DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 319 705

AUTHOR Stahlhut, Richard G.; And Others

TITLE The Realities of Implementing a Partnership

Program.

PUB DATE Feb 90

NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (Chicago, IL, March 28-31, 1990).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -

Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *College School Cooperation; *Decision Making;

Elementary Secondary Education; *Field Experience Programs; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; *Program Administration; Student Teacher Supervisors; *Student Teaching; Teacher Education

SP 032 311

Programs

ABSTRACT

The University of Northern Iowa (UNI) has developed a regional partnership program. This collaborative partnership has reshaped the university's long established field experience program. This reshaping was designed to bring the College of Education into a new and expanded relationship wi'h the school districts, schools, and individual educators throughout the state. Six regional centers are located across the state so that UNI can geographically touch all areas of the state. Each regional center includes a central office in a large school district which then serves as the administrative hub for reaching out to surrounding school districts. This system brings multiple school districts into the UNI network through the use of a decentralized field based decision-making process. The six regional centers serve as the administrative units for various field programs, allowing the university better to meet local and area needs. Also, the decision-making process is more closely aligned with the activities going on in the centers. (JD)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.

The Realities of Implementing a Partnership Program

Richard G. Stahlhut, Ph.D, Session Organizer University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, Iowa

Richard R. Hawkes, Ph.D, Professor University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, Iowa

Jean D. Parsons, Clinical Supervisor Ottumwa Community Schools, Ottumwa, Iowa

A paper presented to the American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education NATIONAL Conference in Chicago, Illinois

February, 1990

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

R. Stahlhut

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Hessarch and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

C Minor changes have been made to im-rove reproduction quality

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Introduction

Universities typically seek out practitioners and use school classrooms only to help train education majors during the students' clinical field experience even though this time is acknowledged as the pinnacle in all teacher training programs (Comfort and More, 1987). Outside of student teaching there are minimal contacts and few interactions between universities and public schools and their respective professionals. Such interactions can best be described as mere "marriages of convenience" (Smith and Auger, 1986). According to recent major reports on the training of seachers: the Carnegie Report, the Holmes Report, the new standards of NCATE and the F.I.N.E. Report in the state of Iowa, partnerships between universities and the public schools are encouraged to address the greater needs of both organizations. "The most promising partnerships are those that provide benefits for both professors and practitioners" (Goodlad, 1987, p.9).

The University of Northern Iowa (UNI) Regional Partnership Program evolved in the fall of 1988. This new collaborative partnership reshaped the university's long established field experience program. This reshaping was designed to bring the College of Education into a new and expanded relationship with the school districts, schools and individual educators throughout the State of Iowa. ** new partnership was implemented with the single focus of making school practitioners more active partners in the teacher preparation program.

The new conceptual model involves the establishment of six regional centers. These centers are specifically located across the state in such a fashion as to cause UNI to geographically touch all areas of the state.



Each regional center includes a central office in a large school district which then serves as the administrative hub for reaching out to surrounding school districts. The immediate benefit is an ability to bring multiple school districts into the UNI network through the use of a decentralized decision making process. These six regional centers serve as the administrative units for various field programs. This allows the university to better meet local and area needs through a structure th. Is field based. Also, the decision making process is more closely aligned to the activities going on in the centers.

Key Elements

The philosophy guiding the collaborative partnerships can best be summarized by four words: Field Responsive, Center Specific. Field Responsive addresses the need to develop some consistency between the six regional districts. From a university management perspective, all of the centers must have a common structure to allow for program continuity. Several examples of this continuity include: 1) every center has a tenure track professor who is the administrator for all aspects of the center's operation; 2) all centers have implemented a full semester student teaching curriculum; and 3) all center partnerships have established an advisory cadre of local educational practitioners. The cadre consists of at least five professional educators from regional schools. In specific ways cadre members participate in the management of the regional center, and in global ways they advise the university in matters related to the total teacher education program. <u>Center Specific</u> points out the reality that there are many differences in the activities of school districts throughout the state. Their needs can be expected to vary. Hence, regional centers are free to be different. Examples include: 1; individual cadres are free to engage in projects they believe are pertinent to their needs. The center specific

component of this partnership program is designed to encourage creativity and to respond to regional needs. Cadre involvement extends beyond clinical field experience activities because cadre members are involved in a variety of teacher education matters; and 2) each regional partnership structures the student teaching clinical field experience in ways that best fit the regional districts. This includes tailoring the semester of student teaching experience to regional district's calendars.

Components of the New Partnerships

- 1. The traditional student teaching program has been expanded through the use of a regional network. The 800 student teacher placements made each year are in specific school districts that were student teaching centers with UNI in the past, but now these student teachers can also be assigned to other regional school districts. The expansion of the network allows for new student teaching opportunities while centralizing the administration process in one location in the field.
- 2. In each new regional district there is at least one professor who has overall responsibility for achieving the goals of the field experiences programs. The professor fills the role of "teacher educator" along with being a professor to the pre-service student teachers. In this latter capacity, the professor is responsible for an academic seminar that is an integral part of the student teaching curriculum and he/she teaches a state mandated human relations course that must be completed during the student teaching semester. Besides the above-mentioned teaching duties, the professors are also expected to schedule themselves so that they can fulfill the normal professorial responsibilities of research and service.
- 3. In the six regional centers, the university has purchased from one-fourth to one-half of the contract of a local school district professional. This individual is a clinical supervisor who works closely with the



professor in that region. The clinical supervisor takes responsibility for the placement, observation, conferencing, and evaluation of a specified number of student teachers. In addition, the clinical supervisor shares in planning and teaching seminars, helps explain and promote the university's teacher education program, and works with the cadre and the professor in all aspects of the regional partnership.

- 4. In each regional center, a minimum of five classroom teachers have been selected to serve as members of a cadre. These educators, who accept an appointment for approximately three years, are helping create a network of practitioners who are in a long-term relationship with the university. Cadre members work closely with the professor and the clinical supervisor to provide input into the teacher education program on campus and provide leadership for the student teaching program in the regional center. Cadre members are paid an annual stipend for their contributions to the university's teacher education program. For the 1989-90 academic year 70 educators are on cadres that advise JNI about its teacher education program.
- 5. In each regional district, teachers are identified and trained to serve as classroom cooperating teachers on a term-by-term basis. The identification and training procedures of cooperating teachers are handled through three practices: 1) procedures are collaboratively developed by the regional cadre; 2) through the regular scheduling of the graduate level course, "Supervision in Student Teaching"; and 3) through the development of on-going inservice programs sponsored by the university in each center.
- 6. The College of Education has assumed responsibility for establishing a special relationship with each cooperating school district in each of the six regions and with each cadre member. The nature of these



relationships are determined by the needs and uniqueness of the local school districts, in concert with the university. This includes such activities as the delivery of graduate course work and specifically requested inservice support.

7. A telecommunications network (Procomm Computer Conferencing System) has been installed. This system was developed as a result of two grants totaling a \$108,000 from the Department of Education in Iowa. Through the use of four WATTS lines, regional professors and practitioners are computer linked with the College of Education, UNI faculty and other professionals in all of the other regional centers. A series of computer conferences allows all the field-based professors and practitioners to be networked through the campus' mainframe computer. The university has provided all the field professors with Zenith lap top computers which are modem equipped for this purpose. Computers and printers will also be provided to cadre members and the clinical supervisors by the fall of 1990.

The collaborative partnership program satisfies basic assumptions established for field experience programs and it keeps the 'quality issue" clearly in focus. This model takes the best of the 65 year history and tradition of UNI's field-based student teaching program and incorporates a strategy for implementing the professorial role. The six regional centers combine the positive elements of direct involvement of practitioners in the teacher education program, while keeping the university in the position of providing leadership and curriculum consistency for its own programs. Finally, this regionally conceptualized model allows the university to maintain high visibility with a large cross-section of Iowa and it keeps the university in a position of serving the state through its professional relationship with practitioners.



What Does This Partnership Program Offer Professors and Practitioners?

- 1. At least 80 school districts in the six regional centers now have a closer working relationship with the College of Education.
- 2. A network of practitioners in cadres across the state now have an ongoing special relationship with the College of Education.
- 3. There are opportunities for professional growth through research and other collaborative activities between professors and practitioners in field-based situations.
- 4. There is a direct avenue for input from chool practitioners into the teacher education program, increasing the responsibility for practicing educators in the pre-service preparation of new teachers.
- 5. There are increased professional development and inservice opportunities for all teachers in the partnership school districts.
- 6. Local school districts can now better screen potential candidates for teaching positions.
- 7. There is an opportunity, through a state-wide, technological network, for the College of Education to assume a more pronounced and effective leadership role in the preparation and education of teachers at both the preservice and inservice levels.

The Regional Partnership Program Summarized

Most reform efforts do not fundamentally alter the prevailing organizations (Pajak and Glickman, 1989). This regional partnership program is an exception. Many practitioners in the public schools are in middle to late careers and have been teaching in their current schools most of their professional lives (Evans, 1989). These professionals have added to their university preparation through experience, special training, and mentoring. They are already making the decisions which help direct and define education



in Iowa; they are the major influence on student teachers during their most significant experience, the student teaching semester. This model is designed to benefit from teachers' knowledge and skill.

To achieve greater depth in field experience programs, each regional center has established a working structure in a manner that best fits the school districts involved in the partnership. All regional centers have the following structure and programmatic components: 1) leadership is provided through a professorial line; 2) the clinical line (one-fourth to one-half time) involves the use of a local district practitioner to assist the university professor in providing supervision and structure to the field expertise program; 3) each regional district has a cadre of teachers who fill a supervisory, advising and resource role; 4) each center has a number of classroom teachers who provide the bulk of the day-to-day supervision as cooperating teachers; 5) each regional district has established procedures for implementing the student teaching curriculum (including a weekly seminar and the Human Relations component); 6) professorial activities (research and service) are expected of each professor serving the regional areas; and 7) each regional center is a part of a computer conferencing network which allows for communications between professors, practitioners, and students. The essence of these regional partnerships is that administratively and functionally the teacher education program is no longer more of the same. Unlike some school-based management plans, this partnership can definitely make a contribution. The university teacher education program and professional, experienced teachers are collaborating. Both are benefiting because joint challenges are being met.



Bibliography

Comfort, R. and Moore, J. (1987). Clinical Instructor: An Expanded Role for Teachers in Teacher Education. A paper presented at the National Meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators, Houston, TX.

David, J. (1989). Synthesis of Research on School-Based Management. Educational Leadership, 46 (8), 45-53.

Evans, R. (1989). The Faculty in Midcareer: Implications for School Improvement. Educational Leadership, 46 (8), 10-15.

Goodlad, J.I. (1987). Schools and Universities Can and Must Work Together. The Principal, Sept. 9-15.

Pajak, E. and Glickman, C. (1989). Dimensions of School District Improvement. Educational Leadership, 46 (8), 61-64.

Smith, D. and Auger, K. (1986). Conflict or Cooperation? Keys to Success in Partnerships in Teacher Education. Action in Teacher Education, 1-9.

Stahlhut, R.G. and Hawkes, R.R. (1989). Entering Into A Partnership With A Public School. A paper presented at the National Meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators, St. Louis, MO.

University of Morth Carolina, (1987). Teacher Education Through Partnership. ... monograph, Chapel Hill, N.D.

Wu, P.C. (1986). Lesson for Collaboration Between Educational Agencies. Journal of Teacher Education, Sept. - Oct., 61-64.

