DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 317 648 UD 027 362

AUTHOR Brierley, Miriam; Thompson, Gary

TITLE College Readiness Program Evaluation 1988-1989.

Summary Report.

INSTITUTION Columbus Public Schools, OH. Dept. of Evaluation

Services.

PUB DATE 89 NOTE 69p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Statistical

Data (110) -- Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Aspiration; *College Preparation; Elementary

Education; Higher Education; *Middle Schools; *Parent

Attitudes; Parent Background; *Post High School Guidance; Program Evaluation; Questionnaires;

*Student Attitudes; *Student Characteristics; Teacher

Attitudes; Urban Schools

IDENTIFIERS *Columbus Public Schools OH

ABSTRACT

This document summarizes an evaluation of the 1988/89 College Readiness Program (CRP) in the Columbus (Ohio) Public Schools. The CRP targets middle school students who demonstrate potential for success in college but who are not planning to attend college because of their families' financial status or because higher education is not part of their family background. The program provides information and activities to increase the students' awareness of their potential and the opportunities available through higher education. Information was gathered from student files, surveys of students, professional staff, and parents, and the Student Attitude Measure (SAM), a standardized measure of student attitudes. The following summary findings are reported: (1) participants' grade point averages in required and college preparatory courses were slightly higher than other middle school students; (2) participants! attitudes toward academic learning and school behavior in five areas of the SAM were average and above average; (3) some differences ">re noted in academic performance and attitude by grade levels, sex, ethnic origin, and socioeconomic status, suggesting careful consideration in selecting participants and in planning program activities and individual follow-up; (4) teachers were positive about recommending students for the program, services offered, and program coordinators' communication; (5) parents demonstrated positive attitudes toward the idea of a college education for their child and the child's continued participation in the program; and (6) almost half of the parents reported some postsecondary education. Ten tables of statistical data are included. Copies of the student, professional staff, and parent survey questionnaires are appended. (FMW)

- Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made



College Readiness Program Evaluation 1988-1989

Summary Report

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

if Educational Research and Improvement

DUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

X This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

C Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Cotumbus Riblic Schools

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

X $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$ xxxXX X XX XXXXX $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$ XX XXX XXX XXX X XXXXXXX X X COLUMBUS X **PUBLIC** X X **SCHOOLS** X X X X XX XXXXX XX $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$ XXX

Prepared by:

Miriam Brierley, Supervisor

Gary Thompson, Ph.D., Director

Columbus, Ohio Public Schools Department of Program Evaluation

College Readiness Program Evaluation 1988-1989

Abstract

Program Description: The College Readiness Program (CRP) was designed to provide school personnel with a means of focusing on students of middle school age who have not considered college as an educational or career goal. These students whose school work indicates their potential for success in college are not planning to attend because of the financial status of their parents or because higher education is not part of the family background. The program is intended to provide information to students and to engage them in activities that will increase their awareness of their potential and the opportunities available to them through higher education. Selection of students was based on standardized test scores and teacher recommendations.

The College Readiness Program was located in 18 conventional middle schools in Columbus. One part-time and four full-time teacher coordinators were assigned to schools by geographical quadrants.

Time Interval: The CRP coincided with the school year. Participants were designated in September 1988 and received services of the teacher coordinator through June 1989.

Evaluation Plan: An evaluation of the College Readiness Program was planned by staff of the Department of Evaluation Services and staff of the Division of Middle and High schools. The evaluation provided for the collection of data to address seven questions based on program information needs. Question How can CRP students b. characterized demographically i.e., gender, ethnic origin, and socioeconomic status (SES)? Question 2.0: How do students served by CRP perform in academic subject areas as indicated by grades in academic courses? Question 3.0: What affective characteristics related to academic learning and school behavior can be identified in the self-reports of CRP students? Question 4.0: What are the attitudes of CRP students regarding college as an educational or career goal? Question 5.0: What are the opinions of middle school staffs regarding selection of students for CRP? Question 6.0: What are the opinions of middle school staffs regarding CRP services to participating students? Question 7.0: What are the attitudes of parents regarding their child's participation in CRP?

Sources of evaluation information were district computer files, a locally developed student survey, parent survey, and professional staff survey, and a standardized measure of student attitude. Data was collected and analyzed by the Department of Evaluation Services. Results of the analyses provided for a description of students served by CRP. The analysis of data also provided information about the opinions and attitudes of middle school staffs and parents regarding CRP.

Summary: Descriptive data compiled about students in the College Readiness Program suggests their potential for success in college. As a group they demonstrated academic potential in grade point averages that were somewhat higher then the averages of other middle school students in required and college preparatory courses. As a group they demonstrated average and



above attitudes toward academic learning and school behavior in five areas of expression on the Student Attitude Measure. Some differences were noted in academic performance and attitude by grade levels, gender, ethnic origin and socioeconomic status. These differences suggest careful consideration in selecting students for program participation and in planning program activities and follow-up for individual students.

Middle school staffs involved as classroom teachers with CRP students were positive about their participation in recommending students for the program. They were positive, also, about the services offered to CRP students and the manner in which coordinators informed them of program schedules and activities.

Parents demonstrated positive attitudes toward the idea of a college education for their child and their child's continued participation in CRP. Close to half of the parents reported their own level of education to be beyond high school.



College Readiness Program Evaluation 1988-1989

Summary Report

Program Description: The College Readiness Program (CRP) was designed to provide school personnel with a means of focusing on students of middle school age who have not considered college as an educational or career goal. These students whose school work indicates their potential for success in college are not planning to attend because of the financial status of their parents or because higher education is not part of the family background. The program is intended to provide information to students and to engage them in activities that will increase their awareness of their potential and the opportunities available to them through higher education. Selection of students was based on standardized test scores and teacher recommendations.

The College Readiness Program was located in 18 conventional middle schools in Columbus. One part-time and four full-time teacher coordinators were assigned to schools by geographical quadrants.

Time Interval: The CRP coincided with the school year. Participants were designated in September 1988 and received services of the teacher coordinator through June 1989.

Evaluation Plan: An evaluation of the College Readiness Program was planned by staff of the Department of Evaluation Services and staff of the Division of Middle and High schools. The evaluation provided for the collection of data to address seven questions based on program information needs. Question How can CRP students be characterized demographically i.e., gender, ethnic origin, and socioeconomic status (SES)? Question 2.0: How do students served by CRP perform in academic subject areas as indicated by grades in academic courses? Question 3.0: What affective characteristics related to academic learning and school behavior can be identified in the self-reports of CRP students? Question 4.0: What are the attitudes of CRP students regarding college as an educational or career goal? Question 5.0: What are the opinions of middle school staffs regarding selection of students Question 6.0: What are the opinions of middle school staffs regarding CRP services co participating students? Question 7.0: What are the attitudes of parents regarding their child's participation in CRP?

Sources of evaluation information were district computer files, a locally developed student survey, parent survey, and professional staff survey, and a standardized measure of student attitude. Data was collected and analyzed by the Department of Evaluation Services. Results of the analyses provided for a description of students served by CRP. The analysis of data also provided information about the opinions and attitudes of middle school staffs and parents regarding CRP.

Major Findings: Sources of data and results of data analysis will be reported below regarding the seven evaluation questions. Copies of locally constructed surveys used in the evaluation are contained in the Appendix.



Information compiled from district computer files provided for demographic comparisons between student participants in CRP and overall district middle school student enrollment. Demographic characteristics of CRP participants are summarized by grade level, ethnic group, gender and SES in Table 1. A total of 2237 students participated in CRP. Of these, 57.7% were female, and 42.3% were male, compared to 49.4% female and 50.7% male for overall district middle school enrollment.

Racial or ethnic composition of participating students was 56.7% Caucasian, 40.4% Black, 0.3% Spanish American, 2.5% Asian and 0.1% American Indian. Race and ethnic composition for overall district middle schools was 52.1% Caucasian, 46.9% Black, 0.4% Spanish American, 2.1% Asian, and .07% American Indian.

Socioeconomic status determined by lunch count indicated 36.3% of program students received free lunches and 10.1% received reduced priced lunches for a total of 46.4% of CRP students receiving free or reduced priced lunches. The percentage of all middle school students receiving free and reduced priced lunches was 56.3%.

Comparisons of demographic characteristics indicated greater percents of female students and Caucasian students enrolled in CRP than overall in district middle schools. Also, there were lower percents of Black students and students from low income families enrolled in CRP than overall in middle schools.

Course grades available from district computer files provided information about student performance in academic subject areas. Comparisons of grade point averages in academic subjects were computed for CRP students and regular middle school students. Grade point averages and differences for the fourth grading period are summarized for the two student groups in Table 2. CRP students achieved higher grade point averages in required academic courses than regular students. Differences range from .3 point to .7 point. CRP students also achieved higher grade point averages in college preparatory courses, algebra and pre-algebra, than other students electing these courses.

Similar comparisons were noted for CRP grade point averages in two foreign languages, German and Spanish. No difference was noted in grade point averages in French for the two student groups.

As a group CRP students performed somewhat better in required academic courses as well as in college preparatory courses than other middle school students. CRP sixth graders tended to have higher grade point averages than CRP seventh and eighth graders in academic courses. However, grade point averages of CRP eighth graders in life science and algebra were .7 point higher than averages of other students in these courses.



College Readiness Program Evaluation 1988-1989

Table 1
Student Participant Demographic Data

		GRADE		PROGRAM
	6	7	8	TOTAL
Ethnic Origin				
Caucasian	495	364	409	1263
	58.2%	54.7%	56.7%	56.7%
Black	324	281	298	903
	38.1%	42.3%	41.3%	40.4%
Spanish American	2	2	3	7
	.2%	. 3%	. 4%	.3%
Asian	29	18	10	57
	3.4%	2.7%	1.4%	2.5%
American Indian	1		1	1
	.1%		.1%	.17
Total	851	665	721	2237
	38.0%	29.7%	32.2%	100.0%
Gender				
Female	489	381	420	1290
	57.5%	57.3%	58.3%	57.7%
Male	365	284	301	947
	42.5%	42.7%	41.7%	42.3%
Total	851	665	721	2237
	38.0%	29.7%	32.2%	100.0%
Subsidized Lunch (SES)				
Paying	421	348	429	1198
	49.5%	52.3%	59.5%	53.6%
Free	334	253	225	812
	39.2%	38.0%	31.2%	36.3%
Reduced Price	96	64	67	227
	11.3%	9.6%	9.3%	10.1%
Total	851	665	221	2237
	38.0%	29.7%	32.2%	100.0%

College Readiness Program Evaluation 1988-1989

Table 2

Grade Point Average of CRP Students and Other Students
In Required and College Preparatory Courses

SUBJECT	GRADE	CRP	REGULAR	DIFFERENCE
Language Arts	6	3.0	2.3	• 7
	7	2.8	2.3	• 5
	8	2.8	2.4	• 4
Reading	6	2.9	2.2	• 7
	7	2.9	2.3	•6
	8	2.9	2.3	•6
Social Studies	6	2.9	2.2	•7
	7	2.9	2.2	•5
•	8	2.7	2.2	•6
Mathematics	6	2.8	2.2	•6
	7	2.7	2.3	•6
	8	2.7	2.2	.4
Science	6	3.0	2.2	•7
	7	2.7	2.3	.4
Life Science	8	2.8	2.1	• 7
Pre-Algebra	8	2.7	2.4	•3
Algebra	8	3.0	2.4	.7
French	8	2.6	2.6	0
German	8	2.6	2.2	• 4
Span ish	8	2.7	2.5	• 4

Note. Grade code: A = 4, B = 3, C = 2, D = 1, F = 0



The <u>Student Attitude Measure</u> (SAM) was used to evaluate students affective response to school environment. The SAM is a norm-referenced measure based on student self report. Student attitude expression is scored on five dimensions or scales:

- 1) Motivation For Learning
- 2) Academic Self-concept--Performance Based
- 3) Academic Self-concept--Referenced Based
- 4) Sense of Control Over Performance
- 5) Instructional Mastery

Average normal-curve equivalents (NCE) for the five dimensions of SAM are displayed for each scale in Table 3. Overall, CRP students gave average and above self reports on the five attitude dimensions. Three scale scores are notable; Academic Self-concept—Reference Based, Sense of Control Over Performance and Instructional Mastery. The lowest average NCE scale score for the total group is 52.7 for Academic Self-concept—Performance Based compared to the highest NCE score of 62.2 for Academic Self-concept—Reference Based. Also of note is the decrease in average NCE scores from sixth to eighth grade.

Further analysis of SAM scores suggested differences in attitudes toward school among ethnic and socioeconomic groups as well as among males and females in CRF. Summaries of average NCE scores by SES, gender and ethnic origin are contained in Tables 4, 5, 6, and 7.

Average NCE scores summarized for SES groups in Table 4 indicate that students on subsidized lunches overall were more positive in their attitudes toward school. Further summaries by SES and ethnic origin shown in Table 5 indicate that Black students on subsidized lunches were more positive on four of the five attitude scales than students of other ethnic origins. Students of Spanish American origin were more positive on the scale, Sense of Control Over Performance.

Students who paid for lunch were least positive overall in their attitudes toward school. Of these, students of Spanish American origin had the lowest average scores on four of the five attitude scales. Two students identified as American Indian had the lowest average scores on the scale, Motivation for Learning.

Comparisons of average NCE scores by gender summarized in Table 6 show that female students overall were more positive about school than male students. This trend is noted for female students at all grade levels.

Further analysis of SAM scores by gender and ethnic origin are summarized in Table 7. Comparisons of scores by ethnic origin show that Black and Caucasian females were more positive than Black and Caucasian males on all five attitude scales. Among other ethnic groups females were not as positive about school as males. Female students of Spanish American origin were more positive on the scale, Sense of Control Over Performance; male students of Spanish American origin were more positive on the other four attitude scales. Females of Asian origin were more positive on the scale, Motivation for Learning; males of Asian origin were more positive on the other four scales.



TABLE 3

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM)
NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY GRADE
MAY 1989

		GRADE		TOTAL
			8	
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING				
MEAN	54.7	55 4	52 3	E4 9
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.3	21 1	21.0	20.2
MEDIAN	55.0	55.4 21.1 56.0 1.0	51.0	20.B
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	93.U
MAXIMUM	99.0	1.0 99.0	49.0	99.0
VALID N	790	556	56 1	1907
ACADEMIC SELF-CONCEPT PERFORMANCE BASI	ED			
MEAT:	60.1	48 7	48 1	52.7
STANDARD DEVIATION	23.4	20.4	48.1 19.4	22.3
MEDIAN	65.0	51.0	18.4	22.3 54 0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	45.0 1.0	34 0
MAXIMUM	98.0	99.Q	1.0	1.0
VALID N	780	558	99.0 561	89.0 1907
ACADEMIC SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE BASED				
MEAN		62.6	6 0 2	62.2
STANDARD DEVIATION	23.3	23 1	20.3	02.2
MEDIAN	65 .0	84.0	20.7 60.0 1.0	22.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	40.0	4.0
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	93.O	
VALID N	790	556	56 1	99.Q 1907
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE				
MEAN	58.7	56 . 7	56.5	57 K
STANDARD DEVIATION	21.2	21.0	20.6	57.5 21.0
MEDIAN	80.0		58.Q	£1.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0		
MAXIMUM	99.0	1.0 99.0	1.0 99.0	1.0 99 0
VALID N	790	556	561	1907
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY				
MEAN	66.6	57.5	52.9	59.9
STANDARD DEVIATION	20 9	21.8	21 1	22.0
MEDIAN	70 0	58.0	21.1 54.0	60.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
MAXIMUM	99 0	98.0	99.O	99.0
VALID N	7 7 8	558	561	1898

TABLE 4

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY GRADE AND SES MAY 1980

					GRADE					· -	TOTAL	
		6			7						BSIDIZED	IATOT
		IBSIDIZED LUNCH	TOTAL	Li	SSIDIZED J N CH	TOTAL	SMF :	SUBSIDIZED LUMCH	TOTAL.	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAI	D FREE REDUCED				
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING							·					
	52.4	67.0	54 7	53.1	58.0	55.4	40		50 0			
	19.2	21.2	20.3	20.9	21.1	21.1	48.2		52.3	51.6	57.3	54.2
MEDIAN	53.0	59.0	55.0	53.0	58.0		20.8		21.0	20.3	20.9	20.8
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.O	98.0	99 U		56.0	48.0		51.0	51.0	58.0	53.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	99.0	99. U	99.0		99.O	99.O	89.O	99.0
MAXIMUM	99.0	89.0	99.0	•	1 0	1.0	1.0	• • • •	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	400		790	99.G 301	98.0 255	99 . Q 556	9 9 . 0 33		99. () 561	99.0 1036	99.0 871	99.0 1907
ACADEMIC												
SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANCE BASED												
MEAN	80.0	603	60.1	48.6	49.Q	40 0						
STANDARD DEVIATION	23.1	23.7	23.4	20.7		48.7	44.7		46 1	51.7	53.9	52.7
	85.0	6 5.0	65.0		20.1	20.4	18.3		19.4	22.3	22 .3	22.3
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.O	99.0	47.0	51.0	51.0	45.0	• • • •	45.0	5 3.0	54.0	54.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	29.0	93.0	89.0	88.0		99 . Q	99 .0	99 .0	99.0
MAXIMUM	93.0	99 0		1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	• • •	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	400		99.0 790	99 O 301	93.0 255	99.0 556	\$9.0 33		99.0 561	99.0 1036	99.0 871	99.0 1907
ACADEMIC											•	
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE BASED												
MEAN	63.1	eo e	en n	64.4								
	23.0	63.6 23.7	63.3	61.1	64.3	62 6	59 . 3		60 3	61.3	63.3	62.2
MEDIAN	85 U	69 0	23 3	23.3	22.8	23 1	21.0		20.7	22.5	22.6	22.6
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.O	85.0	61.0	67.0	84 0	80.0		6 0.0	62 .0	67.0	64.0
MINIMUM	10	-	99.0	99.0	99.O	99.O	93.0	93.0	93.0	99.0	99.0	99.0
MAXIMUM	99 0	1 0	1 0	1 0	1.0	1.0	1.0	13.0	1.0	1 0	1.0	1.0
VALID N		99 0	99 0	99 0	99 O	99.0	93 O		93 0	99 0	99.0	99.0
VALUE IS	400	390	790	301	255	556	335	5 226	56 1	1036	871	1907

TABLE 4

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY GRADE AND SES MAY 1989

					GRADE						TOTAL	
		6			7			8			SIDIZED MCH	TDTAL
		SIDIZED NCH	TUTAL		SMF SUBSIDIZED LUNCH			SSIDIZED NCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUÇED				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE												
MEAN Standard Deviation	58.3 21.2	59.1 21.3	58.7 21.2	54.9 21.5	58 . 8 20 . 2	56.7 21.0	54.7 21.1	59. 1 19. 7	56.5 20.6	56.2 21.3	59.0 20.5	57.5 21.0
MEDIAN Minimum	60.0 1.0	60.0 1.0	60.0 1.0	55.0 1.0	60.0 1.0	58.0 1.0	54.0 1.0	58.0 13.0	58.0	58.0	60.0 1.0	58.0 1.0
MAXIMUM Valid n	99 O 400	99.0 330	99.0 790	99.0 301	99. O 255	99.0 556	99.0 335	99.0 226	99.0 5/1	99 0 1038	99.0 87.1	99.0 1907
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY											,	,
MEAN	65 .0	68.3	66.6	56.O	59.3	57.5	5 0.7	56.0	52.9	5 7 . 7	62.4	59.9
STANDARD DEVIATION	21.0	20.7	20.9	21.5	21.6	21.6	21.0	20.9	21.1	22.0	21.7	22.0
MEDIAN	67.0	70.0	70.0	58.0	60. O	58.0	51.0	57.0	54.0	60.0	64.0	30.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
MAXIMUM VALID N	99.Q 394	99.Q 385	99.Q 779	99.Q 301	99.Q 255	99. Q 556	99.Q 335	99.Q 226	99.0 561	99.0 1030	99.0 866	99.0 1896

TABLE 5

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN CAUCASIAN

	6		· 		GRADE							TOTAL	
		6			7				8			BSIDIZED JNCH	TOTAL
	SMF	SUBSIDIZED LUNCH	TOTAL	SMF	SUBSIDIZED LUNCH	TOTAL	SMF	SUI	BSIDIZED JNCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	PAI	D FREE REDUCED		PAI	D FREE REDUCED		PAI	ID	FREE REDUCED				
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING													
MEAN	51.	4 55.6	53.0	50.9	9 54.8	52.2	40	_	50.0	40.4	40.0		
STANDARD DEVIATION	18.		20.0	21.6		21.4	46.		56.0	49.4	49.8	55.5	51.7
MEDIAN	53.		53.0	51.0		53.0	21. 45.	-	21.0	21.4	20.4	21.2	20.9
MAXIMUM	99		99.0	99.0		99.0		_	56 .0	48.0	51.0	56.0	53.0
MINIMUM	1.6		1.0	1.0		1.0	99.		99.0	99.0	99.0	99.O	99.0
MAXIMUM	89.	-	99.0	99.0		99.Q	1.	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N		76 174	450		102	294	99. 2	25	99.Q 84	99.0 309	99.Q 693	99.Q 360	99.0 1053
ACADEMIC													
SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANCE BASED	•												
MEAN	59.	2 59.8	59.4	47 . E	3 44.4	46 . 4	43.	22	46.6	44.4	50.0		
STANDARD DEVIATION	22.		22.7	21.1		21.3	19.			44.4	50.9	52.3	51.4
MEDIAN	65.0		65.0	45.0		45. O			21.2	19.9	22.3	23.2	22.6
MAXIMUM	99.0		99.0	99.0		99.O	41.	_	45.0	41.0	51.0	54.0	53 .0
MINIMUM	1.0		1.0	10.0			90.	_	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.O	99.0
MAXIMUM	99.0		88.0	99.0	• • •	1.0 99.0	1.	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	2		450	19		294	90. 2	0 25	99.0 84	99.0 309	99.0 693	99. C 360	99.0 1053
ACADEMIC													1000
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE													
BASED													
MEAN	61.5	5 61.9	61.7	60.6	61.2	80.0		_	00.4				
STANDARD DEVIATION	22.8		22.9	23.5		60.8	56.		63.1	58.3	59 .7	62.0	60.4
MEDIAN	62 (•	62 0	59 O		23.7	22.6		20.6	21.8	22.8	22.B	22.8
MAXIMUM	99 (99 0	99.0		61.0	58.0	-	60.0	58.0	60.0	64 5	62.0
MINIMUM	1 0		10	1 0		99.0	93.0		93.0	93 0	99.0	99.0	99.Q
MAXIMUM	99 (99 0	99 0	. •	1 0	1.0	_	13.0	1 0	1.0	1 0	1 0
VALID N	27		450	18		99.0	83 (93.0	93 0	99.0	99.0	99.0
	- '	,-	750	19	- 102	294	22	40	84	309	693	360	1053



15

TABLE 5

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1889

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN CAUCASIAN

					GRADE						TOTAL		
	~~~~~	6 ME CURCINIZED			7			8			ISIDIZED INCH	TOTAL	
		SIDIZED NCH	TOTAL		SIDIZED INCH	TOTAL		SIDIZED NCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED		
	PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED					
SENSE OF CUNTROL OVER PERFORMANCE	,	*****	******		,			*****					
MEAN	<b>5</b> 7.6	<b>59</b> .0	58.2	53.9	55 6	54.5	52.5	59.1	54.3	54.3	58.1	56.0	
STANDARD DEVIATION	21.3	20.2	20.9	21.6	20.8	21.3	21.4	19.6	21.1	21.5	20.2		
MEDIAN	58.0	60.0	58.0	55.0	56.5	55 0	52.0	58.0	54.0	55.0	58.0	21.1	
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	13.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	58.Q 1.0	
MAXIMUM	99.Q	<b>99.0</b>	99.Q	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.Q	88.0	99.0	88.0	99.Q		
VALID N	276	174	450	192	102	294	225	84	308	693	360	99.Q 1053	
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY													
MEAN	63.9	67.7	65.4	55.6	56.7	56.0	49.2	58.1	51.7	56.8	62.3	E0 7	
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.6	19.6	26.3	21.9	23.0	22.3	21.5	20.1	21.4	22.1	21.3	58.7	
MEDIAN	67.Q	70.0	67.0	58 . Q	59.0	58.0	47.0	<b>5</b> 0.0	51.0	60.0		22.0	
MINIMUM	1.0	19.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	64.Q 1.0	60.0	
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	99 0	99.0	99 0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	1.0	
VALID N	273	172	445	192	102	294	225	84	309	690	358	99.0 1048	



### TABLE 5

# STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1889

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN

BLACK

		6			GRADE						TOTAL	
	***	6			7			8			SIDIZED NCH	TOTAL
		SUBSIDIZED LUNCH	TOTAL	LL	SSIDIZED JNCH	TOTAL		JBSIDIZED LUNCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	PAIC			PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED				
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING	~ ~~~~							******			* ******	
MEAN	55 . 2	58.8	<b>57</b> . <b>4</b>	<b>56</b> .7	60.0	58.5	54.0	<b>57</b> . 3	55.8	55.3	E0 7	<b>53</b> 0
STANDARD DEVIATION	19.6		20.3	19.0	21.3	20.3	19.9	20.1	20.0	19.4	58.7 20.7	57.3
	54.0		59.0	58.0	61.0	58.0	51.0	53.0	53.0	53. Q	20.7 59.0	20.2
MAXIMUM	99.0		99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.O	99.O	99.O	_	5B.0
MINIMUM	1.0		1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	99.0	<b>99</b> .0
MAXIMUM	99.0		99.0	99.0	88.0	99.0	89.0	99.0	99.Q	—	1.0	1.0
VALID N	11		307	103	138	241	106	•	239	<b>99</b> .0 325	<b>99</b> .0 462	99.0 79.7
ACADEMIC												
SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANCE BASED	E											
MEAN	62.8	62.4	62.5	51.1	52.8	52.1	47.3	49.3	48.4	54 A	<i>55</i> 0	
	23.6		23.6	20.3	18.2	19.1	19.4	18.5	18.9	54.Q 22.2	55.8 21.4	55.0
MEDIAN	67.0		67.0	51.0	54.Q	54.0	45.0	47.0	47.0	54.0		21.8
MAXIMUM	99.0		99.0	99.0	93.0	99.0	99.0	<b>9</b> 0.0	99.0	99.O	58.0	56.0
MINIMUM	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	10.0	7.0	7.0	1.0	99.0	99.0
MAXIMUM	99.0		89.0	99.0	83.0	99.0	99.0	90.0	99.O	99.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	11		307	103	138	241	106	•	239	325	99.0 462	99.0 787
ACADEMIC												
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE												
BASED												
MEAN	67 8	65.4	66.3	63.3	67.3	65.6	85.5	61.2	63.1	85.6	04 "	
STANDARD DEVIATION	22.9		23.7	23.0	21.9	22.4	17.5	20.5	19.3	21.3	84.8	65.1
MEDIAN	72 0		69 0	64 0	67.0	67.0	64.0	64.0	64.0	69.0	22.6	22.1
MAXIMUM	99 0		99.0	99.0	99 0	99.0	93.0	93.0	93.0	99 0	67.0 99.0	67.0
MINIMUM	1 0		1 0	1.0	1 0	1.0	15.0	13.0	13 0	10	99.Q 1.0	99.0
MAXIMUM	98 O	99 O	99.0	99 0	99 0	99.0	93.0	93 0	93 0	99 0	99.0	1 0
VALID N	11		307	103	138	241	106	133	239	325	99.0 462	99.0 787



19

#### TABLE 5

# JOENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCURES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN BLACK

					GRADE						TOTAL	
	OF NY SU SO SO SO SO	6			7			8			SIDIZED MCH	TOTAL
		BSIDIZED UNCH	TOTAL		SIDIZED NCH	TOTAL		SSIDIZED NCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED	****	DAID	FREE REDUCED				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		* *****	******		* *****		****	* *****	*****
MEAN STANDARD DEVIATION	60.0 20.8	59.6 22.3	59.8 21.7	57 2	61.2	59.5	59.6	58.8	59.1	59.0	59.8	59.5
MEDIAN	60.0	<b>6</b> 0.0	60.0	21.6 55.0	19.5 61.0	20.5 60.0	20.0 59.0	19 6 68 0	19.8 58.0	20.8 59.0	20.7 <b>6</b> 0.0	20.7 60.0
MINIMUM Maximum	1.0 99.0	1.0 99.0	1.0 99.0	10.0 99.0	1.0 99.0	1.0 99.0	10.0 99.0	17.0 99.0	10.0 99.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	116	191	307	103	138	241	106	133	239	99.Q 325	99.Q 462	<b>99</b> .0 787
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY												
MEAN STANDARD DEVIATION	68.0 21.5	89.4 21.3	68.9 21.4	57.4	61.4	59.7	54.3	54.0	54.1	60.1	82.5	61.5
MEDIAN	70.0	71.5	70.0	20.9 60.0	20.6 64.0	20.8 50.0	19.6 55.5	21.2 54.0	20.5 54.0	21.5 50.0	22.0 63.0	21.8 63.0
MINIMUM MAXIMUM VALID N	1.0 99.0	1.0 99.0	1.0 28.0	10.0 99.0	1.0 98.0	1.0 99.0	1.0 93.0	7.0 99.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0 99.0
VALID N	113	188	301	103	138	241	106	133	239	322	459	781

#### TABLE 5

## STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN SPANISH AMERICAN

				GRADE	- <b>-</b>				TOTAL	
	~~~~~	3		7			3		SSIDIZED MCH	TOTAL
	SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL		BSIDIZED JNCH	TOTAL	SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	FREE REDUÇED		PAID	FREE REDUÇED		FREE REDUCED				
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING										
MEAN	52 0	52.0	40.0	66 .0	57 .3	54 .0	54.0	40.0		
STANDARD DEVIATION	18.4	18.4		14.1	18.0	21.5	21.5	40.0	56 . 9	54.8
MEDIAN	52.0	52.0	40.0	66.0	56.0	53.0	53.0	40.0	16.8	16.7
MAXIMIM	65 .0	65.0	40.0	76.0	76.0	78.0	76.0	40.0	56 .0	54.5
MINIMUM	39 0	39.0	40.0	56.0	40.0	33.0	33.0	40.0	7 6 .0	76.0
MAXIMUM	65.0	65.0	40.0	76.0	76.0	76.0	33.0 76.0	40.0 40.0	33.0	33.0
VALID N	2	2	1	2	3	3	7 6 . U	40.0	76 . 0 7	76.0 8
ACADEMIC SELF-CONCEPT PERFORMANCE BASED	Ĕ								·	
MEAN	41.5	41.5	32.0	63.5	50 A	m				
STANDARD DEVIATION	27.6	27.6	32.0		53.0	51.7	51.7	32.0	52 . 1	4 9
MEDIAN	41.5	41.5	32.0	9.2 63.5	19.3	10.2	10.2		16.0	•
KUMIKAM	81.0	61.0	32.0		57.0	56.0	58.0	32.0	57 . Q	
MINIMUM	23.0	22.0	32.0	70.0 57.0	70.0	89.0	59.0	32.0	70.0	70. J
MAXIMUM	61 0	61.0	32.0	70.0	32.0	40.0	40.0	32.0	22.Q	22.0
VALID N	2	2	1	70.0	70.0 3	59.0 3	59.0 3	32.0 1	70.0 7	70.0 8
AGADENIC						_	•	•	•	٥
SELF-CONCEPYREFERENCE BASED										
MEAN	64 O	64 U	32.0	59 5	50 0	55. 0				
STANDARD DEVIATION	32.5	32.5	32.0	6.4	50 3	59.3	59 3	32 . O	60.7	57.1
MEDIAN	64.0	64 0	32.0	59 5	16 5	29.2	29.2		21.7	22.5
MAXIMUM	87 0	87.0	32 0	64 O	55.0	44 0	44.0	32.0	55 . O	49.5
MINIMUM	61 0	41.0	32 0	55.0	64.0	93.0	93 0	32 0	93 0	93.0
MAXIMUM	87.0	87.0	37.0	55.0 64.0	32.0	41.0	41.0	32 0	41.0	32.0
VALID N	2	2	35.0	94.U 2	64.0	93.0	93.0	32.0	93.0	93.Q



TABLE 5

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN SPANISH AMERICAN

		· • • • • • • • •		GRADE					TOTAL	
				7			8		SSIDIZED MCH	TOTAL
	SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL		BSIDIZED UNCH	TOTAL	SMF SUBSIDI ZEO LUNCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED		FREE REDUCED				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE									****	
MEAN	70.5	70.5	41.0	68.5	59.3	59.3	59.3	41.0	65.1	62.1
STANDARD DEVIATION	9.2	9.2		34 . B	29.2	32.7	32.7	41.0	24.5	24.2
MEDIAN	70.5	70. 5	41 0	68.5	44.0	63.0	63.0	41.0	64.0	63.5
MINIMUM	64.0	64.0	41.0	44.0	41.0	25.0	25.0	41.0	25.0	25.0
MAXIMUM	77.0	77.0	41.0	93.0	93.0	90.0	90.0	41.0	93.0	93.0
VALID N	2	2	1	2	3	3	3	1	7	8
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY										
MEAN	72.0	72.0	35.0	57.5	50.0	53.3	5 2.2	25 0	50 0	
STANDARD DEVIATION	7.1	7.1	32.9	14.8	16.7	32.6	53.3 32.6	35.0	59.9	56.8
MEDIAN	72.0	72.0	35.0	57.5	47.0	51.0	51.0	2E A	21.7	21.9
MINIMUM	67.0	67.0	35.0	47.0	35.0	22.0	22 0	35.0 35.0	67.0	59.0
MAXIMUM	77.0	77.0	35.0	68.0	68.0	87.0	87.0	35.0 35.0	22.0	22.0
VALID N	2	2	1	2	3	3	3	30.U	87.0	87.0 8



TABLE 5

SYUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN ASIAN

		6						GR	ADE				 -		 -			~ ~ ~ ~		TO	TAL		
			6		·				7		• • • •			(3			SMF		BSIDI UNCH	ZED	TO	TAL
	SMF		SIDIZED NCH	TOT	AL	SMF		BSIDI:	ZED	TOT	TAL.	SMF		SIDI JNCH	ZED	το	TAL	PA	ID	FRE RED	UCED		
	PAI	D	FREE REDUCED			PA	ID	FRE	JCED			PA	(D	FREI REDI									
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING												~~~				~~~							
MEAN	44.	7	53 .3	51.	3	70	. 4	61	. 2	63 .	8	61	. 0	59	0	59	. 7	56	. 5	56	6	58	6
STANDARD DEVIATION	23.		22.0	22.		17		19		19.		8		16		13		21		20		20	
MEDIAN	34		55 .0	54.		72		65		66.		58		53		53			.0	55		55	
MAXIMUM	77.		90.0	90.		87		99		99.		72		90.		90			. 0	99		99	
MINIMUM	20	0	1.0	1.	_	48		24		24	-	53		45		45		20	**	1		1	-
MAXIMUM	77.		90.0	90.	Ō	87	۵	99		99.	_	72	_	90.		80	_	87		22	-	99	_
VALID N		7	23		30		5		13		18		3		6		8		15		42		57
ACADEMIC																							
SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANO	E																						
BASED																							
MEAN	48.	1	48.9	48.	7	41	. 4	41.	8	41.	7	33.	3	49.	8	44	3	42	. 9	46	. 8	45.	A
STANDARD DEVIATION	26.	2	27.9	27.	1	11	. 8	17	5	15.		16		14		16		20		23	_	22	
MEDIAN	44.	0	44.0	44	0	45	. 0	37	0	37.	0	40	Ó	43.		41	0	44		41	-	41.	_
MLMIXAM	93.	0	98 0	99.	0	51	. 0	85	0	85.	0	45	0	78.		78	0	93		98		99.	
MINIMUM	15.	0	1.0	1.	0	23	. 0	17.	0	17	0	15.	0	40.		15	0	15		1		1.	
MAXIMUM	93.	0	99 .0	99.	0	51.	. C	85.	0	85.	0	45.	0	78.	0	78	0	93	0	99	. Õ	99.	-
VALID N		7	23		30		5		13		18		3		ĘĨ		9		15		42		57
ACADEMIC																							
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE																							
BASED																							
MEAN	47.	1	61.2	57.	9	43.	2	56 .	6	52.	9	45.	0	57.	2	53.	1	45	4	59.	2	55.	6
STANDARD DEVIATION	19.	6	24.1	23.	6	5.	8	19.	5	17.	8	3.	5	12.	1	11.	4	13	4	21.	1	20.	
MEDIAN	52	-	65.0	52 .	-	45	-	51	0	46.		47.		57.		50		45.	0	60		55	
MAXIMUM	69	0	99 O	99.	0	51.	0	99.	0	89.	0	47.	G	77.	0	77.	0	69	0	89	_	99	_
MINIMUM	17		17.0	17	0	36.	0	34.	0	34.	O	41.	0	41.	0	41	0	17.	0	17	0	17.	-
MAXIMUM	69.	0	99.O	99.	0	51.	0	98.	0	99.	0	47	0	77.		77.	٥	89.	0	99		99.	-
VALID N		7	23	;	30		5		13		18	-	3		8				15		42	•••	



26

TABLE 5

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 18-39

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN ASIAN

	****				GRADE		. = = = = =				TOTAL	
	****	6	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		7			8			SIDIZED	TOTAL
		BSIDIZED UNCH	TOTAL		BSIDIZED UNCH	TOTAL		BSIDIZED UNCH	TOTAL	PAID	FREE REDUCED	
	PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED		PAID	FREE REDUCED				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE			***	~~~~		****		* ~~~~	~~~~~	*****		*****
MEAN	53 .9	55.3	55 .0	48.6	57 .0	54.7	55.3	66.0	62.4	E0 4	63 4	
STANDARD DEVIATION	22.2	21.2	21.1	12.8	20.6	18.8	2.3	20.0	16.7	52.4	57.4	56.1
MEDIAN	54.0	54.0	54.0	46.0	62.0	55.O	54.0	6 3.0		16.3	20.7	19.8
MINIMUM	15.0	1.0	1.0	38.0	7.0	7.0	54.0	38.0	59. 0	54.0	58.5	54.0
MAXIMUM	90.0	90.0	80.0	69.0	80.0	80.0	58.0		38.0	15.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	7	23	30	5	13	18	30.0	89.0 6	99.0 9	80 .0 15	99.0 42	89 .0 57
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY												
MEAN	62.0	62 . 1	82.1	47.0	57.6	54.7	20 0	70.0	04.0			
STANDARD DEVIATION	24.0	24.1	23.6	19.8	21.2		38.0	73.3	61.6	52.2	62.3	59.7
MEDIAN	70.0	63.0	6 7.0	41.0	54.0	20.8	27.6	11.9	24.3	23.9	22.0	22.7
MINIMUM	20.0	13.0	13.0	29.0	10.0	54.0	47.0	68.0	64.0	5 0.0	63.5	63 .0
MAXIMUM	83.Q	99.0	99.0	68.0	93.0	10.0	7.0	64.0	7.0	7.0	10.0	7.0
VALID N	7	23	30	5	13	93.0 18	60.0 3	93.0 6	93.0 9	83.0 15	99.0 42	99.0 57

27

TABLE 5

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN AMERICAN INDIAN'

			RADE		TO	TAL
•		6		8	SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL
	SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH		SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL	PAID	
	PAIO		PAID			
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING						
MEAN STANDARD DEVIATION	49.0	49.Q			22.6	33.0 22.6
MEDIAN	49.Q	49. O	17.G		33.Q	33.0
MAXIMUM	49.0	49.0	17.0	17.0	49.0	49.0
MINIMUM	49.0	49.0	17. U	17.0 17.0	17 ^	17 A
MAXIMUM Valid N	49.0 1					49.0
ACADEMIC SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANCE BASED						
MEAN	44.0	44.0	45.0	45.0	44.5	44.5
STANDARD DEVIATION		• • • •	40.0	45.0	.7	.7
MEDIAN	44.0	44.0	45 0	45.0	44.5	
MAXIMUM	44.Q	44.0 44.0	45.Q	45.0	45.0	45.0
MINIMUM	44.0	44.0	45. O		44.0	44.0
MAXIMUM	44.0	44.0	45 0		45.0	
VALID N	1	1	1	1	2	2
ACADEMIC SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE BASED						
MEAN	60.0					
STANDARD DEVIATION	62.0	62 0	53 .0	53 .0	57 . 5	
MEDIAN	62.0	00.0				6.4
MAXIMUM	62.0	62 0	53.0	53.0	57.5	57 . 5
MINIMUM	62.0	82 O	53.0	53 O	62.0	62.0
MAXIMUM	62 .0	62 0	5 3.0	53 .0	53 .0	53.0
VALID N	62 0	62.0	53.0	53.0	62 . Q	62.0
AUFTR II	1	1	1	1	2	2

TABLE 5

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND SES MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN AMERICAN INDIAN'

	GI 	KAUŁ		TO1	ral
	5		8	SMF SUBŞIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL
SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL	SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH	TOTAL	PAID	
PAIO		PAID			
87.0	87.0	41.0	41.0	64.0	84.0
			• • • •		32.5
87.0	87.0	41.0	41 0		64.0
87.0			•	-	41.0
87.0	87.0				87.0
1	1	1	1	2	2
49.0	49.0	44.0	44 0	AC E	46 8
•••		44.0	77.0		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
49.0	49.0	44.0	44.0		46.5
49.0			•		44.0
–		-		• • • •	48.0
1	-				2
	SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH PAID 87.0 87.0 87.0 87.0 1	SMF TOTAL SUBSICI ZED LUNCH PAID 87.0 87.0 87.0 87.0 87.0 87.0 87.0 87.0	SMF TOTAL SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH LUNCH LUNCH LUNCH PAID PAID 87.0 41.0 87.0 87.0 41.0 87.0 87.0 41.0 87.0 87.0 41.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	SMF TOTAL SMF TOTAL SUBSIDI ZED ZED LUNCH LUNCH PAIO PAID 87.0 87.0 41.0 41.0 87.0 87.0 87.0 41.0 41.0 87.0 87.0 41.0 41.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 8 SMF SUBSIDI ZED LUNCH SMF TOTAL SMF TOTAL PAID SUBSIDI ZED ZED LUNCH LUNCH LUNCH PAID PAID 87.0 87.0 41.0 41.0 84.0 32.5 87.0 87.0 41.0 41.0 64.0 87.0 87.0 41.0 41.0 87.0 87.0 1 1 2 49.0 49.0 44.0 44.0 46.5 3.5 49.0 49.0 44.0 44.0 46.5 49.0 49.0 44.0 44.0 49.0 49.0 44.0 44.0

TABLE 6

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY GRADE AND GENDER MAY 1989

					GRADE						TGTAL	
		6			7			8	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	GEN	DER	TOTAL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	
	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE				
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING			•		**							
MEAN	57 .0	51.4	54.7	58.1	51.6	55.4	54.8	48.5	52 .3	56 . 7	E0 6	E4 0
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.4	19.8	20.3	20.9	20.8	21.1	21 7	19.3	21.0	20.9	50.6	54.2
MEDIAN	59.0	53.0	55.0	58.0	53.0	56.0	53.0	48.0	5 1.0	20.9 56.0	20.0	20.8
MAXIMUM	88.0	89.0	89.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	93.0			51.0	53.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	10.0	.1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	99.0	99. O	99 .0	99.0
MAXIMUM	89.0	88.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	93.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	459	331	790	320	236	556	336	225	99.0 561	99.0 1115	99.0 792	99.0 1907
ACADEMIC												
SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANC	E											
BASED Mean	CO F			45.5								
	60.5	59.7	60.1	49.6	47.6	48.7	47 . 1	44.7	46 . 1	5 3.3	51.8	52 . 7
STANDARD DEVIATION	23.6	23.0	23.4	20.9	19.7	20.4	20.1	18.3	19.4	22.6	21.8	22.3
MEDIAN	65.0	65.0	65.0	51.0	47.0	51.0	47.0	41.0	45.0	54 . O	53 .0	54.0
MAXIMUM	89.O	99.0	89.O	99.O	99 O	78.O	99.C	93 .0	99.O	99.O	99.O	99.Q
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
MAXIMUM	89 .0	99 .0	Q. Q 2	99 .0	99.Q	99 .0	99.Q	93.0	99 .0	99.O	99 .0	99.Q
VALID N	459	331	790	320	236	556	336	225	561	1115	792	1907
ACADEMIC												
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE BASED												
MEAN	64.2	62.2	63.3	63.9	60.8	62.6	63.4	55.7	60.3	63.8	59.9	62.2
STANDARD DEVIATION	23 5	23.0	23.3	24.0	21.8	23.1	20.7	20.0	20.7	22.8	22.O	62.2
MEDIAN	69.0	65.0	85.0	67.0	61.0	64.0	65.5	58.0	60.0	67.0	61.0	22.6
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	99 0	99.0	99.0	99.0	93.0	93.C	93.0	99.O	99.0	64.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	99.0
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	89.0	99 0	93.0	93.0	93.0	99. C		1.0
VALID N	459	331	790	320	236	556	336	225	\$3.0 \$61	1115	99.0 792	99.0 1907

 $3\dot{z}$

TABLE 6

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY GRADE AND GENDER MAY 1989

					GRADE						TOTAL	
	6				7			8		GEN	IDER	TOTAL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	LATOT	FEMALE	MALE	•
	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE FEMAL	FEMALE	MALE					
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER												
PERFORMANCE												
MEAN	60.6	56.1	58.7	58.Q	54.9	56.7	58.8	53 .0	EC E	50 0		
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.3	22.2	21.2	21.2	20.6	21.0	20.0		56.5	59.3	54.9	57.5
MEDIAN	60.0	58.0	60.0	60.0	5 5.0	58.0	20.0 58.0	21.1	20.5	20.5	21.4	21.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		52.0	58.0	60.0	55.0	58 .0
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	459	331	790	320	236	556	99.0 336	99.0 225	99.0 561	99.Q 1115	99.0 792	99.0 1907
NSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY												
MEAN	88.0	64.3	66.6	59.O	55.5	57 . 5	54.0	51.1	E0 /:			
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.1	21.9	20.9	21.7	21.3	21.5	21.0		52. <i>9</i>	61.1	58.1	59.9
MEDIAN	70.0	67.0	70.0	60.0	58.0	58.0		21.2	21.1	21.7	22.3	22 .0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		57.0	51.0	54.0	64.0	60.0	6 0.0
MAXIMUM	99 0	99.0	99 0	99.0	99.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1 0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	451	328	779	320	236	99.0 558	99.0 336	99.0 225	99.0 561	99.0 1107	99.0 789	99.0 15 9 6



33

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN CAUCASIAN

					GRADE						TOTAL	
		6			7			8		GEN	DER	TOTAL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	
	FEMALE	MALE	'	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE	•			
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING												
MEAN	55.4	50.0	53.0	55.3	40 4	5 0 0						
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.2	19.4	20.0	20.4	48.1	52.2	53.0	44.0	49.4	54.6	47.8	51.7
MEDIAN	55.0	53.0	53 .0		22.1	21.4	23.1	17.3	21.4	21.2	19.8	20.8
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.O		58.0	48.0	53 .0	51.0	42.0	48.Q	55.0	48.0	53.0
MINIMUM	1.0		99 .0	99.0	99.0	99.O	99.O	9 0.0	99.Q	99.0	59.0	99.0
MAX1 MUM	•	1.0	1.0	15.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	99.0	99.0	99 .0	99.0	99 .0	9 9 .0	99.Q	90.0	99.0	99.0	99.C	99.0
ANCID II	255	195	450	187	127	294	186	123	309	608	445	1053
ACADEMIC											• • •	1000
SELF - CONCEPT PERFORMANCE	.											
BASED	E											
MEAN	~~ ~											
	59.6	59.1	59.4	46.9	45.7	46.4	45.0	43.5	44.4	51.6	51.0	51.4
STANDARD DEVIATION	22.9	22.4	22 . 7	21.2	21.6	21.3	20.9	18.2	19.9	22.9	22.3	22.6
MEDIAN	65 .0	65 . O	65 . 0	47.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	41.0	41.0	53.0	53.0	53.0
MAXIMUM	99.Q	#9.Q	99.O	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	20.0	99.0	99.0	99 .0	
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		99.0
MAXIMUM	99.Q	99 .0	99.O	99.Q	99.0	99.0	99.0	90.0	99.0	99.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	255	195	450	167	127	294	186	123	309	608	99.0 445	99.0 1053
ACADEMIC											770	1033
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE												
BASED												
MEAN	62.8	60.2	04.7									
STANDARD DEVIATION	22 8		61.7	62.0	59.2	60.8	61 2	54 .0	58.3	62.1	58.2	60.4
MEDIAN	65 .0	23.0	22.9	24.1	23 2	23.7	22.3	20.2	21.8	23.0	22.4	22.8
MAXIMUM		62.0	62.0	61.0	81.0	61.0	60.0	5 5.0	58.0	82.0	59.0	62.0
MINIMUM	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	89.Q	93.0	93.0	93.0	99.0	99.0	99.0
	1.0	1.0	1.0	7.Q	1.0	1 0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
MAXIMUM	5,8 O	99 O	99 0	99 O	99.0	99 0	93.0	93.0	93.0	99 0	99 0	1.0
VALID N	2 5 5	195	450	167	127	294	186	123	309	608	445	99.0 1053

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE NEWSURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC DRIGIN CAUGASIAN

****												*****
				·	GRADE						TOTAL	
	6 GENDER				7			8	·	GEN	DER	TOTAL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	
	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE												
MEAN	6 0 . 1	55.6	56.2	55.7	#A 0							
STANDARD DEVIATION	19.7	22.2	20.9		52.8	54.5	56.4	51.1	54 .3	57.8	5 3.6	56 .0
MEDIAN	60.0	58.0	58.0	20.9	21.8	21.3	20.5	21.8	21.1	20.3	22 .0	21.1
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	58.0	55 .0	55 .0	54.0	52 0	54.0	58 .0	54.0	58.0
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	255	195	99.0 450	99.0 167	99.0 127	99.0 294	99.0 186	99.0 123	99.0 309	99.0 608	99.0 445	99.0 10 5 3
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY												
MEAN	66.3	64 2	65.4	57.6	54.0	56.0	E2 4	40 5	54 B			
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.2	20.4	20.3	21.6	23.1	22.3	53.1	49.5	51.7	59.8	57.2	58.7
MEDIAN	70.0	67.0	67.0	58.0	58.0		21.6	21.2	21.4	21.7	22.3	22.0
MINZMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	58.0	54.0	47.0	51.0	63.0	EO .0	6 0.0
MAXIMUN	89.0	99 0	89.0	99.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	i.O	1.0	1.0
VALID N	251	194	445	167	99.0 127	99.0 294	99.0 186	93.0 123	99.0 309	99.0 604	99.0 444	99.0 1048

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCL SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN BLACK

	~			. = = = = = =	GRADE						TOTAL	
		6			7		*****	8		GEN	DER	TOTAL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL		DER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	•
	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE				
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING		~									~	·
MEAN	59 4	54.3	57.4	60.9	55.3	*a &	55 0					
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.5	19.7	20.3	21.0		38.5	57.3	53.6	55 . R	59.2	54.4	5 7.3
MEDIAN	52.0	53.0	59 .0		19.0	20.3	19.8	20.2	20 .0	29.4	19.8	20.2
MAXIMUM	99.0	99 .0		61.0	57.¢	58.0	56 . Q	49.5	53 .0	59.Q	53.0	58.0
MINIMUM			99.0	99.0	99.O	99 .0	99 .0	93 . O	99.Q	99.O	99.0	99 C
MAXIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	17.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	89 0	99.0	99 O	99.O	99.O	99.O	99.Q	93.0	99.O	99.0	99.0	98.0
ANCID M	188	121	307	141	100	241	145	94	239	472	315	787
ACADEMIC												
SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANCE BASED	:											
MEAN	63.1	61.7	62.5	53.7	40.0	F0 4						
STANDARD DEVIATION	23.9	23.1	23.6		49.8	52.1	50.2	45.7	48.4	56 . 3	53 1	5 5.0
MEDIAN	67.0	85.0		20.2	17.3	19.1	18.8	18.8	18 . 9	22 .0	21.3	21.8
MAXIMUM	99.0		87.0	54.0	51.0	54.0	51.0	45.0	47.0	57.0	54.0	56.0
MINIMUM		99.0	89.0	99 .0	90.Q	99.Q	99.Q	93.Q	99.Q	89.Q	99.0	99.0
MAXIMUM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1 0	1.0	1.0	10.0	7.0	7.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	99.0	99.0	9 9 .0	99.O	90.0	99.Q	99.O	93.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0
AVEID M	186	121	307	141	100	241	145	94	239	472	315	787
ACADEMIC												
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE												
BASED												
MEAN	66 5	66.0	66.3	67 1	CO 5	an -						
STANDARD DEVIATION	24.5	22.5	23.7	23.6	63 5	65.6	66 5	58 . O	63.1	66 . 6	62.8	65.1
MEDIAN	72.0	59.0	23.7 89.0		20 B	22.4	18 1	20 1	19.3	22.4	21.4	22 1
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	99.0	69.Q	64.0	67.0	ଘର ଓ	60.0	64.0	69 .0	64.0	67.0
MINIMUM	1.0	1.0		99.0	99.0	99.O	83.0	93.0	93 .0	99.O	99.0	99.0
MAXIMUM	99.0		1.0	1.0	13.0	1.Q	22.O	13.0	13.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	186	99.0	99 0	89.O	99 O	99.O	93.O	93.0	93.0	99.0	99.0	99 0
THE MIT	100	121	307	14.1	100	241	145	94	239	472	315	787

39

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN BLACK

					GRADE						TOTAL	
	****	6			7			8		GEN	DER	TOTAL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	
********	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE				~~						*		
MEAN Standard Deviation Median	61.4 21.2	57.2 22.4	59.8 21.7	81.3 21.2	57.0 19.2	59.5 20.5	62.0 18.9	54.7 20.3	59.1 19.8	61.6 20.5	56.4 20.8	59.5 20.7
MINIMUM MAXIMUM	64 .0 1.0	60.0 1.0	60.0 1.0	62.0	58.0 17.0	60.0 1.	59.0 20.0	54.0 10.0	58.0 10.0	62 .0	58.0 1.0	60.0 1.0
VALID N	99.0 186	99.0 121	89.0 307	99.0 141	99.0 100	99.0 241	99.0 145	99.0 94	99.0 239	99.0 472	99.0 315	99.0 787
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY												
MFAN STANDARD DEVIATION MEDIAN	70.7 19.5 73.0	66.3 23.8 67 0	68 9 21 4 70 0	61.4 21.6 60.0	57.3 19.4 60.0	59.7 20.8 60.0	55.1 20.4 57.0	52.7 20.7 51.0	54.1 20.5 54.0	63.0 21.4 64.0	59.3 22.2 60.0	61.5 21.8
MININUM Maximum Valid N	10.0 99.0 182	1.0 99.0 119	1.0 99.0 301	1.Q 99.0 141	10.0 99.0 100	1.0 99.0 241	1.0 99.0 145	7.0 99.0 94	1.0 99.0 239	1.0 99.0 468	1.0 99.0 313	63.0 1.0 99.0 781

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN SPANISH AMERICAN

					GRADE						TOTAL	
		6			7			8		GEN	DER	TOTÁL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTA!	GEN	DER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	
	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE	•	FEMALE	MALE				
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING												
MEAN	85.O	39.0	52.Q	40. Q	66. O	E7 2	EA E	5 0.0	- 4 - 4			
STANDARD DEVIATION			18.4	40.0	14.1	57.3 18.0	54.5	5 3.0	54.0	53.5	56.0	54.8
MEDIAN	65.O	39.0	52.0	40.0			30.4		21.5	20.3	15.3	16.7
MAXIMUM	65.0	39.0	65.0		66 .0	56.Q	54.5	53 .0	53 .0	52 .5	54.5	54.5
MINIMUM	65.O	39.0		40.0	76.0	76.0	76 . O	5 3 0	76 .0	76 . 0	76.0	76.0
MAXIMUM	65 O		39 O	40.0	5 6.0	40.0	33 .0	5 3 0	33.0	33.0	39.0	33.0
VALID N		39.0	65.0	0 0	76.O	76.0	76 .0	53 .0	76.0	76.0	76.0	76.0
VALID N	1	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	4	4	8
ACADEMIC												_
SELF - CONCEPT PERFORMANCE BASED												
MEAN	61.0	22.0	41.5	32.0	50 5	5 0 0			_			
STANDARD DEVIATION	31.3	22.0	27.6	32.0	63 5	53.0	48.0	59 .0	51.7	47.3	52 .0	49.6
MEDIAN	61.0	22.0		00.0	9.2	19.3	11.3		10.2	13.5	20.8	16.4
MAXINUM	61.0		41.5	32.0	63.5	57.0	48.0	59 .0	56 .0	48.0	58 0	56 5
MINIMUM		22.0	61.0	32.0	70.Q	70.Q	56 . Q	59 .0	59.0	61.Q	70.0	70.0
MAXIMUM	61.0	22.0	22.0	32.0	5 7.0	32.0	40.0	59 0	40.0	32.0	22.0	22.0
VALID N	61.0	22.0	61.0	32 .0	70.0	70.0	56 .0	59 .0	59.0	61.0	70.0	70.0
ANCID M	1	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	4	4	8
CADEMIC SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE BASED												_
MEAN	87 O	41.0	64.0	20.0		-						
STANDARD DEVIATION	3, 0	71.0	64.0	32.0	59 5	50.3	68 . 5	41.0	59 3	64 0	50.3	57.1
MEDIAN	87.0	44.0	32.5		6.4	16 5	34.6		29.2	30.5	11.3	22.5
MAXIMUM		41.0	64.0	32 0	59.5	5 5.0	68 .5	41.0	44.0	65.5	48.0	49.5
MINIMUM	87 O	41.0	87.0	32.0	64.0	84.0	93.0	41.0	93.0	93.0	64.0	93.0
MAXIMUM	87.Q	41.0	41.0	32.0	5 5.0	32.Q	44.Q	41.0	41.0	32.0	41.0	32.0
	87 O	41.0	87.O	32 0	64.0	64.0	93.0	41.0	93.0	93.0	64.0	93.0
VALID N	1	1	2	1	2	3	2		3	4	4	83.U 8

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN SPANISH AMERICAN

					GRADE						TOTAL	*****
		6			7			8		GEN	DER	TOTAL
	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	GEN	DER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	•
	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER												
PERFORMANCE												
MEAN	77.0	84.0	70.5	41.0	68.5	59.3	67 K					
STANDARD DEVIATION	• • • •		9.2	41.0	34.6		57.5	63 .0	59.3	58.3	86 .0	82 .1
MEDIAH	77.0	64.0	70.5	41.0		29.2	48.0		32.7	30.3	20.2	24.2
MINIMUM	77.0	64.0	64.0		68.5	44.0	57 . 5	63.0	63 .0	59 .0	6 3.5	63.5
MAXIMUM	77.0	64.0		41.0	44.0	41.0	25 .0	63 .0	25 .0	25 .0	44.0	25.0
VALID N	77.0	67.0	77.0	41.0	93.0	93 .0	9 0.0	6 3.0	9 0.0	90.0	93.0	93.0
VACID N	1	ı	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	4	4	8
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY												
MEAN	77.0	67.0	72.0	35.0	67 C	50 0						
STANDARD DEVIATION	77.0	U7. U	7.1	35.0	57.5	50.0	54.5	51.0	5 3.3	55 .3	5 8.3	56.8
MEDIAN	77 .0	67.0		25 0	14.8	16.7	46.0		32.6	31.6	10.8	21.9
MINIMUM		67.0	72.0	35.0	57 . 5	47.0	54 . 5	51.0	51.0	56 . O	59 .0	59.0
MAXIMUM	77.0	67.0	67.0	35.0	47.0	3 5 .0	22.0	51.0	22 0	22.0	47.0	22.0
	77.0	67.0	77.0	3 5 . O	68.0	88 . O	87.Q	51.0	87.0	87.0	68.0	87.0
VALID N	1	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	4	4	8



TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN ASIAN

					GRADE						TOTAL	
		6			7			8			·	
	GEN	NOER	TOTAL	GEN	4DER	TOTAL					DER	TOTA
	FEMALE	MALE	-	FEMALE	MALE	-		IDER	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	
OTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING					MALE		FEMALE	MALE				
MEAN	55.4	46.1	51.3	00.0								
STANDARD DEVIATION	19.5	25.1	22.2	67.9	5 7.3	63.B	53.0	83.0	59.7	50 B		
MEDIAN	62.0	48.0		22.8	9.7	19.2		16.1	13.7	59.6	53.0	56 . 6
MAXIMUM	80.0	80.0	54.0	76.0	58 .0	66 .0	53.0	5 0.0	53.7 53.0	20.4	20.B	20.B
MINIMLM	15.0		90.0	99.Q	72.0	99.0	53.0	90.0		62 .0	53.Q	55.O
MAXIMUM	80. O	1.0	1.0	24.0	45.0	24.0	53.0	45.0	90.0	99.0	9 0.0	99.0
VALID N		90.0	90.0	99.Q	72.0	99.0	53.0		45.0	15.0	1.0	1.0
	17	13	30	11	7	18	33.0	90.0	90 .0	99.O	90. O	99.0
CADEMIC					·	10	3	6	8	31	26	57
SELF-CONCEPT- PERFORMANCE												J,
BASED												
MEAN												
STANDARD DEVIATION	45.7	52.6	48.7	39.5	45.1	44 5						
MEDIAN	25.6	29.5	27.1	17.5	13.2	41.7	32.0	50.5	44.3	42.2	50.1	45 0
MAXIMUM	44.0	44.0	44.0	37 0		15.8	14.7	14.3	16.4	22.0	22.6	45.8
MINIMUM	8 3.Q	99.0	99.0	85. O	51.0	37.0	40.0	45.0	41.0	40.0		22.5
	1.0	15.0	1.0	17 0	60.0	85.O	41.0	78.0	78.0	85.0	45.0	41.0
MAXIMUM	83.0	99.0	89.0		23.Q	17.0	15.0	40.0	15.0	1.0	99.0	99.Q
VALID N	17	13	30	85.0	60.0	85.O	41.0	78.0	78.0	•	15.0	1.0
	• •	13	30	11	7	18	3	6	78.0	85.0	99.0	99.0
ADEMIC							_	•	3	31	26	57
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE BASED												
MEAN												
STANDARD DEVIATION	58 5	57.2	57.9	53.3	52 .3	E0 A						
MEDIAN	21.0	27.6	23.6	21 3	11.7	52.9	46.0	56.7	53.1	55.4	55.8	EE 6
MAVTANIA	62 0	82.0	62.0	45.0	51.0	17 8	4 6	12.5		20.1	20.8	55.6
MINIMUM	83 Q	29.0	99.0	99.0		46.0	47.Q	57.5		52.0		20.2
MAXIMUM MITGINUM	17.0	17.0	17.0	34.0	67.0	88 O	50.0	77.0		99.0	59.0	55.0
	83.0	99.0	99.0		34.0	34.0	41.0	41.0		17.0	99.0	99.0
VALID N	17	13	30		67.0	9 9.0		77.0			17.0	17.0
		, ,	30	11	7	18	3	6	9	31	99.0	99.0

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN ASIAN

	GRADE								TOTAL			
	6			7			8		GENDER		TOTAL	
	GENDER		TOTAL	GENDER		TOTAL	GENDER		TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE	
	FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE		FEMALE	MALE				
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER												
PERFORMANCE												
MEAN	58.5	5 0.3	55.Q	52.1	58.7	54.7	53.0	67.2	82.4	55.7	56.5	PO 1
STANDARD DEVIATION	20.2	22.1	21.1	23.5	7.1	18.8	13.2	17.3	16.7	20.6		56.1
MEDIAN	50.0	54.0	54.0	48.0	58.0	55.0	58.0	61.0	59.0		18.8	19.6
MINIMUM	32.0	1.0	1.0	7.0	52.0	7.0				50.C	56.0	54.0
MAXIMUM	90.0	77.0	90.0	90.0	69.0		38.0	54.0	38.0	7.0	1.0	1.0
VALID N	17	13	30	11	UB. U	90.0	63.0	99.0	99.O	90.0	99 .0	99.O
	• •			11	,	18	3	6	9	31	26	57
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY												
MEAN	63.7	60.0	62.1	52.9	E7 4							
STANDARD DEVIATION	22.1	26.3			57.4	54.7	59 .7	62 . 5	61.6	5 9 . 5	59 . 9	59 . 7
MEDIAN			23.6	24.6	14.4	20.8	11.2	29.9	24.3	22 .3	23.7	22.7
	67.0	67.0	67.0	54.0	54 .0	54 .0	64 .0	66 · O	64.0	63.O	63.5	63.0
MINIMUM	22.0	13.0	13.0	10.0	41.0	10.0	47.0	7.0	7.0	10.0	7.0	7.0
MAXIMUM	99.0	99.0	99.0	93.0	83.0	93.0	68.Q	93.0	93.0	99.0	99.0	89.0
VALID N	17	13	30	11	7	18	3	6	9	31	26	5 7

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN AMERICAN INDIAN'

·		GF	TOTAL			
	6		8		GENDER	TOTAL
	GENDER	TOTAL	GENDER	TOTAL	MALE	
	MALE		MALE			
MOTIVATION FOR SCHOOLING						
MEAN	49.0	48.0	17.0	17.0	22.0	00.0
STANDARD DEVIATION	45.0	45.0	17.0	17.0	33.0	33.0
MEDIAN	49.0	49.0	17.0	47.0	22.6	22.6
MAXIMUM	49.0	49.0	17.0	•	33.0	33.0
MINIMUM	49.0	49.0			49.0	49.0
MAXIMUM	49.0	-	17.0	17.0		17.0
VALID N	45 .0	49.0 1	17.0 1	17.0 1	49.0 2	49.0
ACADEMIC				-	-	_
SELF-CONCEPTPERFORMANCE						
BASED						
MEAN	44.0					
STANDARD DEVIATION	44.0	44.0	45.0	45.0	44.5	44.5
MEDIAN					. 7	. 7
MAXIMIM	44.0	44.0	45.0	45.0	44.5	44.5
***************************************	44.0	44.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0
MINIMUM	44.0	44.0	45.0	45.0	44.0	44.0
MAXIMUM	44.0	44.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0
VALID N	1	1	1	1	2	2
ACADEMIC						
SELF-CONCEPTREFERENCE						
BASED						
MEAN	62.0	62.0	5 3.0	5 2.0	co c	
STANDARD DEVIATION	52 . 0	G1 . G	33.0	53.0	57.5	57.5
MEDIAN	62.0	62.0	50 A		6.4	6.4
MAXIMUM	62.0	62.0	53 0	53 0	57.5	57.5
MINIMUM	62.0			53 .0	62.0	62.p
MAXIMUM	62 0	62.0		53.0	53.0	53 .0
VALID N	•	62.0	53.0	53.0	62.0	62.0
TORRE IN	1	1	1	1	2	2

TABLE 7

STUDENT ATTITUDE MEASURE (SAM) NCE SCORES FOR SAM SCALES BY RACE AND GENDER MAY 1989

RACE OR ETHNIC ORIGIN AMERICAN INDIAN'

		TOTAL				
		6	8		GENDER	TOTAL
	GENDER	TOTAL	GENDER	TOTAL	MALE	
	MALE		MALE			
SENSE OF CONTROL OVER PERFORMANCE						
MEAN	87.0	87.0	41.0	44.0		
STANDARD DEVIATION	67.5	87.0	41.0	41.0	84.0	64.0
MEDIAN	87.0	87.0	41.0	44.0	32.5	32.5
MINIMUM	87.0	87.0 87.0	41.0	41.0	64.0	64.0
MAXIMUM	87.0		41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0
VALID N	07.0	87.0	41.0	41.0	87.0	87.0
V/100 = 14	•	1	1	1	2	2
INSTRUCTIONAL MASTERY						
MEAN	49.0	49.0	44.0	44.0	40 5	45 -
STANDARD DEVIATION	40.0	75.0	44.0	44.0	46.5	48.5
MEDIAN	49.0	49.0	44.0	44.0	3.5	3.5
MINIMUM	49.0	49.0	44.0	44.0	48.5	46.5
MAXIMUM	49.0	49.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0
VALID N	40.0	43 .0	44.0	44.0	49 .0_	48.0
	•	1	1	1	2	2

As a group CRP students expressed average and above attitudes toward academic learning and school behavior on five dimensions of the SAM. High average scores in Academic Self-concept-Reference Based indicated student awareness of positive feedback from others about their school performance and ability to succeed academically. High average scores in Sense of Control Over Performance show students feel responsibility for school outcomes, self reliance and independence in the school setting. High scores in Instructional Mastery indicated student awareness of skills needed to focus on their school work, organize their school life and succeed in school.

Differences in average NCE scale scores noted for gender, ethnic and socioeconomic groups suggest attitudinal differences to be considered in selecting students and in planning program activities to optimize their academic potential.

A locally constructed student survey provided information about attitudes towards college as an educational or career goal. Responses to the eight items of the survey are summarized in Table 8. Generally students indicated positive attitudes toward post high school education. A large majority, 95.1%, agreed that "the more education I have the more career choices I have". A large majority, as well, 72.7% believed they can go to college; and 87.1% plan to go to college. "There are many ways to get financial help for college" received 85.2% agreement. "If you are poor you can't go to college" received 76.5% disagreement. However, "I can always get a sports scholarship if I don't get good grades" received 25.3% agreement. Less than half of the respondents, 44.5% disagreed suggesting unrealistic expectations about attaining sports scholarships.

Responses to most items on the locally constructed survey indicated a majority of CRP students have positive attitudes regarding college as an educational or career goal. Percents of responses in agreement with one item suggest that students hold unrealistic expectations about attaining a sports scholarships.

Four items from a locally constructed survey for professional staff provided information about the opinions of middle school staffs regarding the selection of students for CRP. Responses from administrators and teachers are summarized in Table 9. Of staffs responding, 58.1% reported being involved in student selection; 67.8% thought "students I recommended were given fair consideration" and 72.4% felt the "coordinator gave all students fair consideration for CRP". Less than half of the responding staffs, 44.9%, thought the "coordinator used my recommendation to remove a student from the program".

Responses of middle school teachers and administrators are positive about their involvement in the student selection process. However, less than half of the respondents thought that CRP coordinators considered staff recommendations to remove students from the program.

Four items from the locally constructed survey provided information about the opinions of middle school staffs regarding CRP service to students. Responses to two items are summarized in Table 9. Of staffs responding, 83.5% reported being "frequently informed of activities for CRP". Over half the respondents, 55.7% thought they "would feel more involved if (they) could



TABLE 8
STUDENT SURVEY RESULTS BY GRADE
MAY 1989

		TOTAL		
***************************************			^	
THE MORE EDUCATION I HAVE THE MORE CAREER CHOICES I HAVE				
AGREE	743 98.0%	512	502	1757
	98.0%	94.6%	94.4%	95.1%
UNDECIDED	10	20 3.7% 3	22	52
	1.3%	3.7%	4.1%	2 . 8%
DISAGREE	8	3	3	14
	1.0%	3 . 6% 8	.6%	. 8%
DONT KNOW	13			24
	1.7%	1 . 1%	. 9%	1.3%
TOTAL	774	541	532	1847
	41.9%	29.3%	28.8%	100.0%
CHILDREN IN LOW INCOME FAMILIES CAN AFFORD COLLEGE				
AGREE	179	156	480	843
	23 28	30.9%	21 69	213 27 08
UNDECIDED	216	122	130	468
	28 0%	22.7%	24 59	700 25 AM
DISAGREE	198	140	146	40.46
	25 64	140 26 . 1%	27 EK	484 26.3%
DONT KNOW	179	100	47.0A	258
	23 2%	20.34	40 49	3/8
	20.2%	109 20.3%	10.4%	20.4%
TOTAL				
	42 0%	537 29.2%	20 44	100.0%
	46.08	20.48	40 . WA	100.0%
IF YOU ARE POOR YOU CAN'T GO TO COLLEGE				
AGREE	51	25	31	107
INIDECTORO	6.6%	4.6%	5.8%	5.8%
UNDECIDED	91	45	50	188
DISAGREE	11.8%	8.3%	9 . 4%	10.1%
DISAGREE	570	414	418	1402
DONT KNOW	74.2%	414 76.7%	78.9%	76 . 3%
DOM! KNOW	56	56	21	147
	7 . 3%	10.4%	5 . 8%	7.8%
TOTAL	768	540	530	1838
	41.8%	29.4%	530 28 . 8%	100.0%
		•	·-	

TABLE 8
STUDENT SURVEY RESULTS BY GRADE
MAY 1989

		GRADE	-	TOTAL
	8	7	•	
IT'S OK TO START COLLEGE BEFORE YOU HAVE DECIDED ON A CAREER	~*			
AGREE	469	344	324	1127
	80.8%	63.9%	81 1%	81 74
UNDECIDED	112	85	91.15	91.73
M-A	14.5%	85 15.8%	15 54	15 10
DISAGREE	125	73 13.6% 38 6.7%	90	200
	16 . 1%	13.6%	17 04	15 64
DONT KNOW	68	38	34	13.08
	8.8%	6.7%	AAY	7 89
TOTAL	774	538	530	1843
	42.0%	538 29 . 2%	28 8¥	100 0
THERE ARE MANY WAYS TO GET FINANCIAL HELP FOR COLLEGE				
AGREE	644	452	483	1580
	83.5%	462 85.6% 34 6.3% 9 1.7%	87 4%	85 24
UNDECIDED	61	34	35	120
D1040D	7.9%	6.3%	6.8%	7 1%
DISAGREE	10	8	12	31
BARANT LANGUA	1.3%	1.7%	2 3%	1 7%
DONT KNOW	56	35	20	111
	7.3%	35 6.5%	3 84	A OX
WAW A .				
TOTAL	771	540	530	1841
	41.9%	540 28 3%	28 A¥	100 0%
* DP: ##M #			20.02	100.04
I BELIEVE I CAN GO TO COLLEGE				
AGREE	715	505	486	1706
MIDECTORS	92.9%	93.7%	92.0%	92 9%
UNDECIDED	34	19	20	. 71
14 ft 4 a b m. r	4.2%	3.5% 8	3.8%	3 04
DI\$AGREE	10	8	8	26
DONT KNOW	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%
AUN! KNUW	13	7	14	34
	1.7%	1.3%	2.7%	1.9%
TOTAL	770	520	£20	4005
	41 84	539 29 . 3%	54 5	1837
	~ I . # A	47 . JA	25.7%	100 . Q%

TABLE 8
STUDENT SURVEY RESULTS BY GRADE
MAY 1989

		GRADE		TOTAL
	6	7	8	
I CAN ALWAYS GET A SPORTS SCHOLARSHIP IF I DON'T GET GOOD GRADES				
AGREE	179	147	139	465
	23.4%	27.2%		
UNDECIDED	140	80	116	346
Diagona	18.3%	16.7%	21.8%	
DISAGREE	360	232	225	817
FUNDAME (CAMPILL)	47.0%	43.0%		44.5%
DONT KNOW	87	71	51	208
	11.4%	13.1%	9.6%	
TOTAL	766	540	531	1837
	41.7%			
I PLAN TO GO TO COLLEGE				
AGREE	682	472	454	1608
	88.2%	87.2%	85.3%	87.1%
UNDECIDED	69	48	51	168
DI 0 400 C =	8.9%	8.9%	9.6%	9.1%
DISAGREE	11	9	12	32
NAME WANT	1 . 4%	1.7%	2.3%	1.7%
DONT KNOW	11	12	15	38
	1.4%	2.2%	2.8%	2.1%
TOTAL	773	541	532	1846
	41.9%	29.3%	28.8%	100.0%

TABLE 9

PROFESSIONAL STAFF SURVEY RESULTS BY POSITION MARCH 1989

		STAFF AS	SIGNMENT		TOTAL
	ACADEMIC TEACHER	UNIFIED ARTS TEACHER	ADMINISTRATOR	OTHER	
I'VE BEEN FREQUENTLY INFORMED OF CRP ACTIVITIES IN MY BUILDING					**************************************
AGREE	105	21	23	25	174
INDERES DE DE CONTRA DE CO	81.4%	77.8%	100.0%	78 . 1%	82.5%
INDECIDED	2	2		1	5
DISAGREE	1.6%	7.4%		3.1%	2.4%
ISAGREE	20	4		6	30
DN'T KNOW	15.5%	14.8%		18.8%	14.2%
DIA I MADA	2 1.5%				2
	1.0%				. 9%
OTAL	129	27	23	32	244
•	61.1%	12.8%	10.9%	32 15.2%	211
	- · · · · · ·	1 4 . 0 /0	10.3A	13.Zh	100.0%
WAS INVOLVED IN SELECTING STUDENTS FOR CRP					
GREE	91	4	16	7	118
	70.5%	14.8%	72.7%	24.1%	57.0%
NDECIDED	6	1	1		8
	4.7%	3.7%	4.5%		3 9%
ISAGREE	29	21	3	21	74
DALLW	22.5%	77.8%	13.6%	72.4%	35.7%
ON'T KNOW	3	1	2	1	7
	2 . 3%	3.7%	9.1%	3.4%	3.4%
DTAL	129	27	22	29	227
	62.3%	13.0%	10.6%	14.0%	207 100 . 0%
NY STUDENT I RECOMMENDED FOR CRP WAS GIVEN FAIR CONSIDERATION	· - · · -				100.04
GREE	95	6	16	12	129
	76 0%	27.3%	80.0%	44.4%	66 5%
NDECIDED	10	4	2	7	23
reacher.	8.0%	18.2%	10 . Q%	25.9%	11.9%
ISAGREE	8	4		4	18
AND TO MANON	6 4%	18.2%		14 8%	8 . 2%
DN'T KNOW	12	8	2	4	26
	9 . 6%	36 . 4%	10 0%	14 8%	13.4%
OTAL	125	00	9-	07	
μ··· ·	64 4%	22 11.3%	20	27	194
	UT 70	11.3%	10 3%	13 9%	100 0%

50

TABLE 9

PROFESSIONAL STAFF SURVEY RESULTS BY POSITION MARCH 1989

		STAFF AS	SIGNMENT		TOTAL
	ACADEMIC TEACHER	UNIFIED ARTS TEACHER	ADMINISTRATOR	OTHER	
FELT COORDINATOR GAVE ALL STUDENTS FAIR CONSIDERATION FOR CRP					
AGREE	94	14	19	40	
AIDPOTORO	74.0%	53.8%	90.5%	19 61.3%	146
INDECIDED	7	1	1	4	71.2%
ISAGREE	5.5%	3.8%	4.8%	12.9%	13 6.3%
1 SAUREE	10	4		12.3%	6.3% 15
ON'T KNOW	7.9%	15.4%		3.2%	7.3%
ON I KNOW	16	7	1	7	31
	12.6%	26.9%	4 . 8%	22 . 6%	15.1%
OTAL	127	00			
	62.0%	26	21	31	205
	94.06	12.7%	10.2%	15.1%	100 .
OORDINATOR FOLLOWED MY RECOMENDATION TO REMOVE A PUPIL FROM CRP					
GREE	55	1	15		
	51 4%	4 . 8%	75 . 0%	47 49	75
NDECIDED	21	6	75.0% 3	17 . 4%	43.9%
	19.6%	28.6%	15.0%	9 39 . 1%	39
ISAGREE	8	2	10.02	38.1A 4	22.8%
DALCT IZABATI	5.6%	9 5%		17.4%	12
DN'T KNOW	25	12	2	6	7 0%
	23.4%	57 . 1%	10.0%	26 . 1%	45
DTAL				20.17	26 . 3%
21AL	107	21	20	23	171
	62.8%	12.3%	11.7%	13.5%	100.0%
WOULD FELL MORE INVOLVED IF I COULD GO ON A				•	.55.02
GREE	77	8	11	14	
UPFAIREA	63.1%	33.3%	52 . 4%	51.9%	110
NDECIDED	19	8	4	71.8% 6	56.7%
SAGREE	15 6%	25.0%	19 . 0%	22 2%	35
SAURLE	22	7	5	5	18 0% 39
IN'T KNOW	18 0%	29.2%	23.8%	18 5%	38 20.1%
114 1 1/140M	4	3	1	2	20.1% 10
	3 . 3%	12.5%	4.8%	7 4%	5.2%
)TAL	122	0.4	0.4		- · - · ·
	62.9%	24 12 4	21	27	194
		12.4%	10.8%	13.9%	100 O%

TABLE 10

PARENT SURVEY RESULTS APRIL 1989

		QUAL	RANT	******	TOTAL
	NORTHEAST	NORTHWEST	SOUTHEAST	SOUTHWEST	
I THINK GOING TO COLLEGE WOULD BE GOOD FOR MY CHILD					
AGREE	129	148	329	159 97 . 5%	765
UNDECIDED		98.0%	97.6%	97.5%	97.6%
OMOECIDED	2	1	7	4 2.5%	14
DISAGREE	1.5%	. 7%	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%
3NGUEE	1	2	1		4
DON'T KNOW	. 8% 1	1.3%	. 3%		. 5%
	. 8%				1
	. 0 /4				. 1%
TOTAL	133	151	337	460	
	17.0%	19 3%	43.0%	163 20.8%	784
	***************************************	10.5%	43.0 6	20.8%	100.0%
I WILL ENCOURAGE MY CHILD TO TAKE					
COLLEGE PREP COURSES					
AGREE	131	145	328	157	781
UNDECTOES	98.5%	96.7%	97.6%	96 3 ¹ 2	97 3%
UNDECIDED	1	4	3	5	13
DISAGREE	. 8%	2 7%	3 .9% 3	3.1%	1.7%
DISAUREE	1	1			5
DON'T KNOW	. 8%	. 7%	. 9%		. 6%
BOIL I KINDA			2	1	3
			. 6%	. 6%	. 4%
TOTAL	133	460	222		
		100	336	163 20.8%	782
	11.0%	19.26	43.0%	20.8%	100.0%
I THINK ITS POSSIBLE FOR MY CHILD TO GO TO COLLEGE					
AGREE	123	138	300	142	704
	93.2%	92.0%	88.8%	87 . 7%	89.9%
UNDECIDED	4	6	20	12	42
DISAGREE	3.0%	4.0%	5.9%	7.4%	5.4%
DI SAGKEE	2	1	4		7
DCH'T KNOW			1 . 2%		. 9%
DOMESTIC MANUAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	3	5	14	8	30
	2 3%	3 3%	4 . 1%	8 4.9%	3.8%
TOTAL	132	450	0.00		
	16.9%	150 19 2%	338	163	783
	10.3%	19 2%	43.2%	20.8%	100 . 0%



TABLE 10
PARENT SURVEY RESULTS
APRIL 1989

		QUAL	PRANT		TOTAL
	NORTHEAST	NORTHWEST	SOUTHEAST	SOUTHWEST	
I WILL HELP MY CHILD GO TO COLLEGE IN ANY WAY I CAN					
AGREE	132	147	324	154 94 . 5%	757
	99.2%	97 . 4%	96. 1%	94.5%	
UNDECIDED		2	8	7	17
Disagner		1 . 3%	2.4%	4.3%	2.2%
DISAGREE	1	•			5
DON'T KNOW	. 8%	. 7%	9% 2		. 6%
LAUR T KNUW		1	2	2	5
		. 7%	. 6%	1.2%	. 6%
TOTAL	122	454	337	400	
	17.09	10 29	337	163 20.8%	784
	17.0%	19.34	43.U%	20.8%	100.0%
A COLLEGE EDUCATION IS IMPORTANT FOR MY CHILD					
AGREE	129	147	326	158	780
	97.0%	97.4%	96 4%	158 96 . 9%	96 99
UNDECIDED	2	2	9	5	18
	1 . 5%	1 . 3%	2.7%	3.1%	2.3%
DISAGREE	1	2	2		5
DOLL CO. ACADOM.		1.3%	. 6%		. 6%
DON'T KNOW	1		1		2
	. 8%		. 3%		. 3%
TOTÁL	133	45.4			_
		151	338 43 . 1%	163	785
	10.0%	18.2%	43.1%	20.8%	100.Q%
CHILDREN IN LOW INCOME FAMILIES CANT AFFORD COLLEGE					
AGREE	45	50	126	55	276
	34 . 4%	33.6%	37.6%	33.7%	35 5%
UNDECIDED	12	10	44	10	85
	9.2%	6.7%	44 13.1%	11.7%	10.9%
DISAGREE	67	0.4	4 4 4	78	372
DON'T KNOW	51 . 1%	56.4% 5	42.7%	47.9% 11	47.8%
JUN I KNUW	7	5	22	11	45
	5.3%	3.4%	6.6%	6 . 7%	5.8%
FOTAL	131	140	225	163	770
	15.8%	19 24	335 43.1%	163 21.0%	778
	u	14.48	73.16	4 1 . U%	100.0%



TABLE 10

PARENT SURVEY RESULTS APRIL 1989

		QUAL	PRANT		TOTAL
	NORTHEAST	NORTHWEST	SOUTHEAST	SOUTHWEST	
CRP HELPED MY CHILD KNOW MORE ABOUT WHAT TO DO TO GO TO COLLEGE					
STRONGLY AGREE	113	133	280	127	853
ACREE	85.0%	88.1%	83.3%	78.4%	83.5%
AGREE	12	12	40	21	85
INDECTOR	9.0%	7.9%	11.9%	13.0%	10.9%
UNDECIDED	5	4	7	6	22
DIFACRE	3.8%	2.6%	2.1%	3.7%	2.8%
DISAGREE	3	2	8	8	22
	2 . 3%	1 . 3%	2.7%	127 78.4% 21 13.0% 6 3.7% 8 4.8%	2.8%
TOTAL	133	151	336	162	782
	17.0%	19.3%	43.0%	162 20.7%	100.0%
I WOULD LIKE MY CHILD TO CONTINUE IN CRP					
STRONGLY AGREE	128	147	334	153 94 · 4% 8 4 · 9%	762
40D==	98.2%	98.0%	98.8%	94.4%	97 34
AGREE	3	1	4	A	16
	2.3%	. 7%	1.2%	4 9%	2 04
UNDECIDED		1		1	3
D76.400##	. 8%	1 . 7%		. 6%	. 4%
DISAGREF	1	1			2
	. 8%	. 7%			. 3%
TOTAL	133	150	338	162	702
	17.0%	19.2%	43.2%	162 20 . 7%	100.0%
THERE ARE MANY WAYS TO GET FINANCIAL HELP FOR COLLEGE					
STRONGLY AGREE	102	400			
	77 99	1 4 O	252	118	598
AGREE	17.26	84.U%	74.8%	73.7%	76 . 9%
	7 64	- 9 - 0	29	12	_60
UNDECIDED	7.50%	G. 0%	8.0%	7.5%	7.7%
	1 5%	4 OV	13	4 49	28
DISAGREE	17	4.04	J. 97,	4.4%	3.6%
	13.0%	6.0%	12.8%	118 73.7% 12 7.5% 7 4.4% 23 14.4%	92 11.8%
TOTAL	131	150	225	400	
	18 8%	100	337 43 m²	160 20 . 6 %	778
		10.3%	43.3%	20.6%	100 . 0%



TABLE 10

PARENT SURVEY RESULTS APRIL 1989

	_	QUAD	RANT		TOTAL
	NORTHEAST	NORTHWEST	SOUTHEAST	SOUTHWEST	
THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING IVE COMPLETED	** *********				
GRADE SCHOOL		13	6	5	24
SOME HIGH SCHOOL	8	25	:.9% 33		3.3% 106
HIGH SCHOOL GRAD	6.6% 33	17.4% 44	10.6%	40 26.7%	14.6%
SOME COLLEGE/TECH	27.3%	30.6%	34.3%	53 35.3% 41	237 32.6%
	50 41.3%	28 19.4%	105 33 . 7%	41 27.3%	224
COLLEGE GRAD	17	21	41		30.8% 90
POST GRAD STUDY	14.0% 13	14 6% 13	20	11 7.3%	12 . 4% 46
	10.7%	9.0%	6 . 4%		6.3%
TOTAL	121 16.6%	144 19.8%	312 42.9%	· •	727 100.0%
MY RELATIONSHP TO CHILD					
PARENT	128	142	316	157	743
FOSTER PARENT	96.2%	95.3% 1	94.9% 1	96.9%	95.6% 2
GUARDI AN	1	. 7% 2			. 3%
STEPPARENT	. 3%	1.3%	3	4	10 1.3%
SILFFARENI	2 1.5%	2 1.3%	6	1	11
DTHER	2	2	7	. 6%	1 . 4% 11
	1.5%	1.3%	2 1%		1 . 4%
TOTAL		149 -19 . 2%		162 20 . 8%	777 100.0%



accompany students on a few trips". Two open ended items received a variety of responses about the best features of CRP and suggestions for improving the program. Responses to open ended items are available upon request.

A locally constructed parent survey provided information about the attitudes of parents regarding their child's participation in CRP. Some information about families of students was requested on the parent survey, as well. In response to a question about the highest level of schooling completed, 17.9% of parents reported grade school or some high school, 32.6% reported graduating from high school and 49.5% reported education beyond high school. In response to a question about relationship to the student 95.6% reported themselves to be parent, 0.3% reported foster parent, 1.3% reported guardian, 1.4% reported step parent, and another 1.4% reported other.

Nine items on the survey questioned parents about their child's participation in the program. Responses to these items are summarized in Table 10. Parents expressed supportive attitudes toward a college education for their child. High percents of parents, 90% and more, agreed with five items about college for their children:

- O I think going to college would be good for my child
- o I will encourage my child to take college preparatory courses
- I think its possible for my child to go to college
- O I will help my child get to college in any way I can
- A college education is important to my child

Parents were positive as well about their child's participation in the program. A large majority, 83.5%, thought the program helped their child know more about what to do to go to college: 97.3% wanted their children to continue in the program.

Summary: Descriptive data compiled about students in the College Readiness Program suggests their potential for success in college. As a group they demonstrated academic potential in grade point averages that were somewhat higher then the averages of other middle school students in required and college preparatory courses. As a group they demonstrated average and above attitudes toward academic learning and school behavior in five areas of expression on the Student Attitude Measure. Some differences were noted in academic performance and attitude by grade levels, gender, ethnic origin and socioeconomic status. These differences suggest careful consideration in selecting students for program participation and in planning program activities and follow-up for individual students.

Middle school staffs involved as classroom teachers with CRP students were positive about their participation in recommending students for the program. They were positive, also, about the services offered to CRP students and the manner in which coordinators informed them of program schedules and activities.

Parents demonstrated positive attitudes toward the idea of a college education for their child and their child's continued participation in CRP. Close to half of the parents reported their own level of education to be beyond high school.



Appendix



C. lumbus Public Schools College Readiness Program

STUDENT SURVEY

Grade leve	1								
Directions	: T)	nia ve	ar vou	nartioir	nated in	tho	Callana	n . 11	n

<u>Directions</u>: This year you participated in the College Readiness Program at your school. This survey is to find out what you think about <u>llege</u>. Read each statement carefully. Circle the answer that tells best how you agree with the statement. Use the following code for your answers.

SA = Strongly Agree

School _____

- A = Agree
- U Undecided
- D = Disagree
- SD = Strongly Disagree
- DK = Don't Know

1.	The more education I have the more career choices I have.	SA	A	U	D	SD	אמ
2.	Children in low income families can afford college.					SD	
3.	If you are poor, you can't go to college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
4.	It is OK to start college before you have decided on a career.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
5.	There are many ways to get financial help for college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
6.	I believe I can go to college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
7.	I can always get a scholarship for sports if I don't get good grades.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
8.	I plan to go to college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK



Columbus Public Sc..ools College Readiness Program

PROFESSIONAL STAFF SURVEY

Indicate your position:

	Academic area teacher If yes, circle grade level	(s) ta	ught	6	7	8	
	Unified arts teacher Administrator Other						
Prog	ctions: This survey is intended to collect information a ram (CRP). Respond to the items based on your knowledg ol. Fold, staple, and place the completed survey in the	e of	CRP	pro	zram	at:	vour
	Indicate your agreement with the following statements using	the s	cale	:			
	SA = Strongly Agree A = Agree U = Undecided D = Disagree SD = Strongly Disagree DK = Don't Know						
1.	I've been informed frequently about the CRP						
	activities in my building (written and/or oral).	SA	A	Ŭ	D	SD	DK
2.	I was involved in selecting students for CRP.	SA	A	Ŭ	D	SD	DK
3.	Any student whom I recommended for CRP was given fair consideration by the coordinator.	SA	A	Ŭ	D	SD	DK
4.	I felt the coordinator gave all students fair consideration for CRP.	SA	A	Ū	D	SD	DK
5.	The coordinator followed my recommendation to remove a student from the program.	SA	A	U	D	ឧប	DK
6.	I would feel more involved in CRP if I could accompany my students on a few trips.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
7.	What do you think is the best feature of the CRP?						
							
8.	What suggestions for improving the CRP could you offer?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4. 24. 42 .		***************************************	
							



Columbus Public Schools College Readiness Program

PARENT SURVEY

<u>Directions</u>: This survey is to find out about the College Readiness Program in which your child has participated this year. Read each statement carefully. For items 1 through 9, <u>circle</u> the answer that tells best how you agree with the statement. Use the following code for your answers:

SA = Strongly Agree

A = Agree U = Undecided D = Disagree

	SD = Strongly Disagree DK = Don't Know						
1.	I think going to college would be good for my child.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
2.	I will encourage my child to take college preparatory courses.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
3.	I think it's possible for my child to go to college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
4.	I will help my child get to college in any way I can.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
5.	A college education is important for my child.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
6.	Children in low income families can't afford college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
7.	The College Readiness Program has helped my child know more about what to do to go to college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
8.	I would like my child to continue in the College Readiness Program.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
9.	There are many ways to get financial help for college.	SA	A	U	D	SD	DK
10.	Please indicate the highest level of schooling you have comple	ted:	(C	hec	k o	ne)	
	Grade school Some high school High school graduate Some college/technical school College graduate Post graduate study						
11.	My relationship to child is: (Check one)						
	Parent Foster Parent Guardian S Other	tepp	are	nt			

