them, an induction program will have a good chance of penetrating the isolation so destructive to beginning teachers. By being developmental and not evaluative there is positive, non-threatening interaction for beginning teachers. Needs-based developmental induction allows for teachers to perceive their own needs of improvement and offers feedback on those perceptions in a non-evaluative, positive manner. It takes the orientation that to really improve one must first see a need and have a desire to improve.



References

- Duke, D. (1984). The imperiled profession. Albany, New York: State University of New York, 22.
- Blackburn, J. (1977). The first-year teacher: Perceived needs, intervention strategies and results. A paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 135 768).
- Elsner, K. (1984). First year evaluation results from Oklahoma's entry-year assistance committees. A aper presented at the annual meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators, New Orleans. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 242 706).
- Grant, C., and Zeichner, K. (1981). Inservice support for first year teachers: The state of the scene. Journal of Research and Development in Education, 14 (2), 99-111. As cited in Huling-Austin, L. (1988). A synthesis of research on teacher induction programs and practices. In J. Reinhartz (Ed.), Teacher induction. (pp. 13-33). Washington: National Education Association.
- Hoffman, J., S. Edwards, S. O'Neal, S. Barnes, M. Paulissen. (1986). A study of state-mandated beginning teacher programs. <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u>, <u>37</u> (1), 16-21.
- Huling-Austin, L. (1988). A synthesis of research on teacher induction programs and practices. In J. Reinhartz (Ed.), <u>Teacher induction</u>. (pp. 13-33). Washington: National Education Association.
- Huling-Austin, L., and Murphy, S. (1987). Assessing the impact of teacher induction programs: Implications for program development. A paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 283 779).
- Lortie, D. (1975). <u>Schoolteacher: A sociological study</u>. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Marockie, M., and Looney, G. (1988). <u>Evaluating teacher induction</u>
 <u>in Ohio County Schools</u>, <u>Wheeling</u>, <u>West Virginia</u>. A
 paper presented at the annual meeting of the
 Association of Teacher Educators, San Diego.



- Odell, S. J. (1987). Induction support of new teachers: A functional approach. <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u>, <u>37</u> (1), 26-29.
- Perkerson, R. (1980). Stress and the beginning teacher. Action in Teacher Education, 2 Fall, 47-50.
- Runyan, C. (1988). The beginning teacher's personality needs and the perceived school climate in West Virginia (Doctoral dissertation, West Virginia University, Morgantown, W.Va.) <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, (University Microfilms No. 9002093)
- Runyan, C. (1990). The beginning teacher's personality needs and the perceived school climate in West Virginia. A paper presented to the Annual Eastern Educational Research Association Conference, Clearwater, Florida. (ERIC Reproduction Service No. ED 317-555)
- Runyan, C. (1989). Boy what a year: Beginning beacher induction. A paper presented at the Leaders of Learning Conference, Charleston, W.Va.
- Runyan, C. (1990). Developmental mentoring: A growth oriented program that supports beginning teacher needs.

 <u>Diversity in Mentoring: Full Text Proceedings</u>, 2, 191-208.
- Runyan, C. (1990). <u>Fashioning a developmental induction program:</u>
 <u>Admistrative workshop.</u> Unpublished manuscript.
- Schlechty, P. and Vance, V., (1983). Recruitment selection, and retention: The shape of the teaching force. The Elementary School Journal, 83, 469-87.
- Summers, J. (1987). <u>Summative evaluation report: Project CREDIT</u>.

 Terre Haute: Indiana State University, School of Education.
- Vroom, V. (1964). Work and motivation. New York: John Whiley and Sons.

