DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 327 021 EC 232 671

AUTHOR Morgan, Daniel; Hecht, Jeffrey

TITLE Report on the Methodology for the West End Special

Education Transition Program Evaluation.

INSTITUTION California Educational Research Cooperative,

Riverside.

SPONS AGENCY San Bernardino Councy Superintendent of Schools,

Calif.

PUB DATE 12 Apr 90

NOTE 13lp.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) --

Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC06 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Adolescents; Adults; Curriculum Development;

*Disabilities; *Education Work Relationship; Evaluation Methods; Normalization (Handicapped); Program Effectiveness; *Program Evaluation; Quality of Life; *Research Methodology; Secondary Education;

*Transitional Programs; *Vocational Education

ABSTRACT

This report describes the methodology used in an evaluation study to measure the effectiveness of the West End Special Education Local Plan Area's program for improving the transition of special needs students from secondary school into adult life. Goals of the San Bernardino, California, study included measuring students' quality of life upon their leaving school and annually thereafter; reporting on students' status; evaluating the effectiveness of the transition program based on this information; and recommending modifications to the program. The program's concept of quality of life is described, including such variables as occupational placement/maintenance, income level, continued education, community leisure, transportation, residential arrangements, advocacy arrangements, medical/health needs, and personal/social adjustment. The research design is then explained, which involved collecting data from each student's Individual Education Plan; conducting a teacher exit questionnaire, a student exit questionnaire, and a student follow-up questionnaire; and computer analysis. Analysis of answers to the questionnaires suggests changes are needed in the questions asked due to inconsistencies or lack of clarity. It is concluded that program improvement requires several years of longitudinal study to allow comparison of these transition elements wi'th short-term and long-term achieved quality of life. Appendices include examples of questionnaires and questionnaire responses. Contains 35 references. (BRM)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

Report

on the

Methodology

for the

West End

Special Education

Transition Program

Evaluation

Prepared for the San Bernardino Superintendent of Schools Office of Research and Evaluation

by the
California Educational Research Cooperative
University of California at Riverside
School of Education

Daniel Morgan Jeffrey Hecht

April 12, 1990

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced a received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PEHMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATER.AL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "



CALIFORNIA EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH: COOPERATIVE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA RIVERSIDE

ERIC

THE CALIFORNIA EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH COOPERATIVE

CERC is a unique partnership between county and local school systems and the School of Education at the University of California, Riverside. It is designed to serve as a research and development center for sponsoring county offices of education and local school districts—combining the professional experience and practical wisdom of practicing professionals with the theoretical interests and research talents of the UCR School of Education faculty:

CERC is organized to pursue six broad goals. These goals serve the needs and interests of cooperating public school members and the University by providing:

- Tangible practical support for school improvement.
- Proven strategies for resolving instructional, management, policy and planning issues facing public education.
- Valuable professional development opportunities for current and future school leaders.

- Support for data-based decision-making among school leaders.
- Research, planning and evaluation activities that are meaningfully interpreted and applied to school district problems, and
- Data analysis to assist in generating public support for effective school programs.

In addition to conducting research in these areas, CERC publishes reports and briefs on a variety of educational issues. CERC also sponsors regional workshops for local educational leaders.

CERC. Executive Staff



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

California Educational Research Cooperative School of Education University of California Riverside CA 92521-0128 Phone: (714) 787-3026 FAX: (714) 787-3942 Dougras E. Mitchell Professor of Education Director

Jane L. Zykowski Assoc. Specialist in Ed. Manager

Dana Sowers Administrative Assistant

CERC MEMBERS

SPONSORING OFFICES OF EDUCATION

Riverside County Office of Education

Office of the County Superintendent of Schools San Bernardino County Office of Education

SPONSORING SCHOOL DISTRICTS

East San Gabriel Valley ROP

Fontara Unified School District

Hernet Unified School District

Hesperia Unified School District

Jurupa Unified School District

Lake Elsinore Unified School District

Moreno Valley Unified School District

Murrieta Unified School District

Ontario-Montclair School District

Perris Elementary School District

Perris Union High School District

Redlands Unified School Districe.

Rialto Unified School District

Riverside Unified School District

Romoland School District

Temecula Valley Unified School District

Val Verde School District

Victor Elementary School District

Victor Union High School District

Yucaipa Joint Unified School District



Table of Contents

Introduction											
The Transition Partnership		• •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	٠.	•	•
Goals and Objectives	• • •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	• •	•	•
First Year Accomplishments	• • •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	• •	•	
and tour recompnishments	• • •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	. '
Transition											
	• • •	• •	• • •	• •	•	• •		. •	• •	•	•
The Need for Transition	• • •		• •	• •	•			• •		•	
Curriculum and the ITP	• •	• •	• •		•	• •					. 13
Quanty of life											15
While in School											. 16
LEVEL 1											. 14
LEVEL 2		• • •									. 14
LEVEL 3											1.5
Out of School								_			17
Student Follow-Up Questionnain	re .										. 17
Transition Evaluation					_						. 19
Research Design				٠	•	•	• •	•	• •	•	. 19
Subjects	• • •		• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	. 20
Instruments	• • •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	. 20
The Teacher Exit Questionnaire	· · ·	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	. 40
The Student Exit Questionnaire		• •	• •	•	• •	•		•	• •	•	. 20
The Student Follow-Up Question	nnoi	· ·	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	. 21
Evaluative Components	ima	re	• •	٠	• •	•	• •	•		•	. 21
Data Collection, Storage, and Off-Load		• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•		•	. 22
SPSS Reporting	٠	• •	• •	•	• •	•		•		•	. 22
SPSS Reporting	• • •	• •	• •	٠		•		•		•	. 25
Report on the Baseline Data			• •	•	• •,	•				•	. 28
Student Characteristics				•		•				•	. 28
The Development of the Instruments				•							. 29
Questions Suggesting Revisions											. 29
Questions Assessing Transition											. 30
One de la											
Conclusion											. 37
D 4											
References											40
											_
Appendix A: Sample West End SELPA IEP and IT	Ρ.								_		43
Appendix B: Teacher Exit Questionnaire											4.4
Appendix C. Student Exit Questionnaire											54
Appendix D: Student Follow-Up Questionnaire											57
Appendix E: Data File Record Lavouts											69
Appellulx r: SPSS/PU+ Import Procedures											C 4
Appendix G: SPSS/PC+ Crosstabulation Procedure		• •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	64
Appendix H: Crosstabulation Report	• •	• •	• •	• •	•		•	• •	•		68
Appendix I: SPSS/PC+ Report Procedures	• • •		• •		•	• •	•		•		69
Appendix J. SPSS/PC+ Reports	• • •	• •	• •	٠.	•		•		•		71
Appendix J. SPSS/PC+ Reports West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program		• •	• •	٠.	•	Mo	Poe	'nř	٠,	ំ ដ	73
Transition Evaluation Program i						CE	יאי	· @	TIC	D	4/19/QA



Acknowledgements

Many people are responsible for the successful conclusion to the planning and development of the Transition Partnership Program and the West End SELPA evaluation. In particular, Pam Nevills (Program Manager Regional Services) and Ann Vessey (Workability) of West End SELPA have been instrumental. At the San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools Joanna Kalbus (Director, Research and Evaluation), John Duffy (Research and Evaluation), and John Caid (Programmer Analyst, Data Processing Division) have been great contributors. Dr. Louise Burton (Professor & Consultant, California State University San Bernardino) and Tom Wojciehowski (Principal & Consultant, Dominga High School) consulted and helped with the development, and their contributions are greatly appreciated.



Introduction

The West End Special Education Local Plan Area (West End SELPA) is interested in improving the "transition" of its special needs students from secondary school into adult life. Research shows that these students are in need of various skills training and connections with agencies. Attainment of these things is likely to increase the possibility of student success and an improved quality of life. Transition includes:

- (1) consolidating student support services which are available to the student,
- initiating contact for the student and inducing student involvement with the Department of Rehabilitation (DR),
- (3) heightening teacher awareness of transition and quality of life issues, and
- (4) incorporating a "skills" curriculum within classrooms in its secondary schools.

This report:

- (1) describes the West End SELPA Transition Partnership,
- (2) indicates its goals and objectives,
- (3) enumerates the accomplishments for its first year's activities,
- (4) presents a brief literature review on Transition, as a universal theme, in secondary education,
- (5) describes the evolution of West End SELPA's concept of quality of life,
- discusses West End SELPA's implementation of Transition along with the design and activities of the evaluation project,
- (7) characterizes the process of the Program's evaluative component, and
- (8) provides a preliminary analysis of West End SELPA's first year's Student and Teacher Exit data collection.

The West End SELPA is gradually incorporating the Transition curriculum into the schools within its authority. This curriculum contains materials which aid



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan. D. & Hecht. J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

teachers in their classroom instruction of areas of social, personal, community, domestic, and vocational skills development. These materials are intended to facilitate "at-risk" students' transition from school to society and improve their quality of life. Gradual changes are occurring which shift the classroom focus from one which emphasizes core curricula to one which includes skills training (Morgan & Schwager, 1989). Special education and all teachers will be informed of these changes, and special education curricula will be revised to stimulate functional work, as well as social and daily living skills.

The Transition Partnership

The West End Special Education Transition Partnership Program makes use of the skills and knowledge of four groups of people: the West Ind CELPA, the Department of Rehabilitation (DR), the Research and Development division of the San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools (SPCSS), and the California Educational Research Cooperative (CERC) at the University of California, Riverside (UCR). Individuals within each of these organizations have taken responsibilities which will contribute to the overall success of Transition for West End SELPA students and the Transition Evaluation Project. Their contributions are revealed in the "First Year Activities" section of this report.

¹At risk students are those who experience barriers to successful completion of school including those individuals with exceptional needs.

Goals and Objectives

The West End SELPA has instituted a Project Evaluation Study in order to measure the effectiveness and success of Transition. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of the West End SELPA Transition Program in terms of measured changes in the quality of life experienced by students serviced by West End SELPA (West End SELPA, 1989).

The goals of this evaluation are to:

- acquire accurate demographic information about those students who are eligible to participate in the Transition Program,
- o effectively measure these students' quality of life at the time they leave school,
- o annually remeasure their quality of life on a continuing basis,
- o report on the status of these students,
- evaluate the effectiveness of the West End SELPA Transition Program and curricula based upon this information, and
- o recommend potential modification (or elaborate various portions of the program according to need).

These goals are implemented through the following activities:

- o deriving quality of life constructs,
- o operationalizing these constructs into several questionnaires, allowing for both the assessment of these constructs and their quantification for comparison,
- o create a methodology for data storage and data entry,
- o develop a system for the cross-linking of important demographic and Individual Education Plan (IEP) information with the Transition data,
- o systematic off-loading of the data for statistical interpretation,
- analysis and report development using the SPSS/PC statistical software, and
- o designing a longitudinal study which would allow investigators the appropriate data to evaluate the effectiveness of the Transition program elements.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program


First Year Accomplishments


The first action was to conduct an extensive review of the existing literature regarding transition and pertinent quality of life issues. Second, transition evaluation questionnaires which had been developed and used by other Transition Evaluation projects were examined by both CERC and West End SELPA staff. CERC staff then interviewed persons who were actively involved in facilitating transition research, others who have completed transition evaluation programs, and important theorists in the field of special education and quality of life. Finally, instruments for the West End SELPA student and teacher exit were developed during a series of meetings with West End SELPA, SBCSS, and CERC staff. The West End SELPA Individual Transition Plan and the Transition Handbook² were studied to help suggest the areas of Transition which could be measured by statistical analysis of the questionnaires. Questions were developed to reflect these Transition areas. Through a process of feedback and review with selected pilot students and the West End SELPA, it was determined that these instruments reflected important elements of Transition and meet appropriate levels of:

- o readability,
- o length, and
- o content validity.

Consequently, the instruments were formatted for printing, printed and delivered to the selected schools which are involved in this study. Students involved in the baseline exit were assessed using these instruments at the end of the 1988-1989

²These are discussed in greater depth later in this document. They are currently being used by the West End SELPA for information and guidance purposes. Copies are available from the West End SELPA.





school year.

These instruments were then formatted for installation onto the San Bernardino computerized Management Information System (MIS). Programmer analysts at SBCSS performed this operation and modified the MIS. By doing this, the data collected for this project may be entered uniformly with controls over correctness of entry from the offices at West End SELPA. This feature also contributes to the ease and convenience of these data entry. Data gathered from the baseline assessment was entered in January, 1990.

The development of the Follow-Up Questionnaire was more complex and was completed in five steps. First, CERC staff vorked to conceptualize and characterize factors likely to be important to adult quality of life as suggested by the literature, West End SELPA and SBCSS consultants, and the other Transition projects reviewed. Next, the Partnership members edited this document with respect to importance, clarity and appropriateness. The questions were grouped according to the quality of life constructs being assessed. Questions were reworded to improve the readability for the students who would be answering them. Finally, West End SELPA staff conducted a field study of the instrument in the West End, using 28 special education students who were still in the West End SELPA program. CERC staff analyzed the reported results of this field test at another

It was particularly important for the final instrument to be of such a length that volunteers in the study would not be discouraged from filling it out. For this reason, some questions which could be assessed indirectly were edited out. for example, the issue of stability is an important component for interpretation of quality of life. It is possible that by asking a question about length of employment can serve the double purpose of answering the question of stability as well as get at fulfillment of vocational goals and success on the job. Age and ability were considered in word choice, since clarity and brevity aid reader comprehension.

Partnership meeting where final editing of the instrument was completed.

Programmers at the Sen Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools modified the existing MIS for use in the West End SELPA Transition Evaluation Project. The questionnaire format, data entry and storage were incorporated into the San Bernardino MIS. With this in place, it became possible for the West End SELPA data entry persons to enter questionnaire responses from the West End directly onto the MIS. That system is now in use on the MIS and contains all of the first year baseline data of the Student and Teacher Exit questionnaires.

SBCSS MIS programmers and CERC staff further developed the procedure for off loading all data for analysis by SBCSS Research and Development staff. The MIS Transition Data configuration and the method for off loading it has been completed.

CERC developed and wrote a list of statistical procedures which will enable the Partnership members to perform periodic analyses and reporting of the project data using the sophisticated statistical software package, SPSS/PC+. It is through the analysis of these reports using this package that the effective evaluation of the West End SELPA may take place. It is anticipated that SBCSS will purchase and use SPSS/PC+ for the remainder of this project. The baseline data for the school year 1988-1989 has been analyzed and is reported later in this document.



Transition

Transition can be defined as a purposeful, organized and outcome-oriented process involving the school, Department of Rehabilitation, and other organizations within the school and community. This process is aimed at helping "at risk" students move from school to employment and a higher quality of adult life. It involves the student, the family, the school, adult service and other providers working together to assess needs, plan and implement education, training and other activities. Successful transition includes meaningful employment, further education in areas of interest and skill development, and varying degrees of participation in the matriculated student's community (e.g. living arrangements, social activities, recreational activities, on-going educational opportunities, etc.) (California State Department of Education, 1987). These are important issues which are addressed by the West End SELPA (West End SELPA, 1989).

The Need for Transition

Educators may facilitate the transition from secondary schools into a productive and successful adult life by writing and implementing Individual Transition Plan (ITP) for each individual in special education. West End SELPA utilizes an ITP which addresses these components. Both the student and the student's family need to be made aware of the special services available to them in order to gain access for assistance (Edgar, 1987). The ITP brings together these people and agencies and sets appropriate goals based upon the interests and abilities of the student (Hardman & McDonnell, 1987).

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

Of particular importance in the ITP is vocational education. Edgar (1987) suggests that vocational education be intensified for the student. The parents need to be involved in the process in order to educate them as to what transition will be attempting to do. Brown (1987) believes that "social skills and the establishment of social bonds with nondisabled people are critical for extended and successful functioning in integrated work environments" (p. 548). These skills would be learned through mainstreaming. Thus, it is important for these students to receive the best of all curricula, special, vocational, and mainstreamed within the school. The difficulty is one of delivery.

Increased acceptance and peer motivation has prompted many of the past mainstreaming efforts for special education students into regular education classrooms. Some authors have suggested that all special education students should be immediately integrated into regular education classrooms. Justification for this comes from the belief that the development f all children will be enhanced, there are potentially ill effects derived from segregation, and that there exists a moral compulsion by educationalists to mainstream (Stainback, Stainback, and Bunch, 1989). If this were done immediately without modifications to the regular education curriculum, however, important vocational skills and specialized core corricula would be lost (Edgar, 1987). Others suggest that those students who are in special education continue to receive skills training and be gradually

Based upon the Homan (1950) thesis that predicts increased acceptance of persons who have frequent amounts of contact, positive expectations for the mainstreaming movement have been common. Simply put, this thesis suggests that mainstreaming is simply a matter of placing special education students in the regular education classroom.

mainstreamed as they reach competence with them. Loovis (1986), Goodwin (1987), and Watkinson & Titus (1986) report that when integration proceeds where appropriate skills are not in place, the process is likely to be unsuccessful. This has been referred to as "dumping" (Watkinson, & Muloin, 1988).

There are increasing calls to desegregate special education (Stainback & Stainback, 1989). This is despite the evidence which suggests that the regular education curriculum would be ineffective and nonfunctional for these students (Edgar, 1987). Research suggests that most of the effort in regular education is focused on a core curriculum through academic classes (McBride & Forgnone, 1985). The trend is toward more of the same, along with more rigorous achievement in graduation requirements and reduced numbers of vocational components. Phelps (1985) believes that it is the vocational component, however, which would likely be of most benefit to these students.

The existing special education programs do not seem to be effective in helping students develop appropriate vocational skills. The employment situation has been rather bleak for many who were enrolled in special education. Over thirty percent of these students drop out before completing their secondary school programs (Edgar, 1987). Less than fifteen percent of the ones who remain to graduation successfully obtain jobs which pay more than minimum wage. Few mildly handicapped students move from school to community jobs that allow for independent living (Hasazi, Gordon, & Roe, 1985). The secondary school curriculum appears to contribute little to these students' adjustment to community life especially toward independent living (Mithange, et. al., 1985). Finally, the

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

cooperation between schools and adult agencies is not being realized. Agencies who are funded to facilitate Transition are not being contacted for services (Brodsky, 1983).

Unlike Dunn (1968) and Stainback, ct al. (1989), many are calling for a separate but different special education program (Edgar, 1989). Phelps (1985) delineates one which focuses on teaching the skills that would be helpful to those students at risk for failure in school and community. The ITP will be one important method for the special educators in the West End to prescribe appropriate and effective Transition curricula for each individual student eligible for the program. Though many features of the program have already been in effect, the intensity of the program will increase during the last two years of high school. The ITP should reflect the importance of the Transition materials. When an ITP has been written for an individual student, the likelihood of his/her successful transition into adulthood is hypothesized to increase dramatically. Therefore, one important aspect of this evaluation will be for investigators to determine the existence of an ITP for the student and for special educators to use their skills effectively in making good ITPs.

There are many Transition studies being conducted throughout the United States (Dowling,& Hartwell, 1988) The type of data/information being collected nationwide include information regarding the client (e.g. student demographics, employment status, and educational background), employers (e.g. satisfaction, characteristics, and services to employer), and post-secondary education (demographics, satisfaction levels, and attrition). Instrumentation type and purpose

are equally varied. Examples of the various purposes of the instruments are career interest, social skills, daily living skills, language skills. Types include checklists, rating scales, interviews, and questionnaires (Dowling & Hartwell, 1988).

Curriculum and the ITP

Within the West End Special Education schools, efforts are being made to implement Transition curricula. Transition curricula have been organized and made available; many Individual Transition Plans (ITP) have already been written; and outcomes to special education have been formulated in terms of quality of life.

Planning is a critical part of the transition process. A written plan is developed and implemented for each student no later than age 14 (or the ninth grade). Planning is a joint effort involving the student, the family, education personnel, adult services and others (e.g., WorkAbility). The California educational system is preparing to evaluate adult employment and quality of life outcomes⁵ for "at-risk" students for two years after the movement into employment, further education and community life. Specific responsibilities include: monitoring and evaluating student outcomes, analyzing the implications of this evaluation for transition programs, and referring students and/or their families to other service providers if necessary (California Department of Education, 1987).

The West End SELPA ITP meeting involves the student, parent, case manager, Department of Rehabilitation Counselor, teacher, and others who discuss

11

⁵The quality of life criteria has not yet been formulated. However, plans are being made to meet and discuss their formulation (Snowden,B., personal communication, April, 1990).

important planning issues, long and short term goals and objectives, and actions to be taken. The activities are distributed among these persons as appropriate, and a time line is generated. A new ITP date is set along with a person who is responsible for scheduling and monitoring the ITF. The purpose of the ITP is to unite the support services with the Department of Rehabilitation and prescribe the skills training in order to assure their accessibility and delivery to the student.

An example of the West End SELPA TTP is enclosed in Appendix A. This along with the other aspects of West End's efforts (e.g. WorkAbility, and other vocational training programs will contribute to preparing its students for success as adults.



Quality of Life

The purpose of transition is to emphasize the positive adult outcome of the educational process for these students. Adult outcomes may be analyzed and assessed through elements of "quality of life." Will (1984) calls it an "outcomeoriented process encompassing a broad array of services and experiences that lead to employment."(p.1).

The process begins while the student is in school. The State Department of Education has developed a model illustrating its preferences for the implementation of Transition. This model has been adopted by West End SELPA and is called "Building Bridges to the Future" (West End SELPA, 1989). The goal is "to facilitate the transition of students with special need through the educational system on to independent living and in most circumstances gainful employment." (p.i). The following description of programs and activities illustrate the components of this model.

While in School

West End SELPA Transition curriculum contains several skill elements. These elements are intended to increase the likelihood of adult quality of life. The implementation of these elements begins in preschool. There are three levels of element implementation. (West End SELPA, 1985)



LEVEL 1

Preschool through Fifth Grade

Using "From Play to Pay," the Career/Vocational Preparation Model for Students with Special Needs (West End SELPA, 1985), career awareness and orientation activities are introduced supplemented with commercial transition materials available at the West End SELPA Curriculum Library.

Sixth Grade

Career/vocational activities are continued, and a student questionnaire is administered which can be completed as a home interview or a student interview at school. The home survey is included with the student file as it is transferred to the junior high school and becomes the basis for the first Individual Transition Plan.

LEVEL 2

Seventh and Eighth Grade

An ITP is developed with parents and significant other persons in addition to and separate from the IEP at seventh grade. During this time, a decision is made whether the student will receive the core curriculum (mildly handicapped), an integrated core and career/vocational education curriculum (mildly and moderately handicapped), or a functional curriculum (severely impaired abilities).

Further, career exploration experiences are arranged and a prevocational assessment screening (PAS) is administered. These activities are integrated with



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

guidance materials from chapter two of the "Transition Handbook" regarding academic achievement test and performance.

The ITP becomes incorporated as a part of the IEP process at the eighth grade. Consideration is given at this time for career/vocational involvement at high school.

LEVEL 3

Ninth and Tenth Grade

The student is referred to the Vocational Assessment Center for a complete assessment battery. Assessment results are reported at a meeting for the development of the IEP and ITP.

Vocational experiences are continued with use of the "From Play to Pay" Model and the "Student Transition Handbook" beginning with chapter Four, along with a referral to the Career Guidance Services, and preparations for registration into ROP classes, as appropriate.

Eleventh and Twelfth Grade

The ITP determines the areas of emphasis for the IEP for moderately and severely handicapped students. Mildly handicapped students, who are preparing for continued education following high school graduation, continue to emphasize the core curriculum with IEP development.

Vocational classes and ROP classes are scheduled as appropriate. Referral is initiated to the Department of Rehabilitation at the start of the eleventh grade



or the start of the second year prior to leaving public school for students who could need continued support and help to be employed following public school. In this way, the development of a Department of Rehabilitation Individual Work Related Plan (IWRP) and work placement activities are facilitated.

Referral to the California WorkAbility program is made when the student is "job ready" and desires support for the first work experience (West End SELPA, 1985). WorkAbility pays student wages during the preparation time needed for the student to become a competitive employee. Other students will be on the employers' payroll with support from the Department of Rehabilitation services.

ITP development is held separately from the IEP during the final high school year in order to focus exclusively on the process of transition from school into quality adult living. Quality indicators include: employment or continued education, independent living, as appropriate, and social and community involvement.

Registration for the Transition Evaluation process occurs prior to exit from school. The process, which is supported by the West End SELPA and San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools, maintains contact with identified students for a period of five years following exit from school to determine quality of adult living. Transition activities recorded prior to exit will help educators to evaluate the educational program for mildly, moderately and severely handicapped with in relation to their needs following public education.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Out of School

Quality of life variables have been developed by West End SELPA following those variables suggested by Stodden and Boone (1987). They include the following:

- A. Occupational Placement/ Maintenance
- B. Income Level
- C. Continued Education
- D. Community Leisure
- E. Transportation
- F. Residential Arrangements
- G. Advocacy Arrangements
- H. Medical/Health Needs
- I. Personal/Social Adjustment

Student Follow-Up Questionnaire

Knowles (1984) indicates that variables for adult quality of life seem to fall into dimensions of: (1) Vocation/Education, (2) Emotional Physical Health, (3) Recreation/Leisure, (4) Home and Family, (5) Personal Development, and (6) Community Involvement. These components then are summarized into the skill domains for quality of life as adopted by West End SELPA. These skill domains include the following dimensions and characteristic behaviors and make up the construct assessment variables for quality of life in the Student Follow-Up Questionnaire:



I. Daily Living Skills

- 1. Managing Money
- 2. Utilize Good Decision Making Skills
- 3. Select, Manage, Maintain Home
- 4. Care for Personal Needs
- 5. Raise Children
- 6. Buy and Prepare Meals
- 7. Buy and Care for Clothing
- 8. Engage in Civic Activities
- 9. Use Recreation and Leisure
- 10. Get Around Community
- 11. Full Use of Transportation Opportunities
- 12. Pursue Economic Goals

II. Personal and Social Skills

- 10. Achieve Self Awareness
- 11. Achieve Self Confidence
- 12. Achieve Socially Responsible Behavior
- 13. Achieve Interpersonal Skills
- 14. Achieve Independence
- 15. Achieve Problem Solving Skills
- 16. Achieve Interpersonal Communication
- 17. Develop Leisure Activities
- 18. Pursue Educational Goals
- 19. Develop Positive Attitudes and Appreciation of Self and Others

III. Occupational Skills

- 20. Pursue Career Goals
- 21. Know and Explore Possibilities
- 22. Select and Plan Choices
- 23. Exhibit Appropriate Work Habits and Behavior
- 24. Exhibit Physical and Manual Skills
- 25. Seek, Secure, and Maintain Employment
- 26. Utilize All Possible and Appropriate Agencies



Transition Evaluation

Research Design

The project makes use of each student's Individual Education Plan (IEP) data and his/her responses to the three questionnaires: Student Exit, Teacher Exit and Student Follow-up. These questionnaires were developed by researchers in the San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. These instruments were created to assess elements of West End SELPA's Transition and the construct of adult quality of life for students leaving its secondary schools. Trandition elements are assessed once each through the Student and Teacher Exit questionnaires. Quality of life measurements are assessed yearly through the Student Follow-Up questionnaire. Subjects are selected on the basis of availability and willingness to participate from the expected population of students who would most benefit from the transition services available from the West End Special Education. Each cohort is analyzed separately for individual differences and then with each other for group differences.

The purpose of this design is to enable investigators the opportunity to:

- 1) measure changes among several measures of quality of life over a period of several sequential years for five cohorts of students leaving the West End SELPA,
- 2) observe trends in the implementation of the Transition materials, and
- 3) make between-cohort comparisons in order to assess the effectiveness of the heightened use of the Transition processes (indicated on the Teacher Exit questionnaire).

The Student and Teacher Exit Questionnaires were used with the 1988-1989 baseline cohort. The data collected from these questionnaires have been entered into the MIS and have been analyzed. The Student Follow-Up Questionnaire has been developed, field tested and edited. It will be available for



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program use during the summer of 1990.

Subjects

Subjects for this study are those students who are being serviced by the West End SELPA and are eligible for Department of Rehabilitation services. These subjects have already exited the program, as in the case of the 1989 Bareline cohort, or will be leaving at the end of one of the subsequent school years.

Participation in the study is voluntary. Subjects will be solicited for participation in this study at the end of each academic school year from among the campuses in the West End. The subjects invited for participation are those who are thought to have received exemplary programs by the West End specialists. One of the purposes of assessment is to exhibit dramatic effects of the Transition program consequent quality of life for its recipients. Those students who participate in each year's Student Exit questionnaire will become that year's cohort. The number of students making up each cohort will vary but is expected to increase from year to year.

<u>Instruments</u>

The Teacher Exit Questionnaire (Appendix B) consists of fifteen multiple choice and fill-in questions and is filled out by the subject's teacher. This instrument asks for that information which would delineate the amount and type of classes taken in regular and special education classrooms, the curriculum



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

content, and the implementation of an ITP. The student's ID number is obtained for computer cross-referencing. Field testing has shown that this instrument takes about 20 minutes per subject to fill out.

The Student Exit Questionnaire (Appendix C) contains thirteen questions of both the multiple choice and fill-in the blank type. These questions solicit the student's demographic information, present occupational data, and a single indicator of expressed quality of life (e.g. "In general, how do you feel about your life?"). This questionnaire takes about five minutes to complete. Except in cases of severe disability, this questionnaire is generally done by the student without assistance.

The Student Follow-Up Questionnaire (Appendix D) is mailed out one year after the student exits from the program, and each year thereafter until the culmination of this study. It consists of forty-one true/false, multiple choice, and all-in questions, collecting data related to current student demographics and quality of life.

Efforts will be made to encourage student participation in this study over the years. The West End SELPA is storing address changes that accompany natural respondent mobility and will be using these to locate them over the period of this study. Each Student Follow-Up instrument requests refere see contact persons for this purpose.

Teachers, events, and curricula are expected to vary with each cohort of subjects and are assessed through the Exit Questionnaires. Some of those variations are intentional changes (i.e., implementation of ITP and expansion of the Transition Program implementation). The information made available through



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

the Teacher questionnaire about student academic course work will help to interpret these changes, as will the demographic characteristics of each student. Thus, investigators may be able to equate many of the Transition experiences to resulting multi-year outcomes across different cohort groups. Since other persons may be helping the student respond, the Follow-Up Questionnaire requests identification of that person in order to help investigators interpret these effects, if any.

This project is timely with respect to both special and regular education secondary school leavers. Its importance and the understanding of the results will be beneficial to the evaluation of the Transition program and the status of these students in the West End SELPA.

Evaluative Components

Responses to the three questionnaires are processed along with data frorthe corresponding IEP through the coordination of activities of West End SELPA staff and San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools' Data Processing Division.

Data Collection, Storage, and Off-Load

West End SELPA personnel are responsible for collecting the information utilized in this study. Data come from three distinct sources:

- (1) students' Individual Education Plan (IEP)
- (2) teachers' responses to the Teacher Questionnaire, and
- (3) students' responses to the Student Exit Questionnaire.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

The San Bernardino County Office of Education, Data Processing Division, maintains a computerized system for current IEP data. Information on the students gender, grade, ethnicity, primary language, and handicapping condition are drawn from this data base. The questionnaire data, however, is collected separately and transcribed into the computer for analysis.

The system for capturing and maintaining this questionnaire information developed by John Caid, a Programmer Analyst with the Data Processing Division, allows for West End SELPA users to utilize existing computer equipment and interface technique to enter the questionnaire data. Important to the parameters of such a system are the ways in which it effectively interfaces with existing county software and hardware. This has been done through "soft-coding," a technique which allows for a relatively easy change of questionnaire input and data storage requirements in the event of a modification to an existing questionnaire or the addition of a new instrument.

Unfortunately, facilities did not exist on these mainframe computers for indepth statistical analysis of this data. A procedure to off-load this data to an a personal computer (PC) which is MS-DOS compatible was developed so that the data could be analyzed using the SPSS/PC+ statistical program. The system John Caid created exports a number of files which are used in this statistical analysis.

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

There are four primary data files, and eight support information files. The four primary data files are:

STUDENTS.DAT The student's ITP data.

ANSWER1.DAT Answers to multiple-choice

questions, all questionnaires.

ANSWER2.DAT Answers to fill-in/text questions,

all questionnaires.

QUESTION.DAT A master file containing all the

questions and allowable

responses.

Record layouts for these files are included in Appendix E. The eight information files are:

ANTICIPA.INC Table of "Anticipated Services

Needed".

LIVESWIT.INC Table of who the student lives

with.

COUNTY.INC Table of two-character county

abbreviations.

DISTRICT.INC Table of six-digit district

identification numbers.

ETHNICIT.INC Table of student ethnicit groups.

LANGUAGE.INC Table of student primary

languages.

ENGLISH.INC Table of student english

speaking ability.

HANDICAP.INC Table of student handicapping

conditions.

For analysis, these files are transferred (in eight-bit ASCII format) to a PC disk. Two processes are necessary for statistical analysis. First, the data had to be imported and defined to the SPSS/PC+ program. Once accomplished, the data may be analyzed using a number of different statistical procedures.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology

Transition Evaluation Program

SPSS Reporting

Four SPSS/PC+ procedure files were created for this project in order to accomplish this first task (each of these four procedures are included in Appendix F:

WESELPA.SPS A routine to run the following

three procedures, automating the process of importing all three files to a single command.

STUDENTS.SPS This procedure reads data from

> STUPENTS.DAT creating the STUDENTS.SYS files (an SPSS/PC+ systems

file).

ANSWER1.SPS This procedure reads data from

the ANSWER1.DAT file, creating the SPSS/PC+ systems file

ANSWER1.SYS.

ANSWER2.SPS A procedure which reads data

from the ANSWER2.DAT file in order to create ANSWER2.SYS.

Importing the data to SPSS/PC+ is very straightforward:

(1) off-load the four primary data files and the eight information files, from the San Bernardino County Office of Education Data Processing Division via modem or onto floppy disks,

(2) copy them onto a personal computer having the SPSS/PC+ (v 3.0) program,

(3)

initialize the SPSS/PC+ program, and enter the command string: INCLUDE WESELPA.SPS. (4)

This sequence of steps imports the data from the county's mainframe computer to the P.C. making it ready for analysis using SPSS/PC+. Once these procedures have completed three data files are then available for further study:



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation i rogram

STUDENTS.SYS The SPSS/PC+ version of the

student's ITP data.

ANSWER1.SYS The SPSS/PC+ version of

multiple-choice answers to all of

the questionnaire forms.

ANSWER2.SYS The SPSS/PC+ version of fill-

in/text answers to all of the

questionnaire forms.

Many different analyses are possible using these files. Preliminary studies typically begin with an assessment of response adequacy. This was accomplished by executing the procedure REPORTA.SPS. This procedure, included in Appendix G, cross-references the ANSWER1 file against the STUDENTS file. A cross tabulation report (Appendix H) lists the number and percent of respondents to each of the questionnaires across nine important student criteria. These include:

- 1) student status in school,
- 2) age grouping of the student,

3) student's gender,

- 4) who the student is currently living with,
- 5) the grade level of the student,
- 6) the student's ethnicity,

7) primary language,

- 8) English speaking capability, and
- 9) handicapping condition.

Comparisons of the rates of respondents within these nine categories to the different questionnaires can provide a measure of the characteristics of different respondent groups.



Once response adequacy has been established the next procedure reports on the actual responses to the questionnaire questions. These responses consist of one of three types of answer:

- (1) checking one (or more) multiple-response items,
- (2) providing textual responses (ex. What is the name of ...), and
- (3) providing numeric responses (ex. How much ... or How many ...).

Textual responses may be provided to respondents' checking the multipleresponse box "Other" (for which they would be prompted to enter some text). In addition, not every respondent completed every question, so all questions allow for the possibility of "No Response".

There are three SPSS/PC+ report procedures which tabulate the information in each of these three response categories. These procedures, named REPORTB.SPS, REPORTC.SPS, and REPORTD.SPS respectively, read data from both the ANSWER1 and ANSWER2 data files. These SPSS/PC+ procedures are reproduced in Appendix I, while the actual reports produced are in Appendix J.



Report on the Baseline Data

The report generated by the SPSS/PC+ procedures using the Teacher and Student Exit data from the school year 1988-1989 is presented in Appendix K. In the three sections student characteristics are discussed and questions suggesting revision and questions suggesting Transition implementation are examined.

Student Characteristics

Though there were few questionnaires completed in this year's baseline data collection, 66 Teacher and 47 Student, their analysis revealed many important properties. Before inferential statements could be made about the West End SELPA special Education population, however, the two "groups" of questionnaire characteristics had to be reconciled.

The 66 completed Teacher Questionnaires described 29 more students than responded to the Student Exit Questionnaire. It is possible that these 29 "other" students had characteristics which were very dissimilar to those for whom we had data through the Student Exit Questionnaires. Thus, a Crosstabs operation was performed upon these groups. As can be seen in that Appendix, the properties of these two groups are very similar among the demographic and characteristic variables. Therefore, it was concluded that the two groups could be treated as one and the data could be used for inferential purposes.



The Development of the Instruments

Questions Suggesting Revisions

Not surprisingly, the academic courses which were taken in special education by these students did not include foreign language. It seems logical that this course of study should not be a part of the response set to the question regarding course work in special education. Some course work may be either inappropriate for those types of disabilities and/or unavailable in special education classes. If the latter is more true, item "2" (Drama/Speech) and "3" (Art/Music) may be reconsidered as well. Their response rates were quite low (1.52%).

Overall, there were 66 teachers who responded to this type of question. Almost all of them (85%) reported that their students attended English/Literature in a special classroom. About two thirds (70%) of them learned math in a special setting as well. There were 14 responses to the "Other" category: five teachers indicated "Alt Study" (this category might be included in the question with a blank for them to describe what that might be.) Consumer Education, which was mentioned three times, might be included in the question, as well. Adaptive P.E. was mentioned and might be included as an eighth choice. The responses regarding Vocational Education could have been answered later in the questionnaire and could have been screened by the data entry personnel.

Only 58 out of 66 (88%) teachers responded to the next item stating that 12% of their students attended no regular education classes. Yet, of the students who did, the average time spent in regular education classes was about 66%. Thus, almost ten percent of their students were 90% mainstreamed and no one



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

was fully mainstreamed. This discrepancy in number may mean that the item was either missed or misinterpreted by some of the teachers. A check of the IEP's for these students would settle this problem by disclosing who was not mainstreamed.

There were 66 responses to the next item regarding mainstreaming. With regard to regular education, the most often cited classes programmed for these students were Physical Education (80%) and Art/Music (81%). There were two "No Responses." Explanations for this may be that the question was overlooked or that those students were segregated in their programs. There were ten "Other" responses. Many (six) of these appear to be some type of vocational education which would have been more appropriately reported in another question, and thus could have been deleted at data entry. Two other responses were also missed in the question and reported in the Other category. Data entry personnel could have made the correction here, too. Finally, two of the Other responses seemed difficult to interpret with respect to the question asked.

Questions Assessing Transition

The job types reported by researchers (Edgar, 1987) require skills which appear to be the least trained according to this report. There were o'6 responses to the Vocational Training question. A total of about 89% of the students were involved in some type of on campus vocational training. Of the five most popular vocational training services, ROP received 35%, Work Experience 24%, Workability 14%, JTPA 6%, and Transition Partnersh 5%. In addition to these services, teachers had the opportunity to report 5n skill training in similar and other



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program specific areas. Merchandise/Retail and Food Service/Restaurant training courses only received three percent of the students. Four possible explanations for this might be:

- 1) these skills are too easy and are not worth p. paring.
- 2) the students are not expecting to be working n these areas,
- 3) counselors did not promote these courses, and
- 4) these courses are not offered during available times.

There were only four Other responses to this question. They cited courses which were not listed in the question and may be considered for inclusion if their frequency rises.

The five questions, numbers five through nine, are related to questions 10 through 15 and are important for descriptions of student programs, evaluation of Transition program implementation, and estimations of their relationship to student future success. They report on the relative levels of integration of the important Transition skills training which were implemented with this baseline cohort. The ITP's written for persons within this cohort will help interpret later quality of life follow-up data.

Community-Based Training Hours are a good indicator of Transition curriculum and implementation. The following reports illustrate the frequency of its implementation for the baseline group.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Recreation/Leisure: Apparently 15 students (23%) take A. Of these, their average time in the part in this. community was about one hour per day.

Vocational: Only 14 students took part in this (21%). This В. is contrasted with the 89% of them who are receiving some type of on campus vocational training. The average time spent was about 1/4 hours per day.

C. Community: There were 10 students involved in this course work (15%). The average time spent was about

1/2 hour per day.

Simularly, Student Employment Support has been related to positive outcomes by a number of researchers (Hasazi, et. al., 1989). Most (42-64%) of the students said that they did not receive any kind of support. However, many of those were not in vocational training (only 21% in the previous question) where it was appropriate or available. Thus, about 10 students who were in a vocational training off campus received no support. Of those who did 13 (20%) were employer trained. Only 5 students (7%) received full or part time job coaches.

It is uncertain what the Workability reference means in the Other section. Perhaps this means that these students did receive some support. If this is the case. It might be appropriate to include this within the question and then interpret the results accordingly.

The next three questions correspond to the questions six through nine above in that they ask for particulars of the type of training that was offered. Additionally, it may reflect the implementation of the ITP for those students who had one forged. The relatively high percentage of "No Responses" to these items may mean that for these students: 1) the support was unnecessary, 2) the support was unavailable, or 3) the support was not sought.

The number of "No Responses" indicated that 58% of the students did not



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

engage in Community training. Of those who did, most (20%) of the support was in use of community resources. Also important, public transportation was supported by 17% of the students.

More students (58%) received training in the domestic training area than the other two, "Community" and "Recreation Leisure." This area of "Recreation Leisure" training was indicated the most with only 38% no responses. Of those students who did receive this type of training, most of it was in "Sports/Physical Activities." It is uncertain whether these teachers are perceiving physical education course work to be similar to recreation and leisure training.

Most (86%) of the students who left at the end of the 1988-89 school year did receive some type of diploma signifying completion of the secondary program. This question serves to gauge the success of the Transition and the overall effectiveness of West End SELPA's secondary schooling. Research has shown that "at risk" students tend to drop out and not complete the program. The high rate of completion reported here reflects positively upon the success of the West End SELPA program. However, it is possible that many of the students who dropped out prior to data assessment were not reported here. A further investigation into this area might be appropriate.

The question regarding "Current Living Arrangement" in the student questionnaire was expected to show that the students would be living at home with their parents. It did, with about 89% of these students currently living at home with their parents. Over time it will be important to note changes in this arrangement. As those students transition into the community, increased

West End SELPA Report on M-thodology Transition Evaluation

independence from parents should become apparent in the statistics.

The question regarding receipt of available services is another which should begin to reflect the implementation of Transition with each succeeding year. Though about 32% of these students currently receive services from the Department of Rehabilitation, this number should increase as the Transition Partnership Program continues.

The "Seek Assistance" question had some surprises and will contribute to the quality of life construct. Most of these students (62%) seek assistance from their parents. This fact points up the importance of educating parents in the Transition process. Interestingly, many of the "Other" responses were the same as the question choices. "Friends" was mentioned five times. If these numbers were included in the appropriate place, the percentage of "Friends" would have increased to about 30%. Data entry persons could catch this. With the number of "Myself" responses (four), it might be advisable to include that as an alternative in the question. Last, inclusion of "Other Relative" may also be appropriate.

The responses to the question of feelings about one's life were very positive. This question is intended to be give investigators a rough estimate of these students' overall quality of life. Though highly subjective, it could begin to assist in the interpretation of some of the factual information regarding employment and other quantitative information which will be assessed in the later Follow Up Questionnaire. The range and variability on the item were also good even though most of the students were to some degree happy (95%), while only two persons (5%) were not.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

As expected, about as many people (38%) were working at least part time as were looking for employment (43%). Four percent indicated they were not looking for work. After the "Other" responses were added (five of the "Other" responses indicated that they were not looking and gave a reason for not looking), that figure would rise to about 15%. The Follow Up questionnaire will serve to allow for trend analysis with each successive year and cohort.

The place of employment and job title are meant to enable investigators to characterize the placement and level of student success in achieving their goals. Though there was a range of job type reported, analysis revealed that six of them were of the food handling/restaurant type, three were clerk/store type, six were construction/industrial type, and five were "Other" types. This corresponds to the current research reports in quality of life and follow-up with other Transition programs (Hasazi, Johnson, Hasazi, Gordon, & Hull, 1989).

Students reported that for those who were working (40%), their hours ranged from seven to forty with twenty-nine hours per week being the average. Their average wage was \$5.21 per hour.

Surprisingly, about 70% of those who were working already were driving to work. Half as many of the remaining were taken by their parents. Only one person reported using public transportation. This fact brings into question the efficacy of intensive supported transportation services skills which are intended to impact these students' employment prospects. If public transportation skills are unused, the efforts on the part of educators could be made in other areas of the corriculum more effectively.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

The question about length of time in employment represents one indicator of stability of the quality of life characteristics (Borthwick-Duffy, 1989). Its inclusion in this questionnaire serves to interpret the effectiveness of the trend in West End SELPA's occupational training program. Increases here could help evaluate early implementation of Transition.

The next question assessing employment benefits received by these students gives an indication of the quality of that work situation. About 10% of the students were receiving benefits from their employers. These persons may be receiving multiple benefits from among the choices offered in the question. Of the group of students who were working, however, most were apparently not receiving any benefits.

Of twenty-nine students who were not working, only 12 indicated why they were not employed. Possible reasons may be that they missed the question, did not understand it, did not know why they were not employed, or did not want to give a reason. Nevertheless, of those who did respond, 70% had quit their previous job.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Conclusion

The four criteria (social skills training, vocational skills training, domestic skills training, community skills training) for defining transition have been operationalized in the items of the three questionnaires. Another way to determine the amount and type of those Transition materials which might be used in a classroom is through assessment of these various components. Once assessment is done, the things which can be studied to determine whether it was a successful transition are:

- 1) overall ratings of receipt of services, numerical indicators,
- discriminant analysis and analysis of variance to measure which services and which outcomes are significant, and
- 3) regression analysis to predict which outcomes would likely occur under which circumstances.

The first strategy has been adopted by West End SELPA to examine the treatment on students by comparing percentages receiving services and percentages of success. In this way, overall estimates of the degree to which Transition was implemented within a group may be compared to the overall success of that group through measurement of the various indicators of quality of life. In this way, the partnership may:

- 1) measure the implementation of Transition along a continuum of services and skills.
- 2) measure the outcomes in terms of measures of quality of life issues,
- 3) determine the efficacy of Transition implementation,
- 4) identify and modify possible areas of the Transition services or skill training or of the project instrumentation, and
- 5) use all of these things to help evaluate the West End SELPA Transition Program.

The Transition Partnership of San Bernardino Superintendent of Schools and



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

West End Special Education Local Plan Area, along with the California Educational Research Cooperative, has worked hard over the past year designing and implementing this research method intended to examine the outcomes of Transition of the West End SELPA special education students. These at-risk students have historically had a tougher time in completing their secondary education. Though services and agencies were erected to help them, many of these students have been unaware of their existence or unsure about their services. Further, more than half of them do not obtain competitive employment as much as three years after leaving school. For this and other reasons, Transition has become a major initiative over the past few years.

The West End SELPA is familiar with the problems facing students in special education, and it is making efforts to facilitate these students efforts on obtaining skills and agency contacts before leaving school. Pam Nevills (Program Manager) and others are encouraging the use of Transition materials. This is resulting in a gradual change in local educational thinking from one concerned with a narrow academic curriculum to a more outcome accountable orientation for special education students. Though there were only a few questionnaires completed this year, the results from the two types of questionnaires (Student and Teacher Exit), were analyzed and supplied us with much information;

- * there were approximately wice as many males than females;
- residence was predominantly the parents home;
- * ethnicity favored whites, then Hispanics, and few blacks;
- language was almost all fluent English;

West End SELPA Report on Methodology

Transition Evaluation Program

- * handicapping condition was predominantly learning disability; and
- * academic courses taken in Special Education classrooms



were those representing the core curriculum.

These instruments have provided some key information in an effort to understand the degree to which Transition is being implemented in West End SELPA schools. Both direct and indirect methous in assessing the same information (items regarding social, domestic, and vocational skill training) as well as employment status and accomplishment of graduation show these questions to be sensitive and reliable measures of Transition elements. The follow up instrument similarly illustrates those characteristics appropriate for assessment of quality of life.

Several years of longitudinal study will allow the West End SELPA to compare these Transition elements to the short and long term achieved quality of life. Program improvements can then be made in a continuing effort to maximize the quality of outcomes for all special education students.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

References

- Benz, M., & Halpern, A. (1987). Tra ition services for secondary students with mild disabilities: A statewide perspective. Exceptional Children, 53, pp 507-514.
- Borthwick-Duffy, S. (1989). <u>Causal models for quality of life</u>. Colloquium, University of California, Riverside.
- Brown, L., Halpern, A., Hasazi, S., & Wehman, P. (1987). From school to adult living: A forum on issues and trends. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, <u>53</u>, pp. 546-554.
- Burton, L. (1988). <u>Student Exit and Follow-Up Questionnaire</u>, Riverside Unified School District: Cal.
- Cahill, D., & Wheat, D. (1988). Preparing for the future: A student transition handbook. West End SELPA: Cal.
- California State Department of Education (1987). <u>Education Transition Center:</u> <u>Information Packet</u>. CSPDAC: Sacramento, Cal.
- Dowling, J., & Hartwell, C. (1988). <u>Compendium of Project Profiles</u>. Board o Trustees of the University of Illinois.
- Edgar, E, (1987). Secondary programs in special education: Are many of them justifiable? Exceptional Children, 53, pp. 555-561.
- Falvey, M. (1989). "Mainstreaming and transition for special education. Interview at CSULA: Cal.
- Fulton-Burton, L. (1988). Analysis of follow-up systems. Report to California State

 Department of Education, Education Transition Center: Cal., pp. 1133.
- Hardman, M. & McDonnell, J. (1987). Implementing federal transition initiatives for youths with severe handicaps. Exceptional Children, 53, pp. 493-498.
- Hasazi, S. Gordon, L., Roe, C., Finck, K., Hull, M., & Salembier, G. (1985). A statewide follow-up on post high school employment and residential status of students labeled, "mentally retarded." Education and Training of the Mentally Retarded, December, pp. 222-234.



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

45

- Hasazi, S., Johnson, R., Hasazi, J., Gordon, L., & Hull, M. (1989). Employment of youth with and without handicaps following high school: Outcomes and correlates. <u>The Journal of Special Education</u>, <u>23</u>, pp. 243-255.
- Johnson, D., Bruininks, R., & Thurlow, M. (1987). Meeting the challenge of transition services planning through improved interagency cooperation.


 <u>Exceptional Children</u>, <u>53</u>, pp. 522-530.
- Kokaska, C., & Skolnik, J. (1986). Employment suggestions from LD adults. Academic Therapy. 21, pp. 573-577.
- Kokaska, C. (1985). High technology: Employment for handicapped people. <u>Journal for Vocational Special Needs Education</u>, <u>8</u>(1), pp. 25-28 &30.
- Mithaug, D. Marton, J., & Agran, M. (1987). Adaptability instruction: The goal of nationwide transitional programming. Exceptional Children, 53, pp. 500-505.
- Morgan, D. & Schwager, M. (1989). West End SELPA Transition Project: Prepared for the San Bernardino County Office of Education. Riverside, CA: CERC, pp. 1-12.
- Naeve, L. (personal communication, May, 1989).
- National Association of State Directors of Special Education, Inc. (1989). State efforts to examine the experiences, transition, and post school outcomes of students with handicaps. Summary Report, NASDSE/Project Forum: Washington, D.C.
- Nevills, P. (Ed.) (1989). Summary of components for transition in the West End SELPA, San Bernardino, CA.: SBCSS.
- Nevills, P. (1989). <u>Variables for evaluation study: Students exiting from special education programs</u>. San Bernardino, CA.: SBCSS.
- Peters, J., Templeman, T., & Brostrom, G. (1987). The school and community partnership: Planning transition for students with severe handicaps. Exceptional Children, 35, pp. 531-536.
- Razeghi, J. Kokaska, C. Gruenhager, K., & Fair, G. (1987). The transition of youth with disabilities to adult life: A position statement of the division on career development. The Division on Career Development, The Council for Exceptional Children: Virginia.
- Rusch, F., & Phelps, L. (1987). Secondary special education and transition from school to work: A national priority. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, <u>53</u>, pp. 487-492.



- San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools (1990). <u>Teacher & Student Exit</u>

 <u>Questionnaire and Student Follow-Up Questionnaire: Transition</u>

 <u>Partnership Project.</u> SBCSS: Cal.
- Stainback, W., & Stainback, S. (1984). A rationale for the merger of special and regular education. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, <u>51</u>, pp. 102-111.
- Stainback, W., Stainback, S., & Bunch, G. (1989). Introduction and historical background. In S. Stainback, W. Stainback, & M. Forest (Eds.), Educating all students in the mainstream of regular education, Baltimore: Brookes.
- Stodden, R., & Boone, R. (1987). Assessing transition services for handicapped youth: A cooperative interagency approach. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 53, pp. 537-545.
- Watkinson, E., & Muloin, S. (1988). Playground skills of moderately mentally handicapped youngsters in integrated elementary schools. <u>Mental Retardation & Learning Disability Bulletin</u>, 16(2). 3-13.
- Winget, P. (Ed.) (1989). Transition bridges school to work. The Special Edge, 3(7), pp. 1-10.
- West End SELPA (1985). From pay to play: Career/Vocational preparation model for students with special needs. A pre-school/ K-12 continuum of career/vocational goals and objectives.
- West End SELPA (1987). West End SELPA transition model. San Bernardino, CA.: SBCSS.
- West End SELFA (1989). Individual Transition Plan. San Bernardino, CA.: SBCSS.
- West End SELPA (1989). <u>Individual Education Plan and Data Report Form.</u> San Bernardino, CA.: SBCSS.



47

Appendix A: Sample West End SELPA IEP and ITP



WEST END SPECIAL EDUCATION & COAL PLAN AREA - SAN BERNARDING COUNTY INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION PLAN AND DATA REPORT FORM

(A) OPTIONS () () ()	MDIVIDOAL LDOOP	A NON PEAN AND DATA REPORT FORM	70
		PLACEMENT DISTRICT RESIDE	NT DISTRICT
NAME(LAST)	(FIRST) (MID INIT		
•		PLACEMENT SCHOOL RESIDE	NT SCHOOL
STUDENT ID	\$\$#		
BIRTHDATE:	SEX GRADE: (as of today)	Complete for Inter-Intra District/ SELPA Placements Only	Inter-SELPA Agreement Yes N
PARENT RESIDES IN WEST EN		Inter District Agreement res No Oth	
PARENTS ADDRESS (IF FOSTER	R OR LCI PLACEMENT ONLY)		er (describe)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vided by (give district name)
LCI L IC #		(E) ETHNIC GROUP PRIMARY LANGUAGE ENGLISH	HABILITY PRIMARY HANDICAP.
(C) CONTACT			
		MI DECCECON	ADATES
ADDRESS		[G] ASSESSMENT DATES (H) PROCESSING	DATES
		PSYCH NEXT REVIE	w/
CITY	ZIP	ACADEMIC CONSENT	
		HEALTH (ASSESSME	т — //
PHONE		L/S/H	ATE
(F) PUPIL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS	<u>. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —</u>	ADAPT PE ENTRY DATE	,
NO. IEP GOALS	s	VOCATION FINAL EXIT I	DATE
		NON-SPECIAL	
}		EDUCATION ANTICIPATED SERVICES	
 - 		[M] EXIT COCE. FOLLOWING EXIT	
(I) PROGRAMS/SERVICES (A-ADD, D-D	ELETE, C-CHANGE)	RATIONALE FOR LR	PLACEMENT.
LETTER NO PROGRAM	CLASS PCT FRO	OM TO TEACHER/SPECIALIST	
4) Regular		, , 	
1 1 1 -			
1 1 1 1			
	Ser	RELATED SERVICES	
	Ser		
	Ser		
() Phys Ed			
1 - 1 - 1 - 1	I-Regular		
	and participated as a member of the team; I consent		
to the IEP.	апс рапираючаз а тепоет опив кажи, гоотоет	Administrator/Designee	Dele:
	gand was unable to attend I have reviewed the IEP	Teachers	Date:
and consent to 4.			Date:
	lanation of Parent and Student Rights Information.	Others Trile	
I disagree with the.	c. specific instruction/services		
D. eligibility	c. specific instruction/services d instructional setting		
	ional Assessment Service, if appropriate.		
	neeling to attempt to resolve a process issue.		
I wish to initiate a due process t	·		
	Date	Dissenting Member(s)	£(1
4.9	Uere,	IAMach chant for integrals	

THE SECOND CONTROL REPORTED BY A LINE WHILE SHOW A SECOND SECOND

INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION PLAN AND DATA REPORT FORM CODE AND OPTIONS

(A)	ODTIONS:	15	NUMBER OF A STATE OF THE COALS	70	CADEED VOCATIONAL	40	550	20446.050	
(A)	OPTIONS: (1) Education Assmt. Team (EAS) (2) School Appr. Team (SAT) (3) Initial Placmt. (4) Annual Review (5) Dala Change (6) Program Change (7) Refer for further Assmt. (8) Information Review (no. spec. ed. plcmt.) (9) Return to reg. program (10) Information (in spec. ed.) LIVES WITH: (1) Parent	(F) 00	PUPIL EDUC. NEEDS (IEP GOALS) ACADEMIC 01 English Language Arts 02 Reading Readiness 03 Word Identification 04 Oral Language 05 Comprehension/Literature 06 Spelling 07 Written Expression 08 Grammar 09 Practical Application 10 Mathematics 11 Readiness	70 , , 80 (M)	CAREER VOCATIONAL (PREPARATION & TRANSITION) (K-12) 71 Career Awareness 72 Career Orientation 73 Career Exploration 74 Career Preparation & Participation 75 Community Living 76 Recreation Leisure 77 Domestic Living OTHER STUDENTS EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION 110 Grad. Meeting Reg Stand.	(i) :	200 231 233 240 242 .:243 244 246 310 330 340 343	SRAMS SERVIC SDC-Isolated SDC-Iso. Fac. SDC-Iso. Fac. SDC-Iso. Fac. SDC-Iso. Fac. SDC-Iso. Fac. SDC-Iso. Fac. SDC-Iso. Fac. Language and Orientation M Supp. Inst Se Supp. Inst Via	Facility Blind I'S Ortho Hidc. Educ. Ret. Train Ret Autistic Mult. Hidc Sev. Hidc. I Speech obility IV.
(E)	(1) Guardian (2) Guardian (3) Relative (4) Lic. Child Care Inst. (5) Foster home (6) Youth Authority (7) Other ETHNIC GROUP:		12 Concepts 13 Computation 14 Application Word Problems 20 Other Academics 21 Social Sciences 22 Science 23 Visual/Performing Arts		120 Grad, Meeting Dill, Stand 130 Returned to Reg, Education 131 Ret. to Reg, EdParent Request 132 Ret, to Reg, EdHome Teaching 140 Reached Maximum Age 150 Trans, to Another SELPA 160 Dropped Out		350 360 363 370 392 380 397 400	Home and Ho Adaptive Phy Phys Occup. Specialized No Counseling Go Non-public ret Indiv Sm. Gro Resource Spe	sical Ed. Therapy Irse Serv. Ildance ated Svcs up Instruction
• •	(1) American Indian (2) Asian (3) Filipino (4) Hispanic (5) Black (6) White PRIMARY LANGUAGE: (1) English	30	24 Credit TW Grad SPEECH/LANGUAGE 31 Receptive Language 32 Expressive Language 33 Phonological Processes 34 Stuttering 35 Articulation		161 Dropped Out-Job 162 Dropped Out-Marriage 163 Dropped Out-Pregnancy 164 Deceased . 170 Status Unknown ANTICIPATED SERVICES 01 Counseling/Guidance 02 Evaluation of DR Services		711 721 724 731 733 740 742 743	SDC-Learning SDC-Sev. Hai SDC-Sev. Lar SDC-Blirid/Pa SDC-Orthope SDC-Educabl SDC-Trainabl SDC-Autistic	g Disability of Sear Deal iguage Hndc irl sight dic Hndc. e Retarded
	(2) Spanish (3) Chinese (4) Portugese (5) Vietnamese (6) Other ENGLISH ABILITY: (1) Limited English (2) No English	40	36 Voice PERCEPTUAL MOTOR 41 Pre Writing Skills 42 Handwriting 43 Fine Motor 44 Gross Motor 45 Orientation 46 Mobility		03 Phys./Mental Restoration 04 Voc./Training Services 05 Supported Employment 06 Vocational Placement 07 Post Employment 08 Maintenance 09 Transportation 10 Family Services		743 744 745 748 800 900	SDC-Serious SDC-Multiple SDC-Severely Non Public S State School	Hndc. / Hndc.
	(3) Fluent English PRIMARY HANDICAP: 011 Learning Disability 021 Deat 022 Deat/Blind 023 Severe Hard/Hearing 024 Severe Language Handicap	50 60	SELF HELP 51 Self I.D. 52 Personal Daily Living 53 School Attendance 54 Salety/Survival 55 Work Habits SOCIAL/EMOTIONAL		11 Independent Living 12 Residential Services 13 Interpreter Services 14 Reader Services 15 Technological Alds 16 Other Services 17 No Services Needed		• 1	•	
51	025 LSH in regular program 031 Blind 032 Partially Seeing 030 Orthopedic Handicap 034 Other Health Impairment 040 Educable Retarded 041 Develop Handicapped 042 Trainable Retarded	θÚ	61 Self Esteem 62 Responsibility 63 Interpersonal Relations 64 Behavioral Control		•	-		•	5,2

Autistic

Seriously Emot. Dist.
•Multiple Handicapped

RANSITION INFORMATION		-2-	Name	Dale'
Assessment of LEP/NEP: Yuti			Proficiency standards and means for grade	ation/program completion:
Coordination of LEP/NEP and other program			Extended school year: Yes _	
Regular classroom modifications:			Rationale:	
			Background Information and Additional Re	
List agencies to be involved with Transition				
Agency (Contact Person):				
s there an Individual Transition Plan (ITP)? If the state of the state				
ITP Case Manager:				
POSITION	PHONE			
			and Objectives	
CHECK AREA: Academic/Cog				Results - Initials
Assessment Instruments, Dates and Prese	THE LOVE OF FUNCTIONING CLEANING			Magnita - Illinaia
Assessment Instruments, Dates and Prese Annual Goals:				Agguts Illines
Annual Goals: Short Term Objectives:				
Annual Goals:				

INDIVIDUAL UNSITION PLAN COVER PAGE

The ITP meeting is designed to develop a long range plan for the student's movement into the adult world. Student goals will be developed at this meeting, as well as responsibilities for training and providing support services, from schools and other human service agencies.

STUDENT NAME	AGE	ITP DATE
ADDRESS	SCHOOL	ANTICIPATED DATE OF SCHOOL EXIT
SIGNATURE OF INDIVIDUALS PRESENT	: *Attendance by these individual	s critical for transition meeting.
*Parent/s Care Provider/s	* Student	* Teacher
* Agency Case Hanager	Other (Indicate title)	Other (Indicate title)
FAHILY PREFERENCES FOR THE STUDE	NT'S DESIREABLE FUTURE:	
Employment/Education:		•
Living Arrangement & Family Life	: •	
Community Recreation and Social	Activities:	
55		56



ASSUES TO BE ADDRESSED:

1.0	EMPLOYMENT & EDUCATION:	following directions, career awareness, daily responsibilities, exploratory work experience, job attitudes, supported employment, work scation in industry, mobil work crew, volunteer, college or adult ed
2.0	SERVICE/ACCESS:	bus pass, social security number, identification, birth certificate, driver's license, CA ID, draft, diploma/certificate
3.0	RECREATION & LEISURE:	select from: home, neighborhood, school, community
4.0	LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:	chores, pets, budget, upkeep, investigate options, family home, group home, staffed apt. or house, roommate
5.0	FAMILY LIFE & SOCIAL:	peers, adults, family co-workers, advocates, spouse
6.0	PERSONAL MANAGEMENT:	self-care, self-protection, community resources, transportation
7.0	HEALTH & MEDICAL:	doctor's appointments, insurance
8.0	FINANCIAL & INCOME:	family needs, income, benefits, taxes, SSI
9.0	ADVOCACY, LEGAL, & LONG- TERM SUPPORT	Regional Center, habilitation, rehabilitation



PAGE ____ of ___

Transition Planning	Objectives:	ITP Team Member Activities						
issues A	Skill Training and/or	Parent / Stude	t *	School/Employer	Vandor	Agency		
Long Range Goals	Provision of Support Services	Action	Time Line	Action .	Time Line	Action	Time Una	
•								
•								
	·							
	·							

NEXT ITP DA	TB	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	TO SCHEDULE	NEXT 17	TP	PERSON TO MO	NITOR THIS	ITP	
PERSONS TO	ATTEND THE NEXT IT	P MBETINĠ:							
Parent	Student	Case Manager	DR Coune	elor	Teacher				Other

Appendix B: Teacher Exit Questionnaire



Transition Partnership Project West End SELPA Teacher Questionnaire

Stud	lent ID#		Date		
Stud	lent Name			Class	-
Soci	al Security #				
Perm	anent Address				
	anent Address	Number	Street		Apt.#
		City		State	Zip
Tele	phone #			_	
	nt/Guardian				
					k all that apply)
	omio and vocas	TOWET CIUDAGE	, daescrous r	(Cnec	k all that apply)
1.	Which of the & Jucation cla	following acadessrooms?	demic courses	did the s	tudent take in specia
	() Health () Drama/Spe () Foreign L () Art/Music	ech () So ang. () So	cial Studies	() Fami	ical Education ly Life/Sex Educ. ish/Literature r
2.	On the average education cla	e, what percer	ntage of time	did the st	cudent spend in regula
3.	Which of the education cla	following acadessis	demic courses	did the s	tudent take in re gula
	() Drama/Spe	ech () So ang. () Sc	thematics cial Studies ience	() Fami	ical Education ly Life/Sex Educ. ish/Literature r




4.	Which of the following vocational training courses did the student take on campus?
	() WorkAbility () JTPA/Summer Youth Emp. () Home Econ./ () ROP () Transition Partnership Consumer Econ. () Work Experience () Competitive Employmt. () Janit./Housekeep. () Automotive () Cosmetology () Other () Office/Business () Maintenance/Const. () Agric./Farming () Warehousing/Stocking () Medical/Hosp. () Merch./Retail () Day Care () Food Server/Restaurant () Indust. Tech. () Grounds./Gardening
5.	Was an Individual Transition Plan (ITP) written for the student this year?
	() Yes () No
6.	While in school did the student receive social skills training to help him/her to get along with other people?
	() Yes () No
7.	While in school did the student receive community skills training to help him/her use community resources independently, i.e., shopping, transportation, banks, post office)?
	() Yes () No
В.	While in school did the student receive recreation/leisure skills training to help him/her to get along with other people?
	() Yes () No
∍.	While in school did he/she receive domestic skills training (eating/dining, meal planning/cooking, self care/grooming, cleaning/laundry)?
	() Yes () No
10.	How many hours each week did the student spend in community-based natural environments with non-handicapped individuals?
	Recreational/Leisure Vocational Training Community Training Hrs. Hrs. Hrs.



11.	Which of the following did the student receive in community-based vocational training in a competitive employment setting? (Check only one)
	() Full-time Job Coach () Employer Trained and Supervised () Part-time Job Coach () Other
12.	In which of the following community activities did the student receive training? (Check all that apply)
	() Shopping () Street Safety () Use of Public Transportation () Dining out (Restaurants) () Use of Community Resources () Other
13.	In which of the following domestic activities did the student receive training? (Check all that apply)
	() Eating/Dining at Home () Meal Planning/Preparation () Self Care/Grooming () Cleaning/Laundry () Budgeting/Household Management () Other
14.	In which of the following recreation/leisure activities did the student receive training? (Check all that apply)
	() Sports/Physical Activities () Hobbies () Home Entertainment () Clubs () Community Entertainment () Other
15.	What type of certificate did the student obtain? (Check only one)
	() High School Diploma () Did Not Complete Program () Differential Standards Diploma () Unknown () Certificate of Completion () Other
Darri	

Revised: 3/90




Appendix C: Student Exit Questionnaire




West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Transition Partnership Project West End SEPLA Student Questionnaire

Stud	ent Name:
1.	What is your current living arrangement? (Check only one;
	() Parent/Guardian () Supervised Apartment () Group Home () Sister/Brother () Independent-with friends () With Attendant () Independent-alone () Relative () Other () Resid. Facility/Institution
2.	Check any of these from which you have received services. (Check all that apply)
	() Regional Center () Depart. of Pub. Social Services () Depart. of Mental Health () Job Training Partnership Agency () Habilitation Services () Department of Rehabilitation () Employment Development Dept. () WorkAbility () Other
3.	From whom do you usually seek assistance in decision-making or problem-solving? (Check all that apply)
	() Parents () Counselor/Psychologist () Minister () Friends () Teacher/Former Teacher () Other () Spouse () Job Coach/Co-Worker () Sister/Brother () Social Worker
4.	In general, how do you feel about your life?
	() Very Happy () Happy () Fairly Happy () Not Happy at all
5.	What is your current employment situation? (Check only one)
	() Working, Full-time () Not Working, Not Looking for Work () Working, Part-time () Not Working, Looking for Work () Not Working, Volunteering () Other









If you a	re working, answer the next set of questions.
6.	Where do you work?
Nam	e of Business:
Cit	y:
7.	What is your title?
8.	On the average, how many hours do you work each week? hours
9.	What is your nourly wage: \$ per hour
10.	How do you get to work? (check all that apply)
()	Walk () Parent/Guardian () Specialty Bus/Van Drive Car () Friend () Public Transport. Car Pool () Bike () Sister/Brother () Other
	How long have you been working on this job? Yrs Months
12.	What benefits do you receive from your employer? (Cneck all that apply)
	() Life Insurance () Medical Insurance () Free Meals/Employee Discount () Sick Leave () Dental Insurance () Other () Paid Vacation
If you a	re no longer employed, answer this question.
13.	What was your reason for leaving your most recent job? (Check only one)
	() Quit () Moved () Finished Training Program () Term'd (poor performance)
Revised;	3/90

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program



Appendix D: Student Follow-Up Questionnaire







Transition Partnership Project West End SELPA Follow-up Questionnaire

Nam	e:
Soc	ial Security #: Date:
ple que	If the question or answer does not apply to your present situation ase leave it blank. Check only one answer for each question, unless that stion requests ctherwise.
	****** Section A: Home life *******
1.	Where do you live? (Check only one)
	() Parent/Guardian () Supervised Apartment () Group Home () Sister/Brother () Independent-With Friends () With Attendant () Independent-Alone () Other Relative () Other () Residential Facility/Institution
2.	How long have you lived there? years months
3.	What type of place is it? (Check only one)
	() House () Apartment () Hotel () Hospital () Residential Center () Condominium () Other
4.	Aside from yourself, how many people live with you?
5.	How happy are you there?
	() Very Happy () Happy () Fairly Happy () Not Happy at all
6.	Do you have exceptional physical needs?
	Yes No
	7. If yes, does your home meet your physical needs?
	Yes No
8.	How healthy 're you?
	() Very () Faily () Somewhat () Noc very
	West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program 58 Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90




9.	Are you:
	() Single () Married () Separated () Divorced () Widowed
10.	How many children, or dopendents, do you have?
11.	Which of the following do you do yourself? (Check all .at apply)
	() Write checks for purchases () Buy things () Pay bills () Balance checkbook () Have savings account
12.	Other than from working, how do you get money? (Check all that apply)
	() Department of Social Services () Insurance () Social Security Commission () Trust fund () Parents/Guardians/Care Provider () Relative (not parents) () Other (specify)
	****** Section B: Community ***:***
13.	How do you get to where you want to go? (Check all that apply)
	() Walk () Parent/Guardian () Specialty Bus/Van () Drive Car () Friend () Public Transport. () Car pool () Bike () Sister/Brother () Other
14.	What activities do you do? (Check all that apply)
	() Visiting with friends & relatives () Hobbies (e.g. sewing, coin collecting) () Sports () Games () Church () Clubs () Watch TV () Community Recreation () Other
15.	Where do you regularly go on your own? (Check all that apply)
	() Banks () Stores () Restaurants () Barber or hair stylist () Library () Post Offices () Other (specify)
16.	How do you feel about your life?
	() Very Happy () Happy () Fairly Happy () Not at all Happy

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Ev. Lation Program



****** Section C: Employment *******				
17. What is your employment situation? (Check only one)				
() Working, Full-time () Not Working, Not Looking for Work () Working, Part-time () Not Working, Looking for Work () Not Working, Volunteering () Other				
If you are working, answer the next set of questions.				
18. Where dc you work?				
Name of Business:				
City:				
19. What is your title?				
20. On the average, how many hours do you work each week? hours				
21. What is your hourly wage: \$ per hour				
22. How long have you been working on this job? Yrs Months				
23. What benefits do you receive from your employer? (Check all that apply)				
() Life Insurance () Free Meals/Employee Discount () Dental Insurance () Paid Vacation () Medical Insurance () Sick Leave () Other				
If you are not employed, answer these two questions.				
24. What was your reason for leaving your most recent job? (Check only one)				
() Quit				



	only one)	son that you do	n't have a jo	b? (Checl
	 () Lack of Transportation () Volunteering () Attending Sch. or in Trai () Parent/Guardian Objects () Health/Physical Limitatio () Concerned with Loss of Be 	() ining Sch. () () ons ()	Homemaker No Work Skil Cannot Find Don't Want a Other	a Joh
26.	Have you used any of these in the	past year? (Ch	eck all that	apply)
	() Community College() Adult Education() Vocational/Trade School() Regional Occupational Prog. (R	() College/Ur () Apprentice (` Other (spe	niversity ship scify)	<u> </u>
For Your	the next questions, rate the agenc level of satisfaction with their s	y you have used ervice.	in the pas	t yea r on
27.	From which of the following have you all that apply)	ou received help		b ? (Check
		1 2 <u>very fairly</u>	3	4 not used
	28. Department of Rehabilitation 29. Department of Mental Health 30. Employment Develop. Dept.(EDD) 31. Regional Center 32. Habilitation Services 33. WorkAbility 34. Job Train. Partner. Ag.(JTPA) 35. Other	() () () () () () () ()	() () () () () ()	() () () () ()
	****** Section D: Fu	ture plans ****	***	
36.	In the future what job do you hope	to have?		
	37. How certain are you that you w	ill reach this	 goal?	
	() Very () Fairly ()			
38.	Do you plan to return to school to			
	Yes No			
	West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program 51		Morgan, D. & H. CERC @ UCR 4	



39. If you do plan to return to school, why? (Check all that apply)
 () It will help me get a better job () I like learning new things () I like the people I meet there () There is nothing better to do () Someone told me to do it. () Other
40. Who will help you to find your next job? (Check all that apply)
() Find Job Independently () Parent/Relative/Friend () Department of Rehabilitation () Regional Center () Employment Development Dept. (EDD) () Job Training Partnership Ag. (JTPA) () Private Employment Agency () Former Teacher/Counselor () Department of Mental Health () Habilitation Services () Work Ability
****** Section E: Contacts ******
41. Did someone help you complete this survey? Yes No
42. If ;es, who? (Check all that apply)
() Parent () Friend () Spouse () Sister/Brother () Counselor/ Psychologist () Teacher/ Former Teacher () Social Worker () Job Coach/Co-Worker () Minister () Other
43. Name two people who would know where you are for the next two years?
Telephone: ()
Address:
Relationship:
Name:
Telephone: ()
Address:
Relationship:
Revised: 3/90

West End SE. A R_port on Methodology Transition Evaluation Frogram

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

62

Appendix E: Data File Record Layouts

STUDENTS.DAT Data File

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Description</u>	Columns	Alpha(?)
Studid	'Student ID Number'	1-5	(A)
Studname	'Student Name'	6-30	(K)
Status	'Student Status Code'	31	(A)
DOB	'Student Date of Birth - YYMMDD'	32-37	• •
Acegroup	'Student Age Group'	38	
Gender	'Student Gender'	39	(A)
Liveswit	'Who Student Lives With'	40	` ,
County	'County ID Code Number'	41-42	(A)
Contact	'Contact Person Name'	43-67	(A)
Address	'Contact Person Address'	68-92	(A)
City	'Contact Person Cit _y '	93-106	(A)
Zip	'Contact Person 7 p Code'	107-111	(A)
Phone	'Contact Person Telephone'	116-125	(A)
District	'School ID Code Number'	126-131	` ,
Grade	'Grade Student Enrolled In'	132-133	
Ethnicit	'Student Ethnic Group'	134	
Language	'Student Primary Language'	135	
English	'Stude.it English Speaking Capacity'	136	
Handicap	'Student Handicapping Condition'	137-138	

AMSWER1. DAT Data File

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Description</u>	Columns	Alpha(?)
Form	'Questicnnaire'	1-2	
Question	'Question Number'	3-4	
Studid	'Student ID N r'	5-9	(A)
Answer	'Arswer to the Question'	10-11	(/

ANSWER2.DAT Data File

<u>Variable</u>	Description	Columns	Alpha(?)
Fo.cm	'Questionnaire'	1-2	
Question	'Question Number'	3-4	
Subques	'Subquestion Number'	5-6	
Studid	'Student ID Number'	7-11	(A)
Answer	'Answer to the Question'	13-100	(A)

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program





Appendix F: SPSS/PC+ Import Procedures

WESELPA.SPS

```
include scudents.sps.
include answer2.sps.
include answer1.sps.
```

STUDENTS.SPS

```
set more off.
Data List File = 'STUDENTS.DAT' Fixed /
    Studid
                    1-5
                               (A)
    Studname
                    6-30
                               (A)
    Status
                    31
                               (A)
    DOB
                    32-37
    Agegroup
                    38
    Gender
                    39
                               (A)
    Liveswit
                    40
    County
                    41-42
                               (A)
    Contact
                    43-67
                               (A)
    Address
                    68-92
                               (A)
    City
                    93-106
                               (I )
    Zip
                    107-111
                               (A)
    Phone
                    116-125
                               (A)
    District
                    126-131
    Grade
                    132-133
    Ethnicit
                    134
    Language
                    135
    English
                    136
    Handicap
                    137~138.
Variable label
    Studid
               'Student ID Number' /
               'Student Name' /
    Studname
    Status
               'Student Status Code' /
    DOB
               'Student Date of Birth - YYMMDD' /
    Agegroup
               'Student Age Group' /
    Gender
               'Student Gender' /
    Liveswit
               'Who Student Lives With' /
    County
               'County ID Code Number' /
               'Contact Person Name' /
    Contact
               'Contact Person Address' /
    Address
    City
               'Contact Person City' /
              'Contact Person Zip Code' /
    Zip
              'Contact Person Telephone' /
    Phone
   District
               'School ID Code Number' /
   Grade
              'Grade Student Enrolled In' /
   Ethnicit
              'Student Ethnic Group' /
```

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

64




```
Language 'Student Primary Language' /
    English
               'Student English Speaking Capacity' /
               'Student Handicapping Condition'.
    Handicap
Value label Gender 'M' 'Male' 'F' 'Female'.
include liveswit.inc.
include county.inc.
include district.inc.
include ethnicit.inc.
include language.inc.
include english.inc.
include handicap.inc.
sort cases by studid.
Save outfile = 'STUDENTS.SYS'.
set more on.
ANSWER1.8PS
set more off.
Data List File = 'ANSWER1.DAT' Fixed /
    Form
              1-2
    Question
              3-4
    Studid
              5-9
                         (A)
    Answer
              10-11.
compute frmque = (form*100)+question.
compute frmqueno = (form*10000)+(question*100)+answer.
Variable label
    Form
               'Questionnaire' /
    Question
               'Question Number'
               'Student ID Number'
    Studid
               'Answer to the Question'
    Answer
    frmque
              'Form-Question'
    frmqueno
              'Form-Question-Answer',
Formats answer (F2.0).
Value label Form
    1
         'Teacher'
    2
         'Student'
    3
         'Follow-up #1'
    4
         'Follow-up #2'
    5
         'Follow-up #3'
         'Contact Info'/
    99
    question
    1
         'Question 1'
    2
         'Question 2'
    3
         'Question 3'
    4
         'Question 4'
    5
         'Question 5'
    6
         'Question 6'
    7
         'Question 7'
         'Question 8'
```

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

65

```
9
          'Ouestion 9'
    10
          'Question 10'
    11
          'Question 11'
    12
          'Question 12'
    13
          'Question 13'
    14
          'Question 14'
          'Question 15'
    15
    16
          'Question 16'
    17
          'Question 17'
    :18
          'Question 18'
    19
          'Question 19'
    20
          'Question 20'
    21
          'Question 21'
    22
          'Question 22'
    23
          'Question 23'
    24
          'Question 24'
    25
          'Question 25'
    26
          'Question 26'
    27
          'Question 2/'
    28
          'Question 28'
    29
          'Question 29'
    30
          'Question 30'.
include frmqueno.inc.
include frmque.inc.
Sort cases by frmqueno.
Save outfile = 'ANSWER1.SYS'.
Set more on.
ANC~ ER2. SPS
set more off.
Data List File = 'ANSWER2.DAT' Fixed /
    Form
               1-2
    Ques'cion
               3-4
    Submes
               5-6
    Studid
               7-11
                          (A)
    Answer
               13-100
                          (A).
compute frmquesu = (form*10000) + (question*100) + subques.
Variable label
    Form
               'Questionnaire' /
    Question
               'Question Number' /
               'Subquestion Number' /
    Subques
               'Student ID Number' /
    Studid
    Answer
               'Answer to the Question'.
```



```
Value label Form
     1
           'Teacher'
     2
           'Student'
     3
           'Follow-up #1'
     4
          'Follow-up #2'
     5
          'Follow-up #3'
     99
          'Contact Information' /
          Subques
     99
          'Other'/
     question
     1
          'Question 1'
     2
          'Question 2'
     3
          'Question 3'
     4
          'Question 4'
     5
          'Question 5'
     6
          'Question 6'
    7
          'Question 7'
    8
          'Question 8'
    9
          'Question 9'
    10
          'Question 10'
    11
          'Question 11'
    12
          'Question 12'
    13
          'Question 13'
    14
          'Question 14'
    15
          'Question 15'
    16
          'Question 16'
    17
          'Question 17'
    18
          'Question 18'
    19
          'Question 19'
    20
          'Question 20'
    21
          'Question 21'
    22
          'Question 22'
    23
          'Question 23'
    24
          'Question 24'
    25
          'Question 25'
    26
          'Question 26'
    27
          'Question 27'
          'Question 28'
    28
    29
          'Question 29'
    30
          'Question 30'.
include frmquesu.inc.
Sort cases by form question subques answer.
Save outfile = 'ANSWER2.SYS'.
set more on.
```



West End SELPA Report on Methodology
Transition Evaluation Program 67

Appendix G: SPSS/PC+ Crosstabulation Procedure

REPORTA. SPS

get file='answer1.sys'.
aggregate outfile=* /break=studid form /count=n(studid).
join match file=* /table='students.sys' /by=studid.
set screen off.
set printer on.
xtabs status agegroup gender liveswit grade ethnicit language english handicap
 by form /option=4.
set printer off.
set screen on.



Appendix H: Crosstabulation Report

OM2400		Count Col Pct	Teacher 1	Student 2	Row Total
STATUS	Z.		3 4.5%	2 4.3%	† 5 4.4%
	E	·	62 93.9%	45 95.7%	107 94.7%
AGEGROUP	I		1 1.5%		1
AGDGMOUL	5		3 4.5%	1 2.1%	4 3.5%
	6		62 93.9%	46 97.9%	108 95.6%
GENDER	7		1 1.5%		1 .9%
	Female	_	21 31.8%	17 36.2%	38 33.6%
LIVESWIT	Male		45 68.2%	30 63.8%	75 66.4%
	Parent		65 98.5%	46 97.9%	111 98.2%
GRADE	Foster H	ome	1 1.5%	1 2.1%	2 1.8%
	8		1 1,5%		1 .9%
	9	-	1 1.5%	1 2.1%	2 1.8%
	10		2 3.0%	1 2.1%	3 2.7%
	11		2 3.0%	1 2.1%	3 2.7%
	12		60 90.9%	44 93.6%	104 92.0%

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Fiveluation Program

69

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



		Count	Teacher	Student	}
NAMESTAL		Col Pct	1	2	Row Total
ETHNICIT	Hispanic		20 30,3%	11 23.4%	+ 31 27.4%
·	Black		2 3.0%	2.1%	3 2.7%
LANGUAGE	White		44 66.7%	35 74.5%	79 69.9%
	English	-	63 95.5%	45 95.7%	108 95.6%
	Spanish	 	1 1.5%	2.1%	1.8%
	Portugese	 	1 1.5%	 	1 .9%
ENGLISH	Other		1 1.5%	2.1%	2 1.8%
	Limited E	nglish	2 3.0%	4.3%	4 3.5%
HANDICAP	fluent En	glish	64 97.0%	95.7%	109 96.5%
	Learning	Disabil	60 90.9%	42 89.4%	102 90.3%
	Severe La	nguage	1 1.5%	2.1%	2 1.8%
	Blind	 	2 3.0%	1 2.1%	3 2.7%
	Partially	Seeing	1 1.5%	1 2.1%	2 1.8%
	Orthopedi	c Handi	1 1.5%	1 2.1₺	2 1.8*
	Educable 1	Retarde	1 1.5%	2.1%	2 1.8%
		Column	66	47	113

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

70

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



Appendix I: SPSS/PC+ Report Procedures

REPORTB. SPS

```
set more off.
get file='answerl.sys'.
aggregate outfile=* /break=frmque studid /nstud=n(studid).
aggregate outfile=* /break=frmque /totans=sum(nstud) /totresp=n(nstud).
join match file='answerl.sys' /table=* /by frmque.
select if (frmque <> 0102 and frmque <> 0110 and frmque <> 0206 and frmque
<> 0207 and frmque <> 208 and frmque <> 0209 and frmque <> 0211 and frmque
<> 0214 and frmque <> 0215 and frmque <> 0302 and irmque <> 0304 and frmque
<> 0310 and frmque <> 0318 and frmque <>
0319 and frmque <> 0320 and frmque <> 0321 and frmque <> 0322 and frmque <>
0336 and frmque < 0342 and frmque > 0100).
set width=132.
set length=59.
set screen off.
set printer ou.
REPORT /FORMAT AUTOMATIC
                             kspace(-1)
  /variables = answer 'Coun.' (5) totans '% Counts' (8)
                totresp '% Respon' (8)
  /TITLE CENTER 'West End SELPA Transition Partnership Project'
                 'Questionnaire Responses - Checked Answers'
  /break form (page) (13)
  /break frmque 'Text of Question' (label) (page) (55)
  /summary = validn 'motal Counts' (answer)
  /summary = mean(totresp) 'Total Respondents'
  /break frmqueno 'Text of Answer' (label) (30)
  /summary = validn (answer)
              pct(validn(answer) mean(totans)) (totans)
              pct(validn(answer) mean(totresp)) (totresp).
set printer off.
set screen on.
set more on.
REPORTC. 8PS
set more off.
gec file='answer2.sys'.
select if (subques=99 or frmquesu=020601 or frmquesu=020602 or frmquesu=
020701 or frmquesu=031801 or frmquesu=031802 or frmquesu=033601).
set width=132.
set length=59.
set screen off.
set printer on.
report /format automatic list
  /variables answer 'Text of Answer' (50)
 /title center 'West End SELPA Transition Partnership Project'
                  'Questionnaire Responses - "Other" and Textual Answers'
 /break form (page) (13)
```

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



```
/break frmquesu 'Text of Question' (label) (page) (55)
  / summary = validn 'Total Responses' (answer).
set printer off.
set screen on.
set more on.
REPORTD. SPS
set more off.
get file='answer2.sys'.
select if (frmquesu=010201 or frmquesu=011001 or frmquesu=011002
frmquesu=011003 or frmquesu=02080? or frmquesu=020901 or frmquesu=021101 or
frmquesu=021102 or frmquesu=030201 or frmquesu=030202 or frmquesu=030401 o:
frmquesu=031001 or frmquesu=032001 or frmquesu=032101 or frmquesu=032201 or
frmquesu=032202).
write variables=all.
data list file='spss.prc' free
    form question subques scudid (a) answer frmquesu.
Variable label
  form
           'Questionnaire' /
  question 'Question Number' /
           'Subquestion Number' /
  subques
  studid
           'Student ID Number' /
  answer
           'Answer to the Question'.
value label form
        'Teacher'
  1
  2
        'Student'
  3
        'Follow-up #1'
        'Follow-up #2'
  5
        'Follow-up #3'
        'Contact Information'.
include frmquesu.inc.
set screen off.
set printer on.
set width=30.
set length=59.
formats ans er (f8.0).
report /format automatic list
  /variables answer 'Answer' (right) (10)
  /title center 'West End SELPA Transition Partnership Project'
                 'Questionnaire Responses - Numeric Answers'
  /break form (page) (13)
  /break frmquesu 'Text of Question' (label) (page) (55)
  /summary = validn 'Total Responses' (answer)
  /summary = min 'Smallest Response' (answer)
  /summary = max 'Largest Response' (answer)
 /summary = mean 'Average Response' (answer (2))
 /summary = stddev 'Standard Deviation' (answer (2)).
set printer off.
set screen on.
set more on.
```



West End SELPA Report on Methodology

Transition Evaluation Program

ل ک

Appendix J: SPSS/PC+ Reports



Questionnaire	Text Of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	1. Academic courses in Special Ed Classrooms?	1. Heaith	6	2.91%	9.09%
		2. Drammi/Speech	1	.49%	1.52%
		4. Art/Music	1	.49%	1.52%
		5. Mathematics	46	22.33%	69.70%
		6. Social Studies	34	16.50%	51.52%
		7. Science	۷9	14.08%	43.94%
		8. Physical Education	8	3.88%	12.12%
		9. Family Life/Sex Education	10	4.85%	15.15%
		10. English/Literature	56	27.18%	84.85%
		30. Other	15	7.28%	22.73%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		206		66



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	3. Academic courses in Regular Ed Classrooms?	1. Health	42	11.90%	63.64%
		2. Drama/Speech	11	3.12%	16.67%
		3. Forei g n Languaye	5	1.42%	7.58%
		4. Art/Music	54	15.30%	81.82%
		5. Mathematics	33	9.35%	50.00%
		6. Social Studies	45	12.75%	68.18%
		7. Science	44	12.46%	66.67%
		8. Physical Education	53	15.01%	86.30%
		9. Family Life/Sex Education	27	7.6%	40.91%
		10. English/Literature	27	7.65%	40.91%
		30. Other	10	2.83%	15.15%
		31. No Response	2	.57%	3.03%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		353		66

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	4. Vocational training courses taken on camous?	1. WorkAbility	9	7.2f %	13.64%
		2. ROP	23	18.55%	34.85%
		3. Work Experience	16	12.90%	24.24%
		4. Automotive	10	8.06%	15.15%
		5. Office/Business	5	4.03%	7.58%
		6. Agr./Farming	3	2.42%	4.55%
		7. Medical/Hospital	2	1.61%	2.03%
		8. Day Care	3	2.42%	4.75%
		9. Indust. Tech.	5	4.03%	7.58%
		10. JR-A/Summer Youth Employment Training	4	3.23%	6.06%
		11. Transition Partnership	3	2.42%	4.55%
		12. Competitive Employment	2	1.61%	3.03%
		13. Cosmetology	3	2.42%	4.5°% .
		14. Maintenance/Construction	1	.81%	1.52%
		16. Merc./Retail	2	1.61%	3.03%
		17. Food Server/Restaurant	2	1.61%	3.03%
		19. Home Econ./Consumcr Econ.	13	10.48%	19.70%
		30. Other	4	3.23%	6.06%
		31. No Response	14	11.29%	21.21%
	iotal Counts Total Respondents		124		66



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	5. Was an ITP written for this year?	1. Yes	28	42.42%	42.42%
		2. No	38	57.58%	57.58%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		66		66



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

88

Questionn°ire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	6. Did student receive social skills in school?	1. Yes	46	69.70%	69.70%
		2. No	20	30.30%	30.30%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		66		66



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	7. Did student receive community skills in school?	1. Yes	33	50.00%	50.00%
		2. No	32	48.48%	48.48%
		3. No Response	1	1.52%	1.52%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		66		66

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	8. Did student receive rec/leis skills in school?	1. Yes	36	54.55%	54.55%
		2. No	29	43.94%	43.94%
		3. No Response	1	1.52%	1.52%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		66		66




Quest.onnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	9. Did student receive domestic skills in Echool?	1. Yes	28	42.42%	42.42%
		2. No	38	57.58%	57.58%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		66		66




Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	11. Student support in community voc training?	1. Full-time Job Coach	1	1./9%	1.52%
		2. Part-time Job Coach	4	5.97%	6.06%
		 Employer Trained and Supervised 	13	19.40%	19.70%
		30. Other	7	10.45%	10.61%
		31. No Response	42	62.69%	63.64%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		67		66



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Feacher	12. Support for training in community activities?	1. Shopping	8	8.99%	12.12%
		2. Use of Public Transportation	11	12.36%	15.67%
		3. Use of Community Resources	្តភ័	14.61%	19.70%
		4. Street Safety	7	7.87%	10.61%
		5. Dining Out (restaurant)	9	10.11%	13.64%
		30. Other	3	3.37%	4.55%
		31. No Response	38	42.70%	57.58%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		89		66






Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	13. Support for training in domestic activities?	1. Eating/Dining (at home)	3	3.09%	4.55%
		2. Self Care/Grooming	17	17.53%	25.76%
		3. Budgeting/Househota Hanagement	22	22.68%	33.33%
		4. Meal Planning/Preparation	21	21.65%	31.82%
		5. Cleaning/Laundry	6	6.19%	9.09%
		31. No Response	28	28.87%	42.42%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		97		66



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	14. Support for training in rec/leis activities?	1. Sports/Physical Activities	30	35.29%	45.45%
		2. Home Entertainment	8	9.41%	i2.12%
		3. Community Entertainment	9	10.59%	13.64%
		4. Hobbies	5	5.88%	7.58%
		5. Clubs	5	5.88%	7.58%
		30. Other	3	3.53%	4.55%
		31. to Response	25	29.41%	37.88%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		85		66







Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Teacher	15. What certificate did the student obtain?	1. High School Diplome	45	67.16%	68.18%
		Differential StandardsDiploma	12	17.91%	18.18%
		4. Did not Complete Program	1	10.45%	10.61%
		30. Other	1	1.49%	1.52%
		31. No Response	2	2.99%	3.03%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		67		66



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, 7 & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90


Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	1. What is your current living arrangement?	1. Parent/Guardian	42	87.50%	89.36%
		6. Independent-friends	2	4.17%	4.26%
		30. Other	4	8.33%	8.51%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		48		47



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	2. From whom have you received services?	1. Regional Center	3	5.45%	6.38%
		4. Employment Development Dept.	3	5.45%	6.38%
		6. Dept of Pub Social Services	3	5.45%	6.38%
		 Job Train Partnership Agency 	3	5.45%	6.38%
		8. Dept of Rehabilitation	15	27.27%	31.91%
		9. Work Ability	5	9.09%	10.64%
		30. Other -	2	3.64%	4.26%
		31. No Response	21	38.18×	44.68%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		55		47





Questionnaire	Text of Question	Yext of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	3. From whom do you seek assistance?	1. Parents	29	51.79%	61.70%
		2. Friends	9	16.07%	19.15%
		3. Spouse	1	1.79%	2.13%
		4. Sister(s)/Brother(s)	1	1.79%	2.13%
		9. Minister/Priest/Rabbi	;	1.79%	2.13%
		30. Other	14	25.00%	29.79%
		31. No Response	1	1.79%	2.13%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		56		47



Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	4. In general, how do you feel about your life?	1. Very Happy	11	23.40%	23.40%
		2. Happy	23	48.94%	48.94%
		3. Fairly Happy	10	21.28%	21.28%
		4. Not Happy at All	2	4.26%	4.26%
~.		31. No Response	1	2.13%	2.13%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		47		47





Questionnaire	Text of Questirn	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	5. What is your employment situation?	1. Working, Full-time	6	12.24%	12.77%
		2. Working, Part-time	12	24.49%	25.53%
		3. Not Working, Volunteering	1	2.04%	2.13%
		4. Not Working, Not Looking for Work	2	4.08%	4.26%
		Not Working, Looking for Work	20	40.82%	42.55%
		30. Other	7	14.29%	14.89%
		31. No Response	1	2.04%	2.13%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		49		47

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program


Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	10. How do you get to work?	1. Walk	1	1.96%	2.13%
		2. D'ive Car	15	29.41%	31.91%
		4. Parent/Guardian	6	11.76%	12.77%
		5. Friend	1	1.96%	2.13%
		8. Public (taxi,bus,dial-a-ride)	1	1.96%	2.13%
		9. Sister/Brother	2	3.92%	4.26%
		30. Other	4	7.84%	8.51%
		31. No Response	21	41.18%	44.68%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		51		47



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	12. What employment benefits do you receive?	1. Life Insurance	5	7.46%	10.64%
		2. Free Meats/Employee Discount	6	8.96%	12.77%
		3. Dental Insurance	5	7.46%	10.64%
		4. Paid Vacation	5	7.46%	10.64%
		5. Medical Insurance	5	7.46%	10.64%
		6. Sick Leave	5	7.46%	10.64%
		30. Other	4	5.97%	8.51%
		31. No Response	32	47.76%	68.09%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		67		47



Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCF. 4/12/90




Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	Count	% Counts	% Respon
Student	13. If not working, wist reasons for leaving?	1. Quit	5	10.64%	10.64%
		3. Finished Training Pgm	4	8.51%	8.51%
		30. Other	3	6.38%	6.38%
	•	31. No Response	35	74.47%	74.47%
	Total Counts Total Respondents		47		47



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	
Terchen	1. Academic courses in Special Ed Classrooms?	3-DAYS PER MEEK IN RSP ADAPTIVE P.F. ADAPTIVE P.£. ALT S.UDY ALT STUDY ALT STUDY ALT STUDY ALT STUDY CONSUMER ECON CONSUMER ECON. CONSUMER ECON. INDEPENDENT STUDY/TUTOR VOC. ED. VOC. SKILLS	
	Total Responses	14	




Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90




Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Teacher	3. Academic courses in Regular Ed Classrooms?	EKSINESS/JOB SKILLS/NURSING/CHILDCARE 2 DAYS PER WEEK AT REG CLASS AGRICULTURE AUDIO VISUAL/DR. ED/AUTO/ROP BAND CHILDCARE DRAFTING DRIVERS ED. ENGLISH/ESL PHOTO
	Total Responses	10



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Feacher	4. Vocational training courses taken on campus?	DRAFTING & INTRO ELECT. ETA FLORAL DESIGN WOODSHOP
	Total Responses	4






Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	
Teacher	11. Student support in community voc training?	GTO OWN JOBS INFORMAL THRU WORKABILITY ROP-CHILDCARE WORKABILITY WORKABILITY WORKABILITY WORKED AT FIDEO WORKING AT SPUNKY STEER	
	Total Responses	7	




Questionnaire	Text of auestion	Text of Answer
Teacher	12. Support for training in community activities?	NOME, STUDENT A CAR WHEN HE ENTERED SHE WAS SELF SUFFICIENT STUDENT SOCIALLY AWARE
	Total Responses	3




Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Teacher	14. Support for training in rec/leis activities?	NONE NONE-SELF SUFFICIENT SWIMMING
	Total Responses	3






Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
		
Teacher	15. What certificate did the student obtain?	ATTENDING CONSTR. SCH
	Total Responses	1




Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Sturient	1. What is your current living arrangement?	BROTHER & GRANDMOTHER FOSTER HOME HUSBAND ORIENTITION CTR FOR THE SLIND, ALBANY CA
	Total Responses	4






Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Student	2. From whom have you received services?	NONE REFUSED BY VO REHAB
	Total Responses	2



Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Student	3. From whom do you seek assistance?	AIMEE-GIRLFRIEND COUNSELOR/PSYCOLOGIST FRIENDS FRIENDS FRIENDS/COUNSELOR/PSYCH./TEACHER GRANDMOTHER GRANDMOTHER I KEEP IT TO MY SELF MYSELF MYSELF MYSELF SISTER/BROTHER TEACHER/FORMER TEACHER
	Total Responses	14







Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer	
Student	5. What is your employment situation?	GOING TO SCHOOL\ OCCASIONALY BABYSIT ON-CALL PART TIME POMONA VALLEY WORKSHOP VACATION WANT TO GO TO COLLEGE	
	Total Responses	7	

West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



0

West End SELPA Transition Partnership Project Questionnaire Responses - "Other" and Textual Answers


Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Questionnaire Student	6. Name of business where you work? Total Responses	ARMSTRONG LEAPNING CTR BARRD'S PIZZA BUGERKING GURGERKING CAL-RUSS CONSTRUCTION CALIF FIT CHINO VALLEY SANDUST DFH, INC. EL POLLO LOCO IN-IN-OUT KELLEHER CORP. KENNEDY LETTERING PO"DNA VALLEY WORKSHOP PF MIUM DIST. CO STATER BROS. STATER BROS. TACO PELL THRIFTY DRUG UPLAND UNIF. SCH. DIST. WESTERN HILLS GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB
		20



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Student	6. City of business where you work?	1837 FOOTHILL 5011 BROOKS ST. MONTCLAIR 8TH & BENSOK EASELINE & ARCHIBALD, A.L. CENTRAL/CHINO CHINO COSTA MESA HEMET LAHABRA MONTCLAIR MONTCLAIR MONTCLAIR ONTARIO ONTARIO ONTARIO CHINO UPLAND UPLAND
	Total Responses	19







Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Student	7. What is your title?	CART BOY
		CLEANING TABLES
		CLERA
		CONSTRUCTION
		COOK
		COOK
		DCUGH POUNDER
		FIELDHAND
		GEN.
		GENERAL MERCHANDISE
		KITCHEN AIDE
		LABORER
		LUMBER CARRIER
		MAINTENANCE
		NO SPECIFIC TITLE
		OFFICE WORK
		SALESPERSON
		SILKSCREENER
		TEACHERS AIDE
		TECH. PERSON IN AUDITORIUM
	Total Responses	20




West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90


Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer
Student	10. How do you get to work?	BIKE FRIEND WALK / CARPOO!
	Total Responses	3





Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Answer		
Student	12. What employment benefits do you receive?	DISCOUNTS NONE NONE NONE		
	Total Responses	4		






Questionnaire	Text of Question	Text of Arawer		
Student	13. If not working, what reasons for leaving?	NOT ENOUGH WORK PERSONAL PROBLEMS SCHOOL		
	Total Responses	3		



West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Questionnaire	Tex	ct of Que	stion							Answer
Teacher	2.	Average	percent	of	time	spend	in	Regular	Ed?	1
								-		10
										16
										16
										30
										30
										32
										32 32
										40
										40
										40
										48
										49
										49
										50
										60
										60
										61 65
										67
										67
										67
										67
										67
										67
										67
										67
										68 70
										70 70
										70 75
										80
										80
										80
										80 82
										82
										82
										82
							•			83 95
										85 85
										65 85
										85
·										83 85 85 85




Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90



Questionnaire Text of Question	Answer
•	85
	85
	88
	90
	90
	90
	90
	90
	90
	90
	90
	90.
	90
	90
Total Responses	58
Smallest Response	1
Largest Response	90
Average Response	65.81
Standard Deviation	23.94
	. 23.34



Questionn	aire Text of Question	Answer
Teacher	10. Hours spent in natural environments - rec/leis?	·
	·	ī
		ī
		ī
		2
		2
		2
		5
		5
		5
		5
		5 5
		10
		20
	Total Responses	
	Smallest Response	15
	Largest Response	1
	Average Response	20
	Standard Deviation	4.67
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.92




Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

Questionnaire	Text of Question	Answer
Teacher	10. Hours spent in natural environments - voc trng?	1
	•	ī
		ī
		2
		2
		2
		4
		5
		5
		10
		10
		10
		10 20
		20
T	otal Responses	14
Si	mallest Response	1
Li No	argest Response	20
A.	verage Response tandard Deviation	5.93
. 5	ranuald Deviation	5.47



Questionnaire Text of Question				
Teacher	10. Hours spent in natural environments - comm trng?	1		
		1		
		2		
		2		
	-	2		
		2 5 5		
		5		
		5		
	•	15		
		30		
	Total Responses	10		
	Smallest Response	1		
	Largest Response	30		
	Average Response	6.50		
	Standard Deviation	9.25		




Questionnair	Te Text of Question	Answer	
Student	8. How many hour; to you work each week?	7	
		10	
		12	
		16	
		16	
		24	
		24	
		25	
		36	
		30	
		30	
		30	
		40	
		40	
		40	
		40	
		40	
		40	
•		40	
•	Total Responses	10	
	Smallest Response	19 7	
	Largest Response	40	
2	Average Response	28.11	
5	Standard Deviation	11.48	
		11.40	




West End SELPA Report on Methodology Transition Evaluation Program

Morgan, D. & Hecht, J. CERC @ UCR 4/12/90

Student 9. What is your hourly wage?	424
	425
	425
	425
	425
	425
	425
	425
	425
	425
	450
	460
	520
	525
	550
	600
	600
·	675
	800
	2000 -
Total Responses	20
Smallest Response	424 .
Largest Response	1000
Average Response	521.45
Standard Deviation	153.12





Questionnaire	Text f Question	Answer
Student	11. How long (years) have you been working on this job?	1
		1
		2
		3
		3
		4
	otal Responses	6
S	mallest Response	ĭ
	argest Response	4
A	verage Response	2.33
S	tandard Deviation	1.21



Questionnaire	Text	Text of Question						Answer		
Student	11.	How long	(months)	have	you	been	working	on	this	·
		job?			_		•			1
										1
										1
										1
										1
										2
										2 2 2
										2
										4
										6
										7
										9
										11
T	otal	Response	S							14
S	malle	est Respo	nse							1
L	arges	st Respon	se							11
A	verag	je Respon	se							3.57
S	tanda	ard Devia	tion							3.34

