ED 316 590 UD 026 582

TITLE Up from Dependency: A New National Public Assistance

DOCUMENT RESUME

Strategy. Supplement 1: The National Public

Assistance System. Volume 3: A Compendium of Public Assistance Programs; Major Federal Health, Service, Employment, and Education Programs, Other Federal and

State Programs.

INSTITUTION Interagency Low Income Opportunity Advisory Board,

Washington, DC.

PUB DATE Sep 87

NOTE 457p.; For other volumes in this series, see UD 026

579-584 and UD 027 261. For the first portion of this

compendium, see UD 026 582.

AVAILABLE FROM Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing

Office, Washington, DC 20402.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC19 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS American Indians; Community Programs; Employment

Programs; Federal Legislation; \*Federal Programs; Federal State Relationship; Government Role; Health Programs; \*Low Income; Migrant Programs; \*Poverty Programs; Program Descriptions; Public Policy;

\*Social Services; \*State Programs; Student Financial

Aid; Supplementary Education; Veterans; Welfare

Services

IDENTIFIERS Medicaid

#### ABSTRACT

This volume contains the second half of part 1 of a 4-part compendium of information about low income assistance programs plus the remaining 3 parts of the compendium. Part 1 contains detailed information about 59 major federally supported public assistance programs, each of which annually spent over \$20 million in fiscal year 1985, and applied a means-test to determine eligibility. Generally the means-test measured income, and sometimes other resources, against a maximum or ceiling. The 59 programs have been grouped into 7 categories, of which the first 3, Cash, Food, and Housing, are described in volume 2 of supplement 1 of this series, while the remaining 4, Health, Services, Employment, and Education make up the bulk of the present document. Descriptions of these major programs all follow essentia y the same pattern, beginning with a program summary, going on to over administration, objectives, eligibility, benefits and services, program linkage and overlap, and legislative environment, and ending with a series of data tables. Part 2 contains summary descriptions of 31 other federally supported grant programs, including some smaller means-tested programs and other grants programs that ordinarily do not require that recipients pass a means-test, but in some way target funds for areas or population groups regarded as low income. Part 3 contains summary descriptions of 11 loan programs targeted for low income people. Part 4 consists of an introduction and a table displaying data submitted voluntarily by 33 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, about low income assistance spending not associated with federal matching funds. (BJV)



# DEPENDENC

A New National Public Assistance Strategy

# SUPPLEMENT 1 THE NATIONAL PUBLIC ASSISTANCE SYSTEM

VOLUME 3: A COMPENDIUM OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

MAJOR FEDERAL HEALTH, SERVICE, EMPLOYMENT, AND DUCATION PROGRAMS, OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE PROGRAMS

> Executive Office of the President Interagency Low Income Opportunity Advisory Boa

September 1987.

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- C) Mirror changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this docc mont, do not necessarily represent office OERI position or policy.

5-5-88

## VOLUMES IN THE SERIES

Up From Dependency - A New National Public Assistance Strategy,
Report to the President by the Domestic Policy Council, Low
Income Opportunity Working Group (December 1:86)

Supplement 1: The National Public Assistance System

Volume 1: An Overview of the Current System (December 1986)

Volume 2: A Compendium of Public Assistance Programs - Major Federal Cash, Food, and Housing Programs (September 1987)

Volume 3: A Compendium of Public Assistance Programs - Major Federal Health, Service, Employment, and Education Programs; Other Federal Low Income Grant Programs; Federal Low Income Loan Programs; Expenditures By State and Local Low Income Assistance Programs (September 1987)

Supplement 2: Experiments in Reform (Planned)

Supplement 3: A Self-Help Catalog (December 1986)

Supplement 4: Research Studies and Bibliography (Planned)

Supplement 5: The Dilemma of Dependency (Planned)

Note: Other Supplements are being planned.





## SUPPLEMENT 1, VOLUME 3

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | Page                            |
|--|---------------------------------|
| PART ONE - 59 Major Public Assistance Programs (cont.)   |                                 |
| Health Programs  Medicaid  Veterans Health Care (non service-connected)  Indian Health Service  Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant.  Community Health Centers.  Migrant Health Centers.  | . 40<br>. 54<br>. 66            |
| Service Programs  Social Services Block Grant.  Head Start  Community Services Block Grant.  Legal Aid (Legal Services Corporation).  Family Planning Services.  Employment Programs   | 122<br>138<br>153<br>171        |
| Training Services for the Disadvantaged (JTPA II-A)  Summer Youth Employment Program (JTPA II-B)  Job Corps (JTPA IV)  Senior Community Service Employment Program  (Older Americans Act)  Work Incentive Program and Demonstrations                         | 200<br>213                      |
| (JTPA IV)  | 248<br>253                      |
| Education Programs Pell Grants Grants to Local Educational Agencies for Educationally Deprived Children. College Work-Study Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants. State Student Incentive Grants. Upward Bound Student Support Services. Talent Search. | 304<br>319<br>337<br>351<br>364 |
| PART TWO - Other Low Income Grant Programs Introduction  | 391                             |
| Community Development Block Grants Vocational Rehabilitation Services  |                                 |



| ·  | Page |
|--|------|
| PART TWO - Other Low Income Grant Programs (cont.)   | _    |
|  | 407  |
| Vocational Education Grants to States  | 407  |
| Child Support Enforcement  |      |
| Title III - Nutrition Program (Older Americans Act)  | 411  |
| Title III - Nutrition Flogram (Older Americans Act)  Title III - Supportive Services (Older Americans Act) | 412  |
| Migrant Education Program  |      |
| Public Works and Economic Development Facilities   |      |
|  | 415  |
| Consumer and Homemaker Education   |      |
| Higher Education Grants for Indians  | 418  |
| Cuban and Haitian Resettlement   | 419  |
| Health Careers Opportunity Program   |      |
| Adolescent Family Life Demonstration   | 421  |
| VISTA  | 422  |
| Indian Social Services   |      |
| Employment Services and Job Training   | 424  |
| Rental Housing Rehabilitation  | 425  |
| Rural Self-help Technical Assistance   | 426  |
| Rural Housing Grants   |      |
| Adult Programs (OAA, AB, APTD)   |      |
| Graduate and Professional Fellowships  |      |
| Title VI Grants to Tribes  |      |
| for Supportive & Nutritional Services  | 430  |
| Follow Through   |      |
| Exceptional Financial Need Scholarships  | 432  |
| Migrant High School Equivalency Program  |      |
| Black Lung Clinics   |      |
| College Assistance Migrant Program   |      |
| Federal Employment for Disadvantaged Youth - Part Time   |      |
| Federal Employment for Disadvantaged Youth - Summer  |      |
|  |      |
| DADE ENDED I OU INCOMO LOOP DECENDO  |      |
| PART THREE - Low Income Loan Programs  |      |
| Introduction   | 438  |
|  |      |
| Guaranteed Student Loans   |      |
| Very Low and Low Income Housing Loans  | 440  |
| Rural Rental Housing Loans   | 441  |
| Rural Housing Site Loans   | 442  |
| Mortgage Insurance - Homes for Low   |      |
| and Moderate Income Families   |      |
| Perkins Loans (formerly National Direct Student Loans)   |      |
| Loans for Small Businesses   |      |
| Community Facilities Loans   | 446  |
| Section 312 Rehabilitation Loan Program  |      |
| Farm Labor Housing Loans and Grants  |      |
| Rural Development Loan Fund  | 449  |
|  |      |
| PART FOUR - State Low Income Assistance Programs   |      |
| Introduction   | 450  |
|  |      |
| Table of State and Local Expenditures  | 451  |



## MEDICAID

## I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The federal government provides open-ended matching payments to states to cover part of the cost of medical services for low income persons who are aged, blind, or disabled and for families with dependent children. These grants to states for medical assistance, known as Medicaid, operate within broad federal guidelines. Each state designs and administers its own program with considerable latitude to set policies regarding eligibility, benefits, and payments to providers of services. Local agencies may be responsible for eligibility determinations and other casework duties, but their role varies considerably from state to state.

In FY 1985, Medicaid provided services for about 21.8 million persons at a total cost of about \$41.3 billion. The federal share of these costs (about 54 percent of the national total) is determined on a state-by-state basis using a variable matching formula. In general, the federal share is lower for states with higher per capita incomes and higher for states with lower per capita incomes. The statute establishes a minimum federal share of 50 percent and, with a few exceptions, a maximum of 83 percent.

States must provide Medicaid to all persons receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), to most individuals and couples receiving Supplemental Security Income (SSI), and to certain low income pregnant women and children. States may also provide Medicaid to the medically needy, that is, to persons who, but for income or resources that exceed AFDC or SSI limits would be eligible for cash aid, and who are unable to pay for incurred medical expenses. And legislation in recent years has permitted states to make other groups of women and children eligible for Medicaid under some limited circumstances.

Mandator services provided by all states include -- with some limitations -- inpatient and outpatient hospital care, laboratory and X-ray services, skilled nursing facility (SNF) care for persons over age 20, preventive care for persons under age 21, family planning services other than abortion, physicians services, rural health clinic services, home health services and nurse mid-wife services. States may also provide other benefits such as intermediate care facility (ICF) services and prescription drugs.

Payments for long-term care in institutions represent a large and increasing part of Medicaid. In FY 1985, for example, only 7 percent of all persons eligible for Medicaid received SNF or ICF services. Their care, however, accounted for 43.5 percent of total Medicaid expenditures. In contrast, children, their caretaker relatives, and pregnant women comprised 76 percent of Medicaid recipients in FY 1985, but their services accounted for only 27 percent of Medicaid costs.



1

#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Medicaid.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.714
  Budget account number(s): 75-0512-0-1-551.
- C. Current authorizing statute: 42 U.S.C. 1396-1396q, Subchapter XIX, Chapter 7.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: Chapter IV, Title 42: Chapter III, Title 45, and Chapter III, Title 20.
- E. Federal administering agency: Health Care Financing Administration, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; U.S. territories including Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Counties; cities: tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; private for-profit organizations; and individual providers of medical or remedial care, e.g., physicians and dentists.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

The federal government provides open-ended matching payments to states to cover part of the cost of medical services for low income children, their caretaker relatives, aged, blind and disabled persons. Federal matching rates to states for program expenditures (i.e. payments to providers of medical care to Medicaid eligibles) are different for each state and are determined by the following formula:

State share = The square of state per capita income, times .45

The square of national per capita income

The minimum federal share is 50 percent, the maximum is 83 percent, except that the match for family planning services is always 90 percent.

Administrative spending, also open-ended, is matched at 50 percent with the following exceptions: compensation and training of skilled medical personnel (75 percent), Medicaid management information systems (90 percent for development and 75 percent for operations), fraud control units (90 percent for the first three years and 75 percent thereafter), and administrative costs associated with family planning (90 percent).



I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

States administer Medicaid within broad federal guidelines. Beyond a core of federal program requirements, states have considerable latitude to set policy regarding eligibility, types and range of medical benefits, and payment levels for providers of services. States are responsible for determining recipient eligibility and paying the claims of participating Medicaid providers, as appropriate. States are also responsible for assuring that medical care provided to Medicaid recipients is quality care.

States may, at their option, contract with other entities to perform many of these functions. Local governments may be responsible for eligibility and other casework duties, but their role varies considerably from state to state. Similarly, the state may contract some or all of the processing of provider claims to a fiscal agent.

J. Audit or quality control.

There are two Medicaid systems for assuring administrative efficiency.

## Medicaid Eligibility Quality Control (MEQC)

MEQC programs measure states' error rates. States may spend no more than 3 percent of Medicaid program expenditures for cases mistakenly made eligible for Medicaid without a disallowance of federal funds above this 3 percent target. In FY 1985, the national error rate was 2.7 percent, representing approximately \$400 million in misspent payments.

States may appeal disallowance findings. Actual disallowances will not be taken until final decisions are made on those appeals. Estimated disallowance amounts for all states for FY 1981 through FY 1985, before appeals is \$127 million.

## System Performance Review (SPR)

Enhanced Medicaid funding is provided to states for the design, development, implementation, and operation of Medicaid Management Information Systems (MMIS). The SPR provides standards for use in reapproving or disapproving states' MMISs.

Although there is no program error rate for SPR, nor dollar amount of overpayments or underpayments, there is a penalty for poor performance. The law requires incremental reductions in the rate at which state MMIS operations are matched by the federal government when standards and, as a result, reapproval conditions are not met.



#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The purpose of Medicaid, as stated in Section 1901 of the Social Security Act, is to enable each state, as far as practicable under the conditions in each state, to furnish:

- o Medical assistance on behalf of families with dependent children and of aged, blind, and disabled individuals whose income and resources are insufficient to meet the costs of necessary medical services;
- o Rehabilitation and other services to help such families and individuals attain or retain capability for independence and self-care.

Medicaid pays bills incurred by eligible individuals who use covered services falling into these general categories: acute hospital care, ambulatory medical services, and long-term institutional care.

B. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

Funds are not explicitly allocated among these services. Inpatient hospital services account for 28.4 percent of total program expenditures, ambulatory services account for 28.1 percent, and institutional long-term care for 43.5 percent.

## IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Medicaid benefits are authorized for certain families with dependent children and aged, blind, or disabled individuals and couples. (A comprehensive and detailed description of Medicaid eligibility groups appears at the end of the Medicaid section.)

States <u>must</u> provide Medicaid to families receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) benefits, to individuals and couples receiving a Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payment (except that some states require that the recipient make application with the state directly and other states require a separate application and apply more restrictive eligibility tests on SSI recipients), and to certain low income pregnant women and children.

States may provide Medicaid to groups such as the medically needy -- those with income or resources above the AFDC or SSI limits, but who are unable to pay for medical expenses and meet other categorical eligibility criteria.



Recipient counts by category for FY 1985 were (in thousands):

-aged (65 or older) - 3,065
-blind - 80
-disabled - 2,936
-children - 9,751
-caretaker relatives - 5,519
-other - 1,211

## B. Income eligibility standards.

The Medicaid statute does not prescribe a single income limit for all beneficiaries. Instead, eligibility is tied to each state's AFDC program and, to the federal SSI program. Thus, the limits vary by state and by eligibility category.

Benefits may go to persons or families with incomes above AFDC or SSI limits under certain circumstances. The largest such categories are the medically needy, certain recipients of long-term care services, children, pregnant women, and elderly or disabled persons with incomes below federal poverty guidelines, and former AFDC recipients who became ineligible due to earnings.

States may set ceilings for the medically needy -- those with too much income to qualify for AFDC or SSI but not enough to cover medical bills -- at levels not to exceed 133 1/3 percent of the state's maximum AFDC payment for a family of the same size. Rather than being an absolute cut-off point, this is the level to which individuals or families must spend down, as described below.

States may also establish special eligibility ceilings for people residing in institutions (e.g., skilled nursing facilities). These may not exceed 300 percent of the full SSI payment level. With the 1987 SSI level at \$340 per month, states may set their special income limits for the institutionalized as high as \$1,020.

The Medicaid statute does not prescribe a single set of rules regarding disregards of earned income. Instead states are required to use the rules of AFDC or, with some exceptions described below, those of the SSI program. Changes to AFDC or SSI would carry over into Medicaid, both for recipients of cash plus medical benefits as well as for recipients of Medicaid only.

Thirty-six states apply the SSI disregards to the aged, blind, and disabled, including recipients of Medicaid only. The remaining 14 states use a variety of more restrictive, state-specific rules for all aged, blind, and disabled persons, both those receiving SSI and those applying for Medicaid only.

AFDC disregards are applied to AFDC-Medicaid recipients as well



as to other families with dependent children and related groups applying for Medicaid only.

In the AFDC program, under some circumstances, the first \$30 of monthly earned income plus one-third of the remainder are disregarded in determining the amount of the AFDC payment. This disregard is applied after eligibility for AFDC is established. This one-third disregard is available in AFDC for one consecutive four month period only. Families with high earnings may stop receiving AFDC payments once the four months are up and all their earned income is counted. Those so affected may continue to be eligible for Medicaid for up to nine months after they lose AFDC, plus six additional months at the state's option. (Under some circumstances, families who otherwise become ineligible for AFDC due to increased earnings, rather than expiration of the disregard, may remain eligible for Medicaid for four additional months.)

As is the case with earned income disregards, the treatment of unearned income by the AFDC program for families with children, and by SSI for the aged, blind, and disabled in most states, determines Medicaid eligibility.

As is the case for income limits, assets limits in Medicaid are generally those of AFDC (\$1,000 per family) or SSI (\$1,800 for an individual, \$2,700 for a couple). States must employ a single limit on the assets of medically needy recipients. The limit may be higher than SSI or AFDC.

These income and asset limits are absolute. The possession of any amount in excess of the applicable limit causes ineligibility. Eligibility can be obtained at a later date when the resources no longer exceed the allowable amount.

## Medically Needy

States may provide Medicaid to certain groups (children, their caretaker relatives, the aged, the blind, or the disabled) who would otherwise qualify for Medicaid in the state except that they have too much income or resources. States may cover any or all of these categories as medically needy, but if they cover any medically needy groups at all, they must at least cover certain pregnant women and children under 18 under their medically needy programs.

The resources of the medically needy must be within allowable limits. As explained above, states may set these limits higher for the medically needy than the limits on resources of the AFDC or SSI programs. Resources in excess of the state-defined limits cause ineligibility.

If a medically needy applicant has countable income at or below allowable limits, Medicaid eligibility may be established on the date of application. If income is above allowable limits, a



process known as spend-down occurs. Individuals or families with any amount of gross income may be able to qualify if their medical expenses are high enough so that they spend down their income by incurring medical expenses to reach the allowable maximum.

Under the spend-down, applicants receive no benefits until they have incurred medical bills that are at least equal to the amount by which their countable incomes exceed the limits described in above. Medicaid pays subsequent bills if they are for services covered under the state's plan and to the extent that they are not covered by a third party.

Spend-down is a recu ing process. The state selects a medically needy budgeting period of from one to six months. An applicant who meets spend-down requirements is eligible for benefits only until the end of the current budget period. Eligibility in a subsequent period can only be achieved by spending-down again.

In FY 1985, Medicaid served nearly 3.5 million medically needy recipients at a cost to the federal and state governments of \$10.3 billion. Medically needy recipients comprised 15.7 percent of all recipients and accounted for 27.6 percent of total Medicaid costs.

## Recipients of increased Social Security payments

States must continue to provide Medicaid to former recipients of AFDC or SSI who would qualify for those benefits currently if the total amount of Social Security cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) received since 1977 were deducted from their current total income.

Similar protection is afforded to widows and widowers who lost SSI benefits when their Social Security benefits rose due to a change in the actuarial formula for computing widows' and widowers' benefits, as well as to disabled adult children receiving Social Security based on their parents' work record.

Congress protected the Medicaid eligibility of individuals in these circumstances to assure that improvements in Social Security benefits would not cause a net deterioration in total benefits received by current recipients of federally funded programs.

## Special income limits for persons in medical institutions

States may extend Medicaid to persons residing in medical institutions whose gross incomes do not exceed 300 percent of the current full benefit rate under SSI and whose not countable income is within a special income standard set by the state. In 1985, this option enabled 21 states without a medically needy program for the aged, blind, or disabled to assist approximately



7

408,700 persons to pay the high cost of long-term institutional care.

Once eligible, these individuals are presumed to use what income they have, minus certain amounts that they may retain for specified personal uses, to pay for the cost of their care. Medicaid pays only the remainder, up to the Medicaid payment rate for such care, plus other medical services used by the individual and covered under the state's plan.

# Special income limits for persons receiving home-based care in lieu of institutional care

Under a special waiver authority, states may provide a variety of otherwise noncovered home and community based services. Recipients in these programs are individuals living in the community who would otherwise require the level of care normally provided in a skilled nursing facility (SNF) or intermediate care facility (ICF). The state may apply the same, higher limits on gross income for recipients of such services as it applies to its institutionalized recipients.

Individuals who establish eligibility under such higher income limits are required to share in the cost of their care to the extent that their incomes exceed SSI income limits.

To gain federal approval of such projects, states must provide assurances relating to beneficiary protection, financial accountability, data collection, evaluation, and cost effectiveness.

## C. Other eligibility requirements.

There are no job search or work requirements specific to Medicaid. The imposition of such requirements under the AFDC or SSI programs may indirectly affect Medicaid in that persons who lose their eligibility for cash benefits (whether through failure to comply or through some cash in obtaining a job) may also lose their automatic eligibility for Medicaid.

## Assignment of rights to third party payments

As a condition of eligibility, all applicants must assign any right they may have to third party payments for their medical care (e.g., medical insurance benefits) to the state. Because Medicaid is the payor of last resort, this requirement helps assure that other sources of payment are tapped first.

## Absent parents

As in the AFDC program, families with children applying for Medicaid only (e.g., as medically needy) must cooperate with the state in determining the children's paternity and in establishing whether the absent parent has any insurance or other source of



medical support that may be available to pay the children's medical expenses.

## Persons who give away their assets

Otherwise eligible persons may be barred from Medicaid if they have given away or otherwise disposed of assets for less than fair market value. States may, at their option, consider transfers that occurred up to two years before a person applies for Medicaid and count the uncompensated value of such assets as if the person still had those assets. States may presume that the transfer took place for the purpose of establishing Medicaid eligibility, although individuals are allowed to rebut that presumption.

States may also deny eligibility to institutionalized persons who transferred ownership of their homes without receiving adequate compensation. Their period of ineligibility depends on the uncompensated value of the property, compared to the number of months of institutional care that the person could have paid for had he or she sold the home for full market value and used the proceeds to pay for care.

## Residents of certain public institutions

Medicaid benefits are not payable to inmates of such public institutions as detention centers, jails, or prisons.

Also excluded are people aged 22-64 who reside in public institutions for the treatment of mental disease.

Before Medicaid was enacted, states were responsible for the medical care of these two groups. Their exclusion from Medicaid continues the states' traditional responsibilities.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Most categories of Medicaid eligibles receive benefits without having to pay out-of-pocket more than nominal, state-established amounts in copayments each time they use certain services. By contrast, the major groups that may be required to pay substantial amounts out-of-pocket for their care are the medically needy and persons needing long-term care.

At the state's option, additional cost sharing requirements may be imposed on eligible persons. For example, a state may impose copayments — specified amounts, usually nominal, that the recipient pays each time he uses a specified service. These requirements do not depend on the recipient's income. Moreover, the recipient's failure to pay does not affect eligibility, and service providers may not refuse to serve those unable to pay.



## V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES

A. Program intake processes.

## Social Security District Offices

In 30 states, an application for SSI, taken at the Social Security District Office, constitutes an application for Medicaid.

## State or local welfare office

With the exception of SSI applicants as noted above, all applications for Medicaid must be made through the state or local welfare office that, in most cases, also handles AFDC applications. An application for AFDC constitutes an application for Medicaid in all states. All other persons must file an application specifically for Medicaid.

## Informal intake or referrals

Low income persons may be referred or assisted in applying for Medicaid by the following kinds of organizations:

- o Hospitals, nursing homes, or other providers;
- o Social services agencies;
- o Voluntary agencies responsible for refugee resettlement;
- o Advocacy groups.
- B. Program benefits or services.

Medicaid pays bills incurred by eligible individuals who use covered services falling into these general categories: acute hospital care, ambulatory medical services, and long-term institutional care.

States pay health care providers for services rendered to eligible individuals. Only in rare circumstances does the state make a payment directly to recipients to reimburse them for out-of-pocket expenditures.

Mandatory services provided by all states include the following:

o Inpatient hospital -- services furnished in a hospital for the care and treatment of patients with disorders other than tuberculosis or mental diseases;



- Outpatient hospital -- preventive, diagnostic, therapeutic, rehabilitative, or palliative services provided in a hospital on an outpatient basis;
- Rural health clinic -- certified clinics in rural areas staffed primarily by nurse practitioners or physician's assistants who provide services under the medical supervision of a physician;
- Other laboratory and X-ray -- professional and technical services provided under the direction of a physician in qualifying locations;
- Certain skilled nursing facilities -- skilled nursing services needed and provided on a daily basis to persons age 21 or older in an inpatient facility that is not an institution for the treatment of tuberculosis or mental diseases;
- Certain preventive care for children -- early and periodic screening, diagnosis to determine physical and mental defects, and treatment to correct or ameliorate any conditions so discovered;
- Family planning -- services and supplies (other than abortion) to enable individuals to determine the number and spacing of their children;
- Physicians services -- services provided by or under the supervision of a licensed practitioner of medicine or osteopathy, whether the service is provided in the office or elsewhere;
- o Home health services:
- Nurse mid-wife services.

The major services provided at the state's option include:

- o Prescription drugs;
- o Intermediate care (nursing) facilities, including such facilities for the mentally retarded;
- o Certain therapies (physical, speech, rehabilitation);
- o Dental care.

All states have elected to cover intermediate care facilities. All but two cover drugs. Coverage of other optional services varies widely among the states.

Benefits are covered on an as-needed, as-used basis. In certain circumstances, states rely on organizations like health



maintenance organizations (HMOs) or on providers of case management services to assure that recipients in their charge obtain the services appropriate to their needs. However, in these arrangements, as in the remainder of the program, the principal factor in determining the amount of benefits a person receives is the person's medical condition or need for services.

## C. Duration of benefits.

States may impose limits on the amount, duration, and scope of the services that an individual may use and have reimbursed by Medicaid. The most common limitation is that particular, state-selected services are reimbursed only if they were authorized by the state before being delivered. States may also limit reimbursement to a certain number of units of a particular service, for example, a specified number of physician visits or hospital days per year.

States do not report person-based expenditure data, so it is not possible to compute the median benefit or the distribution of benefits.

Average benefits for major categories of Medicaid eligibles in FY 1985 were as follows (preliminary data, includes federal and state shares):

- o \$2,093 -- Recipients of an SSI payment;
- o \$608 -- Recipients of AFDC;
- \$8,339 -- Medically needy aged, blind, and disabled;
- o \$687 -- Medically needy families with children.

High averages for aged, blind, and disabled Medicaid recipients are explained in large part by the heavy use of institutional long-term care by these groups, especially the medically needy. In FY 1985, only 7 percent of all Medicaid recipients received Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) or Intermediate Care Facility (ICF) services. These services, however, accounted for 43.5 percent of total Medicaid expenditures.

Residents of intermediate care facilities for the mentally retarded (ICF or MR) are an important subset of aged, blind, and disabled Medicaid recipients of long-term institutional care. In FY 1985, 0.7 percent of all Medicaid recipients received Medicaid coverage of ICF or MR benefits. These benefits accounted for 12.6 percent of total expenditures.



For the aged, blind, and disabled who use Medicaid-covered ambulatory services and acute hospital care services and who are also entitled to Medicare, Medicaid benefits are residual, covering only services or expenses which Medicare does not cover.

Other Medicaid recipients -- children, their caretaker relatives, and pregnant women -- rely on Medicaid primarily to pay for ambulatory care and acute hospital care. While these groups comprised 75.6 percent of total Medicaid recipients in FY 1985, expenditures on their behalf amounted to 26.6 percent of total expenditures.

## VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Thirty-six states provide Medicaid to all recipients of an SSI payment. In 31 of these states the receipt of an SSI payment leads automatically and simultaneously to eligibility for Medicaid. In five of the 36 states, SSI applicants are required to file a separate application for Medicaid with the state.

In the remaining 14 states, SSI recipients are required to meet eligibility requirements for Medicaid that are more restrictive than those of SSI. These 14 states have elected to continue to use some of the criteria that the state used for Medicaid before the enactment of the SSI program.

In all states, all recipients of an AFDC payment or of a federally subsidized adoption assistance or foster care payment are automatically eligible for Medicaid. In certain cases, children under such arrangements may be deemed to be eligible for Medicaid even though they do not receive a federally assisted cash payment.

At state option, Medicaid may be provided automatically to children with special needs who have been adopted under an adoption agreement arranged between the adoptive parents and a state-only adoption program.

AFDC recipients account for 57.2 percent of total Medicaid recipients and SSI recipients account for 18.5 percent. Data are not available for the other groups.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

There are no effects on Medicaid assistance levels or eligibility due to changes in the amount of benefits received from other assistance programs authorized in the Social Security Act. In addition, assistance from other programs that is excluded for SSI on AFDC is also excluded for Medicaid. Assistance in meeting



13

medical needs may reduce the level of benefits under Medicaid as described below.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Many other programs fund medical services to individuals and therefore potentially overlap with Medicaid, most notably Medicare, Veterans Administration, CHAMPUS, service delivery programs administered by the Public Health Service, and state and local public health and indigent care programs.

Though Medicaid and these programs may overlap in population served and services covered, Medicaid is the payor of last resort, paying only those bills for eligible recipients that are not payable from any other source of funds. States can assume that Medicaid recipients who are also eligible for Medicare actually get full Medicare benefits by paying the amounts that Medicare beneficiaries must normally pay out-of-pocket.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

## Senate

Committee on Finance Subcommittee on Health

## House of Representatives

Committee on Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Health and the Environment

Committee on Ways and Means
Subcommittee on Public Assistance and Unemployment
Compensation (Medicaid eligibility policy arising from
linkage to AFDC and SSI)

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

#### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies



C. Other committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

## Senate

Labor and Euman Resources Committee
Subcommittee on Employment and Productivity

Labor and Human Resources Committee Subcommittee on Family, Drugs, and Alcoholism

Special Committee on Aging

## House of Representatives

Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families.

Select Committee on Aging, Human Services Subcommittee.

Joint Economic Committee
Subcommittee on Economic Goals and Intergovernmental Policy

D. Federal legislation.

Listed below are major pieces of legislation amending the Medicaid statute and affecting Medicaid eligibility issues. Amendments to other legislation (e.g. AFDC or SSI statutes), though affecting Medicaid eligibility, are not included.

1960 amendment to Title I, Old Age Assistance (Kerr-Mills) -Provided federal matching for medical vendor payments made by
states on behalf of public assistance recipients age 65 or older;
optional new program for payments on behalf of medically needy
elderly.

1965 Social Security Amendments, Title XIX grants to states for medical assistance -- Established the Medicaid program as a state-administered program to provide medical assistance to indigent aged, blind, disabled, dependent children and their caretaker relatives; receipt of federal matching conditional on the state providing five basic services.

1967 Social Security Amendments -- Established limits on federal Medicaid matching by a formula based on state per capita income; set nursing home standards for Medicaid recipients; authorized imposition of cost sharing for hospital care; authorized fourteen services, from which a state could select seven to provide to the medically needy.

1971 Social Security Amendments -- Provided for intermediate care facilities as an optional Medicaid service.



1972 Social Security Amendments -- Replaced most of state-run cash assistance programs for the aged, blind, and disabled, with the nationally uniform SSI program. (In most states, SSI rules became the eligibility rules for Medicaid for the aged, blind, and disabled.) Provided for the review of utilization of institutional services.

1976 Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) Act -- Defined requirements for reimbursement of HMOs under both Medicaid and Medicare.

1976 Unemployment Compensation Amendments -- Required states to protect Medicaid eligibility for persons who become ineligible for SSI benefits due to a cost-of-living adjustment in Social Security benefits.

1977 Medicare and Medicaid Antifraud and Abuse Amendments -- Strengthened the federal government's capacity to detect, prosecute, and punish fraudulent activities.

1980 Medicare and Medicaid Amendments -- Increased funding to state fraud control units; tightened conditions of provider participation; authorized withholding of federal payments to recover overpayments and disallowed expenditures; set up new requirements for intermediate care facilities (ICFs) and skilled nursing facilities (SNFs); added nurse midwifery as a covered service.

1981 Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act -- Reduced federal payments to states for FY 1982-1984; increased state flexibility regarding eligibility of the medically needy; permitted waivers of certain requirements (e.g., for states to provide the same coverage throughout the state and to give recipients complete freedom to choose their own health care provider) where a state arranges more cost-effective methods of delivery or financing of care and provides for guarantees of quality and access; expanded flexibility in prepaid provider (e.g., HMO) participation; repealed fiscal penalties imposed for state failure to meet certain reporting an other process requirements in preventive care for children.

1982 Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act -- Expanded states' authority to impose copayments on Medicaid recipients; permitted states to cover certain disabled children living at home, regardless of parental income; permitted states to impose liens on the property of certain recipients of long-term institutional care or to deny eligibility to persons who transferred property without adequate compensation; required most states to follow the methodologies of AFDC and SSI in determining the eligibility of the medically needy.



1984 Deficit Reduction Act -- Required states to provide Medicaid to certain groups of financially eligible pregnant women and children; required applicants to sign their rights to third party payments as a condition of eligibility; prohibited the imposition of sanctions on states with Medicaid plans not following the methodologies of AFDC or SSI in determining the eligibility of the medically needy.

1985 Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act -- Further expanded mandatory eligibility for pregnant women and children, including children adopted with the assistance of public programs; required states to count as still available certain assets that a Medicaid recipient has sheltered in a trust; required the Secretary to establish a task force to report to Congress on the issue of chronically ill, technology dependent children; revised requirements on how states pursue payments from liable third parties.

1986 Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act -- Allowed states to cover pregnant women and children in families with incomes between AFDC levels and federal poverty guidelines; similar eligibility expansions for the elderly and disabled except that states are permitted to restrict benefits for these groups to just Medicare cost-sharing amounts; authorizes Medicaid for emergency medical care for undocumented aliens who meet all other Medicaid eligibility requirements; increases state flexibility to provide targeted community-based long-term care to certain groups.

1986 Employment Opportunities for Disabled Americans Act -- Makes permanent the previously temporary authority to provide special SSI cash and/or Medicaid benefits to individuals who would otherwise lose eligibility because they are employed and are performing substantial gainful activity (conforming amendment to Title XIX made by OBRA 86); requires states to continue Medicaid eligibility for persons who lose eligibility for SSI because they start receiving Social Security benefits as an "adult disabled child."

1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act -- Provides full Medicaid benefits to certain children, elderly, and disabled aliens who meet all the usual eligibility requirements and whose alien status is legalized by this Act; authorizes more limited Medicaid benefits for other newly legalized groups such as pregnant women, seasonal agricultural workers, or caretakers of AFDC-like children.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

Implementing regulations have strictly followed statutory language. Administrative discretion was exercised in only one major instance — in the regulations establishing the responsibility of recipients in institutions to contribute to the cost of their care. The regulations are based on Section



17

1902(a)(17) of the Social Security Act, which gives the Secretary the general authority to establish guidelines for determining the extent of medical assistance. Similar requirements existed in medical assistance programs predating Medicaid.

These rules differ from the spend-down rules affecting Medicaid applicants living outside institutions in the following manner: (1) the recipient is allowed to keep only small amounts (usually \$25 per month) from total personal income to use at his or her discretion; (2) amounts of the institutionalized individual's income subject to prescribed limits may be set aside for the maintenance needs of a spouse or children if they have little or no income from other sources; (3) small amounts may be used to maintaining a home for a limited period of time; (4) bills for medical or remedial services not covered by the state's Medicaid program may be pa'd. Any of the institutionalized individual's remaining income applied to the cost of care in the institution, thus reducing the amount paid to the institution by Medicaid.



VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.714 MEDICAID

| •                      |                          | FITS                   | † ADMINIS              | TRATION :            | ! !                            |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
|                        | Federal                  | State-local            | Federal                | State-local          | Total                          |
| United States          | \$21,477,081             | \$17,782,157           | \$1,201,971            | \$798,109            | \$41,259,318                   |
| Alabama                | \$346,649                | \$132,574              | \$10,821               | \$4,906              | \$404.0E0                      |
| Alaska                 | \$33,558                 | \$30,809               | \$2,550                | \$1,989              | \$494, <b>9</b> 50<br>\$68,906 |
| Arizona                | \$60,715                 | \$35,457               | \$4,312                | \$4,132              | \$104,616                      |
| Arkansas<br>California | \$274,595                | \$97,692               | \$11,992               | <b>\$6,313</b>       | \$390,592                      |
| Colorado               | \$2,147,156<br>\$161,692 | \$2,130,325            | \$192,433              | \$92,632             | \$4,562,546                    |
| Connecticut            | \$288,616                | \$160,0%<br>\$291,263  | \$10,675               | \$5,180              | \$337,597                      |
| Delaware               | \$35,289                 | \$33,797               | \$16,922<br>\$1,788    | \$11,628             | \$608,429                      |
| D. C.                  | \$153,278                | \$152,757              | \$8,502                | \$1,357<br>\$6,001   | \$72,231                       |
| Florida                | \$556,965                | \$398,533              | \$20,297               | \$14,704             | \$320,538<br>\$990,499         |
| Georgia<br>Hawali      | \$517,516                | \$249,644              | \$18,239               | \$15,417             | \$798,816                      |
| idaho                  | \$69,291                 | \$72,087               | \$5,502                | \$3,365              | \$150,245                      |
| illinois               | \$50,501<br>\$868,536    | \$24,364               | \$3,054                | \$1,636              | \$79,555                       |
| indiana i              | \$442,502                | \$851,245<br>\$293,646 | \$33,921               | \$23,591             | \$1,777,293                    |
| lowa :                 | \$200,601                | \$160,692              | \$16,679<br>\$8,538    | \$10,312<br>\$5,331  | \$763,139                      |
| Kansas i               | \$133,152                | \$128,056              | \$7,211                | \$4,840              | \$375,162                      |
| Kentucky               | \$392,329                | \$162,067              | \$15,638               | \$7,523              | \$273,259<br>\$577,557         |
| Louisiana              | \$475,231                | \$263,469              | \$15,328               | \$10,524             | \$764,552                      |
| Maine !<br>Maryland    | \$174,847                | \$72,334               | <b>\$7,441 }</b>       | \$4,900              | \$259,522                      |
| Massachusetts !        | \$309,065                | \$303,578              | \$19,515               | \$14,756             | \$646,914                      |
| Michigan               | \$790,525<br>\$859,460   | \$808,657              | \$33,289               | \$32,930             | \$1,665,401                    |
| Minnesota              | \$534,611                | \$826,056<br>\$477,223 | \$46,288               | \$31,844             | \$1,763,648                    |
| Mississippi            | \$231,083                | \$65,655               | \$20,860<br>\$6,345    | \$19,190             | \$1,051,884                    |
| Missouri               | <b>\$349,653</b>         | \$206,458              | \$11,395               | \$3,626<br>\$8,919   | \$306,709                      |
| Montana                | \$63,275                 | \$34,549               | \$4,946                | \$2,525              | \$576,425<br>\$105,295         |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada     | \$96,311                 | \$71,862               | \$6,254                | \$3,993              | \$178,420                      |
| New Hampshire          | \$33,475                 | \$33,250               | \$3,095                | \$2,589              | \$72,409                       |
| New Jersey             | \$70,192<br>\$585,473    | \$48,195               | \$5,326                | \$3,386              | \$127,099                      |
| New Mexico             | \$106,381                | \$580,018<br>\$47,009  | \$43,624               | \$24,586             | \$1,233,701                    |
| New York               | \$3,780,739              | \$4,083,439            | \$5,369<br>\$241,626   | \$3,002<br>\$170,106 | \$161,761                      |
| N. Carolina            | \$450,837                | \$196,070              | \$19,751               | \$16,317             | \$8,275,910                    |
| N. Dakota<br>Onio      | \$70,994                 | \$44,027               | \$3,775                | \$2,702              | \$682,975<br>\$121,498         |
| Oklahoma .             | \$974,492                | \$779,542              | \$34,635               | \$25,976             | \$1,814,645                    |
| Oregon                 | \$274,339<br>\$146,110   | \$193,530              | \$20,345               | \$16,411             | \$504,625                      |
| Penrisylvania          | \$1,071,133              | \$106,478<br>\$837,244 | \$19,350               | \$14,388             | \$286,326                      |
| Rhode island           | \$147,655                | \$111,663              | \$62,964<br>\$3,941    | \$45,447             | \$2,016,788                    |
| S. Carolina            | \$261,345                | \$94,596               | \$14,182               | \$3,512<br>\$8,839   | \$266,771                      |
| S. Dakota              | \$65,267                 | \$29,292               | \$1,588                | \$950                | \$378,962<br>\$97,097          |
| Tennessee<br>Texas     | \$435,091                | \$178,950              | \$21,906               | \$10,489             | \$646,436                      |
| Utah                   | \$804,281                | \$671,091              | \$67,159               | \$40,842             | \$1,583,373                    |
| Vermont                | \$101,139<br>\$61,503    | \$41,469               | \$8,395                | \$4,915              | \$155,918                      |
| Virginia               | \$316,204                | \$27,059<br>\$241,600  | \$5,419                | \$2,985              | \$96,966 }                     |
| Mashington !           | \$310,893                | \$309,218              | \$12,718  <br>\$17,941 | \$9,321              | \$579,843                      |
| W. Virginia            | \$126,824                | \$52,699               | \$7,028                | \$12,598<br>\$4,284  | \$650,650                      |
| Miscons in             | \$586,474                | \$441,076              | \$18,561               | \$16,000             | \$190,835  <br>\$1,062,111     |
| Hyoming                | \$14,136                 | <b>!</b> \$14,019      | \$631                  | \$576                | \$29,362                       |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico    | \$1,704                  | \$1,704                | \$189                  | \$156                | \$3,753                        |
| Virgin Islands         | \$60,023<br>\$1,806      | \$79,215  <br>\$2,344  | \$3,377                | \$3,376              | \$145,991                      |
|                        | All OF POOCHAL OPEN      | \$2,344                | \$294 }                | \$259                | \$4,703                        |



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.714 MEDICAID

| !                             | BENE                    | FITS  <br>  State=local  | ADMINIST             | RATION<br> State-iocal | Total                    |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| United States                 | \$19,624,803            | \$16,003,332             | \$1,073,153          | \$741,432              | \$37,442,720             |
| A labama                      | \$262,792               | \$100,087                | \$8,398<br>\$1,765   | \$3,620<br>\$1,473     | \$374,897<br>\$60,093    |
| Alaska                        | \$30,025                | \$26,830                 | \$2,856              | \$2,855                | \$95,412                 |
| Arizona                       | \$56,443<br>\$252,473   | \$33,258<br>\$89,960     | \$8,650              | \$5,158                | \$356,241 i              |
| Arkansas :<br>California :    | \$1,874,399             | \$1,863,131              | \$169,304            | \$118,324              | \$4,025,248              |
| Colorado                      | \$154,627               | \$153,572                | \$10,675             | \$5,512                | \$324,386                |
| Connect Icut                  | \$271,865               | \$270,694                | \$13,719             | \$10,843               | \$567,121                |
| Delawa a                      | \$34,164                | \$33,265                 | \$1,629              | \$1,238                | \$70,296                 |
| D. C.                         | \$144,830               | \$144,375                | \$8,782              | \$6,510                | \$304,497                |
| Florida                       | \$467,209               | \$333,130                | \$18,922             | \$10,154               | \$829,415<br>\$638,712   |
| Georgia                       | \$409,211               | \$197,029                | \$19,279<br>\$4,626  | \$13,193<br>\$2,404    | \$144,778                |
| HawaTl                        | \$69,449                | \$68,299<br>\$22,302     | \$3,001              | \$1,477                | \$72,766                 |
| Idaho  <br>Illinois           | \$45,986<br>\$868,935   | \$859,156                | *34,144              | \$24,387               | \$1,786,622              |
| Indiana                       | \$378,458               | \$250,716                | \$15,847             | \$11,604               | <b>\$656,625</b>         |
| lowa                          | \$176,045               | \$141,077                | \$6,883              | \$4,210                | \$328,215                |
| Kansas                        | \$120,888               | \$116,256                | \$6,517              | \$4,530                | \$248, 191               |
| Kentucky                      | \$357,128               | \$147,035                | \$12,914             | \$8,738                | \$525,815                |
| Louisiana                     | \$445,041               | \$243,383                | \$14,116             | \$10,209               | \$712,749<br>\$224,080   |
| Ma Ine                        | \$151,172               | \$62,497                 | \$6,293              | \$4,118<br>\$12,385    | \$630,627                |
| Maryland                      | \$302,492               | \$300,771                | \$14,979<br>\$23,943 | \$22,312               | \$1,415,250              |
| Massachusetts                 | \$682,857               | \$686,138  <br>\$833,012 | \$41,523             | \$26,737               | \$1,768,477              |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota         | \$867,205<br>\$496,671  | \$444,088                | \$19,927             | \$15,482               | \$976,168                |
| Mississippi                   | \$244,612               | \$70,000                 | \$5,277              | \$3,291                | \$323,180 <b> </b>       |
| Missouri                      | \$310,298               | \$193,587                | \$9,691              | \$7,453                | \$521,029                |
| Montana                       | \$61,172                | \$33,314                 | \$3,056              | \$1,911                | \$99,453                 |
| Nebraska                      | \$88,402                | \$66,015                 | \$5,490              | \$3,790                | \$163,697                |
| Nevada                        | \$31,617                | \$31,495                 | \$2,841              | \$2,340<br>\$2,398     | \$68,293<br>\$119,312    |
| New Hampshire                 | \$67,226                | \$46,109                 | \$3,579<br>\$40,031  | \$19,900               | \$1,106,651              |
| New Jersey                    | \$525,663<br>\$94,307   | \$521,057<br>\$40,531    | \$4,657              | \$2,583                | \$142,078                |
| New Mexico<br>New York        | \$3,510,005             | \$3,503,765              | \$228,117            | \$164,098              | \$7,405,985              |
| N. Carolina                   | \$415,706               | \$180,799                | \$19,860             | \$15,168               | \$631,533                |
| N. Dakota                     | \$59,881                | \$37,311                 | \$3,201              | \$2,196                | \$102,589                |
| Ohlo                          | \$921,470               | \$729,312                | \$28,519             | \$21,257               | \$1,700,558              |
| Ok lahoma                     | \$238,577               | \$168,897                | \$20,093             | \$15,662               | \$443,229                |
| Oregon                        | \$138,982               | \$102,159                | \$18,572             | \$12,956<br>\$36,903   | \$270,669<br>\$1,885,488 |
| Pennsyl/ania                  | \$1,008,496             | \$786,527                | \$53,562<br>\$3,495  | \$3,117                | \$246,991                |
| Rhode Island !<br>S. Carolina | \$139,981<br>\$224,844  | \$100,398<br>\$80,682    | \$9,167              | \$5,591                | \$320,284                |
| S. Dakota                     | \$61,872                | \$27,983                 | \$1,676              | \$1,003                | \$92,534                 |
| Tennessee                     | \$382,388               | \$157,436                | \$11,063             | \$5,564                | <b>\$556,451</b>         |
| Texas                         | \$780,302               | \$651,353                | \$59,381             | \$37,854               | \$1,528,890              |
| Utah                          | \$89,240                | <b>\$36,567</b>          | \$9,135              | \$5,205                | \$140,147                |
| <b>Vermont</b>                | \$62,237                | \$27,395                 | \$4,531              | \$2,597                | \$96,760<br>\$539,070    |
| Virgin's                      | \$292,562               | \$223,458                | \$13,453             | \$9,597<br>\$11,697    | \$511,512                |
| Washlington                   | \$242,162               | \$239,851                | \$17,802<br>\$5,947  | \$3,175                | \$150,113                |
| W. Virginia                   | \$99,543  <br>\$535,831 | \$41,448<br>\$403,088    | \$18,602             | \$11,688               | \$969,209                |
| Wisconsin  <br>Wyoming        | \$13,019                | \$12,870                 | \$530                | \$479                  | \$26,898                 |
| Guam                          | \$1,855                 | \$1,855                  | i \$255              | \$222                  | <b>\$4,187</b>           |
| Puerto Rico                   | \$60,920                | \$63,808                 | \$2,480              | \$4,040                | <b>\$131,248</b>         |
| Virgin Islands                | \$1,834                 | \$2,099                  | <b>\$266</b>         | <b>\$195</b>           | \$4,394                  |



VIII. C. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.714 MEDICAID (LONG-TERM CARE) (1)

|                           | RENE                     | FITS !                 | 1                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|
|                           | Federal                  | State-local            | Total                                  |
| United States             | \$9,179,928              | \$7,375,627            | \$16,555,555                           |
| Alabama                   | \$156,017                | \$60,253               | \$216,270                              |
| Alaska                    | \$13,849                 | \$13,848               | \$27,697                               |
| Arizona                   | \$1,483                  | \$940                  | \$27,697<br>\$2,423                    |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia    | \$137,260                | \$49,108               | \$ \$186,368 ¦                         |
| Colorado                  | \$580,735<br>\$74,899    | \$580,736              | \$1,161,471                            |
| Connect Icut              | \$170,205                | \$74,899<br>\$170,205  | \$149,798                              |
| Delaware                  | \$17,996                 | \$17,996               | \$340,410<br>\$35,992                  |
| D. C.                     | \$17,996<br>\$42,260     | \$17,996<br>\$42,261   | \$84,521                               |
| florida                   | <b>\$254,152</b>         | \$180,965              | \$435,117                              |
| Georgia                   | \$185,638                | \$89,666 ¦             | \$275,304                              |
| Hawa II                   | \$34,241                 | \$33,996               | \$68,237                               |
| Idaho<br>IIIInols         | \$29,552                 | \$14,372               | \$43,924                               |
| Indiana                   | \$334,746  <br>\$221,574 | \$334,746              | \$669,492                              |
| lowa                      | \$91,916                 | \$148,147<br>\$74,477  | \$369,721                              |
| Kansas                    | \$71,651                 | \$74,477<br>\$69,756   | \$166,393<br>\$141,407                 |
| Kentucky                  | \$142,965                | \$59,192               | \$202, 157                             |
| Louisiana                 | <b>\$216,275</b> }       | \$119,296 }            | <b>\$335</b> ,571                      |
| Ma 'ne                    | \$85,753                 | <b>\$35,659</b> }      | \$121,412<br>\$220,523                 |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts | \$110,262                | \$110,261              | \$220,523                              |
| Michigan                  | \$343,672<br>\$273,940   | \$341,889              | \$685,561                              |
| Minnesota                 | \$350,430                | \$266,376<br>\$314,902 | \$540,316                              |
| Missiscippi               | \$97,421                 | \$28,074               | \$665,332<br>\$125,495                 |
| Missou. I                 | \$157,730                | \$99,159               | \$256,889                              |
| Montana                   | <b>\$30,157</b>          | \$16,664               | \$46,821                               |
| Nebraska                  | \$50,111                 | \$37,603 <b>}</b>      | <b>\$87,714</b>                        |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire   | \$16,835                 | \$16,835               | \$33,670                               |
| New Jersey                | \$43,422<br>\$256,748    | \$29,617<br>\$256,747  | \$73,039                               |
| New Mexico                | \$40,528                 | \$17,879               | \$513,495<br>\$58,407                  |
| New York                  | \$1,535,370              | \$1,535,193            | \$58,407<br>\$3,070,563                |
| N. Carolina               | \$226,330 <b>}</b>       | \$99,138               | \$325,468                              |
| N. Dakota                 | \$44,903                 | \$28,327               | <b>\$73,235</b> }                      |
| Ohlo !<br>Oktahoma !      | \$442,947                | \$356,020              | \$798,967                              |
| Oregon                    | \$115,109<br>\$74,237    | \$81,760               | \$196,869                              |
| Pennsylvania              | \$535,095                | \$55,729<br>\$419,750  | \$129,966<br>\$954,845                 |
| Rhode is land             | \$79,952                 | \$57,494               | \$137,446                              |
| S. Carolina               | \$124,297                | \$44,791               | \$169,088                              |
| S. Dakota                 | \$35,460                 | \$16,450               | \$51,910                               |
| Tennessee  <br>Texas      | \$189,740                | <b>\$78,786 }</b>      | \$268,526                              |
| Utah                      | \$208,702<br>\$44,376    | \$334,610              | \$733,312                              |
| Vermont                   | \$29,093                 | \$18,266<br>\$12,846   | \$62,642                               |
| Virginia                  | \$168,566                | \$129,623              | \$41,939<br>\$298,189                  |
| Washington                | \$141,984                | \$141,983              | \$283,967                              |
| W. Virgirila              | \$46,907                 | \$19,561               | \$66,468                               |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming      | \$304,860                | \$231,205              | \$536,065                              |
| Guam                      | \$7,561<br>\$11          | \$7,561<br>\$10        | \$15,122                               |
| Puerto Rico               | \$0                      | \$10                   | \$21<br>\$0                            |
| Virgin Islands            | \$0                      | \$0                    | \$0                                    |
|                           |                          | ·                      | ······································ |

(1) Long-term care benefits are also included in Table VIII.A. Administrative costs for long-term care are not available separately.



VIII. D. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (in thousands) 13.714 MEDICAID (LONG-TERM CARE) (1)

| 13.714 MEDICAID (COM-TERM OWE) (1) |                         |                       |   |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1                                  | BE<br>  Federal         | NEFITS                | Total   |  |  |  |  |
| United States                      | \$8,271,548             | \$6,571,063           | \$14,842,611  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama                            | \$119,730<br>\$12,146   | \$46,239<br>\$12,145  | \$165,969<br>\$24,291                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska<br>Arizona                  | \$12,170                | \$0                   | \$0   |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas                           | \$128,131               | \$45,842              | \$173,973   |  |  |  |  |
| California                         | \$513,454               | \$513,455<br>\$70,848 | \$1,026,909<br>\$141,697                              |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut            | \$70,849<br>\$150,069   | \$70,848<br>\$150,069 | \$300,138   |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware                           | \$16,957                | <b>  \$16,956</b>     | \$33,913  |  |  |  |  |
| D. C.                              | \$37,771                | \$37,771              | \$75,542<br>\$353,142                                 |  |  |  |  |
| florida                            | \$206,27J<br>\$165,988  | \$146,872<br>\$80,176 | \$246, 164  |  |  |  |  |
| Georgia<br>Hawali                  | \$105,500               | \$31,609 l            | \$246,164<br>\$63,219                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Idaho                              | \$25,944                | \$12,617<br>\$289,735 | <b>\$38,561</b>                                       |  |  |  |  |
| lilinois                           | <b>\$289.73</b> 5       | \$289,735             | \$579,470<br>\$317,938                                |  |  |  |  |
| indiana                            | \$190,540<br>\$86,837   | \$127,398<br>\$70,362 | \$157,199   |  |  |  |  |
| lowa<br>Kansas                     | \$64,969                | \$63,251              | \$157,199<br>\$128,220                                |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky                           | <b>\$136,717</b>        | \$ \$56,605           | \$193,322   |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana                          | \$201,167               | \$110,962             | \$312,129<br>\$115,281                                |  |  |  |  |
| Maine                              | \$81,423<br>\$119,219   | \$33,858<br>\$119,218 | \$238,437   |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts          | \$298,106               | \$296,560             | \$594,666   |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan                           | \$265,108               | 1                     | \$522,896   |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota                          | \$331,293               | \$297,704<br>\$29,218 | \$628,997<br>\$130,609                                |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri            | \$101,391<br>\$148,820  | \$93,558              | \$242,378   |  |  |  |  |
| Montana                            | \$27,410                | \$15,144              | <b>  \$42,554                                    </b> |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska                           | \$46,514                | \$34,904              | \$81,418  |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada                             | \$15,573                | \$15,573<br>\$28,024  | \$31,146<br>\$67,284                                  |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey        | \$39,260<br>\$230,836   | \$230,836             | \$461,672   |  |  |  |  |
| New Mexico                         | \$35,584                | \$15,697              | <b>\$51,281</b>                                       |  |  |  |  |
| New York                           | \$35,584<br>\$1,362,257 | \$1,361,396           | \$2,723,653   |  |  |  |  |
| N. Carolina                        | \$208,471               | \$91,315              | \$299,786<br>\$56,649                                 |  |  |  |  |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo                  | \$34,737<br>\$334,615   | \$21,912<br>\$268,947 | ;   \$603,562   |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma                           | \$106,351               | \$/5,539              | !   \$181,890   |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon                             | \$43,049                | \$32,317              | \$75,366<br>\$916,126                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania                       | \$513,397<br>\$74,722   | \$402,723<br>\$53,732 | \$128,454   |  |  |  |  |
| Rhode island !<br>S. Carolina      | \$105,933               | \$38,173              | \$144,106   |  |  |  |  |
| S. Dakota                          | <b>\$33,990</b>         | \$15,768              | \$49,758  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>T</u> ennessee                  | \$163,112<br>\$386,362  | \$67,729              | \$230,841<br>\$710,616                                |  |  |  |  |
| Texas                              | \$386,362<br>\$41,248   | \$324,254<br>\$16,978 | \$58,226  |  |  |  |  |
| Utah<br>Vermont                    | \$25,473                | <b>\$11,247</b>       | \$36,720  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia                           | \$154,661<br>\$111,250  |                       | \$273,591   |  |  |  |  |
| Washington {                       | \$111,250               |                       | \$222,499<br>\$58,075                                 |  |  |  |  |
| W. Virginia                        | \$40,983<br>\$334,615   | \$17,092<br>\$183,860 | \$518,475   |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming               | \$6,900                 |                       | \$13,801  |  |  |  |  |
| Guam                               | \$1                     | \$1                   | \$2   |  |  |  |  |
| Puerto Rico                        | \$0                     | \$0<br>\$0            | \$0<br>\$0  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin islands                     | \$0                     | <b>3</b> U            |   |  |  |  |  |

(1) Long-term care benefits are also included in Table VIII.B. Administrative costs for long-term care are not available separately.



## IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.714 MEDICAID

|  | Alı  |     |  |             |                                      |     |
|--|--|-----|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----|
|  | Persons<br>Served                          | (1) | Elderly                                  | (2)         | Handicapped<br>or Disabled           | (3) |
| United States  | 21.817,458                                 |     | 3,065,680                                |             | 3,017,067                            |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska<br>Arizona                             | 316, 159<br>22, 578                        |     | 84,688<br>2,295                          |             | 70,265<br>2,188                      |     |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia<br>Colorado<br>Connecticut        | 197,307<br>3,380,660<br>147,309            |     | 51,498<br>456,940<br>33,965              |             | 44,436<br>517,100<br>27,638          |     |
| Delaware<br>D. C.<br>Fiorida                             | 217, 442<br>40, 564<br>97, 805             |     | 35, 491<br>4, 854<br>9, 478              |             | 22,580<br>5,200<br>14,359<br>107,722 |     |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii<br>Idaho                               | 561,943<br>468,887<br>92,238               |     | 112,652<br>91,843<br>11,259              |             | 103,672<br>8,025                     |     |
| ililnois<br>Indiana                                      | 38,850<br>1,063,367<br>283,956<br>211,935  |     | 6,115<br>75,958<br>41,332<br>29,687      |             | 5,968<br>127,742<br>40,487<br>22,430 |     |
| Jntucky<br>Louisiana                                     | 141,707<br>408,243<br>416,171              |     | 24,087<br>56,606<br>87,262               |             | 22,430<br>19,108<br>72,788<br>70,010 |     |
| Maine<br>Maryland<br>Massachusetts<br>Michigan           | 124,378<br>328,809<br>522,948<br>1,133,317 |     | 20,541<br>42,903<br>104,746              |             | 19,110<br>38,023<br>77,300           |     |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi<br>Missouri                     | 357, 260<br>299, 688<br>355, 974           |     | 93,216<br>55,773<br>63,787               |             | 139,706<br>37,012<br>60,473          |     |
| Montana<br>Nebraska<br>Nevada                            | 47,321<br>93,902<br>28,202                 |     | 63,763<br>6,412<br>15,491<br>5,800       |             | 53,769<br>6,908<br>10,080<br>5,087   |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey<br>New Mexico                | 37,698<br>581,433<br>87,337                |     | 9,418<br>63,493<br>11,775                |             | 6,373<br>72,748<br>13,835            |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota                     | 2,242,140<br>343,223<br>36,674             | 1   | 341,784<br>63,445<br>8,901               |             | 295,078<br>48,714<br>4,762           |     |
| Ohio<br>Okiahoma<br>Oregon                               | 1,045,150<br>269,973<br>1/2,502            |     | 96,956<br>56,415<br>20,018               | !<br>!<br>! | 115,211<br>27,298<br>19,213          |     |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island<br>S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota | 1,071,029<br>111,814<br>237,626            |     | 120,953<br>24,881<br>45,604              |             | 133,091<br>19,093<br>54,538          |     |
| Tennessee<br>Texas<br>Utah                               | 33,819<br>362,098<br>761,338<br>72,210     | į   | 7,871  <br>75,398  <br>212,983           |             | 5,832<br>83,048<br>112,034           |     |
| Vermont<br>Virginia<br>Washington                        | 50,385<br>302,992<br>326,395               | !   | 7,739  <br>7,213  <br>56,335  <br>42,978 |             | 8,332<br>7,200<br>47,944<br>44,203   |     |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin<br>Wyoming                      | 211,407<br>473,319<br>19,546               |     | 24,176  <br>74,635  <br>2,880            |             | 29,547<br>65,867<br>1,391            |     |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands                    | 1,571,857<br>16,578                        |     | 0<br>1,377                               |             | 69,112<br>417                        |     |



<sup>(1)</sup> Based on unduplicated annual count.
(2) 'Elderly' means 65 years or older.
(3) 'Handicapped or Disabled' is defined as inability to engage in substantial gainful acitivity.

# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.714 MEDICAID

| 1                             | All<br>  Persons                        |            |                  | !!         | Hand I capped     | !!         |
|-------------------------------|---|------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
|                               | Served                                  | (1)        | Elderly          | (2)        | or Disabled       | (3)        |
|                               |   | ``'        |                  | ,-,        |                   |            |
| United States                 | 21,557,067                              | !!         | 3,238,272        |            | 2,913,036         | <b>i</b> i |
| 11.1                          | 015 000                                 | i          | 05 404           | İ          | 66,100            |            |
| Alabama                       | 315,666<br>24,068                       | <b>!</b> ! | 85,484<br>2,446  |            | 2,332             |            |
| Alaska<br>Ar Izona            | 27,000                                  | !!         | 2,440            | )          | _,00              | 1 1        |
| Arkansas                      | 192,854                                 | 1 1        | 54,648           |            | 43,897            |            |
| California                    | 3,395,080                               | 1 1        | 482,840          |            | 510,500           | 1 1        |
| Colorado                      | 155, 426                                | ( )        | 36,365<br>33,571 | i          | 19,242            |            |
| Connecticut                   | 220,090<br>47,253                       |            | 33,571<br>5,012  | !          | 21,607<br>4,998   |            |
| Delaware<br>D. C.             | 104,336                                 |            | 9,210            |            | 13,880            |            |
| Fiorida                       | 1 572, 127                              |            | 112,539          |            | 102,568           |            |
| Georgia                       | 439,005                                 |            | 91,527           |            | 95,452            | 1 1        |
| Hawa Ti                       | 95,413                                  |            | 11,810<br>5,511  | <b>i</b> ' | 7,842<br>5,326    |            |
| Idaho<br>IIIInols             | 36,550<br>1,046,144                     |            | 78,363           | 1          | 122,432           | 1 1        |
| Indiana                       | 271,956                                 |            | 38,099           | İ          | 38,487            |            |
| lowa                          | 200,564                                 |            | 29,292           | 1          | 20,589            | 1 1        |
| Kansas                        | 146,320                                 | 1          | 23,808           | i          | 16,609            | 1 1        |
| Kentucky                      | 469,337                                 | İ          | 69,666<br>87,448 |            | 87,163<br>63,861  | 1 1        |
| Louisiana<br>Maine            | 382,367<br>121,843                      | 1          | 87,448<br>20,236 | 1          | 17,592            |            |
| Maryland                      | 324,071                                 | 1          | 38,003           | İ          | 35,584            |            |
| Massachusetts                 | 484,299                                 |            | 94,385           | 1          | 69,858            | 1 1        |
| Michigan                      | 1,155,165<br>340,225                    |            | 87,889           | İ          | 126,531<br>34,002 | 1 1        |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi      | 340,225                                 | İ          | 53,912<br>66,512 | 1          | 58,894            | 1 1        |
| Missouri                      | ! 358,753                               | 1          | 65,076           |            | 51,096            |            |
| Montana                       | 46,518                                  | 1          | 10,193           |            | 12,660            | 1 1        |
| Nebraska                      | 86, 432                                 | -          | 14,849           |            | 9,282             | 1 1        |
| Nevada                        | 27,435                                  | j          | 5,920<br>9,258   | İ          | 4,927<br>6,249    |            |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey   | 39, 433<br>596, 937                     | 1          | 64,299           | }          | 71,371            |            |
| New Mexico                    | 83,026                                  |            | 11,494           |            | 16,035            |            |
| New York                      | 2,205,138                               | 1          | 1 361,669        |            | 306,001           | 1 1        |
| N. Carolina                   | 340,499                                 | i          | 63,353           | İ          | 47,296<br>4,011   | 1 1        |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio             | 33,705<br>1,014,647                     | İ          | 8,567<br>94,058  | 1          | 105,790           | 1 1        |
| Ok lahoma                     | 252,450                                 | 1          | ! 58.060         |            | 25,618            |            |
| Oregon :                      | 92,816                                  | Ì          | 19,563           |            | 17,992            | 1 1        |
| Pennsylvania ¦                | 1,059,725                               |            | 118,253          | ļ          | 125,425           |            |
| Rhode Island                  | 115,511                                 | İ          | 25,491           | İ          | 19,549<br>52,310  |            |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota      | 231,394<br>32,552                       |            | 46,397<br>7,772  | 1          | 5,520             |            |
| Tennessee                     | 3/5,302                                 |            | <b>}</b> 73,606  | 1          | 79,840            | 1 1        |
| Texas                         | 715, <i>2</i> 78                        | 1          | <b>223, 121</b>  | -          | 111,062           |            |
| Utah !                        | 69,353                                  |            | 6,991            | İ          | 7,326             |            |
| Vermont !                     | 53,224<br>301 448                       |            | 7,285<br>54,595  | . ]        | 6,808<br>46,166   |            |
| Virginia<br>Washington        | 301,448<br>301,254                      | !          | 42,618           |            | 41,283            |            |
| W. Virginia                   | 185,584                                 | - 1        | <b>24,069</b>    |            | 26,489            | -          |
| Wisconsin                     | 491,328                                 | -          | 74,490           |            | 60,787            |            |
| Wyoming                       | 15,288                                  | · [        | 2,764            |            | 1,245             | ' j   j    |
| GLIAM<br>Puerto Pico          | 1,606,989                               | Í          | 124,270          | ĺ          | 65,242            |            |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands | 14,454                                  |            | 1,615            |            | 310               |            |
| 1118111 101m100 1             | , |            | ,                |            | T                 | · · · · ·  |



<sup>(1)</sup> Based on mean unduplicated annual count.
(2) 'Elderly' means 65 years or older.
(3) 'Handicapped or Disabled' is defined as inability to engage in substantial gainful activity.

# IX. C. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) 13.714 MEDICAID (LONG-TERM CARE)

|                              | All                |     |                    |             |                         |     |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----|
|                              | Persons<br>Served  | (2) | Elderly            | <b> (3)</b> | Handicapped or Disabled | (4) |
| United States                | 1,549,653          |     | 1,176,159          |             | 373,494                 |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska            | 26,404<br>886      |     | 20,936<br>596      |             | 5,468<br>290            |     |
| Arizona                      |                    | '   | 050                |             | 250                     |     |
| Arkansas                     | 23,599             |     | 17, 174            |             | 6,425                   |     |
| California<br>Colorado       | 139,880            |     | 97,500             |             | 42,380                  |     |
| Connecticut                  | 20,960<br>32,222   |     | 15,532<br>27,688   |             | 5,428                   | i i |
| Delaware                     | 2,674              |     | 1,963              |             | 4,534<br>711            |     |
| D. C.                        | 3,666              |     | 2,504              |             | 1,162                   |     |
| Florida                      | 40,920             |     | 33,336<br>30,516   |             | 7,584                   |     |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii            | 40,178             |     | 30,516             |             | 9,662                   |     |
| Idaho                        | 4,583<br>4,664     |     | 3,771<br>3,690     |             | 812<br>974              |     |
|                              | 81,850             |     | 48,261             |             | 33,589                  |     |
| indiana i                    | 42,724             |     | 30,854             |             | 11,8 *                  |     |
| lowa<br>Kansas               | 22,610             | !   | 18,480             | ļ           | 4,130                   |     |
| Kentucky                     | 18,110<br>26,344   | İ   | 13,520             |             | 4,590                   |     |
| Louisiana                    | 35,332             | ' [ | 21,249<br>25,156   | İ           | 5,095<br>10,176         |     |
| Ma ine                       | 10,913             | i   | 9,257              |             | 1,656                   |     |
| Maryland                     | 28,612 }           | - 1 | 23,776<br>39,003   | į           | 4,836                   |     |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan    | 47,462             | i   | 39,003             | - 1         | 8,459<br>12,440         | •   |
| Minnesota                    | 62,273  <br>53,974 | i   | 49,833             | - i         | 12,440                  | l   |
| Mississippi !                | 17,360             | I   | 39,248<br>13,343   | İ           | 14,726<br>4,017         | i i |
| Missouri                     | 33,824             | - 1 | 26,394             | i           | 7,430                   | - 1 |
| Montana<br>Nebraska          | 5,252              | 1   | 4,03£ {            |             | 1,216 }                 | i   |
| Nevada                       | 11,198<br>3,234    | l   | 9,271              |             | 1,927                   | 1   |
| New Hampshire                | 6,440              | İ   | 2,503<br>5,553     | i           | 731                     | ì   |
| New Jersey                   | 36,551             | ŀ   | 29,085             | - [         | 887  <br>7,466          | j   |
| New Mexico                   | 5,010              | j   | 3,571              |             | 1,439                   |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina      | 127,263            | - 1 | 100,851 ¦          |             | 26,412                  | j   |
| N. Dakota                    | 29,966<br>7,347    | į   | 24,150             | i           | 5,816                   | i   |
| Ohio                         | 73,958             | - 1 | 5,681  <br>53,718  | ı           | 1,666                   | i   |
| Oktahoma                     | 25, 187            | i   | 19,118             |             | 20,240<br>6,069         |     |
| Oregon                       | 14,037             | į   | 10,511 ¦           | - 1         | 3,526                   | l l |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island | 86,225             | į   | 69,246 ;           | - 1         | 16,979 ¦                | į   |
| S. Carolina                  | 10,149<br>15,216   | j   | 7,349  <br>10,534  | 1           | 2,800                   | - 1 |
| S. Dakota                    | 6,207              | - 1 | 4,903              | İ           | 4,682  <br>1,304        | ì   |
| Tennessee                    | 34,580             | i   | 27,505             | l           | 7,075                   | -   |
| Texas                        | 92,299             | - 1 | 71,897 ¦           | - [         | 20,402                  |     |
| Utah<br>Vermont              | 7,454              | - 1 | 4,767              | -           | 2,687                   | İ   |
| Virginia                     | 3,661<br>23,953    | l   | 3,034              | i           | 627                     | l   |
| Washington ;                 | 28,314             | 1   | 18,047  <br>19,817 | į           | 5,906  <br>6,497        | İ   |
| W. Virginia j                | 7,846              |     | 6,648              | I           | 1,198                   | İ   |
| Wisconsin                    | 66,100             | Ì   | 48,794             |             | 17,306                  |     |
| <b>Wyoning</b><br>Guair      | 2,182              | i   | 1,990              | Ì           | 192                     | į   |
| Puerto Rico                  | 0                  | į   | 0                  |             | ,                       | - 1 |
| Virgin Islands               | l ő!               |     | Ö                  | į           | 0                       | i   |
|                              |                    |     | v  <br>            |             | ۱ ۷                     | 1   |



Recipients of long-term care also are included on Table IX.A.
 Based on unduplicated annual count.
 'Elderly' means 65 years or older.
 'Handicapped or Disabled' is defined as inability to engage in substantial gainful acitivity.

# IX. D. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) 13.714 MEDICAID (LONG-TERM CARE)

| •  | <b>.</b>  |     |   |     |  |     |
|--|---|-----|---|-----|--|-----|
|  | All<br>Persons<br>Served  | (2) | Elderly   | (3) | Handicapped<br>or Disabled   | (4) |
| United States  | 1,537,092   |     | 1,168,157   |     | 368,935  |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska  | 26,018<br>867   |     | 20,694<br>514   |     | 5,324<br>353   |     |
| Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louislana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico | 24,997<br>158,680<br>19,198<br>23,750<br>2,878<br>3,255<br>34,626<br>39,772<br>4,997<br>4,352<br>89,823<br>39,238<br>22,056<br>18,107<br>30,271<br>33,763<br>10,868<br>18,225<br>45,770<br>61,083<br>54,131<br>17,449<br>30,582<br>6,787<br>11,029<br>3,179<br>6,077<br>39,250<br>4,587 |     | 18,177 117,020 15,067 19,724 2,052 2,183 28,281 30,432 4,041 3,380 54,030 27,902 18,076 13,550 24,248 24,065 9,065 16,125 37,361 48,592 39,407 13,628 24,326 5,267 9,001 2,465 5,213 29,573 3,297 |     | 6,820<br>41,660<br>4,131<br>4,026<br>826<br>1,072<br>6,345<br>9,340<br>956<br>972<br>35,793<br>11,336<br>3,980<br>4,557<br>6,023<br>9,698<br>1,803<br>2,100<br>8,409<br>12,491<br>14,724<br>3,821<br>6,256<br>1,520<br>2,028<br>714<br>864<br>9,677<br>1,290 |     |
| New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico  | 129,397<br>29,611<br>6,501<br>71,470<br>24,101<br>13,695<br>83,389<br>10,416<br>15,363<br>6,238<br>31,840<br>96,617<br>6,536<br>3,238<br>23,465<br>28,373<br>8,299<br>60,709<br>2,175   |     | 101,913<br>24,055<br>5,214<br>51,284<br>18,812<br>10,201<br>67,494<br>7,554<br>10,562<br>4,906<br>25,170<br>75,589<br>3,989<br>2,643<br>17,523<br>20,472<br>7,135<br>44,920<br>1,965              |     | 27,478<br>5,556<br>1,287<br>20,186<br>5,289<br>3,494<br>15,895<br>2,862<br>4,801<br>1,332<br>6,670<br>21,028<br>2,547<br>595<br>5,942<br>7,901<br>1,164<br>15,789  |     |
| Virgin Islands   | Ö   |     | Ö   |     | Ö  |     |



<sup>(1)</sup> Recipients of long-term care also are included on Table IX.B.
(2) Based on unduplicated annual count.
(3) 'Elderly' means 65 years or older.
(4) 'Handicapped or Disabled' is defined as inability to engage in substantial gainful acitivity.

X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 13.714 MEDICAID

|               | Benefits           | Administration | Total                |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| United States | \$1,800            | \$92           | \$1,892              |
| Alabama       | \$1,516            | \$50           | \$1,566              |
| Alaska        | \$2,851            | \$201          | \$3,052              |
| Ar Izona      |                    | 4201           | 40,002               |
| Arkansas ,    | \$1,887            | \$93           | e1 090               |
| California i  | \$1,265            | \$84           | \$1,980<br>\$1,350   |
| Colorado      | \$2,184            | \$108          | \$2,292              |
| Connecticut   | \$2,667            | \$131          |                      |
| Delaware :    | \$1,703            | \$78           | \$2,798  <br>\$1,781 |
| D. C.         | \$3,129            | \$148          | \$3,277              |
| Florida       | \$3,129<br>\$1,700 | \$62           | \$1,763              |
| Georgia i     | \$1,636            | \$68           |                      |
| Hawa II       | \$1,533            | \$96           | \$1,704  <br>\$1,629 |
| Idaho i       | \$1,927            | \$121          | \$2,048              |
|               | \$1,617            | \$54           |                      |
| Inclana       | \$2,592            | \$95           | \$1,671              |
| lowa i        | \$1,705            | \$65           | \$2,688              |
| (ansas i      | \$1,843            | \$85           | \$1,770              |
| (entucky      | \$1,358            | \$57           | \$1,928              |
| outstana j    | \$1,775            | \$62           | \$1,415              |
| falne i       | \$1,987            | \$99           | \$1,837              |
| Maryland i    | \$1,863            | \$104          | \$2,087              |
| assachusetts  | \$3,058            | \$127          | \$1,967              |
| (Ich Igan     | \$1,487            | \$69           | \$3,185              |
| Innešota      | \$2,832            | \$112          | \$1,556<br>\$2,944   |
| ilssissippi i | \$990              | \$33           |                      |
| llesour I     | \$1,562            | \$57           | \$1,023              |
| lontana j     | \$2.067            | \$158          | \$1,619<br>\$2,225   |
| lebraksa      | \$2,067<br>\$1,791 | \$109          | \$2,220 i            |
| evada         | \$2,366            | \$202          | \$1,900              |
| ew Hampshire  | \$3,140            | \$231          | \$2,568<br>\$2,272   |
| ew Jersey     | \$2,005            | \$117          | \$3,372  <br>\$2,122 |
| ew Mexico     | \$2,005<br>\$1,756 | \$96           |                      |
| ew York       | \$3,507            | \$184          | \$1,852  <br>\$3,601 |
| . Carolina    | \$1,885            | \$105          | \$3,691<br>\$1,990   |
| . Dakota      | \$3,136            | \$177          | \$3,313              |
| hlo           | \$1,678            | \$58           | \$1,736              |
| k lahoma      | \$1,733            | \$136          | \$1,869              |
| regon         | \$1,656            | \$221          | \$1,878              |
| ennsylvania   | \$1,782            | \$101          | \$1,883              |
| node Island   | \$2,319            | \$67           | \$2,386              |
| . Carolina    | \$1,498            | \$97           | \$1,595              |
| . Dakota      | \$2,796            | \$75           | \$2,871              |
| ennessee      | \$1,696            | \$89           | \$1,785              |
| exas          | \$1,938            | \$142          | \$2,080              |
| ah .          | \$1,975<br>\$1,758 | \$184          | \$2,159              |
| rmont         | \$1,758            | \$167          | \$1,925              |
| irginia i     | \$1,841            | \$73           | \$1,914              |
| ish Ington    | \$1,900            | \$94           | \$1,993              |
| Virginia      | \$849              | \$54           |                      |
| ISCONS In (   | \$2,171            | <b>\$</b> 73   | \$903                |
| oming j       | \$1,440            | \$62           | \$2,244  <br>\$1,502 |
| lam i         | 1                  | 402            | \$1,502              |
| ierto Rico    | \$89               | \$4            | *00                  |
| rgin istands  | \$250              | \$33           | \$93<br>\$284        |
| <b>*</b>      | i 4500             |                | X/X4 !               |

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on unduplicated annual count of persons served.

X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 13.714 MEDICAID

|                          | Benefits           | Administration | Total                |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| United States            | \$1,653            | \$84           | \$1,737              |
| Alabama                  | \$1,150            | \$38           | \$1,189              |
| Alaska                   | \$2,362            | \$135          | \$2,497              |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas      | \$1,776            | \$72           | \$1,847              |
| California               | \$1,101            | \$85           | \$1,186              |
| Colorado                 | \$1,983            | \$104          | \$2,087              |
| Connecticut              | \$2,465            | \$112          | \$2,577              |
| De laware                | \$1,427<br>\$2,772 | \$61           | \$1,488              |
| D. C.                    | \$2,772            | \$147          | \$2,918              |
| Florida                  | \$1,399            | \$51<br>\$74   | \$1,450  <br>\$1,455 |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii        | \$1,381<br>\$1,444 | \$74           | \$1,517              |
| Idaho                    | \$1,868            | \$123          | \$1,991              |
| IIIInols                 | \$1,652            | \$56           | \$1,708              |
| Indiana                  | <b>\$2,314</b>     | \$101          | \$2,414              |
| lowa                     | \$1,581            | \$55           | \$1,636              |
| Kansas                   | \$1,621            | \$75           | \$1,696              |
| Kentucky                 | \$1,074            | \$46<br>\$64   | \$1,120  <br>\$1,864 |
| Louisiana i<br>Maine     | \$1,800<br>\$1,754 | \$85           | \$1,839              |
| Maryland                 | \$1,862            | \$84           | \$1,946              |
| Massachusetts            | \$2,827            | \$96           | \$2,922              |
| Michigan                 | \$1,472            | \$59           | \$1,531              |
| Minnesota                | \$2,765            | \$104          | \$2,869              |
| Mississippi              | \$1,040            | \$28           | \$1,069              |
| Missouri                 | \$1,412            | \$48<br>\$107  | \$1,460  <br>\$2,138 |
| Montana<br>Nebraksa      | \$2,031<br>\$1,787 | \$107          | \$1,894              |
| Nevada .                 | \$2,300            | \$189          | \$2,489              |
| New Hampshire            | \$2,874            | \$152          | \$3,026              |
| New Jersey               | \$1,753            | \$100          | † \$1,854 ¦          |
| New Mexico               | \$1,624            | \$87           | \$1,711              |
| New York                 | \$3,181            | \$178          | \$3,359              |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota | \$1,752<br>\$2,884 | \$103<br>\$160 | \$1,855<br>\$3,044   |
| Oh!o                     | \$1,627            | \$49           | \$1,676              |
| Ok lahoma                | \$1,614            | \$142          | \$1.756              |
| Oregon                   | \$2,577            | \$340          | \$2,916<br>\$1,779   |
| Pennsylvania ¦           | <b>\$1,694</b> {   | \$85           | \$1,779              |
| Rhode is land            | \$2,081            | \$57<br>\$64   | \$2,138<br>\$1,384   |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota | \$1,320<br>\$2,760 | \$82           | \$2,843              |
| Tennessee                | \$1,5()            | \$48           | \$1,611              |
| Texas                    | \$2,002            | \$136          | \$2,137              |
| Ütah                     | \$1,814            | \$207 \        | \$2,021              |
| Vermont                  | \$1.684 }          | \$134          | \$1,818              |
| Virginia                 | \$1,712            | \$76           | \$1,788              |
| Washington :             | \$1,600<br>\$760   | \$98<br>\$49   | \$1,698<br>\$809     |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin | \$1,911            | \$62           | \$1,973              |
| Wyoming                  | \$1,693            | \$66           | \$1,759              |
| Guam                     | 7,,000             |                |                      |
| Puerto Rico              | \$78               | \$4            | \$82                 |
| Virgin Islands           | \$272              | \$32           | <b>\$304</b>         |



<sup>(1)</sup> Based on unduplicated annual count of persons served.

# X. C. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 13.714 MEDICAID (LONG-TERM CARE)

|                               | Benefits                      |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| United States                 | \$10,688                      |
| Alabama                       |                               |
| Alaska                        | \$8,191<br>\$31,261           |
| Ar Izona<br>Arkansas          | \$7,897                       |
| Callfornia                    | \$8,303                       |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut       | \$7,147<br>\$10,565           |
| Delaware<br>D. C.             | <b>; \$13,460</b> ;           |
| Fiorida                       | \$23,055<br>\$10,633          |
| Georgia !<br>Hawaii !         | <b>\$6,852 !</b>              |
| Idaho                         | \$14,889<br>\$9,418           |
| IIIInois<br>Indiana           | \$8,179                       |
| lowa                          | \$8,654<br>\$7,359            |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky            | \$7,808<br>\$7,674            |
| Louisiana<br>Maine            | \$9,498<br>\$11,125           |
| Maryland !                    | <b>\$7,707</b> } }            |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan     | \$14,444<br>\$8,677           |
| YInnesota :                   | \$12,327                      |
| 'ssissippi<br>ssouri          | \$7,229<br>\$7,595            |
| ontana<br>Nebraksa            | <b>\$8,915</b>                |
| Nevada                        | \$7,833<br>\$10,411           |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey   | \$11,341<br>\$14,049          |
| New Mexico<br>New York        | \$11,658                      |
| N. Carolina                   | \$24,128<br>\$10,861          |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo             | <b>\$9,968 }</b>              |
| Oklahoma                      | \$10,803<br>\$7,816           |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania        | \$9,259<br>\$11,074           |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina   | \$13,543<br>\$11,113          |
| S. Dakota                     | \$8,363                       |
| Tennessee<br>Texas            | \$8,363<br>\$7,765<br>\$7,945 |
| Utah<br>Vermont               | 1 18,404 1                    |
| Virginia                      | \$11,456<br>\$12,449          |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia     | \$10,791<br>\$8,472           |
| Wisconsin ;                   | <b>\$8,110</b>                |
| <b>Wyom Ing</b><br>Guam       | \$6,930                       |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin islands | \$0                           |
| tu Am Totalno                 | \$0                           |

Data Sources: LYFICE OF THE ACTUARY, HCFA.

(1) Based on undublicated annual count of persons served.

X. D. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 13.714 MEDICAID (LONG-TERM CARE)

|   | Benef | its                             |  |
|---|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| United States                             |       | 9,656                           |  |
| Alabama<br>Alaska                         | \$    | 6,379<br>28,017                 |  |
| Ar Izona<br>Arkansas<br>California        |       | 6,960<br>6,472                  |  |
| Connect lout                              | \$    | \$7,381  <br>12,637  <br>11,784 |  |
| Delaware<br>D. C.                         | }     | 23,208¦                         |  |
| Florida<br>Georgia                        | \$    | 10,199<br>\$6,189               |  |
| Hawaii<br>Idaho                           | ! ! ; | 12,651<br>\$8,861               |  |
| IIIInols<br>Indiana                       |       | \$6,451<br>\$8,103              |  |
| lowa<br>Kansas<br>Kantualu                | !!    | \$7,127<br>\$7,081              |  |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana                     |       | \$6,386<br>\$9,245<br>10,607    |  |
| <b>Maine</b><br>Maryland<br>Massachusetts | !     | 13.083                          |  |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota                     |       | \$8,560<br>\$11,620             |  |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri                   | •     | \$7,485<br>\$7,926              |  |
| <b>Montana</b><br><b>Nebraksa</b>         |       | \$6,270<br>\$7,382              |  |
| New Hampshire                             |       | \$9,797                         |  |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico                  |       | 11,762  <br>11,180              |  |
| New York<br>N. Carolina                   | !! \$ | 21,050<br>10,124                |  |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo                         |       | \$8,714<br>\$8,445<br>\$7,547   |  |
| Ok lairoma<br>Oregon                      |       | <b>\$</b> 5.503 !               |  |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island              |       | 10,986<br>12,332<br>\$9,380     |  |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota                  |       | <b>S</b> /.9// :                |  |
| Tennessee<br>Texas<br>Utah                |       | \$7,250<br>\$7,355<br>\$8,909   |  |
| Vermont<br>Virginia                       |       | \$11,340 ¦                      |  |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia                 | · ·   | \$11,660<br>\$7,842<br>\$6,998  |  |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming                      |       | \$8,540<br>\$6,345              |  |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico                       |       | <b>\$</b> 0                     |  |
| Virgin Islands                            |       | \$0                             |  |

Data Sources: OFFICE OF THE ACTUARY, HCFA.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count of persons served.



30

# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (in thousands) 13.714 MEDICAID

| Federal<br>Fiscal :  | Total<br>! Federal !  | Total   | l l Barra  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Year   | Out lays  | State-Local<br>Spending   | Persons (  | Federal (2)  |
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 | \$22,655,000<br>\$20,061,000<br>\$18,985,000<br>\$17,391,000<br>\$16,833,000<br>\$13,957,000<br>\$10,680,000<br>\$10,680,000<br>\$9,876,000<br>\$8,568,000<br>\$6,840,000<br>\$5,818,000<br>\$4,601,000<br>\$4,601,000<br>\$3,666,983<br>\$2,419,016<br>\$1,879,741<br>\$1,275,985<br>\$954,556<br>\$582,442<br>\$495,470<br>\$422,964<br>\$345,605<br>\$219,496<br>\$180,440 | \$18,560,561<br>\$16,229,463<br>\$15,865,952<br>\$14,407,086<br>\$13,251,156<br>\$11,068,C79<br>\$10,049,332<br>\$8,307,736<br>\$7,598,485<br>\$6,556,150<br>\$5,408,102<br>\$4,326,223<br>\$3,811,685<br>\$3,896,301<br>\$3,075,143<br>\$2,433,765<br>\$2,242,346<br>\$1,740,505<br>\$1,173,019<br>\$853,587<br>\$515,978<br>\$439,856<br>\$376,536<br>\$309,756<br>\$203,664<br>\$173,363 | 21,809<br>21,604<br>21,554<br>21,603<br>21,605<br>21,520<br>21,964<br>22,832<br>22,815<br>22,007<br>21,462<br>19,622<br>17,606<br>17,965<br>14,507 | 1,319<br>1,338<br>1,521<br>1,704<br>1,889<br>1,819 |

Data Sources: OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, HCFA.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.
(2) Not in thousands.
(3) FY 1976 outlays shown are exclusive of \$2,223,600(000) for Transition Quarter.



### XII. BASIC LIST OF MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY GROUPS

Groups are presented in six clusters:

- mandatory families with children (includes pregnant women);
- mandatory aged, blind, and disabled;
- mandatory all categories;
- 4. optional families with children (includes pregnant women);
- optional aged, blind, disabled;
- 6. optional all categories.

Medicaid eligibility policies are rooted in AFDC policies (Aid to Families with Dependent Children) for families with children and in SSI policies (Supplemental Security Income) for the aged, blind, and disabled. Certain cash rules are applied even for groups of Medicaid recipients who have no direct or personal connection to either of the cash programs.

### MANDATORY MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY GROUPS:

FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN, INCLUDING PREGNANT WOMEN

### 1. Recipients of AFDC.

These are mainly single-parent families. States have the option under AFDC to provide cash assistance to two-parent families in which the principal breadwinner is unemployed. If a state elects that option, it must provide Medicaid to those AFDC recipients as well.

Law: Title IV of the Social Security Act; Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(I) Regulations: 45 CFR parts 200 -- 499; 42 CFR 435.110)

# AFDC-like families that do not receive an AFDC payment solely because:

 The amount they qualify for is less than \$10, the minimum amount AFDC will pay;

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(I), Section 402(a)(32) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.115(b)

They participate in a "Work Supplementation" program (which pays for work) and they would qualify for AFDC if they did not participate;



Law: Section 19J2(a)(10)(A)(i)(I), Section 414(g) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.115(d)

4. AFDC payments otherwise due them in the current month have been withheld in order to repay AFDC overpayments they received in a previous month(s).

Law: Section 402(a)(22)(A) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.115

## Certain families terminated from AFDC, including:

5. Working families get nine months of continued Medicaid coverage (six more at state option) if they would continue to be eligible for AFDC except that the period of the earnings disregards has expired and the AFDC program starts counting all earned income;

Law: Section 402(a)(37), Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(1)(I)

6. Working families get four months of continued Medicaid coverage if they lose AFDC benefits because of increased hours of or earnings from employment;

Law: Section 1902(c)(1) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.112

7. Families losing AFDC due to the receipt of child support payments also get four months of continued Medicaid coverage.

Law: Section 406(h).

Families ineligible for AFDC solely because of requirements forbidden in Medicaid statute. For example, Medicaid must cover families who are disqualified from AFDC because that program deemed income to be available to them from persons other than their spouses or parents in the case of minor children.

Regulation: 42 CFR 435.113, Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(I)

# Pregnant women and children who may not qualify for an AFDC payment, including:

9. All pregnant women who meet AFDC eligibility criteria for income and resources, as early as the date pregnancy is medically verified through 60 days postpartum for pregnancy-related care;

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(1)(III), Section 1905(n)



10. Children under age five in families that meet the state's financial requirements for AFDC but not other AFDC requirements;

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(III), Section 1905(n)

11. Children born to mothers already eligible for Medicaid for one year after birth, provided the mother remains eligible;

Law: Section 1902(e) of the Act

12. Children adopted or placed in foster care in the federally assisted programs for adoption assistance and foster care (especially for hard-to-place children).

Law: Title IV-E of the Act, Section
1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(I) Regulations: 45 CFR Subpart G,
42 CFR 435.118

### MANDATORY MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY GROUPS:

AGED, BLIND, AND DISABLED

### 13. Recipients of SSI payments.

- a. All SSI recipients are eligible for Medicaid in the 36 states that have agreed to cover them. Of these 36 states, 30 provide Medicaid automatically to all persons whose names appear on a list of SSI recipients provided to the state by the Social Security Administration. In six of these states, SSI recipients must file a separate application with the state for Medicaid.
- b. In 14 so-called 209(b) states, SSI recipients qualify for Medicaid only if they also meet the more restrictive rules that the state has elected to carry over from its pre-SSI aid program.

Law: SSI requirements at Title XVI of the Act; Section 1902 (a)(10)(A)(i)(II), Section 1902(f) Regulations: 20 CFR Part 416; 42 CFR 435

Disabled people who work despite their medical impairments, whose level of earnings, though substantial, is less than the benefits they would receive if they did not work and relied instead on various public programs for income, medical, and other support.

Law: Section 1619, Section 1902 (a)(10)(A)(i)(II) Regulations: 20 CFR 416.260-269, 42 CFR 435



### "Grandfathered groups" including:

15. Recipients of mandatory state supplemental cash payments (states that had paid higher amounts than SSI when SSI was implemented must continue to pay the difference to eligible people who received a cash payment from the state in December 1973.

Law: Pub. L. 93-66, Pub. L. 93-233 Regulations: 20 CFR 416.2050-85, 42 CFR 435.130

Individuals who got cash assistance from their state in December 1973 and who lost cash benefits when SSI replaced state aid programs for the aged, blind, and disabled, including:

- 16. Certain "essential" spouses,
- 17. Certain medically needy individuals in institutions,
- 18. Blind and disabled people who do not meet the SSI eligibility criteria for blindness or disability.

Law: Pub. L. 93-66, Pub. L. 93-233 Regulations: 42 CFR 435.131-3

19. Disabled widows and widowers who lost SSI benefits because of 1983 changes in the actuarial formula used to compute the amount of their Social Security benefit.

Law: Section 1634 of the Act

20. Disabled children who lose SSI because of increases in Social Security benefits they receive that are based on a parent's entitlement to Social Security.

Law: Section 1634 of the Act

MANDATORY MEDICAID FOR ALL GROUPS

AGED, BLIND, DISABLED, FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN

- 21. Former recipients of cash assistance from AFDC, SSI, or a State Supplemental Payment (SSP) program whose incomes now exceed eligibility thresholds because of cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) in their Social Security benefits, but who meet all other eligibility requirements for one of the cash programs, including:
  - people who actually lost cash benefits due to a COLA;



- those who lost cash assistance for some other reason but who could qualify currently if that part of their Social Security benefits attributable to past COLAs is disregarded;
- those who would have lost AFDC or SSI if they had applied for and been receiving it when the COLA was provided;
- those who would have qualified for AFDC or SSI if they had not been in a medical institution when the COLA was effected.

Law: Section 249(E) of Pub. L. 92-603 as amended by Pub. L. 94-48, Section 503 of Pub. L. 94-566 Regulations: 20 CFR 416.2095, 42 CFR 435.113,134,135

22. Recipients of Refugee Cash Assistance -- certain refugees from Indochina, Cuba, or Haiti, who meet the usual financial criteria for AFDC or SSI but who don't fit the usual categories of persons coverable by those programs, for example because they live in two-parent, extended, or multigenerational families.

Law: Refugee Assistance Act (Pub. L. 96-212 as amended)

23. Certain recipients of veterans' pensions who are eligible for SSI or AFDC solely because they have taken the opportunity to decline to accept the full amount of VA benefits to which they're entitled -- an opportunity not available to any other class of applicants for AFDC, SSI, or Medicaid.

Law: Section 1133 of the Act

#### OPTIONAL MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY

#### FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN

24. Pregnant women through 60 days postpartum whose family income is higher than AFDC levels but less than 100 percent of federal poverty guidelines.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(11)(IX) of the Act

25. Children, phased in up to age five, whose family income is higher than AFDC levels but less than 100 percent of federal poverty guidelines.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(11)(IX) of the Ac'.



26. Families that would get AFDC if they paid for child care out of their earnings from work (such out-of-pocket payments, if made, would be deducted from earnings, enabling them to qualify for AFDC), but use publicly funded child care services instead.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(11)(II) of the Act Regulations: 42 CFR 435.220

- 27. "Ribicoff kids" -- those over age five who, though they or their families meet all AFDC financial criteria, do not get AFDC because:
  - they live with two parents; or
  - they live with neither parent nor with a caretaker relative, e.g., they live in privately subsidized foster care or certain institutional settings.

States may set the upper age limit from 18 to 21 and may cover all such children or just state-selected reasonable classifications.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(11)(I) of the Act Regulations: 42 CFR 435.220

28. Families that would get AFDC if their state had elected to cover that type of family in its AFDC program. For example, states may provide Medicaid but decline the AFDC option to provide cash benefits to families in which the principal breadwinner in unemployed.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(III) of the Act Regulations: 42 CFR 435.223

29. Children with special medical or rehabilitative needs adopted under a state-funded program for assisting adoptions, regardless of the adoptive parents' financial circumstances.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(VIII), Section 473

OPTIONAL MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY:

AGED, BLIND, AND DISABLED PERSONS

- 30. Aged, blind, and disabled individuals with incomes above SSI levels but below federal poverty guidelines.
  - This option is contingent on the states covering some pregnant women and children above AFDC but below poverty.



The benefit package may be the same as for SSI recipients or may be limited to just Medicare cost-sharing amounts.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(11)(X)

- Persons with too much income to qualify for SSI but who receive a state supplemental payment (SSP) under an optional, state-established program to provide a higher income floor for the aged, blind, and disabled. States may provide SSP payments and Medicaid to:
  - all aged, blind, or disabled persons;
  - the aged, or blind, or disabled, who live independently;
  - the aged, or blind, or disabled in state-defined levels of supported living arrangements that provide some measure of nonmedical, custodial care.

Law: Section 1616-8 of the Act, Section 1902
(a)(10)(A)(11)(IV) Regulations: 20 CFR Subpart T, 42 CFR
435.230

32. People in medical institutions who meet SSI criteria except that their incomes are above SSI income levels and below a state-set threshold pertaining to persons in medical institutions. The state may set the threshold up to three times the SSI payment standard for an individual.

Law: Section 1902 (a)(10)(A)(ii)(V) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.231

33. States that cover people described in 32 above may extend the same higher income threshold to persons receiving services under a home and community based waiver program.

Law: Section 1902 (a)(10)(A)(11)(VI) Regulations 42 CFR 435.232

34. Severely disabled children, regardless of parental income or resources, whose needs for institutional levels of care can effectively be met at home and at less cost than institutional care.

Law: Section 1903(e)(3)

### OPTIONAL ELIGIBILITY FOR ALL GROUPS:

### AGED, BLIND, DISABLED, FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN

35. Persons who would be eligible for AFDC, SSI, or an SSP payment but are not receiving one, for example, because they have not applied for those programs.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(I) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.210

36. Persons who would qualify for AFDC, SSI, or an SSP if they were not in a medical institution.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(A)(11)(IV) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.211

Persons who cease being eligible for Medicaid while enrolled in a federally qualified HMO (time-limited eligibility extension).

Law: Section 1902(e)(2) Regulations: 42 CFR 435.212

- 38. The <u>medically needy</u> -- those who would qualify for AFDC, SSI, SSP, or one of the other categories listed above except that they have too much income or resources. (Persons not fitting any of these categories cannot qualify as medically needy no matter how poor or how extensive their medical expenses, for example, nondisabled adults without minor children in their care.)
  - States may limit medically needy coverage to certain state-selected categories, for example, only the aged but not the blind or disabled.
  - States covering any medically needy group at all must at least cover pregnant women and children who would qualify for an AFDC or SSI payment if they had less income or resources.
  - To qualify as medically needy, an applicant cannot receive benefits until his or her income, minus expenses incurred for medical care, falls to or below the state-prescribed income level. That level may not exceed 133 1/3 percent of the AFDC payment standard for the same size family.

Law: Section 1902(a)(10)(C), Section 1902(a)(17) Regulations: 42 CFR 435 subparts D and I.



#### VETERANS HEALTH CARE

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Veterans Administration (VA) provides free or reduced-price medical services to eligible veterans as needed and as available resources permit. Virtually all VA medical services are provided through 172 VA Hospitals, 116 VA Nursing Homes, and 16 domiciliaries. In addition, 35 states receive per diem payments from the VA for care of veterans in state domiciliaries, nursing homes and hospitals. These payments account for less than one percent of VA medical care expenditures.

In general, all veterans who were discharged under other than dishonorable conditions, may apply for VA medical services. The medical services provided by the VA are not an entitlement program and are therefore provided according to established priority groups and within the limits of the resources annually appropriated by Congress. By law, the VA must provide hospital care to veterans with a disability connected with their service and to low income veterans.

Eligibility for VA medical services is determined differently for different groups of veterans. Among those eligible for care by the VA without regard to income are veterans who have a service-connected disabilities, are retired from the military due to disabilities, are former POWs, are veterans of World War I or the Mexican border period, are eligible for Medicaid, are in receipt of a VA pension, or are in need of care for conditions possibly related to exposure to Agent Orange or to ionizing radiation. Other veterans are subject to an income based means-test (as of July 1, 1986) and copayments are required for veterans with incomes in excess of thresholds adjusted for family size. The copayment thresholds begin at an annual income of \$15,195 for veterans with no dependents.

The medical care provided by the VA includes the full range of inpatient, outpatient, and long-term care services. In addition to physician services, the VA provides other health services including dental care, rehabilitation and readjustment services, medicines and medical supplies, skilled nuising care, and home health services. The availability of these services may be subject to certain time limits and may vary for different groups of veterans.

As the veteran population grows older, veterans utilizing VA medical services are more likely to be elderly, infirm, or disabled. Recent VA initiatives have been aimed at developing, expanding, and coordinating community-based alternatives to institutional care. These initiatives have resulted in partnerships between the VA and many public and private organizations working to build community-based support networks.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Veterans Health Care.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 64.009 & 64.011 Budget account number(s): 36-0160-0-1-703.
- C. Current authorizing statute: 38 U.S.C. 17.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 38 CFR Chapter 17.
- E. Federal administering agency: Veterans Administration.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Veterans Health Care is not an entitlement program. Congress passes a yearly appropriation that the VA uses to provide care to eligible veterans. Approximately 0.7 percent of the funds go to states for state veterans nursing homes, hospitals and domiciliaries. The VA operates 172 hospitals, 116 Nursing Home Care Units, and 16 domiciliaries.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

Per diem payments are made to 35 states, 32 of which operate state veterans domiciliaries, 30 of which operate state veterans nursing homes, and six of which operate state veterans hospitals.

J. Audit or quality control.

State facilities which receive per diem payments are inspected annually by the VA, primarily to ensure quality medical care. If there are problems, they are asked to submit a corrective action plan with a time-table for completed actions. If this is acceptable, they continue to receive their payments. If not, payments are stopped.

VA hospital operations are reviewed and inspected by the VA SERP (Systematic External Review Program), JCAH (Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals), and the VA Inspector General.



#### III. OBJECTIVES

Α. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The objective is for the VA to provide quality hospital, nursing home, and domiciliary services to eligible veterans with the resources appropriated by Congress.

В. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

Funds are allocated to the VA by the Congress as part of the Federal Budget. The VA distributes allocated funds to individual facilities within the VA system.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is Α. determined.

Eligibility is determined for individual veterans applying for medical care.

Income eligibility standards. В.

Vetelans who have a service-connected disability, are retired from the military for a disability, are former POWs, are veterans of World War I or the Mexican border period, are eligible for Medicaid, are in receipt of VA pension, or are in need of care for conditions possibly related to exposure to Agent Orange or to ionizing radiation, are eligible for free care by the VA without regard to income.

Other veterans are subject to an income based means-test as of July 1, 1986. The VA is obligated to furnish free hospital care and may furnish nursing home and outpatient care to veterans with a disability which is not service-connected (NSC) if his or her income is less than \$15,195 and to married veterans with incomes less than \$18,234 (an additional \$1,103 is allowed for each dependent). For NSC veterans with incomes between \$15,195 and \$20,260 with no dependents, \$18,260 and \$25,325 with a spouse, the VA may furnish free hospital, nursing home, and outpatient care as resources permit. For NSC veterans with incomes in excess of these amounts, a copayment equal to the Medicare copayment is required to establish eligibility for VA care, which may then be furnished as resources permit.

The income thresholds used to determine eligibility are adjusted annually on January 1 by an equivalent of the percent increase in VA pension rates.



Applicable income and assets are determined in the same manner as for VA pension. Excluded is income from relief or welfare organizations, proceeds from fire insurance policies, certain unreimbursed medical expenses, and amounts paid for vocational rehabilitation or training.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Other conditions include an other than dishonorable discharge from active duty, minimum active duty service time for veterans enlisting after 1980, and medical need.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Veterans required to make a copayment to establish eligibility for VA care must pay an amount not to exceed the Medicare deductible within a 90 day billing period.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.
- All beneficiaries are voluntary applicants.
- B. Program benefits or services.

Medical services are provided by the VA as needed to veterans determined eligible for care within the available resources and according to established priority groups.

The medical care provided by the VA includes the full range of inpatient, outpatient and long-term care services. In addition to physician services the VA provides dental care, rehabilitation and readjustment services, medicines and medical supplies, skilled nursing care, and home health services.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information is available on the average duration of participation.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Eligibility for VA pension or for Medicaid (along with veteran status) provides basic eligibility for VA health care.



B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Changes in amount of benefits from other programs have no effect on amount of benefits from VA health care once eligibility is established.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Medicare, Medicaid, and health care available at Department of Defense facilities may provide benefits to some of the same patient population. Such benefits are either the direct provision of or coverage for health care.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Veterans' Affairs

### House of Representatives

Committee on Veterans' Affairs

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on HUD - Independent Agencies

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on HUD - Independent Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees have held hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

Almost every ression of Congress has passed legislation affecting veterans' health care.

Pub. L. 91-500 - October 22, 1970: Authorized outpatient treatment for any condition to any veteran in receipt of increased pension or additional compensation based on the need for regular aid and attendance or by reason or being permanently housebound; exempted veterans in receipt of pension from making statement under oath regarding ability to defray necessary expenses of hospital care.



- Authorized hospital care for nonservice connected disabilities for veterans aged 65 or over without regard to their ability to defray expenses of such care.
- Pub. L. 93-82 August 2, 1973: Permitted the furnishing of services on an outpatient or ambulatory basis to any veteran eligible for hospital care where such services are reasonably necessary in preparation for or to obviate the need for hospital admission or to complete hospital care; and to any veteran who has a service connected disability rate at 80 percent or more.
- Authorized direct admission to VA or community nursing homes at VA expense for veterans requiring nursing home care for service-connected disabilities.
- Provided for the furnishing of hospital care or nursing home care to a peacetime veteran for a nonservice connected disability if he is unable to defray the expenses of necessary care.
- Pub. L. 94-581 October 21, 1976: Restricted OPT-NSC to 12 months. Added additional CHAMPVA beneficiaries. Authorized the home improvement and structural alterations benefits as home health services. Rescinded authority to provide fee medical care to aid and attendance or housebound veterans.
- Pub. L. 96-22 June 13, 1979: Authorized the Readjustment Counseling Program, dental treatment for POWS detained 6 months or more, alcohol and drug treatment in Halfway Houses. Restored authority to provide fee medical care to A&A and HB veterans and required an annual report on our fee and contract programs.
- Pub. L. 96-151 December 20, 1979: Placed limitation on fee dental. Authorized contract hospital care for NSC disabilities for veterans receiving medical services in a VA or other governmental facility. Broadened entitlement of WWI and Mexican border period veterans. Liberalized entitlement of CHAMPVA.
- <u>Pub. L. 96-330 August 26, 1980: Modified VA standards for presumption of inability to pay medical expenses and provided for the availability of funds for beneficiary travel.</u>
- Pub. L. 86-342 September 8, 1980: Denied certain benefits to persons who fail to complete at least 2 years of an original enlistment.
- Pub. L. 97-35 August 13, 1981: Reduced dental eligibility by requiring application within 90 days of discharge or separation instead of 1 year and required a minimum 180 days of active duty.
- Pub. L. 37-37 August 14, 1981: Authorized OPT for any disability of a former POW within the limits of VA facilities. Exempted NSC former POWs from signing the cath of inability to pay for hospital or NH care. Provided special priority for OP



services to former NSC POWs ahead of all the other NSC veterans, including those receiving A&A and HB allowance.

Pub. L. 97-72 - November 3, 1981: Authorized medical care for conditions possibly related to exposure to Agent Orange or ionizing radiation. Expanded VA authority to provide medical care to CHAMPVA beneficiaries at facilities equipped to provide care. Provided statutory authority to recover cost of medical care furnished at VA facilities from victims of motor vehicle accidents, crimes of personal violence, and to veterans injured at work and entitled to workers' compensation.

Pub. L. 97-306 - October 14, 1982: Minimum active-duty service requirements.

Pub. L. 97-251 - September 1982: Extended CHAMPVA eligibility for beneficiaries who lost eligibility because of Medicare coverage and then exhausted Medicare Part A coverage.

Pub. L. 97-174 - May 4, 1982: Authorized VA-DOD contingency planning and sharing of health care resources.

Pub. L. 98-160 - November 21, 1983: Removed the time limits for Vietnam veterans to request Readjustment Counseling. Provided new authority for the VA to furnish adult day health care to certain veterans by contract or in VA facilities. Clarified authority for the VA's operation of the Residential Care Home Program.

Pub. L. 98-543 - October 24, 1984: Authorized hospital care and medical services which may be provided to any veteran who is participating in a vocational training program.

Pub. L. 99-166 - January 3, 1985: Removed the Virgin Islands from delimiting restrictions for contract care. Extended authority for contract care in Puerto Rico. Removed the delimiting date for readjustment counseling. Allowed direct placement in CNHs in Alaska and Hawaii.

<u>Pub. L. 99-272 - April 9, 1986</u>: Established three distinct levels of categories of eligibility for VA hospital and nursing home care within the framework of an income based means-test. Allowed for recovery of the cost of medical care furnished to nonservice connected veterans from third-party health insurance policies carried by those veterans.



# VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 64.009 VETERANS HEALTH CARE (NON-SERVICE CONNECTED)

Data Sources: Veterans' Administration.

These data are based on a FY 84 20% sample of outpatient visits by state that were catagorized into SC or NSC by individual. Funds for hospitalized veterans are not included. FY 84 proportions were used to estimate proportions of FY 85 spending going for NSC by state.
 These dollar expenditures represent funds used by VA to provide medical care to NSC veterans.
 Included in expenditures for Hawall.
 Included in expenditures for Puerto Rico.

# VIII B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 64.009 VETERANS HEALTH CARE (NON-SERVICE-CONNECTED)

Data Sources: Veterans' Administration.

These data are based on an FY 84 20% sample of outpatient visits that were categorized into SC or NSC by individual. Expenditures for hospitalized veterans are not included.
 These dollar ependitures represent funds used by VA to provide medical care to NSC veterans.
 Included in expenditures for Hawall.
 Included in expenditures for Puerto Rico.



# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 64.009 VETERANS HEALTH CARE (NON-SERVICE-CONNCTED)

|                          | •   | !                   | ı   |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
|                          |     | Outpatients         | (1) |
| United States            |     | 1,735,852           |     |
| Alabama                  |     | 23,854              |     |
| Aiaska<br>Arizona        |     | 5,924<br>25,363     |     |
| Arkansas                 |     | 23,894              |     |
| California               |     | 23, 894<br>244, 954 |     |
| Coiorado<br>Connecticut  | 1 1 | 16,681              |     |
| Delaware                 |     | 17,175<br>6,182     |     |
| D. C.                    | i   | 28,554              |     |
| Fiorida<br>Coorgia       |     | 69,797              |     |
| Georgia<br>Hawali        |     | 22,670<br>2,677     |     |
| idaho                    |     | 5,401               |     |
| iiiinois                 |     | 118,007<br>20,591   |     |
| indiana<br>iowa          |     | 20,591              | ' ! |
| Kansas                   |     | 14,166<br>19,257    | į   |
| Kentucky                 |     | 17,842<br>42,599    | i   |
| Louisiana<br>Maine       |     | 42,599              | - 1 |
| Mary land                |     | 5,145  <br>19,127   | i   |
| Massachusetts            |     | 54, 186             | - 1 |
| Michigan                 |     | 54,186<br>48,541    | İ   |
| Minnešota<br>Mississippi | ľ   | 32,273              | ľ   |
| Missouri                 |     | 16,138  <br>47,741  | į   |
| Montana                  |     | 47,741  <br>4,558   | ļ   |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada       |     | 19,179 ¦            | İ   |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire  | i   | 13,895<br>5,803     | į   |
| New Jersey               |     | 18,970              | Ì   |
| New Mexico               | į   | 7,690 ¦             | į   |
| New York<br>N. Carolina  |     | 169,365 ¦           | -   |
| N. Dakota                |     | 30,626  <br>5,010   | İ   |
| Ohio                     |     | 62,595              |     |
| Okiahona j               | - 1 | 22,214<br>27,295    | į   |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania   | İ   | 27,295  <br>67 101  |     |
| Rhode island             | - [ | 67,181  <br>10.500  | Ì   |
| S. Carolina !            | ]   | 10,500<br>18,337    | i   |
| S. Dakota                | ľ   | 13,280              | - 1 |
| Tennessee<br>Texas       | İ   | 35,465              | i   |
| Utah j                   | l   | 98,560<br>12,986    |     |
| Vermont :                | į   | 5,252               |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington   | Ĭ   | 40,065              |     |
| W. Virginia              | ĺ   | 25,914<br>16,931    |     |
| Wisconsin                |     | 28,362              | ļ   |
| Wyoming :                |     | 4,702               | Ì   |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico      | (2) | 22 270              |     |
|                          | (2) | 22,378              | į   |
|                          | ·-/ | <br>                |     |

Data Sources: Veterans' Administration.

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

<sup>(1)</sup> Data based on a 20% sample of outpatient visits. Numbers of hospitalized veterans are not included. (2) Data not available.

# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 64.CO9 VETERANS HEALTH CARE (NON-SERVICE-CONNECTED)

| <b>:</b>   |     |                                      | 1   |
|--|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|
|  |     | Outpat lents                         | (1) |
| هدانك فتارت فدينه بدينها والأناف فالأناف والأناف فالأناف |     |                                      | ``  |
| United States  |     | 1,565,551                            |     |
| Alabama  |     | 21,438                               |     |
| Alaska   |     | 4,566                                |     |
| Ar Izona   |     | 25,209                               | i   |
| Arkansas<br>California                                   |     | 21,438<br>243,815                    | ]   |
| Colorado   |     | 16,479                               |     |
| Connecticut  |     | 15,635                               |     |
| Delaware<br>D. C.  |     | 5,113<br>23,914                      |     |
| Fiorida  |     | 64,398                               |     |
| Georgia  |     | 21,969                               |     |
| Hawall<br>Idaho  |     | 2,504                                |     |
| III Inols  |     | 5,036<br>116,778                     |     |
| Indiana  |     | 17,999                               |     |
| lowa   |     | 18,370                               |     |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky                                       |     | 18,267<br>16,406                     |     |
| Louisiana  |     | 43,400                               |     |
| Maine  |     | 3,910                                |     |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts                                |     | 19,087<br>51,451                     |     |
| Michigan   |     | 46,762                               |     |
| Minnesota  |     | 31,349<br>14,779                     |     |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri                                  |     | 14,779<br>49,736                     |     |
| Montana  |     | 4,222                                |     |
| Nebraska   | i   | 16,466                               | İ   |
| Nevada<br>New Harrach Ira                                | •   | 13,338                               |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey                              |     | 5,764<br>19,457                      | !!  |
| New Mexico   |     | 19,457<br>8,129                      |     |
| New York   |     | 156,962                              |     |
| ∛. Carolina<br>N. Dakota                                 |     | 30,529<br>A 898                      | i   |
| Ohlo   |     | 4,898<br>59,949                      |     |
| Ok lahoma  |     | ! 21.994                             |     |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania                                   | İ   | 20,949<br>64,376<br>10,189<br>17,564 | i i |
| Rhode Island   |     | 10,189                               |     |
| S. Carolina  | į   | 17,564                               |     |
| S. Dakota  |     | טוס,טו ו                             |     |
| Tennessee<br>Texas                                       | ĺ   | 33,883<br>95,307                     |     |
| Utah   | İ   | 12,626                               |     |
| Vermont  |     | 5,036                                |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington                                   | į   | 5,036<br>33,495<br>24,275            |     |
| W. Virginia  |     | 16, 180                              |     |
| Wisconsin  |     | 16,180<br>25,568                     |     |
| Wyom Ing   | (2) | 4,510                                |     |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico                                      | (2) | 20,679                               |     |
| Virgin Islands   | (2) | ,                                    |     |
|  |     | 165 2-0-0 60-16 10-16 60 60 61-16    |     |

Data Sources: Veterans' Administration.



<sup>(1)</sup> Data is based on a 20% sample of outpatient visits.
Numbers of hospitalized veterans are not included.
(2) Data unavailable.

# X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 64.009 VETERANS HEALTH CARE (NON-SERVICE-CONNECTED)

Data Sources: Veterans' Administration.

- Data Based on 20% outpatient sample.
   Data Included in Hawall.
   Data Included in Puerto Rico.



# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.009 VETERANS HEALTH CARE (NON-SERVICE-CONNECTED)

|   |     | Outpat lent   |
|---|-----|---|
| United States   |     | \$2,604   |
| Alabama<br>Alaska<br>Arizona<br>Arkansas<br>California                      |     | \$3,283<br>\$4,132<br>\$2,224<br>\$3,579<br>\$1,920                 |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut<br>Delaware<br>D. C.<br>Florida<br>Georgia          |     | \$2,768<br>\$2,704<br>\$3,526<br>\$2,333<br>\$2,187<br>\$3,267      |
| Hawaii<br>Idaho<br>IIIInols<br>Indiana<br>Iowa                              |     | \$1,291<br>\$1,993<br>\$2,344<br>\$3,899<br>\$3,328                 |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky<br>Louisiana<br>Maine<br>Maryland<br>Massachusetts       |     | \$3,109<br>\$3,223<br>\$2,031<br>\$3,791<br>\$2,442<br>\$2,007      |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota<br>Mississippi<br>Missouri<br>Montana                 |     | \$2,232    <br>\$2,323    <br>\$3,024  <br>\$2,449  <br>\$3,073     |
| Nebraksa<br>Nevada<br>New Hampshire<br>New Jersey<br>New Mexico<br>New York |     | \$2,804<br>\$1,515<br>\$1,951<br>\$3,397<br>\$1,974<br>\$2,454      |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma<br>Oregon<br>Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina   |     | \$2,724<br>\$1,877<br>\$2,873<br>\$2,358<br>\$1,551<br>\$2,663      |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee<br>Texas<br>Utah<br>Vermont                          |     | \$3,600    <br>\$3,103    <br>\$2,316    <br>\$2,258    <br>\$2,486 |
| Virginia<br>Washington<br>W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin<br>Wyoming<br>Guam       | (2) | \$2,778<br>\$2,676<br>\$3,184<br>\$3,322<br>\$3,897                 |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands   | (3) | <b>\$1,726</b>  |

Data Sources: Veterans' Administration.

(1) Data based on a 20% outpatient sample.(2) Data included in Hawaii.(3) Data included in Puerto Rico.



### XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars In thousands) 64.009 VETERANS HEALTH CARE (NON-SERVICE-CONNECTED)

| Federa!  |   | Total  |     |  |     |  |   |
|--|---|--|-----|--|-----|--|---|
| Fiscal   | - | Federal  | !   | !  | !   | Total  | 1 |
| Year   | 1 | Outlays  | (1) | Outpat lents   | (2) | Staff  | l |
|  | - |  | 1   |  | `-' |  | l |
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 |   | \$5,393,363<br>\$5,139,108<br>\$4,476,129<br>\$4,374,639<br>\$4,077,666<br>\$0,871,150<br>\$3,382,576<br>\$3,228,482<br>\$2,888,409<br>\$2,491,822<br>\$2,279,720<br>\$1,895,107<br>\$1,656,470<br>\$1,562,914<br>\$1,301,541<br>\$1,132,516<br>\$976,272<br>\$886,082<br>\$1,491,795<br>\$1,689,940<br>\$689,940<br>\$644,973<br>\$608,107<br>\$570,295<br>\$544,395<br>\$499,795 |     | 1,735,848<br>1,656,758<br>1,605,283<br>1,554,092<br>1,543,866<br>1,542,957<br>1,478,939<br>1,487,296<br>1,453,142<br>1,395,095<br>1,254,399<br>1,342,703<br>1,212,004<br>1,080,264<br>947,135<br>863,991<br>829,018<br>782,570<br>742,432<br>730,570<br>718,117<br>729,242<br>685,676<br>476,265<br>366,308<br>360,423 |     | 97,871<br>96,195<br>96,055<br>95,099<br>94,096<br>94,520<br>92,506<br>94,119<br>89,980<br>87,713<br>83,708<br>80,397<br>78,035<br>74,036<br>68,382<br>66,646<br>67,139<br>67,878<br>66,446<br>65,443<br>65,879<br>66,176<br>66,453<br>66,585<br>65,375<br>64,787 |   |
|  |   |  | . ! | 1  | i   |  |   |

Data Sources: Veterans' Administration.
Office of Management and Budget.

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents share of Veterans Administration medical care outlays attributable to veterans, inicuding pensioners, without service-connected disabilities.
(2) Estimates of NSC patients based on a 20% outpatient sample which identified patients as SC or NSC. Counts do not include hospitalized NSC veterans.

#### TNDTAN HEALTH SERVICE

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Indian Health Service (IHS) provides a full range of medical services for American Indians, Alaska natives, and their families. The program is administered by the Department of Health and Human Services; state and local governments play no direct role in the program. The IHS delivers health care in its own facilities or contracts for some services with Indian tribal organizations, private profit and nonprofit organizations, and private practitioners.

In FY 1985, the IHS service population totaled 963,000 and federal outlays for the program totaled about \$813 million. All IHS health care providers are expected to seek reimbursement from public or private third-party payments such as private insurance or Medicaid. In FY 1985, total IHS revenues from other sources were about \$33 million. Over the past 10 years, the number of persons served under IHS has increased about 64 percent and the real costs of the program to the federal government have increased about 68 percent.

All persons regarded as Indians by federally recognized Indian tribes, and all Alaska natives of Indian, Aleut, or Eskimo descent, who live in a designated health service area are eligible for all IHS services free of charge. Non-Indian wives are eligible for services during pregnancy and the postpartum period.

To the extent resources permit, IHS provides a full range of medical services. Benefits include inpatient and outpatient hospital care, laboratory and dental services, the services of mobile clinics and public health nurses. Resources are used to build and renovate medical facilities and to provide for safe drinking water and sanitary waste disposal. Special initiatives are directed at improving mental health and alcoholism services, and preventive care such as screening for diseases and immunizations.

The legislative history of the IHS indicates that the Indian population lags behind the overall U.S. population on such key health indicators as life expectancy, incidence of injuries, and persistence of infectious diseases, particularly among newborns. In recent years, the self-help concept of the Tribal Management Program has assisted tribes in their efforts to operate their own health programs.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Indian Health Service.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.228 Budget account number(s): 75-0390-0-1-551
- C. Current authorizing statutes: Snyder Act 25 U.S.C. 13; Indian Self-Determination Act 25 U.S.C. 450; Indian Health Care Improvement Act 25 U.S.C. 1601-1680; Indian Hospitals and Health Facilities (also known as "The Transfer Act") 42 U.S.C. 2001-2005f.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 42 CFR 36.
- E. Federal administering agency: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; private for-profit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; private for-profit organizations.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funding is made available by appropriation, not through entitlement. The IHS delivers health care services and contracts with tribal organizations and the private sector to provide health care services. Funds are allocated through eleven Area Offices, which in turn provide administrative support to the IHS hospitals and health centers.

Where tribal organizations act as contractors, services contracted by a tribe under a Pub. L. 93-638 contract are based on what the Secretary of DHHS would have otherwise spent operating that program.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

The direct federal responsibility for Indian affairs is based on the Constitution, treaties, and court decisions. State and local governments have no role in the administration of IHS programs on Indian reservations. Tribal governments are increasingly assuming responsibility for administering programs that otherwise would be operated by the IHS.



J. Audit or quality control.

The legal responsibility for contracts rests with the Contracting Officer (usually at the Area Office), who delegates certain authority to the Project Officer (usually the consultant for that particular discipline or service) and holds the Project Officer accountable for exercising that authority properly. The Project Officer monitors technical performance and reports any potential or actual problems to the Contracting Officer.

Contract monitoring varies considerably both in intensity and in methodology, depending on the importance and size of the contract effort, as well as the type of contract. The Indian Health Service currently administers 367 Pub. L. 93-638 contracts that range from single to multi-service contracts.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The basic authority for IHS to provide services to Indians is the Snyder Act which authorizes the expenditure of funds "for relief of distress and conservation of health" of "Indians throughout the United States."

Under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, a major goal is providing health services which will permit the health status of Indians to be raised to the highest possible level and to encourage the maximum participation of Indians in the planning and management of these services.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Administratively, the IHS is divided into eleven Area Offices. Each area and program office is responsible for operating the IHS program within its designated geographic area.

Appropriate resources have been used for the purpose of direct and contract care operations, to expand health services, build and renovate medical facilities, and step-up the construction of safe drinking water and sanitary disposal facilities.

Special initiatives are directed at improving mental health services, alcoholism services, disease prevention and health promotion activities (including Hepatitis B screening and immunization programs), and sanitation programs.



### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual indians are eligible. Indian eligibility rests on factors such as: "... tribal membership, enrollment, residence on tax exempt land, ownership of restricted property, active participation in tribal affairs, or other relevant factors ..." Approximately 963,000 Americans of Indian descent are currently eligible to receive health services from the Indian Health Service.

Urban (Title V) programs serve Indians who reside in an urban center and who meet one of the following criteria:

- o Irrespective of whether he or she lives on or near a reservation, is a member of a tribe, band, or other organized group of Indians including those tribes, bands, or groups terminated since 1940 and those recognized now or in the future by the state in which they reside, or who is a descendant, in the first or second degree, of any such member;
- o Is an Eskimo or Aleut or other Alaska Native;
- o Is considered by the Secretary of the Interior to be an Indian for any purpose;
- o Is determined to be an Indian under regulations promulgated by the Secretary.

IHS regulations do not prohibit urban programs from serving non-Indians, and funding from other sources often requires urban Indian programs to serve certain populations that include non-Indians (e.g., non-Indian family members). IHS does require that the number of Indians served by each program be proportional to the amount of money provided by IHS.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Legislation governing the Indian Health Service does not require a means-test to determine who should receive medical care.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

None.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.



### V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES

A. Program intake processes.

Most Indian health care facilities and programs are on or near a reservation. It is the policy and practice to serve eligible Indians in need of medical care who present themselves at IHS facilities. The 1984 caseload was approximately 620,000 patients.

B. Program benefits or services.

To the extent resources permit, American Indians and Alaska Natives served by the IHS receive a full range of preventive, primary medical (hospital and ambulatory), community health, and rehabilitative services through IHS directly or indirectly, through contract clinics and hospitals. Services include dental services, mental health services, alcoholism services, and a variety of disease prevention and sanitation programs.

Since the transfer of Indian Health programs from the Department of Interior to the Public Health Service in 1955, this comprehensive health delivery system has developed into two basic modes of delivery. Direct health services are provided through the operation of 45 hospitals, 72 health centers, and several hundred smaller health stations and satellite clinics. tribal health delivery system administered by tribes and tribal groups through contracts with the IHS operates six hospitals and approximately 300 health clinics. The purchase of medical care from non-IHS and non-tribal providers is designated contract health services. Contract health services represent supplemental services either not available in IHS' direct or tribal facilities or in locations where no IHS or tribal facility exists. has approximately 1,300 contracts with private providers (primarily for the delivery of specialty care). In addition, various clinical and referral services are provided to Indians in urban settings through 35 urban health projects.

This complex system provides high quality preventive, curative, rehabilitative, and environmental health services to the eligible Indian and Alaska Native population. The "hospital and health clinics" budget component is the primary funding source for the delivery of inpatient and ambulatory general patient care, psychiatry, ophthalmology, diabetes program, energency medical services, laboratory, and radiology and other special services.

Preventive health services are provided through the Environmental Health, Public Health Nursing, Health Education, Community Health Representative and Hepatitis B Screening and Immunization programs. These programs include such services as community injury control, water supply surveillance, prenatal care, maternal and child health services, well child clinics, family planning, care of high risk mothers and infants, school health, and immunizations.



58

C. Duration of benefits.

There is no information available about average duration of participation. However, unlike means-tested programs, the only cligibility criterion is status as an Indian. Individual Indians may remain eligible for their lifetime.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

None.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

An Indian is potentially eligible for other benefits, e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, and other third-party health care programs. Where that occurs, reimbursement is requested.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Other programs providing similar services to the Indian population are the Veterans Administration (for the veteran only and not the Indian veteran's family), Medicare, and Medicaid. There is no duplication with Medicare and Medicaid because they reimburse the provider of services, including the IHS if an IHS facility provides the service.

Indian programs are carefully managed to expend resources only after all other alternatives are utilized. Maternal and Child Health (MCH) and other state based services are used when eligibility exists, but does not duplicate Indian services.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Select Committee on Indian Affairs

### House of Representatives

'Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs



B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on the Interior

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on the Interior

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

### House of Representatives

Committee on Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Health and the Environment.

D. Federal legislation.

The Snyder Act of 1921, provided the basic authority (beyond treaty obligations) to provide health services to Indians.

The Transfer Act of 1954, Pub. L. 83-568, transferred responsibility for Indian Health services from the Department of the Interior to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

The Indian Sanitation Facilities Act, Pub. L. 86-121, authorized the provision of domestic water supply and distribution systems, waste collection and disposal facilities and other essential sanitation facilities for Indian homes and communities. These facilities are built by the IHS or by the tribe under agreement with the IHS. The tribes assume operation and maintenance responsibility once the facilities are completed.

The Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act, Pub. L. 93-638, specifically gave Indian tribes and Alaska Native groups the option of managing and operating health care programs in their communities.

The Indian Health Care Improvement Act, Pub. L. 94-437, was intended to elevate the health status of Indians and Alaska Natives to a level equal to that of the general population through a seven-year program of authorized higher resource levels in the IHS budget. This provided for new initiatives in health manpower, the eligibility of IHS facilities for Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement, and urban health programs.



| 1                         | Benefits            | Administration | Spent Under This<br> Program Authority | Total               | 17911 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---------------------|-------|
| Ibltod Ctatos             |                     |                |  | 10(01               | (2)   |
| United States             | \$575,376           | \$57,988       | \$17,268                               | \$650,632           |       |
| Alabama                   |                     |                |  | į                   |       |
| Alaska                    | \$90,053            | \$7,168        | \$2,651                                | \$99,872            |       |
| Ar Izona<br>Arkansas      | \$112,250           | \$12,396       | \$3,398                                | \$128,044           |       |
| California                | \$25,709            | \$1,716        | <b>6740</b>                            | 400 170             |       |
| Colorado                  | \$1,837             | \$1,710        | \$748<br>\$50                          | \$28,172<br>\$1,887 |       |
| Connect I cut             |                     |                | 400                                    | \$1,007             | !!    |
| Delaware<br>D. C.         |                     |                |  | į                   | 1 1   |
| Florida                   | \$2,470             |                | \$67                                   | 40 507              |       |
| Georgia                   | 1                   |                | 307                                    | \$2,537             | j i   |
| Hawa 11<br>Idaho          | <b>AF 000</b>       |                |  | <u> </u>            |       |
| Illinois                  | \$5,890             |                | * <sup>1</sup> 61                      | <b>\$</b> 6,051     |       |
| Indiana                   |                     |                |  |                     |       |
| lowa<br>Kansas            | \$507               |                | \$14                                   | <b>\$</b> 521       |       |
| Kentucky                  | \$1,963             |                | <b>\$</b> 54                           | \$2,017             |       |
| Louisiana i               | \$823               |                | \$22                                   | 4040                |       |
| Maine                     | \$2,374             | \$8,013        | \$283                                  | \$846<br>\$10,670   |       |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts | \$3,357             |                | \$92                                   | \$3,448             |       |
| Michigan !                | \$253  <br>\$4,687  |                | \$7                                    | \$260               |       |
| Minnesota                 | \$16,395            | \$1,783        | \$128<br>\$496                         | \$4,815<br>\$18,673 |       |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri   | <b>\$</b> 5,194     |                | \$142                                  | \$5,335             |       |
| Montana                   | \$37,909            | \$3,763        | <b>41 000</b>                          |                     |       |
| Nebraska                  | \$5.890             | 40,700         | \$1,000<br>\$161                       | \$37,672<br>\$6,051 |       |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire   | \$5,337             |                | \$154                                  | \$5,791             |       |
| New Jersey                |                     |                |  | , , , , ,           |       |
| New Mexico                | \$72,720            | \$7,527        | \$2,188                                | <b>\$</b> 82,435    |       |
| New York<br>N. Carolina   | \$4,370             | ,,,,,,         | <b>\$119</b>                           | \$4,489             |       |
| N. Dakota                 | \$6,650<br>\$14,757 |                | \$181                                  | <b>\$6,832</b>      |       |
| Ohlo i i                  |                     |                | \$402                                  | \$15,160            |       |
| Ok lahoma                 | \$72,080            | \$4,874        | \$2,098                                | \$79,052            |       |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania    | \$8,573             | \$3,588        | \$332                                  | \$12,492            | ļ     |
| Rhode Island              | \$190               |                | <b>\$</b> 5                            | <b>\$10</b> 5       |       |
| S. Carolina               | İ                   |                | 40                                     | <b>\$</b> 195       | İ     |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee    | \$35,720  <br>\$252 | \$5,385        | \$1,121                                | \$42,226            |       |
| Texas                     | \$63                | \$1,775        | \$55                                   | \$2,082             | İ     |
| Utah                      | \$2,787             |                | \$2<br>\$76                            | \$65<br>\$2,863     | İ     |
| Vermont<br>Virginia       |                     |                | 4.0                                    | <b>42,000</b>       | -     |
| Washington                | \$22,864            |                | 4000                                   |                     | İ     |
| W. Virginia !!            |                     |                | \$623                                  | \$23,488            |       |
| Wisconsin !!              | \$9,880             |                | \$269                                  | \$10,150            |       |
| Wyoming<br>Guam           | \$6,270             |                | \$171                                  | \$6,441             | i     |
| Puerto Rico               | Í                   |                |  | į                   | İ     |
| Virgin Islands!           | Í                   | į į            | 1 1                                    | į                   | į     |



<sup>(1)</sup> The IHS is exclusively a Federal operation; for purposes of this table, funds are prorated to States on the basis of where the funds are spent.(2) The total does not agree with Table XI because it is based on obligations rather than outlays.

# VIII B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 13.228 INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

|  |  |                     | "OTHER" Funds                          |  |     |
|--|--|---------------------|--|--|-----|
|  | Benefits                                   | Administration      | Spent Under This<br> Program Authority | Totai (2)                                  | ) [ |
| United States  | \$486,502                                  | \$62,012            | \$36,376                               | \$584,890                                  |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska  | \$75,528<br>\$96,247                       | \$7,281<br>\$11,240 | \$5.492<br>\$7,128                     | \$8′ ,301<br>\$114,616                     |     |
| Arizona<br>Arkanus<br>California                           | \$12,613                                   | \$8,226             | \$1,382                                | \$22,221                                   |     |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut<br>Delaware<br>D. C.               | \$1,645                                    |                     | \$109                                  | \$1,755                                    |     |
| Fiorida<br>Georgia   | \$2,742                                    |                     | \$182                                  | \$2,924                                    |     |
| HawaTi<br>Idaho<br>IIIInols<br>Indiana                     | <b>\$</b> 4,387                            |                     | \$291                                  | \$4,678                                    |     |
| iowa<br>Kansas   | \$549<br>\$1,645                           |                     | \$36<br>\$109                          | \$585<br>\$1,755                           | İ   |
| Kentucky<br>Lou!slana<br>Maine<br>Maryland                 | \$549<br>\$1,645<br>\$15,744               | \$7,838             | \$36<br>\$1,564<br>\$109               | \$585<br>\$25,145<br>\$1,755               |     |
| Wassachusetts<br>Michigan<br>Minnesota                     | \$108<br>\$3,290<br>\$12,555               | \$1,704             | \$7<br>\$218<br>\$946                  | \$116<br>\$3,508<br>\$15,204               |     |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri<br>Montana                         | \$4,388<br>\$26,813                        | <b>\$</b> 3,897     | \$291<br>\$2,037                       | \$4,679<br>\$32,747                        |     |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada<br>New Hampshire<br>New Jersey          | \$5,484<br>\$5,032                         | \$3,00              | \$364<br>\$400                         | \$5,848<br>\$6,432                         | i   |
| New Mexico<br>New York<br>N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota         | \$61,390<br>\$3,839<br>\$5,484<br>\$12,613 | \$7,161             | \$4,546<br>\$255<br>\$364<br>\$836     | \$73,097<br>\$4,093<br>\$5,848<br>\$13,450 |     |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma<br>Oregon<br>Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island | \$59,503<br>\$5,997                        | \$4,660<br>\$3,326  | \$4,255<br><b>\$</b> 618               | \$68,418<br>\$9,941                        |     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota<br>Tennessee                      | \$28,967<br>\$0                            | \$5,034<br>\$1,645  | \$2,255<br>\$109                       | \$36,256<br>\$1,755                        |     |
| Texas<br>Utah<br>Vermont                                   | <b>\$</b> 2,193                            |                     | \$145                                  | \$2,339                                    |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington<br>W. Virginia                      | \$20,839                                   |                     | \$1,382                                | \$22,221                                   |     |
| Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico Virgin Islands          | \$8,774<br>\$4,935                         |                     | \$582<br>\$327                         | \$9,356<br>\$5,263                         |     |



<sup>(1)</sup> The IHS is exclusively a Federal operation; for purposes of this table, funds are prorated to States on the basis of where the funds are spent.(2) The total does not agree with Table Xi because it is based on obligations rather than outlays.

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) 13.228 INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

|                               | , _ <b>A</b> II     |                 |                            |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
|                               | Persons<br>Eligibie | Elderly         | Handicapped<br>or Disabled |
| United States                 | 963,294             | 74,378          | 65,413                     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska             | 2,704               | 218             | 225                        |
| Ar Izona                      | 73,798<br>174,998   | 4,827<br>11,520 | 3,117<br>10,277            |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia        | 75,672              | 5,616  <br>153  | 6,489                      |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut       | 3,048<br>840        | 82              | 209                        |
| Delaware<br>D. C.             |                     |                 | 73                         |
| Florida<br>Georgia            | 6,012               | 517             | 508                        |
| Hawall i                      |                     |                 |                            |
| Idaho<br>Illinois             | 7,704               | 526             | 573                        |
| indiana<br>Inwa               | 2,094               | 120             | 157                        |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky            | 3,312               | 258             | 157<br>267                 |
| Louisiana<br>Maine            | 1,192               | 92              | 89                         |
| Maryland                      | 2,652               | 166             | 241                        |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan     | 9,117               | 570             | 838                        |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi      | 19,654<br>4,701     | 1,237           | 1,408                      |
| Missouri<br>Montana           |                     | 316             | 405                        |
| Nebraska                      | 35,625<br>4,472     | 2,427           | 1,938<br>353               |
| New Hampshire                 | 15,158              | 1,127           | 941                        |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico      | 116,370             | 7,912           | E 000                      |
| New York<br>N. Carolina       | 10,421              | 976             | 5,066<br>859               |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo             | 6,154<br>19,953     | 491<br>1,201    | 502  <br>1,054             |
| Ok lahoma                     | 191,140             | 22,572          | 16,503                     |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania        | 29,008<br>71        | 1,808           | 2,982                      |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina   | 1,243               | 151             | 120                        |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee        | 47,235              | 3,240           | 2,795                      |
| Texas<br>Utah                 | 766                 | 58              | 56                         |
| Vermont                       | 10,491              | 365             | 490                        |
| Virginia<br>Washington        | 62,562              | 4,069           | 5,292                      |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin      | 19,468              | 1,369           |                            |
| Wyom ling<br>Guam             | 5,649               | 278             | 1 <b>,296</b><br>282       |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands |                     |                 |                            |
| ALIANI 19 ICHO                |                     |                 |                            |



<sup>(1)</sup> Persons eligible for IHS services based on adjusted U.S. Census figures. Recipient data by State are not available.

# (X. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) 13.228 INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

|  | All                         |                          |                            |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | Persons<br>Served           | Elderly                  | Handlcapped<br>or Disabled |
| Unite: "tates  | 937,608                     | 72,612                   | 63,705                     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska<br>Arizona                               | 2,695<br>71,640<br>170,220  | 217<br>4,686<br>11,206   | 224<br>3,026<br>9,996      |
| Arkansas<br>California<br>Colorado<br>Connecticut          | 73,684<br>2,999<br>831      | 5,496<br>150<br>81       | 6,319<br>206<br>72         |
| Delaware<br>D. C.<br>Florida<br>Georgia                    | 5,957                       | 512                      | 504                        |
| Hawaii<br>Idaho<br>Iiinois                                 | 7,563                       | 517                      | 517                        |
| indiana<br>iowa<br>Kansas<br>Kentucky                      | 2,045<br>3,251              | 117<br>253               | 153<br>262                 |
| Louisiaña<br>Maine<br>Maryland                             | 1,169<br>2,992              | 90<br>187                | 87<br>272                  |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan<br>Minnesota<br>Missippi         | 8,945<br>18,968<br>4,584    | 559<br>1,194<br>308      | 822<br>1,359<br>395        |
| Missouri<br>Montana<br>Nebraska<br>Nevada<br>New Hampshire | 34,604<br>4,338<br>14,719   | 2,357<br>300<br>1,094    | 1,882<br>342<br>914        |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico<br>New York<br>N. Carolina        | 113,386<br>10,264<br>6,047  | 7,709<br>962<br>481      | 4,936<br>846<br>492<br>978 |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo<br>Oklahoma<br>Oregon                    | 18,518<br>186,294<br>28,031 | 1,114<br>21,999<br>1,747 | 16,085<br>2,881            |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island<br>S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota   | 70<br>1,224<br>45,950       | 148<br>3, 152            | 118  <br>2,719             |
| Tenriessee<br>Texas<br>Utah<br>Vermont                     | 759<br>10,238               | 38<br>356                | 55<br>479                  |
| Virginia<br>Washington<br>W. Virginia                      | 61,150                      | 3,977                    | 5,173                      |
| Wisconšin<br>Wyoming<br>Guam<br>Puerto Rico                | 19,013<br>3,462             | 1,337<br>268             | 1,266                      |
| Virgin Islands   |                             |                          |                            |



<sup>(1)</sup> Persons eligible for IHS services based on adjusted U.S. Census figures. Recipient data by State are not available.

# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 13.228 INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year   | Total<br>Federal<br>Outlays   | Persons<br>Eligible  |
|---|---|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>381<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 | \$812,900<br>\$789,900<br>\$692,000<br>\$653,900<br>\$679,500<br>\$636,600<br>\$1555,400<br>\$332,500<br>\$332,500<br>\$282,800<br>\$216,100<br>\$197,600<br>\$197,600<br>\$143,000<br>\$119,700<br>\$107,400<br>\$84,300<br>\$84,300<br>\$84,300<br>\$85,700<br>\$62,500<br>\$61,700<br>\$57,800<br>\$54,700 | 963, 294<br>937, 608<br>902, 922<br>870, 830<br>849, 173<br>828, 609<br>790, 486<br>726, 551<br>635, 313<br>611, 296<br>587, 468<br>557, 747<br>531, 314<br>507, 804<br>483, 840<br>459, 923<br>450, 390<br>432, 751<br>425, 397<br>426, 101<br>415, 806<br>407, 596<br>400, 694<br>393, 732<br>384, 364<br>380, 193 |

Data Sources: Outlay data from the Office of Management and Budget.
Eligible persons data from Health Resources and Services Administration.

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Services Block Grant helps states assure that mothers and children -- in particular, those with low incomes or with limited access to health services -- have access to quality maternal and child health services. States plan and administer their own programs and, under the block grant approach adopted in 1981, act as primary interpreters of the federal MCH law, assess their own needs, and allocate funds accordingly. States may carry out the program directly or subgrant to local governments or private nonprofit organizations as they deem appropriate.

Under the matching requirement for MCH funding, states must provide three dollars for every four federal dollars allocated. In FY 1985, federal allocations of \$478 million were matched by about \$305 million in state funds. Between 10 and 15 percent of federal appropriation is retained at the federal level to and special projects of regional and national significance such as research on genetic diseases and hemophilia.

In determining eligibility, states are required to use the federal poverty guidelines to identify low income mothers and children. No charges for services rendered to such persons may be imposed. All other eligibility criteria for mothers and children are determined on a state-by-state basis.

The MCH statute is prevention oriented and the program is designed to help states reduce infant mortality, preventable diseases, and handicapping conditions. Funds may be used for immunization, for prenatal and postnatal care, for locating and treating crippled children, and for diagnostic and treatment services targeted on high-risk women and infants. Under their broad authority to promote the health of mothers and children, states also use MCH funds for standards development, quality assurance, health education, and other activities.

When MCH was converted into a block grant in 1981, eight categorical programs were consolidated. In addition to the former Maternal and Child Health Services program, the programs replaced by the MCH Block Grant include crippled children's services, testing and counseling lead-based paint poisoning prevention, genetic diseases, sudden infant death syndrome, hemophilia diagnostic and treatment centers, disabled children receiving SSI benefits, and adolescent pregnancy prevention. This consolidation of programs has reduced administrative burdens and has given states more flexibility to assign priorities.



## II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.994
  Budget account number(s): 75-0350-0-1-551.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Title V, Social Security Act.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 45 CFR Part 96.
- E. Federal administering agency: Division of Maternal and Child Health, Bureau of Heath Care D livery, Health Resources and Services Administration, Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; the insular areas.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Counties; cities; tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Allocations are made on a quarterly basis to the State Health Agency or designated unit. Funds are allocated according to set percentages. Each state's percentage share is based on the dollars received in 1981 by the state for those programs consolidated into the block grant compared with the national total for those programs. The Governor of each state identifies which health agency or component thereof should receive the block grant.

States must provide three dollars for every four federal dollars allocated.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

The state is the grantee. It plans and administers the statewide maternal and child health and crippled children's programs. The state assesses needs and allocates resources. States may carry out programs directly or subgrant as they deem appropriate.

J. Audit or quality control.

States submit an annual report based on the requirements of the law. There is no format for the report, states are guided by the statute. States must perform their own audit based on GAO guidelines.



### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The purpose is to enable each state to assure mothers and children (in particular those with low incomes or with limited availability of health services) access to quality maternal and child health services. Additionally, states are provided with a broad authority to promote the health of mothers and children. States apply this authority as a basis for using federal and state MCH funds for standards development, health education, planning, quality assurance, school health activities, etc.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

States assess their needs and allocate funds accordingly. States are guided by the statute in assessing needs as there are no guidelines or policies established by the federal agency. This is reflective of the block grant program philosophy. At no time does the federal agency question the needs assessment methods utilized by the state agency. The state is required to assure that it is distributing funds fairly based on a needs assessment.

Grants are made to help states reduce infant mortality, preventable diseases, handicapping conditions and the need for in-patient and long-term care. Funds may be used for immunization, for prenaua care, for locating and treating crippled children and for other diagnostic and treatment services, especially those of a preventive nature.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual mothers and children.

B. Income eligibility standards.

In applying the statutory term "low income," states are to use the poverty income guidelines annually established by the OMB.

States carry out a variety of activities - health standards development, health education, public information, planning and data activities, school health, etc., which affect all income levels.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

None.



D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Services are provided without charge to mothers and children with incomes under poverty. Fees to others must be established by the state or local provider.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

These are determined separately for each state. Data are not collected on these processes. States or localities may advertise availability, outreach workers may seek high risk mothers or children, and/or referrals may be made by health or social service professionals.

B. Program benefits and services.

The full range of health services required to maintain and improve maternal and child health -- prenatal and postnatal care, immunization, family planning, health assessments for children, nutrition education, diagnosis and treatment of crippling conditions, etc.

Organizations eligible to provide services include state and local health agencies, hospitals, and clinics, private hospitals and clinics, and other nonprofit agencies.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information is available.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility.

None.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Rules for counting income are established by each state.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Medicaid pays for medical care for much of the low income population. Health programs which organize and deliver care seek to collect reimbursement for services from such programs. The MCHBG is a residual payment program. State MCH activities seek reimbursement from Medicaid, the Title XX Social Services Block Grant, and private insurance. They also plan and allocate resources in cooperation with other state health and social



agencies. State "rippled Children's Programs cooperate with Department of Education programs dealing with children with special needs.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Finance Subcommittee on Health

## House of Representatives

Committee on Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Health and Environment

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

- C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.
  None.
- D. Federal legislation.

The program was preceded by the Children's Bureau in 1912 and a state grant authority, the Sheppard-Towner Act, which expired in 1929. Since 1935, Title V of the Social Security Act has provided the program's legislative base. Various expansions have occurred over the years, but its basic pattern remains unchanged as a state-based, grant-in-aid program with special project development, leadership initiatives and technical assistance from headquarters and regional staff. The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 created its block grant status.



E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

The block grant regulations expanded the responsibility and authority of the state agency. The July 6, 1982 Federal Register contains the only federal implementing regulations for the MCHBG program.

The preamble to the regulations states: "The Secretary has determined that the Department should implement the block grant programs in a manner that is fully consistent with the Congressional intent to enlarge the states' ability to control use of the funds involved.... The states will...be subject only to the statutory requirements, and the Department will carry out its functions with due regard for the limited nature of the role that Congress has assigned to us."

Consistent with the block grant approach, the regulation states, "...a state shall obligate and expend block grant funds in accordance with the laws and procedures applicable to obligation and expenditure of its own funds." The states are primarily responsible for interpreting the governing statute; the Department defers to the state's interpretation of the statute unless the interpretation is clearly erroneous.



VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousand. (1) 13.994 & JERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

| Federa I  |   | State-local   |  | Total   | (2)   |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| \$478,000   | (3)   | \$304,725   | (4)  | \$782,725   |   |
| \$478,000<br>\$8,809<br>\$845<br>\$4,144<br>\$5,310<br>\$23,415<br>\$5,713<br>\$3,677<br>\$1,649<br>\$6,436<br>\$11,913<br>\$11,872<br>\$1,767<br>\$2,576<br>\$16,130<br>\$9,377<br>\$5,461<br>\$3,669<br>\$8,813<br>\$9,536<br>\$10,032<br>\$9,178<br>\$14,497<br>\$7,468<br>\$7,224<br>\$9,629<br>\$1,897<br>\$7,468<br>\$7,224<br>\$9,629<br>\$1,682<br>\$8,878<br>\$2,693<br>\$1,682<br>\$8,878<br>\$2,693<br>\$1,682<br>\$8,878<br>\$1,7040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,7040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,042<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,042<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,042<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,042<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,042<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$5,042<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040<br>\$1,040 | į į   | \$304,725<br>\$6,607<br>\$634<br>\$3,108<br>\$3,983<br>\$17,561<br>\$4,285<br>\$2,758<br>\$1,237<br>\$4,827<br>\$8,935<br>\$8,904<br>\$1,325<br>\$1,932<br>\$12,098<br>\$7,033<br>\$4,096<br>\$2,752<br>\$6,610<br>\$7,152<br>\$2,142<br>\$7,524<br>\$6,884<br>\$10,873<br>\$5,601<br>\$5,418<br>\$7,222<br>\$1,423<br>\$5,601<br>\$5,418<br>\$7,222<br>\$1,423<br>\$2,480<br>\$7,49<br>\$1,262<br>\$6,659<br>\$2,170<br>\$2,483<br>\$9,569<br>\$1,140<br>\$12,780<br>\$3,922<br>\$3,547<br>\$1,365<br>\$6,515<br>\$1,365<br>\$6,515<br>\$1,094<br>\$7,310  | (4)  | \$782,725  \$15,416 \$1,479 \$7,252 \$9,293 \$40,976 \$9,998 \$6,435 \$2,886 \$11,263 \$20,848 \$20,776 \$3,092 \$4,508 \$28,228 \$16,410 \$9,557 \$6,421 \$15,423 \$16,688 \$4,998 \$17,556 \$16,062 \$25,370 \$12,642 \$16,851 \$3,320 \$16,851 \$3,320 \$5,787 \$1,748 \$2,944 \$15,537 \$5,063 \$52,460 \$22,327 \$2,660 \$22,327 \$2,660 \$22,327 \$2,660 \$22,327 \$2,660 \$22,327 \$3,411 \$2,233 \$15,806 \$3,185 \$15,202 \$36,348 \$8,824 \$2,553 | (2)   |
| \$9,747<br>\$6,574<br>\$5,193<br>\$3,857<br>\$1,020<br>\$565<br>\$11,775<br>\$1,109<br>\$366<br>\$345   |   | \$4,931<br>\$3,895<br>\$6,643<br>\$765<br>\$424<br>\$8,831<br>\$832<br>\$275<br>\$259   |  | \$11,505<br>\$9,088<br>\$15,500<br>\$1,785<br>\$989<br>\$20,606<br>\$1,941<br>\$641<br>\$604<br>\$1,166   |   |
|   | \$478,000<br>\$8,809<br>\$845<br>\$4,144<br>\$5,310<br>\$23,415<br>\$5,713<br>\$3,677<br>\$1,649<br>\$6,436<br>\$11,913<br>\$11,872<br>\$1,767<br>\$2,576<br>\$16,130<br>\$9,377<br>\$5,461<br>\$3,669<br>\$8,813<br>\$9,536<br>\$10,032<br>\$9,178<br>\$14,497<br>\$7,468<br>\$7,224<br>\$9,629<br>\$1,897<br>\$1,682<br>\$9,629<br>\$1,682<br>\$8,878<br>\$2,693<br>\$2,693<br>\$2,693<br>\$2,693<br>\$2,693<br>\$1,7040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,7040<br>\$5,229<br>\$4,729<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,459<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,459<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020<br>\$1,020 | \$478,000 (3) \$8,809 \$845 \$4,144 \$5,310 \$23,415 \$5,713 \$3,677 \$1,649 \$6,436 \$11,913 \$11,872 \$1,767 \$2,576 \$16,130 \$9,377 \$5,461 \$3,669 \$8,813 \$9,536 \$10,032 \$9,178 \$14,497 \$7,468 \$7,224 \$9,629 \$1,897 \$3,307 \$9,178 \$1,682 \$9,629 \$1,897 \$1,682 \$9,629 \$1,897 \$1,682 \$9,629 \$1,897 \$1,682 \$9,629 \$1,897 \$1,682 \$9,629 \$1,897 \$1,7040 \$5,229 \$1,7040 \$5,229 \$4,729 \$1,7040 \$5,229 \$4,729 \$1,706 \$1,520 \$1,520 \$1,520 \$1,520 \$1,520 \$1,682 \$8,878 \$2,693 \$2,693 \$2,693 \$2,977 \$12,758 \$1,520 \$1,682 \$8,878 \$2,693 \$2,693 \$2,977 \$1,276 \$3,857 \$1,020 \$5,042 \$1,459 \$9,747 \$6,574 \$5,193 \$3,857 \$1,020 \$5,642 \$1,775 \$1,020 \$5,642 \$1,775 \$1,020 \$5,642 \$1,775 \$1,020 \$5,642 \$1,775 \$1,020 \$5,642 \$1,775 \$1,020 \$5,645 \$1,775 \$1,020 | \$478,000 (3) \$304,725  \$8,809 \$6,607 \$845 \$3,108 \$5,310 \$3,983 \$23,415 \$17,561 \$5,713 \$4,285 \$1,649 \$1,237 \$6,436 \$4,827 \$11,913 \$8,935 \$11,872 \$8,904 \$1,767 \$1,325 \$2,576 \$1,932 \$16,130 \$12,098 \$9,377 \$7,033 \$5,461 \$4,096 \$3,669 \$2,752 \$8,813 \$6,610 \$9,377 \$7,033 \$5,461 \$4,096 \$3,669 \$2,752 \$8,813 \$6,610 \$9,377 \$7,033 \$5,461 \$4,096 \$1,002 \$7,524 \$9,178 \$6,684 \$11,497 \$10,873 \$7,468 \$5,601 \$7,224 \$5,142 \$10,032 \$7,222 \$9,178 \$6,864 \$14,497 \$10,873 \$7,468 \$5,601 \$7,224 \$5,418 \$1,0032 \$7,222 \$9,170 \$1,222 \$1,897 \$1,423 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$1,682 \$1,262 \$8,878 \$6,659 \$2,170 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,418 \$1,276 \$5,569 \$1,520 \$1,140 \$17,040 \$12,780 \$1,459 \$3,922 \$4,729 \$3,547 \$1,090 \$1,365 \$8,651 \$20,770 \$15,578 \$5,629 \$3,922 \$4,729 \$3,547 \$1,090 \$1,365 \$8,657 \$6,613 \$1,094 \$1,775 \$3,085 \$3,857 \$6,643 \$1,094 \$3,782 \$1,459 \$1,094 \$1,775 \$8,831 \$5,193 \$3,895 \$4,857 \$6,643 \$1,094 \$1,775 \$8,831 \$5,193 \$3,895 \$4,857 \$6,643 \$1,094 \$1,775 \$8,831 \$5,193 \$3,895 \$4,857 \$6,643 \$1,094 \$1,775 \$8,831 \$5,193 \$3,895 \$4,857 \$6,643 \$1,094 \$1,775 \$8,831 \$5,193 \$3,895 \$4,857 \$6,643 \$1,094 \$1,775 \$8,831 \$5,193 \$3,895 \$4,831 | \$478,000 (3) \$304,725 (4)  \$8,809  | \$478,000 (3) \$304,725 (4) \$782,725  \$8,809 \$6,607 \$15,416 \$4,144 \$3,108 \$7,252 \$5,310 \$3,983 \$9,293 \$23,415 \$17,561 \$40,976 \$5,713 \$4,285 \$9,998 \$3,677 \$2,758 \$6,436 \$1,649 \$1,237 \$2,886 \$11,872 \$8,904 \$20,776 \$11,872 \$8,904 \$20,776 \$1,767 \$1,325 \$3,092 \$11,872 \$8,904 \$20,776 \$1,767 \$1,325 \$3,092 \$2,576 \$1,932 \$4,508 \$11,872 \$8,904 \$20,776 \$1,767 \$1,325 \$3,092 \$2,576 \$1,932 \$4,508 \$16,130 \$12,098 \$228,228 \$9,377 \$7,033 \$16,410 \$5,461 \$4,096 \$9,557 \$3,669 \$2,752 \$6,421 \$8,813 \$6,610 \$15,423 \$9,536 \$7,152 \$16,688 \$2,2856 \$2,142 \$4,996 \$1,4497 \$10,873 \$25,370 \$7,468 \$5,601 \$13,069 \$7,224 \$5,418 \$12,642 \$9,178 \$6,864 \$16,062 \$1,497 \$10,873 \$25,370 \$7,468 \$5,601 \$13,069 \$7,224 \$5,418 \$12,642 \$9,178 \$6,684 \$16,062 \$1,497 \$10,873 \$25,370 \$7,488 \$5,601 \$13,069 \$7,224 \$5,418 \$12,642 \$9,178 \$6,659 \$7,222 \$16,851 \$1,897 \$1,423 \$3,320 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$12,780 \$29,917 \$1,692 \$7,224 \$17,785 \$1,899 \$7,222 \$16,851 \$1,897 \$1,423 \$3,320 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$1,748 \$1,682 \$1,262 \$2,944 \$1,093 \$3,577 \$2,480 \$29,917 \$1,497 \$10,873 \$3,525,370 \$2,993 \$2,170 \$3,506 \$2,937 \$2,2480 \$22,233 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$22,232 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$3,200 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,293 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,553 \$3,307 \$2,480 \$2,944 \$3,309 \$3,972 \$3,972 \$3,902 \$3,972 \$9,151 \$3,903 \$3,903 \$22,327 \$3,903 \$22,483 \$52,460 \$17,040 \$12,780 \$2,983 \$3,903 \$22,327 \$3,903 \$2,480 \$22,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,553 \$3,904 \$2,555 \$3,904 \$2,555 \$3,904 \$2,555 \$3,904 \$2,555 \$3,904 \$2,555 \$3,904 \$2,555 \$3,904 \$2,555 \$3,904 \$2,506 \$3,905 \$3,907 \$2,480 \$3,907 \$3,900 \$ |

Data Sources: Annual allocation tables - Maternal and Child Health Block Grant.

(1) Obligations for administration at the federal level chargeable to this budget account for FY 85 were: \$4,000(000).

(2) A breakdown of spending for benefits or services and administration is not available.

(3) National total includes funds not distributed to states by formula but used to fund special projects of regional and national significance.

(4) Assumes statutory matching rate.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 13.994 WATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

|   | Federal              |          | State-local         |            | Total                | [(2)] |
|---|----------------------|----------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|-------|
| United States                           | \$399,00             | (3)      | \$299,250           | (4)        | \$698,250            |       |
| Alabama<br>Alaska                       | \$7,353<br>\$705     |          | \$5,515<br>\$529    |            | \$12,868<br>\$1,234  |       |
| Arizona                                 | \$3,459              |          | \$2,594             | ļ          | \$6.053              | 1     |
| Arkansas                                | \$4,433              |          | \$3,325             |            | \$6,053<br>\$7,753   |       |
| California                              | \$19,545             |          | \$14,659            |            | \$34,204             | 1 1   |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut                 | \$4,768<br>\$3,069   | <b>i</b> | \$3,576<br>\$2,302  |            | \$8,344<br>\$5 371   | ii    |
| Delaware                                | \$1,377              | !        | \$1,022             |            | \$2,399              |       |
| D. C.                                   | \$5,372              |          | \$4,029             |            | \$9,401              | 1 1   |
| Fiorida                                 | \$9,944<br>\$9,910   | į        | \$7,458<br>\$7,433  |            | \$17,402<br>\$17,343 |       |
| Georgia                                 | \$9,910              |          | \$7,433             |            | \$17,343             | 1 1   |
| HawaTI<br>Idaho                         | \$1,475              |          | \$1,106             |            | \$2,581              |       |
| Illinois                                | \$2,150<br>\$13,464  |          | \$1,613<br>\$10,098 |            | \$3,763<br>\$23,562  | 1 1   |
| Indiana                                 | \$7,828              |          | \$5,871             |            | \$13,699             |       |
| lowa                                    | \$4,558              | i        | \$3,419             |            | <b>\$7.</b> 977      |       |
| Kansas                                  | \$3,063              | ļ        | <b>\$2,29</b> 7     |            | \$5,360<br>\$12,873  |       |
| Kentucky                                | \$7,356              |          | \$5,517             |            | \$12,873             | 1 1   |
| Louisiana !<br>Maine !                  | \$7,960              | į        | \$5,970             |            | \$13,330             | 1     |
| Maryland                                | \$2,384<br>\$8,374   |          | \$1,788<br>\$6,281  |            | \$4,172<br>\$14,655  | 1     |
| Massachusetts                           | \$7,861              | <u> </u> | \$5,746             |            | \$13,407             | 1 1   |
| Michigan i                              | \$12,101             | į        | \$9,076             |            | <b>\$</b> 21,177     |       |
| Minnesota                               | \$6,234              | i<br>!   | \$4,676<br>\$4,523  |            | \$10,910             | įį    |
| Mississippi                             | \$6,030              |          | \$4,523             |            | \$10,553             | 1 1   |
| Missouri<br>Montana                     | \$8,038              | Ì        | \$6,029             |            | \$14,067             |       |
| Nebraska                                | \$1,584<br>\$2,761   | ]        | \$1,188<br>\$2,071  |            | \$2,772<br>\$4,832   |       |
| Nevada                                  | \$834                | •        | \$626               | !          | \$1,460              | 1 1   |
| New Hampshire                           | \$1,404              | İ.       | \$1,053             | į          | \$2,457              | i i   |
| New Jersey                              | \$7,410<br>\$2,415   | !        | <b>\$</b> 5,558     | ļ l        | <b>\$12,968</b>      | 1 1   |
| New Mexico<br>New York                  | \$2,415              | j        | \$1,811<br>\$!8,767 |            | \$4,226              |       |
| N. Carolina                             | \$25,022<br>\$10,619 | į        | \$18,767<br>\$7,987 | į          | \$43,789             | 1 1   |
| N. Dakota                               | \$1,268              | 1        | \$951               | [          | \$18,636<br>\$2,219  | 1 1   |
| Ohlo :                                  | <b>\$14,224</b>      | I        | \$10,668            |            | \$24,892             |       |
| Oklahoma j                              | \$4,365              | į        | \$3,274             | į          | \$7,639              | 1 1   |
| Oregon                                  | \$3,948              | ļ        | \$2,961             | 1          | \$6,909              | ! !   |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island            | \$15,937             | j        | \$11,953            |            | \$27,890             | 1 1   |
| S. Carolina                             | \$1,065<br>\$7,539   | !        | \$799<br>\$5,654    |            | \$1,864<br>\$13,193  |       |
| S. Dakota                               | \$1,519              | İ        | \$1,139             |            | \$2,658              | 1     |
| Tennessee                               | <b>\$7,251</b>       | į ,      | \$5,438             |            | \$12,689             | 1 1   |
| Texas                                   | \$17,337             | •        | \$13,003            |            | \$30,340             | į     |
| Utah                                    | \$4,209              |          | \$3,157             |            | \$7,366              | 1 1   |
| Vermont ;                               | \$1,218              |          | \$917               |            | \$2,132              |       |
| Virginia<br>Washington                  | \$8,136<br>\$5,487   | !        | \$6,102<br>\$4,115  | ]          | \$14,238<br>\$9,602  |       |
| W. Virginia                             | \$4,334              |          | \$3,251             |            | \$7,585              |       |
| Wisconsin                               | \$7,393              | i        | \$5,545             |            | \$12,938             |       |
| Wyoming                                 | \$851                |          | <b>\$63</b> 8       |            | <b>\$1,489</b>       |       |
| Guam                                    | \$471                |          | \$353               | a j        | \$824                | 1     |
| Puerto Rico                             | \$9,829              |          | \$7,372             | <b>i</b> i | \$17,201             |       |
| Virgin Islands  <br>American Samoa:     | \$926<br>\$305       |          | \$695<br>\$229      | į          | \$1,621<br>\$534     |       |
| No Marlanas                             | \$288                |          | \$216               |            | \$504<br>\$504       |       |
| Trust Territory                         | \$556                |          | \$417               |            | \$973                |       |
| *************************************** | 1 4000               | · (      | <b>→7</b> 11        | I (        | 4910                 | l     |

Data Sources: Annual allocations tables - Maternal and Child Health Block Grant.



73

<sup>(1)</sup> Obligations for administration at the federal level chargeable to to this budget account for FY 85 were \$4,000(000).

(2) A breakdown of spending for benefits or services and administration is not available.

(3) National total includes funds used for special projects of regional and national significance.

(4) Assumes statutory matching rate.

## XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 13.994 MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

| Federal  | Total  | Total   |
|--|--|---|
| Fiscal   | Federal  | State-Local   |
| Year   | Allocations  | Spending (1)  |
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1968<br>1968<br>1968<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1961<br>1960 | \$478,000<br>\$399,000<br>\$478,000<br>\$373,750<br>\$387,400<br>\$376,343<br>\$377,677<br>\$361,854<br>\$345,708<br>\$319,408<br>\$303,340<br>\$267,868<br>\$258,868<br>\$221,510<br>\$221,510<br>\$209,200<br>\$179,900<br>\$179,900<br>\$179,900<br>\$179,900<br>\$179,900<br>\$179,000<br>\$50,000<br>\$50,000<br>\$50,000<br>\$38,167<br>\$33,500 | \$304,725<br>\$254,363<br>\$316,538<br>\$237,150<br>\$147,000<br>\$140,650<br>.40,650<br>\$135,782<br>\$130,357<br>\$120,031<br>\$108,493<br>\$62,839<br>\$62,839<br>\$62,839<br>\$66,671<br>\$58,925<br>\$54,000<br>\$53,500<br>\$50,000<br>\$50,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000<br>\$35,000 |

Data Sources: 50 Years of Federal Support to Promote the Health of Mothers, Children and Handlcapped Children in America (Oct. 1985)

(1) State spending is estimated based on statutory matching rate.



### COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Community Health Centers (CHCs) make ambulatory health care available to medically underserved populations. All CHCs are administered by the federal Department of Health and Human Services. Private nonprofit organizations receive funds to operate health centers located in areas short on personal health care services.

Criteria for determining what constitutes a medically underserved area take into account the comments of state and local officials and such other factors as infant mortality rates, the ability of residents to pay for health services, and the availability of health professionals in the area.

In FY 1985, about 5.1 million persons were served by CHCs and total federal obligations for the program were about \$383 million. Grantees are expected to maximize revenues from such other sources as patient fees and public or private third-party payments (e.g., private insurance, Medicaid, Medicare, or the Social Services Block Grant). The amount of a grant to a health center is limited to the difference between the center's total operating costs and total revenues from other sources, which may include some state or local government funding.

All individuals residing in the area of a CHC are eligible for services. Fees for services vary: persons with incomes at or below the federal poverty guidelines pay at most nominal fees; persons with incomes equal to or above 200 percent of the guidelines are charged the full costs of services; persons with incomes between 100 and 200 percent of the guidelines pay a portion of the costs of services in accordance with a sliding fee schedule set by the CHC.

The CHCs include family health centers, community health networks, neighborhood health centers, and similar projects previously funded under the Economic Opportunity Act and other laws. Ambulatory health care delivery systems such as CHCs provide primary nealth services (such as physician and laboratory services), supplemental health services (such as home health and rehabilitative services), environmental health services (such as rodent control and water treatment), and information which promotes optimal use of health services.



75

### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Community Health Centers.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.224
  Budget account number(s): 75-0350-0-1-550.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 42 CFR Part 51c.
- E. Federal administering agency: Health Resources and Services Administration, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Private nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Federal funds are allocated by the Central Office of the Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance (BHCDA) to ten Regional Offices given funding priorities based on assessments of need and demand.

## Regional offices then:

- o Decide which applicant centers to fund;
- o Approve a level of program activity to be funded;
- o Determine reasonable expenditures for approved activities.

Each center prepares a schedule of fees or payments designed to cover the costs of operation, each center has a corresponding schedule of discounts to be applied to the payment of such fees, with the discounts based on the patient's ability to pay.

The amount of a grant to a health center is limited to the difference between:

- o The center's total operating costs;
- o The total funds expected to be available from other sources (i.e., state, local and other funds, fees, premiums, and third-party reimbursements which the center may reasonably be expected to receive for its operations during the year).

Each center has a need for grant funds because it delivers ambulatory health care services to individuals who cannot pay



full health care charges either with their own resources or through outside coverage (i.e., private insurance, Medica d, Medicare, or Title XX). Some of the difference between costs and reimbursement for services will be covered by state, local, and other funds that the grantee receives. The CHC funds are used to subsidize the remaining portion of the difference. Grantees are expected to maximize revenues from other sources.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

Cooperative Agr. ements were competitively awarded to 20 states to coordinate federal and state efforts in the planning and development of comprehensive primary health care services for areas that lack adequate health manpower or have populations lacking access to primary care services.

J. Audit or quality control.

The CHC funds are intended to support delivery systems that are organized, structured, and operated in a manner that is consistent with legislative requirements and program priorities, are efficiently and effectively managed, and have the capability of reaching an increased number of users at a reasonable cost.

The federal government provides standards for administrative efficiency that pertain to grantees, in addition to standards for financial and clinical management. There are three indicators of administrative efficiency that grantees are expected to meet (or have waived, as appropriate) by the time they have been delivering services for two years:

- Encounters per staff equivalent (physician or mid-level practitioner, excluding psychiatrists) per year, for which the standard is between 4,200 and 6,000;
- Average cost per medical encounter (excluding lab, X-ray, and pharmacy), for which the standard is not more than \$26;
- O Percent of total ambulatory costs attributable to administration, for which the standard is not more than 16 percent.

If the standards are not met (and have not been waived), each case is evaluated individually to determine appropriate action. The grantee may be given a designated time period to achieve compliance. In general, failure to comply results in discontinuation of CHC funding.

During FY 1985, about 40 grantees were phased out. In most cases, health services continued to be available to medically undeserved persons in the geographic area because the grantee either merged with another grantee to form a stronger



organization or continued to operate without benefit of federal grant support.

#### TII. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The program objective is to make ambulatory health care delivery systems available and accessible to medically underserved populations.

The term medically underserved population is an urban or rural area designated by the Department as having shortage of personal health services or a population group designated as having a shortage of such services. Criteria for determining shortages of personal health services for an area or population group include: the infant mortality rate; other factors indicative of health status; the ability of the residents of an area or of a population group to pay for health services and their accessibility to them; the availability of health professionals to residents of an area or to a population group.

Alternatively, a population group may be designated as medically underserved if such designation is recommended by the chief executive officer of the state in which the group is located and local officials of such state based on unusual local conditions which are a barrier to access to or the availability of pergonal health services.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Program funds are allocated by approval of a level of program activity to be funded, focusing on essential and appropriate services, for each grantee. CHC funding is concentrated on the provision of primary health services.

### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individuals residing in the area of a community health center are eligible for program services.

B. Income eligibility standards.

There are no income limits used to determine eligibility for services at community health centers.

Grant funds may be used to pay the full cost of project services to individuals and families at or below federal poverty



guidelines, except that such individuals may be required by centers to pay nominal fees. Grant funds also pay the portion of the cost of services that is discounted, and thus uncompensated, in accordance with the sliding fee schedule. Centers are required to have sliding fee schedules, but no discount is allowed for individuals and families with annual incomes greater than twice the federal poverty guidelines.

Using sample data from 1982, the estimated breakdown of users by income was as follows:

- o Below poverty level: 58%
- o Between poverty and 200 percent of poverty level: 26%
- o Above 200 percent of poverty level: 16%
- C. Other eligibility requirements.

None.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Recipients with income above poverty and below 200 percent the poverty guidelines are expected to pay discounted fees. Recipients with income above 2 percent of poverty pay full charges.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake process.

It is intended that recipients will have the option to use the centers in a manner similar to that of others who do not face such availability and access limitations (i.e., for services ranging from check-ups to treatment). The strategy for bringing users into the program is center-specific.

B. Program benefits or services.

The CHCs provide ambulatory primary health care services and other services to address the needs of residents of medically underserved areas.

Ambulatory health care delivery systems provide: primary health services; supplemental health services necessary for support of primary health services; referrals to providers of supplemental health services and environmental health services; information which facilitates optimal use of health services.

The services are provided either through the staff and supporting



services of the health center or through contracts or cooperative arrangements with other public or private entities.

Primary health services include: services of physicians, physicians' assistants, and nurse clinicians; diagnostic laboratory and radiologic services; preventive health services; emergency medical services; transportation services required for adequate patient care; preventive dental services; pharmaceutical services, as appropriate.

Suppremental health services include: home health services extended care facility services; rehabilitative services; mental health services; dental services; vision services; allied health services; therapeutic radiologic services; public health services; ambulatory surgical services; health education services.

Environmental health services include, as appropriate, the detection and alleviation of unhealthful conditions associated with water supply, sewage treatment, solid waste disposal, rodent and parasitic infection, field sanitation, housing, and other factors related to health.

C. Duration of benefits.

Services are provided as required. No information about average duration of participation is available.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

None.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

The CHC benefits that individuals receive do not increase or decrease due to changes in the amount of services received from other programs, but cash welfare may be counted in determining any fees. (Although, usually such recipients receive Medicaid coverage and would not pay fees.)

Nonetheless, maximization of other resources is emphasized and this may result in shifting the uses of CHC funds. Because CHC funds only cover services that are not funded by other sources, if revenues from a third-party payor increase in a particular grant year, fewer CHC dollars will be applied to support those specific services.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

The CHC dollars support all or a portion of the cost of providing a particular service to an individual only if Medicare, Medicaid,

08



or other third-party sources are not amplicable and if the individual's income and family size make him eligible.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources (no formal Subcommittee)

## House of Representatives

Committee on Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Health and the Environment

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

The Comprehensive Health Planning and Public Health Service Amendments of 1965 (Pub. L. 89-794) added a new Section 314 to the Public Health Service Act to provide the flexibility and innovation in the delivery of health services throughout the nation. Section 314(e) provided broad authority to fund project grants to public or nonprofit private entities for the development of health services delivery programs.

Section 314(e) support became focused on comprehensive ambulatory health care programs serving areas with scarce or nonexistent health care services and populations with special health needs. All of the Public Health Service's Neighborhood Health Centers, as well as those transferred from the Office of Economic Opportunity, were under this authority.



Because of demonstrations of positive program impact and because the need for services provided by community health centers remained significant, in 1975 Congress replaced the 314(e) authority with a specific new authority (Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act) for the sole purpose of developing community health centers (Pub. L. 94-63).

The one-year extension of community health center programs for FY 1978 (Pub. L. 95-83), at an increased authorization level, allowed the Rural Health Initiative and the Urban Health Initiative programs to continue to be expanded to serve increased numbers of people in high priority medically underserved areas.

In November 1978, Pub. L. 95-626 extended the CHC program for FY 1979, 1980, and 1981 and made several significant revisions to the basic CHC authority. These included: a new emphasis on environmental health services and pharmaceutical services; authority for CHCs to convert to prepaid health care organizations; exception for public CHCs from certain governing board requirements; and a requirement for a rough balance between the number of urban and rural centers.

Section 903(a) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 (Pub. L. 97-35) extended the CHC program through FY 1982. In addition, Pub. L. 97-35 established the Primary Care Block Grant (PCBG) program for FY 1983 and 1984. This law allowed states to apply to the Secretary for an allotment of CHC funds. If the Secretary approved the application, the states assumed responsibilities of making grants to CHCs which meet the requirements of Section 330. Because of various administrative provisions of the PCBG authority, few states applied for this authority and opted instead, as provided in the statute, to continue to receive funding under the CHC authority.

In 1986, Pub. L. 99-280 repealed the PCBG authority, but included provisions for an increased participation of state and local officials in the designation and redesignation of medically underserved areas and provided specific authority for states to contract with the Public Health Service to participate in planning and administrative or management systems for CHCs within the state.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

In March 1972, final regulations on Grands for Family Health Center projects were published. These regulations (since repealed) implemented a program of health care delivered on a prepaid capitation basis enrolled populations in health service scarcity areas. This program was carried out under the broad authority of Section 314(e) of the Public Health Service Act. The use of the Section 314(e) authority for this purpose was criticized by the Congress; it was eventually repealed and



replaced by the Community Health Center (CHC) program, with specific Section 330 authority.

New final regulations to cover Community Health Centers were published in December 1976 (12 CFR 51c). These regulations established go eral administrative, management, and operating requirements for CHC and provided for the assignment of the National Health Service Corps physicians to new CHC projects. In the same month, regulations were published to implement the section of Pub. L. 94-63 regarding acquisition and modernization of existing buildings for CHC.

A November 1977 revision to the regulations allowed public agencies which would have had trouble meeting governing board requirements to apply for CHC grants or to be co-applicant with an organization which does meet the governing board requirements.

A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in March 1979 published the minimum components of a quality assurance system and a description of efficiency indicators which would be used to evaluate grant applications and monitor performance.

The final regulations published in July 1982 and amended in March 1983 provided general provisions governing the Primary Care Block Grant program, as added by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981. Separate program regulations were developed but never issued due to the uncertain future of the block grant program.

A December 1982 Notice gave procedural advice in carrying out the requirement of the Omittus Budget Reconciliation Act in cases where the state does sot request a block grant. It provided a requirement that the Secretary consult with the chief executive officer of the state before awarding a CHC grant within the state.

A February 1986 Notice of Available Funding lists requirements which must be met by successful applicants. For example, the requirement for a governing board to be representative of CHC users, requirements for accessible services, sufficient staff, coordination with local health resources, and justification of the CHC's proposed costs.



## VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.224 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

|                          | Total (1)  |
|--------------------------|--|
| United States            | \$381,687 (2)  |
| Alabana                  | \$11,915<br>\$693  |
| Alagka<br>Arizona        | \$5,494  |
| Arkansas                 | \$4,187  |
| California               | \$29,983   |
| Colorado   Connecticut   | \$12,498<br>\$2,644  |
| Delaware                 | \$291  |
| D. C.                    | \$2,725  |
| Florida                  | \$20,913   |
| Georgia  <br>Hawaii      | \$11,848<br>\$1,125  |
| Idaho                    | \$2,448  |
| Illinois                 | 1 316.7.00 1 1   |
| indiana<br>iowa          | \$2,291<br>\$1,726   |
| Kansas                   | \$196  |
| Kentucky                 | \$7,384  |
| iculsiana  <br>Maine     | \$3,206   \$3,010  |
| Maryland                 | <b>\$7.256</b>   |
| Massachusetts            | <b>\$6,062</b>   1   |
| Michigan                 | \$8,713  |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi | \$1,847<br>\$13,035  |
| Missouri                 | \$13,387   |
| Montana                  | \$573  |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada       | \$378<br>\$983   |
| New Hampshitte           | \$414  |
| New Jarsey               | \$16,752   |
| New Maxico<br>New York   | \$7,684<br>\$32,868  |
| R. Carolina              | \$12,228   |
| N. Dakota                | \$90   |
| Unio !                   | \$14,180   |
| Oklahoma<br>Oregon       | \$2,833<br>\$3,944   |
| Permsylvania             | ! <b>\$</b> 17.377 ! !   |
| Rhode Island             | \$3.512  |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Daketa | \$10,278<br>\$1,474  |
| Ternessee                | \$1,474<br>\$12,726  |
| Toxas                    | \$22,543   |
| Utah<br>Vermont          | \$1,551<br>\$497   |
| Virginia                 | \$4,241  |
| Wash Ington              | \$6,120  |
| W. Virginia              | \$6,748  |
| Wisconšin :<br>Wyoming : | \$4,822<br>\$0   |
| Guam                     | \$5  |
| Puerto Rico              | \$3, J12<br>\$10,279<br>\$1,474<br>\$72,726<br>\$22,548<br>\$1,551<br>\$497<br>\$4,241<br>\$6,120<br>\$6,748<br>\$4,822<br>\$0<br>\$5<br>\$10,495<br>\$619 |
| Virgin Islands!          | \$619  |

Data Sources: Health Resources and Services Administration.



90 84

<sup>(1)</sup> Outlays for administration at the federal level for FY 85 were \$14,071(000). (2) Difference between 'S total and total if all states are summed are dollars going to activities not attributable to a specific state.

VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.224 COMMAINTY HEALTH CENTERS

| 1                           | lotal (1)            | !!  |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----|
| United States               | \$350,598            | (2) |
| Alabama<br>Alaska           | \$10,236             |     |
| Arizona                     | \$571<br>\$6,013     |     |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia      | \$3,832<br>\$31,396  |     |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut     | <b>\$13.867</b>      |     |
| Delaware                    | \$2,467<br>\$160     |     |
| D. C.<br>Florida            | \$2,457<br>\$18,436  |     |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii           | \$8,616<br>\$1,298   |     |
| idaho i                     | \$2,150              |     |
| illinois<br>Indiana         | \$12,673<br>2,306    |     |
| lowa<br>Kansas              | \$1,493<br>\$182     |     |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana       | \$7,080<br>\$2,093   |     |
| Maine                       | \$2,955 <b> </b>     | İ   |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts   | \$6,397<br>\$5,359   | -   |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota       | \$1,677              |     |
| Mississippi                 | \$1,756<br>\$10,450  | Ì   |
| M!ssour!<br>Montana         | \$12,894<br>\$309    |     |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada          | \$515  <br>\$1,126   |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey | \$266                |     |
| New Mexico                  | \$9,434<br>\$6,084   |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina     | \$35,771<br>\$9,519  |     |
| N. Dakota                   | \$226<br>\$12,627    |     |
| Ok lahoma                   | <b>\$2,917</b> ¦     |     |
| Oregon<br>Pannsylvania      | \$4,134<br>\$15,678  |     |
| Rhode Island                | \$2,860<br>\$10,671  |     |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee      | \$1,489              |     |
| Texas !!                    | \$11,020<br>\$19,224 |     |
| Utali<br>Vermont            | \$1,430  <br>\$418   |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington      | \$4,271<br>\$5,735   | İ   |
| W. Vir la<br>Wiscon         | \$5,340              |     |
| Wyom!nx                     | \$3,481<br>\$0       |     |
| Ciam<br>Fuerto              | \$10,702             |     |
| Virgin nos                  | \$537                |     |



<sup>(1)</sup> Outlays for administration at the federal level for FY 84 were \$13.582(000).
(2) Difference between U.S. total and total if all states are summed are dollars going to activities not attributable to a specific state.

# IX. A. FY 1985 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (In thousands) 13.224 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

| }                            |   | Persons (1)  | (0)      |
|------------------------------|---|--|----------|
|                              |   | Served (1)   | (2)      |
| United States                |   | 5,077  | İ        |
| Alabama                      |   | 122  |          |
| Alaska                       | į   | 13   | Ĉ        |
| Ar Izona                     |   | 64   | Ì        |
| Arkansas                     |   | 49   | - {      |
| California                   |   | 415  | 1        |
| Colorado                     |   | 197  |          |
| Connecticut                  | !   | 49   | i        |
| Delaware                     | ļ   | 6 (  | İ        |
| D. C.                        | i   | 44   |          |
| Florida                      |   | 324  | į        |
| Georgia                      | İ   | 92   |          |
| Hawa II<br>Idaho             | İ   | 33   | - 1      |
| Tuano<br>Tilinois            | 1   | 139  | - !      |
| Indiana                      | 1   | 37   |          |
| Towa                         |   | 18   |          |
| Kansas                       |   | ! 'i!  |          |
| Kentucky                     | 1   | 95   | 1        |
| Louislana                    |   | 24   | į        |
| Ma Ine                       |   | 52   | į        |
| Maryland                     | Ì   | 81   | Ì        |
| Massachusetts                | 1   | 105  | į        |
| Michigan                     | i   | 121  | Ì        |
| Minnesota                    | ì   | 32   | - 1      |
| Mississippi                  | í   | 154  | . !      |
| Missourl                     | İ   | 115  |          |
| Montana                      | 1   | 4<br>3<br>12   |          |
| Nebraska                     |   | 3  |          |
| Nevada                       | ì   | 12   |          |
| New Hampshire                | ļ   | 100  |          |
| New Jersey                   |   | 135  |          |
| New Mexico                   | Ì   | E1<br>584  |          |
| New York<br>N. Carolina      | İ   | 125  |          |
| N. Carulina<br>N. Dakota     | 1   | 2  |          |
| Oh lo                        | 1   | 150  |          |
| Oklahoma                     | 1   | 27   | 1        |
| Oregon                       | -   | 64   | <u> </u> |
| Pennsy I yan Ia              | 1   | 225  |          |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island | 1   | 39   |          |
| S. Carolina                  | į   | 85   |          |
| S. Dakota                    | ļ   | 24   |          |
| Tennessee                    | į   | 153  |          |
| Texas                        | 1   | 268  | ! !      |
| Utah                         | -   | 16   |          |
| Vermont:                     | 1   | 12   |          |
| Virg!nla                     | ĺ   | 44   |          |
| Washington                   |   | 83   | 1 1      |
| W. Virginia                  | Ì   | 125  |          |
| Wiscons!n                    | Ĭ   | 33   |          |
| Wyom Ing                     |   | 0 8  |          |
| Guain<br>Overte Illee        | İ   | 356  | 1 1      |
| Puerto Rico                  | Í   | ् ।  | (3)      |
| Virgin Islands               | )<br>************************************ | The second secon | 1/9/1    |



Based on unduplicated annual count.
 457(000) elderly, defined as 65 years of age or older, were cerved nationwide.
 Data not available.

## IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (In thousands) 13.224 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

|  |   | Persons<br>Served (1) | (2) |
|--|---|-----------------------|-----|
| United States  | - | 5,017                 |     |
| United States  Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawali Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia |   | Served (1)            | (2) |
| Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico Virgin Islands  |   | 26<br>0<br>15<br>366  | 2)  |
| · · · A … IAIMINA  | I | ) i                   | 3)¦ |



<sup>(1)</sup> Based on unduplicated annual count.
(2) 452(000) eiderly, defined as 65 years of age colder, were served nationwide.
(3) Data not available.

# X. A. WEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 13.224 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

| ı  | !             | !!  |
|--|---------------|-----|
|  | Total         | (1) |
| United States  | \$75          |     |
| Alabama  | \$98          |     |
| Alaska   | \$53          |     |
| Arizona  | \$86          | 1 1 |
| Arkansas   | \$85<br>\$72  |     |
| California<br>Colorado   | \$63          | 1 1 |
| Connecticut  | \$54          | 1   |
| Delaware   | \$49          |     |
| D. C.  | \$62          |     |
| Florida  | \$65          |     |
| Georgia<br>Hawali  | \$129<br>\$80 |     |
| Idaho  | \$74          | 1   |
| Illinois   | \$121         |     |
| Indiana  | \$62          |     |
| lowa   | \$96<br>\$196 |     |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky   | \$78          |     |
| Louisiana  | \$134         |     |
| Maine  | \$58          |     |
| Maryland :   | \$90          |     |
| Massachusetts  | \$58          |     |
| Michigan Hipperota   | \$72<br>\$58  |     |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi   | \$85          |     |
| Missouri   | \$116         |     |
| Montana  | \$143         |     |
| Nebraska   | \$126         | 1 1 |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire  | \$82<br>\$59  |     |
| New Jersey   | \$79          | 1 1 |
| New Mexico   | \$95          |     |
| New York   | \$56          | 1 1 |
| N. Carolina  | \$95<br>\$45  | 1 1 |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo  | \$95          | 1 1 |
| Ok lahoma  | \$105         |     |
| Oregon   | \$62          |     |
| Pennsylvania ;   | \$77          |     |
| Rhode Island   | \$85<br>\$121 |     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota   | \$61          |     |
| Tennessee  | \$83          |     |
| Texas  | \$84          |     |
| Utah   | \$97          |     |
| Vermont  | \$41          |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington   | \$96<br>\$74  |     |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia  | \$54          |     |
| Wisconsin  | \$146         |     |
| Wyoming {  | \$0           | )   |
| Guam   | \$40          |     |
| Puerto Pu | \$29          | (2) |
| Virgi.i ands   |               | \   |

ces: Health Resources and Services Administration. Data

(1) Mean costs estimated by dividing total spending in Table VIII.A. by persons served in Table IX.A.
(2) Data not available.



# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 13.224 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

|                                  | Total          | (1)      |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| United States                    | \$70           |          |
| Alabama                          | \$89           |          |
| Alaska                           | \$57           | !        |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas              | \$99           | İ        |
| Callfornia                       | \$83<br>\$68   | <u> </u> |
| Colorado                         | \$70           |          |
| Connect I cut                    | <b>\$</b> 49   |          |
| Dolaware :                       | \$27           |          |
| D. C.<br>Florida                 | \$85           |          |
| Georgia                          | \$57<br>\$93   |          |
| flawa!                           | \$87           | . !      |
| daho                             | \$67           | ļ        |
| Illinois                         | \$77           | į        |
| Indiana<br>lo                    | \$62           | !        |
| Kansas                           | \$88           | i        |
| Kentucky                         | \$91<br>\$79   | į        |
| Louislana                        | \$100          | - 1      |
| Maine                            | \$50           | į        |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts        | \$65           | - 1      |
| Michigan                         | \$52           | ļ        |
| Minnesota                        | \$53<br>\$61   | Ì        |
| Mississippi                      | \$84           | - !      |
| Missouri                         | \$98           | į        |
| Montana<br>Nebraska              | \$103          | - !      |
| Nevada                           | \$172<br>\$102 | į        |
| New Hampshire                    | \$38           |          |
| New Jersey !                     | \$69           | İ        |
| New Mexico                       | \$77           | - [      |
| New York<br>N. Carolina          | \$66           |          |
| N. Dakota                        | \$71<br>\$226  | İ        |
| Ohlo                             | \$100          | - }      |
| Ok lahoma i                      | \$117          | Ì        |
| Oregon                           | \$59           | 1        |
| Pennsylvania !<br>Rhode Island ! | \$78           |          |
| S. Carolina                      | \$92<br>\$119  | İ        |
| S. Dakota                        | \$57           | - !      |
| Tennessee                        | \$73           |          |
| Texas<br>Utah                    | \$32           |          |
| Vermont                          | \$89           |          |
| Virginia                         | \$38<br>\$115  | İ        |
| Wash Ington !                    | \$64           | -        |
| W. Virginia 🕴                    | \$42           |          |
| Wisconsin                        | \$134          | į        |
| Wyoming<br>Guam                  | \$0 ]          |          |
| Puerto Rico                      | \$29           | 2)       |
| Virgin Islands                   |                | 2)       |



<sup>(1)</sup> Mean costs estimated by dividing total spending in Table VIII.B. by persons served in Table IX.B.
(2) Data not available.

# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (In thousands) 13.224 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Obligations  | Persons<br>Served   | (1) |  |
|--|--|---|-----|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>(963<br>1962<br>1960 | \$383,000<br>\$351,000<br>\$360,000<br>\$281,000<br>\$323,700<br>\$320,000<br>\$253,000<br>\$255,000<br>\$215,100<br>\$196,600<br>\$196,600<br>\$217,100<br>\$110,200<br>\$135,000 | 5,100<br>5,000<br>4,800<br>4,500<br>4,700<br>4,200<br>3,800<br>2,500<br>2,200<br>1,300<br>1,200 |     |  |

Data Sources: Health Resources and Services Administration.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.

### MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Migrant Health Centers (MHCs) help to make ambulatory health care available to current and former migratory and seasonal workers and their families. The MHCs are administered directly by the Department of Health and Human Services; state and local governments have no direct role in the program. The MHC funds help to subsidize private, nonprofit organizations that operate health centers serving migrant and seasonal farmworker families.

In FY 1985, about 500,000 persons, mostly women and children, were served by 122 MHCs and total federal obligations for the program were \$41 million. Grantees are expected to maximize revenues from other sources including patient fees and public or private third-party payments such as private insurance or Medicaid. (Many migrant farmworkers are not eligible for Medicaid, however, due to state residency requirements.) Thus, the amount of a grant to a MHC is limited to the difference between the center's total operating costs and total revenues from other sources, which may or may not include some state and local government funding.

The primary criterion for determining eligibility is employment in agriculture on a seasonal basis within the past 24 months. Members of such a farmworker family and retired or disabled migrant farmworkers are also eligible to receive MHC services. Fees for services vary: persons with incomes at or below the federal poverty guidelines pay at most nominal fees; persons with incomes above 200 percent of the guidelines are charged the full costs of services; and persons with incomes between 100 and 200 percent of the guidelines pay a portion of the costs of services in accordance with a sliding fee schedule set by the MHC.

Ambulatory health care delivery systems such as MHCs provide primary health care, including diagnostic, therapeutic, preventive, and emergency services. In addition, MHCs address environmental health care by paying for improvements in such things as waste disport and safe water supplies.



### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Migrant Health Centers.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.246
  Budget account number(s): 75-1101-0-1-550.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Section 329 of the Public Health Service Act.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 42 CFR Part 56.
- E. Federal administering agency: Health Resources and Services Administration, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Private nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Federal funds are allocated by the Central Office of the Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance (BHCDA) to ten regional offices. The ten regional offices, in accordance with the funding priorities, determine the areas of greatest need and fund appropriate applications. Determination of high impact areas has been established by applicants' assessment of need and demand in areas where no less than 4,000 migrant and seasonal farmworkers reside for more than two months in the calendar year.

A national formula is used to distribute funds based on:

- o Past performance as indicated by each region's average percentage of migrant and seasonal farmworker users for the previous two calendar years;
- o Estimated need as indicated by each region's percentage of migrants.

### Regional offices then:

- o Decide which applicants to fund, in accordance with the funding priorities;
- o Approve a level of program activity to be funded, focusing on essential and appropriate services, for each grantee;
- o Determine reasonable expenditures for the approved activities.



Each center propares a schedule of fees or payments designed to cover the costs of operation, each center has a corresponding schedule of discounts to be applied to the payment of such fees, with the discounts based on the patient's ability to pay. The amount of a grant to a health center is limited to the difference between:

- o The center's total operating costs; and
- The total funds expected to be available from other sources (i.e., state, local, and other funds and the patient fees, premiums, and third-party reimbursements which the center may reasonably be expected to receive for its operations during the year).
- I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

None.

J. Audit or quality control.

The federal government provides standards for administrative efficiency that pertain to grantees, in addition to standards for financial and clinical management. The MHC funds are intended to support delivery systems that are organized, structured, and operated in a manner that is consistent with legislative requirements and program priorities, are efficiently and effectively managed, and have the capability of reaching an increased number of migrant and seasonal farmworkers and their families at a reasonable cost. The primary eligibility for services under this program is employment as an agricultural worker as defined by the statute. A comparison of the number of persons eligible for service by a center to the number actually served is made, and centers are expected to have a ratio deemed appropriate (according to the professional judgment of federal officials).

If the standards are not met (and have not been waived), the center is evaluated to determine appropriate action. The grantee may be given a designated time period to achieve compliance. In general, failure to comply results in discontinuation of MHC funding.

In addition, a copy of each audit is submitted to the region, where the opinion rendered by the auditor is reviewed. If there are major audit deficiencies, an action plan of correcting deficiencies is developed. Necessary changes in program operation may be included as conditions under which any subsequent grant award is made.



### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The program objective is to make ambulatory health care delivery systems available to current and former migratory agricultural workers, seasonal agricultural workers, and their families.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Program funds are allocated by approval of a level of program activity to be funded, focusing on essential and appropriate services, for each grantee. MHC funding is concentrated on the provision of primary health services.

Migrant health centers are operational for varying lengths of time during the year, depending on the need in the area and requirements for cost-effectiveness (e.g., areas with migrant and seasonal farmworkers who are only there for three months out of the year do not need to be open all year).

## IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Farmworkers and their families are \_\_gible for program services. The term migratory and seasonal agricultural worker means an individual whose principal employment is in agriculture on a seasonal basis and who has been so employed within the last twenty-four months.

B. Income eligibility standards.

There are no income limits used to determine eligibility for services at migrant health centers. The eligibility for migrant health services depends on the user being a current or former migrant or seasonal farmworker or a member of such a farmworker's family, as defined by the statute.

Grant funds may be used to pay the full cost of MHC services to individuals and families at or below the federal poverty guidelines, except that such individuals may be required by centers to pay nominal fees. The majority of the users tend to have incomes and family sizes that place them at or below the federal poverty guidelines.

Grant funds also pay the portion of the cost of services that is discounted, and thus uncompensated, in accordance with the sliding fee schedule. Sliding fee schedules are established by individual Migrant Health Centers. Only users between the



94

poverty level and 200 percent of poverty level are charged based on a sliding fee scale.

No discount is allowed for individuals and families with annual incomes greater than twice the federal poverty guidelines.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

The only requirement for eligibility is to be a migratory or seasonal farmworker or a family member of such a farmworker.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Recipients with incomes above poverty are expected to spend other income to make up the difference between the cost of services and any discount fee.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Outreach systems identify health care centers for migrant and seasonal farmworkers. A directory of migrant health centers is provided to state and local agencies which provide services to migrant and seasonal farmworkers to facilitate this outreach system.

It is intended that farmworkers and their families will have the option to use the centers in a manner similar to that of others who do not face such availability and access limitations (i.e., for services ranging from check-ups to treatment). The strategy for bringing farmworkers and their families into the program is center-specific, although, in general, emphasis is on outreach and transportation.

B. Program benefits or services.

Migrant health centers provide ambulatory health care services as required for each user, with an emphasis on prevention.

Ambulatory health care delivery systems provide: primary health services; supplemental services cessary for support of primary health services; referrals to perform of supplemental health services; environmental health services; infections and parasitic disease screening; and information which facilitates optimal use of health services.

The services are provided either through the staff and supporting services of the health center or through contracts or cooperative arrangements with other public or private entities.



10%

"Primary health services" include: services of physicians, physicians' assistants and nurse clinicians; diagnostic, laboratory, and radiologic services; preventive health services; emergency medical services; transportation services required for adequate patient care; preventive dental services; and pharmaceutical services.

"Supplemental health services" include: home health services; extended care facility services; rehabilitative services; mental health services; dental services; vision services; allied health services; therapeutic radiologic services; public health services; ambulatory surgical services; and health education services.

"Environmental health services" include, as appropriate, the detection and alleviation of unbealthful conditions associated with water supply, sewage treatment, solid waste disposal, rodent and parasitic infection, field sanitation, housing, and other environmental factors related to health.

In 1984 there were 120 grantees and 464,000 users and in 1985 there were 122 grantees and 494,000 users. Women and children are the primary users of the centers.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information is available about average duration of benefits. Services are provided as required.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Catagorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

None.

B. Counting assistance from other programs

Cash income, including welfare, is counted for determining the fee. However, in practice, families receiving cash welfare tend to have cash incomes below poverty and pay no fee. Medicaid benefits are counted in the sense that they are sought for reimbursement so that center funds are used only to make up the difference between reimbursements and the cost of services.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Seasonal farmworkers may be eligible for health services from a wide range of other programs, including Medicaid, Veterans Health Care, Community Health Centers, and the Indian Health Service.

The MHC dollars support all or a portion of the cost of providing a particular service to an individual only if Medicare, Medicaid,



or other third-party sources are not applicable and if the individual's income and family size make him eligible.

## VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

## Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources (no formal subcommittee)

## House of Representatives

Committee on Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Health and the Environment

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

## Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

In \$32, Congress amended the Public Health Service Act by enacting Pub. L. 87-692. This first Migrant Health Act provided for inderal grant support to clinics which offered health services to domestic migratory farmworkers and their families. Funds were used primarily for support of preventive health service programs -- immunization, health education, and environmental safety.

In 1965, a Congressional reevaluation of migrant health activities indicated that the program had not met the health care objectives of the original Act. The Community Health Services Extension Amendments of 1965 (Pub. L. 89-109) addressed several of the program's inadequacies for program activities and increased authorizations to support migrant health services delivery projects. The legislation also authorized the use of funds for the costs of necessary hospitalization of migrants.



In 1968, Congress passed the Health Services Amendments of 1968 (Fub. L. 90-574). Among other things, these amendments extended the migrant health authority through 1970 and increased the authorization level for project support.

In 1970, Congress again extended the program's authority and increased its authorizations with the enactment of Pub. L. 91-209. In addition, Pub. L. 91-209 expanded program activities to provide health services to seasonal agricultural workers and their families living in communities which experienced seasonal influxes of migrant farmworkers and to require consumer involvement in migrant health projects.

In June 1973, Congress enacted Pub. L. 93-45, the Health Programs Extension Act, which provided a one-year extension of the authority of the migrant health program.

As a result of a Presidential veto of the proposed extension of the migrant health authorization for FY 1975 and beyond, the amounts appropriated for FY 1975 constituted the Congressional authorization for that year. On July 29, 1975, Congress passed Pub. L. 94-63 over a second Presidential veto. For FY 1978, Congress passed a one-year extension (Pub. L. 95-83).

In November 1978, Pub. L. 95-626, extended the migrant health program for FY 1979, 1980, and 1981. Pub. L. 95-626 made significant revisions to the migrant health authority: increased emphasis on environmental health services; use of bilingual personnel mandated for migrant centers serving substantial numbers of patients not fluent in English; retired and disabled migrant agricultural workers made eligible for services; and high impact areas redefined.

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 extended the existing migrant health authority through FY 1984.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

In May 1972, final regulations on Grants for Migrant Health Services were published (42 CFR 56). These set out application requirements, administrative, management, and operational requirements for funded projects.

Regulations were published in December 1976 to implement the section of Pub. L. 94-63 regarding acquisition and modernization of existing MHC buildings. A March 1979 Notice published the minimum components of and efficiency indicators for a quality assurance system used in evaluating MHC performance.

In December 1980, a Notice of Proposed Pulemaking proposed rules to implement changes resulting from Pub. L. 95-626. This law had placed increased emphasis on environmental, pharmacy, and certain supplemental health services and placed incentives on fee



collection. These regulations were never finalized because of plans to consolidate the Migrant Health program within a primary care block grant.

A December 1981 Notice informed applicants for grant funds that awards would be directed toward centers which meet standards established for productivity or effectiveness of program operations and which demonstrate that a need for the health delivery capacity has been established.

A February 1986 Notice of Available Funding described the funds available and listed conditions which must be met by successful applicants, including the requirement for a governing board to be representative of a Migrant Health Services' user, requirements for accessible services, sufficient staff, coordination with local health resources, and justification for the Migrant Health Center's proposed costs.



# VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.248 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

| -  | !     | Total<br>Obligations  | <b>(1)</b> |  |
|--|-------|---|------------|--|
| United States  |       | \$44,300  | (2)        |  |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Xansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohio Okiahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Dakota Tennessee Texas |       | \$44,300<br>\$0<br>\$281<br>\$0<br>\$281<br>\$0<br>\$5,260<br>\$1,851<br>\$0<br>\$956<br>\$0<br>\$7,811<br>\$306<br>\$1,851<br>\$306<br>\$1,851<br>\$306<br>\$1,851<br>\$306<br>\$1,851<br>\$306<br>\$2,291<br>\$6,544<br>\$5,230<br>\$0<br>\$1,211<br>\$203<br>\$2,544<br>\$5,231<br>\$1,273<br>\$0<br>\$1,273<br>\$0<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1,273<br>\$1 | (2)        |  |
| Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico Virgin Islands   |       | \$319<br>\$67<br>\$2,621<br>\$546<br>\$372<br>\$128<br>\$0<br>\$3,400   |            |  |
| AILOIU 18197JOS  | i<br> | <u> </u>  | i<br>      |  |

Data Sources: Health Resources and Services Administration

(1) Outlays for administration at the federal level for FY 85 were \$2,292(000).
(2) Difference between U.S. total and sum of all state figures are dollars going to activities not attributable to a specific State.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.246 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

| United States \$42,000 (1)  Alabama \$0 Arizona \$298 Arkansas \$0 California \$4,561 Colorado \$1,917 Connecticut \$0 Delaware \$1,024 D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawail \$0 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Kansas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louislana \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississimal \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 Ne  | 1               | Total<br>  Obligations |     |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|-----|
| Arizona \$298 Arkansas \$0 California \$4,561 Colorado \$1,917 Connecticut \$0 Delaware \$1,024 D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawaii \$0 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Kansas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louisiana \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississipi \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Horsey \$245 New Mexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$111 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$111 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$208 Wisconsing \$1111 Guam \$0 Virgin Islands  | United States   |                        | (1) |
| Arizona \$298 Arkansas \$0 California \$4,561 Colorado \$1,917 Connecticut \$0 Delaware \$1,024 D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawaii \$0 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Kansas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louisiana \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississimpl \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 New Ha  |                 | <b>\$</b> 0            |     |
| Arkansas \$0 California \$4,561 Colorado \$1,917 Connecticut \$0 Delaware \$1,024 D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawaii \$0 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Kansas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louisiana \$0 Maine \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Mississimpl \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Wexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$1 Signa \$208 Wiscon \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$1   |                 | \$298                  |     |
| Colorado \$1,917 Connecticut \$0 Delaware \$1,024 D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawaii \$6 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Karsas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louisiana \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississimal \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 New Jersey \$245 New Mexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Okiahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Okiahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Okiahoma \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$1   | Arkansas        | \$0                    |     |
| Connecticut \$0 Delaware \$1,024 D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawaii \$0 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Kansas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louisiana \$0 Maine \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississimpl \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 New Jersey \$245 New Mexico \$155 New Wexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Dakota \$0 Tennessee \$99 Texas \$6,068 Utah \$317 Vermont \$0 Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$208 Wiscond \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0   |                 | \$4,561                | !   |
| Delaware D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawaii \$0 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Kansas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louisiana \$0 Maine \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississimpl \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 New Jersey \$245 New Mexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$1,386 N. Dakota \$0 Oregon \$1,386 N. Dakota  |                 |                        |     |
| D. C. \$0 Florida \$3,579 Georgia \$305 Hawaii \$0 Idaho \$510 Illinois \$608 Indiana \$532 Iowa \$220 Kansas \$235 Kentucky \$0 Louisiana \$0 Maine \$0 Maryland \$150 Massachusetts \$0 Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississinal \$0 Missouri \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 New Hampshire \$0 New Jersey \$245 New Wexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$1,386 No \$1  |                 | \$1.024                |     |
| Georgia  | D. C.           |                        | l   |
| Hawall   |                 |                        | İ   |
| Idaho  |                 |                        | i   |
| Illinois   |                 |                        | İ   |
| Indiana \$532   lowa \$220   Kansas \$235   Kentucky \$0   Louisiana \$0   Maine \$0   Maryland \$150   Massachusetts \$0   Michigan \$3,273   Minnesota \$737   Mississimpl \$0   Missouri \$103   Montana \$357   Mebraska \$206   New Hampshire \$0   New Hampshire \$0   New Hampshire \$0   New Hampshire \$0   New Mexico \$155   New York \$267   N. Carolina \$1,286   N. Dakota \$0   Ohlo \$504   Oklahoma \$122   Oregon \$659   Pennsylvania \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Carolina \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Carolina \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Carolina \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Carolina \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Dakota \$0   Tennessee \$99   Texas \$6,068   Utah \$317   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$1,377   Vermont \$2,739   W. Vircinia \$2,08   Virginia \$3,45   Vermoning \$3,45   Vermoning \$3,209   Virgin Islands \$0   Virgin Is  | IIIInois        |                        |     |
| Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Minnesota Mississimpl Missouri Missouri Missouri Missouri Montana Mont   |                 | \$532                  | i   |
| Rentucky   |                 |                        |     |
| Louislana \$0  Maine \$0  Maryland \$150  Massachusetts \$0  Michigan \$3,273  Minnesota \$737  Mississimpl \$0  Missourl \$103  Montana \$357  Nebraska \$206  New Hampshire \$0  New Hampshire \$0  New Jersey \$245  New Mexico \$155  New York \$267  N. Carolina \$1,286  N. Dakota \$0  Ohlo \$504  Oklahoma \$1,286  N. Dakota \$0  Oklahoma \$1,286  N. Dakota \$0  Oklahoma \$1,286  N. Dakota \$0  Oklahoma \$1,386  Rhode Island \$1,386  Rhode Island \$1,386  Rhode Island \$0  S. Carolina \$1,386  Rhode Island \$1,386  Rhode Island \$1,386  Wighth \$317  Vermont \$0  Virginia \$151  Washington \$2,739  W. Virginia \$208  Wiscons a \$345  Wyoming \$111  Guam \$0  Puerto Pico \$3,209  Virgin Islands \$0  |                 |                        | į   |
| Maine Maryland Massachusetts Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Minnesota Minnesota Mississimpl Montana  | Louisiana       |                        | ļ   |
| Massachusetts       \$0         Michigan       \$3,273         Minnesota       \$737         Mississimpl       \$0         Missouri       \$103         Montana       \$357         Nebraska       \$206         Nevada       \$0         New Hampshire       \$0         New Jersey       \$245         New Mexico       \$155         New York       \$267         N. Carolina       \$1,286         N. Dakota       \$0         Ohlo       \$504         Oklahoma       \$122         Oregon       \$659         Pennsylvania       \$1,386         Rhode Island       \$0         S. Carolina       \$610         S. Dakota       \$0         Tennessee       \$99         Texas       \$6,068         Utah       \$151         Washington       \$2,739         W. Vircinia       \$208         Wisconing       \$111         Guam       \$0         Puerto Pico       \$3,209         Virgin Islands       \$0   | Maine           |                        |     |
| Michigan \$3,273 Minnesota \$737 Mississimpl \$0 Missourl \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 Newada \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Jersey \$245 New Mexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Dakota \$0 Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$208 Wiscons a \$345 Wyoming \$111 Guam \$0 Puerto Pico \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0  | Maryland        |                        | į   |
| Minnesota   \$737   Mississimple   \$0   \$0   \$103   \$0   \$103 | Massachusetts ; |                        | l   |
| Mississimpl \$0 Missourl \$103 Montana \$357 Nebraska \$206 Nevada \$0 New Hampshire \$0 New Jersey \$245 New Mexico \$155 New York \$267 N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohio \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Dakota \$0 S. Carolina \$1,386 Rhode Island \$1,386 Utah \$1,38  | Minnesota !     |                        | l   |
| MIssour I \$103   Montana \$357   Nebraska \$206   New Ada \$206   New Hampshire \$0   New Hampshire \$0   New Jersey \$245   New Mexico \$155   New York \$267   N. Carolina \$1,286   N. Dakota \$0   Ohlo \$504   Oklahoma \$122   Oregon \$659   Pennsylvania \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Carolina \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Carolina \$1,386   Rhode Island \$0   S. Dakota \$0   S. Carolina \$1,386   Virginia \$1,386   Virginia \$151   Vermont \$0   Virginia \$151   Vermont \$0   Virginia \$151   Vermont \$100   Virginia \$151   Vermont \$100   Virginia \$151   Vermont \$100   Virginia \$111   Vermonia \$111   Verm   | Mississiral     |                        | - } |
| Nebraska   \$206   New Adda   \$0   New Hampshire   \$0   New Jersey   \$245   New Mexico   \$155   New York   \$267   N. Carolina   \$1,286   N. Dakota   \$0   Ohio   \$504   Oklahoma   \$122   Oregon   \$659   Pennsylvania   \$1,386   Rhode Island   \$0   \$0. Carolina   \$1,386   Rhode Island   \$0   \$0. Carolina   \$610   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0. Tennessee   \$99   Texas   \$6,068   Utah   \$151   Washington   \$151   Washington   \$2,739   W. Virginia   \$151   Washington   \$2,739   W. Virginia   \$111   \$208   Wiscondown   \$345   Wyoming   \$111   \$0   \$0   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   Virgin Islands   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$3,209   \$0. Puerto Pico   \$0. Puerto Pic  | Missouri        | \$103                  |     |
| New Hampshire   \$0  |                 |                        | į   |
| New Hampshire   \$0   New Jersey   \$245   New Mexico   \$155   New York   \$267   N. Carolina   \$1,286   N. Dakota   \$0   Ohlo   \$504   Oklahoma   \$122   Oregon   \$659   Pennsylvania   \$1,386   Rhode Island   \$0   \$0. Carolina   \$610   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$1.51  |                 |                        | i   |
| New Jersey   |                 |                        | İ   |
| New Mexico   \$155   New York   \$267   N. Carolina   \$1,286   N. Dakota   \$0   Ohlo   \$504   Oklahoma   \$122   Oregon   \$659   Pennsylvania   \$1,386   Rhode Island   \$0   \$0. Carolina   \$610   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0   \$5. Dakota   \$0. Virginia   \$151                         | New Jersey      | \$245                  | - ! |
| N. Carolina \$1,286 N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$610 S. Dakota \$0 Tennessee \$99 Texas \$6,068 Utah \$317 Vermont \$0 Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$208 Wiscond a \$345 Wyoming \$111 Guam \$0 Puerto Pico \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0   | New Mexico      | \$155                  | İ   |
| N. Dakota \$0 Ohlo \$504 Oklahoma \$122 Oregon \$659 Pennsylvania \$1,386 Rhode Island \$0 S. Carolina \$610 S. Dakota \$0 Tennessee \$99 Texas \$6,068 Utah \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Vircinia \$208 Wiscons a \$345 Wyoming \$111 Guam \$0 Puerto Pico \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0  | New York        |                        | - ! |
| Ohlo Oklahoma Oklahoma S122 Oregon S659 Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee S99 Texas S6,068 Utah Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wiscons n Wyoming Guam Puerto Pico Virgin Islands S122 0 \$504 \$122 0 \$504 \$122 0 \$659 \$1,386 Rhode Islands \$1,386 \$610 \$50 \$610 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$5   |                 |                        | i   |
| Ok lahoma         \$122           Oregon         \$659           Pennsylvania         \$1,386           Rhode Island         \$0           S. Carolina         \$610           S. Dakota         \$0           Tennessee         \$99           Texas         \$6,068           Utah         \$317           Vermont         \$0           Virginia         \$151           Washington         \$2,739           W. Virginia         \$208           Wisconsin         \$345           Wyoming         \$111           Guam         \$0           Puerto Pico         \$3,209           Virgin Islands         \$0   |                 |                        | - 1 |
| Pennsylvania       \$1,386         Rhode Island       \$0         S. Carolina       \$610         S. Dakota       \$0         Tennessee       \$99         Texas       \$6,068         Utah       \$317         Vermont       \$0         Virginia       \$151         Washington       \$2,739         W. Virginia       \$208         Wisconsin       \$345         Wyoming       \$111         Guam       \$0         Puerto Pico       \$3,209         Virgin Islands       \$0  | Oklahoma        |                        |     |
| Rhode Island       \$0         S. Carolina       \$610         S. Dakota       \$0         Tennessee       \$99         Texas       \$6,068         Utah       \$317         Vermont       \$0         Virginia       \$151         Washington       \$2,739         W. Virginia       \$208         Wisconda       \$345         Wyoming       \$111         Guam       \$0         Puerto Pico       \$3,209         Virgin Islands       \$0  | Oregon          | \$659                  | į   |
| S. Carolina \$610 S. Dakota \$0 Tennessee \$99 Texas \$6,068 Utah \$317 Vermont \$0 Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$208 Wiscons a \$345 Wyoming \$111 Guam \$0 Puerto Pico \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0  | Phode leland    |                        |     |
| S. Dakota \$0 Tennessee \$99 Texas \$6,068 Utah \$317 Vermont \$0 Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$208 Wiscond \$345 Wyoming \$111 Guam \$0 Puerto Pico \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0  | S. Carolina     |                        | j   |
| Tennessee \$99 Texas \$6,068 Utah \$317 Vermont \$0 Virginia \$151 Washington \$2,739 W. Virginia \$208 Wiscons a \$345 Wyoming \$111 Guam \$0 Puerto Pico \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0  | S. Dakota       |                        | l   |
| Utah         \$317           Vermont         \$0           Virginia         \$151           Washington         \$2,739           W. Virginia         \$208           Wisconsin         \$345           Wyoming         \$111           Guam         \$0           Puerto Pico         \$3,209           Virgin Islands         \$0   | Tennessee       | \$99                   | i   |
| Vermont         \$0           Virginia         \$151           Washington         \$2,739           W. Virginia         \$208           Wisconsin         \$345           Wyoming         \$111           Guam         \$0           Puerto Pico         \$3,209           Virgin Islands         \$0  | lexas<br>!#ab   |                        | - } |
| Virginia       \$151         Washington       \$2,739         W. Virginia       \$208         Wisconsin       \$345         Wyoming       \$111         Guam       \$0         Puerto Pico       \$3,209         Virgin Islands       \$0  |                 |                        | İ   |
| Washington       \$2,739         W. Virginia       \$208         Wisconsin       \$345         Wyoming       \$111         Guam       \$0         Puerto Pico       \$3,209         Virgin Islands       \$0   | Virginia        |                        | !   |
| Wiscons in \$345   | Washington :    |                        | ı   |
| Wyoming \$111   Guam \$0   Puerto Pico \$3,209   Virgin Islands \$0  | W. Virginia     | \$208                  | İ   |
| Guam \$0 Puerto Pico \$3,209 Virgin Islands \$0  |                 |                        | - 1 |
| Puerto Fico \$3,209  |                 |                        | j   |
| Virgin islands!! •n!!  | Puerto Pico     | \$3,209                | Ī   |
|  | virgin islands: | \$0 ;                  |     |

Data Sources: Health Resources and Services Administration

(1) Difference between U.S. total and sum of all state figures are dollars going to activities not patributable to a specific state.



101 167

## IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (In thousands) 13.246 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

| ,                            | All                                  |     |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|
|                              | Persons  <br>  Served (1)            | (2) |
| United States                | 494                                  | , , |
| Alabama                      | n                                    |     |
| Alaska                       | 0                                    |     |
| Arizona                      | 4 0                                  |     |
| Arkansas<br>California       | 98                                   |     |
| Colorado                     | 26                                   |     |
| Connecticut                  | 0                                    |     |
| De laware                    | 9 0                                  |     |
| D. C.<br>Florida             | 71                                   |     |
| Georgia                      | (' )                                 | (3) |
| Hawaii ¦                     | 0                                    | ,   |
| Idaho                        | 10                                   |     |
| IIIInols<br>Indiana          | 5<br>0<br>2<br>1<br>0                |     |
| lowa                         | Ž                                    |     |
| Kansas                       | 1                                    |     |
| Kentucky                     | 0 1                                  |     |
| Louisiana<br>Maine           | 0                                    |     |
| Maryland                     | Ĭ                                    |     |
| Massachusetts !              |                                      | (3) |
| Michigan                     | 29                                   |     |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi     | 8                                    | i   |
| Missouri                     | 0<br>2<br>4<br>2<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>1 |     |
| Montana                      | 4                                    |     |
| Nebraska                     | 2                                    |     |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire      | i vi                                 |     |
| New Jersey                   |                                      |     |
| New Mexico                   | 1                                    |     |
| New York                     | 4 1                                  |     |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota     | 19<br>0                              |     |
| Ohlo                         | 5                                    |     |
| Ok lahoma j                  | i                                    |     |
| Oregon ¦                     | 9                                    |     |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island | 9<br>7<br>0<br>3                     |     |
| S. Carolina                  |                                      |     |
| S. Dakota                    | ŏ                                    |     |
| Tennessee                    |                                      | (3) |
| Texas                        | 58                                   |     |
| Utah<br>Vermont              | 13                                   |     |
| Virginia                     | '                                    | (3) |
| Washington :                 | 22                                   | ,   |
| W. Virginia                  | 3                                    |     |
| Wisconšin<br>Wyoming         | 2 2                                  |     |
| Guam :                       | 22   3   2   2   2   2   2   0   65  |     |
| Puerto Rico                  |                                      | i   |
| Virgin Islands               | 0                                    | į   |
| i                            |                                      | ļ   |

Data Sources: Health Resources and Services Administration

Eased on unduplicated annual count.
 40,000 elderly, defined as 65 years of age or older, were served nationally.
 Less than 1,000.



# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (In thousands) 13.248 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

|                             |   | All  |     |
|-----------------------------|---|--|-----|
|                             |   | Persons  | 1,  |
|                             |   | Served (1)                                     | (2) |
| United Status               |   | 464  |     |
| Alabama                     |   | U  |     |
| Alaska                      |   | 0<br>4<br>0<br>87                              |     |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas         |   | 4  |     |
| California                  |   | 87   |     |
| Colorado                    |   | 28   | İ   |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware     | İ | 0<br>8   |     |
| D. C.                       |   | 0  |     |
| Florida                     |   | 90   | !!  |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii           |   | 0  | İ   |
| idaho                       |   | 13   | 1 1 |
| illinois                    |   | 6  |     |
| indiana<br>Iowa             |   | 3  |     |
| Kansas                      |   | i  | 1 1 |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana       |   | 6<br>3<br>1<br>1<br>0<br>0                     |     |
| Maine                       |   | i ö  |     |
| Maryland                    |   | Ŏ  |     |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan   |   | 23   | (3) |
| Mirnesota                   |   | 23   |     |
| Mississippi                 |   | Ŏ  | !!  |
| Missouri<br>Montana         |   | 8<br>0<br>1<br>2<br>2<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>1<br>3 |     |
| Nebraska                    |   | 2  |     |
| Nevada<br>New Hamashira     |   | Q<br>Q   |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey |   | 2  |     |
| New Mexico                  |   | Ĩ  |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina     |   | 3  |     |
| N. Dakota                   |   | '0   |     |
| Ohio                        |   | 6  |     |
| Oklahoma<br>Oregon          |   |  |     |
| Pennsy Ivan Ia              |   | 7  |     |
| Rhode Island                |   | 0  |     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota    |   | 4  | 1   |
| Tennessee                   |   | 9<br>7<br>0<br>4<br>0<br>1<br>58               |     |
| Texas                       |   | 58   |     |
| Utah<br>Vermont             |   | ń  |     |
| Virginia                    |   | 2<br>0<br>0<br>24                              |     |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia   |   | 24   |     |
| Wiscons in                  |   | 2  |     |
| Wyoming                     |   | Ī  |     |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico         |   | 3<br>2<br>1<br>4<br>45                         |     |
| Virgin Islands              |   | 0  |     |
| -                           |   |  |     |

Data Sources: Health Resources and Services Administration

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.
(2) 40,000 elderly, defined as 65 years of age or older, were served nationally.
(3) Less than 1,000.



## X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 13.246 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

|                              | Total                                   | (1) |
|------------------------------|---|-----|
| United States                | \$90                                    |     |
| Alabama                      |   |     |
| Alaska   Arizona             | \$70                                    |     |
| Arkansas                     | 454                                     |     |
| California :<br>Colorado :   | \$54<br>:71                             |     |
| Connect Icut                 | "                                       |     |
| Delaware                     | \$106                                   |     |
| D. C.<br>Florida             | \$110                                   |     |
| Georgia                      | • |     |
| Hawall ;                     | 441                                     |     |
| Idaho<br>Iliinois            | \$41<br>\$92                            | 1 1 |
| Indiana                      |   | 1 1 |
| lowa                         | \$108                                   | 1 1 |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky           | \$200                                   |     |
| Louisiana                    |   |     |
| Maine :                      |   |     |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts    | į                                       |     |
| Michigan                     | \$88                                    |     |
| Minnesota                    | \$65                                    | 1 1 |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri      | \$61                                    |     |
| Montana                      | \$51                                    | 1 1 |
| Nebraska                     | \$115                                   | !!! |
| Nevada                       |   |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey  | \$32                                    |     |
| Ner Mexico                   | \$87                                    |     |
| New York                     | \$76<br>\$67                            |     |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota     | \$07                                    |     |
| Ohlo I                       | \$103                                   |     |
| Ok lahoma                    | \$116                                   |     |
| Oregon :<br>Pennsylvania :   | \$137<br>\$50                           |     |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island |   |     |
| S. Carolina                  | \$203                                   |     |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee       | į                                       |     |
| Texas :                      | \$25                                    |     |
| Utah Verment                 | \$25                                    |     |
| Vermont<br>Virginia          |   |     |
| Washington {                 | \$119                                   |     |
| W. Virginia ¦                | \$182<br>\$186                          |     |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming         | \$64                                    |     |
| Guam                         | İ                                       | İ   |
| Puerto Rico                  | \$52                                    |     |
| Virgin islands               | İ                                       |     |

Data Sources: Health Resources and Services Administration

(1) Mean costs estimated by dividing total spending in Table VIII by persons served in Table IX.



# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 13.248 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

|                              | Total                | (1) |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-----|
| United States                | \$91                 |     |
| Alabama                      |                      |     |
| Alaska<br>Ar Izona           | \$75                 |     |
| Arkansas                     | İ                    |     |
| California ;                 | \$52<br>\$68         |     |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware      | \$128                |     |
| D. C.                        |                      |     |
| Florida<br>Georgia           | \$40                 |     |
| HawaTI ;                     | 400                  |     |
| Idaho<br>IIIInois            | \$39<br>\$101        |     |
| Indiana                      | \$177                |     |
| lowa<br>Kansas               | \$220<br>\$235       |     |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana        |                      |     |
| Ma Ine                       |                      |     |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts    |                      |     |
| Michigan ;                   | \$142                |     |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi     | \$92                 |     |
| Missouri                     | \$103                |     |
| Montana<br>Nebraska          | \$179<br>\$103       |     |
| Nevada                       | <b>\$100</b>         |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey  | \$123                |     |
| New Mexico                   | \$155                |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina      | \$89<br><b>\$</b> 92 |     |
| N. Dakota                    |                      |     |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma             | \$84<br>\$122        |     |
| Oregon                       | \$73                 |     |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island | \$198                |     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota     | <b>\$</b> 153        |     |
| Tennessee :                  | \$99                 |     |
| Texas<br>Utah                | \$105<br>\$159       |     |
| Vermont                      | <b>4100</b>          |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington       | \$114                |     |
| W. Virğinia 🕴                | \$69                 |     |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming         | \$173<br>\$111       | İ   |
| Cuam<br>Puerto Rico          |                      | İ   |
| Virgin Islands               | \$71                 | İ   |
| -                            |                      | İ   |

Data Sources · Health Resources and Services Administration

(1) Mean costs estimated by dividing total spending in Table VIII by persons served in Table IX.



105

# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (In thousands) 13.248 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Obligations  |     | Persons<br>Served               |  |
|--|--|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 | \$44,300<br>\$42,000<br>\$38,104<br>\$38,208<br>\$43,223<br>\$39,700<br>\$34,500<br>\$34,500<br>\$23,750<br>\$23,750<br>\$23,750<br>\$17,950<br>\$14,000<br>\$14,000<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200<br>\$7,200 | (1) | 494<br>464<br>394<br>394<br>415 |  |

Data Sources: Health Services and Resource Administration

(1) Includes Transition Quarter 1976.



#### SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) provides federal funds to states and insular areas to encourage services that help low income persons achieve self-support and that help to prevent dependency and inappropriate institutionalization. States and the other primary grantees have key roles under SSBG. They develop and administer the program, determine what services will be provided and who will be eligible, and act as the primary interpreters of the federal SSBG law. Local agencies, such as county welfare offices, serve as contact points for applicants in need.

In FY 1985, about \$2.7 billion was appropriated for SSBG. There are no matching requirements for the states. However, the predecessor Tible XX programs required a state match for federal funds, and many states continue to provide state funds. The minimal reporting requirements imposed under the 1981 block grant law do not yield data on either the amounts of state supplementary funding or the numbers of persons served under SSBG.

All eligibility criteria under SSBG, including any income or asset limits, are determined entirely by the state. Currently, all states make all services available to AFDC recipients and most services available to SSI recipients. The primary condition that must be met is a need for the service.

Each state, within specific statutory limitations, determines what services will be provided. Typically, a wide range of services are offered directly to recipients to meet the goals of the program. For example, training and transportation may help to achieve self-support, chore and homemaker services may help to avoid institutionalization, emergency intervention and medical services may help to reduce abuse of persons. The federal statute does limit the use of SSBG funds in certain ways such as specifying no cash assistance, virtually no room and board benefits, no child care unless it meets applicable standards of state and local law, and no medical care unless integral to another social service.

Federal funding for social services has been capped at the annual appropriation level since 1972. Under the law prior to 1972, federal funding was open-ended and expenditures grew rapidly despite state matching requirements. Much of the increase in spending was due to state efforts to finance institutional care programs from open-ended federal funds.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Social Services Block Grant.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.667
  Budget account number(s): 75-1634-0-1-506.
- C. Current authorizing state: 42 U.S.C. 1397-1397f.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 45 CFR Part 96.
- E. Federal administering agency: Office of Human Development Services, Office of Policy, Planning and Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; insular areas.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Counties; cities; tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; private for-profit organizations; other public agencies and individuals.
- H. Allocation of federal funds

The Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) is a federally-funded program capped at the annual appropriation level. Funds are allocated to states and other jurisdictions based on 42 U.S.C. 1397b.

Each of the jurisdictions of Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands receives an allotment in an amount that bears the same ratio to the amount appropriated as the amount allocated to each jurisdiction in FY 1981 bore to \$2.9 billion. The remainder of the amount appropriated is allotted to states and the District of Columbia based on the ratio of each state's population to the national population.

There are no requirements that state or other funds or commitments of time or services be used to match federal funds. However, states were required to provide a match under a predecessor program, and some states continue to use state or other funds to supplement the SSBG program.

There are no requirements that states must pass funds through to other grantees.



I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

The state government is responsible for administering the SSBG. In order to receive funding, the state must submit a preexpenditure report to the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). This report, or state plan, must include, at minimum, a description of the services and activities to be supported and the categories or characteristics of individuals to be served. A state must provide an opportunity for public comment on the proposed services plan before the final preexpenditure report is submitted to DHHS.

Within the limitations in the law, the state has sole responsibility for developing and administering the program, determining what services will be provided, who is eligible to receive services, and how funds will be distributed among the various services offered within the state.

In addition, states are the primary interpreters of the law. The block grant regulation at 45 CFR 96.50(e) states, "In resolving any issue raised by a complaint or a federal audit or review, the Department will defer to a state's interpretation of its assurances and of the provisions of the block grant statutes unless the interpretation is clearly erroneous."

Because the Department's block grant programs are not highly regulated, the federal government will look to state rather than federal law or procedures for determining compliance with the statute.

State legislatures and units of local government may also be involved in the development of the state's preexpenditure report. Units of local government, typically county or city welfare or social services or human services offices, administer the program. State or local SSBG agencies may provide services directly or purchase them from other public or private agencies.

J. Audit or quality control.

Primary responsibility for administrative efficiency under the SSBG rests with the states. There is no procedure established by the statute for calculating an error rate under the SSBG, and the federal covernment does not provide standards for administrative efficiency in the form of a target error rate or quality control system for the SSBG.

However, the states are required by the Single Audit Act to perform an annual audit. This audit must be conducted in accordance with the Comptroller General's standards for audits of governmental organizations, programs, activities, and functions, and submitted to HHS within 30 days after completion. The statute also requires states to repay amounts found not to be expended in accordance with the statute.



If the federal government determines as a result of audits that a state has spent money improperly, it can either seek repayment of misspent funds, or, in the case of the finding of substantial noncompliance, withhold federal funds after a process which involves legal review and hearings.

During the past year, ten state audits have been reviewed by the Department. All state expenditures were found to be in compliance with the statute. Under the SSBG, there is no requirement that the Department conduct program reviews.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The explicit statutory and regulatory objectives of the SSBG are stated in the statute: "For the purposes of consolidating federal assistance to states for social services into a single grant, increasing state flexibility in using social services grants, and encouraging each state, as far as practicable under the conditions in that state, to furnish services directed at the goals of:

- (1) Achieving or maintaining economic self support to prevent, reduce, or eliminate dependency;
- (2) Achieving or maintaining self sufficiency, including reduction or prevention of dependency;
- (3) Preventing or remedying neglect, abuse, or exploitation of children and adults unable to protect their own interests, or preserving, rehabilitating, or reuniting families;
- (4) Preventing or reducing inappropriate institutional care by providing for community-based care, home-based care, or other forms of less intensive care;
- (5) Securing referral or admission for institutional care when other forms of care are not appropriate, or providing services to individuals in institutions."
- B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

States have sole responsibility for determining how SSBG funds will be allocated among various activities to meet the statutory goals and objectives.



### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

States decide eligibility standards and what services will be provided. Currently, all states make all services available to AFDC recipients, while making most of the same services available to SSI recipients. Eligibility may also be based on income. Based on the need for the service, the unit of service may be an individual (child or adult), a family group, or selected members of a family.

B. Income eligibility standards.

All income eligibility criteria, including income limits, are determined entirely by the states. A person may be eligible for SSBG services in three basic ways:

- (1) By having an income that falls within a fixed amount;
- (2) By being an AFDC or SSI recipient, or by being a member of a defined category of persons (e.g., persons living in a certain geographic area or members of a specified target group such as the elderly or runaways);
- (3) By being the recipient of a service provided without regard to income.

Currently, all states provide two services without regard to income, i.e., protective services to address abuse and neglect, and information and referral services.

There are no income eligibility limitations in the statute. Any income limits are set by each state and may vary from subgroup to subgroup, e.g., child care may be available only for AFDC recipients who are working; other services may be based on income levels, as well as directed toward specific groups.

There is no statutory or regulatory requirement for application of disregards, deductions, or discounts from gross earned income. States, typically, set income eligibility based on a single income figure.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

In addition to whatever income eligibility criteria may be established, the need for the service is the primary condition which must be established for eligibility. Some services may be directed at specific subgroups, e.g., meals on wheels for the homebound elderly, disability services for the disabled, services to unmarried parents.



There are no federal statutory or regulatory job search or work requirements under the SSBG; currently, no state has chosen to institute such requirements as a condition of eligibility for social services.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Some states charge fees for some services, particularly child day care services.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Program intake under the SSBG is accomplished through a wide variety of processes including all of the following: voluntary application; referral by a third party including service providers, law enforcement agencies, other community agencies and organizations, and friends and neighbors; automatic intake due to eligibility for some other program or service.

The local administering agency or service provider may be a state or local agency (e.g., county, city, or regional office), proprietary or nonprofit agency, or an individual service provider.

B. Program benefits or services.

A wide range of services are provided under the SSBG to meet a wide range of service needs under each of the objectives in the statute. For example:

- o To meet the goal of self-support, an AFDC recipient may need child day care, transportation, counseling, or a work or training program;
- o To meet the goals of maintaining self-sufficiency and preventing istitutionalization, an individual may need homemaker services, chore services, or home health services;
- o To prevent abuse, neglect, or exploitation, children and adults may require emergency intervention services, protective services, emergency medical care, substitute or foster care, or counseling.

However, the statute at 42 U.S.C. 1397d contains several limitations on the use of SSBG funds. For example, funds may not be used for cash payments as a service, for costs of subsistence or for the provision of room and board except in special circumstances, for the provision of child care unless the service meets applicable state and local standards or for the provision



112

of medical care, unless it is an integral but subordinate part of a social service.

Services are provided directly to the recipient, e.g., day care for children, chore services for the elderly, counseling, transportation, services and activities in senior citizen centers.

Each state sets its own factors, conditions, formulas, and criteria for services. They may vary from service to service; they may be in effect state-wide or may vary in different geographic areas throughout the state. In addition, the state must provide the public an opportunity to review and comment on the state's proposed services program and the factors, conditions, formulas, and criteria for services. All factors, conditions, criteria, and formulas established by the state are applied at the time the individual requests services from the services provider.

### C. Duration of benefits.

No information on average duration of services is available. Maximum duration or participation limitations are determined by each state based on the need for service, funds available, and other factors.

# VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

States have the flexibility to make groups of persons categorically eligible for SSBG. For example, currently, all states make AFDC recipients eligible for all services and SSI recipients eligible for most services. This continues the pattern of predecessor programs which conferred categorical eligibility on these groups.

Un er the previous Title XX program, information reported by the states for FY 1980 indicated that AFDC recipients represented 27 percent of those receiving services under Title XX, while SSI recipients represented 11 percent of those receiving services.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Neither the statute nor the regulations prohibit counting the income or resources provided under any other assistance program in establishing eligibility criteria. These decisions are left up to the state.

States make the decisions on who will be eligible for services and set their own income levels for recipient eligibility for social services. If an individual's circumstances and income change, that may result in as change in SSBG eligibility.



C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

There are several federal programs which authorize the same or similar services. Because of coordination among state and local agencies and due to the nature of social services, there is rarely duplication of services to a specific individual.

The following federal statutes and programs authorize services that are the same or similar to the services that may, at state option, be provided under the SSBG:

- o Older Americans Act
- o Developmental Disabilities Act
- o Head Start Act
- o Child Abuse & Neglect Prevention and Treatment Act
- o Foster Care and Adoption Assistance Program (Independent Living Initiatives)
- o Child Welfare Services (Title IV-B of the Social Security Act)
- o Runaway Youth Program
- o Family Violence Prevention and Services Act
- o Dependent Care Planning Grant Program
- o Community Services Block Grant
- o Maternal & Child Health Services Block Grant
- o Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Services Block Grant
- o Preventive Health & Health Services Block Grant
- o Family Planning Services (Title X of the Public Health Services Act)
- o Adolescent Family Life Demonstration Program

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Finance
Subcommittee on Social Security and

Subcommittee on Social Security and Income Maintenance Programs

#### House of Representatives

Committee on House Ways and Means
Subcommittee on Public Assistance and Unemployment
Compensation



B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

PREDECESSOR PROGRAMS (Titles I, IV-A, X, XIV, and XVI (AABD) of the Social Security Act)

The origin of the SSBG program is found in the public assistance titles of the Social Security Act. In 1956, federal funds were first authorized for social services only for recipients of public income maintenance assistance (AFDC; cash assistance for the aged, blind, and disabled) with federal funds matching state funds dollar for dollar. It was hoped that through the provision of services, the unemployed could achieve economic independence. Funding was open-ended, i.e., not capped. In 1962, a 75 percent matching rate was enacted, and states were permitted to provide services to former and potential — as well as current — income maintenance recipients. Federal regulations specified what services could be provided, to whom, and under what conditions. In 1967, states were allowed to purchase services on behalf of recipients from private service providers.

Between 1967 and 1972, federal expenditures grew rapidly. Much of this increase was due to state efforts to finance state institutional programs in mental health, retardation, corrections, and some education programs from open-ended federal funds. As a result, Congress placed a \$2.5 billion ceiling on federal social services funding in 1972 with each state's share determined on the basis of the proportion of its population to the total population of all the states. During 1972 and 1973, efforts by the Department to control social services expenditures through rigorous financial reviews and regulations met with strong opposition. The controversy culminated in the enactment of Title XX.

TITLE XX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

In 1974, Congress enacted, effective October 1, 1975, a new Title XX of the Social Security Act to establish a new basis for



115 124

federal funding of social services programs. It retained the \$2.5 billion ceiling on federal expenditures, the allocation formula on the basis of population, and the matching requirements.

The Title XX program required that at least three services be available for SSI recipients and required that an amount equal to 50 percent of the federal funds received by the states must be spent for services to AFDC and SSI recipients. In addition, the statute permitted services to be provided, at state option, on the basis of income eligibility not to exceed 115 percent of a state's median income. The law also permitted, at state option, the provision of protective services, family planning services, and information and referral services to persons regardless of income.

Title XX gave states flexibility in establishing eligibility criteria within each state. States could set their own income criteria at or below the maximum statutory level or establish group eligibility for such groups as the elderly or the handicapped living in public housing. Services need not be provided state-wide, and different services could be provided in different geographic areas within a state.

States were required to develop a Comprehensive Annual Services Program Plan which set forth the services to be provided, the eligibility criteria for each service, and the geographic area and method of provision for social services. The Title XX law mandated public participation in the development of the plan.

Title XX was subsequently modified by several legislative amendments. Four of these amendments were concerned primarily with the postponement of staffing requirements in child day care centers and group homes (Federal Interagency Day Care Requirements). Other changes included:

- Limitations on room and board and medical or remedial care were relaxed when these items were included in services to drug addicts;
- o Group eligibility (as opposed to individual eligibility) was authorized primarily through the efforts of advocates of the elderly who argued that individual eligibility determinations in senior citizen centers were administratively burdenso;
- o \$200 million was added a ove the ceiling in FY 1977-1981 with no federal match required for expenditures for child care. States were also authorized within the \$200 million to make grants to day care providers to hipe AFDC recipients;
- o States were required (in an effort to prevent fraud and abuse) to collect certain information concerning ownership



and business transactions from providers of medical or remedial care or health-related homemaker services.

TITLE XX REVISED: THE SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 (Pub. L. 97-35) amended Title XX of the Social Security Act to establish "Block Grants to States for Social Services" which consolidated the funding for social services, child day care services, and social services staff training. Pub. L. 97-35 also reduced the SSBG ceiling to \$2.4 billion for FY 1982 and eliminated the state matching requirement and other administrative requirements.

Under the SSBC program, states have greater flexibility to determine what services will be provided, who will be eligible to receive scrvices, and how funds will be distributed among the various services offered within the state.

The SSBG has not been amended since its enactment. Appropriations have increased from \$2.4 billion in FY 1982 to \$2.725 billion in FY 1985. With one exception, these increases merely raised the funding ceiling for the program. However, Pub. L. 98-473, enacted October 12, 1984, increased the Title XX appropriation by earmarking \$25 million as an "incentive" for states to enact state laws and regulations to require employment history, background checks, and national criminal record checks for certain categories of child care givers. These incentive funds were distributed based on the allocation formula in the statute. A state could use its full share of the \$25 million only if it enacted the required laws and regulations. These funds were earmarked for training, including training in the prevention of child abuse, as a response to reports of child abuse in child day care settings.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

Combined final regulations for the seven DHHS block grants, including the SSBG program, were published at 45 CFR Part 96 on July 6, 1982. As the preamble to the regulations states:
"... the Secretary has determined that the Department should implement the block grant programs in a manner that is fully consistent with the Congressional intent to enlarge the states' ability to control use of the funds involved. Accordingly, to the extent possible, we will not burden the states' administration of the programs with definitions of permissible and prohibited activities, procedural rules, paperwork and recordkeeping requirements, or other regulatory provisions. The states will, for the most part, be subject only to the statutory requirements, and the Department will carry out its functions with due regard for the limited nature of the role that Congress has assigned to us."

The regulations briefly described "general procedures" for the block grants, financial management, and enforcement activities (complaints, hearings, and appeals).

Major final regulations for the predecessor Title XX program were published June 27, 1975, and January 31, 1977. In addition, a number of technical amendments were published during the period this program was in effect (October 1, 1975, through September 30, 1981). In general, the purpose of the regulations was to clarify requirements, increase state flexibility in administering the program, and make technical changes required by new legislation.



# VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.667 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

| 1   | Total   | <u> (1)</u> |
|---|---|-------------|
| United States   | \$2,725,000                                       |             |
| United States  Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Fiorida Georgia Hawali Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa  Lucky Lcuisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina N. Dakota Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia W. Virginia |   | (1)         |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming<br>Guam<br>Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands   | \$55,769<br>\$5,875<br>\$470<br>\$14,095<br>\$470 |             |
| 7a4a  |   | -           |

Data Sources: State allotments published 1/15/85 at 15 FR 2090.

(1) These figures represent state allotments for FY 1985. Except for audit reports, DHHS did not require states or other jurisdictions to report expenditure data in FY 1985.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.067 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

Data Sources: State allotments published 11/25/83 at 48 FR 53176.

(1) State allotments for FY 1984. Except for audit reports, DHHS did not require states or other jurisdictions to report expenditure data for FY 1984



## XI. HIS ORICAL DATA (In thousands) 13.667 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Obligations   | Total<br>  State-Local<br>  Spenuing (1   | Persons<br>Served                | Federal<br>(2) Staff                                     | (3) |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|--|-----|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 | \$2,725,000<br>\$2,700,000<br>\$2,675,000<br>\$2,400,000<br>\$2,900,000<br>\$2,700,000<br>\$2,700,000<br>\$2,700,000<br>\$2,700,000<br>\$2,500,000<br>\$1,600,000<br>\$1,600,000<br>\$1,600,000<br>\$1,600,000<br>\$350,000<br>\$350,000<br>\$350,000<br>\$355,000<br>\$355,000<br>\$2,95,000<br>\$355,000<br>\$355,000<br>\$2,95,000<br>\$1,95,000 | \$900,000<br>\$833,000<br>\$500,000<br>\$400,000<br>\$400,000<br>\$185,000<br>\$130,000<br>\$87,500<br>\$87,500<br>\$70,000<br>\$88,750<br>\$73,750<br>\$61,250<br>\$48,750 | 7,000<br>7,200<br>7,000<br>6,400 | 37<br>37<br>37<br>200<br>200<br>200<br>200<br>200<br>200 | (4) |

Data Sources: Title XX Social Services Block Grant program (FY 1982-1985) Title XX Social Services Formula Grant program (FY 1976-1981)

(1) The information represents the required state match under Title XX. Since 1981, no match of state funds has been required. However, many states continue the pattern of putting state funds into these programs. (2) The numbers contained in this column provide data on primary recipients only, rather than a count on all people receiving services. Under Title XX, a primary recipient is an individual with whom or for whom a specific goal is established and to whom services are provided for the purpose of achieving that goal. Services are considered provided to the primary recipient when they are provided to other members of the primary recipient's family to facilitate achievment of the goal of the primary recipient.

(3) Not in thousands.

(3) Not in thousands.
(4) These figures represent an estimated pro-rated share of HDS salaries and expenses account.

#### HEAD START

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Head Start, with the aid of direct participation of parents in the program, provides a comprehensive set of services (educational, health, nutritional, and social services) intended to strengthen the abilities of disadvantaged children to succeed in school and in later life. The USDA Child Care Feeding Program provides funds to cover the cost of feeding Head Start children and the Medicaid/EPSDT program provides medical care to Medicaid enrolled children. The Head Start program is administered by the Department of Health and Human Services. States have only a modest advisory role, although local governments are sometimes selected to operate Head Start programs.

In FY 1985, about \$1.075 billion was appropriated by the federal government for Head Start programs and grantees were required under the 20 percent matching formula to generate an additional \$269 million in cash and in-kind contributions to fully fund the programs. The program served about 452,000 children in FY 1985. Over the past 10 years, the number of children served under Head Start has increased about 30 percent and the real 'sts of the program have increased about 33 percent.

The Head Start statute requires that at least 90 percent of the children served by the program must come from families with incomes at or below the federal poverty guidelines or from families receiving public assistance such as AFDC. Children found eligible remain eligible throughout the program year in which they are enrolled and the immediately succeeding program year regardless of any changes in family income.



- II. ADMINISTRATION
- A. Program name: Head Start.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.600 Budget account number(s): 75-1636-0-1-506.
- C. Current authorizing statute: 42 U.S.C. 9831-9852.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 45 CFR Parts 1301, 1302, 1303, 1304 and 1305.
- E. Federal administering agency: Administration for Children, Youth and Families, Office of Human Development Services, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benerits: Counties; cities; tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; school districts; and Community Action Agencies.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Counties; cities; tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; school districts; and Community Action Agencies.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

A formula contained in the Head Start Act of 1986 prescribes the distribution of Head Start funds among states. The Act requires that 87 percent of Head Start's appropriation be distributed as follows:

Each state receives (from the 87 percent) what it received in FY 1981. The remaining funds of the 87 percent, after each state receives its FY 1981 base, are allocated to each state based on the state's number of poor children aged 0-5 relative to the number of poor children aged 0-5 in the nation (2/3 of the funds), and the state's number of children 0-18 in families receiving AFDC benefits relative to the nation's number of children 0-18 in families receiving AFDC benefits receiving AFDC benefits (1/3 of the funds).

Head Start grantees are required to submit an annual request for refunding to the appropriate regional office. This application is reviewed in terms of the number of children proposed to be served, services provided, and costs. The funding level for individual grantees is generally determined by using the previous year's funding level as the base, adding any appropriate increases such as cost-of-living awards, considering the grantee's prior performance level, including service provision and effective or efficient cost management, and taking into account the results of the application review process.



1

Funds are generally awarded to the same grantees each year, assuming they are performing in a satisfactory manner. In cases where a grantee voluntarily relinquishes the grant, or where the grantee is involuntarily terminated, qualified agencies in the community are encouraged to submit applications for replacement funding. A competitive process is used to make new awards. In addition, if Head Start receives an increase in appropriations and uses part of the increase to expand enrollment (as happened in FY 1984 and FY 1985) a competitive expansion process is used to allocate the additional funds. Head Start is not an entitlement program and federal funding is capped.

The remaining 13 percent of Head Start's appropriation is used to fund Indian and Migrant programs, programs in the Outer Pacific, and the Virgin Islands, to supplement the funds allocated to the states as discussed above, and to fund research, demonstration, and evaluation projects, training and technical assistance, and special services to the handicapped.

The Head Start Act requires a 25 percent match of the federal funds (or 20 percent of the total cost of the program) awarded for each grant under this program. The non-federal portion must be generated by grantees and may be cash or fairly valued in-kind contributions of grantee-incurred costs. The activities the grantees support must be project-related and allowable under the cost principles provided in 45 CFR Part 74, the Department's regulation on the administration of grants.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

States play no role in administering the Head Start plogram. However, the Governor's office has a 30 day period to review each Head Start grant awarded in his or her state. The governor may recommend that any particular grantee not be funded.

In addition, some !scal governments are Head Start grantees or sub-grantees (delegates). These agencies operate Head Start programs and provide the full range of services to the children they serve a do all other grantees.

J. Audit or quality control.

There are no standards for administrative efficiency in the Head Start program. However, all grantees are subject to annual audits to assure that funds are being expended only for Head Start related activities.

Costs may be disallowed if the auditor determines that Head Start funds were improperly used. All Head Start grantees are subject to the Grant Administration procedures contained in 45 CFR Part 74.



The majority of audits show no improper expenditure of federal funds by Head Start programs. In those instances where problems are encountered it is generally due to one or more of the following reasons:

- o There has been a failure to provide or document the required nonfederal share;
- o Expenditure reports are untimely or incorrect;
- Expenditures are not properly documented;
- o There are no written operating procedures;
- o The controls and operating procedures need strengthening;
- o There are inadequate records to support equipment purchases;
- o The salaries and wages are not supported by time and attendance reports;
- An excessive cash balance has been maintained;
- There has been interest earned on federal funds.

### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The objectives of Head Start, as articulated in Section 636 of the enabling legislation, are: (1) to provide "comprehensive health, educational, nutritional, social, and other services to economically disadvantaged children and their families;" (2) to provide for the direct participation of the parents of such children in the levelopment, conduct, and overall direction of the program.

Program funds may be used to meet specific needs of low income recipients related to health, education, nutrition, social, and other services. The Head Start program is intended to strengthen the ability of the disadvantaged child to succeed in school and in later life. In addition, an essential part of the program is the involvement of parents in program planning and operating activities.

B. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

In FY 1985, a typical grantee's program funds were allocated among the various activities according to the following distribution: Education -- 42 percent; Health -- 6 percent; Nutrition -- 6 percent; Social Services -- 6 percent; Parent



Involvement -- 6 percent; Administration -- 15 percent; Occupancy
-- 8 percent; Transportation -- 10 percent; Other -- 1 percent.

In addition to direct program services, approximately 2.6 percent of the Head Start budget is used for research, demonstration, and evaluation projects, training and technical assistance to grantees, and for special services to the handicapped.

### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

The eligibility unit is the family with a preschool child.

B. Income eligibility standards.

At least 90 percent of all children served in Head Start must come from families with income at or below the federal poverty guidelines or from families receiving public assistance. Up to 10 percent of Head Start enrolled children may be from families whose income exceeds the federal poverty guidelines.

There is no variation in eligibility standards by subgroup.

There are no disregards, deductions, or discounts from gross earned income allowed in determining eligibility. However, children and families who have been found eligible remain eligible throughout the program year in which they were enrolled and the immediately succeeding program year regardless of any changes in gross earned income.

There are no limits on assets for Head Start families.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Participant children must be of the right age for the local Head Start programs. Usually children aged four and five participate.

The Head Start Act requires that at least 10 percent of the enrollment opportunities in each state must be made available to handicapped children. Handicapped children must meet the same income eligibility requirement as all other Head Start children.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.



## V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES

A. Program intake processes.

A Head Start program's recruitment activity may include canvassing the local community, news releases and advertising, and referrals of families by other public or private agencies. An application for enrollment of children in a Head Start program may be made at any time during the program year. Approximately 452,000 children were enrolled in Head Start during FY 1986.

B. Program benefits or services,

The Head Start program is intended to strengthen the ability of the disadvantaged child to succeed in school and later life. In addition, an essential part of the Head Start program is the involvement of parents in parent education and program planning and operating activities.

All programs must provide center-based experiences for the child and home visits to parents or a home-based program, including group experiences. All activities are designed to provide the comprehensive services necessary for meeting the child's developmental needs and the home visit activities are to enhance the parent's role in educating and nurturing their children. Benefits include health, dental, educational, nutritional, and social services to children and their families.

Eligible children enrolled in Head Start programs are entitled to participate in all activities administered by the programs. Each program takes into account such factors as age, developmental level, family situation, handicaps, health or learning problems, and previous preschool experience when developing and scheduling its activities.

Head Start programs must ensure that all handicapped children receive the full range of comprehensive services provided to all Head Start children and families. In addition, special education services and support services are provided to meet the unique needs of the individual handicapped child and his or her family. Some of the special services pro ded to parents of handicapped children include counseling, referrals to other agencies, parent conferences with technical staff, literature and special teaching equipment, workshops, medical assistance, and special classes. Handicapped children in Head Start are provided whatever special equipment or materials they need and are given assistance in dealing with their handicap. This assistance may be provided by Head Start personnel or from other professionals through referrals by Head Start. All handicapped children in Head Start are served in a mainstream setting so that they may interact and feel comfortable with their nonhandicapped peers. To ensure optimal transition from Head Start into public school, Head Start personnel help parents participate in developing an Individual Education Program for each handicapped child.



Most Head Start grantees operate a part-day program which may be either four or five days per week for less than six hours a day. There usually will be a minimum of 130 days of planned classroom operations per year and includes two home visits annually. A few Head Start grantees operate a full-day program for five days a week, six or more hours per day. A number of Head Start grantees operate home-based programs which usually have a minimum of one planned home visit each week and two planned group sessions for children each month. Programs attempt to provide at least one full year of service to all enrolled children and families. Some children and families receive more than one additional year of Head Start services.

### C. Duration of benefits.

During FY 1984 approximately 7 percent of the children enrolled in Head Start participated for less than three months, 66 percent participated for one program year, 23 percent participated for two program years, and 4 percent participated for three program years. During FY 1985, approximately 7 percent of the children enrolled in Head Start participated for less than three months, 69 percent participated for one program year, 21 percent participated for two years, and 3 percent participated for three years.

## VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Participation in the AFDC program provides automatic eligibility for Head Start. Participation in other assistance programs does not preclude participation in Head Start.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Income received in the form of public assistance (AFDC) is not counted because a family receiving public assistance is automatically eligible for Head Start. All other cash income is counted for purposes of determining eligibility.

The amount of services provided to program recipients does not increase or decrease as a result of benefits or services received from other assistance programs. Head Start does receive support from two other federal programs: Medicaid/EPSDT and the Child Care Food Program of the Department of Agriculture.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

There are no other federal programs providing exactly the same services as Head Start. However, child care for low income families may be funded through the Social Services Block Grant, and reimbursed by the AFDC, Food Stamps, and WIN.



128

## VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and to 9 House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Children, Family, Drugs and Alcoholism

## House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Human Resources

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

# D. Federal Legislation

The Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 was passed to "strengthen, supplement, and coordinate efforts to eliminate poverty in the United States." In 1966, amendments were passed making Head Start part of the Economic Opportunity Act. They also required training, technical assistance, evaluation, and follow-through to be included in Head Start. The 1972 Amendments required that at least 10 percent of enrollment opportunities be made available to handicapped children (Pub. L. 93-644, passed in 1974, required 10 percent of enrollment opportunities in each state be made available to handicapped children). The Head Start Economic Opportunity and Community Partnership Act of 1974 transferred Head Start to the Department of Health, Education and Welfare while expanding the legislative language. The 1975 amendments to this Act specified a new funding formula and established eligibility criteria. Since 1975, four additional pieces of authorizing legislation have been passed by Congress, the most recent being the Human Services Reauthorization Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-425) whic authorizes Head Start through FY 1990.



E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

Head Start is governed by five Head Start specific regulations, all listed under Title 45, Chapter XII, Subchapter A. They are Parts 1301 (Head Start Grants Administration), 1302 (Policies and procedures for selection, initial funding, and refunding of Head Start grantees, and for selection of replacement grantees), and 1303 (Procedures for appeals for Head Start delegate agencies, and for opportunities to show cause and hearings for Head Start grantees), all enacted in April 1979; Part 1304 (Program performance standards for operation of Head Start programs by grantees and delegate agencies), enacted in August 1975; and Part 1305 (Eligibility requirements and limitations for enrollment in Head Start), enacted in April 1978.



|                            | BENEFITS              | S (2)<br> State-local  (4) | ADMINISTRAT          | ION (3)  <br> State-local   (4 | )<br>  Total           |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| United States              | \$887,926             | \$221,986                  | \$156,691            | \$39,175                       | \$1,305,778            |
| Alabama                    | \$18,170              | \$4,542                    | \$3,206              | \$802                          |                        |
| Alaska                     | \$1,907               | \$477                      | \$336                | \$84                           | \$26,720               |
| Arizona i                  | \$7,682               | \$1,921                    | \$1,356              | \$339                          | \$2,804                |
| Arkansas                   | \$9,273               | \$2,318                    | \$1,636              | \$409                          | \$11,298               |
| Callfornia                 | \$84,572              | \$21,143                   | \$14,924             | \$3,731                        | \$13,636<br>\$124,370  |
| Colorado                   | \$8,167               | \$2,041                    | \$1,441              | \$360                          | \$12,009               |
| Connect I cut              | \$8,423               | \$2,106                    | \$1,487              | \$372                          | \$12,388               |
| De laware                  | \$1,869               | \$468                      | \$330                | \$82                           | \$2,749                |
| 2. C.                      | \$5,249<br>\$24,542   | \$1,312                    | \$926                | \$232                          | \$7,719                |
| florida                    | \$24,542              | \$6,136                    | \$4,331<br>\$3,723   | \$1,082                        | \$36,091               |
| Georgia                    | \$21,099              | \$5,275                    | \$3,723              | \$931                          | \$31,028               |
| tawa II<br>Idaho           | \$3,341               | \$835                      | \$590                | \$147                          | <b>\$4.913</b> !       |
| lillnols                   | \$2,444               | \$611                      | \$431                | \$108                          | \$3,594                |
| Indiana                    | \$44,936              | \$11,234                   | \$7,930              | \$1,983                        | \$66,083               |
| lowa                       | \$12,346  <br>\$6,510 | \$3,087                    | \$2,178              | \$545                          | \$18,156               |
| (ansar                     | \$6,510<br>\$5,571    | \$1,628<br>\$1,393         | \$1,149              | \$287                          | \$9,574                |
| (entucky                   | \$17,221              | \$4,305                    | \$983                | \$246                          | \$8,193                |
| ouls lana                  | \$18,695              | \$4,674                    | \$3,039<br>\$3,299   | \$760                          | \$25,325               |
| la ine                     | \$3,715               | \$929                      | \$656                | \$825<br>\$164                 | \$27,493               |
| /aryland                   | \$11,840              | \$2,960                    | \$2,089              | \$522                          | \$5,464                |
| lassachusetts              | \$19,585              | \$4,896                    | \$3,456              | \$864                          | \$17,411               |
| lich igan                  | \$36,638              | \$9,160                    | \$6,466              | \$1,616                        | \$28,801<br>\$53,880   |
| Innesota                   | \$9,203               | \$2,301                    | \$1,624              | \$406                          | \$13,534               |
| ississippi                 | \$44,093              | \$11,023                   | \$7,782              | \$1,945                        | \$64,843               |
| llesour!                   | \$15,435              | \$3,859                    | \$2,723              | \$681                          | \$22,698               |
| lontana                    | \$2,223               | \$556                      | \$392                | \$98                           | \$3,269                |
| lebraska<br>levada         | \$3,570               | \$893                      | \$630                | \$158                          | \$5,251                |
| lew Hampshire              | \$1,259               | \$315                      | \$222                | \$56                           | \$1,852                |
| lew Jersey                 | \$1,692<br>\$26,193   | \$423                      | \$299                | \$75                           | \$2,489                |
| lew Mexico                 | \$5,256               | \$6,548                    | \$4,622              | \$1,156                        | \$38,519               |
| ew York                    | \$65,681              | \$1,314<br>\$16,420        | \$927<br>\$11,591    | \$232                          | \$7,729                |
| . Carolina                 | \$18,771              | \$4,693                    | \$11,591             | \$2,898                        | \$96,590               |
| . Dakota                   | \$1,290               | \$323                      | \$228                | \$828<br>\$57                  | \$27,604               |
| hlo i                      | \$36,294              | \$9,073                    | \$6,405              | \$1,601                        | \$1,898                |
| k lahoma 💮                 | \$10,658              | \$2,664                    | \$1,881              | \$470                          | \$53,373  <br>\$15,673 |
| regon i                    | \$7,040               | \$1,760                    | \$1,242              | \$311                          | \$10,353               |
| ennsy!van a                | <b>\$</b> 37,727 ¦    | \$9,432                    | \$6,658              | \$1,664                        | \$55,481               |
| hode Island                | \$2,892               | \$723                      | \$510                | \$128                          | \$4,253                |
| . Carolina                 | \$11,631              | \$2,908                    | \$2,052              | \$513                          | \$17,104               |
| . Dakota      <br>ennessee | \$2,044               | <b>\$</b> 511              | <b>\$361</b>         | \$90                           | \$3,006                |
| exas                       | \$15,739              | \$3,935                    | \$2,777              | \$694                          | \$23,145               |
| tah                        | \$40,083              | \$10,021                   | \$7,073              | \$1,768                        | \$58,945               |
| ermont !                   | \$3,675  <br>\$1,780  | \$918                      | \$649                | \$162                          | \$5,404                |
| Irginia                    | \$1,760<br>\$12,611   | \$440                      | \$311                | \$78                           | <b>\$2,589</b>         |
| ashington                  | \$9,834               | \$3,153<br>\$2,458         | \$2,225              | \$556                          | \$18,545               |
| Virginia                   | \$7,582               | \$1,896                    | \$1,735  <br>\$1,330 | \$434                          | \$14,461               |
| scons in                   | \$13,000              | \$3,250                    | \$1,338<br>\$2,294   | \$335<br>6574                  | \$11,151               |
| /onaing ii                 | \$1,176               | \$294                      | \$2,294              | \$574  <br>\$52                | \$19,118  <br>\$1,720  |
| verto Rico ;               | \$36,390              | \$9,098                    | \$6,422              | \$52<br>\$1,605                | \$1,730                |
| Irgin islandsi i           | \$1,884               | \$466                      | \$329                | \$1,600                        | \$53,515<br>\$2,741    |
| ndians ; ;                 | \$31,813              | \$7,954                    | \$5,615              | \$1,404                        | \$46,786               |
| grants                     | \$33,047              | \$8,262                    | \$5,832              | \$1,458                        | \$48,599               |
| iter Pacific ;             | \$2,605               | \$651                      | \$460                | \$115                          | \$3,831                |

Data Sources:

Grant awards.

provided by local programs.

(5) In addition, itead Start awarded \$28.1 million in FY 1985 for Research, Demonstration, and Evaluation projects, training and technical assistance to grantees, and special services to handicapped children. Precise data on the amount of theses funds spent in each state are not available.



<sup>(1)</sup> The data represent grant awards, and are not consistent with Table XI which is based on obligations.
(2) Benefits are defined as those costs which directly support the provision of health, dental, nutritional, educational, and social services to Head Start children and their families. These costs include the salaries of staff who directly provide these services.
(3) Administrative costs are those costs which indirectly support the provision of services and include, for example, the salaries of office cierical staff and part of the salaries of directors. These estimates reflect 15 percent of funds, the maximum allowed for administration.
(4) The data are estimates based on the statutory requirement that at least 20 percent of funds be provided by local programs.

VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 13.600 HEAD START

| !                        | BENEFITS              | S (2)<br> State-local (4) | ADVINISTRATI<br>  Federal                    | ON (3)<br> State-local (4) | Total  (5)                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| United States            | \$822,587             | \$205,651                 | \$142,603                                    | \$38,856                   | \$1,209,697                 |
| Alabama                  | \$16,962              | \$4,240                   | \$2,993                                      | \$148                      | \$24,943                    |
| Alaska                   | \$1,776               | \$444                     | \$313  | \$78                       | \$2,611                     |
| Arizona                  | \$6,964               | \$1,741                   | \$1,229                                      | \$307                      | \$10,241                    |
| Arkansas                 | \$8,587               | \$2,147                   | \$1,516                                      | \$379                      | \$12,629                    |
| California ¦             | <b>\$77,192</b>       | \$19,298                  | <b>\$13,622</b>                              | \$3,406                    | <b>\$</b> {13,518           |
| Colorado                 | \$7,632               | \$1,908                   | \$1,347                                      | <b>\$337</b>               | \$11,224                    |
| Connect Icut             | <b>\$7,960</b>        | \$1,990                   | <b>\$1,405</b>                               | \$351                      | \$11,706                    |
| De laware                | \$1,732               | \$433                     | \$306  | \$76                       | \$2,547                     |
| D. C.                    | \$5,011               | \$1,253                   | \$884  | \$221                      | \$7,369                     |
| Florida                  | \$22,383              | \$5,596                   | \$3,950                                      | \$987                      | \$32,916                    |
| Georgia                  | \$19,370              | \$4,842                   | \$855  | \$3,418                    | \$28,485                    |
| Hawa II                  | \$3,069               | \$767                     | \$542  | \$135                      | \$4,513                     |
| Idaho<br>IIIInois        | \$2,257<br>\$41,203   | \$565<br>\$10,301         | \$399<br>\$7,271                             | \$100<br>\$1,818           | \$3,321<br>\$60,593         |
| Indiana                  | \$11,352              | \$2,838                   | \$2,003                                      | \$501                      | \$16,694                    |
| lowa                     | \$6,015               | \$1,504                   | \$1,062                                      | \$265                      | \$8,846                     |
| Kansas                   | \$5,151               | \$1,288                   | \$909  | \$227                      | \$7,575                     |
| Kentucky                 | \$16,261              | \$4,065                   | \$2,870                                      | \$717                      | \$23,913                    |
| Louisiana                | \$17,197              | \$4,299                   | \$3,035                                      | \$759                      | \$25,290                    |
| Maine                    | \$3,478               | \$870                     | \$614  | \$153                      | \$5,115                     |
| Maryland                 | \$11,024              | \$2,756                   | \$1,945                                      | \$486                      | \$16,211                    |
| Massachusetts            | \$18,622              | \$4,656                   | \$3,286                                      | \$822                      | \$27,386                    |
| Michigan                 | \$33,771              | \$8,443                   | \$5,960                                      | \$1,490                    | \$49,664                    |
| Minnešota                | \$8,656               | \$2,164                   | \$1,528                                      | \$382                      | \$12,730                    |
| Mississippi              | \$43,335              | \$10,834                  | \$7,647                                      | \$1,912                    | \$63,728                    |
| Missouri                 | \$14,340              | \$3,585                   | \$2,531                                      | \$633                      | \$21,089                    |
| Montana                  | \$2,063               | \$516                     | \$364  | \$91                       | \$3,034                     |
| Nebraska                 | \$3,300               | \$825                     | \$582  | \$146                      | \$4,853                     |
| Nevada                   | \$1,148               | \$287                     | \$203  | \$51                       | \$1,680                     |
| New Hampshire            | \$1,569               | \$393                     | \$277  | \$69                       | \$2,308                     |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico | \$24,562              | \$6,141                   | \$4,335                                      | \$1,084                    | \$36,122<br>\$7,113         |
| New York                 | \$4,837<br>\$60,036   | \$1,209<br>\$15,009       | \$854  <br>\$10,595                          | \$213  <br>\$2,649         | \$88,289                    |
| N. Carolina              | \$17,744              | \$4,436                   | \$3,181                                      | \$783                      | \$26,094                    |
| N. Dakota                | \$1,171               | \$293                     | \$207  | \$52                       | \$1,723                     |
| Ohlo                     | \$33,147              | \$8,287                   | \$5,849                                      | \$1,462                    | \$48,745                    |
| Oklahoma                 | \$10,014              | \$2,503                   | \$5,849<br>\$1,767                           | \$442                      | \$14,726                    |
| 0regon                   | \$6,589               | \$1,647                   | \$1,163                                      | \$291                      | \$9,690                     |
| Pennsylvania ¦           | \$35,063              | \$8,766                   | \$6,188                                      | \$1,547                    | \$51,564                    |
| Rhode Island             | \$2,700               | <b>\$675 }</b>            | <b>  \$476  </b>                             | <b>  \$119  </b>           | \$3,970                     |
| S. Carolina ¦            | <b>\$10,672</b>       | \$2,668                   | \$1,883                                      | <b>\$471  </b>             | <b>\$15,694</b>   <b>\$</b> |
| S. Dakota ¦              | \$1,887               | \$472                     | <b>†                                    </b> | \$83                       | \$2,775                     |
| <u>Tennessee</u>         | \$14,617              | \$3,654                   | \$2,579                                      | \$645                      | \$21,495                    |
| Texas                    | \$36,940              | \$9,235                   | \$6,519                                      | \$1,630                    | \$54,324                    |
| Utah                     | \$3,371               | \$843                     | \$595  | \$149                      | \$4,958                     |
| Vermont                  | \$1,630               | \$408                     | \$288  | \$72                       | \$2,398                     |
| Virginia                 | \$11,676              | \$2,919                   | \$2,060                                      | \$515                      | \$17,170                    |
| Washington !             | \$9,307               | \$2,327                   | \$1,642                                      | \$411                      | \$13,687                    |
| W. Virginia              | \$7,099               | \$1,775                   | \$1,253                                      | \$313                      | \$10,440                    |
| Wisconsin                | \$11,824  <br>\$1,074 | \$2,956<br>\$268          | \$2,087<br>\$189                             | \$522<br>\$47              | \$17,389    <br>\$1,578     |
| Wyoming<br>Puerto Rico   | \$1,074               | \$8,288                   | \$5,850                                      | \$1,463                    | \$1,578   \$48,753          |
| Virgin Islands           | \$33,152<br>\$1,745   | \$436                     | \$308  | \$1,403                    | \$2,566                     |
| Indians                  | \$29,953              | \$7,489                   | \$5,286                                      | \$1,322                    | \$44,050                    |
| Migrants                 | \$30,088              | \$7,522                   | \$5,310                                      | \$1,327                    | \$44,247                    |
| Outer Pacific            | \$2,309               | \$577                     | \$408  | \$102                      | \$3,396                     |
|                          |                       |                           |  |                            | . 4-,0                      |

Data Sources: Grant awards.

provided by local programs.

(5) In addition, Head Start awarded \$28 million in FY 1984 for Research, Demonstration, and Evaluation projects, training and technical assistance to grantees, and special services to handicapped children. Precise data on the amount of theses funds spent in each state are not available.



<sup>(1)</sup> The data represent grant awards, and are not consistent with Table XI which is based on obligations.
(2) Benefits are defined as those costs which directly support the provision of health, dental, nutritional, educational, and social services to Head Start children and their families. These costs include the salaries of staff who directly provide these services.

 <sup>(3)</sup> Administrative costs are those costs which indirectly support the provision of services and include, for example, the salaries of office cierical staff and part of the salaries of directors. These estimates reflect 15 percent of funds, the maximum allowed for administration.
 (4) The data are estimates based on the statutory requirement that at least 20 percent of funds be

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.600 HEAD START

Data Sources: Grant award documents; and the Head Start Program Information Report



Based on unduplicated annual count.
 A handlcapped child in Head Start is one who has been professionally diagnosed as handlcapped and who, by reason of the handlcap, requires special education and related services.

# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.600 HEAD START

|  | Children (        | (1) | Handlcapped or Disabled | (2) |
|--|-------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|
| United States  | 442, 137          | į   | 55,304                  |     |
| Alabama  | 10,634            |     | 1,222                   |     |
| Alaska<br>Arizona  | 776               | į   | 68                      |     |
| Arkansas   | 3,276<br>5,855    | İ   | 362<br>758              |     |
| California   | 32,729            |     | 3,410                   |     |
| Colorado   | 4,868<br>1,227    | İ   | 665                     |     |
| Connecticut  |                   | - 1 | 464                     | !!  |
| Delaware<br>D. C.  | 900               | İ   | 172                     |     |
| Fiorida  | 1,934<br>12,545   |     | 227<br>1,494            |     |
| Georgia  | 10,622            | - ! | 1,355                   |     |
| Hawaii ¦   | 1,331             | i   | 161                     |     |
| Idaho  | 1,183             | - ! | 292                     |     |
| no s   | 21,244            | l   | 2,326                   |     |
| Indiana<br>Iowa  | 6,630   3,591     | İ   | 925<br>618              |     |
| Kansas   | 3,230             | 1   | 497                     |     |
| Kentucky   | 10, 453           | İ   | 1,237                   |     |
| Louislana  | 10,453<br>10,331  |     | 1,235                   |     |
| Maine<br>Maryland  | 1,675             | ı   | 322                     |     |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts  | 5,660             | į   | 879                     |     |
| Michigan   | 7,843  <br>19,448 | ļ   | 1,133<br>2,190          |     |
| Minnesota  | 4,850             |     | 611                     |     |
| Mississippi i  | <b>28,139</b>     | į   | 3,081                   |     |
| Missouri   | 8,649             |     | 1,337                   |     |
| Montana<br>Nebraska  | 1,145             | i   | 150                     |     |
| Nevada   | 1,975  <br>544    | İ   | 364  <br>105            | j   |
| New Hampshire  | 754               |     | 124                     |     |
| New Jersey   | 2,659             | į   | 1,176                   | i   |
| New Mexico   | 3,734             |     | 397                     | -   |
| New York<br>N. Carolina  | 24,144<br>10,780  | į   | 2,784                   | i   |
| N. Dakota  | 666               |     | 1,402  <br>105          | İ   |
| Ohlo j   | 20,613            | i   | 2,801                   |     |
| Ok lahoma  | 7,191             | ļ   | 1,113                   | į   |
| Uregon :   | 2,956             |     | 511                     |     |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island   | 16,797   1,382    | j   | 2,588<br>193            | į   |
| S. Carolina  | 6,548             | -   | 771                     | -   |
| S. Dakota ¦  | 1,054             | i   | 163                     | İ   |
| Tennessee  | 8,718             | - [ | 1,192                   |     |
| Texas<br>Utah  | 21,672            | İ   | 2,414                   |     |
| Vermont  | 1,967   907       | ij  | 260  <br>140            | İ   |
| Virginia   | 5,652             |     | 921                     | - 1 |
| Washington !   | 4,326             | i   | 645                     | i   |
| W. Virğinia  | 4,064             | -   | 686                     | İ   |
| Wisconsin  | 6,444             |     | 779                     |     |
| Wyoming<br>Puerto Rico   | 668               |     | 94  <br>1,952           | i   |
| Virgin Islands   | 1,069             | !   | 1,952 i<br>69 i         | İ   |
| Indians ;  | 13,936            |     | 1,598                   |     |
| Migrants   | 18,141            | ļ   | 2,598                   | į   |
| Outer Pacific  | 4,179             |     | 168                     |     |
| Service and the service service service and the service service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the service services and the services and the services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services and the services are services are services and the services are services are services are services and the services are services are services and the services are servic | 1                 |     | i                       | i   |

Data Sources: Grant award documents; and the Head Start Program Information Report



Based on unduplicated annual count.
 A handicapped child in Head Start is one who has been professionally diagnosed as handicapped and one who, by reason of the handicap, requires special education and related services.

X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 13.800 HEAD START

|                      | Benefits           | Administration (1) | Total              |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Inited States        | \$2,464            | \$435              | \$2,899            |
| Alabama              | \$1,725            | \$304              | \$2,029            |
| Alaska !             | \$2,3 3            | \$420              | \$2,803            |
| Ar Izona 📗           | \$2,194            | \$387              | \$2,581            |
| Arkansas             | \$1,550            | \$274              | \$1,824            |
| California           | \$2,461            | \$434              | \$2,895            |
| Colorado             | \$1,745            | \$308              | \$2,053            |
| Connecticut          | \$1,995            | \$352              | #2,000<br>#2 247   |
| Delaware             | \$2,145            | 9002 j             | \$2,347            |
| ). C.                |                    | \$379              | \$2,524            |
| iorida               | \$2,862            | \$505              | \$3,367            |
| Georgia              | \$1,879            | \$331              | \$2,210            |
| lawa II              | \$1,933            | \$341              | \$2,274            |
|                      | \$2,384            | \$421              | \$2,805            |
| Idaho                | \$2,010            | \$355              | \$2,365            |
| no s                 | \$2,036            | \$359              | \$2,395            |
| Indiana              | \$1,798            | \$317              | <b>\$2,115</b>     |
| lowa                 | \$1,786            | \$315              | <b>\$2,101</b>     |
| (ansas               | \$1,704            | \$301              | \$2,005            |
| (entucky             | \$1,647            | \$291              | \$1,938            |
| oulsiana             | \$1,769            | \$312              | \$2,081            |
| kalne i              | \$2,185            | <b>\$385</b>       | \$2,570            |
| Maryland i           | \$2,270            | \$400              | \$2,670            |
| <b>Massachusetts</b> | \$2,499            | \$441              | \$2,940            |
| ilich igan ;         | <b>\$1.831 !</b>   | \$323              | \$2,154            |
| (Innešota :          | \$1,895            | \$334              | \$2,229            |
| lississippi 📜        | \$1,575            | \$278              | \$1,853            |
| llesouri             | \$1,775            | \$313              | \$2,088            |
| <i>l</i> ontana      | \$1.889            | \$333              | \$2,222            |
| lebraksa             | \$1,889<br>\$1,765 | \$312              | \$2,077            |
| levada               | \$2,193            | \$387              | \$2,580            |
| lew Hampshire        | \$2,161            | \$381              | \$2,542            |
| lew Jersey           | \$2,161<br>\$2,748 | \$485              | \$3,233            |
| New Mexico           | \$1,381            | \$244              | \$1,625            |
| lew York             | \$2,799            | \$494              | \$3,293            |
| orth Carolina        | \$1,770            | \$312              |                    |
| orth Dakota          | \$1,826            | \$322              | \$2,082            |
| Thio :               | \$1,703            |                    | \$2,148            |
| Ok lahoma            | #1,700 j           | \$301              | \$2,004            |
|                      | \$1,487            | \$262              | \$1,749            |
| Pennsylvania         | \$2,406            | j \$425 j          | \$2,831<br>\$2,831 |
| Shode Island         | \$2,360            | \$416              | \$2,776            |
| S. Carolina          | \$2,077<br>\$1,728 | \$366              | \$2,443            |
|                      | 31,720             | \$304              | \$2,030            |
| . Dakota             | \$1,863            | \$329              | \$2,192            |
| ennessee             | \$1,790            | \$316              | \$2,106            |
| exas                 | \$1,790            | <b>\$316</b>       | \$2,106            |
| ltah (               | \$1,818            | \$321              | \$2,139            |
| <b>dermont</b>       | \$1,928            | \$340              | \$2,263            |
| Irginia              | \$2,411            | \$426              | \$2,837            |
| lash Ington          | \$2,262            | \$399              | \$2,661            |
| ļ. Virģinia 📙        | <b>  \$1,919</b>   | \$339              | \$2,258            |
| llsconsin ¦          | \$1,943            | \$343              | \$2,288            |
| lyom ing             | \$1,686            | \$298              | \$1,984            |
| verto Rico           | \$1.915            | \$338              | \$2,253            |
| ndlans               | \$1,915<br>\$2,293 | \$405              | \$2,698            |
| ligrants             | \$1,798            | \$317              | \$2,113            |
| irgin islands        | \$1,712            | \$302              | \$2,014            |
| uter Pacific         | \$613              | \$108              | \$721              |

Data Source: Head Start Information Report and grant award documents.



<sup>(1)</sup> These data are calculated assuming 15 percent for administration in each state.

# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 13.600 HEAD START

|                            | Benefits             | Administration (1) | Total              |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| nited States               | \$2,326              | \$410              | \$2,736            |
| labama                     | \$1,635              | \$288              | \$1,923            |
| laska                      | \$2,288              | \$404              | \$2,692<br>\$2,501 |
| rizona                     | \$2,126              | <b>\$375 }</b>     | \$2,501            |
| rkansas                    | \$1,467              | \$259              | \$1,726            |
| allfornia                  | \$2,359              | \$416              | \$2,775<br>\$1,951 |
| olorado                    | \$1,573              | \$278<br>\$336     | \$1,851<br>\$2,237 |
| onnecticut<br>Blaware      | \$1,901<br>\$2,134   | \$376              | \$2,510            |
| i. C.                      | \$2,755              | \$486              | \$3,241            |
| iorida                     | \$1,790              | \$316              | <b>\$</b> 2,106    |
| eorgia                     | \$1,842              | \$325              | \$2,167            |
| lawali                     | \$2,305              | \$407              | \$2,712            |
| daho                       | \$1,909              | \$337              | \$2,246            |
| Ilinois                    | \$1,947<br>\$1,730   | \$343              | \$2,290<br>\$2,035 |
| ndlana                     | \$1,705              | \$305<br>\$301     | \$2,006            |
| OWA :                      | \$1,620              | \$296              | \$1,906            |
| (ansas<br>(entucky         | \$1,559              | \$275              | \$1,834            |
| oulsiana                   | \$1,559<br>\$1,675   | \$295              | \$1,970            |
| laine                      | \$2,133              | \$376              | \$2,509            |
| Maryland :                 | <b>\$2.173</b>       | \$384              | \$2,557            |
| Massachusetts              | \$2,387<br>\$1,748   | \$421              | \$2,808            |
| lich igan                  | \$1,748              | \$308              | \$2,056            |
| linnesota                  | \$1,805              | \$315<br>\$273     | \$2,123<br>\$1,821 |
| lississippi                | \$1,548  <br>\$1,688 | \$298              | \$1,986            |
| Missouri<br>Montana        | \$1,802              | \$318              | \$2,120            |
| lebraksa                   | \$1,690              | \$298              | \$1,988            |
| Vevada                     | \$2,110              | \$372              | <b>\$2,482</b>     |
| Vew Hampshire              | \$2.081              | \$367              | \$2,448            |
| New Jersey                 | \$2,680              | \$473              | \$3,153            |
| lew Mexico                 | \$1,295              | \$229<br>\$482     | \$1,524<br>\$3,214 |
| lew York<br>Forth Carolina | \$2,732<br>\$1,680   | \$296              | \$1,976            |
| iorth Dakota               | \$1,759              | \$310              | \$2,069            |
| Thio                       | \$1,318              | \$286              | \$1,904            |
| Oklahoma                   | <b>\$1,404</b> }     | \$248              | \$1,652            |
| Oregon                     | \$2,275              | \$402              | \$2,677            |
| Pennsy Ivan Ia             | \$2,272              | \$401              | \$2,673            |
| thode is land              | \$1,979              | \$349<br>\$288     | \$2,328<br>\$1,922 |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota   | \$1,634<br>\$1,790   | \$316 i            | \$2,106            |
| Tennessee                  | \$1,698              | <b>A</b> nny       | \$1,995            |
| Texas                      | \$1.708              | <b>.</b> 301       | \$2,009            |
| Utah                       | \$1,708<br>\$1,714   | \$302              | \$2,016            |
| <b>Vermont</b>             | <b>\$1,838</b>       | \$324              | \$2,162<br>\$2,759 |
| /Irginia                   | \$2,345              | \$414              | \$2,759            |
| <b>Mashington</b>          | \$2,151              | \$380              | \$2,531            |
| W. Virginia                | \$1,839              | \$324<br>\$326     | \$2,163<br>\$5,173 |
| Wisconsin ;                | \$1,847<br>\$1,607   | \$283              | \$1,890            |
| Wyoming<br>Puerto Rico     | \$1,860              | \$328              | \$2,188            |
| Indians                    | \$2,150              | \$379              | \$2,529            |
| Migrants                   | \$1,658              | \$293              | <b>\$1.</b> 951    |
| Virgin Islands             | <b>\$1,633  </b>     | \$288 }            | \$1,921            |
| Outer Pacific              | \$572                | \$101              | <b>\$673</b>       |

Data Sources: Head Start Program Information Report and grant award documents

(1) These data are calculated estimating 15 percent for administration in each state.



# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 13.600 HEAD START

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Obligations   | Total   State-Local   Spending   (1)  | Persons<br>Served (2)   | Federal<br>Staff  |
|--|---|---|---|-------------------|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1968<br>1968<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>1960 | \$1,075,059<br>\$995,750<br>\$912,000<br>\$911,700<br>\$818,700<br>\$735,000<br>\$680,000<br>\$475,000<br>\$441,000<br>\$403,900<br>\$403,900<br>\$400,700<br>\$376,300<br>\$360,000<br>\$325,700<br>\$333,900<br>\$316,200<br>\$349,200<br>\$198,900<br>\$96,400 | \$268,765<br>\$248,938<br>\$228,000<br>\$227,925<br>\$204,675<br>\$183,750<br>\$170,000<br>\$156,250<br>\$118,750<br>\$110,250<br>\$100,975<br>\$100,175<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$94,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$95,075<br>\$9 | 452,080<br>442,140<br>414,950<br>395,800<br>387,300<br>387,500<br>391,400<br>333,000<br>349,000<br>352,800<br>379,000<br>379,000<br>663,600<br>663,600<br>681,400<br>733,000<br>561,000 | 176<br>180<br>193 |

Data Sources: Appropriations, grant award documents.



<sup>(1)</sup> These figures assume that all programs provided exactly the 25 percent match required by law.
(2) Based on unduplicated annual count.

#### COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) makes federal funds available to states, insular areas, and Indian tribes and tribal organizations to help address the causes of poverty in communities. States and the other primary grantees may provide the services they feel best meet the needs of the low income population and, within broad federal guidelines, they have the discretion to allocate funds based on state priorities. Local Community Action Agencies (CAAs) -- governed by voluntary boards made up of public officials, and members of nonprofit organizations and the low income community -- are primarily responsible for delivering the services.

In FY 1985, \$334 million was allocated to states and tribes under the CSBG. The federal government provides full funding for the CSBG using formula grants; the formula is based on allocations to states in FY 1981 under the former Community Services Administration. Up to 9 percent of the total amount appropriated each year is available to the Secretary of Health and Human Services to fund a separate Discretionary Grants Program. Federal rules require that states use no more than 5 percent of their allocations for state administrative expenses and that at least 90 percent be awarded to CAAs (or organizations that serve seasonal and migrant farmworkers).

In determining eligibility, the CSBG statute requires that the federal poverty guidelines be used as one criterion. The law permits states to set their income eligibility limits up to 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines and to set all other eligibility criteria.

States are free to fund the services and activities that they believe promote the self-sufficiency of low income persons. In general, CSBG services seek improvements in areas of employment, education, budgeting, housing, nutrition, energy, emergency services, and health. In particular, CSBG benefits include little or no cash assistance and instead feature services such as information, referrals, outreach, and local program coordination.

The current CSBG is a residual of the Economic Opportunity Act (EOA) of 1964, which created new programs to assist low income persons and helped establish hundleds of local CAAs. Administration of many programs initially authorized by EOA, including Head Start and Legal Services, has been dispersed at the federal level. Many are still delivered locally through CAAs.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Community Services block Grant.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.665
  Budget account number(s): 75-1504-0-1-506
- C. Current authorizing statute: 42 U.S.C. 9901-1-12 (Pub. L. 97-35, Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1981 as amended by Pub. L. 98-558, Human Services Reauthorization Act of 1984).
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 45 CFR Farts 16, 74, and 98.
- E. Federal administering agency: Family Support Administration (FSA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; tribal organizations; insular areas.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; counties; cities; tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; Community Action Agencies.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

CSBG grants are formula grants. HHS determines the amount of funds to be allocated as block grants to each state in accordance with the formula set forth in Section 674(a)(1)(B) of the statute. Up to 9 percent of the amount appropriated each fiscal year is available to the Secretary for a Discretionary Program. One half of 1 percent of the CSBG appropriation is apportioned on the basis of need among Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islans, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. Of the remaining amount, each state, including the District of Columna and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, is allotted an amount which represents the same proportion of the total funds available as the state received in FY 1981 under Section 221 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964. No state or territory receives less than one quarter of 1 percent of the amount appropriated.

CSBG funds are also awarded directly to the governing body of Indian tribes or tribal organizations upon application by a tribe. Only state-recognized tribes, as evidenced by a statement to the effect by the Governor, or tribes formally recognized by the Secretary of the Department of Literior are eligible to receive direct grants. Allocations for Indian tribes are subtracted from the allotment of the state in which the tribe is located and are based in part on the eligible Indian population.

No more than the greater of 5 percent or \$55,000 of each state's allocation may be used annually for administrative expenses at the state level. States may transfer up to 5 percent of their



allocation for services under the Older Americans Act, the Head Start Program, the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program, or the Temporary Emergency Food Assistance Program.

States are required to use at least 90 percent of their allocations for awards to "eligible entities" as defined in the CSBG Act, as amended. In most cases these entities are locally-based Community Action Agencies or organizations that serve seasonal or migrant farmworkers. Under Pub. L. 98-558, the Human Services Reauthorization Act, states are allowed to award up to 7 percent of the funds made available under Section 675(a)(2)(4)(i) to organizations which were not eligible entities in the previous fiscal year.

A Community Action Agency (CAA) can be a public or private nonprofit agency or organization. Each CAA administers its programs at the direction of a Board of Directors of whom one-third are elected public officials, at least one-third are representatives of the poor in the area served, and the remainder are officials or members of business, industry, labor, religious, welfare, education, or other major groups and interests in the community.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

State governments play a key role in administering the CSBG program. First, to receive CSBG funding, the state must submit an application to HHS. In the application, the chief executive officer of the state must certify to the assurances contained in the statute and submit a plan which includes a description of how the state will carry out each of the assurances. The Secretary of HHS may not prescribe the manner in which a state carries out the assurances.

Second, under the block grant approach, states are the primary interpreters of the law. The block grant regulation at 45 CFR 96.50(e) states, "In resolving any issue raised by a complaint or a federal audit or review, the Department will defer to a state's interpretation of block grant statutes unless the interpretation is clearly erroneous."

The core management functions performed by states include the following:

- o Planning and developing of the state's statement of purpose and mission, identifying resources, setting program priorities, and analyzing poverty problems;
- o Developing funding applications and related regulations, guidelines, and materials;
- o Identifying, negotiating with, and funding sub-grantees and contractors;



140

- o Developing and implementing policies, procedures and reporting requirements necessary for programmatic, financial, board, and personnel operations;
- o Monitoring and evaluating sub-grantee and state level programs as these relate to program cost, performance, and impact;
- o Providing training and technical assistance related to work program implementation and management functions at state and sub-state grantee level;
- o Conducting audits of the uses of block grant funds.

To administer the CSBG program at the local level, states make grants or contracts the eligible entities which are primarily Community Action Agencies.

J. Audit or quality control.

The Community Services Block Grant statute requires recipients of CSBG grants to:

- o Expend no greater than 5 percent or \$55,000 of each year's allotment for administrative expenses;
- Meet the conditions of the Single Audit Act (Public Act 98-502);
- o Establish fiscal and accounting procedures to assure the proper disbursal and accounting for federal funds, including procedures for monitoring the assistance provided under this program;

The Single Audit Act (32 U.S.C. 7501) requires an annual audit of federal program funds. This audit must be conducted in accordance with the Comptroller General's standards and submitted to HHS within 30 days after completion.

The CSBG statute requires the state to repay to the United States amounts found to be expended not in accordance with the statute or the state plan. Such amounts are normally identified in the audit required by the state. If the federal government determines that the standards are not met, it may pursue two options: seeking repayment of misspent funds or, in the case of a finding of substantial noncompliance, withholding federal funds (after a process which involves legal review and hearings). It has not been necessary for the Family Support Administration (FSA) to impose either penalty.

Also, in compliance with Section 677(1) of the CSBG Act, Program Implementation Assessments are conducted to obtain information on the uses of CSBG funds, determine how assurances are being met, provide states feedback on how they are meeting the requirements



of the block grant statute, and describe how funds and assurances have translated into services to the poor. The major thrust of this effort is to gather, with as a little burden as possible, information from several (10) states each fiscal year.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

CSBG funds are made available to states and Indian tribes or tribal organizations to "ameliorate the causes of poverty in communities." (Section 672(a)).

Each recipient agrees to use the CSBG funds to:

- to provide a range of services and activities having a measurable and potentially major impact on causes of poverty in the community or those areas of the community where poverty is a particularly acute problem;
- to provide activities designed to assist low income (B) participants including the elderly poor -
  - to secure and retain meaningful employment;
  - (ii)
  - to attain an adequate education; to make better use of available income; (iii)
  - to obtain and maintain adequate housing and a (iv)suitable living environment;
  - to obtain emergency assistance through loans (V) or grants to meet immediate and urgent individual and family needs, including the need for health services, nutritious food, housing, and employment-related assistance;
  - to remove obstacles and solve problems which (vi)block the achievement of self-sufficiency;
  - to achieve greater participation in the (vii)affairs of the community; and
  - to make more effective use of other programs (viii) related to the purposes of this subtitle;
- to provide on an emergency basis for the provision of (C) such supplies and services, nutritious focustuffs, and related services, as may be necessary to counteract conditions of starvation and malnutrition among the poor;
- to coordinate and establish linkages between (D) governmental and other social services programs to assure the effective delivery of such services to low income individuals;



- (E) to encourage the use of entities in the private sector of the community in efforts to ameliorate poverty in the community.
- C. Allocation of program funds among activities.

States have the discretion to allocate funds, within statutory limits, to the various activities and services based on state priorities. There is no standardized formula for distribution of CSBG funds by the states.

A study by the Center for Local and Community Resources contains the most current information available on how states allocated CSBG funds in FY 1985.

This study collected information on the dollars in the seven program categories contained in the CSBG statute. Forty states reported the following:

| PRO | GRAM CATEGORY                     | FY 1985 CSBG<br>FUNDS SPENT | Percent<br>of Total | PERSONS<br>ASSISTED | Percent<br>of Total |
|-----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1.  | Employment                        | \$27,738,353                | 11.9%               | 1,003,187           | 1.9%                |
| 2.  | Education                         | 22,222,070                  | 9.5%                | 2,141,575           | 4.1%                |
| 3.  | Better Use of<br>Available Income | 17,372,877                  | 7.4%                | 3,926,750           | 7.5%                |
| 4.  | Housing                           | 19,213,736                  | 8.2%                | 1,014,078           | 1.9%                |
| 5.  | Emergency Services                | 45,997,496                  | 19.7%               | 5,831,412           | 11.2%               |
| 6.  | Nutrition                         | 35,961,954                  | 15.4%               | 26,418,077          | 50.8%               |
| 7.  | Linkages With<br>Other Programs   | 64,913,228                  | 27.8%               | 11,685,810          | 22.5%               |

(Represents about 40 percent of all CSBG funds to states. Since a person may be assisted in more than one program category, the numbers of persons assisted do not sum to an unduplicated total.)

## IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

The CSBG statute refers to "low-income participants including the elderly poor" and "those areas of the Community where poverty is a particularly acute problem."



Because the CSBG statutory purpose is to "ameliorate the causes of poverty in communities," the unit of eligibility for program benefits may be low nome households, families, individuals of all ages, and disabled, homeless, or unemployed persons.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Recipients of CSBG funds are required by statute to use the federal poverty guidelines as one criterion of eligibility in CSBG programs.

States may revise the income eligibility standard, not to exceed 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines, if the state determines that such a change would serve the objectives of the block grant.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

States may set other requirements for eligibility.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

There is no federal CSBG requirement that a recipient unit spend an amount or proportion of other income in order to receive CSBG benefits.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Most program intake is through voluntary applications with some referrals from a third party.

The major local administering agency or service provider is the Community Action Agency (CAA). CAAs are essentially multi-program centers with outreach to and input from the communities they serve. Their primary mission is to obtain and link public with private resources and focus these resources on the specific causes and conditions of poverty in their communities.

A vari .y of other service providers are funded by states, but the numbers of such sub-state grantees are minimal.

B. Program benefits or services.

Consistent with the assurances made by the Governors, states have the flexibility to provide any services or activities they feel best meet the needs of low income families and individuals. Examples of services and activities funded follows:



144

- 1. Employment: Job Counseling, Information and Referral, Job Placement, On-the-Job Training Projects, Job Banks, Ex-offender Programs, Summer Youth Employment, and Private Enterprise/Local Government Joint Employment Ventures.
- 2. Education: Adult Basic Education Courses; GED Instructions; Information and Referral about Educational Opportunities; Day Care and Child Development; Special Work Shops; Conferences and Seminars; Tutoring; General Education; Counseling; Guidance.
- 3. Better Use of Available Income: Income Tax Counseling and Preparation; Information and Referral o Income Management; Workshops and Forums on Residential Energy Conservation, Weatherization, and Alternative Energy Devices; Money Management; Credit and Consumer Issues.
- 4. Housing: Home Ownership Counseling; Workshops on Home Maintenance and Repair, Safety Code Standards, Loan Preparation, and Landlord Tenant Relations; Information Referral about Housing Programs.
- 5. Nutrition: Surplus Food Distribution; Operation of Food Pantries; Garden Projects; Operation of Canneries and Food Processing; Nutrition Counseling, Information Dissemination on Nutrition and Diet; Congregate or Home Delivered Meals.
- 6. Energy: Fuel Assistance; Home Weatherization; Energy Crisis Relief; Interagency Coordination to Mobilize Energy Resources; Workshops and Counseling on Energy Conservation and Weatherization.
- 7. Emergency Assistance: Disaster Relief Services; Emergency Loans; Supplemental LIHEAP Crisis Intervention; Aid for the Homeless; Donation of Food, Clothing, and Furniture; Crisis "Hot Lines;" Emergency Medical Assistance.
- 8. Health: Information Referral on Available Health Services; Transportation Projects to Meet the Needs of the Elderly, Handicapped, and the Low-Income; Alcoholism Treatment; Visiting Nurse Services; Dental Diagnosis and Treatment.

The factors, conditions, criteria, and formulas used to determine the amount of CSBG benefits recipients receive are established by the states, usually by the office administering the CSBG program. Local CSBG agencies provide few or no transfer payments and very few cash grants or loans.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information is available on average duration of participation. There are no federally-imposed statutory or regulatory participation limitations on the CSBG program. States have the



discretionary authority to set such limitations in individual CSBG programs.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

There is no federally-imposed statutory or regulatory provision for categorical or automatic eligibility in the CSBG program, although states may provide for either type of eligibility.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

The federal poverty guidelines must be used by the states as a criterion of eligibility for CSBG programs. The guideline contains certain prohibitions, set forth below:

"income does not include the following money receipts: capital gains; any assets drawn down as withdrawals from a bank, the sale of property, a house, or a car; tax refunds, gifts, lump-sum inheritances, one-time insurance payments, or compensation for injury. Also excluded are non-cash benefits, such as the employer-paid or union-paid portion of health insurance or other employee fringe benefits, food or rent received in lieu of wages, the value of food and fuel produced and consumed on farms, the imputed value of rent from owner-occupied nonfarm or farm housing, and such federal noncash benefit programs as Medicare, Medicaid, Food Stamps, school lunches, and housing assistance."

Some state or local operators may have established other prohibitions on counting the income or resources.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

All federal programs that serve the poverty population are authorized to serve the same population as CSBG. More specifically, programs providing cash and non-cash benefits to low income people for nutrition, housing, employment, and education all provide similar kinds of services to the same population as CSBG.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizi j committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

## Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Children, Family Drugs and Alcoholism



## House of Representative

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Human Resources

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### <u>Senate</u>

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

# House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Services

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

# House of Representatives

Committee on Government Operations
Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations and Human
Resources

D. Federal legislation.

The Economic Opportunity Act was enacted on August 20, 1964. Its declaration of purpose stated, "it is, therefore, the policy of the United States to eliminate the paradox of poverty in the midst of plenty in this Nation, of opening to everyone the opportunity for education and training, the opportunity to work, and the opportunity to live in decency and dignity."

The principal programs established by the EOA were:

- Research and Demonstrations
- 2. Urban and Rural Community Action Programs
- 3. Community Food and Nutrition
- 4. Senior Opportunities and Services
- 5. Environmental Action
- 6. Rural Housing Development and Rehabilitation
- 7. Emergency and Medical Services
- 8. Summer Youth Recreation
- 9. Neighborhood Centers
- 10. National Youth Sports Program
- 11. Consumer Action and Cooperative Programs
- 12. Technical Assistance and Training
- 13. State Agency Assistance
- 14. Family Planning Services
- 15. Rural Development Loan Programs
- 16. Comprehensive Health Services
- 17. Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Assistance



- 18. Head Start and Follow Through
- 19. Community Economic Development
- 20. Alcohol and Drug Abuse
- 21. Neighborhood Youth Corps
- 22. New Careers Employment
- 23. Mainstream Employment
- 24. Volunteers in Service to America
- 25. Foster Grandparents
- 26. Upward Bound
- 27. Adult Basic Education
- 28. Mative American Programs
- 29. Legal Services
- 30. Job Corps

The EOA was amended in 1974 by the enactment of the Community Services Act of 1974 which established the Community Services Administration as the successor authority to the Office of Economic Opportunity.

The Community Services Act added a new program: Emergency Energy Conservation Services. This CSA program is now administered as the Weatherization Program by the Department of Energy and the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program by the Department of Health and Human Services. The Act also formally transferred the administration of the Head Start program to the Department of Health, Education and Welfare; Head Start had been delegated from OEO to DHEW in 1969.

In fact, many of the OEO programs are now administered by other federal agencies. For example, VISTA and Foster Grandparents are administered by ACTION, Head Start by the Department of Health and Human Services, Job Crops, and Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Programs by the Department of Labor, and Emergency Food by the Department of Agriculture.

In 1974, the Legal Services Corporation Act was enacted. This Act established a private, nonmembership, nonprofit corporation to provide financial support for legal assistance in noncriminal proceedings to persons unable to afford legal assistance.

The Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1981 (Pub. L. 97-35) created the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) program. The Older American Act of 1981 (Pub. L. 97-115) expanded the CSBG statutory definition of "eligible entity" to include limited purpose agencies.

The October 2, 1982, Continuing Resolution (Pub. L. 97-276) required states to provide 90 percent of their CSBG allotments to make grants to "eligible entities."

The January 21, 1983, Continuing Resolution (Pub. L. 97-377) increased the CSBG allotment to states for FY 1983 and provided for a waiver of the statutory provision in the CSBG Act on expending 90 percent of the CSBG allotment to eligible entities.



The March 26, 1983, Pub. L. 98-3, the Emergency Jobs Bill, provided \$25 million of supplemental funds to extend humanitarian services to the unemployed and disadvantaged under the CSBG program.

The Human Services Reauthorization Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98-558) reauthorized the CSBG program through FY 1986. The major changes in the program included an expanded definition of the term "eligible entities" and provided a mechanism for Governors to create new "eligible entities." It also added a new assurance (675 (C)(11)) which provided for notice, hearings on the record, and appeals of termination for funding for "eligible entities" by states.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

The July 6, 1982 Federal Register contains the only federal implementing regulations for the CSBG program.

The preamble to the CSBG regulations states: "The Secretary has determined that the Department should implement the block grant programs in a manner that is fully consistent with the Congressional intent to enlarge the states' ability to control use of the funds involved...The states will...be subject only to the statutory requirements, and the Department will carry out its functions with due regard for the limited nature of the role that Congress has assigned to us."

Consistent with the block grant approach, the regulation states, "...a state shall obligate and expend block grant funds in accordance with the laws and procedures applicable to obligation and expenditure of its own funds." The states are primarily responsible for interpreting the governing statute; the I epartment defers to the state's interpretation of the statute unless the interpretation is clearly erroneous.



VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.665 COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

|                               | Federal Grants  |
|-------------------------------|---|
| United States                 | \$333,900   |
| Alabama<br>Alaska             | \$8,384<br>\$788  |
| Arizona                       | \$3,201   |
| Arkansas   Callfornia         | \$4,735<br>\$31,055   |
| Colorado                      | \$3,029 }   |
| Connect   cut                 | \$4,202   |
| Delaware :                    | \$920<br>\$5,720  |
| Fiorida                       | <b>\$10,123 }</b>   |
| Georgia                       | \$9,367   |
| Hawaii<br>Idaho               | \$1,456<br>\$904  |
| Illinois                      | \$16,451  |
| Indiana ;                     | \$5,070<br>\$3,769  |
| Kansas                        | \$2,842   |
| Kentucky                      | \$5,871   |
| Louisiana ;<br>Maine ;        | \$8,178<br>\$1,828  |
| Maryland                      | \$4,777   |
| Massachusetts                 | <b>\$8,678</b>  |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota         | \$12,899<br>\$4,192   |
| Mississippi                   | <b>\$5,528</b>  |
| Missouri                      | \$9,837<br>\$1,168  |
| Montana<br>Nebraska           | \$2,426   |
| Nevada                        | \$917   |
| New Hampshire  <br>New Jarsey | \$943<br>\$9,541  |
| New Mexico                    | \$2,138   |
| New York                      | <b>\$30.220</b>   1   |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota      | \$9,112<br>\$863  |
| Ohlo                          | \$13,576  |
| Oklahoma                      | ! <b>\$4</b> ,395   |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania        | \$2,789<br>\$14,746   |
| Rhode Island                  | j \$1,924 j j   |
| S. Carolina                   | \$5,351<br>\$960  |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee        | \$6,864   |
| Texas                         | <b>  \$16,770                                    </b>                       |
| Utah                          | \$1,355<br>\$976  |
| Vermont<br>Virginia           | \$55,576  |
| Washington ;                  | <b>  \$4,125                                     </b>                       |
| W. Virginia                   | \$3,897<br>\$4,232  |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming          | \$920   |
| American Samoa;               | \$220   |
| Puerto Rico  <br>N.Mariana    | \$14,670   \$130  |
| GUAM                          | \$208   |
| TTPI                          | \$995   |
|                               | الا المطاوعة ( جمعة المحلة ويسمة الآل في فيديث عبر بين فرديث ويدرين بين بين |

Data Sources: Office of Community Services



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.665 COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

|  | Federal<br>Grants   |
|--|---|
| United States  | \$315,038   |
| Alabama Alaska zona - kansas Allfornia Jolorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming A. Samoa Guam Virgin Islands No. Marlana Is ITPI   | \$6,035<br>\$816<br>\$2,611<br>\$4,476<br>\$29,346<br>\$2,860<br>\$3,972<br>\$870<br>\$5,407<br>\$9,569<br>\$8,855<br>\$1,376<br>\$855<br>\$15,551<br>\$4,792<br>\$3,562<br>\$2,687<br>\$5,550<br>\$7,731<br>\$1,727<br>\$4,516<br>\$8,203<br>\$12,194<br>\$3,963<br>\$5,226<br>\$9,110<br>\$1,113<br>\$2,293<br>\$864<br>\$9,110<br>\$1,113<br>\$2,293<br>\$864<br>\$12,194<br>\$3,963<br>\$5,226<br>\$9,110<br>\$1,797<br>\$28,563<br>\$1,797<br>\$28,563<br>\$1,797<br>\$28,563<br>\$1,797<br>\$28,563<br>\$1,819<br>\$2,293<br>\$864<br>\$12,834<br>\$4,156<br>\$2,636<br>\$13,939<br>\$1,819<br>\$5,059<br>\$900<br>\$6,488<br>\$15,853<br>\$1,252<br>\$922<br>\$5,271<br>\$3,884<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$1,7797<br>\$2,293<br>\$845<br>\$12,384<br>\$4,156<br>\$2,636<br>\$13,939<br>\$1,819<br>\$5,059<br>\$900<br>\$6,488<br>\$15,853<br>\$1,252<br>\$922<br>\$5,271<br>\$3,884<br>\$3,684<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4,003<br>\$4 |
| and the state of t | and the last state of the state  |

Data Sources: Office of Community Services



## XI. HISTORICAL DATA (In thousands) 13.885 COMMUNTIY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Obligations  |  |
|--|--|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 | \$366,086<br>\$348,000<br>\$347,585<br>\$567,941<br>\$931,355<br>\$684,978<br>\$751,494<br>\$790,119<br>\$700,312<br>\$534,631<br>\$317,208<br>\$716,008 |  |

Data Sources: Office of Community Services.



#### LEGAL AID

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Legal Services Corporation (LSC) provides federal funds to support programs that offer legal services in noncriminal proceedings to low income persons. State and local governments play no role in distributing LSC funds or in administering LSC programs. Once distributed, the control of LSC funds is left to the discretion of the program attorneys under the direction of local boards of directors.

In FY 1985, about \$313 million was appropriated by the federal government for LSC. These funds supported about 300 programs with about 4,774 staff attorneys who served about 1.3 million persons. Over the past 10 years, the federal costs of LSC have increased substantially; in FY 1975, the LSC appropriation was \$72 million. Thus, LSC costs have increased, in constant dollars, by over 117 percent in the last decade.

The law requires LSC to set national maximum income limits for determining eligibility and to insure preference for those persons who are least able to afford an attorney. The national income limit is 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines, but this limit permits some exclusions and exceptions. Cash, as well as non-cash, assistance from public programs, for example, does not count toward the income limit; a person's income can exceed the limit, if the purpose of the legal services is to obtain benefits from a means-tested government program. Asset limitations in determining eligibility, if any, are set by local program boards of directors.

The LSC programs are not allowed to give legal aid in criminal proceedings or in most civil cases that are fee-generating in nature such as accident damage suits. The cases that LSC programs do handle are largely a function of priorities set by the local boards of directors and typically feature the areas of law concerning families, employment, housing, civil rights, and obtaining benefits from other public programs.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Legal Services Corporation.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: None. Budget account number(s): None.
- C. Current authorizing statute: None. The LSC authorization statute expired in FY 1981. Funds are spent under authority of appropriations statutes.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 45 CFR 1600-1631.
- E. Federal administering agency: The Legal Services Corporation, established in Sec. 1003.(a) of P.L. 93-355, as amended in P.L. 95-222, is a "private nonmembership nonprofit corporation" in the District of Columbia. (42 U.S.C. 2996)
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Private nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefit: Private nonprofit organizations.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

LSC funds are capped annually by a federal appropriation. In 1985, appropriated funds were allocated among 284 Basic Field, two migrant, and 11 Native American programs, all of which enjoy presumptive refunding rights, as well as 17 free-standing National Support contractors and five State Support contractors. The 1985 Congressional appropriations equalled \$305 million. Allocation of federal funds is based on population. Currently there are no state or local matching requirements for funds.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

State and local governments have no role in distributing funds among the various LSC recipients. The law (42 U.S.C. 2996C) authorizes the establishment of, and authorizes LSC to fund, the expenses of State Advisory Councils in each state and territory. However, State Advisory Councils have not been in existence for several years.

J. Audit or quality control.

As provided in 42 U.S.C. 2996d "Except where otherwise specifically provided in this title, officers and employees of the corporation shall not be considered officers and employees, and the Corporation shall not be considered a department, agency, or instrumentality, of the federal government." Hence, the



154

Corporation is exempt from governmental efficiency guidelines to which governmental agencies must adhere.

However, 42 U.S.C. 2996d also provides that "Nothing in this title shall be construed as limiting the authority of the Office of Management and Budget to review and submit comments upon the Corporation's annual budget request at the time it is transmitted to Congress."

Currently, no national standards of administrative efficiency are in effect. Each local program develops its own administrative controls.

## III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The law (42 U.S.C. 2996) states "providing legal assistance to those who face an economic barrier to adequate legal counsel will serve best the ends of justice and assist in improving opportunities for low-income persons consistent with the purposes of this Act."

B. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

To achieve these objectives program funds are allocated among individual program activities according to priorities established by local program boards of directors. No national summary information is available.

## IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

As defined in 45 CFR 1611, Appendix A, the unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined is the "family unit".

B. Income eligibility standards.

Individual client eligibility is limited to family units whose annual income is no higher than 125 percent of the 1984 federal poverty income guidelines.

Eligibility regulations 45 CFR 1611 Secs. 1611.4 and 1611.5 establish the specific circumstances under and limits within which legal assistance may be provided family units with incomes above 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines or assets above limits set by local program boards.



Section 1611.4 authorizes exceptions for:

A person whose gross income exceeds the maximum income level established by a recipient but does not exceed 150 percent of the national eligibility level (125% of poverty) may be provided legal assistance under the Act if:

- (1) The person's circumstances require that eligibility should be allowed on the basis of one or more of the factors set forth in Sec. 1611.5(b)(1); or
- (2) The person is seeking legal assistance to secure benefits provided by a governmental program for the poor.

In the event that a recipient determines to serve a person whose gross income exceeds 125% of poverty, that decision shall be documented and included in the client's file. The recipient shall keep such other records as will provide information to the Corporation as to the number of clients so served and the factual basis for the decisions made.

Section 1611.5(b)(1) establishes the set of factors for which assistance may be extended to family units with incomes above the federal poverty guidelines:

Factors which shall be used in the determination of the eligibility of clients over the maximum income level shall include:

- (A) Current income prospects, taking into account seasonal variations in income;
- (B) Medical expenses, and in exceptional instances, ith the prior, written approval of the project director based on written documentation received by the recipient and available for review by the Corporation, if a person's gross income is primarily committed to medical or nursing home expenses, a person may be served even if that person's gross income exceeds 150 percent of the national eligibility level;
- (C) Fixed debts and obligations, including unpaid federal, state, and local taxes from prior years;
- (D) Child care, transportation, and other expenses necessary for employment;
- (E) Expenses associated with age or physical infirmity of resident family members;
- (F) Other significant factors related to financial inability to afford legal assistance.



Under eligibility regulation 45 CFR 1611, Sec. 1611.2 income is defined as "actual current annual total cash receipts before taxes of all persons who are resident members of and contribute to, the support of a family unit." Total cash receipts include "money wages and salaries before any deduction."

Earned income disregarded for the purposes of determining eligibility includes food or rent in lieu of wages and income from self-employment after deductions for business or farm expenses.

Under eligibility reculation 45 CFR 1611, Sec. 1611.2, unearned income disregarded before applying the maximum income test includes:

Regular payments from public assi ace; social security; unemployment and workers' compensation; strike benefits from union funds; veterans benefits; training stipends; alimony, child support and military family allotments or other egular support from an absent family member or someone not living in the household; public or private employee pensions, and regular insurance or annuity payments; and income from dividends, interest, rents, royalties or from estates and trusts.

Asset limitations are a function of local board priorities. Eligibility regulation 45 CFR 1611, Sec. 1611.6, establishes conditions on assets (including exemptions) and sets out the rules:

Assets considered shall include all liquid and non-liquid assets of all persons who are resident members of a family unit, except that a recipient may exclude the principal residence of a client. ...guidelines shall take into account impediments to an individual's access to assets of the family unit or household.

Reasonable equity value in work-related equipment which is essential to the employment or self-employment of an applicant or member of a family unit, shall not be utilized to disqualify an applicant, provided that the owner is attempting to produce income consistent with its fair market value.

The governing body may establish authority for the project director to waive the ceilings on minimum allowable assets in unusual or extremely meritorious situations. In the event that a waiver is granted, that decision shall be documented and included in the client's file. The recipient shall keep such other records as will provide information to the Corporation as to the number of clients so served and the factual basis for the decision made.



A rule promulgated to make more uniform, asset requirements across recipients (45 CFR 1611, Sec. 1611.6) indicates that:

By January 30, 1984, and annually thereafter, the governing body of the recipient shall establish and transmit to the Corporation guidelines incorporating specific and reasonable asset ceilings, including both liquid and non-liquid assets, to be utilized in determining eligibility for vervices. The guidelines shall consider the economy of the service area and the relative cost-of-living of low-income persons so as to ensure the availability of services to those in greatest economic and legal need.

The guidelines shall be consistent with the recipient's priorities established in accordance with 45 CFR 1620 and special consideration shall be given to the legal needs of the elderly, institutionalized, and handicapped.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

LSC recipients are also subject to local program priorities set by local program boards of directors under 45 CFR 1620, authority for which is provided by Sec. 1007(a)(2) Legal Services Corporation Act of 1974, as amended 45 U.S.C. 2996 f(a)(2). Under 45 CFR 1620, adopted in May 1984, local program boards must adopt procedures which shall, as Sec. 1620.2(a)(1) indicates:

Include an effective appraisal of the needs of eligible clients in the geographic areas served by the recipient, and their relative importance, based on information received from potential or current eligible clients solicited in a manner reasonably calculated to obtain the attitude of all significant segments of the client eligible population, as well as input from the recipient's employees, governing body members, the private bar, and other interested persons. In addition to substantive legal problems, the appraisal shall address the need for outreach, training of the recipient's employees, and support services.

Eligibility for services depends upon the availability of services based upon these priorities established by local boards.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

At present, eligible clients are not required to expend any of their income on LSC funded legal assistance. However, as directed by the LSC Board of Directors, LSC staff are investigating the feasibility of implementing a sliding scale, copayment mechanism.



### V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES

A. Program intake processes.

The processes of program intake include: voluntary applications; referral by a third party; membership in a group represented in a class action suit.

The procedure for intake is set out in 45 CFR 1611, Secs. 1611.7 and 8:

- A recipient shall adopt a simple form and procedure to obtain information to determine eligibility in a manner that promotes the development of trust between attorney and client. The form and procedure adopted shall be subject to approval by the Corporation, and the information obtained shall be preserved, in a manner that protects the identity of the client, for audit by the Corporation.
- o If there is substantial reason to doubt the accuracy of the information, a recipient shall make appropriate inquiry to verify it, in a manner consistent with an attorney-client relationship.
- A recipient shall execute a retainer agreement, in a form approved by the Corporation, with each client who receives legal services from the recipient. The retainer agreement shall be executed when representation commences (cr, if not possible owing to an emergency situation, as soon thereafter as is practicable), and shall clearly identify the relationship between the client and the recipient, the matter in which representation is sought, the nature of the legal services to be provided, and the rights and responsibilities of the client. The recipient shall retain the executed retainer agreement as part of the client's file, and shall make their agreement available for review by the Corporation in a manner which protects the identity of
- A recipient is not required to execute a written retainer agreement when the only service to be provided is brief advice and consultation.
- B. Program benefits or services

Provision of legal assistance or consultation is left to the discretion of recipient program attorneys under the direction of local program boards of directors.

In FY 1985, costs per case ranged from \$76 in Maine to \$493 in Georgia, with a national average of \$209.



C. Duration of benefits.

Duration of representation is limited only by case closure or by a change of circumstances which alter an individual's eligibility.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility.

None.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Recipient programs, in determining income eligibility of a prospective client are prohibited by 45 CFR 1611 from counting regular payments from public assistance; Social Security; unemployment and workers' compensation; or veterans' benefits.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Federal funding for legal services to indigents comes from a number of entities other than the Legal Services Corporation including the Administration on Aging, Community Services Block Grants, Community Development Block Grants, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of Health and Human Services grants and contracts, Department of Education funds, and various revenue sharing programs. Some of these funds are furnished directly to the providers. Others are administered by state or local government. Much of the money is limited to one-time projects; some is provided on a continuing basis.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources

#### House

Committee on the Judiciary
Subcommittee on Courts, Civil Liberties, and the
Administration of Justice



B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary and Related Agencies

### House

Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State, and the Judiciary

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

## Pub. L. 95-222 (December 28, 1977).

The Legal Services Corporation Act of 1977, as amended, 95th Congress, H.R. 6666.

The Act established comprehensive revisions to the Legal Services Corporation Act of 1974. The 1977 Act is the primary statute governing the activities of the LSC and its recipients.

## Pub. L. 96-68 (September 24, 1980).

A Continuing Resolution for FY 1381.

This continuing resolution for fiscal year 1981 restricted the use of LSC funds for:

- -- Engaging in publicity or propaganda designed influence legislation:
- -- Providing legal assistance to illegal aliens;
- -- Increasing funding to those programs already funded at the minimum access level.



## Pub. L. 97-377 (December 21, 1982).

An Act for Appropriations for FY 1983.

This appropriations act for fiscal year 1983 restricted the use of LSC funds for:

- -- Providing legal assistance to illegal aliens within certain categories;
- Providing legal services through any recipient which is not nonprofit, or not properly constituted;
- LSC involvement in litigation without an eligible client;
- -- Payment for certain communications to government officials or agencies;
- Bringing a class action suit against a governmental body unless certain procedures are undertaken;
- -- Increasing funding to those programs already funded at the minimum access level.

# Pub. L. 98-166 (January 3, 1983).

An Act for Appropriations for FY 1984.

This appropriations act for fiscal year 1984 included restrictions in the predecessor, plus:

- -- Making certain communications to government officials, or engaging in certain publicity or propaganda, or lobbying activities;
- -- Supporting certain training programs involving public policies or political activities, demonstrations, or labor activities;
- -- The defunding or the denial of refunding to any recipient without complying with certain procedures;

## Pub. L. 98-411 (January 23, 1984).

An Aci for Appropriations for FY 1985.

This appropriations act for fiscal year 1985 included restrictions in the predecessor, plus:

-- The promulgation of additional regulations unless certain notice is given to the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress.



In addition, the Appropriations Act ap, tioned \$2 million for increasing quality legal services to the elderly, \$1.158 million for program improvement and training, \$1.829 million for delivery research and experimentation, and \$11.283 million for state and national support for the provision of legal services.

# Pub. L. 99-180 (December 13, 1985).

An Act for Appropriations for FY 1986.

This appropriations act for fiscal year 1986 included the restrictions in the predecessor.

# Pub. L. 99-591 (October 18, 1986).

An Act for Appropriations for Fy 1987.

This appropriations act for fiscal year 1987 included the restrictions in the predecessor, plus:

The enforcement of LSC regulation 45 CFR 1612 relating to lobbying and certain other activities.

In addition, the Act allocated funds for certain LSC programs and purposes, over \$15 million for state and national support services.



|   | Total (1)   |
|---|---|
| United States   | \$278,850   |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan | \$278,850<br>\$6,536<br>\$1,544<br>\$5,498<br>\$3,771<br>\$28,410<br>\$2,898<br>\$2,527<br>\$541<br>\$2,763<br>\$11,729<br>\$7,878<br>\$1,014<br>\$1,257<br>\$10,332<br>\$4,260<br>\$2,798<br>\$2,104<br>\$5,668<br>\$6,935<br>\$1,411<br>\$3,351<br>\$5,874<br>\$8,716 |
| Minnesota   | \$3,736   |
| Mississippi   | \$5,910   |
| Missouri  | \$5,073   |
| Montana   | \$1,028   |
| Nebraska  | \$1,663   |
| Nevada  | \$723   |
| New Hampshire   | \$863   |
| New Jersey  | \$6,024   |
| New Mexico  | \$2,436   |
| New York  | \$19,551  |
| R. Carolina<br>N. Dakota<br>Ohio  | \$7,989<br>\$997<br>\$9,407<br>\$2,777  |
| Oklahoma  | \$3,777   |
| Oregon  | \$2,841   |
| Pennsylvania  | \$10,036  |
| Rhode Island  | \$864   |
| S. Carolina   | \$4,725   |
| S. Dakota   | \$1,629   |
| Tennessee   | \$6,508   |
| Texas   | \$13,845  |
| Utah  | \$1,410   |
| Vermont   | \$714   |
| Virginia  | \$5,632   |
| Washington  | \$4,057   |
| W. Virginia   | \$2,957   |
| Wisconsin   | \$3,636   |
| Wyoming   | \$612   |
| Guam  | \$171   |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands<br>Micronesia   | \$15,885   \$444   \$894  |

Data Sources: Fiscai Year 1985 Grants and Contracts Summary from LSC Office of Field Services.

(1) INCLUDES: Annualized Refunding Grants and Contracts (djusted), with National Support Grants deleted; Client Board Member Training Grants, Legal Services to Southeast Los Angeles County, Month-to-Month Funding, Technical Assistance, Payments to Defunded Programs, Lower Funded Program Allocation, Reprogrammed Expansion Funds, Reprogrammed Fund Balance Recoveries, Native American Revolving Expansion Pool, Emerges of One-Time Grants, Private Law Firm Contracts, Law School Civil Clinical Program, Private Bar Involvement, and Civil Legal Assistance to the Poor.

DOES NOT INCLUDE: Reggle Fellowship Program, Clearinghouse Contract, Summer Intern Contract, Computer-Assisted Legal Research, Payments to Training Centers, or Interest on Lawyers' Trust Account Program.

Does not Include any non-LSC funds.

164

|                              | Total (1)                   |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| United States                | \$251,716                   |
| Alabama<br>Alaska            | \$6,003                     |
| Arizona                      | \$1,429<br>\$5,048          |
| Arkansas<br>California       | <b>\$3.515</b>              |
| Colorado                     | \$25,065<br>\$2,638         |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware      | \$2,638<br>\$2,370<br>\$473 |
| D. C. !                      | \$2,053                     |
| Florida<br>Georgia           | \$9,992<br>\$7,182          |
| Hawa II                      | <b>\$900</b>                |
| idaho<br>Illinois            | \$1,125<br>\$9,142          |
| Indiana                      | <b>\$4,363</b> }            |
| lowa<br>Kansas               | \$2,557<br>\$1,978          |
| Kentucky                     | <b>\$5.14</b> 5 ! !         |
| Louisiana  <br>Maine         | \$6,517<br>\$1,244          |
| Maryland                     | \$2,948<br>\$5,391          |
| Massachusetts  <br>Michigan  | \$5,391<br>\$7,842          |
| Minnesota :                  | <b>\$3,517</b>              |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri      | \$5,500<br>\$4,755          |
| Montana                      | \$940                       |
| Nebraska<br>Nevacu           | \$1,454<br>\$642            |
| New Hampshire                | \$679                       |
| New Jarsey<br>New Mexico     | \$5,356<br>\$2,144          |
| New York                     | \$2,144<br>\$17,341         |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota     | \$7,443<br>\$970            |
| Ohlo (                       | \$8,321                     |
| Oktahoma<br>Oregon           | \$3,509<br>\$2,535          |
| Pennsylvan !a !              | \$9,057                     |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina  | \$792<br>\$4,263            |
| S. Dakota                    | <b>\$1,479</b>              |
| Tennessee<br>Texas           | \$6,006<br>\$16,896         |
| Utah<br>Vermont              | <b>  \$1,138    </b>        |
| Vermont<br>Virginia          | \$732<br>\$5,486            |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia    | \$3,782                     |
| Wisconsin ;                  | \$2,710<br>\$3,361          |
| Wyoming<br>Guam              | \$554<br>\$176              |
| Puerto Pilco                 | \$14,047                    |
| Virgin islands<br>Micronesia | \$427<br>\$788              |
|                              | 1 <b>4</b> 700              |

Data Sources: Fiscal Year 1984 Grants and Contracts Summary from LSC Office of Field Services

(1) INCLUDES: Annualized Refunding Grants and Contracts (Adjusted), with National Support Grants deleted; Client Board Member Training Grants, Legal Services to Southeast Los Angeles County, Morith-to-Month Funding, Technical Assistance, Payments to Defunded Programs, Lower Funded Program Allecation, Reprogrammed Expansion Funds, Reprogrammed Fund Balance Resoveries, Native American Fevolving Expansion Pool, Emergency One-Time Grants, Private Law Firm Contracts, Law School Civil Clinical Program, Private Bar Involvement, and Civil Legal Assistance to the Poor.

NOES NOT INCLUDE: Reggle Fellowship Program, Clearinghouse Contract, Summer Intern Contract, Computer-Assisted Legal Research, Payments to Training Centers, or Interest on Lawyers' Trust Account Program.

Duss not include any non-LSC funds.

165

171

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) LEGAL AID

|                              | Persons<br>Served | (2)          | Elderly (2   |     |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|-----|
| United States                | 1,332,914         |              | 170,437  |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska            | 23,968<br>3,801   |              | 2,083<br>556   |     |
| Arizona                      | 22,849            |              | 1,783  <br>1,296   |     |
| Arkansas   California        | 17,170<br>156,709 |              | 22,835   |     |
| Colorado                     | 25,977            | į            | ¦ 4,303 ¦  | İ   |
| Connect I cut                | 9,808             | ĺ            | 2,178<br>813   | Ì   |
| Delaware :                   | 3,174<br>6,622    | !            | 1,569  | -   |
| Fiorida                      | 62,969            | ļ            | 11,234   | İ   |
| Georgia                      | 15,989            |              | 2,263<br>1,345   | }   |
| Hawa II<br>Idaho             | 9,035<br>4,208    | j            | 1,345 i<br>597 i   | Ì   |
| llilnols                     | 55,408            |              | 9,517  | ļ   |
| Indiana                      | 21,609<br>25,443  | Ì            | 3,198<br>1,977   | -   |
| lowa<br>Kansas               | 25,443            | İ            | 1,9//<br>2,214   | ļ   |
| Kentucky                     | 10,636            |              | 2,336  | -   |
| Louisiana                    | 30,873            | į            | 2 3  | Ì   |
| Maine                        | 18,478            | l            | 307  |     |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts    | 19,463            | İ            | 2,314<br>9,114   |     |
| Michigan                     | 37,504<br>50,129  |              | ¦ 6,801 ¦  | ł   |
| Minnesota ;                  | 28,919            | 1            | 4,476  | -   |
| Mississippi<br>Miscouri      | 18,994            | į            | 2,011  <br>3,830   | İ   |
| Montana                      | 24,420<br>4,255   | 1            | 245  | -   |
| Nebraska                     | 11,826            | İ            | 1,124  | - [ |
| Nevada                       | 2,771             |              | 178   797  | ı   |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey  | 4,742<br>32,309   | İ            | 3,133  | -   |
| New Mexico                   | 7,237             | İ            | 1,022  | İ   |
| New York                     | 62,255            |              | 5,927  | -   |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota     | 25,045            | İ            | 4,070<br>987   | İ   |
| Ohlo                         | 58,181            |              | 5,448  |     |
| Ok lahoma                    | 20,680            |              | 4,805  | -   |
| Oregon                       | 30,465<br>65,024  |              | 3,388<br>6,014   | İ   |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island | 4,277             |              | 571  |     |
| S. Carolina                  | ¦ 13,053          |              | 975  | İ   |
| S. Dakota                    | 8,045             |              | 487  |     |
| Tennessee<br>Texas           | 22,342<br>48,067  | İ            | 3,055<br>5,397   | -   |
| Utah                         | 3,488             | İ            | ¦ 1,110 ¦  | -   |
| Vermont                      | 3,839             | 1            | 450  | -   |
| Virginia !<br>Washington :   | 28,562<br>21,302  | į            | 2,738<br>3,160   | į   |
| W. Virginia                  | 17,470            |              | 650  |     |
| Wisconsin ;                  | 22,821            |              | 1,906  |     |
| Wyom Ing                     | 4,379             |              | 218  | İ   |
| Cuam<br>Puerto Rico          | 80,754            | }            | 8,591  |     |
| Virgin islands               | 1,424             |              | ! 145  | İ   |
| Micronesia ;                 | 1 1,402           | 1            | <u> 261  </u>  | -   |
|                              |                   | 4 240 - 6 24 | eran, na due fon fin aan bestâl, worde darek is telebre om ein die der | m~# |

Data Sources: Calender Year 1985 Cases Closed, as reported in 1985 Case Service Reports to LSC Division of Information Services



Information is not available in Fiscal Year format; only in Calender Year format. LSC Fiscal Year ends on 9/30.
 Annual count based on Cases Closed reported quarterly by LSC grantees, compiled annually by LSC. These numbers may vary by +/- 10% because of variations in reporting practices.

IX. 8. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) LEGAL AID

|  | Persons<br>Served | (2) Elda                                       | · 5y (2) |
|--|-------------------|--|----------|
| United States  | 1, 197,658        | 154  | 1,758    |
| Archard States  Archard Alaska Aricona Arkonsas California Colorado Cornecticut Dolaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Waryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Miselssippi Miselssippi Miselssippi Misesouri Wontana Nebraska Nevado New Hempshire Now Jersey New Mexico New Hempshire Now Jersey New Mexico New Hempshire Now Jersey New Mexico New Jersey New Mexico New Jork N. Carolina N. Dakota Onlo Ckiahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Poerto Rice |                   | 154 2 1921 8322221 786413 1 13 53 4433 34 22 1 |          |
| Virgin Islands<br>Micronesia   | 7.237             | }  | 121      |

Data Sources: Calander Year 1984 Cases Closed as reported in 1983 Case Service Reports to 150 Office of information Management.



<sup>(1)</sup> Information is not available in Fiscal Year format; only in Calender Year format. LSC Fiscal Year ends on 0/80.
(2) Mayoral count based on Cases Closed reported quarterly by LSC grantees, compiled annually by LSC. These numbers may vary by ±/~ 10% because of variations in reporting practices.

# X. A. WEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) LEGAL ALD

| 5   | Total                            | (4)    |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|
| United States                                       | \$209                            |        |
| Alabama<br>Alasko<br>Arizora                        | \$273<br>\$406<br>\$241          |        |
| Arkansas<br>California<br>Colorado<br>Connecticut   | \$220<br>\$189<br>\$112<br>\$258 |        |
| Dalaware<br>D. C.<br>Florida<br>Georgia             | \$170<br>\$417<br>\$186<br>\$493 |        |
| Hamaii<br>Idaho<br>Illinois<br>Indiana              | \$112<br>\$299<br>\$185<br>\$197 |        |
| lowa<br>Kansas<br>Kentucky<br>Louislana             | \$110<br>\$198<br>\$239<br>\$225 |        |
| Maine<br>Maryland<br>Massachusetts                  | \$76<br>\$172<br>\$157           |        |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota<br>Mississippi<br>Missouri    | \$174<br>\$129<br>\$311<br>\$208 | i<br>i |
| Muntana<br>Nebraksa<br>Nevada<br>New Hampshire      | \$242<br>\$141<br>\$261<br>\$182 |        |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico<br>New York                | \$186<br>\$337<br>\$314          |        |
| North Carolina<br>North Dakota<br>Oulo<br>Oklahowa  | \$319<br>\$211<br>\$162<br>\$183 |        |
| Oregon<br>Phinsylvaila<br>Rhode Island              | \$93<br>\$154<br>\$202           | !!     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota<br>Tennessee<br>Texas      | \$362<br>\$202<br>\$291<br>\$392 |        |
| Utah<br>Vermont<br>Virginia<br>Washington           | \$404<br>\$186<br>\$197<br>\$190 |        |
| #. Virginia<br>Risconsin<br>Wyoming                 | \$159<br>\$159<br>\$140          |        |
| Guas<br>Puerto Rico<br>Virgin islands<br>Micronesia | \$597<br>\$197<br>\$312<br>\$538 |        |

Data Sources: LSC Summary of Grants and Contracts, Case Service Report



<sup>(1)</sup> information is not available in Fiscal Year format; only in Calender Year format. LSC Fiscal Year exis 9/30.
(2) Annual count based on Cases Closed reported quarterly by LSC grantees, compiled annually by LSC. These numbers way vary by +/- 18% because of variations in reporting practices.

# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) LEGAL AID

|  | nutal.  | (2) |
|--|---|-----|
| United States  | \$210   |     |
| United States  Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Dalaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Ilinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louislana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraksa New Hampshire New Jersey New Jersey New Hampshire New Jersey New Hampshire New Jersey New Hampshire New Jersey | \$210<br>\$275<br>\$326<br>\$303<br>\$251<br>\$188<br>\$106<br>\$360<br>\$457<br>\$303<br>\$192<br>\$416<br>\$122<br>\$410<br>\$206<br>\$205<br>\$92<br>\$134<br>\$229<br>\$272<br>\$84<br>\$149<br>\$150<br>\$118<br>\$145<br>\$266<br>\$118<br>\$165<br>\$177<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$177<br>\$140<br>\$165<br>\$177<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$177<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$177<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$165<br>\$165 |     |
| Wisconsin<br>Myoming<br>Guam   | \$143<br>\$161<br>\$497   |     |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands<br>Micronesia  | \$208<br>\$321<br>\$1,004   |     |

Data Sources: LSC Grants and Contracts Summary, Case Service Reports

Information is not available in Fisca! Year format; only in Calender Year format. LSC Fiscal Year ends 9/30.
 Annual count based upon Cases Closed reported quarterly by LSC grantees, compiled annually by LSC. These numbers may vary by +/- 10% because of variations in reporting practices.



# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) LEGAL AID

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Appropriations (1)   | Units<br>Served  | (2) |
|--|--|--|-----|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1978<br>1978<br>1976<br>1975<br>1977<br>1977<br>1977<br>1977<br>1968<br>1968<br>1968<br>1963<br>1963<br>1963 | \$313,000<br>\$275,000<br>\$241,000<br>\$241,000<br>\$321,300<br>\$300,000<br>\$270,000<br>\$270,000<br>\$125,000<br>\$71,500<br>\$71,500<br>\$71,500<br>\$71,500<br>\$71,500<br>\$38,000<br>\$38,000<br>\$38,000<br>\$38,000<br>\$34,800<br>\$3,000 | 1,300,000<br>1,227,358<br>1,274,318<br>1,147,221<br>1,245,226<br>203,853 | (3) |

Data Sources: Annual Appropriations Bills

(1) Because funds such as National Support and LSC's own budget have not been factored out, these totals vary somewhat from those of Table VIII. The historical data presented here are internally consistent, reflecting all federal funds provided LSC and its predecessor programs. FY 1965 through FY 1974 data represent funding the Office of Economic Opportunity, Legal Services Division.
(2) Information prior to 1980 was not published by LSC.

### FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Title X of the Public Health Service Act authorizes the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) to make grants to and enter into contracts with public and nonprofit private organizations to provide family planning services. The program provides clinical services and information to help persons, especially those from low income families, plan how many children to have and when to have them. Under HHS administration, 52 of the 88 Title X grantees are state, local, territorial, or Indian tribal governments.

Title X programs offer a broad range of family planning methods and services. These services may include counseling, pregnancy testing, physical examinations, laboratory services, screening for sexually transmitted diseases, infertility services, sterilization, or provision of contraceptives.

These services are available to all persons regardless of income, but priority is given to persons from low income families. By statute, persons from families whose income does not exceed 100 percent of the federal poverty guidelines are not personally charged for services; charges for other persons are flexible and generally made in accordance with a schedule of discounts based on ability to pay. While the involvement of parents is encouraged, unemancipated minors seeking services on a confidential basis must be considered on the basis of their own resources.

In FY 1985, four million persons received family planning services under Title X at a federal cost of about \$143 million. The federal funds are allocated using a formula that emphasizes demographic priorities and past experience. The program is fully funded by the federal government.

Family planning services may also be provided to low income persons under other programs, including Medicaid, the Social Services Block Grant, the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant, Community Health Centers, the Indian Health Service, and the Migrant Health Centers.



177

#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Family Planning Services.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.217
  Budget account number(s): 75-0350-0-1-550.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Title X of PHS Act (42 U.S.C. 300-300a-6a) which authorized the program expired 9/30/85.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 42 CFR Part 59A.
- E. Federal administering agency: Office of Population Affairs, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, Department of Health and Human Services.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; counties; cities; tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; five territories and one university in a territory.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Counties; cities; private nonprofit organizations; universities; hospitals and one territory.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Title X funds to support service providers are allocated to the ten PHS Regional Offices using a formula based on: (1) the number of "women age 15-44 at risk of unintended pregnancy and in need of subsidized family planning services" residing in the region; (2) the number of women at or below 150 percent of poverty receiving services at clinics in the region; (3) the amount of the region's previous allocation. The amount distributed to each region depends on the percentage of the national total for (1), (2), and (3) the region claims. The ten PHS Regional Offices then apply a regional formula similar to the national formula. Funds are distributed to the individual grantees after annual applications for grants have undergone an objective review process. Regional allocations may be discounted when the average program indicators (administrative cost, productivity, average costs per encounter, and clinical indicators) for any grantee in the region are out of compliance.

According to statute, a grant may not be awarded for less than 90 percent of program costs. After the award, the grantee may delegate (through a written agreement) the responsibility of actually providing family planning services to another agency.



172

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

Fifty-two of the 88 grantees are state, local, territorial or Indian tribal governments. During 1981 and 1982, the program encouraged states to apply in order to accomplish a goal of consolidating grantees.

J. Audit or quality control.

Title X family planning projects must comply with the Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance Common Reporting Requirements (BCRR). Data are collected for the calendar year and indicators calculated from the BCRR data are used to evaluate the productivity and effectiveness of family planning projects.

Regional allocations are subject to reductions if the regional averages for any of the administrative or clinical indicators are out of compliance. No penalties in the form of reductions of the regional allocations have been levied in the past three years.

## III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

Section 1001 authorizes the Secretary to make grants to and enter into contracts with public or nonprofit private entities to assist in the establishment and operation of voluntary family planning projects which shall offer a broad range of acceptable and effective family planning methods and services, including natural family planning methods, infertility services, and services for adolescents.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Title X Section 1001 funds are used for the sole purpose of providing family planning services. These services may include counseling, pregnancy testing, physical examination, laboratory services, screening for sexually transmitted diseases, infertility services, sterilization, or provision of contraceptives. Projects are limited to a 16 percent administrative cost. The national and regional averages are under 16 percent.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individuals are eligible to receive family planning services.



B. Income eligibility standards.

Family planning services are available to all regardless of income, but priority is given to persons from low income families. By statute, persons from low income families are not charged for services except to the extent that payment will be made by a third party (including a government agency) which is authorized or is under legal obligation to pay such charges. "Low income family" means a family whose total annual income does not exceed 100 percent of the federal poverty guidelines. "Low income family" also includes members of families whose annual family income exceeds 100 percent of poverty, but who, as determined by the project director, are unable, for good reasons, to pay for family planning services. For example, unemancipated minors who wish to receive services on a confidential basis must be considered on the basis of their own resources.

Charges for services to persons other than those from low income families are made in accordance with a schedule of discounts based on ability to pay. Charges to persons from families whose annual income exceeds 250 percent of the federal poverty guidelines is made in accordance with a schedule of fees designed to recover the reasonable cost of providing services.

Some 3.4 million females, or 84 percent of the females served in Title X clinics, have family incomes below 150 percent of poverty.

Assets are not considered in determining eligibility or extent of payment required for family planning services.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

None, other than a minimum age requirement (at least 21 years of age) for sterilization services.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Persons with incomes above the poverty guidelines are expected to pay part or all of the cost of services out of other income, based on a schedule of discounts.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake process.

Participation in the Title X family planning program is voluntary. By statute, the acceptance by any individual of family planning services or information shall not be a prerequisite to eligibility for or receipt of any other service or assistance from another program.



B. Program benefits or services.

Services are delivered through a network of about 4,500 clinics. These clinics may be located in hospitals, in county or local government health departments, in free-standing situations, or affiliated with other public or private, nonprofit health delivery agencies. Some of these clinics are large and offer full services throughout the week. Others operate on a circuit rider basis, with clinics in various small towns or rural areas open on a rotating basis one day a week.

The clinics provide medical, social, and referral services relating to family planning to all eligible clients who desire such services. The amount and standards of medical services are the same regardless of the economic category of the recipient. All recipients receive information and clinical services which will help them better plan how many children to have and when to have them.

C. Duration of benefits.

There are no duration or participation limitations for Title X. No information is available about average duration of participation.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVI. LAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility.

None.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Only cash income is counted in determining fees.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Family planning services may also be provided to persons under authority of other programs 'ncluding Medicaid, the Social Services Block Grant, the Ma arnal and Child Health Block Grant, the Community Health Services Program, the Indian Health Service Program, and the Migrant Health Program.

Duplication of benefits is not an issue since persons are unlikely to overutilize family planning services.



### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources

### House of Representatives

Committee on Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Health and the Environment

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Service, Education and Related Agencies

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

#### None.

D. Federal legislation.

1975: Safeguards to assure that economic status is not a deterrent to participation in family planning programs.

1977: Addition of infertility services, adolescent services, and natural family planning to a list of required services.

1981: Addition of language encouraging family participation in funded projects, and state government administration of service delivery.

1985: Addition of language permitting the Secretary of HHS to purchase family planning supplies and equipment at the request of grant recipients

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

The original 1971 regulations were substantially revised in 1973, primarily to add specific definitions of "low income family" and



to set forth detailed language to assist in calculating earned income, including detailed language setting forth the proper exclusions (e.g., child care expenses) from such income calculations.

The 1973 regulations were in turn substantially revised in 1980. The 1980 regulations dropped the detailed income language added in 1973 and adopted a more succinct definition of "low income." Also added in 1980 were provisions aimed at the orderly consolitation of grantee service areas and the creation of Advisory Committees for family planning information and education services.



# VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.217 FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

| <b>!</b>   | Total<br>Grants  | [(1)] |
|--|--|-------|
| United States  | \$138,039  |       |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Icwa Kansas | \$3,392<br>\$353<br>\$2,933<br>\$1,866<br>\$12,536<br>\$1,519<br>\$1,329<br>\$526<br>\$688<br>\$5,032<br>\$4,299<br>\$830<br>\$715<br>\$4,080<br>\$2,454<br>\$1,688<br>\$1,294 |       |
| Kentucky Louislana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey        | \$3,077<br>\$2,664<br>\$927<br>\$2,442<br>\$3,578<br>\$4,371<br>\$1,746<br>\$3,117<br>\$3,099<br>\$975<br>\$983<br>\$837<br>\$643<br>\$4,609                                   |       |
| New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota                           | \$1,091<br>\$8,325<br>\$4,258<br>\$412<br>\$5,636<br>\$2,125<br>\$1,794<br>\$7,127<br>\$333<br>\$3,209<br>\$473  | 1 1   |
| Tonnessee Texas Itah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico Virgin Islands                           | \$4,032<br>\$8,955<br>\$573<br>\$448<br>\$2,838<br>\$2,587<br>\$1,286<br>\$1,804<br>\$476<br>\$122<br>\$1,330<br>\$203   |       |

Data Sources: Office of Population Affairs.

(1) The number represents the total federal dollars received by all grantees in the state.



## VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 13.217 FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

| ,                      | Total              | 17451 |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| i                      | Grants             | (1)   |
| United States          | \$134,648          |       |
| Alabama                | \$3,367            |       |
| Alaska<br>Arizona      | \$335<br>i \$2,694 | 1 1   |
| Arkansas               | \$1,847            |       |
| Callfornia             | \$12,329           |       |
| Colorado               | \$1,191            |       |
| Connecticut            |                    |       |
| Delaware<br>D. C.      | \$521<br>\$445     | i i   |
| Fiorida                | \$4,915            |       |
| Georgia                | \$4,211            |       |
| Hawa Ti                | \$834              |       |
| idaho i                | \$666              |       |
| IIIInols<br>Indiana    | \$4,625            |       |
| lowa                   | \$2,198<br>\$1,343 |       |
| Kansas                 | \$1,234            |       |
| Kentucky               | \$2,907            |       |
| Louislana              | \$2,575            | 1     |
| Maine<br>Maryland      | \$902<br>\$2,344   | i i   |
| Massachusetts          | \$3,537            |       |
| Michigan               | \$4,578            |       |
| Minnesota              | \$1,357            |       |
| Mississippi            | \$3,002            | 1 1   |
| Missouri<br>Montana    | \$3,103<br>\$956   |       |
| Nebraska               | \$985              | 1 1   |
| Nevada                 | \$827              |       |
| New Hampshire          | \$606              |       |
| New Jersey             | \$4,491            | ! !   |
| New Mexico<br>New York | \$1,209<br>\$8,202 |       |
| N. Carolina            | \$4,100            | 1 1   |
| N. Dakota              | 1                  | .     |
| Ohlo                   | \$5,∠33            |       |
| Ok lahoma              | 1 \$1.848          |       |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania | \$1,816<br>\$7,070 | ii    |
| Rhode Island           | \$331              |       |
| S. Carolina            | \$3 104            | 1 !   |
| S. Dakota              | ↓ ,33              |       |
| Tennessee              | \$3,972            | 1     |
| Texas<br>Utah          | \$9,021<br>\$571   | 1     |
| Vermont                | \$436              |       |
| Virginia               | \$2,800            |       |
| Wash Ington            | \$2,487            |       |
| W. Virginia            | \$1,224            |       |
| Wisconsin              | \$1,855            |       |
| Wyoming<br>Guam        | \$475<br>\$122     |       |
| Puerto Rico            | \$1,380            |       |
| Virgin islands         | \$197              |       |
|                        |                    |       |

Data Sources: Office of Population Affairs.

(1) The number represents the total federal dollars received by all grantees in the state.



183

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.217 FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

|                               | Persons (1)                   |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| United States                 | 4,062,926                     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska             | 82,902<br>7,875               |
| Arizona                       | 80,652                        |
| Arkansas                      | 80,652<br>38,789              |
| California                    | 412,464                       |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut       | 47,037<br>62,785              |
| Delaware                      | 14,707                        |
| D. C.                         | } 9,086 ; ;                   |
| Flor Ida                      | 180,152                       |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii             | 157,386<br>9,577              |
| Tho                           | 23,804                        |
| lilinois                      | 98,011                        |
| Indiana                       | 64,126<br>76,597              |
| lowa<br>Kansas                | 46,166                        |
| Kentucky                      | 93,011<br>80,132              |
| Louislana                     | 80,132                        |
| Maine<br>Maryland             | 32,500                        |
| Massachusetts                 | 75,996    <br>86,191          |
| Michigan                      | 86,191<br>102,621             |
| Minnesota                     | 31,051                        |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri       | 90,979     85,852             |
| Moritana                      | ! 21.989 ! !                  |
| Nebraska                      | 26, 196<br>29, 782<br>22, 184 |
| Nevada                        | 29,782                        |
| New Hampshire  <br>New Jersey | 108,219                       |
| New Mexico                    | 20,188<br>221,346             |
| New York                      | 221,346                       |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota      | 121,131                       |
| Ohlo                          | 1 141.228 1 1                 |
| Oktahoma                      | 61,233                        |
| Oregon :                      | 49,387                        |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island  | 246,487<br>11,416             |
| S. Carolina                   | 103,875                       |
| S. Dakota ¦                   | 9,032                         |
| Tennessee                     | 179,497<br>241,334            |
| Texas<br>Utah                 | 10,435                        |
| Vermont                       | 8,611                         |
| Virginia ;                    | 81,615<br>89,716              |
| Wash ington :                 | 89.716                        |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin      | 58,032   43,086               |
| Wyoming ¦                     | 9,859 1                       |
| Guam                          | 1,275                         |
| Puerto Rico                   | 32,337                        |
| Virgin Islands                | 5,455                         |
|                               |                               |

Data Sources: Office of Population Affairs.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.217 FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

|   | Parsons<br>Served  | (1) |
|---|--|-----|
| United States   | 3,970,210  |     |
| United States  Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idahe Iiinols Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nobraska Nevada New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New York N. Carolina N. Carolina N. Carolina N. Carolina S. Dakota Onic Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Uteh Vermont | Served  3,970,210  84,811  7,139  72,489  41,984  400,074  42,178  60,612  16,163  8,785  180,753  144,924  8,589  22,558  100,580  57,211  57,780  45,690  95,393  79,559  31,508  78,360  82,969  103,192  28,632  90,865  82,969  103,192  28,632  90,865  42,602  26,196  26,196  26,473  21,072  109,652  24,130  217,784  139,492  11,290  143,776  59,757  47,953  238,994  10,058  100,560  8,545  169,248  265,968  9,644 |     |
| Virginia<br>Washimaton<br>W. Maa  | 8,532  <br>82,214  <br>89,953  <br>56,934  |     |
| W. Pila<br>Nisc<br>Wyon<br>Guar   | 56,934<br>48,573<br>9,128<br>3,066   |     |
| Puer co<br>Virgin slands  | 21,639   4,297   |     |

Data Scurces: Office of Population Affairs.

(1) Based on undup!!cated annual count.



## X. A. SEAR FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 18.217 FABRY PLANNING SERVICES

| <u> </u>   | ļ | Total  | (1) |
|--|---|--|-----|
| inites States  | - | \$34   |     |
| Alabasa Alabasa Alabasa Arizona Arkanses California Colorado Connecticut Calabase D. C. Florida Georgia Haxeli Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louislama Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan |   | \$34<br>\$45<br>\$36<br>\$36<br>\$48<br>\$30<br>\$32<br>\$21<br>\$36<br>\$27<br>\$37<br>\$27<br>\$30<br>\$42<br>\$33<br>\$28<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32<br>\$32 |     |
| Massichusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montaga Nebraksa Nevada New Hampshire Hew Jersoy Hew Makkico New York North Carolina North Dukota  |   | \$41<br>\$46<br>\$56<br>\$36<br>\$47<br>\$26<br>\$44<br>\$36<br>\$44<br>\$36<br>\$36<br>\$36   |     |
| Ohlo Ckichnea Oregya Pernsylvania Rhode island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Mashington R. Virginia Wisconsin  |   | \$40<br>\$25<br>\$26<br>\$29<br>\$31<br>\$52<br>\$37<br>\$55<br>\$22<br>\$37<br>\$48   |     |
| Guan<br>Fuerto Rico<br>Virgin (stande  |   | \$95<br>\$41<br>\$37   |     |

Bata Sources: Office of Population Affairs

(1) Bused on unduplicated armual count.



## X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 13.217 FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

|                                | <b>!</b> ! | }                    | !!  |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----|
|                                |            | Tctal                | (1) |
| United States                  |            | \$34                 |     |
| Alabama                        |            | \$40                 |     |
| Alaska                         |            | \$47                 |     |
| Artzona<br>Arkansas            |            | \$37<br>\$44         | 1   |
| Callfornia                     |            | \$31                 |     |
| Colorado                       |            | \$28                 |     |
| Connect lout                   |            | \$21                 |     |
| Delaware<br>D. C.              |            | \$32<br>\$51         |     |
| Florida                        |            | \$27                 |     |
| Georgia                        |            | \$29                 |     |
| Hawail<br>Idaho                | i i        | \$97<br><b>\$2</b> 9 |     |
| Illinois                       | 1 1        | \$46                 |     |
| Indiana                        |            | \$38                 | 1   |
| lowa                           |            | \$23                 |     |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky             |            | \$27<br>\$30         |     |
| Louislana                      |            | \$32                 |     |
| Ma Ine                         |            | \$29                 |     |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts      |            | \$30<br>\$42         |     |
| Michigan                       |            | \$44                 |     |
| Mirnesota                      |            | \$47                 |     |
| Mississippi                    |            | \$33                 |     |
| Micsouri<br>Montana            |            | \$38<br>\$44         |     |
| Nebraksa                       |            | \$38                 |     |
| Nevada                         |            | <b>\$</b> 31         |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey    | i i        | \$29                 |     |
| New Mexico                     |            | \$41<br>\$50         |     |
| New York                       |            | \$38                 |     |
| North Carolina<br>North Dakota |            | \$30                 |     |
| Onlo                           | İİ         | \$40<br>\$36         |     |
| Ok lahoma                      |            | \$31                 |     |
| Oregon                         |            | <b>\$</b> 38         |     |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island   |            | \$29<br><b>\$</b> 33 |     |
| S. Carolina                    |            | <b>\$</b> 31         |     |
| S. Dakota                      |            | \$51                 |     |
| Ternessee<br>Texas             |            | \$23<br>\$38         |     |
| Utah                           |            | <b>\$</b> 59         |     |
| Vermont                        |            | <b>\$</b> 51         |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington         |            | \$34                 |     |
| W. Virginia 🖠                  |            | \$28<br>\$21         |     |
| Wisconsin                      |            | \$38                 |     |
| <b>Wyom Ing</b>                |            | \$52                 |     |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico            |            | \$!14<br>\$64        |     |
| Virgin Islands                 |            | \$46                 |     |
|                                |            | -                    |     |

Data Sources: Office of Population Affairs.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



## XI. HISTORICAL DATA (In thousands) 13.217 FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Obligations  | Persons<br>Served   | (1) |
|--|--|---|-----|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1960 | \$142,500<br>\$140,000<br>\$124,088<br>\$124,176<br>\$161,671<br>\$162,000<br>\$135,000<br>\$135,000<br>\$113,615<br>\$100,615<br>\$100,615<br>\$100,615<br>\$61,815<br>\$61,000 | 4,063<br>3,970<br>3,300<br>3,800<br>3,800<br>3,500<br>3,500 |     |

Data Sources: Office of Population Affairs.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Title II-A of the Job Training Partnership Act provides federal funds to the states in a block grant for job training and related assistance to economically disadvantaged individuals and others who face significant employment barriers. The Title II-A programs are administered by the states and implemented through a partnership between the private sector and state and local governments. Operating under state approved plans and minimal federal requirements, the local service providers make eligibility determinations and have considerable discretion to set priorities in their provisions for training and support services.

In FY 1985, an estimated 1.1 million persons were served under 616 local Title II-A programs at a total federal cost of about \$1.7 billion. Funds are allocated to the states based on a three-part formula that measures an area's relative number of unemployed and economically disadvantaged persons. State allocations to local service providers are based on the same formula. The federal allocations to the states, however, are subject to certain minimums that do not apply to local service areas. The 1986 amendments to JTPA establish minimum levels for local service delivery areas.

The services provided are primarily classroom and on-the-job training, remedial education, and job search assistance designed to move trainees into permanent regular employment. Classroom trainees may receive needs-based payments with the amounts determined locally and on-the-job trainees are paid at rates at least equal to the federal minimum wage. Trainees may also receive transportation, meals, or temporary shelter to enable them to participate in the program.

Eligibility is limited, in general, to persons whose income does not exceed the federal poverty guidelines or, if higher, 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level. Also eligible are foster children, some handicapped adults, Food Stamp recipients, and recipients of cash assistance under other public programs. Up to 10 percent of the trainees may be persons who are not economically disadvantaged, but who face significant employment barriers, such as handicapped individuals, displaced homemakers, school dropouts, or teenage parents.

The direct predecessors of the Title II-A block grant and the Title II-B summer programs enacted in 1982 were authorized under the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 and the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973. In comparison to their predecessor programs, the Title II-A programs are much more decentralized with fewer administrative burdens on state and local planners and providers. In recent years, the Title II programs have increased their emphasis on remedial education to combat illiteracy.



### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Training Services for the Disadvantaged.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 17.250 Budget account number(s): 16-0174-0-1-504-00.01.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Job Training Partnership Act, Title II-A.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 20 CFR 629.
- E. Federal administering agency: Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; insular areas.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Counties; cities; school districts; and public agencies.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Federal funds are allocated to states by a formula based on a state's relative share of certain unemployment and demographic factors, as follows:

- One-third based on the state's share of unemployed residing in areas with unemployment in excess of 6.5 percent;
- o One-third based on the state's share of unemployed in excess of 4.5 percent;
- One-third based on the state's share of individuals with income below the poverty level or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level.

JTPA includes a floor and a hold-harmless provision that guarantees that no state shall receive less than one-quarter of one percent of the total amount allotted, and no less than 90 percent of the percentage it received the previous y ar.

States allocate funds to local service delivery areas using a similar formula. Funding is limited to annual appropriation by Congress.



I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

The Governor and his appointed state Job Training Coordinating Council plan and allocate funds to Service Delivery Areas. Local government is involved through representation on the Private Industry Councils (PICs). PICs are the organizational embodiment of the partnership of private and public resources in JTPA. Made up of representatives from private industry, state and local government, and community-based organizations, they exercise operational control of the Title II-A block grant and other JTPA programs.

J. Audit or quality control.

The federal government provides very broad standards for administrative efficiency. Costs are disallowed if they are not within the criteria for reimbursement.

### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

Programs are to provide job training and related assistance to economically disadvantaged individuals and others who face significant employment barriers. The goal is to move trainees into permanent, self-sustaining employment. Public service employment is prohibited.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Local Service Delivery Area staff decide local priorities. Administration and support services can comprise no more than 30 percent of program expenditures; 70 percent of block grant resources must be spent on training.

### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligi. lity for program benefits is determined.

Eligibility is determined for the individual.

B. Income eligibility standards.

At least 90 percent of these enrolled in Title II-A projects must be economically disadvantaged, meaning an individual who:

Receives, or is a member of a family which receives, cash welfare payments under a federal, state, or local welfare program;



- o Has, or is a member of a family which has, received a total family income for the six month period prior to application for the program involved (exclusive of unemployment compensation, child support payments, and welfare payments) which, in relation to family size, was not in excess of the higher of the federal poverty guidelines or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level;
- o Is receiving Food Stamps pursuant to the Food Stamp Act of 1977:
- o Is a foster child on behalf of whom state or local government payments are made;
- o In cases permitted by regulations of the Secretary, is an adult handicapped individual whose own income meets the requirements but who is a member of a family whose income does not meet such requirement.

Benefits from unemployment compensation are not counted against the income maximum, nor are child support payments. No other income exclusions are specified, but receipt of cash welfare or Food Stamps provides categorical eligibility.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

To qualify for participation, persons with incomes above the limits described above must face a special labor market barrier, such as a physical impairment. No more than ten percent of enrollees may qualify this way.

All male applicants born on or after January 1, 1960, must show proof of compliance with Section 3(a) of the Military Selective Service Act.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Referral by public agencies and voluntary application are the most common processes of program intake.

B. Program benefits or services.

Referrals to the training and employment components of the program are based on interviews and testing. Types of services



are determined at local level under overall guidance of local Private Industry Council.

Services include job search activities and workshops, classroom or on-the-job training, usually through community colleges or for-profit organizations, and work experience.

C. Duration of benefits.

Duration of service varies with the type and intensity of training provided. The average period of participation is 17 weeks. A person may participate more than once.

### VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Receipt of public assistance, including cash welfare and Food Stamps, categorically establishes that a person is economically disadvantaged. About 40 percent of JTPA Title II-A recipients receive some type of cash or non-cash public assistance at the time of their application. About half of these are recipients of AFDC.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Unemployment compensation, cash and non-cash welfare are not counted as income in determining eligibility.

Services within this program are generally not affected by changes in services of other programs.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

The Work Incentive Program (WIN) and other work programs under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act provide similar services to adult recipients of AFDC. Other programs under JTPA provide training and employment for subpopulations of Title II-A eligibles, such as elderly and youth. In addition, similar services may be provided to the same populations through Adult Basic Education and Vocational Education programs.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Employment and Productivity



### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Employment Opportunities

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Service, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

In the 1930s, after initial programs of relief for the unemployed, the Civilian Conservation Corps, Public Works Administration, and Works Progress Administration funded jobs in national parks and forests, building road and dams, and on projects aimed at creating jobs in small communities. These programs were phased out with the end of the Great Depression and the beginning of World War II.

For more than two decades no other major federal employment and training programs were created. But the first post-war years of high unemployment from 1958-1961 raised new concerns, resulting in the Manpower Development and Training Act (MDTA) of 1962. It was the first major federal effort to provide training (as opposed to direct job creation) for the unemployed. The program was initially aimed at unemployed workers with work experience who had lost their jobs because of automation, but it soon shifted to people who had few marketable skills. Heavy reliance was placed on institutional training, where participants were trained for particular jobs or in specific skills. In subsequent years, MDTA emphasized on-the-job training (OJT), in which employers were reimbursed for training costs.

The major programs of the mid-1960s were Neighborhood Youth Corps, and Job Corps, authorized by the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, and the Work Incentive (WIN) Program for AFDC recipients, authorized in 1967. These programs, designed at the federal level, had overlapping goals and served groups with limited work experience or skills. They were separately administered and relied on disparate delivery systems. The Neighborhood Youth Corps operated under the auspices of thousands



of separate contracts between the federal government and local operators.

Evaluations have raised doubt about the effectiveness of all these programs. Classroom training has been criticized because it failed to train workers for existing jobs and because participants' performance in their trained skills often was low. OJT subsidies were believed to do little to encourage private employers to train and hire disadvantaged workers, but subsidized employers who would have hired such workers anyway.

The Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA): These problems led to a major restructuring of manpower programs in the 1970s. The Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) of 1973 was the result. It consolidated some programs and transferred responsibility for administering and delivering these services to state and local governments. Federal grants were distributed to local "prime sponsors" -- state, county, or municipal governments or consortia -- to run local employment and training programs. These grants were used to provide training and support services to the unemployed and economically disadvantaged.

CETA included a number of different employment and training services, such as public service employment (PSE), classroom and on-the-job training, allowance payments, support services, and job search assistance. It targeted low income and minority workers and youth. Although conceived as a training program, early amendments made it a large, public job-creation program to counter the effects of a recession then underway.

CETA expanded in 1974 with the addition of two public-service employment programs, and in 1977 it increased further when a major new component, the Youth Employment and Demonstration Projects Act, was added. The latter was a \$1 billion effort to employ youth and keep them in school. As CETA grew, it became more controversial. Title VI was enacted to use public service employment to combat the high unemployment of the 1974-1975 recession. High levels of public employment were reached only in 1977-1978, however, when unemployment already had fallen appreciably.

The Private Sector Initiatives program was also added in 1978. It created local Private Industry ( acils (PICs) that were to become the cornerstone of the Job I ning Partnership Act.

The Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA): JTPA, which replaced CETA in 1982, consolidated many of the separate categorical programs into a large block grant, continued other programs, such as the Summer Youth Employment Program and the Job Corps, and created a separate program for assisting dislocated workers. Unlike CETA, which relied heavily on public sector employment, JTPA emphasizes skills training for private sector jobs and prohibits public-service employment (except for disadvantaged



youth during the summer). At least 70 percent of funds must be used for training. The remaining 30 percent may be used for supportive services, work experience stipends and administrative costs, but administrative costs must not exceed 15 percent. JTPA distributes funds to governors and gives locally elected officials and private representatives operating through local PICs authority to run the program.

Participation of youth and public assistance recipients is stressed in JTPA. Welfare recipients are to be served in proportion to their share of the eligible population 16 years of age and over in the service area of the program. At least 90 percent of participants must be from families who receive public assistance or whose income is less than 70 percent of the lower living standard income level. At least 40 percent of program funds must be spent on disadvantaged youth ages 16 through 21.

Amendments in 1986 established requirements for literacy training for youth participating in the Title II-B Summer Youth Employment Program, and a hold-harmless factor for substate allocations.



## VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 17.250 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

|                           | Benefits               | Administration  | Total   |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| United States             | \$1,337,020            | \$223,010   | \$1,560,020   |
| Alabama                   | \$35,872               | \$5,344   | \$41,216  |
| Alaska                    | \$3,314                | \$524   | \$3,838   |
| Ar Izona                  | \$12,887               | \$2,431   | \$15,318  |
| Arkansas                  | <b>\$13,283 !</b>      | \$2,064   | \$15,318<br>\$15,347  |
| California i              | \$135,265              | \$23,322  | \$158,587   |
| Colorado                  | <b>\$13,656</b> }      | \$2,272   | \$15,927  |
| Connecticut               | \$10,232               | \$2,272<br>\$1,786  | \$12,018  |
| Delaware                  | \$3,030                | <b>\$431  </b>  | \$3,461   |
| Dist. of Col.             | \$5,414                | \$527   | <b>\$5,940 *</b>  |
| Florida                   | \$56,345               | \$9,383   | <b>\$65,729</b>   |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii         | \$29,344               | \$4,631   | \$33,974  |
| Idaho                     | \$4,307                | \$755   | \$5,061   |
| Illinois                  | \$5,209                | \$978   | \$6,187   |
| Indiana                   | \$79,753<br>\$32,812   | \$12,652  | \$92,405  |
| Iowa                      | \$13,758               | \$5,628   | \$38,441  |
| Kansas                    | \$8,646                | \$2,282<br>\$1,30   | \$16,040  |
| Kentucky                  | \$27,376               | \$4,260   | \$9,949   |
| Louislana                 | \$27,609               | \$4,832   | \$31,636<br>\$32,440  |
| Malne i                   | \$5,982                | \$1,107   | \$7,088   |
| Maryland                  | \$17,689               | \$2,943   | \$20,631  |
| Massachusette             | \$27,079 !             | \$3,824   | \$30,903  |
| Michigan                  | \$72,107               | \$11,420  | \$83,527  |
| Minnesota                 | \$72,107<br>\$19,199   | <b>\$3,113  </b>  | \$22,312  |
| Mississippi               | i \$19,430 i           | <b>\$2,654</b>  | \$22,084  |
| Missouri                  | \$28,526               | <b>\$4,361 !</b>  | \$32,887  |
| Montana<br>Nebraska       | \$5,071                | \$866   | <b>\$5,936</b>  |
| Nevada                    | \$4,840                | \$772   | \$5,612   |
| New Hampsh e              | \$4,160                | \$847   | \$5,007   |
| New Jersey                | \$4,312<br>\$35,758    | \$592   | \$4,904   |
| New Mexico                | \$7,741                | \$5,825   | \$41,583  |
| New York                  | \$83,871               | \$1,442  <br>\$16,071   | \$9,183   |
| North Carolina            | \$27,662               | \$5,783   | \$99,942<br>\$33,445  |
| North Dakota              | \$3,631                | \$485   | \$4,116   |
| Ohlo                      | \$70,552               | \$12,971  | \$83,523  |
| Ok lahowa                 | \$16,104               | \$1,864   | \$17,969  |
| Oregon                    | ¦ \$17,691 ¦           | \$2,519   | \$20,210  |
| Pennsylvania              | \$65,047               | <b>\$11,815</b>   | \$76,861  |
| Rhode Island              | \$4,872                | \$873   | \$5,745   |
| South Carolina            | \$18,920               | \$2,917   | \$21,836  |
| South Dakota<br>Tennessee | \$2,940                | \$372   | \$3,312   |
| Texas                     | \$32,262               | \$4,783   | \$37,045  |
| Utah                      | \$75,709               | \$11,490  | \$87,199  |
| Vermont                   | \$6,692                | \$843   | \$7,535   |
| Virginia                  | \$3,325<br>\$20,623    | \$619   | \$3,944   |
| Washington                | \$27,448               | \$3,366   | \$23,989  |
| West Virginia             | \$15,552               | \$4,692<br>\$2,127  | \$32,140  |
| Wisconsin                 | \$29,723               | \$4,656   | \$17,679<br>\$34,380  |
| Wyoming                   | \$3,612                | \$597   | \$4,209   |
| Amer. Samoa               | \$257                  | \$73  | \$330   |
| Guan                      | \$829                  | \$387   | \$1,216   |
| N. Marlanas               | \$127                  | \$33  | \$160   |
| Puerto Rico               | \$38,327               | \$8,329   | \$46,656  |
| Trust Terr.               | \$0                    | \$0   | \$0   |
| Virgin Islands            | \$1,238                | i \$175 ¦   | <b>\$1,413</b>  |
| Data Courses Our          | rtar IV Status Reports | والمان وموادية وموادية ومواد المارية بالمحب بالأنفأ بجوب والمان | چې معر پې چې به مه اسه نور پوهمې ده جمليد ومنده هدخې <del>الاردي و</del> ست |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Reports.



<sup>(1)</sup> Data represent Program Year 1985, which ran from July 1 1985 through June 30, 1986.

## VIII. B. TOTAL FY 24 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 17.250 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

| i                        | Benefits                             | Administration       | Total                             |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| United States            | \$1,191,416                          | \$219,002            | \$1,410,418                       |
| Alabama<br>Alaska        | \$32,308<br>\$2,815                  | \$1,798<br>\$586     | \$37,106<br>\$3,400<br>\$17,126   |
| Ar Izona                 | \$14,283                             | \$2,843              | <b>\$13,466</b> ;                 |
| Arkansas                 | \$11,560                             | \$1,906              |                                   |
| California<br>Colorado   | \$119,113  <br>\$12,103              | \$23,573<br>\$2,235  | \$142,685<br>\$14,338<br>\$13,201 |
| Connecticut              | \$11,189                             | \$2,013              | \$13,201                          |
|                          | \$2,769                              | \$448                | \$3,217                           |
| Dist. of Col.            | \$4,806                              | \$823                | \$5,629                           |
|                          | \$44,483                             | \$8,344              | \$52,827                          |
| Georgia                  | \$44,483<br>\$22,359<br>\$4,719      | \$4,167              | \$26,526                          |
| Hawall<br>Idaho          | <b>\$5,145</b>                       | \$858<br>\$986       | \$5,577<br>\$6,132<br>\$70,397    |
| Illinois                 | \$59,193                             | \$11,204             | \$70,397                          |
| Indiana                  | \$31,795                             | \$5,387              | \$37,182                          |
| lowa                     | \$13,492                             | \$2,228              | \$15,720                          |
| Kansas                   | \$6,622                              | \$1,298              | \$7,920                           |
| Kentucky                 | \$23,818                             | \$4,666              | \$7,920<br>\$28,484               |
| Louisiana                | \$19,724                             | \$4,034              | \$23,758                          |
| Maine                    | \$5,270                              | \$1,105              | \$6,375                           |
| Maryland                 | \$15,999                             | \$3,542              | \$19,541                          |
| Massachusette            | \$24,843                             | \$4,946              | \$29,789                          |
| Michigan !               | \$66,298                             | \$11,796 \           | \$78,094                          |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi | \$18,409  <br>\$17,583  <br>\$22,587 | \$2,858  <br>\$2,441 | \$21,267<br>\$20,024              |
| Missouri                 | \$22,587                             | \$3,645              | \$28,232                          |
| Montana                  | \$4,343                              | \$620                | \$4,963                           |
| Nebraska                 | \$5,402                              | \$709                | \$6,111                           |
| Nevada                   | \$5,119                              | \$979                | \$6,098                           |
| New Hampshire            | \$2,366                              | \$647                | \$3,012 }                         |
| New Mexico               | \$35,276                             | \$6,277              | \$41,553                          |
|                          | \$8,012                              | \$1,267              | \$9,278                           |
| New York                 | \$83,437                             | \$17,580             | \$101,017                         |
| North Carolina           | \$25,881                             | \$5,448              | \$31,329                          |
| North Dakota             | \$3,215                              | \$542                | \$3,757                           |
| Ohlo                     | \$63,500                             | \$11,798             | \$75,298                          |
| Oklahoma                 | \$11,461                             | \$1,561              | \$13,022                          |
| Oregon                   | \$15,975                             | \$2,269              | \$18,243                          |
| Pennsylvania             | \$63,071                             | \$11,601             | \$74,672                          |
| Rhode Island             | \$5,280                              | \$1,085              | \$6,364                           |
| South Carolina           | \$20,851                             | \$3,225              | \$24,077                          |
| South Dakota             | <b>  \$2,507  </b>                   | \$486                | \$2,992                           |
| Tennessee                | \$27,454                             | \$4,677              | \$32,131                          |
| Texas                    | \$59,780                             | \$11,187             | \$70,968                          |
| Učah                     | \$6,272                              | \$951                | \$7,223                           |
| Vermont                  | \$2,742                              | \$577                | \$3,320                           |
| Virginia :               | \$22,270                             | \$3,446              | \$25,716                          |
| Washington               | \$23,505                             | \$4,216              | \$27,721                          |
| West Virginia            | \$12,367                             | \$2, i27             | \$14,494                          |
| Wisconsin :              | \$29,352                             | \$4,299              | \$33,652                          |
|                          | \$2,689                              | \$400                | \$3,089                           |
| Amer. Samoa              | \$286                                | \$69                 | \$355                             |
| Guam                     | \$635                                | \$259                | \$894                             |
| N. Marianas              | \$91                                 | \$16                 | \$107                             |
| Puerto Rico              | \$32,888                             | \$7,573              | \$40,462                          |
| Trust Terr.              | \$836                                | \$139                | \$975                             |
| Virgin Islands           | \$1,266                              | \$245                | \$1,512 }                         |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Reports.



200

<sup>(1)</sup> Data represent Program Year 1984, which ran from July 1, 1984 through June 30, 1985.

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) 17.250 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

| Martin words again also be ready about about toping annual | Part le Ipants   | Elderly      | Hand I capped |
|--|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| United States  | 1,075,416        | 14,397       | 36,591        |
| Alabama  | 26,557           | 173          | 643           |
| Alaska   | 1,997            | 1            | 53            |
| Ar Izona   | 10,525           | 81           | 509           |
| Arkansas   | 16,463           | 173          | 380           |
| Callfornia   | 90,669           | 1,737        | 3,766         |
| Co I orado   | 15,621           | 202          | 763           |
| Connecticut i  | 6,869            | 90           | 374           |
| De laware :  | 2,778            | 10           | 193           |
| D. C.  | 2,365            | 170          | 208           |
| Florida  | 46,896           | 821          | 1,775         |
| Georgia  | 46,896<br>22,720 | 267          | 640           |
| lawa 11  | 3,810            | 22           | 86            |
| Idaho  | 4,333            | 127          | 318           |
| Illinois   | 59,682           | 990          | 1,215         |
| Indiana i  | 59,682<br>20,594 | 71           | 539           |
| SWO  | 12,694           | 75           | 465           |
| (ansas   | 8,639            | 77           | 347           |
| (entucky   | 19,736           | 138          | 589           |
| oulsiana i   | 26,063           | 145          | 265           |
| la ine   | 5,262            | 479          | 266           |
| laryland   | 21,341<br>16,126 | 103          | 613           |
| lassachusetts  | 16, 126          | 237          | 891           |
| lich gan   | 55,562           | 815          | 2,345         |
| Innesota   | 24,127           | 416          | 949           |
| lississippi  | 19,790 !         | 87           | 507           |
| lisscuri<br>Iontana  | 22, 171          | 189          | 515           |
| lebraska   | 4,894            | 156          | 290           |
| evada  | 4,590            | 115          | 197           |
| ew Hampshire   | 2,754            | 37           | 181           |
| ew Jersey  | 2,740            | 29           | 171           |
| ew Mexico  | 20,780           | 221          | 583           |
| ew York  | 5,392            | 53           | 235           |
| . Carol Ina  | 70,434           | 1,046        | 2,256         |
| . Dakota   | 30,192           | 379          | 1,071         |
| hio  | 2,705<br>60,695  | 130          | 219           |
| klahoma  | 14,442           | 864          | 1,754         |
| regon  | 14,742           | 173          | 302           |
| ennsylvania  | 43,845           | 376  <br>278 | 623           |
| hode Island  | 2,454            | 23           | 1,088         |
| . Carolina   | 17,963           | 61           | 56            |
| . Dakota   | 4,484            | 47           | 382           |
| ennessee   | 29,814           | 297          | 179   835     |
| exas   | 60,334           | 593          | 1 000 1       |
| tah i  | 6,354            | 112          | 1,962         |
| ermont i   | 3,130            | 31           |               |
| Irginia i  | 9,168            | 214          | 171           |
| ush ington   | 20,601           | 221          | 627           |
| . Virğinia (   | 10,254           | 200          | 1,043   434   |
| lsconsin į   | 30,619           | 839          |               |
| /owting  | 2,672            | 14           | 2,041         |
| nerican Samoa  | 434              | 'ō !         | 123           |
| lam i  | 730              | 7 1          | 10 1          |
| orthern Marlanas   | 62               | ó İ          | 10            |
| erto Rico  | 25,026           | 162          | 3 1           |
| rgin Islands   | 672              | 104 j        | 171           |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Reports



<sup>(1)</sup> Represents unduplicated annual count for Program Year 1985, July 1, 1985 through June 30, 1986.

## IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS (1) 17.250 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

|   | <br> Part c pants  | Elderly  | Hand I capped  |
|---|--|--|--|
| United States   | 917,991  | 11,896   | 31,839   |
| United States  Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Fiorida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada                 | 917, 991  24, 198 1, 704 10, 316 19, 148 65, 612 12, 937 7, 866 2, 469 23, 852 17, 721 2, 497 4, 332 49, 707 27, 022 11, 260 7, 592 22, 406 16, 952 5, 016 17, 590 16, 413 52, 246 21, 735 17, 939 19, 266 3, 109 4, 776 2, 935                                | 11,896<br>123<br>25<br>71<br>157<br>1,446<br>176<br>114<br>40<br>805<br>99<br>20<br>92<br>640<br>43<br>106<br>75<br>150<br>102<br>374<br>241<br>246<br>666<br>304<br>94<br>182<br>120<br>173<br>56 | 31,839  561 555 518 309 3,330 689 474 231 179 1,401 593 80 293 1,015 470 579 264 541 250 252 606 855 1,964 749 262 447 271 219 0   |
| New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming American Samoa Guam Northern Marianas Puerto Rico Virgin Islands | 2,535<br>1,538<br>17,607<br>6,027<br>69,416<br>27,134<br>2,458<br>54,964<br>73,410<br>13,537<br>40,071<br>2,736<br>20,396<br>3,278<br>25,694<br>43,203<br>0,354<br>2,852<br>17,511<br>18,843<br>8,803<br>29,069<br>1,828<br>431<br>863<br>103<br>22,770<br>542 | 16<br>263<br>40<br>914<br>196<br>117<br>985<br>109<br>216<br>264<br>31<br>76<br>19<br>268<br>393<br>89<br>23<br>190<br>201<br>122<br>601<br>9<br>0<br>14   | 75<br>619<br>214<br>1,344<br>935<br>163<br>1,339<br>286<br>653<br>1,082<br>68<br>440<br>138<br>727<br>1,225<br>355<br>134<br>691<br>977<br>554<br>1,974<br>88<br>1,974<br>88 |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Reports



196

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents unduplicated annual count for Program Year 1984, July 1, 1985 through June 30, 1985.

# X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (!) 17.250 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

| United States \$1,243 \$777 \$1,451 \$  |                | Benefits               | Administration | Total              |
|---|----------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Alaska \$1,660 \$262 \$1,922 Arkansas \$1,224 \$231 \$1,455 \$392 Call Fornia \$1,492 \$257 \$1,749 Colorado \$874 \$145 \$1,000 Connecticut \$1,490 \$260 \$1,750 Delaware \$1,091 \$155 \$1,246 Colorado \$1,492 \$257 \$1,749 Colorado \$1,492 \$260 \$1,750 Delaware \$1,091 \$155 \$1,246 Colorado \$1,201 \$2,289 \$223 \$2,512 Florida \$1,201 \$200 \$1,402 Georgia \$1,292 \$204 \$1,495 Hawail \$1,300 \$198 \$1,328 Idaho \$1,202 \$226 \$1,428 Illinois \$1,336 \$212 \$1,548 Indiana \$1,109 \$1,000 \$1,202 \$1,400 \$1,000 \$  | United States  | \$1,243                | \$207          | \$1,451            |
| Arlansas   \$1,224   \$231   \$1,455   \$392   \$261   \$1,790   \$125   \$3932   \$261   \$1,492   \$257   \$3,749   \$260   \$3,749   \$260   \$3,750   \$1,490   \$260   \$3,750   \$1,266   \$1,750   \$1,490   \$260   \$3,750   \$1,266   \$1,500   \$1,490   \$260   \$3,750   \$1,266   \$1,500   \$1,490   \$260   \$3,750   \$1,266   \$1,501   \$1,201   \$1,200   \$1,402   \$2,289   \$2,23   \$2,512   \$1,246   \$1,201   \$2000   \$3,402   \$2,601   \$1,492   \$2,290   \$1,492   \$1,495   \$1,494   \$1,330   \$1,938   \$3,328   \$1,496   \$1,300   \$1,300   \$1,398   \$3,328   \$1,496   \$1,109   \$1,109   \$1,990   \$1,492   \$1,498   \$1,110   \$1,336   \$3,212   \$3,548   \$1,048   \$1,094   \$1,094   \$1,990   \$1,492   \$1,498   \$1,094   \$1,094   \$1,990   \$1,492   \$1,498   \$1,094   \$1,990   \$1,495   \$1,990   \$1,495   \$1,990   \$1,495   \$1,990   \$1,495   \$1,990   \$1,495   \$1,990   \$  |                |                        |                |                    |
| Arkansas \$807 \$125 \$932 Callfornla \$1,492 \$257 \$1,749 Colorado \$874 \$145 \$1,020 Connecticut \$1,490 \$260 \$1,750 Delaware \$1,091 \$155 \$1,246 Dist. of Col. \$2,289 \$223 \$2,512 Florida \$1,201 \$200 \$1,402 Georgia \$1,292 \$204 \$1,495 Hawail \$1,130 \$198 \$1,328 Hawail \$1,130 \$198 \$1,328 Hawail \$1,130 \$198 \$1,328 Hawail \$1,130 \$198 \$1,328 Hawail \$1,130 \$198 \$1,328 Hawail \$1,130 \$198 \$1,328 Hawail \$1,109 \$1,90 \$1,299 \$1,000 \$1,402 \$266 \$1,428 Holman \$1,099 \$1,999 \$1,299 \$1,000 \$1,299 \$1,299 \$1,200 \$1,245 \$1,000 \$1,245 \$1,000 \$1,245 \$1,000 \$1,245 \$1,245 \$1,000 \$1,245 \$ |                | \$1,224                | \$231          | \$1,522            |
| California \$1,492 \$257 \$1,749 Colorado \$874 \$145 \$1,000 Connecticut \$1,490 \$260 \$1,750 Delaware \$1,091 \$155 \$1,246 \$1,001 Dist. of Col. \$2,289 \$223 \$2,512 Flor Ida \$1,201 \$200 \$1,402 Georgia \$1,292 \$204 \$1,495 Hawaii \$1,130 \$1,98 \$1,328 Hawaii \$1,130 \$1,98 \$1,328 Hawaii \$1,130 \$1,98 \$1,328 Hawaii \$1,130 \$1,98 \$1,328 Hawaii \$1,100 \$1,202 \$226 \$1,428 Holdaho \$1,202 \$226 \$1,428 Holdaho \$1,202 \$226 \$1,428 Holdaho \$1,004 \$1  |                | \$807                  |                | \$932              |
| Connect   S1,490   \$260   \$1,750   Delaware   \$1,091   \$155   \$1,246   Delaware   \$1,091   \$155   \$1,246   Delaware   \$1,091   \$155   \$1,246   Delaware   \$1,091   \$200   \$1,402   Secrgla   \$1,292   \$204   \$1,495   Hawall   \$1,300   \$198   \$1,328   Idaho   \$1,202   \$226   \$1,428   Illinois   \$1,336   \$212   \$1,548   Indiana   \$1,109   \$190   \$1,299   Iowa   \$1,093   \$190   \$1,299   Iowa   \$1,094   \$1,800   \$1,299   Iowa   \$1,094   \$1,800   \$1,299   Iowa   \$1,094   \$1,800   \$1,299   Iowa   \$1,094   \$1,807   \$216   \$1,603   Louislana   \$1,059   \$185   \$1,603   Louislana   \$1,059   \$185   \$1,603   Louislana   \$1,137   \$210   \$1,347   Maryland   \$829   \$138   \$967   Massachusette   \$1,679   \$237   \$1,916   Michigan   \$1,288   \$206   \$1,503   Milmesota   \$796   \$129   \$925   Michigan   \$1,288   \$206   \$1,503   Milmesota   \$1,287   \$1,916   Missispip   \$362   \$134   \$1,116   Missour   \$1,287   \$1,97   \$1,483   Mohtana   \$1,059   \$1,483   \$1,116   Missour   \$1,287   \$1,97   \$1,483   Mohtana   \$1,054   \$1,898   \$1,223   Nevada   \$1,510   \$308   \$1,818   Missispip   \$362   \$134   \$1,116   Missour   \$1,287   \$1,97   \$1,483   Mohtana   \$1,054   \$1,898   \$1,223   New Mary Maryland   \$1,287   \$1,97   \$1,483   Mohtana   \$1,054   \$1,898   \$1,223   New Maryland   \$1,287   \$1,990   \$1,491   North Carollina   \$1,287   \$1,990   \$1,491   North Carollina   \$1,287   \$1,990   \$1,491   North Carollina   \$1,484   \$2,69   \$1,703   \$1,990   New Morth Carollina   \$1,620   \$1,129   \$1,244   \$1,790   New Morth Carollina   \$1,620   \$1,491   \$1,271   \$1,371   North Carollina   \$1,620   \$1,491   \$1,275   \$1,290   \$1,445   \$1,191   \$1,275   \$1,290   \$1,445   \$1,191   \$1,275   \$1,290   \$1,445   \$1,191   \$1,295   \$1,244   \$1,790   \$1,244   \$1,790   \$1,244   \$1,790   \$1,244   \$1,295   \$1,244   \$1,295   \$1,244   \$1,295   \$1,24  |                |                        |                | \$1,749            |
| Delaware  |                |                        |                | \$1,020            |
| Dist. of Col.   \$2,288   \$223   \$2,512   |                |                        |                | i \$1,/50 i i      |
| Flor Ida  |                | \$2,289                |                |                    |
| Hamai   |                | \$1,201 <b> </b>       | \$200          | \$1,402            |
| Italino   |                |                        |                | <b>\$1,495</b>   1 |
|   |                | i \$1,130              |                | \$1,328            |
| Indiana   |                | \$1,202                | \$220<br>\$212 | i \$1,428 i i      |
| Dowa  |                |                        |                | \$1,299            |
| Kansas         \$995         \$150         \$1,145           Kentucky         \$1,387         \$216         \$1,603           LouIslana         \$1,059         \$185         \$1,245           Malne         \$1,137         \$210         \$1,347           Maryland         \$829         \$138         \$967           Massachusette         \$1,679         \$237         \$1,916           Michilgan         \$1,288         \$206         \$1,503           Minnesota         \$796         \$129         \$925           Mississippoi         \$882         \$134         \$1,116           Missouri         \$1,287         \$197         \$1,483           Montana         \$1,036         \$177         \$1,213           Mebraska         \$1,054         \$168         \$1,223           New Jacro         \$1,510         \$308         \$1,818           New Hampshire         \$1,574         \$216         \$1,790           New Jersey         \$1,721         \$280         \$2,001           New Hexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New Hork         \$1,191         \$228         \$1,419           North Dakota         \$1,342         \$179   |                | \$1,084                |                |                    |
| Louislana \$1,059 \$185 \$1,245   Maine \$1,137 \$210 \$1,347   Maryland \$829 \$138 \$967   Massachusette \$1,679 \$237 \$1,916   Michigan \$1,258 \$206 \$1,503   Minnesota \$796 \$129 \$925   Mississippl \$982 \$134 \$1,116   Missouri \$1,287 \$197 \$1,483   Montana \$1,036 \$177 \$1,213   Nebraska \$1,036 \$177 \$1,213   Nebvada \$1,510 \$308 \$1,818   New Hampshire \$1,574 \$216 \$1,790   New Jersey \$1,721 \$280 \$2,001   New Mexico \$1,436 \$267 \$1,703   New York \$1,191 \$228 \$1,419   North Dakota \$1,342 \$179 \$1,222   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,162 \$214 \$1,376   Ok lahoma \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244   Oregon \$1,200 \$1,11 \$1,371 \$1                  |                |                        |                | <b>\$1,145</b>     |
| Malne         \$1,137         \$210         \$1,347           Maryland         \$829         \$138         \$967           Massachusette         \$1,679         \$237         \$1,666           Michigan         \$1,28         \$206         \$1,503           Milniesota         \$796         \$129         \$925           Mississippi         \$982         \$134         \$1,116           Missouri         \$1,287         \$197         \$1,283           Montana         \$1,036         \$177         \$1,213           Mortana         \$1,036         \$177         \$1,213           Nebraska         \$1,054         \$168         \$1,223           Nevada         \$1,510         \$308         \$1,818           New Hampshire         \$1,574         \$216         \$1,790           New Jersey         \$1,721         \$280         \$2,001           New Hexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New York         \$1,191         \$228         \$1,419           North Dakota         \$1,342         \$179         \$1,522           Ohio         \$1,162         \$214         \$1,376           Ok Iahoma         \$1,155         \$129   |                |                        |                | \$1,603            |
| Maryland         \$829         \$138         \$967           Massachusette         \$1,679         \$237         \$1,916           Michigan         \$1,298         \$206         \$1,503           Minnesota         \$796         \$129         \$925           Mississippi         \$982         \$134         \$1,116           Missouri         \$1,287         \$197         \$1,483           Montana         \$1,036         \$177         \$1,213           Nebraska         \$1,054         \$168         \$1,223           Nevada         \$1,510         \$308         \$1,818           New Hampshire         \$1,574         \$216         \$1,790           New Hexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New Hexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New York         \$1,191         \$228         \$1,419           North Carolina         \$916         \$192         \$1,108           North Dakota         \$1,342         \$179         \$1,522           Ohlo         \$1,62         \$214         \$1,376           Oklahoma         \$1,155         \$129         \$1,244           Oregon         \$1,200         \$1711  |                |                        |                |                    |
| Massachusette   | Maryland       |                        |                | \$967              |
| Minnesota \$796 \$129 \$925 Mississippi \$982 \$134 \$1,116 Missouri \$1,287 \$197 \$1,483 Montana \$1,036 \$177 \$1,213 Nebraska \$1,054 \$168 \$1,223 Nevada \$1,510 \$308 \$1,818 New Hampshire \$1,574 \$216 \$1,790 New Mexico \$1,436 \$267 \$1,700 New Mexico \$1,436 \$267 \$1,700 New Mexico \$1,436 \$267 \$1,700 New Mexico \$1,436 \$267 \$1,700 New Mexico \$1,436 \$267 \$1,700 New Mexico \$1,436 \$267 \$1,700 New York \$1,191 \$228 \$1,419 North Carolina \$916 \$192 \$1,108 North Dakota \$1,342 \$179 \$1,522 Ohlo \$1,162 \$214 \$1,376 Okiahoma \$1,115 \$129 \$1,244 Oregon \$1,200 \$1711 \$1,371 Pennsylvania \$1,484 \$269 \$1,753 Rhode Island \$1,484 \$269 \$1,753 Rhode Island \$1,985 \$356 \$2,341 South Carolina \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$5,656 \$83 \$739 Tennessee \$1,082 \$160 \$1,243 Texas \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$162 \$1,216 South Dakota \$1,053 \$160 \$1,243 Texas \$1,053 \$160 \$1,243 Texas \$1,053 \$160 \$1,243 Texas \$1,053 \$1,053 \$160 \$1,243 Texas \$1,053 \$1                                       |                | \$1,679                | \$237          | \$1,916            |
| Mississippi   |                |                        |                |                    |
| Missouri  |                |                        |                |                    |
| Montana         \$1,036         \$177         \$1,213           Nebraska         \$1,054         \$168         \$1,223           Nevada         \$1,510         \$308         \$1,818           New Hampshire         \$1,574         \$216         \$1,790           New Hampshire         \$1,771         \$280         \$2,001           New Hexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New Mexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New York         \$1,191         \$228         \$1,419           North Carolina         \$916         \$192         \$1,108           North Dakota         \$1,342         \$179         \$1,522           Ohio         \$1,162         \$214         \$1,376           Ok lahoma         \$1,115         \$129         \$1,244           Oregon         \$1,200         \$171         \$1,371           Pennsylvania         \$1,484         \$269         \$1,753           Rhode Island         \$1,985         \$356         \$2,341           South Dakota         \$1,985         \$356         \$2,341           South Dakota         \$1,082         \$160         \$1,243           Texas         \$1,245  | Missouri       |                        |                |                    |
| Nebraska         \$1,054         \$168         \$1,223           Nevada         \$1,510         \$308         \$1,818           New Hampshire         \$1,574         \$216         \$1,790           New Jersey         \$1,721         \$280         \$2,001           New Mexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New York         \$1,191         \$228         \$1,419           North Carolina         \$916         \$192         \$1,108           North Dakota         \$1,342         \$179         \$1,522           Ohlo         \$1,162         \$214         \$1,376           Oklahoma         \$1,115         \$129         \$1,244           Oregon         \$1,200         \$171         \$1,371           Pennsylvania         \$1,484         \$269         \$1,753           Rhode Island         \$1,985         \$356         \$2,341           South Dakota         \$656         \$83         \$739           Tennessee         \$1,053         \$162         \$1,216           South Dakota         \$656         \$83         \$739           Tennessee         \$1,052         \$190         \$1,445           Utah         \$1,053         \$136<   | <b>Montana</b> |                        |                | \$1,213            |
| New Hampshire         \$1,574         \$216         \$1,790           New Jersey         \$1,721         \$280         \$2,001           New Mexico         \$1,436         \$267         \$1,703           New York         \$1,191         \$228         \$1,419           North Carolina         \$916         \$192         \$1,108           North Dakota         \$1,342         \$179         \$1,522           Ohio         \$1,162         \$214         \$1,376           Oklahoma         \$1,115         \$129         \$1,244           Oregon         \$1,200         \$171         \$1,371           Pennsylvania         \$1,484         \$269         \$1,753           Rhode Island         \$1,985         \$356         \$2,341           South Carolina         \$1,083         \$162         \$1,216           South Dakota         \$656         \$83         \$739           Tennessee         \$1,082         \$160         \$1,243           Texas         \$1,255         \$190         \$1,445           Utah         \$1,062         \$198         \$1,260           Virginia         \$2,249         \$367         \$2,617           Washington         \$1,352 <t< td=""><td></td><td>\$1,054</td><td>\$168</td><td></td></t<>   |                | \$1,054                | \$168          |                    |
| New Jersey   \$1,721   \$280   \$2,001   New Mexico   \$1,436   \$267   \$1,703   New York   \$1,191   \$228   \$1,419   North Carolina   \$916   \$192   \$1,108   North Dakota   \$1,342   \$179   \$1,522   Ohlo   \$1,162   \$214   \$1,376   Oklahoma   \$1,115   \$129   \$1,244   Oregon   \$1,200   \$171   \$1,371   Pennsylvania   \$1,484   \$269   \$1,753   Rhode Island   \$1,985   \$356   \$2,341   South Carolina   \$1,053   \$162   \$1,216   South Dakota   \$656   \$83   \$739   Tennessee   \$1,082   \$160   \$1,243   Texas   \$1,053   \$133   \$1,485   Utah   \$1,053   \$133   \$1,485   Utah   \$1,053   \$133   \$1,485   Utah   \$1,053   \$133   \$1,485   Utah   \$1,053   \$133   \$1,186   Vermont   \$1,062   \$198   \$1,260   Virginia   \$2,249   \$367   \$2,617   Washington   \$1,332   \$228   \$1,560   West Virginia   \$1,517   \$207   \$1,724   Wisconsin   \$971   \$152   \$1,23   oming   \$1,352   \$223   \$1,575   Amer Samoa   \$593   \$168   \$761   Guam   \$1,135   \$531   \$1,666   N. Marlanas   \$2,046   \$529   \$2,575   |                |                        |                | \$1,818            |
| New Mexico  |                |                        |                |                    |
| New York  | New Mexico     |                        |                |                    |
| North Carolina   \$916   \$192   \$1,108   North Dakota   \$1,342   \$179   \$1,522   Ohlo   \$1,162   \$214   \$1,376   Oklahoma   \$1,115   \$129   \$1,244   Oregon   \$1,200   \$171   \$1,371   Pennsylvania   \$1,484   \$269   \$1,753   Rhode Island   \$1,985   \$356   \$2,341   South Carolina   \$1,053   \$162   \$1,216   South Dakota   \$656   \$83   \$739   Tennessee   \$1,082   \$160   \$1,243   Texas   \$1,255   \$190   \$1,445   Utah   \$1,053   \$133   \$1,186   Vermont   \$1,062   \$198   \$1,260   Virginia   \$2,249   \$367   \$2,617   Washington   \$1,332   \$228   \$1,560   West Virginia   \$1,517   \$207   \$1,724   Wisconsin   \$971   \$152   \$1,123   oming   \$1,352   \$223   \$1,575   Amer Samoa   \$593   \$168   \$761   Guam   \$1,135   \$531   \$1,666   N. Marlanas   \$2,046   \$529   \$2,575  | New York       | \$1,191                | \$228          | \$1,419            |
| Ohlo         \$1,162         \$214         \$1,376           Ok lahoma         \$1,115         \$129         \$1,244           Oregon         \$1,200         \$171         \$1,371           Pennsylvania         \$1,484         \$269         \$1,753           Rhode Island         \$1,985         \$356         \$2,341           South Carolina         \$1,053         \$162         \$1,216           South Dakota         \$656         \$83         \$739           Tennessee         \$1,082         \$160         \$1,243           Texas         \$1,255         \$190         \$1,445           Utah         \$1,053         \$133         \$1,186           Vermont         \$1,062         \$198         \$1,260           Virginia         \$2,249         \$367         \$2,617           Washington         \$1,332         \$228         \$1,560           West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           oming         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           N. Marianas         \$2,046         \$529   | North Carolina |                        |                | \$1,108            |
| Ok lahoma         \$1,115         \$129         \$1,244           Oregon         \$1,200         \$171         \$1,371           Pennsylvania         \$1,484         \$269         \$1,753           Rhode Island         \$1,985         \$356         \$2,341           South Carolina         \$1,053         \$162         \$1,216           South Dakota         \$656         \$83         \$739           Tennessee         \$1,082         \$160         \$1,243           Texas         \$1,255         \$190         \$1,445           Utah         \$1,053         \$133         \$1,186           Vermont         \$1,062         \$198         \$1,260           Virginia         \$2,249         \$367         \$2,617           Washington         \$1,332         \$228         \$1,560           West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           omlng         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529   |                |                        |                | \$1,522            |
| Oregon         \$1,200         \$171         \$1,371           Pennsylvania         \$1,484         \$269         \$1,753           Rhode Island         \$1,985         \$356         \$2,341           South Carolina         \$1,053         \$162         \$1,216           South Dakota         \$656         \$83         \$739           Tennessee         \$1,082         \$160         \$1,243           Texas         \$1,255         \$190         \$1,445           Utah         \$1,053         \$133         \$1,186           Vermont         \$1,062         \$198         \$1,260           Virginia         \$2,249         \$367         \$2,617           Washington         \$1,332         \$228         \$1,560           West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           omlng         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529         \$2,575   |                | \$1,102 ;<br>\$1,115 ! |                | \$1,376            |
| Pennsylvania  | Oregon !       | \$1,200                |                |                    |
| South Carolina   S1,985   S356   S2,341   South Carolina   S1,053   S162   S1,216   South Dakota   S656   S83   S739   Tennessee   S1,082   S160   S1,243   Texas   S1,255   S190   S1,445   Utah   S1,053   S133   S1,186   Vermont   S1,062   S198   S1,260   Virginia   S2,249   S367   S2,617   Washington   S1,332   S228   S1,560   West Virginia   S1,517   S207   S1,724   Wisconsin   S971   S152   S1,123   Oming   S1,352   S223   S1,575   Amer. Samoa   S593   S168   S761   Guam   S1,135   S531   S1,666   N. Marlanas   S2,046   S529   S2,575  | Pennsylvania   | \$1,484                | \$269          | \$1,753            |
| South Dakota         \$656         \$83         \$739           Tennessee         \$1,082         \$160         \$1,243           Texas         \$1,255         \$190         \$1,445           Utah         \$1,053         \$133         \$1,186           Vermont         \$1,062         \$198         \$1,260           Virginia         \$2,249         \$367         \$2,617           Washington         \$1,332         \$228         \$1,560           West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           oming         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529         \$2,575   | KNOOD ISTANO ; | \$1,985                |                | \$2,341            |
| Tennessee \$1,082 \$160 \$1,243 Texas \$1,255 \$190 \$1,445 Utah \$1,053 \$133 \$1,186 Vermont \$1,062 \$198 \$1,260 Virginia \$2,249 \$367 \$2,617 Washington \$1,332 \$228 \$1,560 West Virginia \$1,517 \$207 \$1,724 Wisconsin \$971 \$152 \$1,123 oming \$1,352 \$223 \$1,575 Amer. Samoa \$593 \$168 \$761 Guam \$1,135 \$531 \$1,666 N. Marlanas \$2,046 \$529 \$2,575   |                | \$1,053                |                |                    |
| Texas   |                |                        |                |                    |
| Utan         \$1,053         \$133         \$1,186           Vermont         \$1,062         \$198         \$1,260           Virginia         \$2,249         \$367         \$2,617           Washington         \$1,332         \$228         \$1,560           West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           oming         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529         \$2,575   |                | \$1,255 <b> </b>       |                |                    |
| Virginia         \$2,249         \$367         \$2,617           Washington         \$1,332         \$228         \$1,560           West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           oming         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529         \$2,575  |                |                        |                | \$1,186            |
| Washington         \$1,332         \$228         \$1,560           West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           oming         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529         \$2,575   |                | \$1,062                |                |                    |
| West Virginia         \$1,517         \$207         \$1,724           Wisconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           oming         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529         \$2,575  |                |                        |                |                    |
| WIsconsin         \$971         \$152         \$1,123           om Ing         \$1,352         \$223         \$1,575           Amer. Samoa         \$593         \$168         \$761           Guam         \$1,135         \$531         \$1,666           N. Marlanas         \$2,046         \$529         \$2,575   | West Virginia  |                        |                | \$1,724            |
| Amer. Samoa \$593 \$168 \$761 \$  Guam \$1,135 \$531 \$1,666 \$  N. Marlanas \$2,046 \$529 \$2,575  | Wisconsin ;    | <b>\$971  </b>         | \$152          | \$1,123            |
| Guam \$1,135 \$531 \$1,656 N. Marlanas \$2,046 \$529 \$2,575  |                |                        |                | \$1,575            |
| N. Marianas   \$2,046   \$529   \$2,575   |                | \$593                  |                |                    |
| Principle Mine  |                | \$2.046                |                |                    |
|   | Puerto Rico    | \$1,531                | \$333          | \$1,864            |
| Virgin Islands   \$1,842   \$261   \$2,103  | virgin Islands | \$1,842                |                |                    |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Reports.



<sup>(1)</sup> Data represent Program Year 1985, which ran from July 1, 1985 through June 30, 1986. Spending from Table VIII.A. Was divided by participants from Table IX.A.

## X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 17.250 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

|                           | Benefits           | Administration   | Total                |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| United States             | \$1,298            | \$239            | \$1,536              |
| Alabama<br>Alaska         | \$1,335<br>\$1,652 | \$198<br>\$344   | \$1,533<br>\$1,996   |
| Arizona                   | \$1,385            | \$276            | \$1,660              |
| Arkansas                  | \$604              | \$100            | \$703                |
| Callfornia                | \$1,815            | \$359            | \$2,175              |
| Colorado                  | \$936              | \$173            | \$1,108              |
| Connecticut               | \$1,422            | \$256            | \$1,678              |
| Delaware                  | \$1,047 <b> </b>   | \$169            | \$1,678<br>\$1,216   |
| Dist. of Col.             | <b>\$1,946</b>     | \$333            | \$2,280              |
| Florida (                 | \$1,865            | \$350            | \$2,215              |
| Georgia                   | \$1,262            | \$235            | \$1,497              |
| Hawa II                   | \$1,890            | \$343            | \$2,233              |
| Idaho                     | \$1,188            | \$228            | \$1,415              |
| no s                      | \$1,191            | \$225            | \$1,416              |
| Indiana ;<br>Iowa ;       | \$1,177            | \$199<br>\$198   | \$1,376  <br>\$1,396 |
| Kansas                    | \$1,198<br>\$872   | \$171            | \$1,043              |
| Kentucky                  | \$1,063            | 208              | \$1,271              |
| Louisiana                 | \$1,164            | \$238            | \$1,401              |
| Walne                     | \$1,051            | \$220            | \$1,271              |
| Maryland                  | \$910              | \$201            | \$1,111              |
| Massachusette             | \$1,514            | \$301            | \$1,815              |
| Michigan                  | \$1,269            | \$226            | \$1,495              |
| Minnesota                 | \$847              | <b>\$131  </b>   | \$978                |
| Mississippi               | \$980              | <b>\$136  </b>   | \$1,116              |
| Missouri                  | \$1,172            | \$189            | \$1,362              |
| Montana !                 | \$1,397            | \$199            | \$1,596              |
| <b>Ne</b> braska          | \$1,131            | \$149            | \$1,280              |
| Nevada                    | \$1,744            | \$333            | \$2,078              |
| New Hampshire             | \$1,538            | \$420            | \$1,959              |
| New Jersey<br>Ner: Mexico | \$2,003<br>\$1,329 | \$357<br>\$210   | \$2,360<br>\$1,539   |
| New York                  | \$1,202            | \$253            | \$1,455              |
| North Carolina            | \$954              | \$201            | \$1,155              |
| North Dakota              | \$1,308            | \$221            | \$1,529              |
| Ohlo                      | \$1,155            | \$215            | \$1,370              |
| Ok lahoma                 | \$855              | \$116            | \$971                |
| 0regon                    | \$1,180            | \$168            | \$1,348              |
| Pennsylvania ¦            | <b>\$1,574</b>     | \$290            | \$1,863              |
| Rhode Island              | \$1,930            | \$396            | \$2,326              |
| South Carolina            | \$1,022            | \$158            | \$1,180              |
| South Dakota              | \$765              | \$148            | \$913                |
| Tennessee                 | \$1,069            | \$182            | \$1,251              |
| Texas<br>Utah             | \$1,384<br>\$987   | \$259  <br>\$150 | \$1,643  <br>\$1,137 |
| Vermont                   | \$962              | \$202            | \$1,164              |
| Virginia                  | \$1,272            | \$197            | \$1,469              |
| Washington                | \$1,247            | \$224            | \$1,471              |
| West Virginia             | \$1,405            | \$242            | \$1,646              |
| Wisconsiñ ¦               | \$1,010            | \$148            | \$1,158              |
| Wyom Ing                  | \$1,471            | \$219            | \$1,690              |
| Amer. Samoa ¦             | \$664              | \$159            | <b>\$824</b>   }     |
| Guam                      | \$736              | \$300            | \$1,036              |
| N. Marlanas               | \$884              | \$152            | \$1,035              |
| Puerto Rico               | \$1,444            | \$333            | \$1,777              |
| Virgin Islands            | \$2,337            | <b>\$452</b>     | \$2,789              |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Reports.



<sup>(1)</sup> Data represent Program Year 1984, which ran from July 1, 1984 through June 30, 1985. Spending from Table VIII.B. was divided by participants from Table iX.B.

### XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) (1) 17.250 TRAINING PROGRAM FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  |     | Totai<br>Federai<br>Outlays   | Units<br>Served  | (2)<br>(3) | Persons<br>Served  | (2)<br>(4) |
|--|-----|---|--|------------|--|------------|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1969<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1960 |     | \$1,710,104<br>\$1,544,506<br>\$2,290,555<br>\$2,373,517<br>\$3,394,934<br>\$3,236,269<br>\$2,546,497<br>\$2,378,420<br>\$1,756,213<br>\$2,755,582<br>\$2,504,200<br>\$1,136,600<br>\$1,156,100<br>\$1,107,100<br>\$978,200<br>\$1,156,100<br>\$1,107,100<br>\$978,200<br>\$1,156,100<br>\$1,107,100<br>\$978,200<br>\$1,156,100<br>\$1,107,100<br>\$1,107,100<br>\$1,107,100<br>\$1,107,100<br>\$1,107,100 | 350,000<br>531,216<br>324,009<br>370,041<br>757,294<br>935,438<br>1,069,103<br>1,209,714<br>1,598,685<br>781,255 | (5)        | 1,133,700<br>1,557,100<br>1,045,888<br>1,198,450<br>2,119,563<br>2,529,956<br>2,748,930<br>2,885,318<br>2,198,941<br>3,506,370 | (5)        |
|  | ) j | i   |  | i          |  | : I        |

Data Sources: Outlays from the Office of Management and Budget. Other data from Employment and Training Administration.

(1) JTPA II-A Block Grant was implemented in 1984. Data displayed for FY 1983 and prior years represent the total for its predecessor programs operating during the given year. These include CETA titles II-B/C (Training Grant 1976 - 1983), II-D and VI (PSE 1976 - 1981), IV-A (YCCIP 1978 - 1981, AND YETP 1978 - 1983 and VII (PSIP 1979 - 1983). (2) Fiscal Years through 1983. TP was 10/1/83 - 6/30/84. PY 1984 was 7/1/84 - 8/30/85. PY 1985 was 7/1/85 - 6/30/86.

(3) Service years.
(4) Total participants.
(5) Transitional quarter added.

#### SUMMER YOUTH FMPLOYMENT PROGRAM

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Title II-B of the Job Training Partnership Act provides federal funds to states for summer programs that offer economically disadvantaged youths work experience, education, and encouragement to remain in school. Title II-B programs are administered by the states and are implemented through a partnership between the private sector and state and local governments. Operating under state approved plans and with minimal federal requirements, local service providers make eligibility determinations and have substantial discretion to set their own priorities for the use of the summer funds.

In FY 1986, about 742,000 youths participated in Title II-B summer programs at a total federal cost of about \$746 million. The programs are fully funded by the federal government. Subject to certain minimums, the federal funds are allocated to the states based on a three-part formula that measures an area's relative number of unemployed and economically disadvantaged persons. State allocations to local service providers are based on the same formula. The 1986 JTPA amendments established a hold-harmless base for future allocations.

The services provided are primarily work experience at public institutions such as schools or parks. However, the 1986 amendments to JTPA require a greater emphasis on basic education and literacy training. Trainees engaged in work experience are paid at a rate at least equal to the federal minimum wage. Participants engaged in services other than work experience may receive needs-based payments and amounts determined locally. Support services such as insurance, meals, and transportation may also be provided.

Eligibility is limited + economically disadvantaged youth aged 14 to 21. Economically disadvantaged is defined, in general, as persons from households whose income does not exceed the federal poverty guidelines or, if higher, 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level. The definition includes youth from households receiving Food Stamps or households who qualify for cash assistance under other public programs as well as foster children and some handicapped adults.



### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Summer Youth Employment Program.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 17.250 Budget account number(s): 16-0174-0-1-504-00.02.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Job Training Partnership Act, Title II-B.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 20 CFR 629.
- E. Federal administering agency: Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; insular areas.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Counties; cities; tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations; private for-profit organizations; school districts; public agencies.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Federal funds are allocated to states by a formula based on a state's relative share of certain unemployment and demographic factors, as follows:

- One-third based on the state's share of unemployed residing in areas with unemployment in excess of 6.5 percent;
- One-third based on the state's share of unemployed in excess of 4.5 percent;
- One-third based on the state's share of individuals with income below the poverty level or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level.

JTPA includes a floor and a hold-harmless provision that guarantees that no state shall receive less than one-quarter of one percent of the total amount allotted, and no less than 90 percent of the percentage it received the previous year.

States allocate funds to local service delivery areas using a similar formula. Funding is limited to annual appropriation by Congress.



207

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

The Governor and his appointed state Job Training Coordinating Council plan and allocate funds to Service Delivery Areas. Local government is involved through representation on the Private Industry Councils (PICs). PICs are the organizational embodiment of the partnership of private and public resources in JTPA. Made up of representatives from private industry, state and local government, and community-based organizations, they exercise operationa. control of the Title II-A block grant and other JTPA programs.

J. Audit or quality control.

The federal government provides very broad standards for administrative efficiency. Costs are disallowed if they are not within the criteria for reimbursement.

### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

Basic purposes are to provide youth with work experience, education, and encouragement to remain in school. By providing summer jobs, the intent is to expose youth to the world of work and enhance basic education and work skills.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Funds are allocated among various activities at the discretion of local staff.

No more than 15 percent of funds available may be used for the costs of administration.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Eligibility is limited to economically disadvantaged youth ages 16 to 21. (Under some circumstances, individuals 14 and 15 years old may qualify.)

B. Income eligibility standards.

All participants must be economically disadvantaged, meaning an individual who:



- o Receives, or is a member of a family which receives, cash welfare payments under a federal, state, or local welfare program;
- Has, or is a member of a family which has, received a total family income for the six month period prior to application for the program involved (exclusive of unemployment compensation, child support payments, and welfare payments) which, in relation to family size, was not in excess of the higher of the federal poverty guidelines or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level;
- O Is receiving Food Stamps pursuant to the Food Stamp Act of 1977;
- O Is a foster child on behalf c whom state or local government payments are made;
- In cases permitted by regulations of the Secretary, is an adult handicapped individual whose own income meets the requirements but who is a member of a family whose income does not meet such requirement.

Benefits from unemployment compensation are not counted against the income maximum, nor are child support payments. No other income exclusions are specified, but receipt of cash welfare provides categorical eligibility.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

All male applicants born on or after January 1, 1960, must show proof of compliance with Section 3(a) of the Military Selective Service Act.

D. Other income recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Voluntary application and referral by public agencies are the most common process of program intake.

B. Program benefits or services.

The services provided are primarily work experience at public institutions such as schools or parks. Such work experience may also be supplemented with basic education and literacy training. Trainees engaged in work experience are paid at a rate at least equal to the federal minimum wage. Participants engaged in



services other than work experience may receive needs-based payments and amounts determined locally. Support services such as insurance, meals, and transportation may also be provided.

C. Duration of benefits.

Average duration of participation ... approximately 10 weeks. Individuals may participate for more than one summer. No information is available on the proportion who do.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Receipt of public assistance, including cash welfare and Food Stamps, categorically establishes that a person is economically disadvantaged.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Unemployment compensation, cash and non-cash welfare are not counted as income in determining eligibility. Services within this program are generally not affected by changes in services of other programs.

C. Overlapping authorities.

Employment and training programs for the same target population are authorized by Titles II-A and IV of JTPA. In addition, education and training is provided through Adult Education and Vocational Education programs.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources
Subcommittee on Employment and Productivity

### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Employment Opportunities



B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

The SYTEP was part of the Neighborhood Youth Corps (NYC) established by the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964. It was intended to create part-time work experience, remedial education, and limited job training for disadvantaged youth who either did not complete high-school or were potential dropouts (called the in-school component). A summer employment program was added as part of the in-school program to encourage students to stay in school.

In 1974, the summer component was incorporated into the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act. In 1982, it became Title II-B of the Job Training Partnership Act. The 1986 amendments require a greater emphasis on basic education and literacy training.



## VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 17.250 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (JTPA)

|                             | Farticipant         |                     | Tra Ining           |                         |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                             | Support             | Administration      | Costs               | Total                   |
| United States               | \$404,838           | \$98,902            | \$289,192           | \$792,933               |
| Alabama                     | \$14,200            | \$2,203             | \$1,128             | \$17,530                |
| Alaska                      | \$1,424             | \$310               | \$453               | \$2,187 ! !             |
| Arizona                     | \$168               | \$1,047             | \$7,062             | \$8,278                 |
| Arkansas<br>California      | \$7,275<br>\$44,853 | \$735               | \$266               | \$8,277                 |
| Colorado                    | \$3,597             | \$10,464<br>\$1,034 | \$20,526<br>\$3,085 | \$75,843   \$7,716      |
| Connecticut                 | \$3,517             | \$1,138             | \$4,852             | \$9,507                 |
| Delaware                    | \$212               | \$189               | \$1,337             | \$1,738                 |
| D. C.                       | \$14                | \$1,158             | \$5,763             | \$6,935                 |
| Florida                     | \$6,137             | \$3,932             | \$18,555            | \$28,624                |
| Georgia                     | \$11,368            | \$2,269             | \$1,992             | \$15,630                |
| Hawa Ti<br>Idaho            | \$2,856<br>\$2,280  | \$268               | \$15                | \$3,138                 |
| Illinois                    | \$30,322            | \$346<br>\$5,078    | \$524               | \$3,150                 |
| Indiana                     | \$9,216             | \$2,671             | \$8,004<br>\$9,524  | \$43,404<br>\$21,411    |
| lowa                        | \$2,870             | \$1,027             | \$4,260             | \$8,157                 |
| Kansas                      | \$15                | \$419               | \$5,396             | \$5,831                 |
| Kentucky                    | <b>\$11,101</b>     | \$1,286             | \$1,171             | \$13,558                |
| Louislana                   | \$13,535            | \$1,273             | <b>\$1,149</b>      | <b>\$15,958 }</b>       |
| Maine                       | \$14                | \$650               | \$3,022             | \$3,686                 |
| Maryland  <br>Massachusetts | \$9,393             | \$1,570             | \$12,076            | \$13,653                |
| Michigan                    | \$25,944            | \$2,877<br>\$5,909  | \$6,349<br>\$7,515  | \$18,619                |
| Minnesota                   | \$0                 | \$1,910             | \$9,782             | \$39,368<br>\$11,692    |
| Mississippi                 | \$2                 | \$693               | \$8,909             | \$9,604                 |
| Missouri                    | \$11,879            | \$1,760             | \$2,353             | \$15,992                |
| Montana                     | <b>\$2,204</b>      | \$316               | \$375 !             | \$2,895                 |
| Nebraska                    | \$1,127             | \$375               | \$2,421             | \$3,922                 |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire     | \$1,443             | \$370               | \$540               | \$2,353                 |
| New Jersey                  | \$53<br>\$16,773    | \$263<br>\$2,962    | \$1,836             | \$2,152                 |
| New Mexico                  | \$3,215             | \$285               | \$4,421<br>\$950    | \$24,156<br>\$4,451     |
| New York                    | \$44,542            | \$7,213             | \$8,756             | \$60,511                |
| N. Carolina                 | \$13,620            | \$2,787             | \$2,171             | \$18,578                |
| N. Dakota                   | \$6                 | \$206               | \$1,550             | \$1,762                 |
| Ohlo                        | \$2,067             | \$5,727             | \$34,144            | <b>\$41,938 }</b>       |
| Oklahoma                    | \$6,861             | \$589               | \$1,638             | \$9,087                 |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania      | \$931  <br>\$31,727 | \$1,394             | \$7,920             | \$10,244                |
| Rhode island                | \$1,688             | \$5,375<br>\$523    | \$5,328<br>\$1,172  | \$42,430  <br>\$3,384   |
| S. Carolina                 | \$7,571             | \$1,111             | \$1,474             | \$10,156                |
| S. Dakota                   | \$0                 | \$74                | \$1,733             | \$1,808                 |
| Tennessee                   | \$10,847            | \$1,584             | <b>  \$4,214  </b>  | \$16,646                |
| Texas                       | \$9,077             | \$5,025             | <b>\$27,055</b>     | <b>  \$41,156    </b>   |
| Utah                        | \$270               | \$400               | \$3,056             | \$3,628                 |
| Vermont<br>Virginia         | \$1,200             | \$203               | \$329               | \$1,732                 |
| Washington                  | \$2,157<br>\$8.473  | \$1,834<br>\$2,147  | \$10,419            | \$14,410                |
| W. Virginia                 | \$7,953             | \$470               | \$3,965<br>\$575    | \$14,584    <br>\$8,998 |
| Wisconsin                   | \$1,945             | \$1,842             | \$11,127            | \$14,914                |
| Wyoming                     | \$1,252             | \$215               | \$294               | \$1,761                 |
| Guam                        | \$0 ;               | <b>\$0  </b>        | \$0                 | \$0                     |
| Puerto Rico                 | \$15,631            | \$3,460             | \$6,224             | \$25,314                |
| Virgin Islands;             | <b>† \$</b> 0       | \$30                | \$321               | \$351                   |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Report
JTPA-Summer Youth Employment and Training PY 85 Grants
(July 1, 1985 to June 30, 1986)



## VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 17.250 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (JTPA)

|                               | Participant<br>Support | Administration   | Training Costs       | Total                       |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| United States                 | \$322,954              | \$80,567         | \$294,363            | \$697,884                   |
| Alabama<br>Alaska             | \$12,809<br>\$442      | \$1,941<br>\$170 | \$1,045              | \$15,795                    |
| Ar Izona                      | \$94                   | \$1,199          | \$653<br>\$6,740     | \$1,264  <br>\$8,033        |
| Arkansas                      | \$3,662                | <b>\$571  </b>   | \$3,474              | \$8,033<br>\$7,707          |
| California :<br>Colorado :    | \$40,999               | \$9,441          | \$20,035             | <b>  \$70,475    </b>       |
| Connecticut                   | \$4,903<br>\$3,774     | \$867<br>\$999   | \$901                | \$6,671                     |
| Delaware                      | \$214                  | \$240            | \$3,526<br>\$1,350   | \$8,299<br>\$1,805          |
| D. C.                         | \$96                   | \$1,166          | \$6,515              | \$7,777                     |
| Florida                       | \$2,539                | <b>\$2,820</b>   | \$18,229             | \$23,587                    |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii             | \$7,901                | \$1,236          | \$3,148              | <b>\$12,285</b>   <b>\$</b> |
| Idaho                         | \$1,587<br>\$1,968     | \$286            | \$202                | \$2,074                     |
| Illinois                      | \$935                  | \$322<br>\$5,564 | \$364<br>\$35,954    | \$2,636                     |
| Indiana                       | \$9,602                | \$2,137          | \$6,862              | \$42,454   \$18,601   \$    |
| lowa                          | <b>\$3</b> ,115        | <b>\$682</b>     | \$2,724              | \$6,521                     |
| Kansas                        | \$3,193                | \$338            | \$983                | \$4,514                     |
| Kentucky<br>Louislana         | \$11,300               | \$986            | \$758                | \$13,044                    |
| Maine                         | \$8,424<br>\$13        | \$986<br>\$350   | \$4,007<br>\$3,090   | \$13,509                    |
| Maryland                      | \$77                   | \$1,633          | \$10,335             | \$3,452<br>\$12,044         |
| Massachusetts                 | \$5,556                | \$3,121          | \$8,129              | \$16,807                    |
| Michigan i                    | \$24,475               | \$3,732          | <b>\$6,219</b>       | \$34,426                    |
| Minnešota<br>Mississippi      | \$1,049<br>\$376       | \$1,095          | \$7,386              | \$9,530                     |
| Missouri                      | \$3,953                | \$816<br>\$1,302 | \$7,091<br>\$8,656   | \$8,282                     |
| Montana                       | \$1,443                | \$228            | \$250                | \$13,910<br>\$1,920         |
| Nebraska                      | \$686                  | \$371            | \$1,860              | \$2,917                     |
| Nevada                        | \$1,662                | \$369            | <b>\$542</b>         | \$2,573                     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey   | \$42<br>\$15,780       | \$149            | \$1,511              | \$1,702                     |
| New Mexico                    | \$10,760               | \$2,195<br>\$569 | \$4,023<br>\$3,663   | \$21,998                    |
| New York                      | \$40,118               | \$5,490          | \$8,967              | \$4,233    <br>\$54,574     |
| N. Carolina                   | \$12,163               | \$1,4^2          | \$1,206              | \$14,851                    |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio             | \$7                    | \$211            | <b>\$1,485 .</b>     | \$1,703                     |
| Ok lahoma                     | \$6,134<br>\$5,212     | \$5,262<br>\$429 | \$28,193             | \$39,589                    |
| Oregon                        | \$2,139                | \$983            | \$894<br>\$5,468     | \$6,536<br>\$8,590          |
| Frennsylvania ;               | \$29,477               | \$4,149          | \$3,997              | \$37,622                    |
| Rhode Island                  | <b>\$1,721</b>         | \$540            | <b>  \$1,174  </b>   | \$3,435                     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota      | \$5,952                | \$1,015          | \$1,682              | \$8,648   }                 |
| Tennessee                     | \$0<br>\$10,701        | \$72<br>\$996    | \$1,602              | \$1,674                     |
| Texas                         | \$3,151                | \$2,871          | \$3,233<br>\$22,268  | \$14,929<br>\$28,290        |
| 'tah                          | \$20                   | \$344            | \$2,795              | \$20, 250<br>\$3, 159       |
| Vermont                       | \$1,168                | <b>\$200</b> }   | \$294                | \$1,663                     |
| Virginia :<br>Washington :    | \$816 !                | \$1,559          | \$10,056             | \$12,431                    |
| W. Virginia                   | \$7,401<br>\$6,013     | \$1,828<br>\$498 | \$3,365  <br>\$1,225 | \$12,594                    |
| Wisconsin                     | \$3,204                | \$1,495          | \$1,225  <br>\$9,445 | \$7,737    <br>\$14,144     |
| Wyom Ing                      | \$1,131                | \$190            | \$226                | \$1,547                     |
| Guam                          | \$0                    | \$55             | \$561                | <b>\$</b> 617               |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands | \$13,751               | \$2,980          | \$5,497              | \$22,228                    |
| ALLEUM 1910MO                 | \$0 ;                  | \$25             | \$286                | \$310                       |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Report: JTPA-Summer Youth Employment and Training PY 84 Grants (July 1, 1984 to June 30,1985)



## IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 17.250 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (JTPA)

| ı                        | 1                 |     |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----|
|                          | Part Icipants     | (1) |
| United States            | 787,785           | (2) |
| A!abama                  | 14,311            |     |
| Alaska                   | 1.329             |     |
| Ar izona                 | 7,253             |     |
| Arkansas<br>California   | 8,240<br>66,533   | i   |
| Colorado                 | 66,523<br>6,303   |     |
| Connect   cut            | 10,262            |     |
| Delaware                 | 2,058             |     |
| D. C.                    | 8,816<br>26,963   |     |
| Fiorida                  | 26,963            |     |
| Georgia :<br>Hawali :    | 14,142            |     |
| idaho                    | 3,430<br>2,284    |     |
| illinois                 | 43,322            |     |
| Indiana                  | 21,399            |     |
| iowa                     | 7,167             |     |
| Kansas                   | 5,189             |     |
| Kentucky                 | 14,686            |     |
| Louisiana                | 15,858            |     |
| Maine<br>Maryland        | 3,030             |     |
| Massachusetts            | 13,017<br>17,298  |     |
| Michigan                 | 32,846            |     |
| Minnesota :              | 10,587            |     |
| Mississippi              | 1 10.478          | l   |
| Missouri                 | 13,994            | 1   |
| Montana<br>Nebraska      | 1,963             |     |
| Nevada                   | 1,821   1,775     | İ   |
| New Hampshire            | 2,034             | !   |
| New Jersey               | 26,862            | I   |
| New Mexico               | ! 5.438 !         | į   |
| New York                 | 68,224            | 1   |
| N. Carolina              | 15,507            | ]   |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio        | 1,696<br>39,125   | İ   |
| Ok i ahoma               | 7,264             | Ì   |
| Oregon                   | 6.787             | - ! |
| Pennsylvania ;           | 6,787  <br>45,219 | į   |
| Rhode Island             | 2,862             | - 1 |
| S. Carolina              | 12,997            | ļ   |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennassee   | 2,035  <br>18,199 | j   |
| Texas                    | 25,74             | Ì   |
| Ütah                     | 2,97              | -   |
| Vermont                  | 1,968             |     |
| Virginia                 | 11,056            |     |
| Washington               | 10,260            |     |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin | 8,880             | - 1 |
| Wyoming                  | 13,960            | İ   |
| Guam                     | ''å               | - 1 |
| Puerto Rico              | 70,941            |     |
| Virgin islands           | 550               | ļ   |
| <br>                     | <u> </u>          |     |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Report:
JTPA-Summer Youth Employment and Training FY 85 Grants

Based on unduplicated annual count.
 The difference between IX. A. and XI is attributable to variations in state reporting and updating quarterly data for annual totals.



# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 17.250 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (JTPA)

|                           | !                         | <b>.</b> 1 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
|                           | Part Icipants             | (1)        |
| United States             | 754,842                   | (2)        |
| Alabama                   |                           | `~'        |
| A laska                   | 17,812<br>857             |            |
| Arizona                   | 6,958                     |            |
| Arkansas                  | 7.026                     |            |
| California                | 7,026<br>67,622           |            |
| Colorado                  | 5,411                     | ŀ          |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware   | 10,305                    | i          |
| D. C.                     | 2,015<br>9,239            | İ          |
| Florida                   | 23,798                    |            |
| Georgia                   | 13,219                    | į          |
| Hawa II                   | <b>2,</b> 556             | ' !        |
| ldaho<br>Illinois         | 1,929                     | · i        |
| Indiana                   | 43,358  <br>17,304        | į          |
| lowa                      | 17,304<br>6,240           | ļ          |
| Kansas                    | 4,023                     | i          |
| Kentucky                  | 14, 156                   | ļ          |
| Louisiana<br>Maine        | 13,460<br>3,197<br>12,298 | - 1        |
| Maryland                  | 1 3,197                   | į          |
| Massachusetts !           | 17,594                    | - !        |
| Michigan                  | 31,660 ;                  | į          |
| Minnesota                 | 9,497<br>8,774            |            |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri   | 8,774                     | İ          |
| Montana                   | 12,201                    | i          |
| Nebraska                  | 1,371<br>2,364            | - 1        |
| Nevada                    | 1,949                     | i          |
| New Hampshire             | 1,699                     |            |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico  | 19,918                    |            |
| New York                  | 4,685<br>68,232           | İ          |
| N. Carolina               | 14,530                    | -          |
| N. Dakota                 | 1,641                     | į          |
| Ohlo j                    | 36,973                    |            |
| Oklahoma<br>Oregon        | 5, 159                    |            |
| Pennsy I van Ia           | 6,890<br>42,295           | į          |
| Rhode Island !            | 2,654                     |            |
| S. Carolina               | 12,731                    | İ          |
| S. Dakota                 | 1,758                     | İ          |
| Tennessee<br>Texas        | 17,440  <br>26,671        |            |
| Utah                      | 2,200                     | ļ          |
| Vermont                   | 1,928                     |            |
| Virginia                  | 9,832                     | j          |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia | 9,066                     |            |
| Wisconsin                 | 7,853                     | İ          |
| Wyowing j                 | 693                       |            |
| Guam                      | 673                       |            |
| Puerto Rico               | 76,277                    | Ì          |
| Virgin Islands            | 364                       |            |
|                           |                           | . i        |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Report JTPA-Summer Youth Employment and Training FY 84 Grants

Based on unduplicated annual count.
 The difference between IX. B. and XI is attributable to variations in state reporting and updating quarterly data for annual totals.



## X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 17.250 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (JTPA)

| !                                | Total                  |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| United States                    | \$1,007                |
| Alabama                          | \$1,225                |
| Alaska<br>Arizona                | \$1,646    <br>\$1,141 |
| Arkansas                         | \$1,004                |
| Cailfornia<br>Colorado           | \$1,140<br>\$1,224     |
| Connecticut                      | \$926                  |
| Delaware<br>D. C.                | \$844<br>\$787         |
| Florida ;                        | \$1,062                |
| Georgia<br>Hawa¦i                | \$1,105<br>\$915       |
| Idaho į                          | \$1,379                |
| IIIInols<br>indlana              | \$1,002    <br>\$1,001 |
| lowa                             | \$1,148                |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky               | \$1,124<br>\$923       |
| Louisiana                        | <b>\$1,006</b>         |
| Maine<br>Maryland                | \$1,216<br>\$1,049     |
| Massachusetts !                  | \$1,076                |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota            | \$1,199<br>\$1,104     |
| Mississippi                      | \$917                  |
| Missouri<br>Montana              | \$1,143  <br>\$1,475   |
| Nebraska                         | <b>\$2,154</b>         |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire          | \$1,326<br>\$1,058     |
| New Jersey                       | \$899                  |
| New Mexico<br>New York           | \$818<br>\$887         |
| N. Carolina                      | \$1,198                |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo                | \$1,039                |
| Ok lahoma j                      | \$1,072<br>\$1,251     |
| Oregon                           | \$1,509                |
| Pennsylvania ;<br>Rhode Island ; | \$938<br>\$1,271       |
| S. Carolina                      | <b>‡ \$781 ‡</b>       |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee           | \$888    <br>\$915     |
| Texas                            | \$1,599                |
| Utah<br>Vermont                  | \$1,220<br>\$880       |
| Virginia                         | \$1,303                |
| Washington i<br>W. Virginia      | \$1,421   \$1,013      |
| Wisconsin                        | \$1,068                |
| Wyoning<br>Guam                  | \$2,279<br>\$0         |
| Puerto Rico                      | \$357                  |
| Virgin Islands;                  | \$639                  |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Report:
JTPA-Summer Youth Employment and Training PY 84 Grants
(July 1, 1984 to June 30,1985)

(1) Mean costs per unit were calculated by dividing the total program spending on Table VIII. A. by the participants on Table IX. A.



# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 17.250 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (JTPA)

| United States \$925<br>Alabama \$887  |
|---|
|   |
| Arizona \$1,475 Arizona \$1,155 Arkansas \$1,097 California \$1,042 Colorado \$1,233 Connecticut \$805 Delaware \$896 D. C. \$842 Fiorida \$991 Georgia \$929 Hawaii \$812 Idaho \$1,366 Illinois \$979 Indiana \$1,075 Iowa \$1,045 Kansas \$1,122 Kentucky \$921 Louisiana \$1,004 Maine \$1,080 Maryland \$979 Massachusetts \$555 Michigan \$1,080 Maryland \$1,080 Maryland \$1,087 Minnesota \$1,003 Mississippi \$1,003 Mississippi \$944 Missouri \$1,140 Montana \$1,401 Nebraska \$1,234 Nevada \$1,320 New Hampshire \$1,002 New Jersey \$1,104 New Mexico \$903 New York \$800 N. Carolina \$1,038 Ohlo \$1,071 Oklahoma \$1,038 Ohlo \$1,071 Oklahoma \$1,247 Pennsylvania \$890 Rhode Island \$1,267 Cregon \$1,247 Pennsylvania \$890 Rhode Island \$1,294 S. Carolina \$1,294 S. Carolina \$1,294 S. Carolina \$1,294 S. Carolina \$1,294 S. Dakota \$1,389 W. Virginia \$985 |
| Wisconsin \$1,000 Wyoming \$2,232 Guam \$916 Puerto Rico \$291 Virgin Islands \$853   |

Data Sources: Quarterly Status Report:
JiPA-Susmer Youth Employment and Training PY 84 Grunts
(July 1, 1984 to June 30,1985)

(1) Mean costs per unit were calculated by dividing the total program spending on Table VIII. B. by participants on Table IX. B.



#### XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 17.250 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (JTPA)

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  |   | Total<br>Federal<br>Outlays  | (1) | Participants   |     |
|--|---|--|-----|--|-----|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1963<br>1964<br>1960 |   | \$776,334<br>\$688,360<br>\$750,434<br>\$679,186<br>\$769,035<br>\$720,961<br>\$659,520<br>\$670,265<br>\$574,994<br>\$474,994 |     | 777,600<br>800,000<br>789,845<br>683,198<br>776,717<br>855,000<br>882,700<br>898,566<br>907,193<br>1,131,600 |     |
|  | ı |  | 1   | <b>!</b>   | ; I |

Data Sources: Outlays from the Office of Management and Budget.

Participant data from the Employment and Training Administration.

(1) Data displayed for FY 1983 and prior years represent the predessor program, CETA IV-C.



#### JOB CORPS

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Job Corps offers intensive education, vocational training, and related supportive services in group residential settings to economically disadvantaged youths. State and local governments play no direct role in administering the program. Authorized under Title IV of the Job Training Partnership Act, Job Corps is operated out of centers run under contracts between the U.S. Department of Labor and other federal agencies, major corporations, and private nonprofit organizations.

There are currently 105 Job Corps centers located in 42 states, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia. Thirty of these centers are located on public lands, staffed by federal employees, and operated by the federal Departments of Agriculture and Interior as civilian conservation centers. The other 75 centers are operated by private profit and nonprofit organizations through contracts awarded on a competitive basis.

Job Corps enrollees receive transportation to the center of assignment, medical care, counseling, basic education, vocational skills training, work experience, and room and board. Enrollees also receive a modest clothing and living allowance of \$40 to \$100 a month with the specific amount determined by the individual's length of stay in the program and specific achievements. Upon completion of the program, enrollees receive transportation to their homes and job placement assistance.

Job Corps services are also designed to help enrollees accomplish regular school work, prepare for GED examination, satisfy armed forces enlistment requirements, or qualify for other suitable training programs. In FY 1984, about 78 percent of Job Corps graduates entered employment or went on to further education.

Enrollment is limited to economically disadvantaged youths aged 16 to 21. Economically disadvantaged is defined, in general, as persons from households whose income does not exceed the federal poverty guidelines or, if higher, 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level. The definition also includes youths from families receiving Food Stamps or households who qualify for cash assistance under other public programs, as well as foster children, and some handicapped adults. In comparison with other training and education programs, Job Corps serves a more severely disadvantaged population. Job Corps enrollees tend to be younger and to include higher percentages of high school dropouts and minority youth.

In FY 1985, federal outlays totalled about \$593 million. About 40,500 training slots were authorized.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Job Corps.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: Not Listed. Budget account number(s): 16-0174-0-1-504-00.12.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Job Training Partnership Act, Title IV-B.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 20 CFR 684.
- E. Federal administering agency: Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Private nonprofit organizations; private for-profit organizations; and the Departments of Interior and Agriculture.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funds are not allocated. Centers are run under contract.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

State and local governments play no role in Job Corps; the program is administered on the federal level.

J. Audit or quality control.

The federal government provides standards for administration, but no error rate is computed.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The Job Corps is a comprehensive program of basic education and vocational education services for low income disadvantaged youth provided in a residential setting. Services are intended to enable economically disadvantaged youths obtain education and employment skills and become self-sufficient.



B. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

program funds are allocated at the local level at the discretion of program center staff. Center operating costs and enrollee allowances accounted for about 85 percent of all costs in FY 1985. Center operating costs include all staff, enrollee, and support costs incurred on-center and encompass such expenses as food, utilities, medical fees, classroom and some vocational educational material expenses, and contractor profit margin. Jol Corps provides two types of allowances to participants: (1) personal allowances intended to meet the minimal daily needs of participants; (2) a readjustment allowance for participants who stay in the program at least 90 days.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. \_\_t for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Eligibility is limited to economically disadvantaged youth ages 16 to 21.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Participants must be economically disadvantaged, meaning an individual who:

- Receives, or is a member of a family which receives, cash welfare payments under a federal, state, or local welfare program;
- Has, or is a mem er of a family which has, received a total family income for the six month period prior to application for the program involved (exclusive of unemployment compensation, child support payments, and welfare payments) which, in relation to family size, was not in excess of the higher of the federal poverty guidelines or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level;
- o Is receiving Food Stamps pursuant to the Food Stamp Act of 1977;
- o Is a foster child on behalf of whom state or local government payments are made;
- o In cases permitted by regulations of the Secretary, is an adult handicapped individual whose own income meets the requirements but who is a member of a family whose income does not meet such requirement.

Benefits from unemployment compensation are not counted against the income maximum, nor are child support payments. No other



income exclusions are specified, but receipt of cash welfare or Food Stamps provides categorical eligibility.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Job Corps is directed only to severely disadvantaged youths who have been identified as being able to benefit from programs of intensive educationa and supportive services.

If, at the initial medical exam, costly or long-term medical problems are identified, persons may be precluded from program participation.

All male applicants born on or after January 1, 1960, must show proof of compliance with Section 3(a) of the Military Selective Service Act.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

State Employment Security Agencies, other state and local government agencies, private nonprofit groups, private forprofit corporations, and Job Corps Centers recruit and screen applicants.

B. Program benefits or services.

Job Corps pays for transportation to the center, then provides all food, clothing, and basic medical needs in a residential setting. After a period of assessment, participants may be directed into counseling, basic education, vocational skills, training, or work experience. Each participant's progress in meeting goals is monitored closely.

C. Duration of benefits.

Two years is the maximum duration and the average length of stay is about 7.5 months.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Receipt of public assistance categorically establishes that a person is economically disadvantaged.



B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Unemployment compensation, cash and non-cash welfare are not counted as income in determining eligibility.

Services within this program are generally not affected by changes in services of other programs.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Employment and training programs for the same target population are authorized by Titles II-A, II-B and IV of JTPA, and under WIN and AFDC Title IV-A work activities. Education and training also is provided under Adult Basic Education and Vocational Education programs.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Employment and Productivity

## House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Employment Opportunities

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### <u>Senate</u>

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.



#### D. Federal legislation.

The Job Corps' original legislation was Title I-A of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964. The program was transferred from the Office of Economic Opportunity to the Department of Labor in 1969. In 1973 the Job Corps was reauthorized under Title IV-B of the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act. The Job Corps was doubled in size in 1978-1979 to the present 40,500 slots. Currently, the Job Corps is authorized by Title IV, Part B, of the Job Training Partnership Act of 1982.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 17.250 JCB CORPS (JTPA)

|   | Total                | <b>(1)</b> |
|---|----------------------|------------|
| United States                                 | \$580,602            |            |
| Ajabama .                                     | \$3,468              |            |
| Alaska<br>Arizona                             | \$0                  |            |
| Arkansas                                      | \$9,731<br>\$8,680   |            |
| California                                    | \$38,722             |            |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut                       | \$2,841<br>\$0       |            |
| De!aware                                      | \$0                  |            |
| D. C.<br>Florida                              | \$7,458              |            |
| Georgia                                       | \$11,507<br>\$30,045 |            |
| Hawa i I                                      | \$30,045<br>\$3,765  |            |
| Idaho<br>IIIIno!s                             | \$2,841<br>\$8,665   |            |
| indiana i                                     | \$8,665<br>\$9,234   |            |
| lowa<br>Kansas                                | \$4,262              |            |
| Kentucky                                      | \$0<br>\$53,911      |            |
| Louisiana                                     | \$4,972              |            |
| Maine<br>Maryland                             | \$5,469<br>\$27,104  |            |
| Massachusetts !                               | \$12,5               |            |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota                         | \$10,015             |            |
| Mississippi                                   | \$4,461<br>\$13,922  |            |
| Missouri                                      | \$18,709             | İ          |
| Montana<br>Nebraska                           | \$9,548<br>\$3,182   |            |
| Nevada  | \$8,523              |            |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey                   | \$0<br>\$7,103       | - 1        |
| New Mexico                                    | \$9,092              | į          |
| New York                                      | \$28,596             |            |
| N. Carolina<br>N. Dakota                      | \$14,049<br>\$0      |            |
| Ohlo  | \$14,987             |            |
| Oklahoma<br>Oregon                            | \$18,524<br>\$18,581 |            |
| Pennsylvania                                  | \$19,291             | İ          |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina                   | <b>\$0</b>           |            |
| S. Dakota                                     | \$2,983<br>\$2,955   |            |
| Tennessee                                     | \$10,285             |            |
| Texas<br>Utah                                 | \$48,868<br>\$24,064 |            |
| Vermont                                       | \$3,978              |            |
| Virginia<br>Washington                        | <b>\$10,995</b> }    |            |
| W. Virginia !                                 | \$13,836<br>\$9,092  | İ          |
| Wisconsin :                                   | \$2,912              |            |
| Wyoming<br>Puerto Rico                        | \$8,879              |            |
| Virgin Islands                                | \$0                  |            |
| No. A. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. |                      |            |

Data Sources: Office of Job Corps, ETA - U.S. DOL



<sup>(1)</sup> Job Corps accounts are not kept on state-by-state basis. It was therefore necessary to estimate state-by-state spending. This was done by prorating the spending total in relation to the state's share of training slots.

# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 17.250 JOB CORPS (JTPA)

|                          | Training<br>Slots | (1) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| United States            | 40,871            |     |
| Alabama                  | 2.44              |     |
| Alaska<br>Arizona        | 685               |     |
| Arkansas                 | . 611             |     |
| California               | 2,585             |     |
| Colorado                 | 200               | 1 1 |
| Connecticut              | 0 0               | 1   |
| De laware                | 525               |     |
| D. C.<br>Florida         | 810               | 1 1 |
| Georgia                  | 2,115             |     |
| Hawaii                   | 2,115<br>265      |     |
| Idaho                    | 200               | 1   |
| Illinois                 | 610               |     |
| indiana                  | 850<br>300        | 1   |
| lowa<br>Kansas           | 1 200             | 1 1 |
| Kentucky                 | 3,795             |     |
| Louisiana ;              | ! 350             | 1 1 |
| Ma ine                   | 305               |     |
| Maryland                 | 1,908<br>880      |     |
| Massachusetts            | 705               |     |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota    | 314               | 1   |
| Mississippi              | 980               |     |
| Missouri                 | 1,317             |     |
| Montana                  | 672               | 1 1 |
| Nebraska                 | 224<br>600        | 1 1 |
| New Hampshire            | 0                 |     |
| New Jersey               | 500               |     |
| New Mexico               | 640               | 1 1 |
| New York                 | 2,013             |     |
| N. Carolina              | 989               | 1 1 |
| N. Dakota                | 1,055             | 1 1 |
| Ohio<br>Okiahoma         | 1,304             | 1 1 |
| Oregon                   | 1.308             | 1 1 |
| Penńsylvania ¦           | 1,358             |     |
| Rinode Island            | } 0               | 1 1 |
| S. Carolina              | 210<br>208        | į   |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee   | 724               | 1   |
| Texas                    | 3,440             |     |
| Utah                     | .694              | 1 1 |
| Vermont :                | 280               |     |
| Virginia                 | 7, 5              |     |
| Washington               | 974<br>640        |     |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin | 205               |     |
| Wyoming                  | 1 200             |     |
| Puerto Rico              | 625               |     |
| Virgin Islands           | ; 0               |     |
|                          |                   | ··· |

Data Sources: Office of Job Corps, ETA - U.S. DOL

(1) May differ from participants in that some slots may not be filled and some may have turnover.



XI. HISTORICAL DATA (In thousands) 17.250 JOB CORPS (JTPA)

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | ! | Total<br>Federal<br>Outlays   | !<br>!<br>! |  |
|--|---|---|-------------|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1960 |   | \$593,041<br>\$580,601<br>\$563,336<br>\$570,155<br>\$539,806<br>\$469,844<br>\$379,610<br>\$279,652<br>\$201,584<br>\$225,300<br>\$170,400<br>\$188,000<br>\$188,000<br>\$188,000<br>\$188,000<br>\$188,000<br>\$188,000<br>\$188,000<br>\$187,000<br>\$236,000<br>\$236,000<br>\$236,000<br>\$236,000<br>\$236,000<br>\$321,000<br>\$321,000<br>\$321,000 | (1)         |  |

Data Sources: Office of Management and Budget.

(1) Includes transitional quarter.

## SENIOR COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP) provides federal funds to subsidize part-time community service employment opportunities for low income persons age 55 or older. Authorized under Title V of the Older Americans Act, SCSEP is administered by the U.S. Department of Labor, the U.S. Forest Service, state governments, and seven national nonprofit organizations specializing in issues and activities related to aging.

The state and local affiliates of the nonprofit organizations and agencies of local governments are primarily responsible for making eligibility determinations, arranging the work assignments, and carrying out the day-to-day operations of the program.

In FY 1986, about 100,000 older persons were employed in some 63,800 authorized job slots under the auspices of SCSEP at a total federal cost of about \$321 million. State and local outlays were about \$36 million; SCSEP sponsors are required to contribute at least 10 percent of the program costs either in cash or in-kind. The federal funds are allocated to states on the basis of a formula that takes into account the number of persons age 55 or older living in a state and the state's per capita income. Prior to state allocations, however, a portion of the federal funds is reserved for national nonprofit organizations in amounts large enough to maintain their 1978 level of SCSEP operations.

Eligibility is limited to persons age 55 or older whose income does not exceed 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines. Reenrollees have an additional \$500 of income allowed over the amount allowed for initial enrollees. Annual physical examinations are required, in part, to help determine who is capable of serving without detriment to themselves or others.

The SCSEP workers transport the elderly, assist with household chores, and assist in libraries, schools, and nutrition programs. The SCSEP participants may not be employed in political or sectarian activities, in jobs ordinarily performed in the private sector, or in jobs that displace workers or impair existing contracts for service. In return for their service, SCSEP participants are paid at a rate at least equal to the federal minimum wage. Any fringe benefits are at the discretion of the sponsoring grantee.

Program participants are encouraged to seek unsubsidized employment after a period of service under SCSEP. During 1984-1985, about 20 percent of SCSEP enrollees made such a transition to unsubsidized jobs. On average, participation in SCSEP lasts between 21 and 33 months.



222

#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Senior Community Service Employment Program.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 17.235
  Budget account number(s): 16-0175-0-1-504.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Title V of the Older Americans Act.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 20 CFR 674.
- E. Federal administering agency: Department of Labor.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; insular areas; private nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; counties; cities; private nonprofit organizations.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

SCSEP sponsors are funded on a formula which takes into account the number of persons aged 55 and over and per capita income in each state.

- A 10 percent match is required of grantees in cash or in-kind.
- I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

In each state the Governor is asked to designate a state agency to administer the SCSEP program. In most states, the Governors have designated the State Office on Aging or the State Employment Service, while in other states, the Governors have named a national organization to administer the state's SCSEP program.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The objective of the program is to provide part-time community service employment opportunities for low income individuals 55 years of age and older. Further, the regulations establish as a goal the placement of 20 percent of the program participants into unsubsidized employment opportunities.



B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

There are only three budgetary areas in which funds can be spent by grantees: no less than 78 percent of the grant funds must be directed to enrollee wages and fringe benefits; a maximum of 12 percent may be used for administration in FY 1987; and the remainder must be used to cover enrollee physical examinations training, supplies, safety equipment, and other related items.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Eligibility is determined for individuals age 55 or older.

B. Income eligibility standards.

The annual income level of individuals may be no higher than 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

None.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES.
- A. Program intake processes.

Application for the program is voluntary through local delivery agencies, often Area Offices on Aging or State Job Service Offices.

B. Program benefits or services.

Each participant receives a physical examination once each year and prior to enrollment or reenrollment into the program. Additionally, each participant is paid a wage (and fringe be efits) which is either at the federal minimum hourly level or the prevailing rate, whichever is higher. Participants work 20 to 25 hours per week.

C. Duration of benefits.

Average participation is between 21 and 33 months. There is no statutory limitation on the length of enrollment in the program.



### VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

The program has no provisions for categorical or automatic eligibility.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Cash income from all sources (except JTPA stipends) is counted in determining eligibility. Non-cash benefits are not.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Programs under Title II-A of JTPA, and Foster Grandparents and Senior Companions programs under ACTION also provide employment and training opportunities to low income seniors.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Aging

#### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Human Resources

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

#### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

#### House

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

#### Senate

Select Committee on Aging



## House of Representatives

Select Committee on Aging

D. Federal legislation.

This program was preceded by Operation Mainstream in the mid-1960s.



226 233

# VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 17.235 SENIOR COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM

|   | Total   |
|---|---|
| United States   | \$326,000   |
| Alabama Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Mississippi Montana New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia | \$5,978<br>\$1,401<br>\$3,576<br>\$5,833<br>\$25,870<br>\$2,975<br>\$3,642<br>\$1,401<br>\$1,831<br>\$17,738<br>\$6,936<br>\$1,401<br>\$1,519<br>\$13,223<br>\$8,525<br>\$4,296<br>\$3,278<br>\$6,130<br>\$5,352<br>\$2,014<br>\$4,415<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$7,608<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$10,803<br>\$1 |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin  | \$1,749<br>\$6,724<br>\$4,560<br>\$3,675<br>\$8,201<br>\$1,519<br>\$703<br>\$3,860<br>\$703   |
| Wyom Ing<br>Guan  | \$1,519<br>\$703  |
| Puerto Rico  <br>Virgin Islands   | \$3,860<br>\$703  |

Data Sources: Final Allocations-After Governors' Turnover Total 84-85 Funding



|  | All<br>Persons (1)   |
|--|--|
| United States  | 66,867 (2)   |
| Alabama<br>Alaska<br>Arizona<br>Arkansas<br>California<br>Colorado<br>Lonnec icut      | 1,238<br>171<br>614<br>1,268<br>5,109<br>603<br>613<br>257               |
| Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawali Idaho Illinois Indiana                           | 7412<br>5,020<br>1,425<br>311<br>352<br>2,591<br>1,737<br>842            |
| Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louislana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan                   | 680<br>1,304<br>1,077<br>403<br>848<br>1,529<br>2,192                    |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi<br>Missouri<br>Montana<br>Nebraska<br>Nevada<br>New Hampshire | 1,582<br>860<br>1,658<br>407<br>475<br>299<br>236                        |
| New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohio Okiahoma                     | 1,943<br>354<br>4,486<br>1,726<br>382<br>2,823<br>954                    |
| Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas                        | 3,556<br>327<br>825<br>477<br>1,228<br>3,373                             |
| Utah<br>Vermont<br>Virginia<br>Washington<br>W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin<br>Wyoming       | 3,373<br>480<br>363<br>1,413<br>913<br>744<br>1,617<br>304<br>131<br>797 |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands  | 131<br>797<br>133  |

Data Sources: Senior Community Service Employment Program Quarterly Status Report: Quarter Ending 6/30/85

(1) Based on end of year program count. All are aged 55 or older.
(2) For SCSEP purposes, an authorized position is the cost of one SCSEP position for one year. It is an average cost which is used for planning and administrative purposes. During the grant period, the authorized positions become vacant due to illnesses, transition to unsubsidized jobs, death, and other factors. Consequently, unspent funds accumulate which are then used to support, for a short period, both the authorized position and additional jobs (more than one enrollee occupies the same position). These additional positions are considered temporary but one reflected in sponsor reports. Consequently, although the authorized position level may be 63,783, the enrollment due to temporaries might be 68,867.



234

# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 17.235 SENIOR COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Outlays   | Total<br>State-Local<br>Spending  | (1) Author Ized Positions                                | (2) | Participants  |   | Federal<br>Staff      |
|--|---|---|--|-----|---|---|-----------------------|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1965<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1964<br>1963 | \$320,343<br>\$321,348<br>\$274,215<br>\$268,964<br>\$262,750<br>\$234,862<br>\$207,832<br>\$134,333<br>\$72,102<br>\$46,469<br>\$8,607 | \$35,594<br>\$35,705<br>\$30,468<br>\$29,885<br>\$29,194<br>\$26,096<br>\$23,092<br>\$14,926<br>\$8,011<br>\$5,163<br>\$956 | 63,783<br>62,080<br>62,502<br>54,216<br>54,218<br>52,250 |     | 100,000<br>98,350<br>99,490<br>75,970<br>77,160<br>77,390 |   | 8<br>8<br>7<br>7<br>7 |
| vata source  | ss: Employment and  | Training Adminis  | stration.  | •   | '   | • | 1 1                   |

Estimated based on required state match.
 Approximates mean monthly count.

#### WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRATIONS

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Work Incentive Program (WIN) and Work Incentive Program Demonstrations have the statutory objective of utilizing all available manpower services, including those authorized under other provisions of law, to increase the employment and economic independence of applicants for and recipients of AFDC. In 1981, states were given the option to convert their WIN programs to WIN Demonstrations and 26 states did so, in significant part, because WIN Demonstrations provides states greater flexibility in designing programs, targeting population groups, and setting the terms for participation.

Able-bodied AFDC recipients age 16 or older are required to participate in the state's WIN or WIN Demonstration program. There are exemptions, such as single-parent mothers with a child under the age of six. Other persons may participate on a voluntary basis. Within certain limitations, individuals required to register must take part in activities as assigned and must seek and accept an appropriate job. Failure to meet these requirements without good cause can result in a suspension or reduction in AFDC benefits.

In FY 1985, 1.2 million individuals, or about one-third of adult AFDC recipients were registered under these two work programs. The combined total cost of the two programs in FY 1985 was about \$292 million. A state's share of the cost must be at least 10 percent and may include in-kind contributions to meet the matching requirement.

The services provided typically include: setting an employment goal; arranging for the training, work experience, or vocational rehabilitation needed to reach that goal; providing social services, such as child care and assistance with job referrals and searches. In some instances, individuals may also receive allowances or incentive payments to cover participation expenses.

The statutory requirement that WIN and WIN Demonstration programs utilize all available manpower services means funding for work-related programs may be shared. These other work-related programs include the Job Training Partnership Act, the Social Services Block Grant, and such optional AFDC programs as the Community Work Experience Program, the Grant Diversion or Work Supplementation Program, and the Employment Search Program.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Work Incentive Program and Demonstrations.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 13.646
  Budget account number(s): 75-1639-0-1-504.
- C. Current authorizing statute: 42 U.S.C. 602(a)(19); 42 U.S.C. 630-645.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: Title 29 CFR, Part 56, and Title 45 CFR, Part 224.

For WIN Demonstration: 45 CFR 205.80 (covers reporting only).

E. Federal administering agency: For WIN: Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor; and Family Support Administration, Department of Health and Human Services.

For WIN Demonstration: Office of Family Assistance, Family Support Administration, Department of Health and Human Services.

- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; insular areas.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Tribal organizations; private nonprofit organizations, private for-profit organization; other public agencies.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

For the WIN Demonstration program, state allocations are based upon the state's share of the total WIN allocation in 1981.

WIN funds are appropriated annually and allocated to states under a formula with three major elements:

The MANDATORY element guarantees each state a minimum amount by allocating one-half of the total funds for employment and training based on the number of AFDC registrants in the state.

The <u>HOLD HARMLESS</u> (stop or gain) element of the formula assures that no state suffers severe disruption through sharp funding decreases, thus assuring a degree of program continuity.

The <u>DISCRETIONARY</u> element of the formula is based primarily on program performance as measured by welfare grant reductions and wages generated by employed WIN participants. Approximately 20 percent of WIN funds are distributed on this performance basis,



taking into account not only actual grant reductions and wages, but also the states' potential in these two areas.

States' federal allocation may be used to meet not more than 90 percent of the program costs. A non-federal share of at least 10 percent is necessary for each state. This 10 percent may include in-kind contributions.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

At the state and local level, responsibility for operation of WIN is shared by the state employment service and state welfare agency. State administrators of these agencies work with a state WIN Coordination Committee responsible for the oversight of policy development, program planning and direction, reporting, monitoring, evaluation, and agency coordination.

The state welfare agency is solely responsible for administering WIN Demonstration programs. Under WIN Demonstration, states have greater flexibility in designing their programs, selecting program components, targeting population groups, and determining the terms of participation.

J. Audit or quality control.

While there are no federal standards specifically lirected at administrative efficiency, funding for WIN is based in part on program performance (measured by welfare grant reductions for employed WIN participants).

WIN Demonstration provides states more flexibility in the design of their programs, with two federal evaluations (a one-year and a three-year evaluation) constituting the primary federal role measuring efficiency (i.e., comparing program performance of that state's WIN program to its WIN Demonstration program).

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The statutory objective of both WIN and WIN Demonstration is to utilize all available manpower services, including those authorized under other provisions of law, to provide individuals receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) incentives, opportunities, and necessary services for: (1) the employment of such individuals in the regular economy; (2) the training of such individuals for work in the regular economy; (3) the participation of such individuals in public service employment.



For WIN Demonstration, the additional statutory objective is to demonstrate the effectiveness of single-agency administration, by the state welfare agency, of work-related objectives under the Social Security Act.

B. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

In a regular WIN state, an Employment and Training Office and a Separate Administrative Unit of the welfare agency are established to administer the program under the general direction of the state WIN Coordination Committee. Public or private agencies may, through agreements, carry out activities and programs as provided by the state or local agencies responsible for WIN employment services. Program activity funding is determined within each state and spelled out in an annual state plan. States have flexibility in determining the "program mix." No federal allocation among activities is imposed.

In a WIN Demonstration state, the allocation of funds among various activities is determined by the state, and, in some cases, the county welfare agency. States have wide flexibility in developing activities and programs under WIN Demonstration. The activities are described in the state's WIN Demonstration plan submitted by the state for the Secretary's approval. No federal requirements govern the allocation of funds among activities.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

The unit of eligibility is an individual who is applying for or receiving AFDC. Unlike other programs, registration for WIN or WIN Demonstration is required as a condition of receiving another means-tested program, AFDC. WIN is unique in the sense that discussion of eligibility requirements includes descriptions of factors which make persons mandatory WIN participants or exempt from participation.

All AFDC applicants and recipients are required to register for WIN or WIN Demonstration unless exempted. For purposes of WIN/WIN Demonstration provisions, AFDC applicants and recipients include "essential persons." Essential persons are individuals living with the family and considered by the state and the family to be essential for the family's welfare. Those exempted from registration include: children under age 16 and those up to age 19 if they attend school full-time; recipients employed at least 30 hours per week; individuals who are ill, disabled, or elderly; individuals living too far from a WIN project to participate; people caring for a sick or disabled member of the household; women in the last trimester of pregnancy; individuals personally providing care to children under age 6; participants in a Work



Supplementation program; and adults who live in the same household as another adult who is registered. Those who are required to register may also be required to participate in WIN programs. (Several WIN Demonstration states have received waivers to set a younger age as the cutoff for an exemption on the basis of responsibility for care of a young child.) In addition, participation in a IV-A work program may satisfy WIN or WIN Demonstration participation requirements, depending upon the state's WIN or WIN Demonstration plan.

Persons not required to register may register voluntarily and participate. Volunteers can leave WIN at any time without penalty (i.e., without partial or total loss of their welfare grant).

B. Income eligibility standards.

Not applicable. Eligibility is based on application for or receipt of AFDC benefits.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

The participant must have applied for, or be receiving, AFDC benefits. To obtain WIN or WIN Demonstration services and WIN incentive payments, the AFDC applicant or recipient must register for the program.

In WIN, job search activities, available in almost every WIN state, provide services to registrants who are ready to enter employment. If a job is offered that is consistent with the participant's employability plan, he or she must accept it.

Individuals required to register for WIN must take part in program activities as assigned and seek and accept an appropriate job. Ordinarily, if an AFDC recipient refuses to participate or otherwise fails to meet these requirements without good cause, that individual's needs will not be considered in determining the family's AFDC benefits (for three payment wonths for the first such failure and for six months for any subsequent failure). However, if the recipient is the principal earner in an AFDC-Unemployed Parent case, or is the only dependent child, the entire family loses its AFDC benefits for these time periods. A hearing and appeals system is established to adjudicate disputes on these issues.

Under WIN Demonstration, states have greater discretion in deciding the circumstances under which registrants will be expected to accept employment and in deciding what will constitute good cause. WIN Demonstration states need not follow the "appropriate work and training criteria" established under regulation for regular WIN states.

States operating WIN Demonstrations have great flexibility in deciding the specific participation requirements of program



registrants. WIN Demonstrations make extensive use of different kinds of job search activities. Many WIN Demonstrations also make extensive use of the work program options available under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act: Community Work Experience, Employment Search, and Work Supplementation (or Grant Diversion). Other activities which may be required include education, training, and on-the-job training.

Failure to participate without good cause, voluntarily quitting work, or, under some circumstances, being dismissed from a job, may disqualify an individual from participation in WIN or WIN Demonstration for a period of time, even in cases where individuals were initially exempt from WIN program requirements. Individuals required to register for WIN would be ineligible to continue receiving AFDC benefits, as described above.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake process.

In a state operating a regular WIN Program, AFDC applicants and recipients who are identified as mandatory for the program are referred to WIN by their AFDC eligibility workers for registration. There, they are processed by the staffs of both the WIN employment and the welfare agency. At registration, individuals are offered job referral assistance.

In regular WIN, appraisa' may take place at registration or at a later date. During appratal, the WIN agency and the welfare agency staff begin to jointly assess the registrant's employability potential. An initial "Employability Plan" is developed for each WIN registrant. This plan sets forth his or her employment goal, training, employment and social service needs, and the timetable for meeting those needs. When necessary social services are arranged or provided, only then may the welfare agency certify that the registrant is ready for employment or training.

WIN registrants who are AFDC applicants can be required to appear for an initial appraisal but cannot be required to participate in WIN activities, such as job search, until they become recipients. (If a state has elected to have applicant job search under Title IV-A, an individual can be required to do job search at this step.) Registrants who are AFDC recipients must appear for an appraisal, and, when certified, they must accept assignment to employment-related activities or appropriate work or training, including possible referrals to training programs operated under the Community Work Experience Program or the Job Training



Partnership Act. Most recipients, unless they have immediate employment potential, are placed in an "unassigned pool" to be dealt with at some future time. Those registrants who, without good cause, fail to participate may be excluded from WIN and their AFDC assistance payment may be affected.

WIN Demonstration is designed and operated by state welfare agencies, and although participation requirements are the same as for the regular WIN program, activities are tailored to meet the needs of their registrants. Thus, these activities and procedures vary from state to state. In WIN Demonstration, the AFDC worker will convey and act on the individual's obligation to work toward self-sufficiency and to treat AFDC as a temporary safety net. Employment search is usually emphasized first, with a set of other activities available if a job is not found. Moreover, in WIN Demonstration states, applicants may be required to participate in activities and may be denied AFDC benefits if they fail to meet participation requirements.

As of March 31, 1985, 1,226,832 individuals were registered. This figure represents 33 percent of the AFDC caseload and 11 percent of the number of individuals receiving AFDC. Of course, most of the children receiving AFDC benefits are not required to register for WIN or WIN Demonstration services.

#### B. Program benefits or services.

Under both WIN and WIN Demonstration, services are provided to recipients of, or applicants for, AFDC. Under conventional WIN, individuals assigned to a job search component receive an allowance for actual necessary participation expenses. An individual assigned to a work experience component, in which no salary is paid, receives an allowance for actual necessary related expenses. In regular WIN, individuals also may receive an incentive payment at a rate not to exceed \$30 a month. Individuals placed in employment, on-the-job training, or public service employment activities are authorized training-related expenses for not in excess of two WIN pay periods, or until a first pay check is received. Benefits also include employment-related support services such as child care, family planning, counseling, medical and health-related care services, and selected vocational rehabilitation services.

Benefits under the WIN Demonstration program are similar, although WIN Demonstration states have greater discretion in setting incentive payments and disallowances for individuals making the transition to self-sufficiency.

For the regular WIN program, federal rules require that state WIN program staff and a WIN registrant jointly establish an employability plan based upon the registrant's training, work experience, and other related factors. This plan describes the nature of employment services and training the individual needs. A Separate Administrative Unit of the state welfare agency then



determines what, if any, social services will be needed for the registrants in order to participate in these activities. Once an individual is registered, the decision as to whether to refer an individual to employment or to a training component rests with the WIN sponsor.

In WIN Demonstration, the state welfare (IV-A) agency makes assignments and referrals to various activities and services. No federal procedures govern these decisions.

C. Duration of benefits.

Regular WIN program recipients are subject to the following limitations of program participation:

- (1) Institutional training shall average no more than six months with a maximum of one year for any individual;
- (2) Participation in the work experience component shall not exceed 13 weeks for any individual;
- (3) Participation in employment search may not be required for more than eight weeks in any calendar year for any individual recipient;
- (4) Necessary services generally continue for a period of 30 days after the start of unsubsidized employment. However, services may continue for a maximum of 90 days under exceptional circumstances.

Under WIN Demonstration, any specific limits on program participation are established by the state; there are no federally imposed limits.

However, if a state includes a Work Supplementation Program as a component of its WIN Demonstration, participation in that component is limited to nine months. Also, if a IV-A Employment Search program is a component, job search would be limited to an eight-week period starting at the time of application and eight weeks a year thereafter.

#### VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Applicants and recipients of AFDC who are age 16 or older are required to participate in WIN or WIN Demonstration.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Not applicable. Eligibility and participation requirements are based on receipt of, or application for, AFDC.



C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

There are several federally supported programs such as the Job Training Partnership Act, the Social Services Block Grant, and IV-A work programs under which states provide related benefits to meet the needs of WIN registrants. By statute, the WIN program is to take advantage of all available manpower services, including those authorized under other provisions of law, for AFDC recipients and must refer appropriate individuals to JTPA.

Work programs funded under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act (the Act), the Job Training Partnership Act (particulary Title Il-A), and the Social Services Block Grant under Title XX of the Act provide some of the same services for AFDC applicants and recipients as WIN.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Finance Subcommittee on Social Security and Income Maintenance Programs

#### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Employment Opportunities

House Committee on Ways and Means Subcommittee on Public Assistance and Unemployment Compensation

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

#### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

#### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the last two years.

No other committees have held hearings on WIN or WIN Demonstration programs.



- D. Federal legislation.
- Pub. L. 90-248, Amendments to Social Security Act. Title IV, Part C. Authorized the Work Incentive Program.
- Pub. L. 92-178, Revenue Act of 1971, Title VI. Authorized the WIN tax credit.
- Pub. L. 92-223, Amendment to Social Security Act, Title IV, Parts A and C. Required changes in WIN's emphasis from institutional training to prompt entry to employment; mandatory registration.
- Pub. L. 94-12, Tax Reduction Act of 1975. Authorized the Targeted Jobs Tax Credit.
- Pub. L. 96-272, Adoption Assistance and Welfare Act of 1980. Limited time period in which states can file claims for 90 percent federal funding to two years.
- Pub. L. 96-265, Social Security Disability Amendments of 1980. Added employment related activities to manpower services. Employment Search not to exceed eight weeks in a year. Authorized fixed sanctions for failure to participate.
- Pub. L. 97-35, Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981. Established Community Work Experience and Work Supplementation Programs. Provided for three-year WIN Demonstration Programs.
- <u>Pub. L. 97-248, Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982.</u> Extended targeted tax credits. Established Employment Search Programs for AFDC applicants and recipients. Extended WIN Demonstration application date by two years.
- Pub. L. 97-300, Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA). Authorized intensive group job search for AFDC recipients. Required WIN to coordinate activities with JTPA. Established welfare reductions as a major goal of JTPA and provided for a proportional level of services for WIN registrants under Title II-A.
- Pub. L. 98-396, Supplemental Appropriations Bill. Extended WIN Demonstration authority until June 30, 1987, and extended the application deadline one year.
- Pub. L. 98-369, Deficit Reduction Act of 1984. Exempted women in the third trimester of pregnancy from WIN registration. Exempted any individual employed under a work supplementation from WIN registration. Modified work supplementation to allow grant diversion to private employers..



E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

Regulations at 29 CFR Part 56 and 45 CFR Part 224 were developed and updated to include the legislative changes included in the legislative history, as well as to implement program policy and procedures in accordance with the legislation and its changes.

F. Innovative practices at the federal, state, or local levels to achieve the program's objectives.

Some of the innovative practices used in the regular WIN program since its inception are:

- Co-location and integration of labor and welfare staff to enhance communication or coordination for this joint agency program;
- o Employer tax credits for hiring participants;
- o Instituting "Job Club" and innovative "Job Search" techniques on a large scale;
- o Coordination of resources at the local level in order to address the multiple problems that confront most AFDC recipients;
- o Issuing vouchers for training and on-the-job training directly to participants who then negotiate their own training or job.

The creation of WIN Demonstration in the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 (OBRA) provided increased opportunity for state flexibility and innovation. Independent evaluation of WIN Demonstration programs, along with evaluations of parallel programs authorized under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act, have provided much of the reliable evidence available about innovative state work programs. A multi-state evaluation of WIN Demonstrations has shown that programs of job search and work experience have significant and persistent impact upon participants' work experience and welfare dependency, and are cost effective from the tax-payers' point of view.



# VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 13.848 WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRATIONS

|                      | Federal             | (2) State-local                              | Total                        |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------|
| United States        | \$260,123           | \$31,532                                     | \$291,655                    |
| Alabama              | \$2,568             | \$285  | \$2,854                      |
| Alaska               | <b>\$1,097</b>      | \$136  | \$1,233                      |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas  | \$1,808             | \$201  | \$2,007 (3)<br>\$1,646 (3)   |
| California           | \$1,481<br>\$38,469 | \$165  | \$1,646 (3)<br>\$42,743 (3)  |
| Colorado             | \$4,837             | \$4,274<br>\$544                             | \$42,743  (3)<br>\$5,381     |
| Connecticut          | \$4,141             | \$1,018                                      | \$5,159                      |
| De laware            | \$873               | \$97   | \$970 (3)                    |
| D. C.                | \$2,731             | \$313  | \$3,044                      |
| Florida              | \$3,879             | \$431  | \$4,311 (3)                  |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii    | \$4,590             | \$510  | \$5,100   (3)<br>\$1,390     |
| Idaho                | \$1,251<br>\$2,350  | \$139<br>\$261                               | \$1,390                      |
| IIIInols             | \$12,691            | \$1,410                                      | \$2,611<br>\$14,101 (3)      |
| Indiana              | \$3,568             | \$607  | \$4,176 (3)                  |
| lowa                 | \$2,771             | \$308  | \$3,079 (3)                  |
| Kansas               | \$1,902             | \$436  | \$2,337                      |
| Kentucky             | \$2,606             | \$508  | \$3,113                      |
| Louislana<br>Maine   | \$1,948             | \$221  | \$2,169                      |
| Maryland             | \$1,444<br>\$4,857  | \$161<br>\$540                               | \$1,605 (3)                  |
| Massachusetts        | \$8,464             | \$940  | \$5,396  (3)<br>\$9,404  (3) |
| Michigan             | \$18,504            | \$2,056                                      | \$9,404 (3)<br>\$20,560 (3)  |
| Minnešota            | \$5,277             | \$1,018                                      | \$6,294                      |
| Mississippi i        | <b>\$1,871</b>      |  | \$2,078                      |
| Missouri             | \$3,719             | \$569  | \$4.289 !                    |
| Montana<br>Nebraska  | \$994               | \$146  | \$1,140                      |
| Nevada               | \$887<br>\$904      | \$99<br>\$100                                | \$986 (3)                    |
| New Hampshire        | \$594               | \$66   | \$1,004<br>\$660             |
| New Jersey           | \$9,809             | \$1,090                                      | \$10,899 (3)                 |
| New Mexico           | \$1,113<br>\$22,105 | \$178  | \$1,29                       |
| New York             | \$22,105            | <b>                                     </b> | \$24,561 (3)                 |
| N. Carolina          | \$4,690             | \$521  | \$5,211                      |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo    | \$718               | \$148  | \$866                        |
| Ok lahoma :          | \$11,913<br>\$1,545 | \$1,324<br>\$172                             | \$13,236                     |
| Oregon               | \$7,138             | \$793  | \$1,717 (3)<br>\$7,932 (3)   |
| Pennsylvania (       | \$11,655            | \$1,295                                      | \$12,950 (3)                 |
| Rhode Island         | \$1,181<br>\$2,314  | ¦  | \$1,321                      |
| S. Carolina          | \$2,314             | \$257  | <b>\$2.571</b>   1           |
| S. Dakota            | \$1,101             | \$122  | <b>\$1,224 \(3)</b> \        |
| Tennessee<br>Texas   | \$2,634             | \$293  | \$2,926                      |
| IH,                  | \$5,308<br>\$2,872  | \$590<br>\$533                               | \$5,898   (3)                |
| Vermont              | \$2,028             | \$232  | \$3,405<br>\$2,260           |
| Virginia             | \$3,681             | \$409  | \$4,090 (3)                  |
| Wash Ington          | \$9,039             | \$1,157                                      | \$10,196                     |
| W. Virginia          | \$3,534             | \$393 !                                      | <b>\$3,926</b> \(3)\)        |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming | \$10,111            | \$1,123                                      | <b>\$11,235</b> \{(3)\}      |
| Guam                 | \$515  <br>\$294    | \$241<br>\$33                                | \$756                        |
| Puerto Rico          | \$1,462             | \$162  | \$327<br>\$1,624             |
| Virgin Islands       | \$290               | \$104  | \$395                        |

Data Sources: WIN Demonstration: Grant Award documents issued to the States.
WIN: Grant Award documents (SAU) issued to the States and
SESACAS (ETU) reports.

(1) Data represent grant awards to states, and are not consistent with Table XI.
(2) Federal funds are provided to the states as a grant award
for assisting AFTC applicants and recipients in finding employment through
WIN or WIN-Demonstration programs. Funds are payable under Sections 403(d) and 431
of the Social Security Act for WIN, and under Section 445 of the Social Security Act
for WIN Demonstration.
(3) Indicates states which administered a WIN-Demonstration for part or all
of the fiscal year.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 13.646 WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAMS AND DEMONSTATIONS

|                         | Federal            | (2)  | State-local      | Total               |      |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------|------------------|---------------------|------|
| United States           | \$277,329          |      | \$37,687         | \$315,017           |      |
| Alabama                 | \$2,654            |      | \$299            | \$2,953             |      |
| Alaska                  | \$1,051            | İ    | \$117            | \$1,168             | (2)  |
| Ar Izona                | \$1,831<br>\$1,502 | į    | \$203            | \$2,035             | (3)  |
| Arkansas                | \$1,00Z            | İ    | \$167            | \$1,669             | (3)  |
| California              | \$41,304           | 1    | \$4,889<br>\$731 | \$46,193<br>\$6,097 | 1 1  |
| Colorado                | \$5,366<br>\$3,879 | İ    | \$980            | \$4,859             | 1 1  |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware | \$885              | 1    | \$98             | \$983               | (3)  |
| D. C.                   | \$2,825            | 1    | \$306            | \$3,131             | 1691 |
| Florida                 | \$3,933            | 1    | \$2,042          | \$5,975             | (3)  |
| Georgia                 | \$4,277            | 1    | \$410            | \$4,688             |      |
| Hawa II                 | \$826              | ļ    | \$111            | \$937               | \    |
| Idaho                   | \$2,654            | 1    | \$509            | \$3,163             | 1 1  |
| Illinois                | \$12,868           | ļ    | \$1,430          | \$14,297            | (3)  |
| Indiana                 | \$2,984            | İ    | \$332            | \$3,315             | \`'  |
| lowa                    | \$2,809            | !    | \$312            | \$3,122             | (3)  |
| Kansas                  | \$2,173            | 1    | \$353            | \$2,526             | `    |
| Kentucky                | \$2,783            | 1    | (\$318)          | ! <b>\$</b> 2.465   | !!   |
| Louislana               | \$2,783<br>\$2,107 | İ    | \$329            | \$2,436             | 1 1  |
| Maine                   | \$1,465            | İ    | \$163            | \$1,627             | (3)  |
| Maryland                | \$4,924            | •    | \$547            | \$5,471             | (3)  |
| Massachusetts           | \$8,582            | I    | \$954            | \$9,535             | (3)  |
| Michigan                | \$18,761           | İ    | \$2,085          | \$20,846            | (3)  |
| Minnesota               | \$5,644            | 1    | \$3,630          | \$9,274             | ``'  |
| Mississippi             | \$2,167            | İ    | \$1,413          | \$3,579             | 1 1  |
| Missouri                | \$4,166            | İ    | \$463            | \$4,628             | 1 1  |
| Montana                 | \$1,090            | 1    | \$285            | \$1,375             | 1 1  |
| Nebraska                | \$900              | 1    | \$100            | \$1,000             | (3)  |
| Nevada                  | \$662              | İ    | \$74             | \$735               | 1, 1 |
| New Hampshire           | \$536              | İ    | \$65             | \$601               | 1 1  |
| New Jersey              | \$9,945            | 1    | \$1,105          | \$11,050            | (3)  |
| New Mexico              | \$1,467            | Ì    | \$183            | \$1,649             | 11   |
| New York                | \$25,014           | 1    | \$4,406          | \$29,420            | 1 1  |
| N. Carolina             | <b>\$4,448</b>     | -    | (\$557)          | <b>\$3,891</b>      | 1 1  |
| N. Dakota               | <b>\$731</b>       | 1    | \$81             | <b>\$813</b>        | 1 1  |
| Ohlo                    | \$15,498           | -    | \$1,760          | \$17,256            | 1 1  |
| Ok lahoma               | \$1,567            | 1    | \$174            | \$1,741             | 1(3) |
| Oregon                  | \$7,238            |      | \$804            | \$8,042             | (3)  |
| Pennsylvania            | \$11,817           |      | \$1,313          | \$13,130            | (3)  |
| Rhode Island            | \$1,605            | 1    | \$234            | \$1,838             | 1 1  |
| S. Carolina             | \$2,349            | !    | \$259            | \$2,608             | 1,   |
| §. Dakota               | \$1,117            |      | \$124            | \$1,241             | (3)  |
| Tennessee               | \$2,934            |      | \$355            | \$3,289             | 1,01 |
| Texas                   | \$5,382<br>\$2,901 | i    | \$598            | \$5,980             | (3)  |
| Utah                    | j \$2,901          |      | (\$385)          | \$2,518             |      |
| Vermont                 | \$1,998            | į    | \$222            | \$2,220             | 100  |
| Virginia i              | \$3,732            | ĺ    | \$415            | \$4,147             | (3)  |
| Washington              | \$12,890           | İ    | \$1,416          | \$14,306            | 1/2/ |
| W. Virginia             | \$3,583            | İ    | \$398            | \$3,981             | (3)  |
| Wisconsin               | \$10,252           | -    | \$1,139          | \$11,391<br>\$742   | (3)  |
| Wyoming<br>Guam         | \$523<br>\$252     | 1    | \$219<br>\$25    | \$278               |      |
| Puerto Rico             | \$2,154            | 1    | \$239            | \$2,394             |      |
| Virgin Islands          | \$328              | 1    | \$84             | \$412               |      |
| Author commo            | 1 4070             | <br> | 407              | 1 4714              | ı İ  |

Data Sources: WIN Demonstration: Grant Award documents Issued to the States.
WIN: Grant Award documents (SAU) Issued to the States and
SESACAS (ETU) reports.



<sup>(1)</sup> Data represent grant awards to states, and are not consistent with Table XI.
(2) Federal funds are provided to the states as a grant award for assisting AFDC applicants and recipients in finding employment through WIN or WIN-Demonstration programs. Funds are payable under Sections 403(d) and 431 of the Social Security Act for WIN, and under Section 445 of the Social (3) Indicates states which administered a WIN-Demonstration program for part or all of the fiscal year.

#### IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.646 WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRATIONS

|                             | 1 1               | !!                |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                             | Registrants       | (1)               |
| United States               |                   | (2)               |
| Alabama                     | 14,794            |                   |
| Alaska                      | 977               |                   |
| Arizona<br>Arkareas         | 4,853             | (3)<br>(3)        |
| California                  | 4,715             | (3)               |
| Colorado                    | 13,713            | (0)               |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware     | 20,593            |                   |
| D. C.                       | 3,299<br>17,412   | (3)               |
| Florida                     | 16,840            | (3)<br>(3)        |
| Georgia<br>Hawali           | 5 500             | (3)               |
| Idaho                       | 5,562<br>2,181    | j                 |
|                             | 89,223            | (3)               |
| Indiana<br>iowa             | 23,210            | (3)<br>(3)<br>(3) |
| Kansas                      | 6,407             | (3)               |
| <b>Kentucky</b>             | 20,829            | ļ                 |
| Louisiana                   | 20,829<br>14,347  | (0)               |
| Maine<br>Maryland           | 3,553<br>31,284   | (3)<br>(3)        |
| Massachusetts               | 59,382            | (3)               |
| Michigan !                  | 59,382<br>229,939 | (3)               |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi    | 25,310            |                   |
| Missouri                    | 6,209  <br>15,813 |                   |
| Monta na                    | 2,389             |                   |
| Nebruska<br>Nevada          |                   | (3)               |
| New Hampshire               | 1,690             | İ                 |
| New Jersey                  | 92,970            | (3)               |
| New Mexico<br>New York      | 5,327             | (2)               |
| N. Carolina                 | 151,020<br>23,487 | (3)               |
| N. Dakota                   | 2,247             |                   |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma            | 1 129,139 1       |                   |
| Oregon                      | 21,711   10,906   | (3)               |
| rennsy ivan ia ;            | 10,000            | (3)<br>(3)<br>(3) |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina | i /,//3           |                   |
| S. Dakota                   | 10,657            | (3)               |
| Tennessee                   | 31,848            | (3)               |
| Texas !                     | 34,074 (          | (3)               |
| Utah<br>Vermont             | 2,914<br>3,098    |                   |
| Virginia !                  |                   | (3)               |
| Washington                  | 16,273            |                   |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin    | 21,532            | (3)<br>(3)        |
| Wyoming :                   | 1,970             | 3)                |
| GLENN                       | 53                |                   |
| Puerto Rico                 | 17,392            |                   |
| Virgin islands ;            | 511 ;             | i                 |

Data Sources: WIN-Demonstration: SSA-4769 reports from States.
WIN: Department of Labor, WIN Program Management Information
Report (ESARS), table D-1.

(1) Units are based on average number of on-hand registrants.

(This does not represent the number of participants.)

If a state made a transition from WIN to Win-Demonstration,
only the WIN-Demonstration registrant count was used to
determine the unit cost. Some states did not provide a
registrant count during this transition period. For these
states, no unit cost was calculated.

(2) Not calculable because several states could not report registrant data.

(3) Indicates states which administered a WIN-Demonstration program for
part or all of the fiscal year. Some WIN-Demonstration states were
unable to provide registrant counts.



# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 13.848 WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRATIONS

| ı                            | 1   |            |
|------------------------------|---|------------|
|                              | Registrants   | (1)        |
| United States                | a sea with any relative desired annual of the party | (2)        |
| Alabama                      | 15,956  |            |
| Alaska                       | 918   | ,,,        |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas          | 5,475<br>3,713                                      | (3)<br>(3) |
| California                   | 3,713<br>346,575                                    |            |
| Colorado                     | 15,889  |            |
| Connecticut                  | 21,501  |            |
| Delaware<br>D. C.            | 3,402<br>14,531                                     | (3)        |
| Fiorida                      | 22,931  | (3)        |
| Georgia                      | 16,955  | ``'        |
| Hawaii ;                     | 7,004   |            |
| Idaho                        | 2,600   | (2)        |
|                              | 93,975<br>23,311                                    | (3)        |
| lowa                         | 20,011  | (3)        |
| Kansas                       | 7,796   | ``         |
| Kentucky                     | 19,478  |            |
| Louisiana<br>Maine           | 12,999  | 1/2/       |
| Maryland !                   | 26,643  | (3)        |
| Massachusetts                | 24,306  | 1(3)1      |
| Michigan                     | 239,593<br>23,560                                   | (3)        |
| Minnesota                    | 23,560  |            |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri      | 5,015<br>16,200                                     |            |
| Montana                      | 2, 195  |            |
| Nebraska 💮                   | 2,195<br>3,146                                      | (3)        |
| Nevada                       | 1,404   |            |
| New Hampshire                | 1,220   | (2)        |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico     | 93,860<br>5,189                                     | (3)        |
| New York                     | 115,283   |            |
| N. Carolina                  | <b>  14,568</b>                                     |            |
| N. Dakota                    | 1,869   |            |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma             | 131,838   | (3)        |
| Oregon                       | 10,649  | (3)        |
| Pennsylvania ¦               | •   | (3)        |
| Rhode Island                 | 8,860   |            |
| S. Carolina ;<br>S. Dakota ; | 10,643  | (3)        |
| Tennessee                    | 30,385  | 1(9)       |
| Texas                        | 31,052  | (3)        |
| Utah !                       | <b>4,051</b>  |            |
| Vermont                      | 3,318   | 1/2/       |
| Virginia<br>Washington       | 18,112  | (3)        |
| W. Virĝinia                  | 18,694  | (3)        |
| Wisconsin :                  |   | (3)        |
| Wyom Ing                     | 1,940   |            |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico          | 17,500  |            |
| Virgin Islands               | 518   |            |
|                              |   | ·          |

Data Sources: WIN-Demonstration: SSA-4769 reports from States.
WIN: Department of Labor, WIN Program Management Information
Report (ESARS), Table\_D-1.

(1) Units are based on average number of on-hand registrants.

(This does not represent the number of participants.)

If a dtate made a transition from WIN to WIN-Demonstration, only the WIN-Demonstration registrant count was used to determine the unit cost. Some states did not provide a registrant count during this transition period. For these states, no unit cost was calculated.

(2) Not calculable because several states could not provide registrant data.

(3) Indicates states which administered a WIN-Demonstration program for part or all of the fiscal year. Some WIN-Demonstration states were unable to provide registrant counts.



# X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SLRVED (1) 13.646 WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRATIONS

| ;  |  | Cost Per<br>Registrant   |                          |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| United States  |  | 77 TO STATE OF THE | (2)                      |
| Alabama<br>Alaska<br>Arizona<br>Arkansas<br>California   |  | \$193<br>\$1,262<br>\$413<br>\$349   | (3)<br>(3)<br>(3)        |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut<br>Delaware<br>D. C.<br>Florida<br>Georgia                             |  | \$392<br>\$251<br>\$454<br>\$175<br>\$256  | (3)<br>(3)<br>(3)        |
| Hawall<br>Idaho<br>Illinois<br>Indiana<br>Iowa   |  | \$250<br>\$1,197<br>\$158<br>\$180   | (3)<br>(3)<br>(3)        |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky<br>Louisiana<br>Maine<br>Maryland<br>Massachusetts<br>Michigan<br>Minnesota |  | \$365<br>\$149<br>\$151<br>\$452<br>\$172<br>\$158<br>\$89<br>\$249  | (3)<br>(3)<br>(3)<br>(3) |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri<br>Montana<br>Nebraksa<br>Nevada<br>New Hampshire                      |  | \$335<br>\$271<br>\$477<br>\$238<br>\$594<br>\$519   | (3)                      |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico<br>New York<br>North Carolina<br>North Dakota<br>Ohlo<br>Oklahoma     |  | \$117<br>\$242<br>\$163<br>\$222<br>\$385<br>\$102   | (3)                      |
| Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Da., a   |  | \$79<br>\$727<br>\$170<br>\$241<br>\$617   | (3)<br>(3)<br>(3)        |
| Tennessee<br>Texas<br>Utah<br>Vermont<br>Virginia  |  | \$92<br>\$173<br>\$1,169<br>\$729  | (3)                      |
| Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam  |  | \$627<br>\$182<br>\$384  | (3)<br>(3)<br>(4)        |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands  |  | \$93<br>\$772  |                          |

Data Sources: WIN-Demonstration Outlays: Grant Award documents issued to States.
WIN Outlays: Grant Award documents (SAU) issued to States and
SESACAS (ETU) reports.

WIN-Demonstration Registrants: SSA-4769 reports from the States. WIN Registrants: Department of Labor, WIN Program Management Information Report (ESARS), Table D-1.

(1) Based on average number of on-hand registrants. If a state made a transition from WiN to Win-Demonstration, only the Win Demonstration registrant count was used to determine the unit cost. Less than half of registrants actually participate in activities, so mean cost of services for participants will be considerably higher.

(2) Cannot calculate United States average because several states unable to provide registrant data.

(3) Indicates states which administered a WIN-Demonstration program for part or all of the fiscal year. Some WIN-Demonstration states were unable to provide registrant counts.

unable to provide registrant counts.



# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 13.648 WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRATIONS

|                             | _Cost_Per                                    |            |
|-----------------------------|--|------------|
|                             | Registrant                                   |            |
| United States               |  | (2)        |
| Alabama                     | \$185  |            |
| Alaska                      | \$1,272                                      |            |
| Arlzona                     | \$372  | (3)<br>(3) |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia      | \$449<br>\$133                               | (3)        |
| Colorado                    | \$384  | İ          |
| Connecticut                 | \$226  |            |
| Delaware                    | \$289  | (3)        |
| D. C.                       | \$215  |            |
| Florida                     | \$261<br>\$278                               | (3)        |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii           | \$134  | İ          |
| Idaho                       | \$1,217                                      | !          |
| IIIInols                    | <b>                                     </b> | (3)        |
| indiana indiana             | <b>                                     </b> |            |
| lowa                        | 4204   | (3)        |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky          | \$324<br>\$127                               | į          |
| Louislana                   | \$187  | l          |
| Maine                       | \$470  | (3)        |
| Maryland                    | \$205  | (3)<br>(3) |
| Massachusetts               | \$392  | (3)<br>(3) |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota       | \$87<br>\$394                                | (3)        |
| Mississippi                 | \$714  | ŀ          |
| Missouri                    | \$286  | j          |
| Montana                     | \$626  |            |
| <b>Nebraksa</b>             | \$318  | (3)        |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire     | \$524<br>\$493                               | į          |
| New Jersey                  |  | (3)        |
| New Mexico                  | ! <b>\$</b> 318                              | `          |
| New York                    | \$255  | 1          |
| North Carolina              | \$267  | i          |
| North Dakota<br>Ohlo        | \$435  <br>\$131                             | į          |
| Ok lahoma                   |  | (3)        |
| 0regon                      | \$755  | (3)¦       |
| Pennsy Ivan Ia              | 4007   | (3)        |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina | \$207<br>\$245                               | į          |
| S. Dakota                   |  | (3)        |
| Tennessee                   | \$108  | `''        |
| Texas                       |  | (3)        |
| Utah<br>Yangan              | \$621  | į          |
| Vermont<br>Virginia         | \$669  | (3)        |
| Virginia<br>Washington      | \$790  | (0)        |
| W. Virginia                 | \$213  | (3)        |
| Wisconsin                   |  | (3)<br>(3) |
| Wyom Ing                    | ¦  | ł          |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico         | \$137  | (4)        |
| Virgin Islands              | \$795  | ļ          |
| . 8                         |  |            |

Data Sources: WIN-Demonstration Outlays: Grant Award documents issued to States.
WIN Outlays: Grant Award documents (SAU) issued to States and
SESACAS (ETU) reports.
WIN-Demonstration Registrants: SSA-4769 reports from the States.
WIN Registrants: Department of Labor, WIN Program Management
Information Report (ESARS), Table D-1.

(1) Based on average number of on-hand registrants. Less than half of registrants actually participate in activities, so mean cost of services for participants will be considerably higher. If a state made a transition from WiN to WiN-Demonstration, only the WiN-Demonstration registrant count was used to determine the unit cost.

(2) Cannot calculate United States average because several states unable to provide registrant data.

(3) Indicates states which administered a WIN-Demonstration program for part or all of the fiscal year. Some WIN-Demonstration states were unable to provide registrant counts.



# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 13.646 WORK INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND DEMONSTRATIONS

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Outlays   | Total<br>  State-Local<br>  Spending                                 | Registrants            | (1) | Federal<br>Staff                     | (2) |  |
|--|---|--|------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1960 | \$278,816<br>\$264,639<br>\$289,328<br>\$234,541<br>\$381,067<br>\$395,262<br>\$385,042<br>\$346,099<br>\$360,537<br>\$307,313<br>\$313,837<br>\$339,862<br>\$281,055<br>\$171,103<br>\$128,951<br>\$86,618<br>\$32,563 | \$31,532<br>\$37,687<br>\$32,147<br>\$26,060<br>\$42,340<br>\$43,918 | 1,566,515<br>1,567,133 |     | 89<br>97<br>124<br>122<br>225<br>288 |     |  |

Data Sources: Budget of the United States Government.

(1) On-hand registrants (persons served) is the only available unit count.(2) includes federal staff of the Department of Labor and the Department of Health and Human Services.







# INDIAN AND NATIVE AMERICAN EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAMS

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Native American Employment and Training (NAET) Programs provide federal funds to support a set of comprehensive job training services for low income, underemployed, and unemployed persons of Native American descent. Authorized under Section 401 Title IV-A of the Job Training Partnership Act, the NAET programs are administered by the U.S. Department of Labor and Indian and Native American entities. State and local governments have no role in administering the program, except in a few instances where no Indian or Native American group desires to serve a particular area and a state agrees to do so.

In an average month in FY 1985, about 11,300 persons were enrolled in NAET programs at a total annual federal cost of about \$65 million. The programs are fully funded by the federal government. The federal funds are allocated by formula: 75 percent of the annual appropriation is distributed on the basis of relative numbers of Indians and Native Americans with incomes below the federal poverty guidelines and 25 percent on the basis of relative numbers of such persons who are unemployed.

The NAET funds are utilized for employment, training, and supportive services. Programs may sponsor employment for youths, community services, work experience, or training. Training may be either in a classroom or on-the-job. Supportive services may include day care, drug and alcohol counseling, transportation and relocation assistance, and similar services. Enrollees in training or work experience receive allowance payments and wages are paid to those employed. Grantees under the NAET program have full discretion to allocate funds to those activities which they believe are best tailored to the needs of their service populations.

Eligibility is limited to persons of Native American descent who are economically disadvantaged, unemployed, or underemployed. Economically disadvantaged is defined as persons whose income does not exceed the federal poverty guidelines or, if higher, 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level or who receive public assistance. Persons who are unemployed or underemployed need not meet these income tests.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Indian and Native American Employment and Training Programs.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 17.251
  Budget account number(s): 16-0174-0-1-504.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Job Training Partnership Act, Title IV-A, Section 401.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 20 CFR 632.
- E. Federal administering agency: Department of Labor.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Tribal organizations and private nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funds are appropriated by Congress annually and are allocated to grantees by a formula, based on the relative number of unemployed and poverty-level Native Americans residing in the grantee's service area as recorded in the 1980 Census. There is no requirement for matching funds from non-federal sources.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

State and local governments have no role in the administration of this program, except in a few instances where there is no Indian or Native American group desiring to serve a particular area and a state has agreed to do it.

### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

NAET programs are intended to provide employment and training opportunities for unemployed, underemployed, or economically disadvantaged Indians and Native Americans.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Each grantee allocates funds in its grant to the activities which it believes are best tailored to the needs of its service population, e.g., classroom training, OJT, try-out employment,



training assistance, community service employment, work experience, youth employment, day care, health programs, job search, and relocation and transportation allowances.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual Native Americans who are unemployed, underemployed, or economically disadvantaged.

B. Income eligibility standards.

If an individual is unemployed or underemployed, there are no income limits. Economically disadvantaged is defined as persons whose income does not exceed the higher of federal poverty guidelines or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

All male applicants born on or after January 1, 1960, must show proof of compliance with Section 3(a) of the Military Selective Service Act.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Program intake includes voluntary applications, referrals by third parties, and grantee outreach.

B. Program benefits or services.

Program benefits are determined by the grantee when placing the participant in a specific program. Benefits include allowance payments to persons in classroom or work experience training, salaries to persons engaged in community service employment, and various sorts of employment related services.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information on average duration of participation is available. However, participation in work experience is limited to 1,000 hours per year. Community service employment is limited to 78 weeks in a two-year period.



250

### VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Receipt of public assistance, including cash welfare and Food Stamps, categorically establishes that a person is economically disadvantaged.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Unemployment compensation, cash and non-cash welfare are not counted as income in determining eligibility.

Services within this program are generally not affected by changes in benefits or services of other programs.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Other programs under the Job Training Partnership Act, as well as Vocational Education and Higher Education Grants for Indians, provide employment and training services for Native Americans.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources

## House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

#### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Educa Lon and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.



# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) (1) 17.251 NATIVE AMERICAN EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAM

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Outlays  | Persons<br>Served                    | (2) |  |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|-----|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1974<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1963<br>1963<br>1961<br>1960 | \$64,524<br>\$72,159<br>\$60,931<br>\$54,427<br>\$79,942<br>\$80,649<br>\$81,740<br>\$58,621<br>\$51,611<br>\$60,700<br>\$13,400 | 11,300<br>13,500<br>13,680<br>15,500 |     |  |

Data Sources: Employment and Training Administration.

<sup>(1)</sup> Data displayed for FY 1983 and prior years represent the predessor program under CETA.(2) Average monthly enrollment.

## MIGRANT AND SEASONAL FARMWORKER PROGRAMS

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Programs (MSFPs), sponsored by the U.S. Department of Labor, receive federal funds to provide job training, employment opportunities, and supportive services for low income individuals who suffer chronic seasonal unemployment and underemployment in the agricultural industry. Authorized under Section 402, Title IV of the Job Training Partnership Act of 1982, the MSFPs are intended to enable participants to find unsubsidized employment in other occupations or stabilized employment within the agriculture industry. Toward that end, public agencies and private nonprofit organizations are awarded grants to train and assist seasonal farmworkers.

Eligibility is limited to economically disadvantaged seasonal farmworkers and their dependents. Seasonal farmworkers are defined as persons who have, during any 12 consecutive months in the 24 month period preceding enrollment, received at least 50 percent of their total earned income as agricultural workers and been employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis. Economically disadvantaged means having annual family income that does not exceed the higher of either 100 percent of the federal poverty guidelines or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level or receiving public assistance.

The MSFPs offer services such as classroom and on-the-job training, with basic hourly allowances about equal to the federal minimum wage. There are limits to the allowance-subsidized training. Other SFP services include job placement, relocation, and education assistance. To improve the lives of seasonal farmworker families who remain in agricultural employment, MSFPs also offer services such as health care, temporary shelter, meals, and emergency assistance.

In an average month in FY 1985, about 11,000 persons were enrolled in MSFPs at a total federal cost of about \$63 million annually. These federal funds were allocated to the states based on a formula reflecting the distribution of seasonal farmworkers and a small set-aside at the national level for special projects. Of the total grant, no less than 50 percent must be used for training, not more than 20 percent for administrative costs, and not more than 15 percent each for either training-related or non-training-related supportive services. The program is fully funded by the federal government.

Programs similar to those now operated by MSFPs were authorized under the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 and incorporated into the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973. Since MSFPs were implemented in 1981, about 130,000 persons have been enrolled in training and about 28,300 have been placed in unsubsidized employment.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Programs.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 17.247
  Budget account numi r(s): 16-0174-0-1-504.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Job Training Partnership Act, Title IV-A, Section 402.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 20 CFR 633 & 636.
- E. Federal administering agency: Department of Labor.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; insular areas; private nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funds are distributed to eligible public agencies and private nonprofit organizations based on the total number of people in each state who worked in qualifying agricultural occupations and reported an income at or below 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level in the 1980 Census. There are no matching requirements for funds from other sources.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

To the extent that state and local governments are awarded competitive grants, they administer seasonal farmworker programs. Otherwise there is no involvement.

J. Audit or quality control.

The Department has issued performance standards for Section 402 grantees, but grantees may not be penalized for not meeting performance standards for Program Years 1984-1986.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The purpose of the program is to provide job training, employment opportunities, and other services for those individuals who



254

suffer chronic seasonal unemployment and underemployment in the agricultural industry.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

No less than 50 percent of the total funds available for a grant must be used for training. Administrative costs shall not exceed 20 percent of the total amount of the grant. Costs for non-training-related supportive services shall not exceed 15 percent of the total amount of the grant. Training-related supportive services shall not exceed 15 percent of the total amount of the grant.

## IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Eligibility is for individual migrant and seasonal farmworkers.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Eligibility is limited to individuals identified as members of a family which receives public assistance or whose annual family income does not exceed the higher of either the federal poverty guidelines or 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

All male applicants born on or after January 1, 1960, must show proof of compliance with Section 3(a) of the Military Selective Service Act.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Program intake can be voluntary or by referral or transfer from another JTPA runded program.

B. Program benefits or services.

Programs and activities supported under Section 402 enable seasonal farmworkers and their dependents to obtain or retain employment, allow participation in other program activities leading to their placement in unsubsidized unemployment, allow



activities leading to stabilization in agricultural employment, and include related assistance and supportive services.

Payments are made to participants who are employed in work experience and participants who are enrolled in classroom training are paid a basic hourly allowance.

For classroom training, the basic hourly allowance shall not exceed the higher of the state or federal minimum hourly wage. Individuals employed in work experience shall be paid wages which shall not be less than the highest of: (1) the minimum wage under Section 6(a)(1) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938; (2) the minimum wage under the applicable state or local minimum wage law; (3) the prevailing rates of pay for individuals employed in similar occupations by the same employer.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information on average duration of participation is available. Participation in work experience may not exceed 1,000 hours in a one-year period.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Receipt of public assistance, including cash welfare and Food Stamps, categorically establishes that a person is economically disadvantaged.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Unemployment compensation, cash and non-cash welfare are not counted as income in determining eligibility.

Services within this program are generally not affected by changes in services of other programs.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

The same population may be eligible for employment and training services under other JTPA programs.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources
Subcommittee on Labor



## House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Employment Opportunities

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

Predecessor programs were authorized under the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 and incorporated into the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973.



## VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 17.247 SEASONAL FARMWORKERS PROGRAM (JTPA)

| 1                  | Benefits       | Administration | Total              |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| United States      | \$50,247       | \$11,559       | \$61,805           |
| Alabama<br>Alaska  | \$650<br>\$0   | \$180<br>\$0   | \$830<br>\$0       |
| Arizona i          | \$821          | \$213          | \$1,034            |
| Arkansas           | \$913          | \$238          | \$1,151            |
| California         | \$8,390        | \$1,974        | \$8,869            |
| Colorado           | \$633          | \$143          | \$776              |
| Connecticut        | \$231          | \$77           | 3308               |
| Delaware           | \$98           | \$24           | \$122              |
| D. C.              | \$0            | \$0            | \$0                |
| Florida ¦          | \$3,207        | <b>\$591</b>   | \$3,798            |
| Georgia            | \$1,322        | \$263          | \$1,585            |
| Hawall ¦           | \$132          | \$33           | \$165              |
| Idaho              | \$670          | \$175          | \$845              |
| IIIInols           | \$1,024        | \$252          | \$1,276            |
| indiana i          | \$520          | \$136          | \$657              |
| lowa               | \$1,506        | \$310          | \$1,816            |
| Kansas             | \$954          | \$242          | \$1,196            |
| Kentuck,           | \$841          | \$193<br>\$132 | \$1,034<br>\$752   |
| Louisiana<br>Maine | \$614<br>\$295 | \$81           | \$377              |
| Maryland :         | \$239          | \$39           | \$278              |
| May husetts        | \$232          | \$72           | \$299              |
| M Jan              | \$906          | \$192          | \$1,098            |
| Min xesota         | \$1,370        | \$370          | \$1,098<br>\$1,740 |
| Mississippi        | \$1,276        | \$194          | \$1,470            |
| Missouri           | \$667          | \$189          | \$856              |
| Montana            | \$577          | \$145          | \$723              |
| Nebraska           | \$1,064        | \$229          | \$1,293            |
| Nevada             | \$111 }        | \$22           | \$133              |
| New Hampshire      | \$83           | \$26           | \$109              |
| New Jersey         | \$252          | \$70           | \$322              |
| New Mexico         | \$282          | \$72           | \$354              |
| New York           | \$1,168        | \$2,0          | \$1,437            |
| N. Carolina        | \$2,376        | \$461          | \$2,837            |
| N. Dakota          | \$653          | \$164<br>\$202 | \$817  <br>\$1,510 |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma   | \$1,308        | \$177          | \$ 763             |
| Oregon             | \$917          | \$200          | \$1 117            |
| Pennsylvania       | \$5.45         | \$267          | \$1,117<br>\$1,202 |
| Rhode Island       | \$0            | \$0            | \$0                |
| S. Carolina        | \$834          | \$158          | \$992              |
| S. Dakota          | \$489          | \$107          | \$596              |
| Tennessee          | \$753          | \$157          | \$910              |
| Texas              | \$3,386        | \$1,006        | \$4,392            |
| Utah ¦             | <b>\$175</b>   | \$45           | \$220              |
| Vermont            | \$148          | \$38           | \$185              |
| Virginia           | \$796          | \$180          | \$976              |
| Wash Ington        | \$1,770        | \$392          | \$2,162            |
| W. Virginia        | \$223          | \$49           | \$273              |
| Wisconsin          | \$1,399        | \$431          | \$1,830            |
| Wyoming            | \$161          | \$40           | \$201<br>\$2,680   |
| Puerto Rico        | \$2,383        | \$297          |                    |

Data Sources: JTPA Migrant/Seasonal Farmworkers Program ASR.



<sup>(1)</sup> Data reflect Program Year 84, July 1, 1984 to June 30, 1985. Data were derived from mean costs and numbers of participants.

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 17.247 SEASONAL FARMMORKERS PROGRAM (JTPA)

|  |                | ا ا |
|--|----------------|-----|
| Militian administration to the control of the contr | Part Icipants  | (1) |
| United States  | 52,780         |     |
| Alabama  | 866            |     |
| Alaska<br>Arizona  | 0              |     |
| Arkansas   | 626<br>1,109   | İ   |
| Callfornia   | 4,700          | İ   |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut  | 951            | İ   |
| De laware  | 227<br>135     | İ   |
| D. C.  | "0             | ļ   |
| Florida  | 5,140          | ļ   |
| Georgia<br>Hawali  | 1,378          | İ   |
| Idaho  | 1,126          |     |
| Illinois   | 1,763          | İ   |
| Indlana<br>iowa  | 797<br>833     | - 1 |
| Kansas   | 938            | j   |
| Kentucky   | 971            | ı   |
| Louisiana<br>Maine   | 178  <br>178   | - 1 |
| Maryland   | 203            | j   |
| Massachusetts :  | 243            | ļ   |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota  | 2,633          |     |
| Mississippi  | 2,985<br>1,127 | j   |
| Missouri   | 669 ;          | l   |
| Montana<br>Nebraska  | 782            |     |
| Nevada   | 890  <br>20    | j   |
| New Hampshire  | 36             |     |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico   | 571            |     |
| New York   | 169<br>949     | İ   |
| N. Carolina  | 3,605          | İ   |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo  | 1,305          |     |
| Oklahoma   | 2,019<br>471   | į   |
| Oregon   | 454            |     |
| Pennsylvania   Rhode Island  | 803            |     |
| S. Carolina  | 1,421          | Ì   |
| S. Dakota  | 511            |     |
| Tennessee<br>Texas   | 742   2,265    |     |
| Utah   | 2,203          | į   |
| Vermont i  | 109            |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington   | 944  <br>1,045 |     |
| W. Virginia :  | 218            | İ   |
| Wisconsin !  | 687            | İ   |
| Wyoming Puerto Rico  | 260<br>2,397   |     |
|  | 1 2,007 j      | i   |

Data Sources: JTPA Migrant/Seasonal Farmworker Program ASR.

(1) Data reflect an unduplicated count of Program Year 84, July 1, 1984 through June 30, 1985.



259 285

## X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 17.247 SEASONAL FARMMORKERS PROGRAM (JTPA)

|                             | Benefits         | (2) | Administration | (2) | Total              | (2) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----|----------------|-----|--------------------|-----|
| United States               | \$952            |     | \$219          |     | \$1,171            |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska           | \$751            |     | \$208          |     | \$959              |     |
| Ar Izona                    | \$1,312          | İ   | \$0<br>\$340   | İ   | \$0<br>\$1,652     |     |
| Arkansas                    | \$823            |     | \$215          |     | \$1,032            |     |
| California                  | \$1,785          | i   | \$420          | İ   | \$1,887            |     |
| Colorado                    | \$666            |     | <b>\$150</b>   |     | \$816              |     |
| Connecticut                 | \$1,018          | 1   | \$338          | ļ   | \$1,356            |     |
| Delaware<br>D. C.           | \$726<br>\$0     | į   | \$175          |     | \$901              |     |
| Fiorida                     | \$624            |     | \$0<br>\$115   | İ   | \$0<br>\$739       |     |
| Georgia                     | \$959            |     | \$191          |     | \$1,150            | !!  |
| Hawa II                     | \$2,088          | 1   | \$529          |     | \$2,617            |     |
| Idaho                       | \$595            | Ì   | \$529<br>\$155 | į   | <b>\$750</b>       | !!  |
|                             | \$581            | 1   | \$143          | !   | \$724              |     |
| indiana                     | \$653            | į   | \$171          | İ   | \$824              |     |
| lowa<br>Kansas              | \$1,808          | İ   | \$372<br>\$258 | İ   | \$2,180            | l i |
| Kentucky                    | \$1,017<br>\$866 |     | \$199          | İ   | \$1,275<br>\$1,065 |     |
| Louisiana                   | \$3,450          |     | \$744          | !   | \$4,224            |     |
| Ma ine                      | \$1,660          | 1   | \$456          | İ   | \$2,116            |     |
| Maryland                    | \$1,175          | -   | \$192          | İ   | ¦ \$1,367          |     |
| Massachusetts               | \$953            | }   | \$298          |     | ¦ \$1,231          | 1 1 |
| Michigan !                  | \$344            | i   | \$73           |     | \$417              | 1 1 |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi    | \$459            | i i | \$124          | İ   | \$583              |     |
| Missouri                    | \$1,132<br>\$997 | İ   | \$172<br>\$282 | į   | \$1,304<br>\$1,279 |     |
| Montana                     | \$738            |     | \$186          | !   | \$924              |     |
| Nebraska                    | \$1,198          |     | \$257          |     | \$1,453            |     |
| Nevada                      | \$5,558          | 1   | \$1,107        | İ   | \$6,665            |     |
| New Hampshire               | \$2,299          |     | \$730          |     | <b>\$3,029</b>     |     |
| New Jersey                  | \$441            | l   | \$123          | 1   | \$564              |     |
| New Mexico  <br>New York    | \$1,666          | İ   | \$427          |     | \$2,093            | 1 1 |
| N. Carolina                 | \$1,229<br>\$659 | İ   | \$285<br>\$128 | İ   | \$1,514            | i i |
| N. Dakota                   | \$500            |     | \$126          |     | \$787<br>\$626     |     |
| Ohlo                        | \$648            | 1   | \$100          | 1   | \$748              |     |
| Ok lahoma                   | \$1,244          | İ   | \$375          | İ   | \$1,619            | 1 1 |
| Oregon :                    | \$2,020          | İ   | \$440          |     | \$2,460            |     |
| Pennsylvania                | \$1,164          | 1   | \$333          | !   | \$1,497            |     |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina | \$0              | İ   | \$0            |     | \$0                |     |
| S. Dakota                   | \$587<br>\$956   | İ   | \$111<br>\$210 | İ   | \$698              | 1 1 |
| Tennessee                   | \$1,015          |     | \$210          | İ   | \$1,136<br>\$1,228 |     |
| Texas                       | \$1,495          |     | \$444          |     | \$1,939            |     |
| Utah                        | \$654            | İ   | \$168          | İ   | \$822              |     |
| Vermont                     | \$1,356          |     | \$345          | į   | \$1,701            |     |
| Virginia                    | \$843            | !   | \$191          | !   | \$1,034            | 1   |
| Washington                  | \$1,694          | i   | \$375          | İ   | \$2,069            |     |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin    | \$1,024          | İ   | \$227          | İ   | \$1,251            |     |
| Wyoming                     | \$2,036<br>\$621 | 1   | \$628<br>\$153 | 1   | \$2,664<br>\$774   |     |
| Puorto Rico                 | \$994            | !   | \$124          | 1   | \$1,118            |     |
|                             | 1 4001           | 1   | , WIET         | l   | , 41,110           |     |

Data Sources: JTPA Migrant/Seasonal Farmworkers Program ASR.

(1) Data reflect Program Year 84, July 1, 1984 to June 30, 1985.



## XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) (1) 17.247 SEASONAL FARMMORKERS PROGRAM (JTPA)

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | : | Total<br>Federal<br>Budget<br>Authority  | Participants     | (2) | !<br>!<br>! |
|--|---|--|------------------|-----|-------------|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 |   | \$63,058<br>\$59,557<br>\$69,130<br>\$70,518<br>\$83,948<br>\$110,278<br>\$106,403<br>\$66,463<br>\$60,922<br>\$83,500 | 50,055<br>52,780 |     |             |

Data Sources: Employment and Training Administration.

(1) Data displayed for FY 1983 and prior years represent the predecessor program under CETA. Data for 1980 through 1985 are fiscal year budget authority, rather than Program Year spending, as on Table VIII.A. (2) Units served are all program participants for PY 1984 (July 1, 1984 through June 30, 1985) and PY 1985 (July 1, 1985 through June 30, 1986).



#### **WOSTER GRANDPARENTS PROGRAM**

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Foster Grandparents Program (FGP) provides both part-time volunteer service apportunities for low income older persons and person-to-person support services to children with special or exceptional needs. State and local governments, as well as private nonprofit organizations, are grantees who sponsor FGP projects. Grantees recruit volunteers who are eligible and capable, assign the volunteers to children with physical or mental problems, and reimburse the volunteers to enable them to serve without cost to themselves.

In FY 1985, there were about 18,850 Foster Grandparents serving about £6,000 children at a total federal cost of about \$56 million. These federal funds cover about 90 percent of FGP costs; with certain exceptions, at least 10 percent of program costs must be met by the grantees. In 1985, grantees more than met these matching requirements with states providing about \$7 million and local governments providing about \$2 million more. The FGP is not an entitlement program. Participation is limited primarily by the annual federal appropriation and the required local support.

Foster Grandparent volunteers serve in a wide variety of public and private, residential and nonresidential, settings. Among other projects, Foster Grandparents work with autistic and severely burned children, in juvenile detention facilities and mental institutions, and call on homes to provide care and companionship. The service rendered is not to displace employed workers and FGP funds may not be used to support either religious or political activities.

The FGP grants may be used to provide Foster Grandparents with stipends, insurance, transportation, and meals during service to offset the costs of volunteering. Volunteers also receive annual physical examinations that help to determine that they are capable of serving without detriment to themselves or others. The stipends of \$2.20 an hour are tax-free and may not be treated as income under any public benefit program.

In order to participate in FGF, volunteers must be at least aged 60, no longer in the regular work force, and below a certain income. The income eligibility test in each state is the higher of 125 percent of the federal poverty guidelines or 100 percent of the federal poverty guidelines plus any state supplement to the federal Supplemental Security Income benefit. Once enrolled, volunteers may continue to participate as long as their incomes do not exceed 120 percent of the income limit.



- II. ADMINISTR TION
- A. Program name: Foster Grandparents Program.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 72.001 Budget account number(s): 44-0103-0-1-506.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, as amended (Pub. L. 93-113).
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: Title 45, Chapter XII, Parts 1203, 1206, 1208, 1216, 1220, 1226, 1232, and 1233.
- E. Federal Administering agency: ACTION.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; local governments; nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of rederal funds.

There is no allocation formula for distributing funds to grantees. Funds are provided based on project applications. Federal funds may be granted to fund up to 90 percent of the total cost of SCP projects and the Director may waive the requirement for nonfederal funding.

Since 1975, ACTION has used an internal formula developed for allocating resources to the nine ACTION regions, as follows:

- o l percent of the budget is retained by Headquarters for FGP special purpose projects;
- o 25 percent of the budget is divided among the ACTION regions based on a division into 53 parts; one part for each state, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico; one-half part for the Virgin Islands; and one-fourth part each for American Samoa and Guam;
- o 75 percent is divided among the ACTION regions, based on regional percentage of the older American population with income below poverty, using latest available data.

The present guidance on regional allocations to states is as follows:

To the maximum extent practicable, Regional Offices should allocate resources to the states using the states' percentage of...low income persons aged 60 or over for FGP... For example, the percentage of the



reg onal budget allocated to a state for FGP should approximate that state's percentage of the regional Older American population whose income falls below the poverty guidelines.

In regions where the resource allocation does not presently approximate the percentage of the appropriate population for a given program, the region should seek to arrive at a more equitable distribution as additional funds become available. Such funds may result from increased appropriations, closing of poorly-managed projects in overfunded states, or from application of ACTION Order 2650.1 when Headquarters directs that funds will remain in the regions.

Allocations by the regions to the states are also influenced by such factors as staff ability to handle increased workload; superior quality of project proposals from other states in competitive situations; whether a specific purpose is set forth in the appropriation, e.g., increase in stipends, in which case it is based on number of volunteers, etc.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

Twenty-seven state governments and 28 local governments serve as grantees.

J. Audit or quality control.

FGP operates as a project grant program. Grantees administer grants according to applicable OMB circulars.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

Pub. L. 93-113 and the CFR stipulate grants are awarded to provide "opportunities for low-income persons aged sixty and over to serve as 'Foster Grandparents' to children with special or exceptional needs."

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Stipends, insurance, transportation, meals during service, annual physical exams, and uniforms are provided to offset the costs of volunteering.



#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Low income individuals aged sixty and over are eligible for program benefits.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Pub. L. 93-113 sets the baseline level as 125 percent of the poverty income guidelines. The Director has the authority to adjust the levels to meet local situations. In selected states, the level is 100 percent of the federal poverty income guidelines plus the amount the state supplements the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) grant, if this amount is higher than 125 percent of the guidelines.

For eligibility purposes, income loes not include: assets drawn down as withdrawals from a bank; sale of property, house, or car; tax refunds; gifts; one-time insurance payments or compensation for injury; non-cash income.

Once enrolled, a volunteer may remain eligible as long as his or her income does not exceed the prescribed level by 20 percent.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Volunteers must also be no longer in the regular work force and determined by a physical exam to be capable of serving without detriment to themselves or to persons served.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- Program intake processes.

', lunteers are recruited locally through the media, referrals from individuals, service agencies, and a variety of other sources. Local grantees include state and local governments, tribal organizations, and private nonprofit organizations.

B. Program benefits or services.

Stipends and travel and meal reimbursements are generally provided biweekly or monthly. Physical exams and insurance are provided annually.



The stipend is set by law; other benefits are based on true costs, as determined by local sponsors.

C. Duration of benefits.

The projects are ongoing. No information is available on duration of individual volunteer service.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

None.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Cash income, including cash assistance, is counted in determining eligibility.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Other programs providing employment opportunities for low income elderly persons include the Senior Companion Program and the Senior Community Service Employment Program.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources
Subcommittee on Children, Family, Drugs and Alcohol

#### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee On Human Resources

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

#### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

#### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services and Education



C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

## House of Representatives

Committee on Government Operations

D. Federal legislation.

The enabling legislation for ACTION's Older American Volunteer Programs, which includes FGP, is Title II of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973 (DVSA), as amended (Pub. L. 93-113, October 1, 1973). The purpose of the Act was to provide a sound legislative foundation from which ACTION could more efficiently and more effectively carry out the programs transferred to it in July 1971.

Pub. L. 94-135: Congress moved generally to strengthen the Older American Volunteer Programs (OAVP), administered by ACTION by expanding for two fiscal years, beyond FY 1976, the authorizations for appropriations for the Older American programs, including the Senior Companion Program (SCP). The Act further provided that the Agency designate, in each state office, an "aging resource specialist" whose primary responsibility is to support the Title II programs.

Pub. L. 95-478 provided a three-year extension of the OAVP programs (including FGP); permitted individuals with incomes up to 125 percent of the poverty guidelines to be Foster Grandparents; authorized a raise in the stipend for Foster Grandparents up to \$2.00 per hour if appropriations were increased to make it possible without any reduction in the number of volunteers currently participating in the programs.

Pub. L. 97-35 established FGP as Part C of Title II and provided authority for joint sponsorship of FGP and SCP projects, but called for strengthening the identity of two programs. Congress encouraged the agency to ensure that OAVP project sponsors were kept informed and consulted on programmatic changes affecting their programs.

Pub. L. 98-288: The 1984 amendments to the DVSA provided that whenever non-federal contributions to local OAVP projects are in excess of the required match, the Agency may not restrict the manner in which such contributions are expended. They also increased the stipend for Foster Grandparents from \$2.00 to \$2.20 per hour.



## VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 72.001 FOSTER GRANDPARENTS

| !                           | Federal<br>  Obligations ((1) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                             |                               |
| United States               | \$55,837                      |
| Alabama                     | \$1,185                       |
| Alaska                      | \$230                         |
| Arizona                     | \$573                         |
| Arkansas                    | \$888                         |
| Callfornia                  | \$3,457                       |
| Colorado/Wyoming !          | \$996                         |
| Connecticut                 | \$882                         |
| Florida ¦                   | \$2,756                       |
| Georgia                     | \$984                         |
| Idaho !                     | \$463                         |
| Illinois                    | \$1,979                       |
| Indiana                     | \$1,288                       |
| lowa                        | \$1,050    <br>\$1,035        |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky          | \$1,035                       |
| Louisiana                   | \$1,145                       |
| Maine                       | \$412                         |
| Maryland/Deleware           | \$1,196                       |
| Massachusetts               | \$1,384                       |
| Michigan                    | \$2,045                       |
| Minnesota                   | \$967                         |
| Mississippi i               | \$918                         |
| Missouri                    | \$1,413                       |
| Montana !                   | \$462                         |
| N. Carolina                 | \$988                         |
| Nebraska                    | \$724                         |
| Nevada                      | \$421                         |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey | \$767                         |
| New Mexico                  | \$1,424<br>\$693              |
| New York                    | \$4,748                       |
| No. Dak./So. Dak.           | \$584                         |
| Ohlo                        | \$3,662                       |
| Oklahoma                    | \$859                         |
| Oregon                      | <b>\$701</b>                  |
| Pennsylvania ¦              | \$1,140                       |
| Rhode Işland                | \$385                         |
| S. Carolina                 | \$525                         |
| Tennessee                   | \$923                         |
| Texas                       | \$2,592                       |
| Utah<br>Virginia/D.C.       | \$415<br>\$1,298              |
| Washington                  | \$960                         |
| W. Virginia                 | \$1,029                       |
| Wisconsin                   | \$1,146                       |
| Puerto Rico/V.I.            | \$1,074                       |
| Hawall/Guam/Samoa           | \$238                         |
|                             |                               |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.

(1) Does not include grantee funds. Federal funds may equal up to 90 percent of total.



## VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 72.001 FOSTER GRANDPARENTS

|                                    | Federal          |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
|                                    | Obligations  (1) |
| United States                      | \$49,649         |
| Alabama                            | \$806            |
| Alaska                             | \$206            |
| Arizona                            | \$546            |
| Arkansas                           | \$709            |
| California : Colorado/Wyoming :    | \$3,158<br>\$843 |
| Connect I cut                      | \$823            |
| Florida                            | \$2,278          |
| Georgia                            | \$949            |
| Hawall/Guam/Samoa!                 | \$209            |
| Idaho                              | \$426            |
| IIIInols<br>Indiana                | \$1,829          |
| lowa                               | \$1,177<br>\$945 |
| Kansas                             | \$904            |
| Kentucky                           | \$771            |
| Louislana                          | \$925            |
| Maine                              | \$380            |
| Maryland/Deleware                  | \$1,058          |
| Massachusetts                      | \$1,239          |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota              | \$1 748<br>\$854 |
| Mississippi                        | \$824            |
| Missouri                           | \$1,350          |
| Montana                            | \$422            |
| N. Carolina                        | \$771            |
| Nebraska                           | \$661            |
| Nevada                             | \$372            |
| New Hampshire                      | \$677            |
| New Mexico                         | \$1,277<br>\$536 |
| New York                           | \$4,533          |
| No. Dak./So. Dak.                  | \$517            |
| Ohlo                               | \$3,296          |
| Ok lahoma                          | <b>\$</b> 741    |
| Oregon                             | \$666            |
| Pennsylvania                       | \$1,035          |
| Puerto Rico/V.I.  <br>Rhode Island | \$986<br>\$355   |
| S. Carolina                        | \$432            |
| Tennessee                          | <b>\$</b> 811 !  |
| Texas                              | \$2,309          |
| Utah                               | <b>\$384</b>     |
| Virginia/D.C.                      | \$1,163          |
| Washington !                       | \$862            |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin           | \$876<br>\$1,010 |
| #100010111 j                       | i Dividi i       |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.

(1) Does not include grantee funds. Federal funds may equal up to 90 percent of total.



## IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 72.001 FOSTER GRANDPARENTS

|  | Volunteers   |     | Handicapped<br>or Disabled   |     |  |
|--|--|-----|--|-----|--|
| United States  | 20,552   | (1) | 1,739  | (2) |  |
| Alabama<br>Alaska<br>Arizona<br>Arkansas<br>California<br>Colorado/Wyoming<br>Connecticut<br>Florida<br>Georgia<br>Idaho<br>Illinois<br>Indiana<br>Iowa            | 414<br>92<br>312<br>300<br>1,335<br>276<br>335<br>926<br>380<br>164<br>679<br>447                        |     | 25<br>9<br>39<br>9<br>140<br>69<br>19<br>65<br>18<br>7<br>45<br>86<br>63                         |     |  |
| Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland/Deleware Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississipp! Missouri Montana Nebraska   | 328<br>339<br>355<br>143<br>522<br>495<br>1,168<br>423<br>293<br>502<br>101<br>259                       |     | 23<br>15<br>12<br>11<br>55<br>11<br>162<br>12<br>9<br>90<br>4<br>20                              |     |  |
| New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina No. Dak./So. Dak. Ohlo Okłahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode island S. Carolina Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont | 149<br>535<br>553<br>1,737<br>1,737<br>189<br>770<br>398<br>257<br>735<br>79<br>112<br>288<br>886<br>168 |     | 6<br>8<br>30<br>24<br>182<br>15<br>20<br>46<br>36<br>4<br>37<br>11<br>8<br>29<br>139<br>12<br>54 |     |  |
| Virginia/D.C. Washington W. Virginia W:sconsin Puerto Rico/V.I. Hawali/Guam/Samoa  | 426<br>341<br>310<br>502<br>411<br>126   |     | 16<br>23<br>4<br>6<br>6<br>5   |     |  |

Data Sources: FGP Project Profile Reports.

(1) Volunteers as of 3/31/85. All are aged 60 or older.
 (2) Handlcapped means having physical or mental impairments which substantially limit one or more major life activities.



## IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 72.001 FOSTER GRANDPARENTS

|   | Volunteers   | i   | Handicapped<br>or Disabled  | 1 1 |
|---|--|-----|---|-----|
| United States   | 20,680   | (1) | 1,800   | (2) |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado/Wyoming Connecticut Florida Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana   | 392<br>120<br>221<br>297<br>1,411<br>341<br>315<br>906<br>410<br>168<br>680<br>451<br>402<br>321<br>329<br>416   | (1) | 23<br>11<br>28<br>6<br>162<br>53<br>10<br>41<br>16<br>1<br>21<br>50<br>51<br>21   | (2) |
| Maine Maryland/Deleware Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina No. Dak./So. Dak. Chio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina Tennessee Texas | 154<br>517<br>489<br>1,159<br>539<br>281<br>525<br>95<br>263<br>178<br>131<br>564<br>483<br>1,634<br>269<br>136<br>766<br>346<br>279<br>819<br>135<br>116<br>278 |     | 9<br>61<br>16<br>187<br>24<br>8<br>85<br>4<br>29<br>112<br>3<br>44<br>16<br>84<br>22<br>37<br>123<br>6<br>81<br>9<br>15<br>15 |     |
| Utah Vermont Virginia/D.C. Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Puerto Rico/V.I. Hawali/Guam/Samoa  | 158<br>136<br>432<br>340<br>323<br>539<br>433<br>126   |     | 8<br>24<br>16<br>61<br>3<br>58<br>12  |     |

Data Sources: FGP Project Profile Reports.

(1) Volunteers as of 3/31/84. All are aged 60 or older.
(2) Handlcapped means having physical or mental impairments which substantially limit one or more major life activities.



## XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 72.001 FOSTER GRANDPARENTS

| Federal<br>Fiscal  | Total<br>  Federa'   | !  | !!  |
|--|--|--|-----|
| Year   | Appropriations (1  | ) Volunteers   | (2) |
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>2971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1968<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 | \$56,100<br>\$48,400<br>\$48,400<br>\$49,670<br>\$48,400<br>\$46,900<br>\$35,027<br>\$34,912<br>\$34,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$25,000 | 18,857 18,425 18,350 18,093 18,093 16,929 16,640 16,250 16,000 13,600 12,200 11,025 10,036 4,400 4,200 4,100 4,000 2,000 782 |     |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.

(1) Does not include grantee funds. Federal funds may equally up to 90 percent of total.(2) Based on budgeted positions.

#### SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAM

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Senior Companion Program (SCP) provides both volunteer service opportunities for low income older persons and community care for adults with mental or physical impairments. State and local governments as well as private nonprofit organizations sponsor SCP projects. Sponsors recruit volunteers, assign them to assist adults with special needs and enable them to serve without cost to themselves.

In FY 1986, the SCP funded about 37 projects with about 5,300 Senior Companions who served approximately 18,500 adults at a total federal cost of about \$18 million. These federal funds cover about 90 percent of SCP costs; the grantee must meet at least 10 percent of program costs. In FY 1985, grantees more than met the matching requirement with states spending about \$3.7 million and local governments contributing about \$926,000.

Seniar Companions provide a variety of person-to-person services to adults with mental or physical problems. Among other projects, the SCP provides assistance to deinstitutionalized mental patients, to alcoholics in rehabilitation programs, and to terminally ill patients in hospices. Volunteers also assist with activities of daily living for persons being discharged from acute care hospitals and to homebound older persons. SCP services may not displace employed workers and SCP funds may not sed to support religious or political activities.

1.e SCP funds are used to provide Senior Companions with stipends, insurance, transportation, and meals during service to defray the costs of volunteering. Volunteers also receive annual physical examinations that help to determine who is capable of serving without detriment to themselves or others. The stipends of \$2.20 an hour are tax-free and cannot be treated as income under any public benefit program.

In order to become a Senior Companion, a person must be at least aged 60, no longer in the regular work force, and below a certain income. The income eligibility test in each state is the higher of 125 percent of the federal poverty income guidelines or 100 percent of the federal poverty income guidelines plus any state supplement to the federal Supplemental Security Income benefit. Once enrolled, volunteers may continue to serve as long as their incomes do not exceed 120 percent of the income limit.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Senior Companion Program.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 72.008
  Budget account number(s): 44-0103-0-1-506.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, as amended (Public Law 93-113, October 1, 1973).
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: Title 45, Chapter XII, Parts 1203, 1206, 1207, 1216, 1220, 1226, 1232, and 1233.
- E. Federal Administering agency: ACTION.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; local governments; nonprofit organizations.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

There is no allocation formula for distributing funds to grantees. Funds are provided based on project applications. Federal funds may be granted to fund up to 90 percent of the total cost of SCP projects and the Director may waive the requirement for non-federal funding.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

Nine state governments and 17 local governments serve as grantees.

J. Audit or quality control.

SCP operates as a project grant program. Grantees administer grants according to applicable OMB circulars.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The SCP grants are awarded to provide opportunities for low income persons aged 60 and over to serve as Senior Companions to persons with exceptional needs.



B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Stipends, insurance, transportation, meals during service, annual physical exams, and uniforms are provided to offset the costs of volunteering.

- IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY
- A. Unit for which eligibility for program ber fits is determined.

Eligibility is limited to low income individuals aged 60 and over.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Household incomes may not exceed 125 percent of the federal poverty income guidelines. The Director has the authority to adjust the levels to meet local situations. In selected states, the level is 100 percent of the federal poverty income guidelines plus the amount the state supplements the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

For eligibility purposes, income does not include: assets drawn down as withdrawal from a bank; sale of property, house, or car; tax refunds; gifts; one-time insurance payments or compensation for injury; non-cash income.

Once enrolled, a volunter may remain eligible as long as his or her income does not exce a the prescribed level by 20 percent.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Volunteers must also be no longer in the regular work force and determined by a physical exam to be capable of serving without detriment to themselves or to persons served.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake process.

Volunteers are recruited locally through the media, referrals from individuals, service agencies, and a variety of other sources.



B. Program benefits or services.

Stipends, insurance, transportation, meals during service, annual physical exams, and uniforms are provided to offset the costs of volunteering.

Stipends and travel and meal reimbursements are generally provided biweekly or monthly. Physical exams and insurance are provided annually.

The stipend is set by law; other benefits are based on true costs, as determined by local sponsors.

C. Puration of benefits.

The projects are ongoing. No information is available on duration of individual volunteer service.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

The program has no provisions for categorical or automatic eligibility.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Cash income, including cash assistance, is counted in determining eligibility.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Other programs providing employment opportunities for low income elderly persons include the Foster Grandparents Program and the Senior Community Service Employment Program.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources
Subcommittee on Children, Family, Drugs and Alcohol

#### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Human Resources



B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

## House of Representatives

Committee on Government Operations

D. Federal legislation.

The enabling legislation for ACTION's Older American Volunteer Programs, which includes SCP, is Title II of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973 (DVSA), as amended (Pub. L. 93-113, October 1, 1973). The purpose of the Act was to provide a sound legislative foundation from which ACTION could more efficiently and more effectively carry out the programs transferred to it in July 1971.

From 1974 until 1981 the Senior Companion Program (SCP) was authorized under Section 211(b) as an Older American Community Service Program.

In Pub. L. 94-135, Congress moved generally to strengthen the Older American Volunteer Programs (OAVP) administered by ACTION by expanding authorizations for appropriations for the Older American programs, including SCP. The Act further provided that the Agency designate, in each state office, an "aging resource specialist" whose primary responsibility is to support the programs.

Pub. L. 9. 78 provided a three-year extension of the CAVP programs sluding SCP); permitted individuals with incomes up to 125 permitted individuals with incomes up to 125 permitted in the poverty guidelines to be Senior Companions; authorized raise in the stipend for Senior Companions up to \$2.00 per hour if appropriations were increased to make it possible without any reduction in the number of volunteers currently participating in the programs.

Pub. I. 97-35 established SCP at Part C of Title II and provided authority for joint sponsorship of FGP and SCP projects, but called for strengthening the identity of two programs. Congress encouraged the agency to ensure that OAVP project sponsors were



kept informed and consulted on programmatic changes affecting their programs.

Pub. L. 98-288, the 1984 amendments to the DVSA, provided that whenever non-federal contributions to local OAVP projects are in excess of the required match, the Agency may not restrict the manner in which such contributions are expended; increased the stipend for Senior Companions from \$2.00 to \$2.20 per hour; and created the Senior Companion Homebound Elderly Demonstration Projects to address the needs of the homebound and deinstitutionalized elderly by recruiting unpaid volunteer trainers to train Senior Companions to assess the needs of older persons and to provide home care services.



VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 72.008 SENIOR COMPANIONS

|  | Federal<br>Obligations  |
|--|---|
| United States  | \$17,622 (1)  |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado/Wyoming Connecticut Florida Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland/Deleware Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana N. Carolina Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York No. Dak./So. Dak. Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina Tennessee Texas | \$428<br>\$165<br>\$313<br>\$299<br>\$938<br>\$529<br>\$363<br>\$607<br>\$223<br>\$197<br>\$439<br>\$279<br>\$234<br>\$366<br>\$224<br>\$227<br>\$231<br>\$597<br>\$541<br>\$586<br>\$294<br>\$196<br>\$416<br>\$322<br>\$391<br>\$207<br>\$226<br>\$429<br>\$192<br>\$194<br>\$886<br>\$416<br>\$31,225<br>\$210<br>\$435<br>\$195<br>\$194<br>\$200<br>\$351<br>\$712 |
| Utah   | \$460   |
| Virginia/D.C.  | \$390   |
| Washington   | \$416   |
| W. Virginia  | \$197   |
| Wisconsin  | \$213   |
| Puerto Rico/V.I.   | \$294   |
| Hawaii/Guam/Samoa  | \$175   |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.

(1) Does not include grantee funds. Federal funds may equal up to  $90\ \text{percent}$  of total.



# VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 72.008 SENIOR COMPANIONS

| !   | Federal<br>  Obligations  (1)    |
|---|----------------------------------|
| United States   | \$13,352                         |
| United States  Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado/Wyoming Connecticut Florida Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland/Deleware Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana N. Carolina Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York No. Dak./So. Dak. Ohio Okiahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina Tennessee Texas Utah Virginia/D.C. |                                  |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin  | \$260<br>\$186<br>\$192<br>\$184 |
| Puerto Rico/V.I.  <br>Hawaii/Guam/Samoa   | \$111                            |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.

(1) Does not include grantee funds. Federal funds  $\rm Hay\ equal\ up\ to\ 90\ percent\ of\ total.$ 



## IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 72.008 SENIOR COMPANIONS

| i  | Volunteers | <b>!</b> | Handicapped<br>or Disabled        | <b>!</b> ! |
|--|------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| United States  | 5,998      | (1)      | 593                               | (2)        |
| Alabama<br>Alaska  | 144        |          | 11                                |            |
| Ar Izona   | 58<br>63   |          | 5                                 | i i        |
| Arkansas   | 60         |          | 9                                 | ļ          |
| California   | 404        | [ ]      | 2<br>43<br>70<br>13<br>17<br>2    | !!         |
| Colorado/Wyoming   | 154        |          | 70                                |            |
| Connect louit  | 148        |          | 13                                |            |
| Florida  | 157        |          | 17                                |            |
| Georgia<br>Idaho   | 196        | i        | 2                                 |            |
| Illinois   | 145        | İ        |                                   | i i        |
| Indiana  | 86         |          | 17                                |            |
| lowa   | 89         | !!       | 13                                |            |
| Kansas   | 1 30       |          | 17<br>3<br>24<br>7<br>2<br>9<br>3 |            |
| Kentucky   | 78         |          | 7                                 |            |
| Louisiana  | 63         |          | 2                                 |            |
| Maine  | 65         |          | 9                                 |            |
| Maryland/Celeware<br>Massachusetts   | 134<br>158 |          | 3                                 |            |
| Michigan   | 130        |          | l<br>10                           | i          |
| Minnesota  | 207        |          | 18<br>15                          | İ          |
| Mississing:  | 60         |          | 13                                |            |
| Missouri   | 129        |          | 26                                |            |
| Montana  | l 79       |          | 26<br>3                           |            |
| Nebraska   | 70         |          |                                   |            |
| Novada   | 70         |          | 17                                |            |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey  | 160        |          | 2                                 |            |
| New Mexico   | 109<br>72  | ĺ        | •                                 | i          |
| New York   | 649        |          | 93                                |            |
| N. Carolina  | 63         |          | 23                                |            |
| No. Dak./So. Dak.  | 133        |          | 18                                |            |
| Ohlo   | 204        | i        | 18                                |            |
| Ok lahoma  | 70         |          | 12                                |            |
| Oregon   | 169        |          | 18                                |            |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island   | 349        |          | 21                                |            |
| S. Carolina  | 71 60      | i        | 1                                 | i          |
| Tennessee  | 80         | ĺ        | 4                                 | İ          |
| Texas  | 148        |          | 10                                |            |
| Utah !   | 73 !       |          | 4 !                               |            |
| Vermont  | 80<br>139  | I        | 4 !                               |            |
| Virginia/D.C.  | 139        | į        | 4<br>5<br>38                      | - 1        |
| Wash incrton !   | 92         | į        | 38                                | ļ          |
| W. Virginia  | 61         | l        | 4 1                               |            |
| Wisconsin<br>Puerto Rico/V.I.  | 71<br>65   | į        | 1 !                               |            |
| Hawa II/Guam/Samoa   | 99         | i        | 1<br>2<br>2                       | į          |
| THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE | , 55 j     | Í        | Z i                               | i          |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.

(1) Volunteers as of 3/31/85. All are aged 60 or older.
(2) Handicapped means having physical or mental impairments which substantially limit one or more major life activities.



## IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 72.008 SENIOR COMPANIONS

| 1                            | Volunteers  |     | Handicapped<br>or Disabled                  |     |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----|---|-----|
| United States                | 5,271       | (1) | 541   | (2) |
| Alabama                      | 127         |     | 11  |     |
| Alaska                       | 72          |     | 10  | i i |
| Arizona                      | 60          |     | 1   |     |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia       | 252         |     | 38  |     |
| Colorado/Wyoming             | 150         |     | 60  |     |
| Connecticut                  | 151         |     | 18  |     |
| Florida                      | 143         |     | 16  |     |
| Georgia                      | 122         |     | 16<br>2                                     |     |
| Idaho                        | 67          |     | <u></u>                                     |     |
| llllis                       | 149         |     | 1   |     |
| indiana                      | <b>  86</b> |     | 21  |     |
| I OW&                        | 88          |     | _1  | !!  |
| Kansas                       | 74          |     | 20<br>10                                    |     |
| Kentucky                     | 83          |     | 10  |     |
| Louislana :<br>Malne :       | 63 76       |     | Α.  | i i |
| Maryland/Deleware            | 134         | į į | 9   |     |
| Massachusetts                | 122         | 1   | 1   |     |
| Mich Igan                    | 86          |     | 16  |     |
| Minnesota                    | 202         |     | iŏ  |     |
| Mississippi                  | 60          |     | i 'ĭ  |     |
| Missouri                     | 130         |     | 25  |     |
| Montana                      | 78          |     |   |     |
| Nebraska                     | 69          |     | 1   |     |
| Nevada                       | 69          |     | 9<br>8<br>3                                 |     |
| New Hampshire                | 64          |     | 8   |     |
| New Jersey                   | 73          |     | 3   |     |
| New Muxico                   | 40          | i . | 67  |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina      | 435<br>64   | İ   | 67<br>24                                    |     |
| No. Dak./So. Dak.            | 121         | į   | 1 24  |     |
| Ohlo                         | 200         |     | 15  |     |
| Oklahoma                     | 277         | 1   | 14  |     |
| Oregon                       | 145         | İ   | 8   |     |
| Pennsy Ivan Ia               | 302         | į   | 28  | 1 1 |
| Rhode Island                 | 66          | į   | 28<br>3                                     |     |
| S. Carolina                  | 60          | İ   | 1   |     |
| Tennessee                    | 60          | ļ   | 4   |     |
| Texas                        | 151         | ļ   | 5   |     |
| Utah                         | 73          | İ   | 4<br>5<br>29<br>2<br>4<br>34<br>4<br>2<br>2 |     |
| Vermont                      | 79          | İ   | į 2   |     |
| Virginia/D.C.                | 128<br>98   | Ì   | 1 24  |     |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia    | 67          | 1   | 1 34  |     |
| Wisconsin                    | 75          | 1   | 7   |     |
| Puerto Rico/V.I.             | 45          |     | ! 5   |     |
| Hawa I I/Guam/Samoa          | 75          | !   | 1 1   |     |
| Thermal I / Goddiny Godinous | 10          | 1   | 1 '   |     |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.





<sup>(1)</sup> Volunteers as of 3/31/84. All are aged 60 or older.
(2) Handicapped means having physical or mental impairments which substantially limit one or more major life activities.

## XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 72.008 SENIOR COMPANIONS

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Total<br>Federal<br>Appropriations   | Total<br>State<br>Obligations                                  | Volunteers (1)   |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1977<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1960 | \$18,086<br>\$13,516<br>\$11,986<br>\$12,170<br>\$12,824<br>\$10,084<br>\$6,976<br>\$6,940<br>\$3,800<br>\$4,302<br>\$1,595<br>\$2,279 | \$4,591<br>\$3,518<br>\$3,719<br>\$2,851<br>\$2,066<br>\$2,039 | 5,300<br>3,940<br>3,960<br>3,977<br>4,114<br>3,820<br>3,350<br>3,000<br>2,750<br>1,800<br>1,000<br>935 |
| Date Sould   | oo : Mullun auministra   | ILIVA FACORDS  |  |

Data Sources: ACTION administrative records.

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on Federal Volunteer Service Years funded FY '80-85; Volunteer End Strength FY '74-79.

#### PFLL GRANTS

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Pell Grants, the largest source of federal student grant assistance for higher education, provide funds to defray the educational expenses of undergraduate students. Funds are allocated by the Department of Education directly to participating schools; state and local governments play no direct role in the program. The participating schools are responsible for disbursing the grants to the students found eligible by the Department of Education.

In FY 1985, about 2.8 million students received Pell Grants at a total federal cost of about \$3.6 billion. For the 1985-86 school year, the minimum grant was \$200, the maximum was \$2,100, and the average grant was about \$1,230. Over the past 10 years, the program has grown significantly: the number of students assisted has increased about 128 percent and federal costs, in constant dollars, have increased about 98 percent. Pell Grants are funded entirely by the federal government.

Eligibility is limited to undergraduate students enrolled in an eligible institution and who meet a needs test, among other requirements. Need is established annually based on a system approved by Congress and administered by the Department of Education. The system takes into account the income and assets of students and their families and provides a schedule of amounts that they might reasonably be expected to contribute toward educational costs. Recipients must maintain satisfactory academic progress and not be in default or owe refunds on other federal student loans or grants. Males must also register with the Selective Service.

Grant amounts are generally determined by subtracting the expected family contribution from the maximum award for that year. The grant, moreover, may not exceed 60 percent of the cost of attendance or the total cost of attendance minus the expected family contribution. Grants are disbursed either by check or by directly applying the funds to the student's account at school.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Pell Grants.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.063 Budget account number(s): 91-0200-0-1-502.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Higher Education Act of 1965 (Pub. L. 89-329), 20 U.S.C. 1070, as amended most recently by the Higher Education Amendments of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-498).
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR Part 690.
- E. Federal administering agency: Office of Student Financial Assistance, Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Institutions of higher education.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funds are allocated to participating institutions of higher education based on the number of recipients and award amounts in the prior year and adjusted based on current demand. There is no matching requirement. If appropriations are insufficient to finance the authorized program, the Secretary must use limited discretionary authority to reduce awards to less needy students until resulting costs are fully financed.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

State and local governments play no direct role in the program. Some institutions of higher education are public, and, therefore, under state or local administration.

Institutions participating in the Pell Grant Program are responsible primarily for calculating the amount of the grant for each student, based on his or her Student Aid Index, cost of education, and enrollment status (full-time or part-time) and subsequently disbursing that grant to the student in a series of payments (at least two). Institutions also manage and account for the funds allocated to them for the purpose of making grants. Institutions must maintain certain ecords on each recipient and report to the Department on disbursements made. Institutions collect and retain certain affidavits required of recipients, such as the Statement of Selective Service Registration Compliance and the Statement of Educational Purpose. The



institutions perform the determinations of whether the student meets all additional eligibility conditions.

J. Audit or quality control.

Program regulations require periodic audits of the institutions, which are liable for any awards made not in accordance with the law and regulations.

A study of the program in 1982-83 identified a significant amount of error, both by schools and by students. Absolute institutional error was estimated at \$321 million (13 percent of total program expenditures) and net error by institutions was approximately \$99 million (4 percent of expenditures). The net institutional error (overpayments minus underpayments) was approximately \$39 per recipient. Absolute student error was estimated to be \$328 million, with a net error of \$217 million. Per recipient, the absolute error was about \$129, while net error was about \$86.

Penalties for errors include repayment of incorrect awards, limitations applied to the school's participation, suspension of the school's ability to participate, or termination of the school's participation in the program.

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The Higher Education Act states that he purpose of this and other programs of student aid is to assist in making available the benefits of postsecondary education to eligible students... in institutions of higher education."

B. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

Funds are for grants and administrative costs. Institutions receive an allowance of \$5 for each Pell student to help defray the cost of administration.

### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual students are eligible.



### B. Income eligibility standards.

The formulas used to determine the financial strength of the parents and/or applicant (and spouse) are called the Family Contribution Schedule.

The figure resulting from the formulas is called the Student Aid Index (SAI), and represents the theoretical amount of resources that the family can be expected to contribute toward the financing of postsecondary education. These formulas take into account all forms of taxable and untaxed income (except education financial aid) of the parents, student, and student's spouse, the number of household members, the number of household members in postsecondary education, federal and state income taxes paid, excessive medical or dental expenses, tuition paid for elementary and secondary education, employment expenses incurred when both parents work or there is a single head of household, the net value (i.e., less debts) of the home, farm, business, investments, cash and bank accounts, and one-half of annual GI bill or LEAP benefits received by the student. Various offsets are applied to income and assets, and the differences are assessed at different rates, depending on the level of income and dependency status. Special adjustments are made for items such as employment expenses, medical/dental expenses, tuition, and multiple students in postsecondary education.

Income is the primary factor considered in the formulas. After several offsets to account for basic subsistence expenses, taxes, and special nondiscretionary circumstances, the remaining "discretionary" income is assessed at various taxation rates. For the income of the parents of dependent students, these progressive rates, increasing at \$5,000 income increments, are 11 percent, 13 percent, 18 percent, and 25 percent, respectively. The discretionary income of single independent applicants is taxed at 75 percent, and the income of all other independents at 25 percent.

To qualify for receipt of a Pell Grant, the SAI cannot exceed 1,900 (in 1985-86). The lower the SAI the greater the financial need, with an SAI of 0 the lowest (i.e., the most needy).

The following shows a percentage distribution of recipients in award year 1984-85, by total family income level.



| Income Level  | % of Total Recipients           |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Less than \$ 3,000<br>\$ 3,001 - \$ 9,000<br>\$ 9,001 - \$15,000<br>\$20,001 - \$30,000 | 23.4%<br>36.3%<br>29.7%<br>9.3% |
| \$30,001 or more  | 1.3%                            |

The mean AGI reported by Pell Grant recipients (including 369,097 applicants who reported zero AGI) in award period 1984-85 was \$9,245. The average total family income (including untaxed income and one-half GI Bill benefits) before all offsets for 1984-85 recipients was \$8,908.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Students must be enrolled in an institution meeting the following criteria:

- o The institution is in a state (which includes U.S. territories and commonwealths);
- O It admits as regular students only persons who have a high school diploma, or are beyond the age of compulsory school attendance of that school's state and have the ability to benefit from the training offered;
- o The institution must be legally authorized to provide postsecondary education in that state;
- The program must lead to an associate or baccalaureate degree, or a certificate, or prepare students for gainful employment in a recognized occupation;
- The institution must be accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or association;
- o Proprietary (profit-making) or nonprofit vocational institutions must have been in existence for two years.

In addition, students must be U.S. citizens or permanent residents and must carry at least a half-time course load.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

There is no specific spending requirement. The amount varies based on specific family financial circumstances.



- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Cach student applies for a Pell Grant on an annual basis by submitting one of the following applications:

- Application for Federal Student Assistance of the Department of Education;
- O The Family Financial Statement of the American College Testing Program;
- o The Financial Aid Form of the College Scholarship Service;
- The Application for Pennsylvania State Grant and Federal Student Aid of the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency;
- o The Application for Federal and State Student Aid of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission.

The four non-federal application forms collect the information required to calculate the SAI for the Pell Grant Program as well as the eligibility indices for the other Title IV programs. Upon receipt of its respective application from the student, each of the organizations enters the appropriate applicant data onto magnetic tape and, when a sufficient number of records have been entered, sends this tape to the Department of Education processing contractor. This contractor edits the data and computes the SAI for each applicant. The results of the editing and the SAI are then sent to the applicant in a document called the Student Aid Report.

The purpose of this process is to minimize the number of financial aid applications which a student must complete to be considered for the various federal, state, institutional, and private sources of aid available. One application suffices as the basis for awarding several forms of assistance.

B. Program benefits or services.

Grants are disbursed to the student either by a check or by directly applying the funds to the student's tuition and board or other account at the school. Payments must be made in equal amounts at least twice a year or, in the case of semester or quarter term schools, once each term.

The authorizing legislation limits the maximum amount of a grant that the student may receive in any given year. This maximum award has been set in recent years by the appropriations bill and has varied from year to year. For example, the maximum award in FY 1984 was \$1,900, whereas since FY 1985 it has been \$2,100. In general, the actual award a student may receive is determined by



subtracting the expected family contribution from the maximum award for that year.

The grant is further limited in that it may not exceed 60 percent of the student's cost of attendance. Further, no pell Grant may exceed the student's "need," defined as the cost of attendance minus the expected family contribution. The law also states that no award may be made that is less than \$200. Finally, the amount a given student may receive must be reduced in accordance with his enrollment status if he or she is enrolled on a less than full-time basis.

These conditions are determined and applied by the institution of higher education which the recipient attends or plans to attend and is determined on a student by student basis.

C. Duration of benefits.

Pell Grant eligibility may not exceed five academic years, in the case of a program normally requiring four years or less, or six academic years, in the case of a program normally requiring more than four years.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility for this program.

None.

B. Counting the assistance from other programs.

Any cash benefit that is received from another assistance program that is noneducational in its specific purpose is considered income for purposes of Pell Grant.

This includes benefits received from:

- o All Social Security Administration programs;
- o Job Training Partnership Act noneducational benefits;
- o Veterans' Administration noneducational benefits;
- O Aid to Families with Dependent Children;
- One-half of annual veterans' educational benefits received under Chapters 34 and 35 of title 38, U.S.C.;

Benefits received from other educational aid programs reduce need and thereby reduce the Pell Grant amount as well.



C. Gverlapping authorities and benefits.

Federal low income assistance programs which provide assistance to all or a large part of the same population are:

- o State Student Incentive Grants;
- o Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants;
- o Perkins Loans (formerly National Direct Student Loans);
- o Guaranteed Student Loans;
- o College Work Study;

In general, since the Pell Grant Program is focused on the financially needy, it will by definition overlap to some extent with other programs serving low income populations. Thus, some overlap in the populations served by programs such as Pell Grants, Aid to Families with Dependent Children, and Food Stamps is to be expected. This is not to say there is "duplication," between Pell Grants and these programs, because the funds work to different purposes in meeting a family's needs.

There is also some degree of overlap in the populations served by the various federal student aid programs because the limitations these programs place on need analysis and award limits are intended to ensure that federal subsidies pay for only a portion of the student's college expenses. For example, a Pell Grant may not exceed 60 percent of the student's cost of education and may not exceed \$2,100 (most students receive considerably less based on their SAI). Since costs of education are frequently much higher than this, the Pell Grant is supplemented with combinations of parental and student resources, grants, loans or work-study income. Each financial aid "package" for a specific student is custom fit to the student's financial circumstances and the types and amounts of financial aid available at that institution. Thus, at a low-cost school, the Pell Grant may be sufficient to meet more than half of the student's need, whereas at a higher-cost school, the student may require two or more forms of assistance, such as GI Bill, loans, grants, etc.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senute

Committee on Labor and Human Resources
Subcommittee on Education, Arts and Humanities



### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

Higher Education Act of 1965 Established the Educational Opportunity Grant (EOG) Program, a predecessor to the Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant (SEOG) and Basic Educational Opportunity Grant (BEOG), later renamed the Pell Grant Program. EOG was a need-based, campus-based postsecondary education financial aid program.

Education Amendments of 1972 - Established the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant (BEOG) Program, later renamed the Pell Grant Program, as a need-based, quasi-entitlement program.

Education Amendments of 1976 - Raised the maximum award to \$1,800; established the "multiple data entry" application processing system; modified the definition of "institution of higher education"; enacted provisions for information dissemination activities to prospective and enrolled students regarding federal financial assistance by institutions and the Office of Education (DHEW); added as an offset to income the tuition expenses of other dependent children enrolled in elementary or secondary education.

Middle Income Student Assistance Act (1978) - Expanded eligibility in the BEOG (Pell Grant) Program for dependent students whose parents (with a family of four) received up to \$25,000 (note: this was a general guideline, not a precise limit) by lowering income tax rates and raising asset reserves; made treatment of assets of married independent applicants and independents with dependents identical to that of the parents of



dependent applicants; increased the family size offset of single independent applicants to cover expenses for the entire year.

Education Amendments of 1980 - Raised the maximum award to \$1,900 and set the maximum Pell Grant amounts out to 1985-86 and indexed the "percent of cost" limit on awards to the maximum award. Modified the formula for reducing awards in less-than-full-funding circumstances; renamed "Pell Grants"; removed any time limit on receipt of grant; established administrative allowance to institutions of \$10 per recipient; liberalized cost of education rules; mandated common need analysis for Pell Grants and "campus-based" programs (never implemented); provided for a free application form; specified that recipients must maintain satisfactory academic progress and not be in default of a federal loan or owe Federal financial aid funds at the same institution.

1981 Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act - Set maximum award for 1981-82 at \$1,750; reduced each Pell Grant by \$80; suspended authorization of administrative allowance for 1981-82; waived liberalized cost of attendance rules for 1981-82.

Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 - Set authorization ceilings for FY 1983, FY 1984, and FY 1985 at \$2.650 billion, \$2.800 billion and \$3.000 billion, respectively; authorized administrative allowance for institutions of \$5 per recipient for 1982-83.

Joint Continuing Resolution for FY 1982 - "Decoupled" the need analysis formulas of the Pell Grant and "campus-based" programs; modified 1982-83 award formula to subtract GI Bill and student social security educational benefits from cost of education in determining award amount; gave Secretary authority to set cost of education rules for 1983-84.

Defense Authorization Act - Required certain individuals to have registered with the Selective Service in order to receive Pell Grants and other Title IV aid.

Supplemental Appropriations for FY 1982 - Specified that only one-third of the GI Bill be subtracted from cost of education in determining award amounts in 1982-83.

Student Financial Assistance Technical Amendments of 1982 - Specifie a maximum award of \$1,800, with a 50 percent of cost limit on awards; extended 1982-83 cost of education rules to 1983-84 and 1984-85; continued separate need analyses for Pell Grant and campus-based programs through 1984-85; specified the procedure by which family size offset are to be indexed by the CPI; indexed family size offsets for 1983-84 by 7.3 percent and rounded to the nearest \$100; specified the use of "resent and relevant data" when modifying family contribution schedules for 1983-84, otherwise maintaining 1982-83 schedules; established a "linear" method of reducing awards (down to \$100 minimum) when there are insufficient funds to fully fund the Program.



Student Loan Consolidation and Technical Amendments of 1982 - Revised cost of education rules for 1984-85 to raise room and board allowance for students living off-campus but not with a parent up to a maximum of \$1,600. as determined by the institution; extended separate need analyses through 1985-86; extended 1983-84 family contribution schedules through 1985-86; extended definition of independent student through 1985-86.

Education Amendments of 1984 - Extended cost of education rules, family contribution schedules, and independent student definition through 1986-87.

Appropriations Act of 1985 - Set maximum award at \$2,100 for 1985-86.

Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 - Excluded from income any proceeds from the sale of a farm or business if the sale results from a foreclosure, forfeiture, or bankruptcy; prevents student from receipt of Title IV funds if in default or owes money for a Title IV program at any institution.

Higher Education Amendments of 1986 - Codified Pell need analysis in statute and liberalized provisions by adding a deduction for state and local income taxes paid. Set cost-of-attendance percent coverable by Pell at 60 percent, independent of maximum award. Provided for maximum award of \$2,300 in FY 1987, increasing by \$200 each year thereafter (FY 1987 maximum then set at \$2,100 in appropriation action). Extended eligibility to less-than-half-time students beginning in FY 1989. Reinstated a time limit on eligibility (5 years for a 4-year program, 6 years for program of more than 4 years). Authorized student aid administrators to revise Pell awards at their discretion.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

November 6, 1974 - Set forth general definitions and rules used in the BEOG Program, student eligibility criteria, allowable educational costs, duration of student eligibility, application procedures, formulas for calculation of award amounts, and extraordinary circumstances affecting the expected family contribution determination (whereby estimated income, rather than actual prior year data, would be used to compute eligibility).

December 1, 1977 - Set forth regulations implementing the student consumer information requirements of the Education Amendments of 1976; established rules and procedures whereby institutions will disseminate information to students concerning the academic programs of the institution and the financial assistance programs available to students at that institution.

December 23, 1977 - Established the procedures which the Commissioner of Education could use to suspend, limit, or



terminate the eligibility of an institution for programs under Title IV of the Higher Education Act (BEOG, NDSL, GSL, SEOG, and College-Work Study) when the institution is believed to have violated applicable laws or regulations.

January 29, 1979 - Revised and consolidated all BEOG regulations other than the Family Contributions Schedules; more clearly defined the administration of the program and implemented relevant portions of the Education Amendments of 1976 and the Middle Income Student Assistance Act; established regulatory basis for BEOG student validation effort whereby selected applicants must verify the accuracy of their reported data and can be denied aid if they fail to do so.

May 30, 1979 - Revision to 1979-80 family contribution formula to calculate the family size offset for single independent persons in the same manner as for other family sizes and to provide the same treatment of assets for independents with other dependents in the same manner as dependent students' parents.

September 28, 1979 Established minimum standards regarding audits, financial responsibility, and administrative capabilities that an institution must meet to participate in BEOG and other programs authorized under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965.

June 24, 1980 - Intended to reduce administrative burden of institutions, eliminate inequities affecting student applicants, and made technical changes to correct or clarify certain regulation language.

December 30, 1980 - Implemented the provisions of the Education Amendments of 1980, such as renaming the program as Pell Grants, referencing the newly established Department of Education and the Secretary, eliminating the four-year limit on eligibility, tying the percent of cost limit on awards to the maximum award amount, and establishing in regulations the award reduction formula in cases when the program is not fully funded.

December 31, 1980 - Established definitions, special terms, and provisions that are common to all Title IV student financial assistance programs in general program areas, as well as special areas such as audit standards, financial responsibility, disposition of refunds, student consumer information services, and procedures to fine, limit, suspend, or terminate institutions from the program.

January 21, 1981 - Implemented provisions of the Education Amendments of 1980 to provide more liberal treatment of costs of education and prohibit receipt of Title IV funds if student is already in default of and Title IV funds at the same institution.



<u>July 22, 1981</u> - Revoked and replaced the cost of education regulations published on January 21, 1981, and reinstated previous treatment.

July 6, 1983 - Announced the schedule for implementation of the "Solomon Amendment" to the FY 1983 Defense Department Authorization Act that required students to fulfill Selective Service registration obligations as a condition of Title IV eligibility.

October 6, 1983 - Specified new conditions for the establishment and enforcement of satisfactory academic progress standards.

June 28, 1985 - Implemented Selective Service registration requirement provisions.

March 14, 1986 - Comprehensive rules for the selection and verification of income and family information provided by applicants; key features included targeting on error-profile, verification of gross income, household size, number of family members enrolled in postsecondary education, untaxed income, Social Security benefits, and independent status.



VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.063 PELL GRANTS

| <b>,</b>  | Grants to   |
|---|---|
| United States   | \$3,634,657   |
| Alabaka Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawali Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Hexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee | \$3,634,657<br>\$70,775<br>\$2,050<br>\$80,072<br>\$39,921<br>\$279,730<br>\$38,674<br>\$21,223<br>\$5,018<br>\$11,684<br>\$123,198<br>\$57,629<br>\$6,812<br>\$14,824<br>\$181,035<br>\$79,865<br>\$61,569<br>\$40,919<br>\$63,269<br>\$76,519<br>\$14,647<br>\$42,943<br>\$68,875<br>\$135,923<br>\$84,076<br>\$51,099<br>\$82,274<br>\$16,960<br>\$31,371<br>\$8,046<br>\$7,774<br>\$69,717<br>\$20,241<br>\$416,881<br>\$67,047<br>\$19,618<br>\$166,282<br>\$44,854<br>\$46,203<br>\$163,193<br>\$12,326<br>\$43,005<br>\$23,222<br>\$72,220 |
| Texas   | \$143,482   |
| Utah  | \$30,558  |
| Vermor∴   | \$6,963   |
| Virginia  | \$60,078  |
| Washington  | \$59,780  |
| W. Virginia   | \$27,504  |
| Wisconsin   | \$77,569  |
| Wyoming   | \$5,529   |
| Guam  | \$1,478   |
| Puerto Rico   | \$257,537   |
| Virgin Islands  | \$549   |

Data Sources: Pell Grant Management Reports, 1985-86.

VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.063 PELL GRANTS

|                               | Grants to              |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| !                             | Institutions           |
| United States                 | \$3,057,551            |
| Alabaina                      | \$58,793<br>\$1,581    |
| Alaska                        | \$1,581                |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas           | \$53,934               |
| California                    | \$33,116<br>\$226,385  |
| Colorado                      | \$226,385<br>\$31,964  |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware       | \$19,033               |
| D. C.                         | \$4,543<br>\$10,782    |
| Florida !                     | <b>  \$105,869    </b> |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii             | <b>  \$48,610    </b>  |
| Idaho                         | \$5,915<br>\$11,791    |
| Illinois                      | \$152,418              |
| Indiana                       | <b>\$66,358 }</b>      |
| lowa<br>Kansas                | i \$4/,521 i i         |
| Kentucky                      | \$33,508<br>\$53,911   |
| Louislana                     | \$55,620<br>\$13,513   |
| Maine<br>Maryland             | \$13,513               |
| Massachusetts                 | \$37,939<br>\$66,004   |
| Michigan                      | \$120,592<br>\$68,357  |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi      | \$68,357               |
| Missouri                      | \$43,239<br>\$65,781   |
| Montana                       | i \$12,/95;            |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada            | \$25,255               |
| New Hampshire                 | \$5,534<br>\$8 194     |
| New Jersey                    | \$8,194<br>\$65,567    |
| New Mexico<br>New York        | <b>\$16.982</b>        |
| N. Carolina                   | \$371,257<br>\$57,758  |
| N. Dakota                     | \$15,253               |
| Ohlo                          | <b>\$</b> 138,444      |
| Oklahoma<br>Oregon            | \$35,253               |
| Pennsylvania ¦                | \$37,714<br>\$146,820  |
| Rhode Island !                | <b>  \$</b> 12.834     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota      | \$37,791<br>\$18,433   |
| Tennessee                     | \$62,392               |
| Texas                         | \$112,878              |
| Utah<br>Vermont               | \$24,739               |
| Virginia i                    | \$6,968<br>\$52,005    |
| washington !!                 | \$45.487 ! !           |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin      | <b>\$23,688</b>        |
| Wyoming                       | \$63,598<br>\$4,235    |
| Guam                          | \$1,236                |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin islands | \$196,925              |
|                               | \$442                  |

Data Sources: Pell Grant Management Reports, 1984-85.

### IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.063 PELL GRANTS

| United States 2,807,278  Alabama 59,136 Alaska 1,608 Arizona 63,430 Arkansas 31,677 California 224,344 Colorado 29,517 Connecticut 17,611 Delaware 3,964 D. C. 9,099 Florida 96,707 Georgia 44,698 Hawaii 5,446 Idaho 11,207 Iilinois 143,430 Indiana 63,587 Lowa 45,940  | Student  <br>  Recipients  | 1) |
|---|--|----|
| Alaska 1,608 Arizona 63,430 Arkansas 31,677 California 224,344 Colorado 29,517 Connecticut 17,611 Delaware 3,964 D. C. 9,099 Florida 96,707 Georgia 44,698 Hawaii 5,446 Idaho 11,207 Illinois 143,430 Indiana 63,587 Lowa 45,940  |  | "  |
| Kansas       32,936         Kentucky       49,879         Louislana       57,965         Maine       11,232         Maryland       34,734         Massachusetts       53,994         Michigan       108,669         Minnesota       64,181         Mississippi       39,227         Missouri       63,230         Montana       12,958         Nebraska       25,594         Newada       7,039         New Hampshire       6,320         New Jersey       53,715         New Mexico       16,595         New York       312,148         N. Carolina       55,054         N. Dakota       14,414         Ohlo       128,074         Oklahoma       38,112         Oregon       35,096         Pennsylvania       125,447         Rhode Island       10,183         S. Carolina       33,401         S. Dakota       16,621         Tennessee       54,502         Texas       123,903         Utah       23,133         Vermont       5,658         Virginia       21,618 | Section   Color   Co |    |

Data Sources: Pell Grant Management Reports, 1985-86.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



### IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.063 PELL GRANTS

|  | Stude<br>  Rec'pl   | ent  <br> ents  (1)  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| United States  | 2,825   | 5,970  |  |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming | 50<br>51<br>52<br>31<br>221<br>221<br>31<br>221<br>31<br>321<br>321 | 5,970<br>0,145<br>1,579<br>2,290<br>1,195<br>1,014<br>1,223<br>1,321<br>1,283<br>1,314<br>1,978<br>1,594<br>1,594<br>1,983<br>1,594<br>1,983<br>1,509<br>1,777<br>1,642<br>1,863<br>1,509<br>1,777<br>1,642<br>1,983<br>1,509<br>1,777<br>1,642<br>1,863<br>1,578<br>1,419<br>1,567<br>1,407<br>1,578<br>1,419<br>1,567<br>1,407<br>1,578<br>1,419<br>1,567<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,407<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,507<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1,508<br>1, |  |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands  | 159,  | 765<br>700<br>475  |  |

Data Sources: Pell Grant Management Reports, 1984-85.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.

### X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PFR UNIT SERVED (1) 84.063 PELL GRANTS

| ;   | Cost Per<br>  Recipient |
|---|-------------------------|
| United States   | \$1,295                 |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawali Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Hexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico |                         |
| Virgin Islands  | \$1,198                 |

Data Sources: Pell Grant Management Reports, 1985-86.

(1) Based on undupilicated annual count.

### X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.063 PELL GRANTS

| •                           | Cost Per<br>  Recipient                                    |
|-----------------------------|--|
| In Ideal Obstace            |  |
| United States               | \$1,075  |
| Alabama                     | \$978  |
| Alaska                      | \$1,002  |
| Ar Izona<br>Arkansas        | \$1,031  |
| California                  | \$1,062<br>\$1,024   |
| Colorado                    | \$1,094  |
| Connect   cut               | \$985  |
| Delaware<br>D. C.           | \$1,061  |
| Fiorida                     | \$1,158<br>\$1,038   |
| Georgia                     | \$1,043  |
| Hawa II                     | \$1,090  |
| Idaho                       | \$1,091  |
| IIIInois<br>Indiana         | \$1,051  |
| lowa                        | \$1,062<br>\$1,111   |
| Kansas                      | \$1,059  |
| Kentucky                    | <b>  \$1,081    </b>                                       |
| Louisiana<br>Maine          | \$1,042  |
| Maryland                    | \$1,152<br>\$1,008   |
| Massachusetts !             | \$1,109  |
| Michigan                    | \$1,054  |
| Minnesota                   | \$1,110  |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri     | \$1,070  |
| Montana                     | \$1,068<br>\$1,045   |
| Nebraska                    | <b>†</b> \$1,026 <b>; ;</b>                                |
| Nevada                      | \$979  |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey | \$1,115  |
| New Mexico                  | \$1,100<br>\$991   |
| New York                    | \$1,134  |
| N. Carolina                 | \$1,023  |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo           | \$1,141  |
| Ok lahoma                   | \$1,086<br>\$1,011   |
| Oregon                      | \$1,107  |
| Pennsy I van la             | \$1,107<br>\$1,134   |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina | \$1,052  |
| S. Dakota                   | \$1,069<br>\$1,168   |
| Tennessee !                 | \$1,077  |
| Texas                       | <b>\$916</b>   |
| Utah<br>Vermont             | \$1,091  |
| Virginia                    | \$1,136<br>\$1,079   |
| Mashington ;                | \$1,069  |
| W. Virginia 👯               | \$1,095 }  |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming        | \$1,072  |
| Guam                        | \$1,002<br>\$700   |
| Puerto Rico                 | \$1,233  |
| Virgin Islands              | \$930  |
|                             | حت مصبحت مديدية فتصحب وليديل بمناجئ وينونون وليديد التعطيب |

Data Sources: Peli Grant Management Reports, 1984-85.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



### XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.063 PELL GRANTS

| Federa<br>Fiscal<br>Year   | - | Total<br>Federal<br>Appropriation  | (1) | Student<br>Recipients   | (2) |  |
|--|---|--|-----|---|-----|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 |   | \$3,788,000<br>\$3,061,000<br>\$2,494,000<br>\$2,419,000<br>\$2,310,000<br>\$2,381,000<br>\$1,561,000<br>\$1,588,000<br>\$1,475,000<br>\$356,000<br>\$50,000 |     | 2,809,074<br>2,827,804<br>2,880,640<br>2,610,591<br>2,780,167<br>2,841,710<br>2,716,000<br>1,913,000<br>2,027,000<br>1,947,000<br>176,000 |     |  |

Data Sources: Office of Postsecondary Education, Program Book

<sup>(1)</sup> Pell Grant Program (formerly the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant (BEOG)) was established in Fiscal Year 1973.
(2) Based on unduplicated annual count.

# GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR EDUCATIONALLY DEPRIVED CHILDREN

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The program provides federal funds to assist state and local educational agencies (LEAs) to meet the special needs of educationally deprived children. The federal Department of Education allocates funds to states according to a statutory formula; state educational agencies are responsible for administering the program and allocating funds. Local school districts that apply for and receive grants provide compensatory instruction for the educationally deprived children residing in areas with concentrations of children from low income families.

In FY 1985, \$3.2 billion was appropriated and about 14,000 school districts serving about five million children received grants. The statutory formula for allocating funds is based primarily on the number of children from families with incomes below the 1980 federal poverty guidelines and on a share of the state's per pupil expenditures. There are no matching requirements for funds from nonfederal sources. Each LEA is guaranteed a minimum grant equal to at least 85 percent of its allocation the preceding year.

The funds are intended to supply educational services not available from other sources and therefore must supplement, not supplant, services normally supplied by states and localities. Typical uses of LEA funds are to provide remedial instruction in reading, language arts, and mathematics. Additional teachers and teacher aides provide more individualized instruction in these basic skills program. Compensatory services are also provided in summer programs to enable students to improve their basic skills.

Eligibility is limited to educationally deprived mildren residing in attendance areas in each LEA having the highest concentrations of children from low income families. An educationally deprived child is one who is not performing at the level appropriate for his or her grade as determined by the school on the basis of an annual needs assessment. While most services go to students in grades one through six, funds may also be used for educational preschool programs and for services to any student under age 21 who is not above grade 12.



### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Grants to Local Educational Agencies for Educationally Deprived Children.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.010 Budget account number(s): 91-0900-0-1-501.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Pub. L. 97-35 enacted August 13, 1981, as amended, December 8, 1983, by Fub. L. 98-211, and June 12, 1984, by Pub. L. 98-312.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR Parts 200 and 204.
- E. Federal administering agency: Department of Education.
- Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States; insular areas.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Local educational agencies.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

The LEA Chapter 1 program is federally funded through an annual appropriation. Chapter 1 funds are provided to state and local educational agencies in accordance with the provisions of the statute. There are no requirements that state or other funds, or commitments of time or services, be used to match federal funds. The federal funds are allocated as follows.

State and County Allocations. The Department of Education (ED) determines, in accordance with the statutory formula, the portion of the annual Chapter 1 appropriation that each county in the United States is eligible to receive. The formula includes the number of children aged 5-17 in: (1) families with incomes below the federal poverty income guidelines according to 1980 Census data; (2) families above the 1980 poverty guidelines receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) payments; (3) institutions operated for neglected or delinquent children, other than those that are state-operated; (4) foster homes supported by public funds. The count of eligible children is multiplied by a share of the state's average expenditure per pupil, within certain limits prescribed in the law. eligible for funding, a school district or a county must have at least ten poor children. Adjustments are made to prevent the allocation to any county from being reduced to less than 85 percent of its allocation for the preceding year. A state's allocation is determined by aggregating the allocations of all counties within the state.



Local Educational Agency (LEA) Allocations. The State Educational Agencies (SEAs) are responsible for distributing each county's allocation among the LEAs within the county. The Chapter 1 regulations require that SEAs determine LEA allocations on the basis of the best available data on the number of children from low income families in the school districts. This permits the SEAs flexibility for selecting the data source for LEA allocations. They may use data that they determine are more accurate and more current than the 1980 Census poverty data required by the statutory formula for county allocations. SEAs, however, continue to use the statutory formula because of the unavailability of other poverty data for their school districts. Other SEAs determine LEA allocations using data from their AFDC or school lunch programs or a combination of those data and Census data. Under a "hold harmless" provision, each LEA is guaranteed a minimum grant of at least 85 percent of its preceding year's allocation.

The LEAs are notified of their allocations and plan Chapter 1 programs within the limits of the available funding. During each fiscal year, the SEA is required to determine if any LEA has received an allocation that exceeds the amount required for the operation of its Chapter 1 program. The SEA may reallocate these excess funds to other LEAs where the poverty population has increased and the Chapter 1 allocation is insufficient to meet the needs of the educationally deprived children.

Insular Areas. Of the Chapter 1 annual appropriation, one percent is authorized for the Territories and the Department of the Interior. There is a hold harmless provision to ensure at least the same level of funding as in FY 1976 for Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

Programs for Indian Children. Funds are allotted to the Secretary of the Interior to make payment to local educational agencies on behalf of out-of-state Indian children in the elementary and secondary schools of such agencies under special contracts with the Department of the Interior. Funds are also allocated to the Secretary of the Interior for elementary and secondary schools operated for Indian children by the Department of Interior.

I. Pole of state and local governments in administering the program.

SEAs carry out such administrative responsibilities as suballocating funds to local educational agencies, approving applications, monitoring and auditing LEA Chapter 1 projects, providing technical assistance, ensuring compliance with the statute and regulations, collecting data and conducting evaluations, and reporting to the federal government.



LEAs design, carry out, and evaluate Chapter 1 projects providing educational services to educationally disadvantaged children in accordance with state and federal guidelines.

J. Audit or quality control.

In accordance with the Single Audit Act (31 U.S.C. 7501), state and local governments that receive \$100,000 or more a year in federal financial assistance shall have an audit made for that year. State and local governments that receive between \$25,000 and \$100,000 a year shall have an audit made in accordance with OMB Circular No. A-128 or in accordance with federal laws and regulations governing the program in which they participate. Section 452 of the General Education Provision Act requires SEAs to repay to the United States amounts found not to be expended in accordance with the statute.

During FY 1985 and 1986, the Department issued final audit determinations on 15 audits of Title I/ Chapter 1 programs. The audits were conducted by federal and non-federal auditors. In addition, final determinations were issued on 12 single audits of SEAs which included Title I/ Chapter 1 funds. The auditors questioned or disallowed costs totaling \$9.2 million; subsequently, the Department's determinations required states to refund \$4.8 million. Principal violations in audits included: use of federal funds to supplant state and local funds; failure to document salaries of employees paid from more than one funding source; assignment of staff to unallowable activities; expenditure of lapsed funds.

### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

Chapter 1 programs provide financial assistance to state and local educational agencies to meet the special educational needs of educationally deprived children in areas with concentrations of children from low income families.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Chapter 1 provides financial assistance to state and local educational agencies to operate supplemental educational programs to meet the special needs of educationally deprived children residing in low income areas. Instruction is provided primarily in basic skills: language arts, reading, and mathematics. There are no specific allocations for separate activities.

Chapter 1 funds may be used to purchase equipment if the equipment is needed for a Chapter 1 project and is acquired at a reasonable cost. The LEA must establish that the equipment is essential to the project and is not otherwise available. While



most of the Chapter 1 funds provide services in grades one through six, Chapter 1 funds may be used for preschool programs, if the focus of such programs is on services designed to address the educational needs of the students and not just day care services.

According to the District Practices Study, school districts spent nearly 80 percent of their funds on instructional services in the 1981-82 school year.

#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

The unit for which eligibility is determined is an educationally deprived student residing in an eligible attendance area. An educationally deprived child is a child who is not performing at the level appropriate for his or her grade level. The LEA conducts an annual assessment of educational needs which identifies educationally deprived children in all public or private schools.

The LEAs are also responsible for providing compensatory education services to children residing in institutions for the neglected or delinquent that are not state-operated.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Individual means-tests are not applied. One factor in allocation of funds is the number of children from families with incomes below poverty guidelines.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Chapter 1 funds may be used in eligible attendance areas for a preschool project for educationally deprived children under the age of five or for an educationally deprived child under 21 years of age who is not above grade 12.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

In accordance with the Chapter 1 regulations, an LEA that receives Chapter 1 funds shall operate Chapter 1 projects that are: (1) conducted in school attendance areas of the LEA



having the highest concentration of low income children; or (2) located in all school attendance areas of the LEA if the LEA has a uniformly high concentration of low income children. An attendance area is the geographical area in which the children who are normally served by a school reside.

Educationally deprived children in the eligible areas are identified and selected for Chapter 1 services on the basis of an annual needs assessment. The resources available for each attendance area are determined by the LEA on the basis of the needs and numbers of children to be served.

In the 1984-85 school year, the number of children provided Chapter 1 services by LEAs was 4,919,112.

B. Program benefits or services.

Chapter 1 provides financial assistance to state and local educational agencies to operate supplemental educational programs to meet the special needs of educationally deprived children residing in low income areas.

Examples of how Chapter 1 funds may be used include:

- Remedial instruction in reading, language arts, and mathematics to improve the achievement level of children who are below grade level in these subjects.
- Summer programs which enable students to improve their achievement or which reemphasize material taught in regular classes.
- In-service training for teachers and aides to improve their services to Chapter 1 children. School districts, and not the federal government, decide which instructional approaches and methods to use.

Chapter 1 funds may be used for support services on an individual basis for needy children participating in a Chapter 1 program. These services may include food, medical and dental services, and clothing.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information on average duration of participation is available.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility.

None.



B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Individual means-tests are not applied.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Chapter 1 provides supplementary educational services to meet the special educational needs of educationally deprived children, including preschoolers, residing in low income areas. Chapter 1 children could also receive services under the Social Services Block Grant and Head Start, which may provide educational services. The Follow Through program may provide comprehensive educational services to school children as well.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Education, Arts, and Humanities

### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Education

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

### House of Representative

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, Pub. L. 89-10 -- Financial Assistance to Meet Special Educational Needs of Children. Title I provided financial assistance through



SEAs to other state agencies and school districts to operate supplemental programs to meet the special educational needs of educationally deprived children who live in low income areas. Title I authorized funds for programs operated by LEAs which were designed to meet the special educational needs of children in low income areas and for programs operated by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian affairs, for Indian children.

It also authorized funds for programs operated by state agencies for migratory children, handicapped children in state schools, or children who have left those schools and returned to schools in LEAs, children who reside in institutions for neglected or delinquent children, and to states to administer Title I programs.

Chapter 1 of the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act of 1981 Pub. 1. 97-35 -- Financial Assistance to Meet Special Educational Needs of Disadvantaged Children. The statute supersedes Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act but retains the same purpose and basic provisions.

Chapter 1 is intended to provide assistance for educationally deprived children but do so in a manner which will eliminate bur some, unnecessary, and unproductive paperwork, and free the schools of unnecessary federal supervision, direction, and control. Chapter 1 also seeks to provide assistance without overly prescriptive regulations and administrative burdens which are not necessary for fiscal accountability and make no contribution to the instructional programs.

Chapter 1 was amended by Pub. L. 98-211 on December 8, 1983, and Pub. L. 98-312 on June 12, 1984. The former reinstated many provisions from the predecessor Title I program. The latter affected only the program for migratory children.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

The original Title I regulations, which appeared in the Federal Register (FR) on September 15, 1965, were published at 45 CFR Part 116 and defined the basic structure of the Title I program. The program was modified in a number of minor details throughout the 1960s and 1970s with attendant publications in the FR. Regulations to implement major legislative changes were published on January 19, 1981, in the FR as 45 CFR Parts 200 and 201. The first Chapter 1 regulations were published in FR on November 19, 1982 as 34 CFR Part 200. On April 30, 1985, 34 CFR Parts 200, 201, 203, and 204 dealing with migratory children and neglected and delinquent children in institutions, among other subjects, were published. Regulations implementing Pub. L. 98-211, which restored many provision from Title I, were published in FR on May 19, 1986.



VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 84.010 GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR EDUCATIONALLY DEPRIVED CHILDREN

|                                       | Benefits               | Administration   | (2)   Total                            |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| United States                         | \$3,003,680            | \$34,414         | \$3,038,094                            |
| Alabama<br>Alaska                     | \$62,195<br>\$5,063    | \$611<br>\$225   | \$62_806<br>\$5,288                    |
| Arizona                               | \$32,387               | \$381            | \$32,768                               |
| Arkansas                              | \$35,959               | <b>\$399</b> !   | \$36,359                               |
| California                            | \$279,868              | \$3,389          | \$283,256                              |
| Colorado                              | \$28,457               | <b>\$319  </b>   | \$28,777                               |
| Connecticut                           | \$32,460               | \$363            | \$32,823                               |
| Delaware<br>D. C.                     | \$8,458<br>\$13,628    | \$225<br>\$225   | \$8,683  <br>\$13,853                  |
| Floi Ida                              | \$123,801              | \$1,422          | \$125,223                              |
| Georgia                               | \$79,728               | \$805            | \$80,533                               |
| Hawall                                | \$9,605                | \$225            | \$9,830                                |
| Idaho                                 | \$8,623                | \$225            | \$8-848                                |
| IIIInois                              | \$146,758              | \$1,620          | \$148,378                              |
| Indiana                               | \$44,606               | \$471            | \$45,077                               |
| lowa<br>Kansas                        | \$26,856<br>\$21,276   | \$263<br>\$235   | \$27,120<br>\$21,512                   |
| Kentucky                              | \$52,880               | \$545            | \$53,425                               |
| Louisiana                             | \$89,818               | \$962            | \$53,425<br>\$90,780                   |
| Maine                                 | \$12,221               | \$225            | \$12,446                               |
| Maryland                              | <b>\$51,388</b>        | \$518            | \$51,906 }                             |
| Massachusetts                         | \$67,796               | \$788            | \$68,584                               |
| Michigan                              | \$121,864              | \$1,298          | \$123,163                              |
| Minnescta<br>Mississippi              | \$36,810               | \$368<br>\$577   | \$37,178                               |
| Missouri :                            | \$57,451<br>\$48,275   | \$485            | \$58,028<br>\$48,759                   |
| Montana                               | \$9,644                | \$225            | \$9,869                                |
| Nebraska                              | \$15,480               | \$225            | \$15,705                               |
| Nevada                                | \$5,521                | \$225            | \$5,746                                |
| New Hampshire                         | \$6,828                | \$225            | \$7,053<br>\$100,230                   |
| New Jersey                            | \$99,222               | \$1,008          |  |
| New Mexico<br>New York                | \$25,410               | \$265            | \$25,674                               |
| N. Carolina                           | \$315,747<br>\$72,533  | \$3,295<br>\$751 | \$319,042   \$73,284                   |
| N. Dakota                             | \$7,460                | \$225            | \$7,694                                |
| Ohlo                                  | \$103,813              | \$1,055          | \$104,868                              |
| Ok lahoma 💮                           | \$36,547               | \$373 }          | <b>\$36,920</b>                        |
| Oregon                                | \$27,228               | \$358            | <b>\$27,586 }</b>                      |
| Pennsylvania                          | \$143,490              | \$1,494          | \$144,985                              |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina           | \$10,662  <br>\$46,953 | \$225<br>\$460   | \$10,887  <br>\$47,413                 |
| S. Dakota                             | \$9,181                | \$225            | \$9,406                                |
| Tennessee                             | \$62,679               | \$610            | \$63,288                               |
| Texas                                 | \$183,937              | \$2,334          | \$186,270                              |
| Utah                                  | <b>\$10,333</b>        | \$225 !          | <b>  \$10,558   </b>                   |
| Vermont                               | \$6,147                | \$225            | \$6,372                                |
| Virginia   Washington                 | \$56,822               | \$560            | \$57,382                               |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia             | \$34,578<br>\$28,329   | \$435<br>\$278   | \$35,013<br>\$28,608                   |
| Wisconsin                             | \$43,907               | \$449            | \$44,355                               |
| Wyoming                               | 3,723                  | \$225            | \$3,948                                |
| Guam                                  | <b>  \$1,717  </b>     | \$50             | <b>\$1,767  </b>                       |
| Puerto Rico                           | <b>\$104,931</b>       | \$1,019          | \$105,950                              |
| Virgin Islands                        | \$3,354                | \$50             | \$3,404                                |
| American Samoa !<br>Northern Mariana! | \$1,625<br>\$687       | \$50             | \$1,675<br>\$737                       |
| Trust Territory                       | \$5,037                | \$50<br>\$50     | \$5,087                                |
| BIA                                   | \$21,913               | 400              | \$21,913                               |
|                                       |                        |                  | * ************************************ |

Data Sources: Administrative records from annual state reports.



<sup>(1)</sup> Allocations to states for school year 1984-85.
(2) Administrative costs cover several Chapter 1 programs, including, in addition to LEAs, Handicapped Children in State Schools, Neglected or Delinquent Children in State Administered Institutions, and Migratory Children. Accurate estimates of administrative costs by program are not possible. LEAs total about 87 percent of all Chapter 1 grants.

VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) (1) 84.010 GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR EDUCATIONALLY DEPRIVED CHILDREN

| Maries white special allocate to each property appears | Benefits              | Administration   | (2) | Total                  |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|-----|------------------------|
| United States  | \$2,727,588           | <b>\$</b> 33,180 | -   | \$2,760,768            |
| Alabama  | \$62,749              | \$597            |     | 462 247                |
| Aiaska   | \$4,974               | \$225            | ļ   | \$63,347  <br>\$5,100  |
| Arizona  | \$28,922              | \$368            | ļ   | \$5,199<br>\$20,290    |
| Arkansas   | <b>\$</b> 36,441      | \$397            |     | \$36,838               |
| California   | \$751,680             | \$3,299          | ı.  | \$254,979              |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut                                | \$26,171              | \$317 \          | i   | \$26,488               |
| Delaware   | \$27,082<br>\$7,624   | \$325            | 1   | \$26,488<br>\$27,407   |
| D. C.  | \$13,104              | \$225            | i   | <b>\$</b> 7,849 ¦      |
| Florida  | \$97,504              | \$225            | į   | \$13,329               |
| Georgia  | \$72,478              | \$1,198          | Ĭ   | \$98,702               |
| Hawa II :  | \$8,632               | \$778<br>\$225   | į   | \$73,257               |
| Idaho  | \$7,610               | \$225            | İ   | \$8,857  <br>\$7,835   |
| !!!inols   | \$132,508             | \$1,604          | - 1 | \$7,835  <br>\$134,112 |
| indlana  | 1 <b>\$</b> 37,635 !  | \$426            | - 1 | \$38,061               |
| lowa   | \$22,740              | \$237            | į   | \$22,977               |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky                                     | \$18,792 <b> </b>     | \$225            | į   | \$19.017               |
| Louisiana  | \$50,623              | \$534            | İ   | \$19,017<br>\$51,156   |
| Maine  | \$72,954              | \$778            | İ   | \$73,730               |
| Maryland   | \$10,484              | \$225            | -   | \$10,709               |
| Massachusetts  | \$45,995              | \$495            |     | \$ 46, 490             |
| Michigan   | \$61,123<br>\$101,309 | \$775            | J   | \$0.,898               |
| Minnesota  | \$33,894              | \$1,144          | i   | \$102,453              |
| Mississippi  | \$60,134              | \$360<br>\$584   | Ì   | \$34,254<br>\$60,718   |
| Missouri   | \$60,134<br>\$47,240  | \$480            | İ   | \$60,718 ;             |
| Montana ;  | \$8,514               | \$225            | -   | \$47,720               |
| Nebraska   | \$14,205              | \$225            | - ! | \$8,739  <br>\$14,430  |
| <b>Nevada</b>  | \$4,478               | \$225            | į   | \$14,430<br>\$4,703    |
| New Hampshire  | \$5,881<br>\$87,067   | \$225            |     | \$6,106                |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico                               | \$87,067              | \$939            | i   | \$88,006 !             |
| New York   | \$23,294              | <b>\$262</b> }   | İ   | \$23,556<br>\$283,722  |
| N. Carolina  | \$280,628             | \$3,094          | 1   | \$283,722              |
| N. Dakota  | \$73,350              | \$767            | ļ   | \$/4,11/ ¦             |
| Ohlo   | \$6,683<br>\$94,264   | \$225            | ı   | \$6,908                |
| Oklahoma   | \$30,267              | \$1,026          | i   | \$95,290               |
| Oregon   | \$23,745              | \$336<br>\$336   | İ   | \$30,603               |
| Pennsylvania !   | \$129,714             | \$1,451          | ł   | \$24,081               |
| Rhode Island   | \$10,182              | \$225            | -   | \$131,165<br>\$10,407  |
| S. Carolina  | \$47,892              | \$460            |     | \$10,407<br>\$48,353   |
| S. Dakota  | \$8,756 <b> </b>      | \$225            |     | \$8,981                |
| Tennessee<br>Texas                                     | \$56,689              | \$585            | İ   | \$57,274               |
| Utah   | \$166,865             | \$2,327          | į   | \$169,192              |
| Vermont  | \$9,290               | \$225            | -   | <b>\$</b> 9,515        |
| Virginia   | \$4,898  <br>\$56,001 | \$225            | - I | \$5,123                |
| Washington   | \$56,981<br>\$32,983  | \$562            | ı   | \$57,544               |
| W. Virginia  | \$26,869              | \$445<br>\$262   | İ   | \$33,428               |
| Wisconšin i i  | \$41,093              | \$443            | İ   | \$27,131               |
| Wyom Ing   | \$3,432               | \$225            |     | \$41,536<br>\$3,657    |
| Guam   | \$1,559               | \$50             |     | \$3,657<br>\$1,609     |
| Puerto Rico  | \$108,000             | \$1,114          |     | \$109,114              |
| Virgin Islands   | \$3,044               | \$50             | į   | \$3,094                |
| American Samoa   | \$1,475               | \$50             |     | \$1,525                |
| Northein Mariana<br>Trust Territory                    | \$624                 | \$50             | İ   | \$674                  |
| BIA  | \$4,573               | <b>\$</b> 50     |     | \$4,623                |
|  | \$19,893              | }                | 1   | \$19,893               |

Data Sources: Administrative records from annual state reports.



<sup>(1)</sup> Allocations to states for school year 1983-84.
(2) Administrative costs cover several Chapter 1 programs, including, in addition to LEAs, Handicapper Children in State Schools, Neglected or Delinquent Children in State Administered Institutions, and Migratory Children. Accurate estimates of administrative costs by program are not possible. LEAs total about 86 percent of Chapter 1 grants.

### IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.010 GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR EDUCATIONALLY DEPRIVED CHILDREN

| United States   |                               |     | Students<br>Served | (1) |
|---|-------------------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|
| Alaska 4,217 Arizona 45,453 Arkansas 66,859 California 884,098 Colorado 38,174 Connecticut 55,646 Delaware 10,468 D. C. 15,808 Fior Ida 156,876 Georgia 170,394 Hawali 12,278 Idaho 15,767 Illinois 148,873 Indiana 100,385 Iowa 26,287 Kansas 38,593 Kentucky 104,240 Louis Iana 109,572 Malne 24,607 Maryland 67,755 Massachusetts 88,618 Michigan 141,397 Minnesota 91,312 Montana 12,613 Nebraska 20,131 Nevada 8,592 New Hampshire 10,234 New Jersey 160,500 New Mexico 29,338 New York 374,816 N. Carolina 129,495 N. Dakota 9,131 Ohio 139,571 Okiahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 129,495 N. Dakota 9,131 Ohio 139,571 Okiahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guan  | United States                 |     | 4,919,112          |     |
| Arizona   |                               |     | 115,887            |     |
| Arkansas California Colorado Colorado Connecticut Delaware Delaware D. C. 15,808 Florida Georgia Hawaii 12,278 Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Iowa California Connecticut 55,846 Delaware D. C. 15,808 Florida I58,876 Georgia I70,394 Hawaii I2,278 Idaho I11Inois I48,873 Indiana Iowa Iowa California Iowa California Iowa California Iowa California Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iow  |                               | 1 1 | 45 452             |     |
| Callfornia  |                               |     |                    |     |
| Colorado  |                               | ! [ | 864.098            |     |
| Connecticut 55, 646 Delaware 10, 468 D. C. 15,808 Florida 156,876 Georgia 170,394 Hawail 12,278 Idaho 15,767 Illinois 148,873 Indiana 100,385 Iowa 26,287 Kansas 38,593 Kentucky 104,240 Louisiana 109,572 Maryland 67,755 Massachusetts 88,618 Michigan 141,397 Minnesota 59,369 Mississippi 101,266 Missouri 81,372 Montana 12,613 Nebraska 20,131 Nevada 8,592 New Hampshire 10,234 New Jersey 160,500 New Mexico 29,338 New York 374,816 N. Carolina 129,495 N. Dakota 9,131 Ohlo 139,571 Oklahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam   |                               |     | 38,174             |     |
| D. C.   15,808   Florida   156,876   Georgia   170,394   Hawaii   12,278   Idaho   15,767   Illinois   148,873   Indiana   100,385   Iowa   26,287   Kansas   38,593   Kentucky   104,240   Louisiana   109,572   Maine   24,607   Maryland   67,755   Massachusetts   88,618   Michigan   141,397   Minnesota   59,369   Mississippi   Missouri   81,372   Montana   12,613   Nebraska   20,131   Nevada   8,592   New Hampshire   10,234   New Jersey   160,500   New Mexico   29,338   New York   374,816   N. Carolina   129,495   N. Dakota   9,131   Ohio   139,571   Okiahoma   62,962   Oregon   37,588   Pennsylvania   228,333   Rhode island   13,443   S. Carolina   51,822   S. Dakota   12,877   Tennessee   99,604   Texas   297,748   Utah   22,853   Vermont   9,519   Virginia   83,388   Washington   60,822   W. Virginia   33,384   Wisconsin   63,328   Wyoming   4,373   Guam   Guam   Guam   4,373   Guam   Guam   Contact  | Connecticut                   | 1 1 | 55,646             | }   |
| FlorIda Georgia Hawaii 12,278 Idaho Hawaii 12,278 Idaho 15,767 Illinois 148,873 Indiana 100,385 Iowa 26,287 Kansas Kentucky 104,240 Louisiana 109,572 Maine 24,607 Maryland 67,755 Massachusetts 88,618 Michigan 141,397 Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Minsouri Mohrana 12,613 Nebraska 20,131 Newada New Jersey New Hampshire 10,234 New Jersey New Hexico 29,338 New York N. Carolina N. Carolina N. Dakota Oregon New Wexico 374,816 N. Carolina N. Dakota Oregon 139,571 Okiahoma Oregon 228,333 Rhode island 3,443 S. Carolina S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Mashington N. Virginia Misconsin Gayai Wyoming 4,373 Guam  |                               |     | 10,468             | 1 1 |
| Georgia   |                               | i i | 15,808             | 1   |
| Hawa  |                               | i i |                    | 1 1 |
| Idano   |                               |     | 170,394            |     |
| Illinois  |                               |     | 15, 767            | 1   |
| Indiana   |                               | !!  | 148,873            | 1 1 |
| Commonstrate   Comm  |                               | 1   | 100,385            |     |
| Kentucky       104,240         Louislana       109,572         Maine       24,607         Maryland       67,755         Massachusetts       88,618         Michigan       141,397         Minnesota       59,369         Mississippi       101,266         Missouri       81,372         Montana       12,613         Nebraska       20,131         Nevada       8,592         New Hampshire       10,234         New Jersey       160,500         New Mexico       29,338         New York       374,816         N. Carolina       129,495         N. Dakota       9,131         Ohlo       139,571         Oklahoma       62,962         Oregon       37,588         Pennsylvania       228,333         Rhode Island       13,443         S. Carolina       51,822         S. Dakota       12,877         Tennessee       99,604         Texas       297,748         Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822  |                               |     | 26,287             | 1   |
| Louislana       109,572         Maine       24,607         Maryland       67,755         Massachusetts       88,618         Michigan       141,397         Minnesota       59,369         Mississippi       101,266         Missouri       81,372         Montana       12,613         Nebraska       20,131         Nevada       8,592         New Hampshire       10,234         New Jersey       160,500         New Mexico       29,338         New York       374,816         N. Carolina       129,495         N. Dakota       9,131         Ohlo       139,571         Oklahoma       62,962         Oregon       37,588         Pennsylvania       228,333         Rhode island       13,443         S. Carolina       51,822         S. Dakota       12,877         Tennessee       99,604         Texas       297,748         Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384  |                               | ; ; | 38,593             |     |
| Malne       24,607         Maryland       67,755         Massachusetts       88,618         Michigan       141,397         Minnesota       59,369         Mississippi       101,266         Missouri       81,372         Montana       12,613         Nebraska       20,131         Nevada       8,592         New Hampshire       10,234         New Jersey       160,500         New Mexico       29,338         New York       374,816         N. Carolina       129,495         N. Dakota       9,131         Ohlo       139,571         Oklahoma       62,962         Oregon       37,588         Pennsylvania       228,333         Rhode island       13,443         S. Carolina       51,822         S. Dakota       12,877         Tennessee       99,604         Texas       297,748         Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384         Wisconsin       63,328   |                               | 1 1 | 104,240            | 1 1 |
| Maryland       67,755         Massachusetts       88,618         Michigan       141,397         Minnesota       59,369         Mississippi       101,266         Missouri       81,372         Montana       12,613         Nebraska       20,131         Nevada       8,592         New Hampshire       10,234         New Jersey       160,500         New Mexico       29,338         New York       374,816         N. Carolina       129,495         N. Dakota       9,131         Ohlo       139,571         Okiahoma       62,962         Oregon       37,588         Pennsylvania       228,333         Rhode island       13,443         S. Carolina       51,822         S. Dakota       12,877         Tennessee       99,604         Texas       297,748         Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384         Wisconsin       63,328         Wyoming       4,373  |                               | i i | 109,572            | i i |
| Massachusetts       88,618         Michigan       141,397         Minnesota       59,369         Mississippi       101,266         Missouri       81,372         Montana       12,613         Nebraska       20,131         Nevada       8,592         New Hampshire       10,234         New Jersey       160,500         New Mexico       29,338         New York       374,816         N. Carolina       129,495         N. Dakota       9,131         Ohlo       139,571         Oklahoma       62,962         Oregon       37,588         Pennsylvania       228,333         Rhode island       13,443         S. Carolina       51,822         S. Dakota       12,877         Tennessee       99,604         Texas       297,748         Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384         Wisconsin       63,328         Wyoming       4,373         Guam <td></td> <td>   </td> <td>24,007<br/>87,755</td> <td>i i</td>  |                               |     | 24,007<br>87,755   | i i |
| Michigan 141,397 Minnesota 59,369 Mississippi 101,266 Missouri 81,372 Montana 12,613 Nebraska 20,131 Nevada 8,592 New Hampshire 10,234 New Jersey 160,500 New Mexico 29,338 New York 374,816 N. Carolina 129,495 N. Dakota 9,131 Ohio 139,571 Oklahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam  |                               |     |                    |     |
| Minnesota 59,369 Mississippi 101,266 Missouri 81,372 Montana 12,613 Nebraska 20,131 Nevada 8,592 New Hampshire 10,234 New Jersey 160,500 New Mexico 29,338 New York 374,816 N. Carolina 129,495 N. Dakota 9,131 Ohio 139,571 Okiahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam   | Michigan                      |     | 141.397            |     |
| Mississippi 101,266 Missouri 81,372 Montana 12,613 Nebraska 20,131 Nevada 8,592 New Hampshire 10,234 New Jersey 160,500 New Mexico 29,338 New York 374,816 N. Carolina 129,495 N. Dakota 9,131 Ohio 139,571 Okiahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam  | Minnesota                     | ! ! | 59.369             |     |
| Missouri 81,372 Montana 12,613 Nebraska 20,131 Nevada 8,592 New Hampshire 10,234 New Jersey 160,500 New Mexico 29,338 New York 374,816 N. Carolina 129,495 N. Dakota 9,131 Ohio 139,571 Okiahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam  |                               | 1   | 101,266            | 1 1 |
| Montana         12,613           Nebraska         20,131           Nevada         8,592           New Hampshire         10,234           New Jersey         160,500           New Mexico         29,338           New York         374,816           N. Carolina         129,495           N. Dakota         9,131           Ohlo         139,571           Oklahoma         62,962           Oregon         37,588           Pennsylvania         228,333           Rhode island         13,443           S. Carolina         51,822           S. Dakota         12,877           Tennessee         99,604           Texas         297,748           Utah         22,853           Vermont         9,519           Virginia         83,388           Washington         60,822           W. Virginia         33,384           Wisconsin         63,328           Wyoming         4,373           Guam  | Missouri                      | 1 1 | 81,372             |     |
| Nevada         8,592           New Hampshire         10,234           New Jersey         160,500           New Mexico         29,338           New York         374,816           N. Carolina         129,495           N. Dakota         9,131           Ohlo         139,571           Oklahoma         62,962           Oregon         37,588           Pennsylvania         228,333           Rhode island         13,443           S. Carolina         51,822           S. Dakota         12,877           Tennessee         99,604           Texas         297,748           Utah         22,853           Vermont         9,519           Virginia         83,388           Washington         60,822           W. Virginia         33,384           Wisconsin         63,328           Wyoming         4,373           Guam   |                               |     | 12,613             |     |
| New Hampshire       10,234         New Jersey       160,500         New Mexico       29,338         New York       374,816         N. Carolina       129,495         N. Dakota       9,131         Ohlo       139,571         Oklahoma       62,962         Oregon       37,588         Pennsylvania       228,333         Rhode island       13,443         S. Carolina       51,822         S. Dakota       12,877         Tennessee       99,604         Texas       297,748         Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384         Wisconsin       63,328         Wyoming       4,373         Guam  |                               | !!  | 20, 131            | 1 1 |
| New Mexico         29,338           New York         374,816           N. Carolina         129,495           N. Dakota         9,131           Ohlo         139,571           Oklahoma         62,962           Oregon         37,588           Pennsylvania         228,333           Rhode island         13,443           S. Carolina         51,822           S. Dakota         12,877           Tennessee         99,604           Texas         297,748           Utah         22,853           Vermont         9,519           Virginia         83,388           Washington         60,822           W. Virginia         33,384           Wisconsin         63,328           Wyoming         4,373           Guam  |                               |     | 8,592              | 1   |
| New Mexico         29,338           New York         374,816           N. Carolina         129,495           N. Dakota         9,131           Ohlo         139,571           Oklahoma         62,962           Oregon         37,588           Pennsylvania         228,333           Rhode island         13,443           S. Carolina         51,822           S. Dakota         12,877           Tennessee         99,604           Texas         297,748           Utah         22,853           Vermont         9,519           Virginia         83,388           Washington         60,822           W. Virginia         33,384           Wisconsin         63,328           Wyoming         4,373           Guam  |                               |     | 10,234             | 1 1 |
| New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode island S. Carolina S. Dakota Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. Dakota N. J. R. Dakota N. Dakota N. J. R. |                               |     | 29,338             | 1 1 |
| N. Carolina N. Dakota 9,131 Ohlo 139,571 Oklahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania Rhode island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee 99,604 Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Guam 129,495 139,443 9,131 13,443 12,877 11,877 11,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 12,877 13,388 14,373 15,328 16,328   |                               |     | 374,816            | 1 1 |
| N. Dakota 9,131 Ohlo 139,571 Oklahoma 62,962 Oregon 37,588 Pennsylvania 228,333 Rhode island 13,443 S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam   |                               |     | 129,495            |     |
| Ohlo Oklahoma Oklahoma Oregon |                               |     | 9,131              | 1 1 |
| Oregon       37,588         Pennsylvania       228,333         Rhode island       13,443         S. Carolina       51,822         S. Dakota       12,877         Tennessee       99,604         Texas       297,748         Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384         Wisconsin       63,328         Wyoming       4,373         Guam       4,373  |                               |     | 139,571            | 1   |
| Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S   | Oklahoma                      | 1 1 | 62,962             | 1 1 |
| Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota Texas S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Dakota S. Sass S. Dakota S. | Uregon<br>Depressivents       | i   | 37,588             | ; ; |
| S. Carolina 51,822 S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam   | Pennsylvania<br>Phodo in land | i i | 228,333            | ıi  |
| S. Dakota 12,877 Tennessee 99,604 Texas 297,748 Utah 22,853 Vermont 9,519 Virginia 83,388 Washington 60,822 W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam  |                               |     | 13,443<br>51 922   |     |
| Utah 22,853<br>Vermont 9,519<br>Virginia 83,388<br>Washington 60,822<br>W. Virginia 33,384<br>Wisconsin 63,328<br>Wyoming 4,373<br>Guam   |                               |     | 12 877             |     |
| Utah 22,853<br>Vermont 9,519<br>Virginia 83,388<br>Washington 60,822<br>W. Virginia 33,384<br>Wisconsin 63,328<br>Wyoming 4,373<br>Guam   |                               |     | 99,604             |     |
| Utah       22,853         Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384         Wisconsin       63,328         Wyoming       4,373         Guam  |                               | ! ! | 297,748            |     |
| Vermont       9,519         Virginia       83,388         Washington       60,822         W. Virginia       33,384         Wisconsin       63,328         Wyoming       4,373         Guam       4,373  |                               |     | 22,853             | 1 1 |
| Washington 60,822<br>W. Virginia 33,384<br>Wisconsin 63,328<br>Wyoming 4,373<br>Guam  |                               | ! ! | 9,519              |     |
| W. Virginia 33,384 Wisconsin 63,328 Wyoming 4,373 Guam  | Virginia                      |     | 83,388             |     |
| Wyomaing 4,373 Guam   | wash ington                   | 1   | 60,822             |     |
| Wyomaing 4,373 Guam   | M. VIRGINIA                   | j i | 33,384             |     |
| Guam  | MISCURS III                   |     | 03,328<br>A 272    |     |
|   |                               | ļİ  | 4,3/3              |     |
| I I MANIEW!   |                               |     | 260, 231           |     |
| Virgin Islands  | Virgin Islands                |     | 20,20              |     |
| $ \{(2)\} $ 18,157  | BIA                           | (2) | 18,157             |     |
| Trust Terr.   | Trust Terr.                   | : 1 |                    |     |

Data Sources: Administrative records from annual state reports.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count of school year 1984-85(2) Bureau of Indian Affairs schools.



# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.010 GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR EDUCATIONALLY DEPRIVED CHILDREN

|  |    | Students<br>Served |  |
|--|----|--------------------|--|
| United States  | -  | 4,846,050          |  |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Coiorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Fiorida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiaiaa Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Hexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohio Okiahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washingtori W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico Virgin Islands |    |                    |  |
| BIA<br>Trust Terr.   | 2) | 21,638<br>38,605   |  |

Data Sources: Administrative records from annual state reports.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count of school year 1983-84.(2) Bureau of Indian Affairs schools.



| 1                         |                  |     |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----|
|                           | Benefits         | (2) |
| United States             | \$611            |     |
| Alabama                   | \$537            |     |
| Alaska                    | \$1,201<br>\$713 | •   |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas       | \$538            |     |
| California                | \$324            |     |
| Colorado                  | \$745            |     |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware   | \$583<br>\$808   |     |
| D. C.                     | \$862            | !   |
| Florida                   | \$789            | }   |
| Georgia                   | \$468            |     |
| Hawaii                    | \$782            |     |
| Idaho<br>IIIInols         | \$547<br>\$986   |     |
| Indiana                   | \$444            |     |
| lowa                      | \$1,022          |     |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky        | \$551<br>\$507   |     |
| Louisiana                 | \$820            | 1 1 |
| Maine !                   | \$497            | i   |
| Maryland                  | \$758            |     |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan | \$765<br>\$862   | 1 1 |
| Minnesota                 | \$620            | !!! |
| Mississippi               | \$567            |     |
| Missouri                  | \$593            |     |
| Montana<br>Nebraksa       | \$765<br>\$769   |     |
| Nevada                    | \$643            | 1 1 |
| New Hampshire             | \$667            |     |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico  | \$618            | 1 1 |
| New Mexico<br>New York    | \$866<br>\$842   |     |
| North Carolina            | \$560            | 1   |
| North Dakota              | \$818            | !   |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma          | \$744<br>\$580   |     |
| Oregon                    | \$724            |     |
| Pennsylvania !            | \$628            | į   |
| Rhode Island              | \$793            |     |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota  | \$906<br>\$713   | i   |
| Tennessee                 | \$629            | ! ! |
| Texas                     | \$618            |     |
| Utah                      | \$452            |     |
| Vermont<br>Virginia       | \$646<br>\$681   | 1   |
| Washington                | \$569            |     |
| W. Virginia ;             | 1.49             |     |
| Wisconsin                 | \$693            |     |
| Wyom Ing<br>Guam          | \$851            |     |
| Puerto Rico               | \$403            |     |
| Virgin Islands            |                  |     |
| Trust Terr.               |                  |     |
| BIA ¦                     | <b>\$1,207</b>   | i   |

Data Sources: Administrative records from annual state reports.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count of school year 1984-85 participation.
(2) State per-capita costs are based on amounts allocated to states and not on outlays. Chapter 1 administrative funds cover the costs of administering four programs: Basic Grants to Local Educational Agencies, Handicapped Children in State Schools, Neglected or Delinquent Children in State Administered institutions, and Migratory Children. Estimates for administrative costs by program can not be realistically derived, therefore per-capita administrative costs for the Chapter 1 Basic Grants program are not presented.



X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.010 GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR EDUCATIONALLY DEPRIVED CHILDREN

| !                             | !                | ) 1 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----|
|                               | Benefits         | (2) |
| United States                 | \$563            |     |
| Alabama                       | \$602            |     |
| Alaska                        | \$1,133          | - ! |
| Arizona<br>Arkansas           | \$676            | -   |
| California                    | \$543<br>\$280   | i   |
| Colorado                      | \$760            |     |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware       | \$531            |     |
| D. C.                         | \$867<br>\$1,002 | i   |
| Florida :                     | \$656            | į   |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii             | \$542            | i   |
| idano                         | \$855            | - 1 |
| Illinois                      | \$480<br>\$905   | į   |
| Indiana                       | \$393            | - ! |
| lowa<br>Kansas                | \$772            | İ   |
| Kentucky                      | \$534<br>\$515   | j   |
| Louislana                     | \$738            | - 1 |
| Maine<br>Maryland             | \$471            |     |
| Massachusetts !               | \$791<br>\$623   |     |
| Michigan :                    | \$729            |     |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi      | \$623            | İ   |
| M' souri                      | \$601<br>\$541   | ı   |
| M na                          | \$724            | İ   |
| aksa<br>Nuda                  | \$641            | İ   |
| New Hampshire                 | \$566<br>\$656   | i   |
| New Jersey                    | \$613            | -   |
| New Mexico<br>New York        | \$826            |     |
| Ohlo                          | \$817<br>\$712   | i   |
| Ok lahoma                     | \$503            |     |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania        | \$675            |     |
| Rhode Island !                | \$573<br>\$791   | Ì   |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota      | \$825            |     |
| Tennessee                     | \$687<br>\$616   |     |
| Texas                         | \$545            | l   |
| Utah<br>Vermont               | \$586            | ł   |
| Virginia                      | \$561            | -   |
| Washington !                  | \$686<br>\$ 45   | İ   |
| W. Virginia                   | \$ :53           | İ   |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming          | \$639            | 1   |
| Guam                          | \$792            | ļ   |
| Puerto Rico                   | \$390            |     |
| Virgin islands<br>Trust Terr. |                  |     |
| BIA                           | \$919            | İ   |
|                               |                  |     |

Data Sources: Administrative records from annual state reports.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count of school year 1983-84 participation.
(2) State per-capita costs are based on amounts allocated to states and not on outlays. Chapter 1 administrative funds cover the costs of administering four programs: Basic Grants to Local Educational Agencies, Handicapped Children in State Schools, Neglected or Deliquent Children in State Administered institutions, and Migratory Children. Estimates for administrative costs by program can not be realistically derived, therefore per-capita administrative costs for the Chapter 1 Basic Grants program are not presented.

# XI. HISTOR: CAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.010 GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR EDUCATIONALLY DEPRIVED CHILDREN

| Federai<br>Fiscal<br>Year  | Federal<br>Budget<br>Authority   |     | Units<br>Served  | (1) |  |
|--|--|-----|--|-----|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1968<br>1965<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1961<br>1960 | \$3,200,000<br>\$3,003,680<br>\$2,727,588<br>\$2,562,753<br>\$2,611,614<br>\$2,731,682<br>\$2,777,245<br>\$2,356,000<br>\$1,927,000<br>\$1,721,000<br>\$3,212,000<br>\$1,446,168<br>\$1,535,538<br>\$1,406,615<br>\$1,339,667<br>\$1,219,166<br>\$1,020,439<br>\$1,100,288<br>\$1,015,153<br>\$1,164,529 | (2) | 4,919,112<br>4,846,050<br>4,731,351<br>4,866,108<br>5,301,488<br>5,402,311 |     |  |

Data Sources: Department of Education, Administrative Records.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count of children reported as served by local educational agencies.
(2) In FY 1975, the Title I program became forward funded; therefore, the FY 1975 appropriation included funding for both the 1974-75 school year (\$1.587 billion) and the 1975-76 school year (\$1.625 billion).

#### COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The College Work-Study (CWS) program provides federal funds to promote part-time employment to help postsecondary students meet their educational expenses. State and local governments play no direct role in administering the program, although some states appropriate funds to provide up to 20 percent of the compensation for recipients. The Department of Education allocates funds to institutions of higher learning that make eligibility determinations based primarily on financial need and arrange employment for their students.

In FY 1985, about 788,000 students were employed under CWS at a total federal cost of about \$553 million. The annual appropriation of federal funds is a fixed amount which is allocated among about 3,300 participating institutions according to a formula that provides for minimum funding with supplements based on institutional need. The institutions provide at least 20 percent of the compensation paid to the student-workers. This match will rise to 30 percent by FY 1990.

The CWS law authorizes federally subsidized wages for undergraduate, graduate, and professional students who are enrolled in a regular college program and who have demonstrated financial need. The work may be for the institution itself or for any nonprofit organization under an arrangement with the institution. Recent statutory changes allow employment at forprofit private schools and firms as well. Compensation must be paid at a rate at least equal to the federal minimum wage. In FY the year.

The monetary value of CWS employment is included as part of a student aid package and the total package may not exceed the cost of education in a given year. Thus, while there are a number of other federal programs to help meet the costs of postsecondary education, and while many CWS student-workers receive benefits under these other programs, there is little duplication of benefits.

The CWS program was authorized in 1964 as part of the Economic Opportunity Act and originally was administered as a delegated poverty program. It was subsequently transformed into a student financial aid program with a special orientation toward students from low income families. This orientation is currently reflected in the CWS support for the Presidential initiative on combating adult illiteracy. Some CWS funds have been reallocated to institutions that sponsor adult literacy programs and employ students to help others learn to read and write.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: College Work-Study.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.033
  Budget account number(s): 91-0200-0-1-502.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Sec. 441-447 of Public Law 99-498, Title IV, 79 Stat. 1219, as amended (42 U.S.C 2751-2756b).
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR Part 675.
- E. Federal administering agency: Office of Student Financial Assistance, Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Institutions of postsecondary education.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Nonprofit and private for-profit organizations.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funds are allocated to institutions on the basis of a prior year's expenditures and demonstrated need for additional funding. Institutions award funds to student on the basis of financial need as determined by a system of need analysis approved by the Secretary. Details of the institutional funding procedures are in Sections 675.3 to 675.7 of the College Work-Study program regulations. The federal share of compensation paid to students normally does not exceed 80 percent and will drop to no more than 70 percent by FY 1990. The remaining share must be paid by the institution or the employer. Funds are awarded for use by participating institutions for one award year at a time following the year of application.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

The Department of Education allots funds to institutions of postsecondary education and the institutions administer the program. State and local governments do not play a direct role in administering this program. Some state governments provide appropriated funds for institutional shares.

Institutional responsibilities in administering this program include: (1) receiving applications from students or prospective students requesting financial assistance; (2) determining eligibility which includes an assessment of need; (3) making awards; (4) placing students and negotiating employer contracts; (5) verifying selected applicants' application data; (6) paying



or ensuring payments to students; (7) monitoring program activities; (8) establishing and maintaining records; (9) reporting program activity.

J. Audit or quality control.

There is no procedure established by statute or regulation for standards of administrative efficiency or for calculating an error rate for the CWS program.

Regulations governing the CWS program do provide for standards of compliance. The Student Assistance General Provisions regulations provide for biannual audits and these audits must be conducted in accordance with the Comptroller General's standards. Copies of audits are provided to the Office of the Inspector General and the Office of Student Financial Assistance, Audit Review Branch, of the Department of Education.

All participating institutions are subject to compliance audits and program reviews. Violation of the regulations may be grounds for suspension, fines, limitations, termination, or repayment of liabilities.

Fines of \$291,605 were assessed against 23 institutions nationally during FY 1985. Six limitation agreements were signed and 14 termination actions were undertaken.

## III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The objective of CWS is to stimulate and promote the part-time employment of students, particularly students who are in need of earnings from employment to pursue courses of study at eligible institutions.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Work must be performed for an institution itself, in the public interest for a federal, state, or local public agency, for a private nonprofit organization, or for private for-profit firms.

In FY 1985, less than 5 percent of funds to grantees were used for administrative costs and the remainder was for student compensation.



#### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual students are eligible.

B. Income eligibility standards.

There are no gross income limits used in determining the eligibility for a College Work-Study award. Awards are based upon a demonstrated need (i.e., the difference between the costs of education and other resources available to the student, including an expected family contribution).

The expected family contribution from a student is calculated by measuring the family income and assets and using national norms to develop an expected contribution. Assistance of other types (i.e., from other relatives, local or state agencies, and other organizations) is considered as a resource if available to the aid applicant.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

A student is eligible to receive assistance if he or she is: (1) a U.S. citizen or national, or is a permanent resident of the U.S., or is in the U.S. for other than a temporary purpose with the intention of becoming a citizen or permanent resident or is a permanent resident of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; (2) enrolled or accepted for enrollment at an institution of higher education; (3) determined to have substantial financial need; (4) maintaining satisfactory progress in a course of study; (5) not obligated to repay a refund on a grant received for attendance at any institution under the Pell, SEOG, or SSIG programs; (6) not in default on a loan made at any institution under the NDSL, Perkins Loan, GSL, SLS, or PLUS programs; (7) has certified to the completion of Selective Service requirements.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Students or their families are expected to pay the family contribution amount described above in order to attend the educational institution.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Program intake is accomplished through voluntary completion of the application process.



#### В. Program benefits or services.

Assistance is provided to meet the costs of attending institutions of postsecondary education. The grantee pays the recipient at least once a month for work performed.

Payment, which is made directly to the student employee by cash or check, is based upon the number of hours worked times an hourly wage rate or salary.

The amount of benefit received by recipients in CWS is determined by the recipient's financial need, the availability of this and other financial aid program resources, and institutional philosophy and practices regarding the distribution of financial aid to students. The average award for the 1983-84 school year was \$886.

A number of different approaches to methods of assessing a families capability to meet the costs of postsecondary education have been used in the past. Most recently, those approaches have generally involved the Pell Grant method or the Uniform Methodology. The Secretary approves systems developed by agencies or organizations which yield expected family contributions after allowing for living expenses and other necessary expenditures. These parameters and a listing of approved systems are published in the Federal Register through annual notices.

#### C. Duration of benefits.

No information about average duration of participation is available. College Work-Study does not have duration or participation limitations.

#### PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP VI.

Categorical or automatic eligibility. Α,

Participation in other assistance programs does not satisfy any conditions of program eligibility for the CWS program. long as an applicant continues to have demonstrated financial need, participation in other assistance programs does not preclude participation in the CWS program.

Counting assistance from other programs. В.

Cash income, including cash welfare, is counted in determining expected family contribution. Benefits in-kind from other education assistance programs reduce the difference between expected family contribution and total costs, and so reduce maximum CWS benefits which could be received.



C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Federal low income assistance programs which provide assistance to all or a large part of the same population are:

- 0 State Student Incentive Grants:
- 0 Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants;
- 0 Perkins Loans (formerly National Direct Student Loans);
- 0 Guaranteed Student Loans;
- Pell Grants: 0

#### LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT VII.

Α. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and in the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Education, Arts, and Humanities

### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education

В. Appropriating subcommittees.

#### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this C. program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

In August of 1964, Congress passed the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 (Pub. L. 88-452) and Title I, Part C, authorizing the College Work-Study program. At its inception the College Work-



Study program was administered as a delegated poverty program and the initial appropriation to the Office of Economic Opportunity was contained in Pub. L. 88-635.

The CWS program was authorized by the Economic Opportunity Act through FY 1967 with an initial authorization level of \$413 million for FY 1965 and "such sums as are necessary" for the succeeding two fiscal years. The provisions of the law prescribing program operations were substantially similar to current law.

The Higher Education Act of 1965 (Pub. L. 89-329) consolidated the financing of postsecondary education by moving the CWS program from the Office of Economic Opportunity to the Office of Education. The amendments contained in the Higher Education Act of 1965 made major substantive changes in the program, in effect transforming it from a poverty program which aided students to a student financial aid program with a special orientation toward students from low income families. The purpose of the program was now one of stimulating and promoting the part-time employment of those students who were in need of the earnings from such employment in order to pursue a course of study at an institution of higher education.

The Higher Education Amendments of 1968, Pub. L. 90-575, transferred the CWS program to Title IV, Part C of the Higher Education Act, and extended the program through FY 1971. These amendments also changed the matching provisions to require a 20 percent nonfederal contribution and extended institutional eligibility for funding to area vocational schools and proprietary institutions of higher education.

The CWS legislation was amended again in 1969. Changes involved the statement of purpose, the appropriations authorized, and the conditions of agreements.

The Higher Education Amendments of 1972 reauthorized the CWS program and expanded eligibility to include half-time students and students in profit-making (proprietary) institutions. These amendments also: (1) changed the state allotment formula to provide 90 percent by formula and 10 percent held harmless; (2) required an affidavit of educational purpose; (3) mandated "good standing".

Another major provision of the 1972 amendments created a separate work-study program for part-time student employment in community service. This program was primarily aimed at the employment of students who were veterans who served in Indochina or Korea after August 5, 1964. The community service work-study program funds were to be spent through contracts with public or private nonprofit agencies. The Program had a separate authorization through FY 1975 at the maximum level of \$50 million.



In 1975, the Emergency Technical Provisions Act (Pub. L. 94-43) amended the HEA to authorize the Commissioner of Education to reallocate an institution's unused CWS funds to other institutions in the same state through the fiscal year succeeding the year for which the funds were appropriated.

The Higher Education Amendments of 1976 again reauthorized the Title IV programs. The amendments raised the administrative allowance to four percent and provided the Commissioner with authority to prescribe administrative standards.

Authority for job location and development projects to be funded with CWS funds was added to the HEA in the Education Amendments of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-482). The House version of these amendments would have terminated the authorization for the community service learning program at the same time (the program was never funded) but this program was retained in the Senate and final versions of the legislation.

Pub. L. 94-482 significantly increased authorizations for the CWS program from \$420 million for FY 1976 and the transition period to \$720 million for FY 1982, the last year of the authority. The 1976 amendments also added provisions which permitted institutions to continue work-study employment for a student mid-semester when such student was earning additional income that put him or her beyond the need threshold, and directed institutions to seek to make part-time employment reasonably available to all students, to the extent of available CWS funds.

The last major amendments to the CWS program were made by the Education Amendments of 1980, Pub. L. 96-374, which extended the CWS program through FY 1985. Significant provisions of these amendments:

- Added a hold-harmless to the requirements for institutional allotments, requiring institutions to have CWS allotments at least equal to the CWS funds they used in FY 1979;
- Substituted the institutional reallotment provision enacted in Pub. L. 94-43 with authority for an institution to carry over into the next fiscal year up to 10 percent of a fiscal year's allocation;
- Added an authorization for postsecondary institutions to use up to 10 percent of any fiscal year's CWS allotment for student awards to be used during the academic year preceding the year for which the appropriation was made;
- Authorized institutions to use up to \$25,000 or up to 10 percent of their allocations, whichever is less, for a job location and development center;
- O Created a new community service learning component of the work-study program;



o Required CWS employment to pay at least the minimum wage.

The Defense Authorization Act of 1982 required all Title IV aid recipients to register for the Selective Service.

On May 26, 1986, the Student Financial Assistance Technical Corrections Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-320) was signed. The Technical Corrections Act made minor technical corrections to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (Pub. L. 99-272). The eligibility requirements were changed for all Title IV programs. Recipients lose eligibility if they are in default on a Title IV loan or owe a refund on a Title IV grant at any institution.

The Higher Education Amendments of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-498) was signed on October 17, 1986. The act removed states as a factor in the allocation formula; funds are now allocated directly to schools. The amendments also made proprietary schools and forprofit private employees eligible as CWS work sites. Required non-federal match is raised from 20 percent in 1987 to 25 percent in 1989 and 30 percent in 1990.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

Tentative regulations for the College Work-Study program were issued November 20, 1964.

The regulations described the definitions, the allotment of federal funds to states, the eligible work-study programs, the eligibility and selection of student participants in the program, the use of federal grants, and the federal share of student compensation and the institutional maintenance of level of student employment expenditures.

In May 1969, following the enactment of the Higher Education Act of 1965, regulations were issued by the Office of Education. These regulations included the 1964 provisions and expanded to include limitations on the number of hours of employment, minimum wage rate, nature and source of institutional share, coordinating of student financial aid programs, institutional agreement and application for funds, criteria for approval of applications, payment and reallocation of grant funds, and fiscal procedures, records, and reports.

In July 1971 regulations, the major regulatory changes were the inclusion of proprietary institutions of higher education as eligible for participation and provisions for fiscal audits.

In May 1975 regulations, the major changes included provisions for an approved need analysis to determine an expected family contribution and procedures for handling over-awards.



In August 1976 regulations, the major issue was the manner in which financial aid packages were to be administered for Native American students also eligible to receive educational grants from the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA).

In September 1976 regulations, the major changes were application review and approval of request, institutional agreement, coordination with Bureau of Indian Affairs grants-in-aid, cost of education, need analysis systems, special sessions, administrative expense, federal interest in allocated funds, termination and suspension, eligible employment, establishment of wage rates, limitation on the number of hours of employment, limitation on the federal share of student compensation, maintenance of effort, a notarized affidavit of educational purpose, and transfer use of funds.

In July 1977 regulations, the major issue was the definition of an independent student.

In August 1978 regulations, the major issues were payments to students, earnings attributable to cost of education, limitations on the federal share of student compensation, nature and source of institutional share of student compensation, and multi-institutional agreements.

In August 1979 regulations, the major issues were funding procedures, calculation of institutional need by formula rather than by panel recommendation, and shifting funds in self-help programs. They also contained regulations of programs of study abroad.

In June 1980 regulations, the major regulatory changes provided that a statement of educational purpose may be used in lieu of the requirement of a notarized affidavit of educational purpose and a change in the definition of a half-time student to more realistically reflect half-time status, especially at institutions offering evening programs. They also contained regulations on a financial aid transcript.

In January 1981 regulations, the major issues were conditional guarantee, student living expenses and expected family contribution, increase in funds ar institution may use for its Job Location and Development Program, employment in a Community Service Learning program, and minimum wages required under the Fair Labor Standards Act.

In January 1981 regulations, the major issues were tuition, fees, room and board in the cost of attendance, allowances for special circumstances, correspondence study programs, and attendance costs for students whose program length exceeds the academic year at institutions using clock hours.



328

In January 1982 regulations, the major changes were the expected family contributer amounts in the formula. These changes reflected recent and relevant data plus updating the family-size offsets to account for the effects of inflation.

In August 1982 regulations, the major issues were in the funding procedures and the appeals process, allotment and reallotment, allocation and reallocation, conditional guarantee, and fair share funding procedures.

In October 1982 regulations, the major changes were in the expected family contribution for an independent student. These included indicators of financial strength, effective family income, effective student income, contribution from parents, and extraordinary circumstances.



| United States                | \$644,664             |                |                      |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Alabama                      | #044,004              | \$30,360       | \$675,024            |
| Alabama ;                    | \$12,366              | \$684          | \$13,050             |
| Alaska                       | \$330                 | \$36           | \$366                |
| Ar Izona i                   | \$6,344               | \$389          | \$6,733              |
| Arkansas                     | \$6,816               | \$253          | \$7,060              |
| Callfornia                   | \$53,962              | \$3,295        | \$57,257             |
| Colorado                     | \$7,029               | \$451          | \$7,480              |
| Connecticut                  | \$7,655               | \$329          | \$7,984              |
| De laware :                  | \$1,340               | <b>\$61</b>    | \$1,401              |
| D. C.<br>Florida             | \$4,858               | \$184          | <b>\$5.043</b> ! !   |
|                              | \$18,362<br>\$12,295  | \$873          | \$19,235             |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii            | \$12,290              | \$806          | <b>\$13,101</b>      |
| Idaho                        | \$1,787               | \$102          | \$1,889              |
| ililnois                     | \$1,766<br>\$25,240   | \$113          | \$1,879              |
| Indiana                      | \$25,249<br>\$12,832  | \$965          | \$26,214             |
| lowa                         | \$9,409               | \$702<br>\$222 | \$13,534             |
| Kansas                       | \$6,633               | \$187          | \$9,631              |
| Kentucky                     | \$13.024              | \$513          | \$6,821<br>\$13,537  |
| Louisiana                    | \$13,024<br>\$11,580  | \$561          | \$12,142             |
| Maine                        | \$7 <b>.</b> 552      | \$433          | \$7,985              |
| Maryland                     | \$9,542               | \$356          | \$9,897              |
| Massachusetts                | \$9,542<br>\$40,785   | \$1,849        | \$42,634             |
| Michigan                     | <b>\$20,287</b> {     | \$797          | \$21,084             |
| Minnesota                    | \$15,489              | \$603          | \$16,093             |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri      | \$9,542<br>\$13,234   | \$473          | <b>\$10,015 }</b>    |
| Montana                      | \$13,234              | \$547          | <b>\$13,782</b>      |
| Nebraska                     | \$2,926               | \$148          | <b>\$3,074</b>       |
| Nevada                       | \$4,413<br>\$1,071    | \$246          | \$4,659              |
| New Hampshire                | \$5,058               | \$43           | \$1,114              |
| New Jersey                   | \$13,071              | \$308<br>\$746 | \$5,367              |
| New Mexico                   | \$6.758               | \$272          | \$13,817             |
| New York                     | \$6,758<br>\$57,272   | \$2,095        | \$7,030              |
| N. Carolina                  | \$14,377              | \$1,152        | \$59,367<br>\$15,528 |
| N. Dakota                    | \$2,994               | \$153          | \$3,147              |
| Ohlo                         | \$25,805              | \$1,081        | \$26,886             |
| Ok lahoma                    | \$7,635               | \$267          | \$7,901              |
| Oregon                       | \$10,346              | \$588          | \$10,934             |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island | \$34,484              | \$1,213        | \$35,697             |
| S. Carolina                  | \$5,518               | \$174          | <b>\$5,692</b>       |
| S. Dakota                    | \$8,601               | \$507          | \$9,108              |
| Tennessee                    | \$3,695  <br>\$10,759 | \$137          | \$3,831              |
| Texas                        | \$31,399              | \$612          | \$11,371             |
| Utah                         | \$3,271               | \$1,428        | \$32,827             |
| Vermont                      | \$5,505               | \$206<br>\$366 | \$3,4                |
| Virginia i                   | \$12,453              | \$758          | \$5,8.               |
| Washington                   | \$13,153              | \$691          | \$13,21)<br>\$13,844 |
| W. Virginia                  | \$5,315               | \$259          | \$5,575              |
| Wisconsin                    | \$14,869              | \$472          | \$15,341             |
| Wyoming                      | <b>\$</b> 631         | \$63           | \$694                |
| Guan<br>Duorto Dina          | \$261                 | \$13           | \$274                |
| Puerto Rico                  | \$12,885              | \$578          | \$13,461             |
| Virgin Islands               | \$72                  | \$0            | \$72                 |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1985-86.



<sup>(1)</sup> Administrative cost allowances are authorized for institutions to offset their costs in administering the Pell Grant and campus-based programs (e.g., costs of personnel, materials and/or equipment) and for carrying out the student consumer information services requirements. An institution must be able to document these expenses through audit reviews.

(2) Includes funds from institutions which are not included on Table XI.

VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.033 COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

| A                        | Benefits                   | Administration                           | (1)  1 | Tota I                                 | <b> (2)</b> |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------|--|-------------|
| United States            | \$683,149                  | \$31,006                                 | \$     | 714,154                                |             |
| Alabama<br>Alaska        | \$12,926                   | \$788                                    | j      | <b>\$</b> 13,715                       |             |
| Arizona                  | \$333<br>\$7,450           | \$31                                     |        | \$363                                  |             |
| Arkansas                 | \$7,450<br>\$7,243         | \$207                                    |        | \$7,656                                |             |
| California               | \$56,237                   | \$188                                    | İ      | \$7,431                                | !!          |
| Colorado                 | \$7,180                    | \$3,304<br>\$513                         | İ      | \$59,541                               |             |
| Connecticut              | \$7,160<br>\$8,174         | \$229                                    | !      | \$7,674                                | 1           |
| Delaware                 | \$1,607                    | \$86                                     | !      | \$8,403                                |             |
| <u>D</u> . C.            | \$5,752                    | \$216                                    | ļ      | \$1,693<br>\$5,968                     |             |
| Florida                  | <b>\$19,573</b>            | \$717                                    | İ      | \$20,289                               |             |
| Georgia                  | \$13,664                   | \$734                                    | į      | \$14,399                               | 1 1         |
| HawaTi                   | \$2,135                    | \$25                                     | İ      | \$2,160                                | 1 1         |
| ldaho<br>liilnois        | \$2,079                    | \$119                                    | -      | \$2,160<br>\$2,198                     | i i         |
| Indiana                  | \$26,416                   | \$1,412                                  |        | <b>\$</b> 27,829                       |             |
| lowa                     | \$13,812                   | \$357                                    | - 1    | <b>\$</b> 14.170                       |             |
| Kansas                   | \$9,705<br>\$6,815         | \$317                                    | -   -  | \$10,022                               |             |
| Kentucky                 | \$13,450                   | \$248<br>\$550                           | i.     | \$7,063                                |             |
| Louislana                | \$12,453                   | \$588                                    |        | \$14,001                               |             |
| Maine                    | \$7,604                    | \$403                                    | -   '  | \$13,042                               |             |
| Maryland                 | \$10,447                   | \$294                                    | - ! .  | \$8,007<br>\$10,741                    |             |
| Massachusetts            | \$40,867                   | \$1,851                                  |        | \$42,718                               |             |
| Michigan                 | \$21,468 }                 | \$950                                    |        | \$22,419                               |             |
| Minnesota                | \$16,019                   | \$640                                    |        | \$16,659                               |             |
| Mississippi              | <b>†</b> \$10,403 <b>;</b> | \$473                                    |        | 10,876                                 |             |
| Missouri                 | \$13,818                   | \$430                                    |        | 14,248                                 |             |
| Montana<br>Nebraska      | \$2,970                    | \$155                                    | Ì      | \$3,125                                | İ           |
| Nevada                   | \$4,559                    | \$162                                    | 1      | <b>\$3,125</b><br><b>\$4,721</b>       | j           |
| New Hampshire            | \$1,091<br>\$5,533         | \$27                                     |        | \$1,119                                |             |
| New Jersey               | \$14,391                   | \$413                                    |        | \$5,946                                |             |
| New Mexico               | \$7,328                    | \$591<br>\$266                           | 1 1    | 14,982                                 | i           |
| New York                 | \$61,261                   | \$2,694                                  |        | \$7,594                                | i           |
| N. Carolina              | \$15,945                   | \$951                                    |        | 63,955                                 | į           |
| N. Dakota                | <b>\$3,103</b> }           | \$165                                    | *      | 16,896<br>\$3,267                      |             |
| Ohlo                     | <b>\$27,039</b> }          | \$1,210                                  | i s    | 28,249                                 |             |
| Ok lahoma                | \$7,337                    | \$459                                    | · ·    | \$7,796                                | Ì           |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania   | \$10,983                   | \$458                                    | \$     | 11.441 !                               | İ           |
| Rhode Island             | \$35,668                   | \$1,456                                  |        | 37,125                                 | - 1         |
| S. Carolina              | \$5,862<br>\$9,636         | \$259                                    |        | \$6,121                                | - [         |
| S. Dakota                | \$4,074                    | \$375 <b> </b><br><b>\$2</b> 30 <b> </b> | \$     | 10,011                                 | j           |
| Tennessee                | \$11,945                   | \$588                                    |        | \$4,304                                | l           |
| Texas                    | \$35,001                   | \$1,390                                  |        | 12,533  <br>36,391                     | İ           |
| Utah                     | \$3,729                    | \$269                                    | •      | \$3,998                                | - 1         |
| Vermont                  | \$5,513                    | \$322                                    |        | \$5,835                                | - !         |
| Virginia                 | \$13,419                   | \$786                                    |        | 14,205                                 |             |
| Washington !             | \$13,375                   | <b>\$</b> 561                            | \$     | 13,936                                 |             |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin | \$5,541                    | \$249                                    | -      | <b>\$</b> 5,790 ¦                      | İ           |
| Wyom!ng                  | \$15,339                   | \$625                                    | \$     | 15,964                                 | - [         |
| Guam                     | \$740  <br>\$239           | \$27                                     |        | \$767                                  | - !         |
| Puerto Rico              | \$13,861                   | \$12<br>\$630                            |        | \$251                                  |             |
| Virgin Islands           | \$57                       | \$3                                      | •      | 14,491  <br>\$59                       | į           |
|                          | Iscal Operations Popo      |  |        | —————————————————————————————————————— | I           |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1934-85.



<sup>(1)</sup> Administrative cost allowances are authorized for institutions to offset their costs in administering the Pell Grant and campus-based programs (e.g., costs of personnel, materials and/or equipment) and for carrying out the student consumer information services requirements. An institution must be able to document these expenses through audit reviews.

(2) Includes funds from institutions which are not included on Table XI.

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.033 COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

| United States  |   | Student<br>Participants   |
|--|---|---|
| Alaska   | United States   | 734,842   |
| S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Guam Puerto Rico  14,285 14,285 14,285 14,847 14,847 11,703 | Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas Callfornia Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina | Part   c   pants   734,842   13,772   247   5,779   8,869   48,381   6,462   10,006   1,733   4,161   22,963   14,082   1,436   2,113   26,632   16,292   12,861   8,129   12,523   14,07€   8,387   9,435   39,605   23,273   19,604   11,995   14,608   3,356   5,878   5,538   64,484   20,243   4,081   28,422   9,164   12,084   42,188   6,710   10,210 |
| Utah       2,901         Vermont       6,895         Virginia       14,847         Washington       11,703         W. Virginia       7,304         Wisconsin       18,878         Wyoming       740         Guam       247         Puerto Rico       29,094  | Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota<br>Tennessee   | 42, 188<br>6,710<br>10,210<br>5,103<br>14,285   |
| W. Virginia       7,304         Wisconsin       18,878         Wyoming       740         Guam       247         Puerto Rico       29,094   | S. Dakota<br>Tennessee<br>Texas<br>Utah<br>Vermont<br>Virginia  | 5, 103<br>14, 285<br>30, 053<br>2, 901<br>6, 895<br>14, 847   |
|  | W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin<br>Wyoming<br>Guam   | 7,304<br>18,878<br>740<br>247   |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1985-86.

# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.033 COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

|   | Student<br>Participants   |
|---|---|
| United States   | 770,897   |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawal! Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohio | Part Ic Ipants  770, 897  14, 859  248  6, 516  8, 890  49, 459  6, 823  9, 865  1, 992  4, 996  21, 756  14, 731  1, 605  2, C17  26, 693  17, 172  13, 538  8, 663  13, 099  14, 180  9, 052  9, 841  40, 341  24, 856  21, 079  13, 644  15, 697  3, 613  6, 121  626  7, 251  16, 522  6, 499  67, 750  22, 870  3, 955 |
| Ohio Okiahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode island S. Carolina S. Dakota  | 28,898<br>9,235<br>12,779<br>44,086<br>7,162<br>11,155  |
| Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin  | 5,628<br>15,862<br>32,544<br>2,848<br>6,563<br>15,426<br>12,612<br>7,75<br>19,804   |
| Wyoming<br>Guam<br>Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands  | 752<br>192<br>30,295<br>92  |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1984-85.



# X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.033 COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

| man and then then be despended in | Benefits       | Administration  | Total              |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| United States                     | \$877          | \$41            | \$918              |
| Alabama                           | \$898          | \$50            | \$948              |
| Alaska                            | \$1,335        | \$145           | 41 400             |
| Arizona :                         | \$1,098        | \$67            | \$1,480<br>\$1,165 |
| Arkansas !                        | \$768          | \$29            | 1 31,100           |
| California                        | \$1,115        | \$68            | \$797              |
| Colorado                          | \$1,088        | \$70            | \$1,183            |
| Connecticut                       | \$765          | \$33            | \$1,158            |
| Delaware                          | \$773          | \$35            | \$798              |
| ). C.                             | \$1,168        | \$44<br>\$44    | \$808              |
| or ida                            | \$800          | \$38            | \$1,212            |
| eorgia                            | \$873          | \$57            | \$838              |
| lawa 1                            | \$1,244        | \$71            | \$930              |
| daho                              | \$838          | \$54            | \$1,315            |
| llinois                           | \$948          | \$36            | \$890              |
| ndiana                            | \$788          | \$30            | \$984              |
| Ома                               | \$732          | \$43            | \$831              |
| ansas                             | \$816          | \$17            | \$749              |
| entucky                           | \$1,040        | \$23            | \$839              |
| oulsiana                          | \$823          | \$41            | \$1,081            |
| la ine                            | \$900          | \$40            | \$863              |
| aryland                           | \$1,011        | \$52            | \$952              |
| assachusetts                      | \$1,030        | \$38            | \$1,049            |
| ichigan                           | \$872          | \$47            | \$1,077            |
| nnesota                           |                | \$34            | \$906              |
| ississippi                        | \$790<br>\$795 | \$31            | \$821              |
| issouri                           | \$906          | \$39            | \$834              |
| ontana                            | \$872          | \$37            | \$943              |
| ebraksa                           |                | \$44            | \$916              |
| evada                             | \$751          | \$42            | \$793              |
| ew Hampshire                      | \$1,915        | \$77            | \$1,992            |
| ew Jersey                         | \$765          | \$47            | \$812              |
| BW Mexico                         | \$830          | \$47            | \$877              |
| ew York                           | \$1,220        | \$49            | \$1,269            |
| orth Carolina                     | \$888<br>\$710 | \$32            | \$920              |
| orth Dakota                       |                | \$57            | \$767              |
| nio                               | \$734          | \$37            | \$771              |
| kiahoma                           | \$908          | \$38            | \$946              |
| regon                             | \$833<br>\$856 | \$29            | \$862              |
| ennsy ivania                      |                | \$49            | \$905              |
| node Island                       | \$817          | \$29            | \$846              |
| Carolina                          | \$822          | \$26            | \$848              |
| Dakota                            | \$842          | \$50<br>\$27    | \$892              |
| ennessee                          | \$724          | \$27            | \$751              |
| XAS                               | \$753          | \$43            | \$796              |
| ah                                | \$1,045        | \$48            | \$1,093            |
| rmont                             | \$1,128        | \$71            | \$1,199 ;          |
| rginia                            | \$798          | \$53            | <b>\$</b> 851      |
| ishinatan                         | \$839          | \$51            | \$890 !            |
| ish ington                        | \$1,124        | \$59            | \$1,183            |
| Virginia                          | \$728          | \$35            | \$763              |
| sconsin                           | \$788          | <b>\$25</b>   1 | \$813              |
| yoming i                          | \$853          | \$85            | \$938              |
| IAM                               | \$1,058        | \$53            | \$1,111            |
| erto Rico                         | \$443          | \$20            | \$463              |
| rgin islands                      | \$876          | ! ***           | \$876              |

Data Sources: Fiscai Operations Report, 1985-86



<sup>(1)</sup> Spending from Table VIII.A divided by students from Table IX.A.

# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.033 COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

|                        | Benefits         | Administration       | Total              |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| United States          | \$886            | \$40                 | \$926              |
| Alabama                | \$870            | \$53                 | \$923              |
| Alaska                 | \$1,341          | \$123                | \$1,464            |
| Ar Izona i             | \$1,143          | \$32                 | \$1,175            |
| Arkansas               | \$815            | \$21 !               | \$836              |
| California i           | \$1,137          | \$56<br>\$75<br>\$23 | \$1,193            |
| Colorado               | \$1,049          | \$75                 | \$1,124            |
| Connecticut            | \$829            | \$23                 | \$852              |
| De laware              | \$807            | \$43                 | \$850              |
| 2. C.                  | \$1,151          | \$43                 | \$1,194            |
| Flor Ida               | \$900            | <b>\$33</b>          | \$933              |
| Georgia i              | \$924            | \$50 ;               | \$974              |
| Hawa II<br>Idaho       | \$1,330          | <b>\$ 16</b> !       | \$1,346            |
| lilinois               | \$897            | \$51                 | \$948              |
| indiana                | \$990            | \$53                 | \$1,043            |
| owa                    | \$804            | \$21                 | \$825              |
| (ansas                 | \$717            | \$23                 | \$740              |
| (entucky               | \$787<br>\$1,027 | \$29                 | \$816              |
| oulsiana               | \$878            | \$42                 | \$1,069            |
| laine                  | \$840            | \$41<br>\$45         | \$919              |
| aryland !              | \$1.062          | \$45<br>\$30         | \$885              |
| lassachusetts !        | \$1,013          | \$46                 | \$1,092<br>\$1,050 |
| (Ichigan               | \$864            | \$38                 | \$1,059            |
| linnesota !            | \$760            | \$30                 | \$902<br>\$790     |
| lies iss ipp i         | \$762            | \$35                 | \$797              |
| lissouri               | \$880            | \$27                 | \$907              |
| <b>lontana</b>         | \$822            | \$43                 | \$865              |
| ebraksa                | \$745            | \$26                 | \$771              |
| evada                  | \$1,744          | \$44                 | \$1,788            |
| ew Hampshire           | \$763            | \$57                 | \$820              |
| ew Jersey<br>ew Mexico | \$871            | \$36                 | \$907              |
| ew Mexico<br>ew York   | \$1,128          | \$41                 | \$1,169            |
| orth Carolina          | \$904            | \$40                 | \$944              |
| orth Dakota            | \$697            | \$42                 | \$739              |
| hlo                    | \$784<br>\$936   | \$42                 | \$826              |
| k lahoma !             | \$794            | \$42                 | \$978              |
| regon                  | \$859            | \$50                 | \$844              |
| ennsylvania !          | \$809            | \$36<br>\$33         | \$895  <br>*042    |
| node Island !          | \$819            | \$36                 | \$842  <br>\$855   |
| . Caroi ina            | \$864            | \$34                 | \$898              |
| . Dakota 🔋             | \$724            | \$41                 | \$765              |
| ennessee               | \$753            | \$37                 | \$790 I            |
| exas                   | \$1,075          | \$43                 | \$1,118            |
| ah                     | \$1,309          | \$95                 | \$1,404            |
| ermont                 | \$840            | \$49                 | \$889              |
| Irginia                | \$870            | \$51                 | \$921              |
| ish Ington             | \$1,060          | \$45                 | \$1,105            |
| Virginia               | \$711            | \$32                 | \$743              |
| sconšin                | \$775            | \$32                 | \$807              |
| om Ing                 | \$984            | \$36                 | \$1,020            |
| iam<br>ierto Rico      | \$1,244          | \$62                 | \$1,306            |
|                        | \$458            | \$21                 | \$479              |
| rgin islands ;         | \$615            | <b>\$31</b>          | \$646              |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1984-85



<sup>(1)</sup> Spending from Table VIII.B. divided by students from Table IX.B.

## XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.033 COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

| Federal<br>Fiscal<br>Year  |     | Availabie<br>Ald   | (1) | Student<br>Participants  |  |
|--|-----|--|-----|--|--|
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1978<br>1978<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1968<br>1968<br>1965<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962<br>1960 | (2) | \$553,600<br>\$518,400<br>\$536,000<br>\$459,200<br>\$472,800<br>\$472,800<br>\$476,000<br>\$391,200<br>\$375,200<br>\$348,800<br>\$236,000<br>\$236,000<br>\$236,000<br>\$160,000<br>\$160,000<br>\$115,200<br>\$102,400<br>\$102,400<br>\$26,400 |     | 788,000<br>737,000<br>771,796<br>720,097<br>739,346<br>819,093<br>925,660<br>700,520<br>845,275<br>696,661<br>570,000<br>570,000<br>600,000<br>425,000<br>385,000<br>385,000<br>275,000<br>115,000 |  |

Data Sources: Available Aid from the Office of Management and Budget.
Participant data from Office of Postsecondary Education, Program Book.

(1) institutions must contribute up to 20 percent of compensation, but historical data on actual contributions by institutions are not available. (2) Eighteen month grant period --- January 1, 1971 through June 30, 1972.



## SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (SECC) program provides federal funds to assist undergraduate students with demonstrated financial need meet their educational expenses. State and local governments do not play a role in administering the program. The Department of Education allocates funds to schools that make eligibility determinations and award grants to students with financial need.

In FY 1985, about 720,000 students were assisted under the SEOG program at a total federal cost of about \$388 million. The annual federal appropriation is allocated among about 4,000 participating institutions according to a formula that provides minimum funding with supplements based on institutional need. Recent statutory changes provide for non-federal matching of 5 percent in FY 1989, 10 percent in FY 1990, and 15 percent in FY 1991 and after.

Eligibility is limited to undergraduate students with financial need. Financial need is based on an analysis of the student's and family's financial situation and the difference between the cost of education and the amount the student and family can reasonably be expected to contribute toward that cost. A recipient must be enrolled as a regular student, maintain satisfactory progress, register with Selective Service, not be in default or owe refunds under other U.S. educational loan programs, and be a U.S. citizen or permanent resident.

The minimum SEOG award is \$100 and the maximum is \$4,000 per academic year. In FY 1985, the average arrard per student was about \$539. The SEOG grants need not be repaid. The grant must be used for such educational expenses as tuition, fees, books, supplies, food, and shelter.

There are a number of other federal programs which may meet some of the costs of postsecondary education. These other programs may provide benefits to the same students who receive SEOG grants, yet duplication of benefits is unlikely because SEOG awards may not exceed the difference between the cost of education and all the other resources available to the student.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.007 Budget account number(s): 91-0200-0-1-502.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Sec. 413A-413D of Title IV-A-2 of HEA, Pub. L. 99-498. (20 U.S.C. 1070b-1070b-3.)
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR Part 676.
- E. Federal administering agency: Office of Student Financial Assistance, Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Institutions of postsecondary education.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funds are allocated to institutions on the basis of a prior year's expenditures and demonstrated need for additional funding. Institutions award funds to students on the basis of financial need as determined by a system of need analysis approved by the Secretary. The institutional allocation procedures are described in Sections 676.3 to 676.7 of the SEOG program regulations. Funds are awarded for use by participating institutions for one award year at a time following the year of application.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

State and local governments do not play a role in administering this program, except where grantee institutions are under state or local government control.

Institutional responsibilities in administering this program include: (1) receiving applications from students or prospective students requesting financial assistance; (2) determining eligibility which includes an assessment of need; (3) making awards and disbursing funds; (4) verifying selected applicant's application data; (5) establishing and maintaining records; (6) reporting program activity.

J. Audit or quality control.

Regulations governi j the SEOG program provide for standards of compliance and the Student Assistance General Provisions provide for biannual audits. These audits must be conducted in



accordance with the Comptroller General's standards and copies are provided to the Office of Inspector General and to the Office of Student Financial Assistance, Audit Review Branch.

All participating institutions are subject to compliance audits and program reviews. Violations of regulations are grounds for suspension, fines, limitations, termination, or repayment of liabilities.

### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The statutory and regulatory objectives are to provide, through institutions of postsecondary education, supplemental grants to assist in making available the benefits of higher education to needy students.

B. Allocation of funds among various activities.

In FY 1985, about 3 percent of funds was used for administration by institutions and 97 percent was used for grants to students.

### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual students are eligible.

B. Income eligibility standards.

Financial need is the difference between the cost of education and the amount the student and the student's family can reasonably be expected to contribute toward that cost. This expected contribution is the result of an analysis of the student's and family's financial situation. Institutions perform this need analysis using a methodology which has been approved by the Department of Education.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

A student is eligible to receive assistance under the SEOG program if the student is: (1) a U.S. citizen or national, or is a permanent resident of the U.S., or is in the U.S. for other than a temporary-purpose with the intention of becoming a citizen or permanent resident or is a permanent resident of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; (2) enrolled or accepted for enrollment at an institution of higher education; (3) determined to have substantial financial need; (4) maintaining satisfactory progress in a course of study; (5) not obligated to pay a refund



on a grant received for attendance at any institution under the Pell, SEOG, or SSIG programs; (6) not in default on a loan made at any institution under the NDSL, Perkins Loan, GSL, SLS, or PLUS programs; (7) has certified to the completion of Selective Service requirements.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Formulas approved by the Department of Education calculate amounts from other income which students and their families may reasonably be expected to contributed to higher education costs.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Program intake is accomplished through voluntary completion of the application process by recipients.

B. Program benefits or services.

The grantee may credit the recipient's SEOG to his or her account, pay the recipient directly, or use a combination of these methods. The grantee must pay the recipient at least once per term or at least twice during the academic year.

The amount of benefit received by recipients in the SEOG program is determined by the recipient's financial need, the availability of this and other financial aid program resources, and institutional philosophy and practices regarding the distribution of financial aid to students. Institutions utilize, at their discretion, the available financial aid resources, including the SEOG, to meet the student's financial need. The minimum award a recipient may receive is \$100 for an academic year, and the maximum is \$4,000.

C. Duration of benefits.

There are no time limits on receipt of aid, as long as the student has not yet received a bachelor's degree and continues to maintain satisfactory academic progress as determined by the individual school.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

Participation in other assistance programs does not satisfy any conditions of program eligibility for the SEOG program. But, as long as an applicant has demonstrated financial need, participation in other assistance programs does not preclude



participation in the SEOG program.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Cash income, including cash welfare, is counted in determining expected family contribution. Benefits in-kind from other education assistance programs reduce the difference between expected family contribution and total costs and so reduce maximum SEOG benefits which could be received.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

Federal low income assistance programs which provide assistance to all or a large part of the same population are:

- o State Student Incentive Grants;
- o Pell Grants;
- o Perkins loans (formerly National Direct Student Loans);
- o Guaranteed Student Loans;
- o College Work-Study;
- Veterans Education Assistance Program.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and in the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Education, Arts, and Humanities

## House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

## House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies



C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

The Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant (SEOG) program was authorized and incorporated into the Higher Education Act by the Education Amendment. of 1972. It superceued the Educational Opportunity Grant (EOG) which was established by the Higher Educational Act of 1965 (Pub. L. 89-329). The EOG made grants available to high school graduates of exceptional financial need who, without the grants, would have been unable to attend a postsecondary institution.

The Education Amendments of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-318) extended the existing Educational Opportunity Grant program as a supplementary program to the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant program. The maximum amount for a grant was increased over the previous EOG to \$1,500 (from \$1,000) a year with a maximum 4-year total of \$4,000.

The Education Amendments of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-482) extended the authorization for funding of the program through FY 1979.

Under the Education Amendments of 1980, the maximum grant was increased to \$2,000 annually and the cumulative limits of \$4,000 and \$5,000 were repealed. The legislation eliminated the limitation on the number of years a student is eligible for an SEOG. Also, the provision limiting SEOG to students with "exceptional financial need" was changed to read student with "financial need." Several changes were made governing the SEOG allocation formula to states and institutions. The enrollment-based interstate allocation formula was changed so that it eliminated graduate students from the calculation.

The Defense Authorization Act of 1982 required all Title IV aid recipients to register for the Selective Service.

On May 26, 1986, the Student Financial Assistance Technical Corrections Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-320) was signed. The Technical Corrections Act made minor technical corrections to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (Pub. L. 99-272). The eligibility requirements were changed for all Title IV programs. Recipients lose eligibility if they are in default on a Title IV loan or owe a refund on a Title IV grant at any institution.

The Higher Education Amendments of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-498), enacted October 17, 1986, doubled the maximum grant from \$2,000 to \$4,000 and eliminated states as a factor in the allocation formula. The act also introduce a non-federal match requirement of 5 percent



in FY 1989, 10 percent in FY 1990, and 15 percent in FY 1991. The amendments also eliminated the distinction between initial year and continuing year, established in 1978.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

A Notice of Proposed Rule making was published in the Federal Register on March 6, 1974 (39 FR 8624-8629), setting forth proposed regulations governing the operation of the Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant program (20 U.S.C. 107^b-1070b-3). Authorized by the Education Amendments of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-318), the regulations described the definition, the allotment of federal funds to states, the eligibility and selection of student participants in the program, the use of federal grants, and the federal share of student compensation.

In October 1974, regulations were expanded to include the definitions of student eligibility, duration of student eligibility, cost of education, expected family contribution, need analysis systems, coordination of financial aid programs, amount of grant, payment of grant, maintenance of effort, and the use of funds.

In November 1976, the major regulatory changes were the inclusion of allocation, reallocation, and payment of funds to institutions, institutional application, institutional agreement, coordination with Bureau of Indian Affairs' grant-in aid, transfer of funds from College Work-Study to SEOG, use of funds, fiscal procedures and records, termination and suspension of institutions.

In August 1978, regulations reflected the new terminologies of the Initial Grant and the Continuing Grant. This meant that an institution may award one initial grant to a student. A returning student would receive a second grant from the CY funds.

In August 1979, changes were made in the definition of an institution of higher education and programs of study abroad.

In June 1980, an additional provision dealt with the Secretary's approval for institutions to offer a six-month program of training if approved by the accrediting association that accredits the school.



# VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.007 SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

| }                         | Benefits              | Administration | Total  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| United States             | \$374,572             | \$12,822       | \$387,395  |
| Alabama                   | \$5,897               | \$229          | \$6,126  |
| Alaska<br>Arizona         | \$349                 | \$1            | \$350  |
| Arkansas                  | \$5,035<br>\$2,474    | \$100<br>\$111 | \$5,135<br>\$2,595                                   |
| California                | \$37,168              | \$1,325        | \$2,585  <br>\$38,493                                |
| Colorado                  | \$4,557               | \$134          | \$4,692  |
| Connect   cut             | \$5,189               | \$77           | \$5,266  |
| Delaware                  | \$886                 | \$27           | <b>\$913</b>   <b>\$</b> 913                         |
| D. C.                     | \$2,737               | \$36           | \$2,772  |
| Florida                   | \$10,608              | \$278          | <b>  \$10,886                                   </b> |
| Georgia                   | \$5,965               | \$172          | \$6,137  |
| Hawa II                   | \$1,245               | \$62           | \$1,306  |
| Idaho<br>IIIInols         | \$1,182               | \$62           | \$1,245  |
| Indiana                   | \$16,343              | \$608<br>\$157 | \$16,950   |
| Owa                       | \$7,681  <br>\$5,242  | \$94           | \$7,838  <br>\$5,337                                 |
| Kansas                    | \$5,242<br>\$3,703    | \$132          | \$3,835  |
| Kentucky                  | \$3,987               | \$262          | \$4,249  |
| Louislana                 | \$4,486               | \$9.9          | \$4,584  |
| Maine i                   | \$5,229               | \$79           | \$5,308  |
| Maryland                  | \$5,852               | \$246          | <b>\$6,098</b>                                       |
| Massachusetts             | \$20,624              | \$341          | <b>  \$20,965    </b>                                |
| Michigan !                | \$13,714              | \$674          | \$14,389   |
| Minnesota                 | \$10,025              | \$281          | \$10,306   |
| Mississippi  <br>Missouri | \$4,618               | \$168          | \$4,786  |
| Montana                   | \$8,404<br>\$996      | \$255<br>\$120 | \$6,659  <br>\$1,117                                 |
| Nebraska                  | \$2,477               | \$73           | \$2,549  |
| Nevada                    | \$617                 | \$55           | \$672  |
| New Hampshire !           | \$3,371               | \$43           | \$3,414  |
| New Jersey                | <b>\$8,259</b>        | <b>\$219 ‡</b> | ! <b>\$</b> 8.478 ! !                                |
| New Mexico                | \$2,649               | \$230          | <b>\$2,879</b>   <b>\$</b>                           |
| New York                  | \$29,270              | \$1,308        | <b>  \$30,579    </b>                                |
| N. Carolina               | \$8,895               | \$108          | \$9,002  |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio         | \$2,189               | \$183<br>\$330 | \$2,373  |
| Oklahoma                  | \$14,202  <br>\$4,031 | \$159          | \$14,531   \$4,190                                   |
| Oregon                    | \$7,477               | \$445          | \$7,921  |
| Pennsy I van la           | \$21,180              | \$397          | \$21,577   |
| Rhode Island              | \$3,698               | \$68           | \$3,765  |
| S. Carolina               | \$4,434               | \$139 }        | \$4,573  |
| S. Dakota                 | \$2,287               | <b>\$111</b>   | <b>\$2,397</b>                                       |
| Tennessee                 | \$6,256               | \$189          | <b>\$6,445</b>                                       |
| Texas                     | \$17,995              | \$851          | \$18,846   |
| Utah<br>Vermont           | \$2,223               | \$124          | \$2,347  |
| Vermont<br>Virginia       | \$3,707               | \$48           | \$3,755  |
| Washington                | \$6,951<br>\$9,161    | \$196<br>\$324 | \$7,147<br>\$9,485                                   |
| W. Virginia               | \$2,761               | \$77           | \$2,838  |
| Wisconsin                 | \$11,940              | \$673          | \$12,613   |
| Wyom Ing                  | \$508                 | \$56           | \$564  |
| Guam                      | \$30                  | \$2            | \$32   |
| Puerto Rico               | \$5,784               | \$284          | \$6,068  |
| Virgin Islands;           | \$29                  | <b>\$1  </b>   | \$29   |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1985-86.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.007 SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

|                          | Benefits              | Admin Stration | Total                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| United States            | \$360,970             | \$12,606       | \$373,578              |
| Alabama                  | \$5,699               | \$169          |                        |
| Alaska                   | \$283                 | \$109          | \$5,868                |
| Ar Izona                 | \$4,910               | \$113          | \$285                  |
| Arkansas                 | \$4,910<br>\$2,297    | \$53           | \$5,023                |
| California               | \$35,974              | \$1,185        | \$2,350                |
| Colorado                 | \$4,352               | \$144          | \$37,159               |
| Connecticut              | \$4,967               | \$168          | \$4,495                |
| Delaware                 | \$936                 | \$19           | \$5,134<br>\$955       |
| D. C.                    | \$2,898               | \$36           |                        |
| Florida                  | \$10,739              | \$229          | \$2,934                |
| Georgia i                | \$5,830               | \$220          | \$10,968               |
| HawaTI                   | \$1,146               | \$47           | \$6,050<br>\$1,194     |
| Idaho                    | \$1,146<br>\$1,131    | \$60           | 91, 134 ;<br>\$1 101 i |
| Illinois                 | \$15,771              | \$549          | \$1,191                |
| Indiana                  | \$6,986               | \$388          | \$16,321<br>\$7,375    |
| lowa                     | \$5,206               | \$99           | \$5,305                |
| Kansas                   | \$3,444               | \$131          | \$3,576                |
| Kentucky                 | \$3,569               | \$235          | \$3,070                |
| Louisiana                | \$4,647               | \$80           | \$3,804<br>\$4,728     |
| Maine                    | \$5,121               | \$159          | \$5,280                |
| Maryland                 | \$5,569               | \$320          | \$5,889                |
| Massachusetts            | \$20,589              | \$407          | \$20,997               |
| lichigan                 | <b>\$12,391</b> {     | \$477          | \$12,868               |
| Vinnesota                | \$10,081              | \$222          | \$10,303               |
| ilgalasiani              | \$4,595               | \$266          | \$4,862                |
| (Issour I                | \$8,078               | \$274          | \$6,352                |
| <b>Nontana</b>           | \$844                 | \$58           | \$902                  |
| ebraska                  | \$2,397               | \$63           | \$2,460                |
| levada                   | \$508                 | \$74           | \$582                  |
| lew Hampshire            | \$3,671               | \$70           | \$3,740                |
| lew Jersey               | \$7,958               | \$271          | \$8,229                |
| lew Mexico               | \$2,419               | \$256          | \$2,678                |
| lew York                 | \$28,091              | \$1,058        | \$29,149               |
| l. Carolina<br>I. Dakota | \$9,051               | \$128          | \$9,179                |
| hlo                      | \$1,916               | \$223          | \$2,138                |
| klahoma                  | \$13,450              | \$385          | \$13,835               |
| regon                    | \$3,837               | \$150          | \$3,987                |
| ennsylvania              | \$6,910               | \$509          | \$7,419                |
| hode island              | \$20,770              | \$647          | \$7,419<br>\$21,417    |
| . Carolina               | \$3,493               | \$67           | \$3,560                |
| . Dakota                 | \$4,365               | \$130          | \$4,496                |
| ennessee                 | \$2,171               | \$83           | \$2,254                |
| exas                     | \$6,142               | \$195          | \$6,337                |
| tah                      | \$16,450              | \$794          | \$17,244               |
| rmont                    | \$2,013               | \$86           | \$2,099                |
| irginia                  | \$3,772               | \$66           | \$3,837 {              |
| ish Ington               | \$6,623  <br>\$9,104  | \$178          | \$6,801                |
| Virginia                 | \$9,104  <br>\$2,668  | \$252          | <b>\$9,356</b>         |
| sconsin                  | \$2,668  <br>\$11,000 | \$90           | <b>\$2,758</b>         |
| om ing                   | \$434                 | 3484           | <b>\$11,484</b>        |
| lô.Mi                    | \$28                  | \$41           | \$476                  |
| erto Rico                | <b>\$5,648</b>        | \$1            | \$30                   |
| rgin islands             | \$28                  | \$189          | \$5,837                |
|                          | ₩AU                   | \$1            | <b>\$</b> 27 ¦         |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1984-85.

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.007 SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

|   | Student (1)  |
|---|--|
| United States   | 653, 509   |
| United Statas  Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Fiorida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Misscuri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampsilre New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas | Recipients (1)  653,509  10,232 428 7,517 5,843 64,340 6,473 7,486 1,614 3,365 18,635 11,697 1,902 1,694 29,080 12,619 3,616 7,091 8,525 11,830 8,209 10,758 27,970 23,602 15,207 10,116 11,945 1,609 5,128 867 3,633 16,490 4,770 50,557 14,702 4,685 23,473 9,224 13,156 36,013 6,082 8,531 4,096 10,397 |
| Utah<br>Vermont<br>Virginia   | 32,560<br>3,581<br>6,235<br>11,666   |
| Vermont<br>Virginia<br>Washington<br>W. Virginia  | 6,235<br>11,666<br>14,421<br>5,050   |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming<br>Guam<br>Puerto Rico   | 19,423<br>845<br>178<br>19,136   |
| Virgin Islands  | 107  |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1985-86.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



## IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.007 SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

| 1                           | Student          | 1 ! |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----|
| 1                           | Recipients       | (1) |
| the land state              |                  |     |
| United States               | 648,498          | 1 1 |
| Alabama                     |                  | 1 1 |
| Alabana                     | 10,147           |     |
| Alaska                      | 382              | 1 1 |
| Ar Izona                    | 7,072            | 1 1 |
| Arkansas                    | 5,568            |     |
| California<br>Colorado      | 5,568<br>63,380  |     |
| Connecticut                 | į <u>0,</u> 18/  |     |
| Delaware                    | 7,717            | 1   |
| D. C.                       | 1,593<br>3,337   | i I |
| Florida                     | 3,337            |     |
| Georgia                     | 19,168           |     |
| Hawaii                      | 11,181           | i i |
| Idaho                       | 1,660            |     |
| Illinois                    | 1,895            | i i |
| Indiana                     | 28,404           |     |
| loka                        | 11,632           |     |
| Kansas                      | 8,608            | i   |
| Kentucky                    | 7,441            |     |
| Louisiana                   | 8,048            | į   |
| Maine                       | 11,145           | į   |
| Maryland                    | 8,251            | j   |
| Massachusetts               | 10,385           | j   |
| Michigan                    | 28,476           | j   |
| Minnesota                   | 23,363<br>16,316 | i   |
| Mississippi                 | 10,310           | i   |
| Missouri                    | 9,747            | i   |
| Montana                     | 11,470           | i   |
| Nebraska                    | 1,623<br>4,958   | İ   |
| Nevada                      | 849              | ĺ   |
| New Hampshire               | 3,658            | - 1 |
| New Jersey                  | 15,953           | - 1 |
| New Mexico                  | 5,246            | 1   |
| New York                    | 51,473           | - ! |
| N. Carolina                 | 15,325           | - 1 |
| N. Dakota                   | 4, 198           |     |
| Ohlo                        | 22,671           | j   |
| Ok lahoma                   | 9,146            | - ! |
| <b>Dregon</b>               | 11,268 }         | ı   |
| Pennsy I van la             | 35,871           | ı   |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina | 5,876            |     |
| S. Dakota                   | 8,528            | - 1 |
|                             | 4,367            | - 1 |
| Tennessee<br>Texas          | 11,725           | i   |
| Utah                        | 31,345           | - 1 |
| Vermont                     | 3,325            | i   |
| Virginia .                  | 8,535            | İ   |
| lash ington                 | 11,051           | İ   |
| . Virginia                  | 14,528           | İ   |
| isconsin                    | 5,041            | i   |
| llyoming !                  | 19,470           | İ   |
| ilam !                      | 140              | Í   |
| uerto Rico                  | 20,892           | ĺ   |
| Irgin Islands               | 100              |     |
| -                           | . 100            |     |
| ata Courons. Classic        | A 11             |     |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1984-85.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



## X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UKIT (ERVED (1) 84.007 SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL (PPORTUNITY GRANTS

|                          | Benefits       | Administration  | Total          |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| United States            | \$573          | \$20            | \$593          |
| Alabama<br>Alaska        | \$576<br>\$815 | \$22<br>\$3     | \$598<br>\$818 |
| Arizona                  | \$670          | \$13            | \$683          |
| Arkansas                 | \$423          | \$19            | \$442          |
| California               | \$578          | \$21            | \$599          |
| Colorado                 | \$704          | \$21 \          | \$725          |
| Connecticut              | \$693          | \$10            | \$703          |
| Delaware                 | \$549          | \$17            | \$566          |
| D. C.                    | \$813          | \$11            | \$824          |
| Florida                  | \$569          | \$15            | \$584          |
| Georgia                  | \$510          | \$15            | \$525          |
| HawaTl<br>Idaho          | \$654          | \$32            | \$686          |
| Illinois                 | \$698<br>\$562 | \$37<br>\$21    | \$735          |
| indiana                  | \$609          | \$12            | \$583<br>\$621 |
| lowa                     | \$608          | \$11            | \$619          |
| Kansas                   | \$522          | \$19            | \$541          |
| Kentucky                 | \$468          | \$31            | \$499          |
| Louisiana                | \$379          | \$8             | \$387          |
| Maine                    | \$637          | \$10            | \$647          |
| Maryland                 | \$544          | \$23            | \$567          |
| Massachusetts            | \$737          | \$12 '          | \$749          |
| Michigan !               | \$581          | \$29            | \$610          |
| Minnešota ¦              | \$659          | <b>\$19</b>     | \$678          |
| Mississippi ;            | \$457          | <b>† \$17 †</b> | \$474          |
| Missouri                 | \$538          | \$21            | \$557          |
| Montana                  | \$619          | \$75            | \$694          |
| <b>Nebrask</b> a         | \$483          | \$14            | \$497          |
| Nevada                   | \$711          | \$63            | \$774          |
| New Hampshire            | \$928          | \$12            | \$940          |
| Mew Jersey<br>New Mexico | \$501<br>\$555 | \$13            | \$514          |
| New York                 | \$579          | \$48<br>\$26    | \$603<br>\$605 |
| N. Carolina              | \$605          | \$7             | \$612          |
| N. Dakota                | \$467          | \$39            | \$506          |
| Ohlo                     | \$605          | \$14            | \$619          |
| Ok lahoma                | \$432          | \$17            | \$449          |
| Oregon                   | \$568          | \$34            | \$602          |
| Pennsy Ivan Ia           | \$588          | \$11            | \$599          |
| Rhode is land            | \$608          | \$11            | \$619          |
| S. Carolina              | \$520          | <b>\$16</b>     | \$536          |
| S. Dakota ¦              | \$558          | \$27            | \$585          |
| Tennessee ;              | \$602          | \$18 i          | \$620          |
| Texas                    | \$553          | \$26            | \$579          |
| Utah                     | \$621          | \$35            | \$656          |
| Vermont                  | \$595          | \$8             | \$603          |
| Virginia                 | \$596          | \$17            | \$613          |
| Washington i             | \$635          | \$22            | \$657          |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin | \$547<br>\$615 | \$15            | \$562<br>\$650 |
| Wyoming                  | \$601          | \$35<br>\$66    | \$667          |
| Guam                     | \$167          | \$10            | \$177          |
| Puerto Rico              | \$302          | \$15            | \$317          |
| Virgin Islands           | \$269          | \$1             | \$269          |
|                          | , 7200 (       | · · · · · ·     | 1 4200 1 1     |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1985-86.

(1) Based on undupilicated annual count.



348

## X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.007 SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

|                 | Benefits       | Administration | Tota  <br>\$576 |  |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| United States   | <b>\$</b> 557  | \$19           |                 |  |
| Alabama         | \$562          | \$17           | AFTO            |  |
| Alaska          | \$741 !        | \$6            | <b>\$</b> 579   |  |
| Ar Izona        | \$694          | \$16           | \$747           |  |
| Arkansas        | \$413          | \$9            | \$710           |  |
| California      | \$568          | \$19           | \$422<br>\$507  |  |
| Colorado        | \$703          | \$23           | \$587           |  |
| Connecticut     | \$644          | \$22           | \$726           |  |
| Delaware        | \$588          | \$12           | \$666<br>\$600  |  |
| D. C.           | \$868          | \$17           | \$879           |  |
| Florida         | \$560          | \$12           | \$572           |  |
| Georgia i       | \$521          | \$20           | \$541           |  |
| Hawaii<br>Idaho | \$691          | \$28           | \$719           |  |
| IIIInols        | \$597          | \$31           | \$628           |  |
| indiana         | \$555          | \$19           | 40.17.3         |  |
| Indiana<br>Iowa | \$601          | \$33           | \$634           |  |
| Kansas          | \$605          | \$12           | \$617           |  |
| Kentucky        | \$463          | \$18           | \$481           |  |
| oulslana        | \$443          | \$29           | \$472           |  |
| ka Ine          | \$417          | \$7            | \$424           |  |
| Maryland !      | \$621          | \$19 ;         | \$640           |  |
| lassachusetts   | \$536          | \$31           | \$567           |  |
| lich Igan       | \$723          | \$14           | \$737           |  |
| Innesota        | \$530          | \$20           | \$550           |  |
| lississippi     | \$618          | \$14           | \$632           |  |
| lissouri        | \$471          | \$27           | \$498           |  |
| Iontana         | \$530          | \$24           | \$554           |  |
| lebraksa        | \$520          | \$35           | \$555           |  |
| levada          | \$483          | \$13           | \$496           |  |
| ew Hampshire    | \$599          | \$87           | \$686           |  |
| ew Jersey       | \$1,003        | \$19           | \$1,022         |  |
| ew Mexico       | \$499          | \$17           | <b>\$</b> 516 ; |  |
| ew York         | \$461<br>\$546 | \$49           | <b>\$</b> 510   |  |
| orth Carolina   | \$591          | \$21           | <b>\$</b> 567   |  |
| orth Dakota     | \$456          | \$8            | \$599           |  |
| hlo į           | \$593          | \$53           | \$509           |  |
| klahoma         | \$419          | \$17           | \$610           |  |
| regon           | \$613          | \$16           | \$435           |  |
| ennsylvania j   | \$579          | \$45           | \$658           |  |
| node Island !   | \$595          | \$18           | \$597           |  |
| . Carolina 🔋    | \$512          | \$11<br>\$15   | \$606           |  |
| . Dakota        | \$497          | \$15<br>\$10   | \$527           |  |
| ennessee        | \$524          | \$19<br>\$17   | \$516           |  |
| exas            | \$525          | \$25           | \$541           |  |
| tah i           | \$605          | \$25<br>\$26   | \$550           |  |
| prmont          | \$577          | \$10           | \$631           |  |
| irginia i       | \$599          | \$16           | \$587           |  |
| ish Ington      | \$627          | \$17           | \$615 }         |  |
| Virginia        | \$529          | \$18           | \$644           |  |
| sconsin !       | \$565          | \$25           | \$547           |  |
| 'ona Ing        | \$569          | \$54           | \$590           |  |
| lam i           | \$203          | \$9            | \$623           |  |
| erto Rico       | \$270          | \$9            | \$212           |  |
| rgin Islands    | \$259          | #3 i i         | \$279           |  |

Data Sources: Fiscal Operations Report, 1984-85.

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on unduplicated annual count.

### XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.007 SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

| Federal |    |                    |     |            |     |
|---------|----|--------------------|-----|------------|-----|
| Fiscal  | !! | Budget             | 1   | Student    | 1   |
| Year    |    | <b>Author I ty</b> |     | Recipients |     |
| -       | -  |                    |     |            |     |
|         |    |                    |     |            | i   |
| 1985    |    | \$396,000          |     | 653,500    |     |
| 1984    |    | \$360,000          |     | 648,49     | İ   |
| 1983    | 1  | \$341,000          |     | 648,504    | 1   |
| 1982    |    | \$338,000          |     | 640,650    |     |
| 1981    |    | \$352,000          |     | 658,893    |     |
| 1980    |    | \$352,000          |     | 716,522    | İ   |
| 1979    |    | \$333,000          |     | 606,024    | 1   |
| 1978    |    | \$266,000          |     | 510,448    |     |
| 1977    |    | \$244,000          |     | 499,034    |     |
| 1976    |    | \$244,000          |     | 449,231    |     |
| 1975    |    | \$201,000          |     | 390,000    | İ   |
| 1974    |    | \$200,000          |     | 395,000    | 1   |
| 1973    |    | \$189,000          |     | 331,000    |     |
| 1972    |    | \$174,000          |     | 320,369    | İ   |
| 1971    |    | \$153,000          |     | 297,335    |     |
| 1970    |    | \$134,000          |     | 253,421    |     |
| 1969    | 1  | \$113,000          |     | 258,175    | . 1 |
| 1968    |    | \$83,000           |     | 202,055    |     |
| 1967    |    | \$47,000           |     | 123,165    |     |
| 1966    |    | \$57,923           |     |            | ' Î |
| 1965    |    |                    |     |            |     |
| 1964    | 1  |                    |     |            |     |
| 1963    |    |                    |     |            |     |
| 1962    |    |                    |     |            |     |
| 1961    |    |                    |     |            |     |
| 1960    |    |                    |     |            |     |
| !       | !! |                    | !!! | ! !        | · į |

Data Sources: Office of Postsecondary Education Program Book.
Fiscal Operations Reports.

## STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The State Student Incentive Grants (SSIG) program provides federal matching funds to states to encourage need-based grant programs for postsecondary students. Operating through agreements with the Department of Education, the state agencies responsible for each state's own need-based scholarship programs are also responsible for administering the SSIG program.

In FY 1986, about \$73 million was appropriated for the SSIG program and these federal funds were allocated to the states based on enrollment at institutions of higher education. To receive SSIG funds, states must match the federal grants dollar-for-dollar and the state matching funds must represent additional state expenditures over an established base year, defined as the this matching requirement may result in reallocations of federal funds. States must reapply annually and must pay all administrative costs.

Eligibility is limited to enrolled postsecondary students with substantial financial need. State agencies make eligibility determinations using criteria that they establish subject to approval by the Secretary of Education. The maximum SSIG grant for a full-time student is \$2,500 per academic year.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: State Student Incentive Grant Program.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.069 Budget account number(s): 91-0200-0-1-502.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1070(c)).
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR 692.
- E. Federal administering agency: Office of Student Financial Assistance, Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: States.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

The Secretary allots to each state participating in the program an amount which bears the same ratio to the federal SSIG funds appropriated as the number of students in that state who are deemed eligible to participate in the state's SSIG program bears to the total number of students in all states who are deemed eligible to participate in the SSIG program, except that no state shall receive less than it received FY 1979.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

The states are responsible for administering the SSIG program by:
(1) providing grants and work-study jobs to eligible students;
(2) selecting students for assistance on the basis of standards that they establish and the Secretary approves; (3) providing for state expenditures for SSIG grant and work-study jobs that are equal to or greater than the federal SSIG funds allocated; (4) providing for reports to the Secretary as required.

J. Audit or quality control.

The program statute and regulations governing the SSIG program provide for standards of compliance and require states to provide for such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as are necessary to assure proper disbursement of and accounting for program funds.

The Department does have the authority to levy penalties for



noncompliance. However, the Department has never made a noncompliance determination warranting such a penalty.

### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The purpose of the SSIG program is to make incentive grants available to the states to assist them in providing grants to eligible students attending institutions of higher education and work-study jobs to eligible students for campus-based community service.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Program funds, with state matching amounts, must be used for the cost of postsecondary education. Federal funds may not be used for the costs of administration.

## IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

The statute provides for grants to the states to provide assistance to eligible students who demonstrate substantial financial need determined annually on the basis of criteria established by the state and approved by the Secretary.

B. Income eligibility standards.

States establish their own standards for substantial financial need by methods subject to approval by the Secretary.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

A student is eligible to receive assistance under the SSIG program if the student is: (1) a U.S. citizen or national, or is a permanent resident of the U.S., or is in the U.S. for other than a temporary purpose with the intention of becoming a citizen or permanent resident or is a permanent resident of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; (2) enrolled or accepted for enrollment at an institution of higher education; (3) determined to have substantial financial need; (4) maintaining satisfactory progress in a course of study; (5) not obligated to pay a refund on a grant received for attendance at any institution under the Pell, SEOG, or SSIG programs; (6) not in default on a loan made at any institution under the NDSL, Perkins Loan, regular GSL, purpose.



D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Students or their families pay part of the costs of higher education based upon their expected family contribution.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Following the allocation of funds to states, intake is accomplished through application processes established by the states. The application process involves the voluntary submission of financial information by which financial need is measured.

B. Program benefits or services.

The states generally disburse grant awards on behalf of recipients to institutions of postsecondary education which either credit the recipient's institutional account or disburse funds directly.

The amount of benefits a recipient receives is determined in accordance with criteria set by the state. The only federal requirements are the maximum amount of an SSIG award. In FY 1986 this maximum was \$2,000 per year. It was recently increased to \$2,500.

- VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP
- A. Categorical or automatic eligibility.

Participation in other assistance programs does not provide categorical or automatic eligibility for SSIG grants.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Cash income, including cash welfare, is counted in determining expected family contribution. Benefits in-kind from other education assistance programs reduce difference between expected family contribution and total costs and so reduce maximum benefits which could be received.

C. Overlapping authority.

Federal low income assistance programs which provide assistance to all or a large part of the same population are:

- o Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants;
- o Pell Grants;



- o Perkins loans (formerly National Direct Stu Loans);
- o Guaranteed Student Loans;
- o College Work-Study;
- o Veterans Education Assistance Program.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Education, Arts, and Humanities

### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Committee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

### House of Representatives

Committee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

The Education Amendments of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-318, June 23, 1972) established the SSIG program to provide, through incentive grants to the states, grants to students with substantial financial need to enable them to attend postsecondary educational institutions.

The Education Amendments of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-482, October 10, 1976) required participating states to expand institutional eligibility to include all nonprofit institutions of higher education.



The Education Amendments of 1980 (Pub. L. 96-374, October 3, 1980) required participating states to expend for SSIG grants an amount not less than their average SSIG expenditures for the preceding three years.

The Higher Education Amendments of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-498, October 17, 1986) required participating states to match their federal program allotments with funds specifically appropriated by the state for the SSIG program. The amendments also provided that states may use up to 20 percent of their federal program allotments for payments to students for campus-based community service work learning study jobs.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changus.

Federal Register on May 31, 1974, 45 CFR Part 192 implemented the program, established by the Education Amendments of 1972.

Federal Register on September 14, 1977, 45 CFR Part 192 incorporated statutory changes made by the Education Amendments of 1976.

Federal Register on July 14, 1981, 34 CFR Part 692 incorporated statutory changes made by the Education Amendments of 1980.

Amendments to the program regulations published in the Federal Register on December 1, 1986, 34 CFR 692 required states that participate in the SSIG program to match their federal program allotments with funds specifically appropriated by the state for the SSIG program.

### VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.069 STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANTS

|                            | BENE                 | FITS<br>1)  State-Local !(2) | Total                  |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| United States              | \$76,000             | \$76,000                     | \$152,000              |
| Alabama                    | \$1,130              | \$1,130                      | \$2,260                |
| Alaska                     | \$121                | \$121                        | \$241                  |
| Ar Izona                   | \$1,293              | \$1,293                      | \$2,586                |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia     | \$481                | \$481                        | \$961                  |
| Colorado                   | \$11,669<br>\$1,037  | \$11,669                     | \$23,339               |
| Connecticut                | \$989                | \$1,037<br>\$989             | \$2,074                |
| Delaware                   | \$203                | \$203                        | \$1,978<br>\$405       |
| D. C.                      | \$553                | \$553                        | \$1,106                |
| Florida                    | \$2,377              | \$2,377                      | \$4,754                |
| Georgia                    | \$1,319              | \$1,319                      | \$2,638                |
| Hawall<br>Idaho            | \$312                | \$312                        | \$624                  |
| lllinois                   | \$254                | \$254                        | \$509                  |
| Indiana                    | \$4,105<br>\$1,519   | \$4,105                      | \$8,210                |
| lowa                       | \$817                | \$1,519    <br>\$817         | \$3,038                |
| Kansas                     | \$842                | \$842                        | \$1,633<br>\$1,683     |
| Kentucky                   | \$928                | \$928                        | \$1,855                |
| Louisiana                  | \$1,081              | \$1,081                      | \$2,163                |
| Maine<br>Maryland          | \$272                | \$272                        | <b>\$</b> 544 ; ;      |
| Massachusetts              | \$1,385              | \$1,385                      | \$2,771                |
| Michigan                   | \$2,442  <br>\$3,139 | \$2,442                      | \$4,884                |
| Minnesota                  | \$1,469              | \$3,139<br>\$1,469           | \$6,279                |
| Mississippi                | \$643                | \$643                        | \$2,938<br>\$1,286     |
| Missouri                   | \$1,501              | \$1,501                      | \$3,002                |
| Montana                    | \$209                | \$209   }                    | \$419                  |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada         | \$545                | \$545                        | \$1,089                |
| New Hampshire              | \$207<br>\$265       | \$207                        | \$414                  |
| New Jersey                 | \$1,992              | \$265<br>\$1,992             | \$530<br>\$3,984       |
| New Mexico                 | \$386                | \$386                        | \$771                  |
| Non York                   | \$6,420              | \$6,420                      | \$12,840               |
| N. Carolina                | \$1,649              | \$1,649                      | \$3,299                |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo          | \$203                | \$203                        | \$407                  |
| Ok lahoma                  | \$3,011<br>\$1,023   | \$3,011                      | \$6,022                |
| Oregon                     | \$976                | \$1,023<br>\$976             | \$2,046                |
| Pennsylvania !             | \$3,338              | \$3,338                      | \$1,951<br>\$6,676     |
| Rhode Island               | <b>† \$401 †</b>     | \$401                        | \$802                  |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota   | \$822                | \$822                        | \$1,643                |
| Tennessee                  | \$216                | \$216                        | \$431                  |
| Texas                      | \$1,230<br>\$4,143   | \$1,230                      | \$2,460                |
| Utah                       | \$564                | \$4,143<br>\$564             | \$8,286    <br>\$1,120 |
| Vermont                    | \$191                | \$191                        | \$1,129<br>\$382       |
| Virginia                   | \$1,618              | \$1,618                      | \$3,235                |
| Wash Ington                | \$1,723              | <b>\$1,723 } }</b>           | \$3,447                |
| W. Virginia  <br>Wisconsin | \$552                | \$552                        | \$1,103                |
| Wyoming                    | \$1,584<br>\$127     | \$1,584                      | \$3,168                |
| American Samoa             | \$5                  | \$127<br>\$5                 | \$255                  |
| Guam                       | \$24                 | \$24                         | \$11<br>\$48           |
| N. Marlana Is.             | \$1                  | \$1                          | \$2                    |
| Puerto Rico                | \$679                | \$679                        | \$1,358                |
| T. Territories             | \$2                  | \$2                          | \$3                    |
| Virgin Islandsi            | <b>1</b> \$14        | \$14                         | <b>\$28</b>            |

Data Sources: State Student Incentive Grant Program Files.



<sup>(1)</sup> Budget authority.
(2) States must match the federal grant dollar-for-dollar. The data here assume that match.
(3) Federal program funds may not be used for administrative expenses.

| 1                               | BENEFI<br>  Federal ''1) | TS<br>  State-Local  (2)   | Total  (3)           |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------|
| United States                   | \$76,000                 | \$76,000   | \$152,000            |
| Alabama                         | \$1,130                  | \$1,130  | \$2,260              |
| Alaska  <br>Arizona             | \$121<br>\$1,293         | \$121<br>\$1,293   | \$241  <br>\$2,586   |
| Arkansas                        | \$481                    | \$481  | \$961                |
| Callfornla                      | \$11,669                 | \$11,669   | \$23,339             |
| Colorado                        | \$1,037                  | \$1,037  | \$2,074              |
| Connecticut  <br>Delaware       | \$989<br>\$203           | \$989<br>\$203   | \$1,978  <br>\$405   |
| D. C.                           | \$553                    | \$553  | \$1,106              |
| Florida                         | \$2,377                  | \$2,377  | \$4,754              |
| Georgia :<br>Hawali             | \$1,319                  | \$1,319  | \$2,638              |
| Idaho                           | \$312<br>\$254           | \$312<br>\$254   | \$624<br>\$509       |
| illinois                        | \$4,105                  | \$4,105  | \$8,210              |
| Indiana i                       | \$1,519                  | \$1,519  | <b>\$</b> 3,038 ¦    |
| lowa                            | \$817                    | \$817  | \$1,633              |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky              | \$842<br>\$928           | \$842<br>\$928   | \$1,683  <br>\$1,855 |
| Louislana                       | \$1,081                  | \$1,081  | \$2,163              |
| Maine                           | \$272                    | \$272  | <b>\$</b> 544 ¦      |
| Maryland  <br>Massachusetts     | \$1,385                  | \$1,385  | \$2,771              |
| Michigan                        | \$2,442<br>\$3,139       | \$2,442<br>\$3,139   |                      |
| Minnesota                       | \$1,469                  | \$1,469  | \$2,938              |
| Mississippi                     | \$643                    | \$643  | \$1,286 ¦            |
| Missouri ;                      | \$1,501                  | \$1,501  | \$3,002              |
| Nebraska                        | \$209<br>\$545           | \$209<br>\$545   | \$419  <br>\$1,089   |
| Nevada                          | \$207                    | \$207  | \$414                |
| New Hampshire                   | \$265                    | \$265  | \$530                |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico        | \$1,992<br>\$386         | \$1,992<br>\$386   | <b>\$3,984</b>       |
| New York                        | \$6,420                  | \$6,420  | \$771<br>\$12,840    |
| N. Carolina                     | \$1,649                  | \$1,649  | \$3,299              |
| N. Dakota                       | \$203                    | \$203  | \$407                |
| Ohio<br>Oklahoma                | \$3,011<br>\$1,023       | \$3,011<br>\$1,023   | \$6,022              |
| Oregon                          | \$976                    | \$976  | \$2,046  <br>\$1,951 |
| Pennsylvania                    | <b>\$3,338</b>           | \$3,338  | <b>\$</b> 6,676 ¦    |
| Rhode Island                    | \$401                    | \$401  | \$802                |
| S. Carolina<br>S. Dakota        | \$822<br>\$216           | \$822<br>\$216   | \$1,643  <br>\$431   |
| Tennestee                       | \$1,230                  | \$1,230  | \$2,460              |
| Texas                           | \$4,143                  | \$4,143  | \$8,286 ¦            |
| Utah                            | \$564                    | \$564  | \$1,129              |
| Vermont<br>Virginia             | \$191<br>\$1,618         | \$191  <br>\$1,618   | \$382  <br>\$3,235   |
| Wash Ington                     | \$1,723                  | \$1,723  | \$3,447              |
| W. Virginia                     | \$552                    | \$552  | \$1,103              |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming            | \$1,584<br>\$127         | \$1,584<br>\$127   | \$3,168  <br>\$255   |
| American Samoa                  | \$127                    | \$127  | \$255  <br>\$11      |
| Guam                            | \$24                     | \$24   | \$48                 |
| N. Marlana Is.                  | \$1                      | \$1  | \$2                  |
| Puerto Rico  <br>T. Territories | \$679<br>\$2             | \$679<br>\$2   | \$1,358<br>\$3       |
| Virgin Islands                  | \$14                     | \$14   | \$28                 |
|                                 | tate Student Incenti     | 270 1 70 mm (tax 2 - 100 trid lipson) (00 00 mp (a) 100 M - 104 tri 100 mp (a) |                      |

Data Sources: State Student Incentive Grant Program Files.

<sup>(1)</sup> Budget authority.
(2) States must match the federal grant dollar-for-dollar. The data here assume that match.
(3) Federal program funds may not be used for administrative expenses.

# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.069 STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANTS

|  | f.clplents   |
|--|--|
| United States  | 239, 162   |
| Alabama<br>Alaska<br>Arizona<br>Arkansas<br>California<br>Colorado<br>Connecticut<br>Delaware  | 2,859<br>177<br>3,520<br>10,292<br>16,612<br>2,921<br>2,297  |
| Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawali Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Hichigan Minnesota Mississippi Misscuri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas Ulah | 2,297<br>810<br>6,390<br>9,928<br>899<br>778<br>29,225<br>4,154<br>3,930<br>3,701<br>5,250<br>2,860<br>2,069<br>6,447<br>4,753<br>4,741<br>7,401<br>1,891<br>2,436<br>1,103<br>1,949<br>390<br>342<br>9,988<br>2,681<br>14,293<br>4,309<br>9,55<br>5,808<br>3,739<br>14,679<br>5,893<br>4,270<br>990<br>1,115<br>4,934<br>8,394<br>1,801 |
| Vermont Virginia Washington W. Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming American Samoa Guam N. Mariana is. Puerto Rico T. Territories  | 256<br>5,980<br>1,232<br>3,218<br>342<br>45<br>9<br>4,080  |
| Virgin Islands   | 16   |

Data Sources: State Student Incentive Grant Program Files.



365 359 365

# IX B. FY 84 KECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.069 STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANTS

|                          | Student    <br>  Recipients |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| United States            |                             |
| İ                        | 241,529                     |
| Alabama                  | 2,481                       |
| Alaska                   | 170                         |
| Ar Izona<br>Arkansas     | 3,427                       |
| California               | 11,697<br>17,332            |
| Colorado                 | 3,107                       |
| Connecticut              | 3,107<br>2,758              |
| Delaware<br>D. C.        | 199<br>827                  |
| Florida                  | 7,195                       |
| Georgia                  | 9,967                       |
| Hawail                   | 918                         |
| ldaho<br>IIIInols        | 856                         |
| Indiana                  | 20,194                      |
| lowa                     | 3,988                       |
| Kansas                   | ; 3,896; ;                  |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana    | 5,283                       |
| Maine                    | 3,049<br>3,277              |
| Maryland                 | 6,625                       |
| Massachusetts            | <b>  5,176   !</b>          |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota    | 6,291                       |
| Mississippi              | 5,619<br>1,994              |
| Missouri                 | 2,317                       |
| Montana                  | 1,080                       |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada       | 2,507                       |
| New Hampshire            | 1,411                       |
| New Jersey               | 10,028                      |
| New Mexico               | 1,796                       |
| New York<br>N. Carolina  | 14,491                      |
| N. Dakota                | 4,936<br>958                |
| Ohlo (                   | 5,725                       |
| Ok lahoma                | 2,146                       |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania   | 15,248                      |
| Rhode Island             | 6,455<br>4,150              |
| S. Carolina              | 1,052                       |
| S. Dakota j              | 1.014 ! !                   |
| Tennessee                | 4,935                       |
| Texas<br>Utah            | 9,574                       |
| Vermont                  | 257                         |
| Virginia                 | 5,964                       |
| Washington               | 5,812                       |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin | 1,279<br>2,330              |
| Wyoming                  | 188                         |
| Guam                     | 45                          |
| Puerto Rico              | 3,894                       |
| Virgin Islands           | 19                          |

Data Sources: State Student Incentive Grant Program Files.

### X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 84.069 STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANTS

| Street Alvan plays when your 12 to day a street ag | Federal      | State-Local    | Total  |
|--|--------------|----------------|--|
| United States                                      | \$318        | \$318          | \$636  |
| Alabama  | \$198        | \$198          | \$395  |
| A 'aska  | \$341        | \$341          | \$682  |
| Arizona  | \$184        | \$184          | \$367  |
| Arkansas   | \$23         | \$23           | \$47   |
| California   | \$351        | \$351          | \$702  |
| Colorado   | \$178        | \$178          | \$355  |
| Connect lout                                       | \$215        | \$215          | \$431  |
| Dalaware   | -            |                | <b>*</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| D. C.  | <b>\$341</b> | \$341          | \$683  |
| Florida  | \$186        | \$186          | \$372  |
| Georgia  | \$66         | \$66           | \$133  |
| HawaTi   | <b>\$174</b> | \$174          | \$347  |
| Idaho  | ¦ \$163 ¦    | \$163          | \$327  |
|  | \$70         | \$70           | \$140  |
| Indiana  | \$183        | \$183          | \$366  |
| lowa   | \$104        | \$104          | \$208  |
| Kansas   | \$114        | \$114          | \$200  |
| Kentucky į   | \$88         | \$88           | \$227<br>\$177                                 |
| Louisiana  | \$189        | \$189          | \$378  |
| Maine :  | \$66         | \$66           | \$132  |
| Maryland i   | \$107        | \$107          | \$215  |
| Massachusetts                                      | \$257        | \$257          | \$514  |
| Michigan !   | \$331        | \$331          | \$662  |
| Minnesota  | \$99         | \$99           | \$198  |
| Mississippi i                                      | \$170        | \$170          | \$340  |
| Missouri   | \$308        | \$308          | \$616  |
| Montana :  | \$95         | \$95           | \$190  |
| Nebraska   | \$140        | \$140          | \$279  |
| Nevade   | \$266        | \$266          | \$531  |
| New Hallpshire                                     | \$387        | \$387          |  |
| New Jersey   | \$100        | \$100          | \$774  |
| New Mexico   | \$72         | \$72           | \$199  |
| New York   | \$225        | \$225          | \$144  |
| N. Carolina  | \$191        | \$191          | \$449  |
| N. Dakota  | \$107        | \$107          | \$383  |
| Ohlo   | \$259        |                | \$213  |
| Ok lahoma  | \$137        | \$259          | \$518  |
| 0regnn   | \$33         | \$137          | \$274  |
| Pennsy I van la                                    | \$283        | \$33           | \$66   |
| Rhode Island                                       | \$47         | \$283          | \$566  |
| S. Carolina  | \$415        | \$47           | \$94   |
| S. Dakota  | \$97         | \$415          | \$830  |
| Tennessee  | 1 4125       | \$97           | \$193  |
| Texas  | \$125        | \$125          | \$249  |
| Utah   | \$247        | \$247          | \$494  |
| Vermont  | \$157        | \$157          | <b>\$313</b>                                   |
| Virginia   | \$373        | \$373          | <b>  \$745    </b>                             |
| Washington   | \$135        | \$135          | <b>\$271</b>                                   |
| Masilington  | 1            |                |  |
| W. Virginia  | \$224        | *224           | \$448  |
| พ <sub>ี่ ′ านบิรูไท</sub>                         | \$246        | 246            | \$492  |
| , ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '            | \$186        | \$186 <b> </b> | \$372  |
| AA ican Samoa                                      |              | ]              |  |
| Gu.  | \$267        | \$267          | \$535  |
| N. Mariana is.                                     | \$52         | \$52           | \$103  |
| Púerto Rico  | \$83         | \$83           | \$166  |
| T. Territories                                     |              |                | *        |
| Virgin Islands ;                                   | \$430        | \$430          | \$861  |

Data Sources: State Student Incentive Grant Program Files.



# X. B. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 84.069 STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANTS

|                              | Federal                 | State-Local      | Total   |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| United States                | \$315                   | <b>\$</b> 315    | \$629   |
| Alabama<br>Alaska            | \$228                   | \$228            | \$456   |
| Ar Izona                     | \$355                   | \$355            | \$710   |
| Arkansas                     | \$189                   | \$189            | \$377   |
| Callfornia                   | \$21<br>\$337           | \$21             | \$41  |
| Colorado                     | \$167                   | \$337<br>\$167   | \$673   |
| Connect I cut                | \$179                   | \$179            | \$334<br>\$359  |
| Delaware                     | \$509                   | \$509            | \$1,018   |
| D. C.                        | \$334 }                 | \$334            | \$669   |
| Florida                      | \$165                   | \$165            | \$330   |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii            | \$66                    | \$66             | \$132   |
| nawa II<br>idaho             | \$170                   | \$170            | \$340   |
| Illinois                     | \$149                   | \$149            | \$297   |
| Indlana                      | \$102<br>\$231          | \$102            | \$203   |
| lowa                         | \$102                   | \$231<br>\$102   | \$461   |
| Kansas                       | \$108                   | \$108            | \$205<br>\$216  |
| Kentucky                     | \$88                    | \$88             | \$176   |
| Louisiaña                    | \$177                   | \$177            | \$355   |
| Maine<br>Maruland            | \$42                    | \$42             | \$83  |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts    | \$105<br>\$238          | \$105            | \$209   |
| Michigan                     | \$238                   | \$236            | \$472   |
| Minnesota                    | \$250                   | \$250            | \$499   |
| Mississippi                  | \$131<br>\$161          | \$131<br>\$161   | \$261   |
| Missouri                     | \$324                   | \$324            | \$322<br>\$648  |
| Montana                      | \$97                    | \$97             | \$194   |
| Nebraska                     | \$109 }                 | \$109            | \$217   |
| Nevada                       | \$231                   | \$231            | \$461   |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey  | \$94                    | \$94             | <b>\$188</b>  |
| New Mexico                   | \$99<br>\$107           | \$99             | \$199   |
| New York                     | \$107                   | \$107            | \$215   |
| N. Carolina                  | \$167                   | \$222<br>\$167   | \$443   |
| N. Dakota                    | \$106                   | \$106            | \$334<br>\$212  |
| Ohlo                         | \$263                   | \$263            | \$526   |
| Ok lahoma                    | \$238                   | \$238            | \$477   |
| Oregon<br>Poppovilvenile     | \$32                    | \$32             | \$64  |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island | \$259                   | \$259            | \$517   |
| S. Carolina                  | \$48                    | \$48             | \$97  |
| S. Dakota                    | \$391<br>\$106          | \$391            | \$781   |
| Tennessee                    | \$125                   | \$106<br>\$125   | \$213   |
| Texas                        | 7216                    | \$216            | \$249<br>\$433  |
| Utah .                       | \$153                   | \$153            | \$305   |
| Vermont                      | \$371 }                 | \$371            | \$742   |
| Virginia<br>Washington       | \$136                   | \$136            | \$271   |
| Wasf:Ington<br>₩. Virginia   | \$148                   | \$148            | \$297   |
| Wisconsin                    | \$216                   | \$216            | \$431   |
| Wyoming                      | \$340<br>\$339          | \$340            | \$680   |
| American Samoa               | 4003                    | \$339            | \$678   |
| Guam                         | \$267                   | \$267            | <b>\$</b> 535   |
| N Karlana Is.                | 1                       | 4401             | <b>3030</b>   |
| Fuerto Rico                  | \$87                    | \$87             | \$174   |
| T. Territories               |                         |                  | ''''  |
| Virgin Islands               | \$362                   | \$?62            | \$725   |
| Data Sources S               | State Student Incentive | Ori Drigger File | - on Pilers or an arrive promise regular blacks any old two was the |

Data Sources: State Student Incentive Gra. Program Files.

### XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.069 STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANTS

| Federal        | Federal   |             |            |   |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---|
| Fiscal         | Budget    | State-Local | Student    | Federal                                   |
| Year           | Authority | Spending    | Recipients | Staff                                     |
|                |           |             |            |   |
| +              |           |             |            |   |
| 1985           | \$76,000  | \$76,000    | 239, 162   | 5   |
| 1984           | \$76,000  | \$76,000    | 241.529    | 6   1                                     |
| 1983 ¦         | \$60,000  | \$60,000    | 200,770    | 6   1                                     |
| 1982           | \$73,680  | \$73,680    | 278,230    | 5   |
| 1981           | \$76,750  | \$76,750    | 280,843    | 5   |
| 1980           | \$76,750  | \$76,750    | 274,973    | 5   |
| 1979           | \$76,750  | \$76,750    | 259,339    | 8   |
| 1978           | \$63,750  | \$63,750    | 213,693    | 9   |
| 1977           | \$60,000  | \$60,000    | 240,000    | 9 1 1                                     |
| 1976           | \$44,000  | \$44,000    | 176,000    | 8   |
| 1975           | \$20,000  | \$20,000    | 80,000     | 6<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>8<br>9<br>9<br>8<br>8 |
| 1974           | \$20,000  | \$20,000    | 76,000     | 8   |
| 1973  <br>1972 |           |             | i i        | 1 1                                       |
| 1971           |           |             |            | i i                                       |
| 1970           |           |             |            | 1 1                                       |
| 1969           |           |             |            |   |
| 1968           |           |             |            |   |
| 1967           |           |             |            |   |
| 1966           |           |             |            |   |
| 1965           |           |             | į į        |   |
| 1964           | 1         |             |            |   |
| 1963           | -         |             |            |   |
| 1962           |           |             |            |   |
| 1961           |           |             | İ          |   |
| 1960           |           |             |            |   |
|                | - i i     | 1           | 1          |   |

Data Sources: State Student Incentive Grant Program Files.

369

1. O. C.

#### UPWARD BOUND

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Upward Bound awards federal grants to local projects that help low income youths and potential first generation college students generate the skills and motivation necessary for success in education beyond high school. State and local governments play no formal role in administering the projects, though the Upward Bound program does work very closely with local school systems. Grantees are typically public and private nonprofit organizations and institutions of higher education.

Upward Bound projects primarily provide educational services such as academic instruction and tutoring, career guidance and counseling, and exposure to cultural events. Funds may also be used to support a residential summer program and to pay stipends to students, not to exceed \$40 per month during the academic year and \$60 per month during the summer. Each project is responsible for determining the specific individual needs of participants.

Eligibility is limited to students at least age 13, but not older than age 19. (Veterans do not need to meet these age requirements.) Two-thirds of a project's participants must be from low income families and must also be potential first generation college students; the remaining participants must be either from low income families or potential first generation college students. Low income is defined as federal taxable income less than 150 percent of the poverty thresholds established by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Most projects are not able to serve all eligible applicants and manitain waiting lists. The selection of participants is based, in part, on the student's academic record, recommendations from school staff, and parental commitment to the project. Most projects pick up students at the start of 10th grade and continue through high school graduation. Approximately 80 percent of Upward Bound graduates go on to postsecondary education.

In FY 1985, Upward Bound funded 421 projects serving 32,200 students at a total federal cost of about \$74 million. There are no matching requirements for funds from non-federal sources. Upward Bound grants are awarded on the basis of an open competition which considers a range of criteria, from the project's qualifications and past performance to the community's support and relative need.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Upward Bound.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Lo.: 84.047
  Budget account number(s): 91-0201-0-1-502.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Higher Education Act of 1965, Title IV, Sections 417A and 417C.
- D Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR Part 645.
- E. Federal administering agency: Department of Education.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Public and private nonprofit organizations; institutions of higher education; local school districts.
- G. Subgrantee (if any; receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Allocation of federal funds to grantees under the Upward Bound Program is made of the basis of open competition. There are no formulas or matching requirements. The allocation to a grantee is discretionary and is governed principally by the amount of funds appropriated and available in relation to demand.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

No formal relationship between state and local governments and the Upward Bound program is called for in the statute. However, Upward Bound projects do work very closely with local school systems.

J. Audit or quality control.

The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR), 34 CFR, provide guidance to grantees for administrative efficiency. If the EDGAR standards are not met, the Department may delay the awarding of funds for the second and third years of the grant. EDGAR also requires the grantee to repay any funds that were not expended in accordance with the terms of agreement for funding.



#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

To generate the skills and the motivation needed by low income persons, who have not yet completed high school, to be admitted, enrolled, and successful in programs of education beyond high school.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

Individual projects determine specific needs of participants based on individual needs assessments. Resources are allocated on the basis of highest priority needs. Most grants include an eight percent indirect cost allowance to cover costs of administration.

### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual students are determined eligible.

B. Income eligibility standards.

To be eligible, the student's family's taxable income must be less than 150 percent of the poverty threshold established by the Bureau of the Census. Taxable income is defined by the federal Internal Revenue Service.

No asset limitations are applied.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Two-thirds of project participants must be low income and potential first-generation college students. The other one-third must be one or the other.

Veterans do not need to meet age requirements. All others must be at least 13 years of age, but not older than 19, and have completed at least 8 years of elementary education. In FY 1985, approximately 1,800 participants were veterans; 29,000 were youth between the ages 13 and 19.

Participants must be U.S. citizens.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

None.



- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Individuals are selected for participation in Upward Bound programs based upon:

- o Voluntary application;
- o Recommendations of high school principals, guidance counselors, and teachers;
- o Parental commitment to the program;
- o Referral of local agencies;
- Academic record.
- B. Program benefits or services.

Benefits are principally academic instruction, tutoring, and counseling. A stipend, not to exceed \$40 per month during the academic year and \$60 per month during the summer component, is paid to participants based on their satisfactory participation in program activities.

Because the academic growth rates of participants vary widely, services are tailored to individual rests as determined by the project staff. Such services may income:

- Career exploration and counseling;
- Exposure to cultural events and other educational activities not usually available to disadvantaged youth;
- o Financial aid information;
- o Language instruction for persons with limited proficiency in English.
- C. Duration of benefits.

The duration of benefits is governed only by satisfactory participation and progress in meeting the academic objectives of the program.

The majority of the projects pick up students at the start of 10th grade and continue through the completion of 12th grade. Many projects offer a summer "bridge" program after high school graduation preparing students for fall enrollment in college.



### VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility.

The program has no provisions for categorical or automatic eligibility. AFDC, Food Stamps, and some other forms of assistance may serve as substitute documentation to meet the low income requirement.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Program rules require that applicants to an Upward Bound Program provide e idence of their "Taxable Income" as reported on the most receicly filed I.R.S. form (1040, 1040a). Federal benefits that are excludable from "Taxable Income" are excludable for purposes of determining Upward Bound eligibility and once eligibility has been established, a participant is entitled to all benefits or services.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

The Health Career Opportunities Program (HCOP) and the Talent Search Program provide similar services to roughly the same population. HCOP is limited to students aspiring to careers to in the health professions. Talent Search is limited to identifying, encouraging, and assisting low income youth apply for admission to college.

### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and in the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources Subcommittee on Education

### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies



### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

None.

D. Federal legislation.

The Upward Bound Program has remained essentially the same since its inception in the 1964 Economic Opportunity Act. In 1968, the program was transferred from the Office of Economic Opportunity to the Office of Education without any changes in the program's goals or objectives.

E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

The only major regulatory changes made to the previously promulgated regulations (May, 1977) were in 1981. These changes were designed to:

- o Reduce regulatory complexity;
- o Implement the legislative change requiring the Secretary to give priority to previously funded projects under the program;
- o Refine selection criteria to bring them into conformity with EDGAR;
- o Sharpen participant eligibility criteria in accordance with the Education Amendments of 1980.



### VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.047 UPWARD BOUND

| ŀ                                     | Total              | <b> (1)</b> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| United States                         | \$72,706           |             |
| Alabama                               | \$2,021            |             |
| Alaska<br>Arizona                     | \$418<br>\$663     |             |
| Arkansas                              | \$1,242            |             |
| Callfornia  <br>Colorado              | \$6,603<br>\$1,152 |             |
| Connecticut                           | <b>\$742</b>       |             |
| Delaware<br>D. C.                     | \$302<br>\$605     |             |
| Fiorida                               | \$1,494            |             |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii                     | \$2,325<br>\$497   |             |
| Idaho ;                               | \$470              |             |
| IIIInols<br>Indiana                   | \$2,113<br>\$1,109 |             |
| lowa                                  | \$1,477            |             |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky                    | <b>\$679</b>       |             |
| Louisiana                             | \$1,701<br>\$1,882 |             |
| Maine                                 | <b>\$701</b>       |             |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts             | \$1,333<br>\$1,817 |             |
| Michigan i                            | \$1,817<br>\$3,253 | ! !         |
| Minnešota<br>Mississippi              | \$1,277<br>\$1,418 |             |
| Missouri                              | <b>\$430</b>       |             |
| Montana<br>Nebraska                   | \$348<br>\$217     |             |
| Nevada                                | \$309              |             |
| New Hampshire  <br>New Jersey         | \$364<br>\$2,328   |             |
| New Mexico                            | \$943              |             |
| New York<br>N. Carolina               | \$3,875            |             |
| N. Dakota                             | \$1,215<br>\$413   |             |
| Ohlo                                  | \$2,793            |             |
| Oklahoma<br>Oregon                    | \$1,490<br>\$934   |             |
| Pennsylvania ¦                        | \$3,692            |             |
| Rhode Island  <br>S. Carolina         | \$232<br>\$1,312   |             |
| S. Dakota                             | \$300              |             |
| Tennessee<br>Texas                    | \$1,671<br>\$4,271 |             |
| Utah                                  | <b>\$1,061</b>     |             |
| Vermont<br>Virginia                   | <b>\$493</b>       |             |
| Washington ;                          | \$1,418<br>\$966   |             |
| W. Virğinia                           | \$713              |             |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming                  | \$940<br>\$174     |             |
| Guam                                  | \$430              |             |
| Puerto Rico   Virgin Islands          | \$1,862<br>\$218   |             |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                    |             |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Grants typically include an eight percent indirect cost allowance for administering the program.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.047 UPWARD BOUND

| 1                            | Total  (1)         |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| United States                | \$70,754           |
| Alabama                      | \$1,950            |
| Alaska<br>Arizona            | \$400              |
| Arkansas                     | \$635<br>\$1,203   |
| Callfornia<br>Colorado       | \$6,31             |
| Connect lout                 | \$1,1(<br>\$7)0    |
| Delaware<br>D. C.            | \$283              |
| Fiorida                      | \$579<br>\$1,430   |
| Georgia                      | \$2,225            |
| Hawai!<br>Idaho              | \$476<br>\$449     |
| Illinois                     | \$2,045            |
| indiana<br>Iowa              | \$1,063<br>\$1,414 |
| Kansas                       | \$650              |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana        | \$1,627<br>\$1,801 |
| <b>Maine</b>                 | \$673              |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts    | \$1,275<br>\$1,700 |
| Michigan                     | \$3,115            |
| Minnešota<br>Mississippi     | \$1,222<br>\$1,360 |
| Missouri                     | \$415              |
| Montana<br>Nebraska          | \$333<br>\$208     |
| Nevada                       | \$298              |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey  | \$348<br>\$2,251   |
| New Mexico                   | \$902              |
| New York<br>N. Carol ∷na     | \$3,711            |
| N. Dakota                    | \$2,125<br>\$395   |
| Ohlo<br>Oklahoma             | \$2,673            |
| Oregon                       | \$1,426<br>\$894   |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode Island | \$3,533<br>\$222   |
| S. Carolina !                | \$1,256            |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee       | \$287              |
| Texas                        | \$1,600<br>\$4,089 |
| Utah<br>Vermont              | <b>\$1,015  </b>   |
| Virginia                     | \$472<br>\$1,357   |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia    | \$925              |
| MISCOURIU                    | \$682<br>\$300     |
| <b>Wyoming</b><br>Guam       | \$167              |
| Puerto Rico                  | \$411<br>\$1,947   |
| Virgin Islands               | \$208              |
| N. A                         |                    |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Grants typically include an eight percent indirect cost allowance for administering the program.

### IX. A. FY 85 FECTPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.047 UPWARD BOUND

| 1  | Students (1)  |
|--|---|
| United States  | 32, 15  |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo Oklahoma Oragon Pennsylvania Rhode Island S. Carolina S. Dakota Tennessee Texas | Served (1)  32, 15b  1,050 120 465 506 2,630 535 224 155 275 615 1,135 1,75 180 1,030 5555 536 230 770 910 300 725 780 1,419 565 630 195 115 75 100 140 1,066 380 1,732 949 245 1,345 535 405 1,765 100 585 120 795 1,806 |
| Utah<br>Vermont<br>Virginia<br>Washington  | 417<br>165<br>868<br>310  |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin<br>Wyoming<br>Guam  | 305<br>390<br>70<br>200   |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands  | 865   |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Grantees.

(1) Based un unduplicated annual count.

### IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.047 UPWARD POUND

| and and price of real by the second s |     | Students<br>Served | (1) |
|--|-----|--------------------|-----|
| United States  |     | 32,238             |     |
| Alabama  |     | 1,050              |     |
| Alaska   |     | 120                |     |
| Ar Izona   | -   | 465                | İ   |
| Arkansas   | ļ   | 506                | į   |
| Callfornia   | - ! | 2,630              | į   |
| Colorado   | į   | 535                | - 1 |
| Connecticut  | - ! | 224                | - 1 |
| Delaware<br>D. C.  |     | 155                | ì   |
| Fiorida  | i   | 275                |     |
| Georgia  | Í   | 615                | - ! |
| Hamail   | ı   | 1,135              |     |
| Idaho  | Ì   | 175                | ı   |
| illinois   | į   | 180                |     |
| Indiana  | -   | 1,030  <br>555     | İ   |
| lowa   | - 1 | 536                | İ   |
| Kansas   | ĵ   | 230                | İ   |
| Kentucky   | ļ   | 770                | Î   |
| Louisiana  | i   | 910                | - 1 |
| Ma Ine   | į.  | 300                |     |
| Maryland   | - 1 | 725                | į   |
| Massachusetts  | İ   | 780                |     |
| Michigan   | -   | 1,419              | į   |
| Minnesota  | -   | 565                | ı   |
| Mississippi  | -   | 630 (              |     |
| Missouri<br>Montana  |     | 195                | Ì   |
| Nebraska   | ı   | 115                |     |
| Nevada   | ı   | 75                 | l   |
| New Hampshire  | -   | 100  <br>140       |     |
| New Jersey !   | -   | 1,066              | į   |
| New Mexico   | ŀ   | 380                | İ   |
| New York !   | -   | 1,732              |     |
| N. Carolina  | i i | 949                | ļ   |
| N. Dakota  | į   | 245                | - 1 |
| Ohlo   | İ   | 1,345              |     |
| úk lahona  | -   | 535                | İ   |
| Oregon   | -   | 405                | Ì   |
| Pennsylvania<br>Rhode island   |     | 1,465              | -   |
| S. Carolina  | ı   | 100                | -   |
| 2. Dakota  | İ   | 585                |     |
| Tennessee  | İ   | 120                |     |
| Texas  | İ   | 795                | İ   |
| Utah   |     | 1,806  <br>417     | İ   |
| Vermont !  |     | 165                | Ì   |
| Virginia !   | į   | 668                | -   |
| Washington !   | İ   | 310                | ļ   |
| W Virginia !   | İ   | 305                | ı   |
| Visconsin  | İ   | 390                | i   |
| /dyom Ing  | -   | 70                 | İ   |
| GUAM<br>Puorto Dian  | 1   | 200                | İ   |
| Puerto Rico  | i   | 945                | -   |
| Virgin islands   | i   | 100                | -   |
| D. L. A.   |     |                    | -   |

Data Sources: Ar. Performace Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.

### X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 84.047 UPWARD BOUND

|  | Total                             | $ _{(1)} $ |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------|
| recurse all reprised and all the latter of the terminal state of the reprised state of t | an enderen natural security to As | $\Gamma''$ |
| United States  | \$2,261                           | 1          |
| Alabama  | \$1,925                           |            |
| Alaska   | \$3,483                           |            |
| Arizona  | \$1,426<br>\$2,455                | 1 1        |
| Arkansas<br>California   | \$2,455                           | ]          |
| Colorado   | \$2,153                           | ! !        |
| Connecticut  | <b>5</b> 3.313                    |            |
| Delaware   | \$1,948<br>\$2,200                |            |
| D. C.<br>Florida   | \$2,200                           | 1          |
| Georgia  | \$2,429<br>\$2,048                | !!         |
| HawaTi   | \$2,840                           | 1 1        |
| Idaho  | \$2,611                           | 1 1        |
| !!!Inols<br>!nd!ana  | \$2,051<br>\$1,998                | i          |
| OWA  | \$2,756                           | 1          |
| Kansas   | \$2,952                           |            |
| Kentucky   | \$2,209<br>\$2,068                |            |
| Louisiana  | \$2,068                           | i i        |
| Maine<br>Mary land   | \$2,337<br>\$1,839                |            |
| Massachusetts !  | 12.329                            |            |
| Michigan (   | \$2,329<br>\$2,292                |            |
| Minnesota  | \$2,260                           | ) !        |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri  | \$2,251<br>\$2,205                | 1          |
| Montana  | \$3,028                           | 1 1        |
| Hobraicsa  | <b>\$2,893</b>                    |            |
| Nevalla  | 23,020                            |            |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey  | \$2,600<br>\$2,184                | 1          |
| New Mexico   | \$2,482                           |            |
| Naw York ;   | 1 ₹%, &QV                         |            |
| North Carolina   | \$1,280                           | 1 3        |
| North Dakuta<br>Ohio   | \$1,686<br>\$2,077                | 1 1        |
| Oklahoma   | \$2,785                           | 1 {        |
| Oragon   | \$2,306                           |            |
| Permey Ivarila<br>Rhode Island   | \$2,520<br>\$2,320                |            |
| S. Carolina  | \$2,343                           |            |
| S. Dakota  | \$2.500                           | 1 1        |
| Tennessee  | \$2,500<br>\$2,102<br>\$2,365     |            |
| Texas<br>Utah  | 32,360                            | į į        |
| Yerront (  | \$2,544<br>\$2,986                |            |
| Virginia !   | \$2,123                           |            |
| Mash Ington  | \$3,118                           | ! !        |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin   | \$2,338                           |            |
| Wyom Irva  | \$2,410<br>\$2,486                |            |
| Gian   | \$2,150                           |            |
| Puerto Rico  | \$2,153                           |            |
| Virgin islands   | \$2,180                           | i          |

Data Sources: Annual Parformanco Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department

(1) Spending from Table VIII.A. divided by units from Table IX.A.



X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 84.047 UPWARD BOUND

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Spending from Table VIII.B. divided by units from Table IX.B.



XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.047 UPWARD BOUND

| Federa i   | Federal  |   |  |   |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Fiscal<br>Year   | Budget<br>Authority  | Students<br>Served  | Federal<br>Staff   | ļ |
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972<br>1971<br>1970<br>1969<br>1968<br>1967<br>1966<br>1965<br>1965<br>1964<br>1963<br>1962 | \$73,614<br>\$70,754<br>\$68,366<br>\$63,720<br>\$66,500<br>\$62,500<br>\$58,800<br>\$50,000<br>\$41,500<br>\$38,300<br>\$38,300<br>\$38,300<br>\$38,300<br>\$33,600<br>\$30,000<br>\$28,000<br>\$28,000<br>\$30,700<br>\$30,700<br>\$30,700<br>\$30,000<br>\$25,000<br>\$33,200 | 32,200<br>32,600<br>35,803<br>37,680<br>37,210<br>35,391<br>38,843<br>38,887<br>47,517<br>46,181<br>48,603<br>51,755<br>33,809<br>28,142<br>27,346<br>25,743<br>26,639<br>23,507<br>20,333<br>3,261 | 5<br>6<br>10<br>12<br>16<br>17<br>17<br>18<br>23<br>25<br>28<br>24<br>22<br>23<br>20<br>17<br>16<br>15<br>20<br>20 |   |

Data Sources: Annual Perforance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department

# STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES (FORMERLY SPECIAL SERVICES FOR DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS)

### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Student Support Services (SSS) program is intended to provide low income, first generation college students, and physically handicapped students with the supportive services they need to successfully pursue higher education. State and local governments have no formal role in administering the program. All grantees under the SSS program are institutions of higher education that determine eligibility, assess needs, and provide supportive services as needed to eligible students.

Eligibility is limited to enrolled students in need of academic support and who are disadvantaged in specific ways. At least two-thirds of a project's participants must be either physically handicapped or low income and first generation college students; the remaining participants must be physically handicapped, low income individuals, or first generation college students. Low income is defined as federal taxable income less than 150 percent of the Census poverty thresholds.

The basic SSS services feature instruction in basic skills, tutoring, guidance, and counseling. Special assistance may include programs designed for students with limited English proficiency, programs with materials and facilities designed for handicapped students, and programs of cultural and academic events not usually available to disadvantaged students. Programs may also assist students in securing admission to and financial aid for graduate and professional schools.

In FY 1985, the SSS program served about 152,000 students in 663 participating institutions at a total federal cost of about \$70 million. These funds are allocated through a competitive process under a discretionary grant program administered by the Department of Education. Federal funding is capped at the level of the annual appropriation and there is no direct requirement for matching funds from non-federal sources. Participating institutions are required, however, to assure that each SSS student will receive sufficient financial assistance to meet their full financial need and SSS funds may not be used to provide this financial assistance.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Student Support Services.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.042 Budget account number(s): 91-0201-0-1-502.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Title IV, Sec. 417A and 417D, Higher Education Act.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR Part 646.
- E. Federal administering agency: Department of Education.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Institutions of higher education.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Funds are allocated through a competitive process under this discretionary grant program. There is no formula which governs distribution of federal funds among the grantees. Although grants are awarded for a period of three years, funds are obligated on an annual basis.

Federal funding is capped at the level of the annual appropriation. There is no requirement that other funds or commitments of time or services be used to match federal funds under this program.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

State and local governments do not have a formal role in administering the program, except in those instances when a grantee institution of higher education is state-controlled.

J. Audit or quality control.

The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR), 34 CFR, provide guidance to grantees on administrative efficiency and require the grantee to submit annual performance and financial status reports. These reports are due 90 days after the end of the budget period.

EDGAR also requires the grantee to repay any funds that were not expended in accordance with the terms of agreement for funding. If the EDGAR standards are not met, the Department may delay the awarding of funds for the second and third years of the grant.



#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The purpose of the SSS program is to identify low income, first generation or physically handicapped college students and to provide them with the supportive services they need to pursue programs of postsecondary education successfully.

B. Allocation of program funds among activities.

There is no established formula for allocating program funds among the various supportive services. Funded projects provide services based on the assessed needs of the specific student population served by a project.

Most grants include an eight percent indirect cost allowance to cover the costs of administration.

### IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Institutions of higher education are eligible to apply for grants to carry out SSS projects.

An individual is eligible to participate in SSS projects if the student:

- o Is a citizen, national, or a permanent resident of the United States;
- o Is enrolled or accepted for enrollment in the next term at the grantee institution;
- O Has a need for academic support in order to successfully pursue a postsecondary educational program;
- o Is, at the time of initial selection, a low income individual, a first-generation college student, or physically handicapped.
- B. Income eligibility standards.

Students who are eligible for the project based on the low income criterion may not have taxable family incomes higher than 150 percent of the family income levels established by the Bureau of the Census for determining poverty status.



The maximum income test is based on taxable income. No other disregards, deductions, or exclusions are allowable.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

Individuals can also qualify for project services based on physical handicaps or first generation college student status. Physically handicapped is defined to mean a person who, because of a physical disability, needs specifically designed instructional materials or programs, modified physical facilities, or related services in order to participate fully in the experience and opportunities offered by postsecondary educational institutions. First-generation college student is defined to mean a person neither of whose parents received a bachelor's degree. In 1986, the definition was expanded to include persons who reside with only one parent as eligible if that parent has not received a bachelor's degree.

It is estimated that in FY 1984, 8,316, or 5.4 percent, of the SSS participants were handicapped and 105,644, or 68.6 percent, were first-generation college students.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

Project participants are not required nor expected to spend any portion of their adjusted gross incomes to receive the services provided by the projects.

- V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES
- A. Program intake processes.

Each participating institution selects project participants, usually by actively recruiting individuals enrolled or accepted for enrollment at the institution and then verifying eligibility and assessing each individual's need for academic support services. Participants are also referred by the institution's financial aid office and faculty.

B. Program benefits or services.

The supportive services that may be provided to participating students include:

- o Instruction in reading, writing, study skills, mathematics, and other subjects necessary for success beyond high school;
- o Personal counseling;
- o Academic advice and assistance in course selection;



- o Tutorial services;
- Exposure to cultural events and academic programs not usually available to disadvantaged students;
- Activities designed to acquaint students participating in the project with the range of career options available to them;
- Activities designed to assist students participating in the project in securing admission and financial assistance for enrollment in graduate and professional programs;
- Activities designed to assist students currently enrolled in two-year institutions in securing admission and financial assistance for enrollment in a four-year program of postsecondary education;
- Programs and activities specially designed for students of limited English proficiency.

In addition, participating institutions are required to provide an assurance that each participant will receive sufficient financial assistance to meet their full financial need.

There is no nationwide formula for determining the benefits that participants receive. Project staff use a number of standardized testing materials, grade point averages, and institutional records to determine the services that will be provided to individual participants. Financial aid is not provided to participants from SSS funds.

C. Duration of benefits.

No information about the average duration of participation is available. Once selected, students may participate in the project throughout the life of the project so long as the student is enrolled at the participating institution and continues to need the support services provided.

### VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categorical or automatic eligibility or ineligibility.

An individual's participation in a public assistance program, upon verification, may be accepted as satisfying the criterion for eligibility based on low income status. However, participation in public assistance programs does not satisfy all eligibility requirements.



B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Program rules require that applicants provide evidence of their "Taxable Income" as reported on the most recently filed I.R.S. form (1040, 1040a). Federal benefits that are excludable from "Taxable Income" are excludable for purposes of determining program eligibility and once eligibility has been established, a participant is entitled to all benefits or services.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

There are no other significant federal or federally supported low income assistance programs which provide the same benefits to the same population to meet the same needs.

#### VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and in the House of Representatives.

### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources
Subcommittee on Education, Arts, and Humanities

### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education

B. Appropriating subcommittees.

### Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

### House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

C. Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings on this program within the past two years.

### None.

D. Federal legislation.

The goals and objectives of the SSS Program have not changed since its inception in 1968.



E. Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory changes.

Original regulations were promulgated in 1977. The only major changes in the regulations were in 1981 and two purposes were to be achieved:

- o To simplify regulatory requirements;
- To reflect changes enacted in the Education Amendments of 1980 (e.g., clarified participant eligibility, grantee eligibility limited to institutions of higher education, use of prior experience of service delivery for grantees seeking to obtain renewal of their grants, etc.).



### VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.042 STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

| 1                        | Total (1)                 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| United States            | \$70,076                  |
| Alabama                  | \$3,554<br>\$124          |
| Alaska<br>Arizona        | \$1,088                   |
| Arkansas                 | \$1,598                   |
| California               | \$3,570                   |
| Colorado                 | \$862                     |
| Connecticut              | \$469                     |
| De laware                | \$341<br>\$425            |
| D. C.<br>Florida         | \$1,821                   |
| Georgia                  | \$1,290                   |
| Hawaii                   | \$500                     |
| Idaho                    | \$267                     |
| III Ino Is               | \$2,320                   |
| indiana                  | \$1,393<br>\$1,091        |
| lowa<br>Kansas           | \$946                     |
| Kentucky                 | \$1,444                   |
| Louisiana                | \$1,939                   |
| Maine                    | \$773                     |
| Maryland                 | \$1,088                   |
| Massachusetts            | <b>\$1,863  </b>          |
| Michigan                 | \$2,214                   |
| Minnesota                | \$785                     |
| Mississippi              | \$1,594                   |
| Missouri                 | \$1,020                   |
| Montana                  | \$916                     |
| Nebraska                 | \$383                     |
| Nevada                   | \$294                     |
| New Hampshire            | \$371                     |
| New Jersey               | \$1,298                   |
| New Mexico               | \$924                     |
| New York                 | \$5,382                   |
| N. Carolina              | \$2,718                   |
| N. Dakota                | \$604                     |
| Ohlo                     | \$1,892                   |
| Oklahoma                 | \$1,243                   |
| Oregon                   | \$588                     |
| Pennsylvania             | \$1,628                   |
| Rhode Island             | \$235                     |
| S. Carolina              | \$1,373                   |
| S. Dakota                | \$347                     |
| Tennessee                | <b>\$1,605</b>   1        |
| Texas                    | \$3,129                   |
| Utah                     | \$737                     |
| Vermont                  | \$571                     |
| Virginia                 | \$1,951                   |
| Virginia<br>Washington   | <b>\$1,120</b>            |
| W. Virğinia<br>Wisconsin | \$630<br>\$2,151<br>\$105 |
| Wyoming<br>Trust Terr.   | <b>\$363</b>   \$         |
| Guam                     | \$182                     |
| Puerto Rico              | \$2,872                   |
| ";rgin islands           | \$88                      |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Grants typically include an eight percent indirect cost allowance for administering the program.



VIII. B. TOTAL FY 84 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thorsands) 84.042 STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

|                             | Total              | (1) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----|
| United States               | \$67,295           |     |
| Alabama                     | \$3,425            |     |
| Alaska<br>Arizona           | \$119<br>\$1,041   |     |
| Arkansas<br>California      | \$1,534<br>\$3,420 |     |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut     | \$825              |     |
| Delaware                    | \$449<br>\$343     |     |
| D. C.<br>Florida            | \$408<br>\$1,743   |     |
| Georgia i<br>Hawaii i       | \$1,235<br>\$479   |     |
| idaho                       | \$259              |     |
| iiiinols<br>indiana         | \$2,220<br>\$1,318 |     |
| iowa<br>Kansas              | \$1,046<br>\$906   |     |
| <b>Kentucky</b>             | \$1,382            |     |
| Louisiana<br>Maine          | \$1,856<br>\$740   |     |
| Maryland<br>Massachusetts   | \$1,041<br>\$1,789 |     |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota       | \$2,125<br>\$754   |     |
| Mississippi ;               | \$1,535            |     |
| Missouri<br>Montana         | \$976<br>\$875     |     |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada          | \$368<br>\$283     |     |
| New Halpshire               | <b>\$355</b>       |     |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico    | \$1,245<br>\$885   |     |
| New York<br>N. Caroi'a      | \$5,265<br>\$2,599 |     |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio           | \$580 <b>}</b>     |     |
| Ok i ahoma                  | \$1,816<br>\$1,189 | İ   |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania      | \$562<br>\$1,556   |     |
| Rhode island<br>S. Carolina | \$225<br>\$1,214   |     |
| S. Dakota                   | \$1,314<br>\$388   |     |
| Tennessee<br>Texas          | \$1,537<br>\$2,998 |     |
| Utah<br>Verma               | \$705<br>\$533     |     |
| Virgin i                    | \$1,868            |     |
| Washingua<br>W. Virginia    | \$1,072<br>\$603   |     |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming        | \$2,058<br>\$100   |     |
| Trust Terr.<br>Guam         | \$347              | ļ   |
| Puerto Rico                 | \$163<br>\$2,756   | į   |
| Virgin islands;             | \$80               |     |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Grants typically include an eight percent indirect cost allowance for administering the program.



391

## IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.042 STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

|                             | Participating (1) | Students<br>Served (1) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| United States               | Bod               | 152,465                |
| Alabama<br>Alaska           | 39                | 6,087                  |
| Arizona i                   | 12                | 200                    |
| Arkansas                    | 15                | 3,375                  |
| California                  | 32                | 8,695 ; ;              |
| Colorado                    | 9                 | 1,810                  |
| Connecticut<br>Delaware     | 4                 | 1,300                  |
| D. C.                       | 3                 | 800                    |
| Florida                     | 20                | 3,675                  |
| Georgia                     | 14                | 2,195                  |
| HawaTI                      | 4 3               | 980<br>630             |
| idaho<br>Illinois           | 22                | 5,426                  |
| Indiana                     | 12                | 3,128                  |
| OWA                         | 12                | 2,505                  |
| Kansas                      | 10                | 2,300                  |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana       | 16                | 2,470                  |
| Maine                       | '7                | 4,225<br>1,860         |
| Maryland                    | 11   1            | 2,415                  |
| Massachusetts               | 15   1            | 3,975                  |
| Michigan                    | 19                | 5,580                  |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi    | 9 18              | 1,795    <br>3,097     |
| Missouri                    | 9                 | 2,430                  |
| <b>Montana</b>              | 10                | 1,892 } }              |
| Nebraska                    | 4 3               | 975                    |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire     | 3 4               | 675   1<br>740   1     |
| New Jersey                  | 11 11             | 2,870                  |
| New Mexico                  | 1 7   1           | 2,065                  |
| New York                    | 42                | 13,215                 |
| N. Garolina<br>N. Dakota    | 30   8            | 4,971                  |
| Ohlo                        | 14                | 1,290    <br>4,385     |
| Oklahoma                    | 10                | 2,640 ! !              |
| Oregon                      | 6                 | 1,165 ; ;              |
| Pennsylvania                | 18                | 3,39/ } }              |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina | 18  <br>2  <br>16 | 500    <br>2,740       |
| S. Dakota                   | 4                 | 605                    |
| Tennessee                   | 17   1            | 2,950                  |
| Texas                       | 25                | 8,237                  |
| Utah<br>Vermont             | 8   5             | 1,825    <br>305       |
| Virginia                    | 20                | 4,590                  |
| Washington ¦                | 1ŏ l              | 2.210 ! !              |
| ₩. Virginia ¦               | 7   1             | 1.245 ! !              |
| Wisconsin }                 | 19                | 4,595                  |
| Wyoming<br>Trust Terr       | 1 1               | 270  <br>595           |
| Guam                        | 2                 | 165                    |
| Puerto Rico                 | 21                | 6,075                  |
| Virgin islands ¦            | 1 1               | 75                     |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.042 STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

|                        | Purticipating   (1)   | Students (1)                          |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| United States          | 652   | 154,244                               |
| Alabama                | 39  | 6,117                                 |
| Alaska<br>Arizona      |   | 200                                   |
| Arkansas               | 12  | 2,725<br>3,375                        |
| California             | 15<br>32  | 3,3/5                                 |
| Colorado               | 9   | 8,373<br>2,010                        |
| Connect lout           | 4   | 1,300                                 |
| Delaware               | 4   | 1 100                                 |
| D. C.                  | 4<br>4<br>3<br>20   | 800                                   |
| Florida                | 20  | 3,655                                 |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii      | 14  | 2,250                                 |
| Idaho                  | 4<br>3<br>22  | 980                                   |
| lilinois               | 20  | 630                                   |
| Indiana                | 12  | 5,345                                 |
| Гожа                   | '-  | 3,128<br>2,665                        |
| Kansas                 | 10  | 2,300                                 |
| <b>Kentucky</b>        | 16  | 2,595                                 |
| Louisiana              | 14  | 1 4,225   1                           |
| Maine<br>Maryland      | .71   | 1,860                                 |
| Massachusetts          | 11  | 2,415                                 |
| Michigan               | 15  | 4,175<br>5,750                        |
| Minnesota !            | 9   | 1,785                                 |
| Mississippi            | 18  | 3,097                                 |
| Missouri               | 9   | 2,430                                 |
| <b>Montana</b>         | 10  | 2,070                                 |
| Nebraska<br>Novada     | 4   | 975                                   |
| New Hampshire          | 3   | 675                                   |
| New Jersey             | 17  | 740<br>2,865                          |
| New Mexico             | 11 7  | 2,065                                 |
| New York               | 42<br>30  | 13,215                                |
| N. Carolina            | 30  | 4,931                                 |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio      | . 8:  | 1,365                                 |
| Ok lahoma              | 14  | 4,315                                 |
| Oregon                 |   | 2,640                                 |
| Pennsy I van la !      | 18  | 1,165<br>3,397                        |
| Rhode Island           | ž   | 535                                   |
| S. Carolina            | 18  | 2,740                                 |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessea | <u> </u>  | 685 ! !                               |
| Texas                  | 1/  | 2,985<br>8,247                        |
| Utah                   | 20  | 8,24/                                 |
| Vermont                | ŝ   | 1,825<br>965                          |
| Virginia               | 20 !  | 4,590                                 |
| Wash Ington            | Į TŎ Į  | 2,274                                 |
| W. Virginia            | 7   | 1,245                                 |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming   | 19  | 4,840                                 |
| Trust Terr.            |   | 270                                   |
| Guam                   | 7   | 645<br>420                            |
| Puerto Rico            | 6<br>18<br>2<br>16<br>5<br>17<br>25<br>8<br>5<br>20<br>10<br>7<br>19<br>1 | 6,300                                 |
| Virgin Islands         | - i   | 175                                   |
|                        |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.



### X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 84.042 STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

|   | Total  |
|---|--|
| United States   | \$460  |
| Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware D. C. Fiorida Georgia Hawali | \$584<br>\$621<br>\$398<br>\$473<br>\$411<br>\$476<br>\$361<br>\$397<br>\$532<br>\$496<br>\$588<br>\$511 |
| Idaho<br>1: 1 Ino Is<br>Ind Iana<br>Iowa<br>Kansas<br>Kentucky  | \$424<br>\$428<br>\$445<br>\$435<br>\$411<br>\$585   |
| Louisiana<br>Maine<br>Maryland<br>Massachusetts<br>Michigan<br>Minnesota                              | \$459<br>\$416<br>\$450<br>\$469<br>\$397<br>\$437   |
| Mississippi<br>Missouri<br>Montana<br>Nebraska<br>Nevada<br>New Hampshire                             | \$515<br>\$420<br>\$484<br>\$393<br>\$436<br>\$502   |
| New Jersey New Mexico New York N. Carolina N. Dakota Ohlo   | \$452<br>\$448<br>\$407<br>\$546<br>\$468<br>\$431   |
| Okiuhoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode island S. Carolina S. Dakota                                       | \$471<br>\$504<br>\$479<br>\$469<br>\$501<br>\$574   |
| Tennessee<br>Texas<br>Utah<br>Vermont<br>Virginia<br>Washington                                       | \$544<br>\$380<br>\$404<br>\$592<br>\$425<br>\$507   |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin<br>Wyoming<br>Trust Terr.<br>Guam<br>Puerto Rico                             | \$506<br>\$468<br>\$388<br>\$609<br>\$1,104<br>\$473   |
| Virgin Islands  | \$1,169  |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.



### X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED 84.042 STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

| E e              | Total          |
|------------------|----------------|
| United States    | \$436          |
| Alabama          | \$560          |
| Alaska           | \$594          |
| Arizona          | \$382          |
| Arkansas         | \$455          |
| Callfornia       | \$408          |
| Colorado         | \$411          |
| Connecticut      | \$345          |
| Delaware         | \$312          |
| D. C.<br>Fiorida | \$510          |
| Georgia          | \$477<br>\$549 |
| Hawa I I         | \$489          |
| Idaho            | \$411          |
| Illinois         | \$415          |
| indiana          | \$421          |
| Iowa             | \$393          |
| <b>Kansas</b>    | \$394          |
| <b>Kentucky</b>  | \$533          |
| Louisiana :      | \$439          |
| Maine            | \$398          |
| Maryland         | \$431          |
| Massachusetts :  | \$429          |
| Michigan         | \$370          |
| Minnesota        | \$423          |
| Mississippi      | \$496          |
| Missouri         | \$402          |
| Montana          | \$423          |
| Nebraska         | \$378          |
| Nevada           | \$420          |
| New Hampshire    | \$480          |
| New Jersey       | \$435          |
| New Mexico       | \$428          |
| New York         | \$398          |
| N. Carolina      | \$527          |
| N. Dakota        | \$425          |
| Ohio             | \$421          |
| Oklahoma         | \$450          |
| Oregon           | \$483          |
| Pennsylvania     | \$458          |
| Rhode island     | \$420          |
| S. Carolina      | \$480          |
| S. Dakota        | \$566          |
| Tennersee        | \$515          |
| Texas            | \$364          |
| Utah             | \$387          |
| Vermont          | \$552          |
| Virginia         | \$407          |
| Washington       | \$471          |
| W. Virginia      | \$484          |
| Wisconein        | \$443          |
| Wyom Ing         | \$372          |
| Trust Tear.      | \$537          |
| Guam             | \$388          |
| Puerto Rico      | \$437          |
| Virgin Islands   | \$458          |

Data Sources: Annua! Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

. . . . . .



### XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.042 STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

| Federal  |       | Federa I   |                          |   |              |         |          |
|--|-------|--|--------------------------|---|--------------|---------|----------|
| Fiscal   | 1     | Budget   | 5                        | tudents   | -            | 1       |          |
| Year   | I     | Authority  | 1 1                      | Served  | (1)          | 1       |          |
| 1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>1974<br>1973<br>1972 |       | \$70,088<br>\$67,295<br>\$60,558<br>\$60,702<br>\$63,900<br>\$60,000<br>\$55,000<br>\$45,200<br>\$30,000<br>\$75,900<br>\$23,000<br>\$23,000<br>\$15,000 |                          | 152,465<br>152,244<br>150,293<br>166,037<br>181,368<br>172,071<br>165,222<br>147,648<br>123,092<br>93,452<br>89,753<br>86,400<br>73,951<br>63,112 |              |         |          |
| 1971   | i     | \$15,000   |                          | 49,921  | 1            | Ì       |          |
| 1970<br>1969   | İ     | \$10,000   |                          | 30,000  |              | į       |          |
| 1968   | l     |  |                          |   |              |         |          |
| 1967<br>1966   | i     |  |                          |   |              | 1       |          |
| 1965   |       |  |                          |   | İ            | İ       |          |
| 1964   |       |  |                          |   | İ            |         |          |
| 1963<br>1962   | j     |  |                          |   |              | •       |          |
| 1961   |       |  |                          |   |              | !       |          |
| 1960   |       |  |                          |   | İ            | İ       |          |
| Data Soul  | rces: | Annual Pert<br>to the Depa   | i<br>formance<br>artment | Reports :   | i<br>submili | tted by | Grantees |

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.

#### TALENT SEARCH

#### I. PROGRAM SUMMARY

Talent Search, a program of the Department of Education, awards federal grants to local programs that help to identify, encourage, and assist low income students with the potential to obtain a college education. State and local governments play no formal role in administering the programs, though Talent Search does work closely with local school systems. The grantees who carry out the program are typically public and private nonprofit organizations and institutions of higher education.

Talent Search programs generally tutor and counsel students. The programs also disseminate information on educational opportunities and, in particular, on student financial aid; they assist students applying for financial aid and for admission or readmission to secondary or postsecondary schools; they administer standardized tests to help determine participants' interests, goals, potential, and need for services. Services are provided to meet the individual needs.

Eligibility is limited to persons at least age 12, but no older than age 27. (There are exceptions, such as veterans, to these age requirements.) Two-thirds of any program's participants must be from low income families and must also be potential first generation college students. Low income is defined as federal taxable income less than 150 percent of the federal poverty thresholds established by the Bureau of the Census. Most Talent Search programs are not able to serve all eligible applicants and maintain waiting lists.

In FY 1985, Talent Search funded 177 programs that served about 196,000 students at a total federal cost of about \$21 million. There are no matching requirements for funds from non-federal sources. The amount of a grant is discretionary: the range is from about \$63,000 to more than \$1.2 million, and the average grant is about \$106,000. All grants are awarded on the basis of open competition.



#### II. ADMINISTRATION

- A. Program name: Talent Search.
- B. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.044
  Budget account number(s): 91-0201-0-1-502.
- C. Current authorizing statute: Higher Education Act of 1965, Title IV, Sections 417A and 417B.
- D. Location of program regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 34 CFR Part 643.
- E. Federal administering agency: Department of Education.
- F. Primary grantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: Public and private nonprofit organizations; institutions of higher education; local school districts.
- G. Subgrantee (if any) receiving program funds to provide benefits: None.
- H. Allocation of federal funds.

Allocation of federal funds to grantees under the Talent Search program is made on the basis of open competition. There are no caps or formulas. There are no matching requirements for funds from non-federal sources. The size of grants is discretionary and is governed principally by the amount of funds appropriated and Evailability in relation to demand.

I. Role of state and local governments in administering the program.

No formal relationship between state and local governments and Talent Search programs is called for in the statute. However, Talent Search projects do work very closely with local school systems.

J. Audit or quality control.

The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR), 34 CFR, provide guidance to grantees for administrative efficiency. If the EDGAR standards are not met, the Department may delay the awarding of funds for the second and third years of the grant. EDGAR also requires the grantee to repay any funds that were not expended in accordance with the terms of agreement for funding.



306

#### III. OBJECTIVES

A. Explicit statutory and regulatory objectives for which the benefits are authorized.

The objectives of the program are to identify low income persons with academic potential, to encourage them to complete secondary school, and to help them undertake a program of postsecondary education.

B. Allocation of program funds among various activities.

Individual projects determine specific needs of participants based on individual needs assessments and survey of services not provided by high schools and community organizations. Resources are allocated on the basis of highest priority needs.

Most grants include an eight percent indirect cost allowance to cover the costs of administration.

- IV. BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY
- A. Unit for which eligibility for program benefits is determined.

Individual students are eligible.

B. Income eligibility standards.

To be eligible, the student's family's taxable income must be less than 150 percent of the poverty thresholds established by the Bureau of the Census. Taxable income is that which is defined by the federal Internal Revenue Service.

C. Other eligibility requirements.

In any given project, two-thirds of the participants must be low income and potential first-generation college students. There are no limitations on the other one-third.

Veterans do not need to meet aga requirements. All others must either have completed 6 years of elementary education or be at least 12 years of age but no older than 27. If there is no Educational Opportunity Center serving the same target area as the Talent Search project, an individual may be older than 27 and still be an eligible participant. Participants must be U.S. citizens.

D. Other income a recipient unit is required or expected to spend to receive benefits.

393

11.

None.



#### V. BENEFITS AND SERVICES

A. Program intake processes.

Project participants for Talent Search are selected based on:

- o Referrals and recommendations by high school principals, counselors and teachers;
- o Referrals by local agencies;
- o Voluntary applications.
- B. Program benefits or services.

Talent Search services are provided to meet the individual needs of participants. Program services may include:

- o Administering standardized measurement instruments to determine each participant's interests, career goals, academic potential, and need for services;
- o Assisting with applications for readmission to secondary schools or postsecondary institutions which provide supportive services needed by participants;
- o Collecting and disseminating of information on postsecondary educational opportunities, student financial aid, academic assistance, and career options available;
- o Advising and counseling students regarding career options and the appropriate postsecondary institution or institutions;
- o Assisting with application for student financial aid;
- o Referring participants to service agencies.
- C. Duration of benefits.

Project staff determine the duration of benefits based on satisfactory progress by the student in meeting the project objectives.

Participants could be served from 6th grade or age 12 and continue through completion of 12th grade. In some cases, contact with participants is maintained for one or two years during enrollment in postsecondary education.



## VI. PROGRAM LINKAGE AND OVERLAP

A. Categoric or automatic eligibility.

Individuals who receive public assistance may be considered eligible for Talent Search, but must also be potential first-generation college students.

Participation in the Educational Opportunity Centers automatically precludes participation in this program.

B. Counting assistance from other programs.

Program rules require that participants in a Talent Search program provide evidence of their "Taxable Income" as reported on the most recently filed IRS fo " (1040, 1040a). To the extent public assistance is not taxable such income is excludable for purposes of determining Talent Search eligibility. Once eligibility is established, a participant is entitled to all services and benefits.

C. Overlapping authorities and benefits.

The Health Career Opportunities Program (HCOP) and Upward Bound provide similar services to roughly the same population. HCOP is limited to students aspiring to careers in health professions. Upward Bound provides academic instruction in the basic skills and a comprehensive educational summer component.

The Educational Opportunity Centers (EOC) provides similar benefits, but are targeted toward adults. Services for Talent Search and EOC may be provided to target populations of the other when no other project is located in the target area.

# VII. LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENT

A. Authorizing committees and subcommittees in the Senate and in the House of Representatives.

#### Senate

Committee on Labor and Human Resources, Subcommittee on Education, Arts and Humanities

### House of Representatives

Committee on Education and Labor, Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education



Appropriating subcommittees. В.

## Senate

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

# House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies

Other committees and subcommittees holding hearings C. on this program within the past two years.

None.

Federal legislation. E.

The program has remained essentially the same since its inception in 1965.

Major federal implementing regulations and regulatory F. changes.

The regulations promulgated in 1981 modified 1977 regulations (the only other published regulations) in the following ways:

- To simplify regulatory requirements; 0
- To provide current grantees a priority advantage based upon 0 their prior successful performance;
- To add to client eligibility criteria (the first-generation 0 criterion was added);
- To add tutoring as an eligible activity. 0



VIII. A. TOTAL FY 85 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.044 TALENT SEARCH

| 1                             | Total            | 1 1 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----|
| United States                 | \$20,738         | (1) |
| Alabama<br>Alaska             | \$804            | (2) |
| Arizona                       | \$109            | (2) |
| Arkansas<br>Callfornia        | \$311<br>\$1,357 |     |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut       | \$322            |     |
| Delaware<br>D. C.             | \$79             |     |
| Florida                       | \$1,367<br>\$340 |     |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii             | \$590<br>\$112   |     |
| Idaho<br>III Inols            | \$208<br>\$738   |     |
| indi ana                      | \$874            |     |
| lowa<br>Kansas                | \$507<br>\$257   |     |
| Kentucky<br>Louisiana         | \$615<br>\$836   |     |
| Maine !                       | \$139            |     |
| Mary land<br>Massachusetts    | \$391<br>\$283   |     |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota         | \$629<br>\$272   |     |
| Mississippi                   | \$418            |     |
| Missouri<br>Montana           | \$108<br>\$279   |     |
| Nebraska<br>Nevada            |                  |     |
| New Hampshire<br>New Jersey   | \$172            |     |
| New Mexico                    | \$611<br>\$364   |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina       | \$1,711<br>\$585 |     |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio             | \$207<br>\$224   |     |
| Ok lahoma                     | \$403            |     |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania        | \$85<br>\$449    |     |
| lihode is land<br>S. Carolina | \$431            |     |
| S. Dakota                     | \$88             |     |
| Tennessee<br>Texas            | \$300<br>\$1,268 |     |
| Utah<br>Vermont               | \$105<br>\$126   |     |
| Virginia ;                    | \$688            |     |
| Washington<br>W. Virginia     | \$431<br>\$94    |     |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming          | \$106            |     |
| Guam<br>Puerto Rico           | \$112<br>\$435   |     |
| Virgin islands                | <b>3400</b>      |     |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department

Grants typically include an eight percent indirect cost allowance for administering the program.
 Blanks indicate states without Talent Search projects.

VIII. B. TOTAL FY 34 PROGRAM SPENDING (In thousands) 84.044 TALENT SEARCH

|                                   | Total            | <b>!</b> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| United States                     | \$17,714         | (1)      |
| Alabama                           | \$677            |          |
| Alaska<br>Arizona                 | \$198            | (2)      |
| Arkansas<br>California            | \$270<br>\$1,307 |          |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut           | \$123<br>\$84    |          |
| Delaware<br>D. C.                 |                  |          |
| Florida                           | \$1,353<br>\$306 |          |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii                 | \$522            |          |
| idaho<br>III ino is               | \$93<br>\$723    |          |
| Indiana<br>Iowa                   | \$643<br>\$261   |          |
| <b>Kansa</b> s<br><b>Kentucky</b> | \$138<br>\$499   |          |
| Louisiana                         | \$744            |          |
| Maine<br>Maryland                 | \$121<br>\$152   |          |
| Massachusetis<br>Michigan         | \$231<br>\$551   |          |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi          | \$163<br>\$342   |          |
| Missouri<br>Montana               | \$94             |          |
| Nebraska                          | \$242            |          |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire           | \$151            |          |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico          | \$667<br>\$323   |          |
| New York<br>N. Carolina           | \$1,770<br>\$366 |          |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohlo                 | \$184            |          |
| Ok lahoma                         | \$195<br>\$519   |          |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania            | \$74<br>\$310    |          |
| Rhode Island<br>S. Carolina       | \$390            |          |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee            | \$77<br>\$261    |          |
| Texas<br>Utah                     | \$1,064<br>\$93  |          |
| Vermont                           | \$109            |          |
| Virginia<br>Washington            | \$535<br>\$106   |          |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin          | \$82             |          |
| Wyoming<br>Guam                   | \$92             |          |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin islands     | <b>\$</b> 511    |          |
| B                                 | '                | t        |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department

Grants typically include an eight percent indirect cost allowance for administering the program.
 Blanks indicate states without Talent Search projects.



# IX. A. FY 85 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.044 TALENT SEARCH

|                             | Students<br>Served | (1) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----|
| United States               | 195,988            |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska           | 5,920              |     |
| Ar Izona                    | 600                |     |
| Arkansas<br>Çajifornia      | 2,700<br>13,350    |     |
| Colorado<br>Connecticut     | 2,860              |     |
| Delaware<br>D. C.           | 600<br>17,600      |     |
| Florida                     | 3,750              |     |
| Georgia<br>Hawaii           | 5,150<br>925       |     |
| ldaho<br>Illinois           | 1,650<br>8,900     |     |
| indiana<br>lowa             | 8,075<br>4,350     |     |
| Kansas<br>Kentucky          | 2,300              |     |
| Louisiana                   | 5,450<br>8,100     |     |
| Maine<br>Maryland           | 1,300<br>3,450     |     |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan   | 1,950<br>6,150     |     |
| Minnesota<br>Mississippi    | 2,600<br>4,000     |     |
| Missouri<br>Montana         | 1.000              |     |
| Nebraska i                  | 1,900              |     |
| Nevada<br>New Hampshire     | 1,628              |     |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico    | 5,350<br>2,700     |     |
| New York<br>N. Carolina     | 14,950<br>6,200    | 1   |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio           | ; 1,680            |     |
| Oklahoma                    | 2,800<br>2,650     |     |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania      | 700<br>4,100       |     |
| Rhode island<br>S. Carolina | 3,500              |     |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee      | 750                |     |
| Texas<br>Utah               | 2,970<br>11,700    |     |
| Vermont                     | 800<br>1,500       |     |
| Virginia<br>Washington      | 6,750<br>3,880     |     |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin    | 800                |     |
| Wyoming<br>Guam             | 800<br>800         |     |
| Puerto Rico                 | 4,600              |     |
| Virgin Islands              | i<br>              | i   |

Data Sources: Annual refformance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.



# IX. B. FY 84 RECIPIENT CHARACTERISTICS 84.044 TALENT SEARCH

|                                | Students<br>Served | (1) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----|
| United States                  | 190,325            |     |
| Alabama<br>Alaska              | 6,855              |     |
| Arizona                        | 1,850              |     |
| Arkansas                       | 2,700<br>14,750    |     |
| California<br>Colorado         | 1,100              |     |
| Connecticut                    | 700                |     |
| De laware<br>D. C.             | 18 500             |     |
| Florida                        | 16,500<br>3,800    |     |
| Georgia                        | 5,150              |     |
| Hawa II<br>Idaho               | 750                | i   |
| Illinois                       | 10,800             |     |
| Indiana                        | ! 7.000 !          |     |
| lowa<br>Kansas                 | 2,350<br>1,650     |     |
| Kentucky :                     | 5,250              |     |
| Louislana                      | 7,950              |     |
| Maine<br>Maryland              | 1,100<br>1,550     |     |
| Massachusetts                  | 2,400              |     |
| Michigan<br>Minnesota          | 6,800              |     |
| Mississippi                    | 1,700<br>3,350     |     |
| Missouri ;                     | 1,000 !            |     |
| Montana<br>Nebraska            | 1,850              |     |
| Nevada                         |                    |     |
| New Hampshire                  | 1,470              |     |
| New Jersey<br>New Mexico       | 7,150<br>2,550     | i   |
| New York                       | 2,550<br>18,950    |     |
| N. Carolina                    | 4,200              |     |
| N. Dakota<br>Ohio              | 1,550<br>2,700     |     |
| Ok lahoma                      | 4,700              |     |
| Oregon<br>Pennsylvania         | 700<br>3,300       |     |
| Rhode Island                   |                    |     |
| S. Carolina                    | 4,200              |     |
| S. Dakota<br>Tennessee         | 750<br>2,950       |     |
| Texas                          | 11,450             |     |
| Utah                           | 750                |     |
| Vermont<br>Virginia            | 1,000<br>5,800     |     |
| Washington :                   | 750                |     |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin       | 750                |     |
| Wyoming                        | 750                |     |
| Guam                           |                    |     |
| Puerto Rico ! Virgin islands ! | 5,000              |     |
| AIIAIII ISTORICS !             | i                  | i   |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

# X. A. MEAN FY 85 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.044 TALENT SEARCH

|                           | Total          |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| United States             | \$108          |
| Alabama<br>Alaska         | \$105          |
| Ar Izona                  | \$108          |
| Arkansas                  | \$208          |
| California                | \$174          |
| Colorado                  | \$118          |
| Connect lout              | 404            |
| Delaware<br>D. C.         | \$84<br>\$78   |
| Florida                   | \$93           |
| Georgia                   | \$92           |
| Hawa I I                  | \$126          |
| Idaho                     | \$103          |
| Illinois                  | \$134          |
| Indiana<br>Iowa           | \$116<br>\$114 |
| Kansas                    | \$140          |
| Kentucky                  | \$93           |
| Louisiana                 | \$157          |
| Ma ine                    | \$145          |
| Maryland Hassabusetts     | \$110          |
| Massachusetts<br>Michigan | \$158<br>\$117 |
| Minnesota                 | \$104          |
| Mississippi               | \$418          |
| Missouri                  | \$112          |
| Montana                   | \$144          |
| Nebraksa<br>Nevada        | •••            |
| New Hampshire             | \$90<br>\$133  |
| New Jersey                | \$131          |
| New Mexico                | \$115          |
| New York                  | \$95           |
| Ohio<br>Okiahoma          | \$114          |
| Oregon                    | \$126<br>\$159 |
| Pennsy Ivan Ia            | \$97           |
| Rhode Island              | \$140          |
| S. Carolina               | \$92           |
| S. Dakota                 | \$88           |
| Tennessee<br>Texas        | \$110          |
| Ut                        | \$155<br>\$104 |
| Ver wont                  | \$138          |
| Virginia :                | \$88           |
| Washington !              | \$132          |
| W. Virginia               | \$125          |
| Wisconsin<br>Wyoming      | 4110           |
| Guam                      | \$112<br>\$187 |
| Puerto Rico               | \$153          |
| Virgin Islands            | 7.00           |

Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

# X. B. MEAN FY 84 COSTS PER UNIT SERVED (1) 84.044 TALENT SEARCH

| -                             | Total        |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| United States                 | \$93         |
| Alabama                       | \$76         |
| Alaska<br>Arizona             | \$101        |
| Arkansas                      | \$135        |
| California                    | \$129        |
| Co!orado                      | \$123        |
| Connecticut                   | \$84         |
| Delaware<br>D. C.             | \$82         |
| Fiorida                       | \$77         |
| Georgia                       | \$75         |
| HawaTi<br>idaho               | \$93         |
| illinois                      | \$120        |
| Indiana                       | \$107        |
| lowa                          | \$87         |
| Kansas                        | \$138        |
| Kentucky                      | \$83         |
| Louisiana                     | \$124        |
| Maine                         | \$121        |
| Maryland                      | \$76         |
| Massachusetts                 | \$116        |
| Michigan                      | \$92         |
| Minnesota                     | \$81         |
| Mississippi                   | \$86         |
| Missouri                      | \$94         |
| Montana                       | \$121        |
| Nebraksa<br>Nevada            | <b>V</b> 121 |
| New Hampshire                 | \$75         |
| New Jersey                    | \$111        |
| New Mexico                    | \$108        |
| New York                      | \$105        |
| North Carolina                | \$74         |
| North Dakota                  | \$92         |
| Ohio                          | \$97         |
| Okiahoma                      | \$104        |
| Oregon                        | \$74         |
| Pennsylvania                  | \$103        |
| Rhode island<br>S. Carolina   | \$78         |
| S. Dakota                     | \$77         |
| Tennessee                     | \$87         |
| Texas                         | \$120        |
| Utah                          | \$93         |
| Vermont                       | \$109        |
| Virginia                      | \$77         |
| Washington                    | \$106        |
| W. Virginia<br>Wisconsin      | \$82         |
| Wyoming<br>Guam               | \$92         |
| Puerto Rico<br>Virgin Islands | \$127        |

Data Sources. Annual Performace Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department.

# XI. HISTORICAL DATA (Dollars in thousands) 84.044 TALENT SEARCH

| Federa I  | Federa I                         |                       |                |                       |     |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Fiscal  | Budget                           | Students              | !!             | Federal !             | - ! |
| Year  | Authority                        | Served                | (1)            | Staff (               | 2)  |
|   |                                  |                       |                |                       |     |
| 1985  | <b>#20 770</b>                   | 105 000               | ]              |                       | -   |
| 1984  | \$20,728                         | 195,988               | i i            | 2 2                   |     |
| 1983  | \$17,629<br>\$17,058             | 190,325               | i i            | 2                     | i   |
| 1982  | \$17,000                         | 185,560<br>195,176    |                | 7 (                   | į   |
| 1981  | \$17,100                         | 202,811               |                | 7                     | Ì   |
| 1980  | \$17,058<br>\$17,100<br>\$15,300 | 202,033               |                | Ä                     | - 1 |
| 1979  | \$15,300                         | 198,817               |                | ĕ                     |     |
| 1978  | \$12,500                         | 169,022               |                | ě !                   |     |
| 1977  | \$8,900                          | 146,565               |                | 5<br>6<br>6<br>8<br>7 |     |
| 1976  | \$6,000                          | 110,982               |                | 6                     |     |
| 1975  | \$8,000                          | 122,810               |                | 855555555533          |     |
| 1974  | \$6,000                          | 110,975               | ! !            | 5                     | -   |
| 1973<br>1972  | \$6,000                          | 109,025               |                | 5                     |     |
| 1971  | \$5,000                          | 125,243               | i              | 5                     | - 1 |
| 1970  | \$5,000<br>\$5,000               | 126,652               | İ              | 2                     | i   |
| 1969  | \$4,000                          | 125,000<br>100,000    |                | 2                     | i   |
| 1968  | \$4,000                          | 97,500                |                | ž                     | Ì   |
| 1967  | \$2,500                          | 62,500                |                | 3                     | -   |
| 1966  | \$2,000                          | 50,000                |                | 3 !                   | ļ   |
| 1965  |                                  |                       |                | •                     | - I |
| 1964  |                                  | İ                     | i              | Ĭ                     |     |
| 1963  | 1                                | 1                     | 1              | į                     |     |
| 1962  |                                  |                       |                | }                     | - 1 |
| 1961  | i i                              | i                     |                | 1                     | -   |
| 1960  | j                                | i                     | i              |                       | - ! |
| Data Source   | o. Annual Darkare                | i<br>Senon Donorto el | j<br>14 mari 4 | tad by Orantasa       | i   |
| Data Sources: Annual Performance Reports submitted by Grantees to the Department. |                                  |                       |                |                       |     |
|   | to the popul til                 | MIC.                  |                |                       |     |

(1) Based on unduplicated annual count.(2) Based on Full Time Employees.

## Introduction to Part Two

This part includes short descriptions of 31 federal programs, including smaller means-tested grant programs and programs which ordinarily do not require a means-test for individual beneficiaries, but in some sense target the distribution of funds to areas or groups which are regarded as low income. Generally, means-tested grant programs were included in the list of major federal public assistance programs described in Part One if their FY 1985 spending was above \$20 million. Those with less spending are included here. However, a number of very small or very restrictive means-tested programs were omitted from Part Two as well.

The programs are listed here as they are in Table 1 of <u>Up From Dependency</u>, in descending order of total FY 1985 program spending.



#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS

The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program provides federal funds to states, urban counties, and cities to support neighborhood revitalization, economic development, and improved community facilities and services. The CDBG program, under the Department of Housing and Urban Development, has three main components: an entitlement program for large cities and urban counties, a small cities program for communities with populations under 50,000 persons, and a discretionary program for special projects. Under the block grant approach adopted in 1981, communities and states have considerable discretion to set priorities and to design programs.

Uses of CDBG funds must principally benefit low and moderate income persons. This target group is generally defined as families with less than 80 percent of the median family income for the area. Communities are restricted from using CDBG funds to construct or rehabilitate government buildings or such community-wide facilities as stadiums, sports arenas, or convention centers. Communities also cannot use the block grants to underwrite new housing or to make housing allowance and other income maintenance payments.

Typical CDBG projects include the restoration or construction of public works such as water and sewer systems, the clearance or rehabilitation of housing, and assistance for private businesses and nonprofit organizations that are neighborhood-based and engaged in activities that enhance the economic opportunities of local residents. Funds are also used to prevent or eliminate slums and blight, to complete urban renewal projects, and to provide public services within certain limits. The discretionary program funds are used, in particular, to provide the skills and knowledge needed by local residents to maximize the effectiveness of the block grants.

In FY 1935, about 875 projects were funded at a total federal cost of about \$3.8 billion. The funds a community or state receives are determined by dual allocation formulas based on factors such as population size and growth, the extent of pre-1940 and overcrowded housing, and the number of persons with incomes below the federal poverty guidelines. There are no matching requirements for funds from non-federal sources.



# VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION SERVICES

The federal government provides funds to states to support vocational rehabilitation services that enable physically and mentally disabled adults to find and retain employment. A single agency in each state is designated to administer vocational rehabilitation services and to serve as the single point of contact for applicants in need. The state agency is responsible for assessing needs, determining ability to pay, and providing a wide variety of services tailored to enhance an individual's employability.

While there is no federally mandated means-test for program eligibility, about two-thirds of all states apply such tests to determine which clients can pay for at least some of the services they receive. The ability to pay or copayment thresholds are often established at the federal poverty guidelines. Thus, all disabled persons are eligible for diagnostic, counseling, and placement services, but receipt of most other rehabilitation services is frequently means-tested. About 60 percent of all participants are estimated to have incomes below the federal poverty guidelines, but only about 15 percent are receiving public assistance at the time of referral for services.

In addition to the services available to all disabled persons, the state vocational rehabilitation agencies provide education and training, including, for example, reader services for the blind and interpreter services for the deaf. The state may also assist with medical services, with prosthetic or orthotic devices, and with other tools, supplies, and licenses. Services may also be provided to the families of clients when such services will contribute substantially to the rehabilitation of the disabled persons. Priority service is focused on those persons with the most severe disabilities and in all cases has the goal of gainful employment for the client.

In FY 1985, about 1.4 million persons applied for state vocational rehabilitation services and about 932,000 persons were assisted. Under the 80/20 matching requirement, the programs were funded by about \$1 billion in federal dollars and about \$330 million in state monies; some states provide additional funds beyond the matching requirements. The federal funds are allocated to the states based on population weighted by per capita income.



### VOCATIONAL EDUCATION BASIC GRANTS

The Department of Education awards grants to the states to expand, improve, modernize, and develop quality vocational education programs. These programs are designed to assist current and future workers in acquiring the basic academic and occupational skills necessary for improved productivity and economic development.

Special populations, among which are academically or economically disadvantaged groups, are also eligible for services under basic grants. In addition to providing extra services and insuring access for special populations, this program promotes greater cooperation between public and private sectors; improves the cooperation of preparation of vocational students; provides a cademic preparation of vocational students; provides workers; assists the most economically depressed inner city and rural youth through cooperative programs in community-based organizations; provides a full range of support services.

The FY 1987 appropriation (for program year 1987-88) provides \$882 million for vocational education, including the Permanent Appropriation under the Smith-Hughes Act. Of this, \$809.5 million is for basic grants. Generally, States are required to match these federal appropriations on a dollar-for-dollar basis, but they have, in the past few years, overmatched federal contributions on the average of over 10 to 1. The federal funds are allocated to the states according to a formula based on the states' relative share of total U.S. population in three age cohorts, weighted by per capita income. There are also provisions for minimum funding levels.

State and local governments play key roles in the program. State Boards must develop (and have approved) two-year state plans on how they will administer the program, meet its many matching and set-aside requirements, and distribute the funds to local education agencies and a range of other institutions.

After allowing 7 percent for state administration, the law requires that the states allocate the remainder of their grants in the following way: 57 percent for vocational education opportunities (Title II, Part A) and 43 percent for program improvement, expansion, and innovation (Title II, Part B). The 57 percent for vocational education opportunities is further divided as follows: 22 percent for special programs and services to disadvantaged populations, including persons of limited English proficiency; 12 percent for adults in need of training or retraining; 10 percent for handicapped individuals; 8.5 percent for single parents and homemakers; 3.5 percent to help eliminate sex bias and stereotyping; 1 percent for criminal offenders.



#### CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

Title IV-D of the Social Security Act authorizes the use of federal matching funds to enforce the support obligations owed by absent parents to their children, to locate absent parents, and to establish paternity. State governments administer the program, but the federal government plays a major role by funding state programs and by rendering technical assistance to the states. States are required to designate a single and separate agency for child support enforcement that serves as the contact point for applicants in need.

States must provide services to persons receiving AFDC, to families whose AFDC eligibility ends due + receipt of child support, and to non-AFDC families that apply and pay an application fee which may be as high as \$25. States must also seek to establish the paternity of an AFDC child born out of wedlock, to locate absent parents, and to obtain support payments by working in cooperation with other states and the federal government.

The federal role includes interception of federal income tax refunds in amounts equal to past-due support rayments, computerized searches of public records to obtain the most recent address and place of employment of absent parents, and access to federal courts to enforce support obligations. In addition, the federal government provides technical assistance to states establishing their own enforcement mechanisms, tests innovative approaches to support enforcement, and allows garnishment of federal or military wages to enforce support obligations.

Collections made on behalf of AFDC families are used to offset the costs of AFDC payments, except that the AFDC families retain the first \$50 of child support collected in a month. In FY 1985, about \$1.1 billion was collected on behalf of AFDC recipients and about \$1.6 billion on behalf of non-AFDC families. In addition, about 232,000 paternities were established, about 874,000 absent parents were located, and about 661,000 support obligations were established.

In FY 1985, the federal government paid 70 percent of state and local administrative costs on an open-ended entitlement basis. In addition, 90 percent federal matching was available to establish statewide automated data processing and information retrieval systems in the states. In FY 1985, the total federal cost of the program was about \$572 million.



### CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

Title IV-D of the Social Security Act authorizes the use of federal matching funds to enforce the support obligations owed by absent parents to their children, to locate absent parents, and to establish paternity. State governments administer the program, but the federal government plays a major role by funding state programs and by rendering technical assistance to the states. States are required to designate a single and separate agency for child support enforcement that serves as the contact point for applicants in need.

States must provide services to persons receiving AFDC, to families whose AFDC eligibility ends due + receipt of child support, and to non-AFDC families that apply and pay an application fee which may be as high as \$25. States must also seek to establish the paternity of an AFDC child born out of wedlock, to locate absent parents, and to obtain support payments by working in cooperation with other states and the federal government.

The federal role includes interception of federal income tax refunds in amounts equal to past-due support rayments, computerized searches of public records to obtain the most recent address and place of employment of absent parents, and access to federal courts to enforce support obligations. In addition, the federal government provides technical assistance to states establishing their own enforcement mechanisms, tests innovative approaches to support enforcement, and allows garnishment of federal or military wages to enforce support obligations.

Collections made on behalf of AFDC families are used to offset the costs of AFDC payments, except that the AFDC families retain the first \$50 of child support collected in a month. In FY 1985, about \$1.1 billion was collected on behalf of AFDC recipients and about \$1.6 billion on behalf of non-AFDC families. In addition, about 232,000 paternities were established, about 874,000 absent parents were located, and about 661,000 support obligations were established.

In FY 1985, the federal government paid 70 percent of state and local administrative costs on an open-ended entitlement basis. In addition, 90 percent federal matching was available to establish statewide automated data processing and information retrieval systems in the states. In FY 1985, the total federal cost of the program was about \$572 million.



## URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS

The Urban Development Action Grant (UDAG) Program, under the Department of Housing and Urban Development, assists severely distressed communities by increasing public and private investments that stimulate employment, improve housing, and strengthen tax bases. Grants are awarded on a competitive basis and are contingent upon commitments of private resources to the proposed projects. Grantees are generally cities and urban counties.

No specific population subgroup is targeted as beneficiaries of the UDAG program. However, both the eligibility requirements for applicants and the criteria used to select projects tend to focus grants on low income communities. To be eligible under UDAG, a city or urban county must meet minimum standards of fiscal and economic distress, have housing for low and moderate income persons, and demonstrated results in providing equal opportunity in housing and employment. The criteria used to award the competitive grants, in effect, give priority to projects within communities that have higher rates of poverty, unemployment, and aged housing.

Grants are limited to projects that meet certain other conditions. No projects will be funded unless there are firm and substantial commitments to invest private resources. Projects which include financial assistance from state and local governments are given more favorable consideration. Little or no UDAG funding may be used for planning; no funds may be used to provide public services. Under most circumstances, funding may not be used to facilitate the relocation of industrial or commercial plants from one area to another.

In FY 1985, the federal government awarded about \$497 million to projects. Grant applications projected about 54,200 jobs and about 35,800 housing units would be created with the funds, although, based on analysis of such projections for 1982, it is likely that the actual numbers of jobs and units will be substantially lower. About 66 percent of the jobs created and about 43 percent of the housing units went to persons with incomes below the federal poverty guidelines.



# TITLE III NUTRITION PROGRAMS

Title III of the Older Americans Act authorizes federal grants to states to cover some of the cost of congregate and homedelivered meals for the elderly. These nutrition programs are administered by the states and Area Agencies on Aging.

All persons ages 60 and over and their spouses are eligible to partake in Title III meals, with preference given to those persons with the greatest economic or social need. The language expressing Congressional intent has led to regulations that prohibit means-testing under the program. The law requires that providers give participants an opportunity to contribute toward the cost of the meal, but no older person may be denied meals for failure to contribute.

Congregate meals are served at central locations. Elderly persons who are homebound by reason of illness or disability may receive home-delivered meals. At state option, and resources permitting, meal—may also be provided for handicapped or disabled persons under the age of 60 who reside in elderly housing facilities or to individuals providing volunteer services during meal hours.

In FY 1985, almost three million congregate meals and almost 700,000 home-delivered meals were served daily. Federal costs totalled about \$404 million and state/local costs about \$161 million. An additional \$140 million was collected in contributions from elderly participants in the program. The law authorizes the use of federal funds to cover up to 85 percent of the costs of the meals and specifies that the nonfederal matching share can be met either by cash or in-kind contributions. Federal funds are capped by the amount of the annual appropriation.

The Title III programs are intended to help older persons remain independent as long as possible. The program's focus on the most vulnerable of the elderly means services are provided to persons who are frequently without family support and who, without support from the community, are in the greatest risk of institutionalization.



# TITLE III SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

Title III of the Older Americans Act authorizes federal grants to states to cover some of the costs of supportive services for the elderly. The supportive services provided by states include information, referrals to public and private programs, transportation, employment services, legal aid, counseling, health education and screening, home repairs and maintenance, and in-home services such as homemaker or home health aides to avoid institutionalization. The actual m of supportive services provided in a particular community is largely based on a local inventory of resources and a local assessment of needs.

All persons aged 60 and over are eligible, but particular attention is directed toward those persons with the greatest economic and social need. The language expressing Congressional intent has led to regulations that prohibit means-testing as a condition for receiving services.

In FY 1985, more than nine million persons received Title III supportive services. Federal funding is capped at the annual appropriation level and requires matching funds from grantees at a 25 percent rate for administration and a 15 percent rate for program activities. The grantees' contributions may be made either in cash or in-kind. In FY 1985, federal funding totalled about \$261 million and grantees cont ibuted about \$131 million, substantially more than necessary to meet the matching requirement.

The federal funds are allocated to the states based on a formula stipulated in the Older Americans Act. Each state then develops a plan for developing a coordinated social service system for the elderly in the state. The state allocates almost all funds received through the Older Americans Act to Area Agencies on Aging which develop plans for coordinated systems of supportive services for the elderly. Area Agencies also support the provision of services through service provider agencies that participate in the local area plan.



# MIGRANT EDUCATION PROGRAM

The Migrant Education Program (MEP) provides federal funds to State Educational Agencies to assist them in meeting the special educational needs of children in families headed by migratory agricultural workers or fishers. The program is authorized by Chapter 1 of the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act, Pub. L. 97-35, as amended. The federal Department of Education allocates funds to the states according to a statutory formula and State Educational Agencies are responsible for administering the program, subgranting the funds, and ensuring compliance with the law. Local school districts that apply for and receive MEP funds supply the basic skills instruction and supportive services needed by migratory children.

In FY 1985, about \$264.5 million was appropriated for MEP. In the 1984-85 school year, about 350,000 children were served under the program. The statutory formula for allocating MEP funds is based on the number of current and former migratory children residing in the state full-time or part-time and on a share of the state's per pupil expenditures. There are no matching regiments for funds or services from non-federal sources. In 1985, all states except Hawaii received MEP funds.

The funds provided under MEP are commonly used by local school districts to provide a variety of services in addition to regular academic instruction. These other services feature remedial or compensatory instruction and may also include bilingual and multi-cultural instruction, vocational and career education, guidance counseling, testing services, and medical or dental screening.

Eligibility for services funded by MEP is limited to children up to age 21 of current or former migratory agricultural workers or fishers. Federal MEP regulations define such children as those who have moved within the past 5 years from one school district to another to enable a member of the immediate family to obtain temporary or seasonal employment in an agricultural or fishing activity. None of the MEP services are means-tested; migratory children are presumed to need special educational and other services.

Discretionary grants authorized under MEP are intended to improve the interstate and intrastate coordination of instructional and supportive services through the development of curriculum materials and delivery systems. The Migrant Student Record Transfer System, for example, provides a nationwide and computerized network of communications for maintaining accurate records on the educational and health status of migratory children. The system assures rapid transmittal of the data necessary to meet the special needs of these children.



#### PUBLIC WORKS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

The Department of Commerce makes federal funds available to states and others to help construct public facilities needed to initiate the creation of permanent private sector jobs in areas where economic growth is lagging behind the rest of the nation. Such public facilities include water and sewer systems, access roads and site improvements for industrial parks, port facilities, railroad sidings and spurs, and vocational schools. States, their subdivisions, Indian tribal organizations, and nonprofit organizations are eligible to apply for funds.

To qualify, a project must fulfill a pressing need of the area and must improve the opportunities for the establishment or expansion of industrial or commercial plants, assist in the creation of additional long-term employment opportunities, and benefit the long-term unemployed and members of low income families. Thus, projects are almost always located in areas where the unemployment rate is above the national average and where family incomes are below the area median.

In FY 1985, some 178 regular public works projects were funded at a total federal cost of about \$130 million. Basic grants may be up to 50 percent of the project cost. Severely depressed areas may receive supplementary grants to bring the federal share up to 80 percent; designated Indian reservations are eligible for up to 100 percent federal funding. In FY 1985, the federal grants averaged about \$600,000, the federal share of project costs averaged about 55 percent, and the funded projects were heavily concentrated (72 percent) in rural areas.

A key feature of the program is a planning requirement intended to encourage coordination among state and local governments, private businesses, and members of the community. The plans produced in response to this requirement must be approved by the Department of Commerce and all projects funded under this program must be consistent with the approved plan. These plans feature commitments from private businesses to invest in and operate in the area.

One recent innovative practice under the program is the development of small business incubators. In a typical case, an abandoned commercial building is renovated to house fledgling small businesses that then share support services and overhead costs. In time, the successful small firms expand, move out of the incubator, and into other facilities such as the industrial parks assisted under the program.



# HIGHER EDUCATION AID FOR DEVELOPING INSTITUTIONS

The Department of Education makes federal funds available to colleges and universities which serve disadvantaged students to improve their programs and management. State and local governments play no direct role in the program; the grants are awarded through a competitive process directly to applicant schools. Prior to passage of the Higher Education Amendments of 1986, three different types of grants are awarded under the Strengthening Institutions, Special Needs, and Endowment Grants programs. Continuation grants also were awarded under the Challenge Grant program, which is being phased out.

In FY 1985, a total of 547 grants were awarded at a total federal cost of about \$141 million. More specifically, the program awarded 311 Strengthening Institution grants totalling about \$66 million, 163 Special Needs grants totalling about \$54 million, 51 Endowment grants and 22 Challenge grants totalling about \$16 million and \$6 million respectively. Each type of grant has variable lengths and variable requirements for matching funds from other sources.

The 1986 reauthorization restructured the programs, combining the Strengthening Institutions and Special Needs programs into a new Strengthening Institutions program, creating a new formula grant program for Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU) and continuing the Endowment Grant program.

To be eligible for grants under the new Strengthening Institutions program, institutions must be accredited or making satisfactory progress toward accreditation, be state authorized, and must have met both of these conditions for five years prior to applying for aid. In addition, eligibility is limited to institutions that have low expenditures per student and high percentages of students receiving high pell Grants or other federal, need-based, financial aid. Institutions enrolling more than specified percentages of members of several minority groups also are eligible.

Under the Strengthening Institutic 3 program, the funds are used to enhance the skills of faculty, the management of the institution, and the development of curriculum. The funds may also be used to acquire equipment, for example, to develop computer-assisted instruction. The federal grants may not be used for any religious or sectarian activities, general operating or maintenance expenses, construction costs, or to supplant other funds available to the institution.

Institutions which were established before 1964 primarily to serve black students, and which are accredited or making reasonable progress towards accreditation, are eligible for the HBCU formula grant program. Funds may be used for purchase of educational equipment and materials, construction of



instructional facilities, faculty development, academic instruction and student services.

Institutions eligible for either of the programs described above may also apply for matching Endowment Grants.



#### CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKER EDUCATION

The Department of Education provides federal funds to assist states to conduct programs in consumer and homemaker education. State and local governments play important roles in the program. State Boards of Vocational Education apply for the federal funds largely on the basis of two-year plans and commitments of state funds. States administer the program and distribute funds to local educational agencies and postsecondary institutions that provide the consumer and homemaker education.

All individuals requiring education for the occupation of homemaking are eligible to participate in the program. In FY 1985, the appropriation was \$32 million. Within provisions that assure sustained minimum funding, the federal funds are allocated by a formula based on states' share of persons eligible and per capita incomes. In general, states must match their federal grants dollar-for-dollar.

At least one-third of the combined funds must be expended for programs in economically depressed areas or areas with high rates of unemployment. The funds are used to provide both instruction and support services. The instruction relates to managing personal and family responsibilities, parenting and child development, responding to crises, improving nutrition, conserving resources, and applying technology. The support services may include demonstrations of innovative or exemplary programs, development of curricula materials, and outreach to underserved populations in the community.

#### HIGHER EDUCATION GRANTS FOR INDIANS

The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) provides federal funds to support financial aid for Indian students attending institutions of higher education full-time. The grants supported by the federal funds are intended to supplement the total financial aid packages prepared by the schools the students are attending. In FY 1985, some 14,000 Indian students were assisted at a total federal cost of about \$29 million.

Eligibility is limited to members of federally recognized Indian tribes who have financial need. Need is determined by the school in which the student is enrolled and is primarily based on a schedule of amounts that the student and their family might reasonably be expected to contribute toward educational costs. The schedule of expected contributions takes into account not only the student's income, but also the incomes of a spouse and the student's family.

Students are expected to take advantage of the campus-based financial aid programs offered to all students and any other scholarships that may be available to them. Thus, the typical Indian undergraduate receives assistance from several sources: in FY 1985 a representative financial aid package included \$500 under College Work-Study, a \$500 National Direct Student Loan, a \$1,500 Pell Grant, a \$300 Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant, and \$1,400 from the BIA. The BIA grant is awarded last and cannot exceed the remaining financial need of the student.

Grants may be continued through both undergraduate and graduate levels if the student maintains satisfactory progress. Renewal applications must be submitted annually with updated grades or transcripts. Successful students need not repay the grants, but the BIA has proposed regulations requiring that students who drop-out, are expelled, or fail to maintain satisfactory progress are required to pay back the grants they received. The program is fully funded by the federal government.



#### CUBAN AND HAITIAN RESETTLEMENT

The Department of Justice provides federal funds to support resettlement services to Cuban and Haitian entrants to the U.S. who have been paroled into the community by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS). The program was created in the spring of 1980 to help cope with the special problems presented by the arrival of large numbers of Cuban and Haitian boat people. These persons were not authorized to enter the U.S., were therefore detained by the INS, and in need of some assistance upon their release from INS custody. The Cuban and Haitian Entrant Resettlement (CHER) program works through agreements with individuals and public or private nonprofit organizations that provide resettlement services.

The CHER projects feature halfway houses offering training and counseling intended to promote the economic self-sufficiency of the entrants within the shortest possible time. Other projects have included child welfare services for unaccompanied minors and family reunification services. Projects for entrants with special needs include programs for persons with physical handicaps, with mental disabilities and alcohol or drug dependencies, and for Cubans imprisoned either for crimes committed in Cuba or since their arrival in this country.

The CHER program is fully funded by the federal government, and, in FY 1985, the program had a total federal cost of about \$26 million. The funds supported grants that ranged from \$1,200 for an individual placement of one person with one sponsor for one year to \$1.6 million for a large resettlement project. The average grant was about \$300,000. The grants are awarded on the basis of a competitive process that emphasizes past experience and proven success with resettlement projects.

The special CHER program is perhaps best seen in light of the general program provided by the Office of Refugee Resettlement (CRR). The CHER program is similar to, and coordinated with, but separate from the ORR program. ORR programs are limited to persons with official refugee status from the INS and persons against whom a final deportation order by the INS has not been issued; the CHER program is targeted on Cubans and Haitians apprehended and detained by the INS as illegal entrants and whose official INS status frequently remains under review. While CHER participants may eventually qualify for ORR benefits, most Cubans and Haitian entrants are initially unable to meet the ORR requirements.



#### HEALTH CAREERS OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

The Public Health Service (PHS) of the Department of Health and Human Services makes federal funds available to health and educational institutions to help disadvantaged individuals pursue health profession careers. The Health Careers Opportunity Program (HCOP) is administered by PHS; state and local governments play no direct role in the program. The HCOP grants are awarded to schools and nonprofit organizations on a competitive basis that target resources on populations underrepresented in the health professions.

The HCOP funds support a variety of services to assist disadvantaged students enter into and successfully complete education or training in the health fields. The program services feature enrichment courses to enhance applicants' chances for admission to programs leading to health cost careers. After admission HCOP features tutoring and counseling to assist students to complete their courses of study. Funds may also be used to recruit students, to facilitate their entry into school, and to publicize sources of financial aid. The HCOP funds may not be used for tuition and fees.

Eligibility is limited to either academically or economically disadvantaged individuals. Academically disadvantaged persons include all those whose background has not provided them with the knowledge, skills, and abilities required to enter and complete a health profession program. The enrichment courses funded by HCOP typically offer chemistry classes and other basic sciences that are not now available to all secondary students. Economically disadvantaged persons include all those whose family income does not exceed the federal poverty guidelines.

In FY 1985, about 158 HCOP projects were funded at a total federal cost of about \$20 million. About 11,000 students participated in enrichment courses and about 13,000 more students received services after enrollment in school. The schools that provide HCOP services represent the wide range of health professions, including schools of medicine, osteopathy, public health, dentistry, veterinary medicine, optometry, pharmacy, and podiatry. The nonprofit organizations that provide HCOP services include community agencies and professional associations that promote health careers among minorities and economically disadvantaged persons of all races.



#### ADOLESCENT FAMILY LIFE

The Adolescent Family Life (AFL) Demonstration Grants Projects provide grants intended to find effective means of postponing premarital sexual activity, of promoting adoption as an alternative to adolescent parenting, and coordinating delivery of health care services to pregnant and parenting adolescents and their children. The grants are distributed by the Department of Health and Human Services with states having the opportunity to review applications to ensure conformance with state plans and objectives. Grantees who carry out the AFL program include state, local, and Indian tribal governments as well as school districts and private nonprofit organizations.

In FY 1985, about 103,500 adolescents were served by the AFL program with federal outlays of about \$11 million and state/local outlays of about \$12 million. The program operates under a fixed federal appropriation. The amount of a grant is flexible and requires a 30 percent match by the grantee in the first and second year of funding and a 40 percent, 50 percent, and 60 percent match in the third, fourth, and fifth years, respectively.

All adolescents are eligible for AFL services. Fees for services are required with appropriate discounts determined by the grantee based on the client's ability to pay.

A variety of services are authorized under AFL programs, ranging from pregnancy testing to child care. Referrals are common to promote adoption options, treatment of venereal disease, and pediatric care. Educational services play a key role in meeting the needs of recipients.

These benefits are often available elsewhere and one of the AFL objectives is to coordinate and facilitate the provision of existing services. Grantees are required to maximize use of other available resources and thus to develop linkages with other programs providing benefits for which the client may be eligible. These other programs include Medicaid, AFDC, Maternal and Child Health, Food Stamps, WIC, and state programs.

The AFL program was established in 1981 and features significant changes from its predecessor program. The predecessor program provided only care services to pregnant and parenting adolescents, did not require parental consent, and permitted referrals for abortion. The AFL program, in contrast, provides for prevention and research in addition to care services, requires parental consent, and prohibits referrals for abortions.



### VOLUNTEERS IN SERVICE TO AMERICA

The Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA) program is intended to supplement ongoing efforts to eliminate poverty and poverty-related problems by enabling persons to perform service as volunteers. State and local governments have no role in administering the program, but are eligible to be VISTA sponsoring organizations. The VISTA sponsoring organizations, which also include public and private nonprofit organizations, employ the volunteers on tasks that improve a community's abilities to solve its own problems and that directly benefit low income persons.

In FY 1986, approximately 2,400 VISTA volunteers served with about 500 sponsoring organizations at a total federal cost of about \$19 million. The program is fully funded by the federal government. Allocations of volunteers and support dollars are based on an area's relative degree of poverty, while maintaining a minimum number of volunteers in each state. Federal expenditures for the program were about \$23 million in FY 1975 and peaked at about \$30 million in FY 1981.

The local projects that utilize VISTA volunteers are developed with the active participation of members of the low income community and must be responsive to the needs the low income persons. Low income is defined as household income that does not exceed the federal poverty guidelines. Common to all projects is the VISTA philosophy that volunteers are assigned for a limited time to help get programs started; programs are then taken over and operated by local communities.

Volunteers work on a variety of projects. The current emphasis is on youth programs that combat illiteracy and drug abuse. Other community-based projects include food distribution, aiding the homeless, and addressing the special needs of elderly and handicapped persons. Volunteers may not be employed in political or religious activities and are not to displace regular employees or impair existing contracts for service.

The volunteers under VISTA work full-time for a full year and live among the people they serve. Volunteers receive stipends that provide a subsistence level of support. Virtually all volunteers are recruited and serve locally. All VISTA funds are used to provide stipends for volunteers and materials necessary for volunteers to carry out their assignments: no federal, state, or local staff are supported by VISTA funds.



# INDIAN SOCIAL SERVICES

The Indian Social Services Program provides foster home care and institutional care for dependent, neglected, and handicapped Indian children. State and local governments play no direct role in the program. The program is administered by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) and the local BIA office is the point of contact for applicants in need.

In FY 1985, the program assisted an average of 3,000 children per month at a total federal cost of about \$15 million. The program is fully funded by the federal government and operates only in those areas where such services are not available from other public sources.

The program serves Indian children who have been removed from their homes due to neglect or abuse and placed in foster homes or child care institutions. Applications may also be made voluntarily by a parent, guardian, or other person having custody of the child. The program is also designed to meet the special needs of handicapped children.

The benefits to the child are maintenance payments provided in the form of a monthly check to the foster parent or child care institution. The amount of assistance depends on the type of care or treatment required by the child.



#### EMPLOYMENT SERVICES AND JOB TRAINING

Under Title IV-D of the Job Training Partnership Act, the federal government is authorized to provide job training for persons with particular disadvantages in the labor market and promote linkages between training programs and the private sector. Toward those ends, the Department of Labor provides federal funds to support pilot programs and demonstration projects. The funds are generally awarded on a competitive basis to state and local governments, federal agencies, and nonprofit organizations that supply employment services.

The funds are primarily used to arrange job training and job opportunities for disadvantaged persons. Such persons may include displaced homemakers, handicapped individuals, single parents, persons with limited English language profitiency, older workers, women, minorities, and persons lacking educational credentials. The funds may also be used to meet industry-wide skill shortages and for other special projects.

Eligibility under a particular pilot program or demonstration project may be restricted to a special target group. In addition to a general requirement that all participants be economically disadvantaged, many efforts are focused on specific age, sex, or racial groups. Economically disadvantaged is defined as persons with incomes that do not exceed the federal poverty guidelines or, if higher, 70 percent of the Lower Living Standard Income Level (a standard issued by the Secretary of Labor that recognizes regional differences in the cost of living).

Projects are designed to promote widely applicable activities and techniques. For example, a national nonprofit organization received a \$1 million grant to train and place 560 handicapped workers in all 50 states with the active cooperation of private businesses. Another \$1 million grant was awarded to a national employers association to train and place 320 persons in tooling and machining occupations at locations throughout the country. Such projects are primarily intended to open doors and to provide successful examples of what can be done.

Matching funds from grantees are not required by statute, but may be imposed administratively for some of the grants. For FY 1987, \$31.6 million was appropriated for the pilot and demonstration activities.



## RENTAL HOUSING REHABILITATION

The Rental Housing Rehabilitation (RHR) Program, under the Department of Housing and Urban Development, provides federal funds to help increase the supply of rental housing that is affordable to low income families. Operating within broad federal guidelines, the state and local governments that apply for and receive RHR funds have considerable flexibility to design and implement programs.

The RHR funds are used in two ways. First, state and local governments subsidize, up to a maximum of \$5,000 per unit, the rehabilitation of existing, privately-owned residential rental units. Second, state and local governments provide rental housing assistance to very low income families, usually in the form of vouchers, to enable them to afford the rents in units rehabilitated under the program or to find alternative housing. No funds may be used for administrative purposes.

To qualify for RHR funds, all projects must benefit low income tenants and neighborhoods. This target group is defined as households with median incomes less than 80 percent of the area median income. To date, 75 percent of the tenants in completed projects are in households with less than 50 percent of the area's median income and 92 percent of the tenants assisted have incomes below 80 percent of the median.

The RHR program is new. Authorized in 1983 and first funded in FY 1984, the early data on the program reflects the usual time lags required for construction and rehabilitation work. Thus, in FY 1985 actual outlays of federal dollars under RHR were only about \$14.4 million and about 12,400 households were assisted. The contracts signed by the RHR program in FY 1985, however, totaled \$154.32 million.



431

### RURAL SELF-HELP TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

The Department of Agriculture funds technical assistance programs to help low income, rural families build homes by the mutual self-help method. These Technical Assistance (TA) grants are administered by the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA). The grantees who provide TA programs include states and their political subdivisions, as well as public and private nonprofit organizations.

The TA grants may be used for hiring construction supervisors and technical trainers, paying office and administrative expenses, obtaining power and specialty tools, and paying fees for training self-help group members in construction techniques. The TA funds may not be used to hire construction workers, to buy real estate, or to pay for construction materials.

To qualify for TA funds, applicants must serve small communities in open country that are rural in character. Small communities generally have populations under 10,000 persons, but under certain circumstances may be as high as 20,000 persons. Applicants must recruit groups of low income families who agree to build their homes by the mutual self-help method; low income is defined as income that does not exceed 80 percent of the median income in the area. Applicants must also furnish evidence that the benefiting families are unable to obtain funds from other sources and will realize net savings by building their homes in this way.

In FY 1985, TA grants totalling about \$14 million were awarded. The program is fully funded by the federal government.



### RURAL HOUSING GRANTS

Kural Housing Grants assist low income, elderly homeowners to make house repairs that remove health and safety hazards. Although authorized under section 504 of the Housing Act of 1949 as amended, the program operates under the U.S. Department of Agriculture. State and local governments play no direct role in the program. The grants are administered by the Farmer's Home Administration (FmHA) through their state offices. District or county FmHA offices serve as the contact point for applicants in need.

In FY 1985, about \$12 million in grants were awarded to about 3,500 persons. The program is fully funded by the federal government.

Eligibility is limited to individuals age 62 or older or families with such individuals. The grantee's income must be below 50 percent of the median income for the area, after certain deductions and adjustments for household size. The grantees must own and occupy a dwelling in a rural area that has been identified by the local FmHA supervisor as a home in need of repairs to remove health and safety hazards. The grantees must be unable to obtain credit elsewhere and must lack the resources to make the needed repairs themselves.

The repairs typically provided include installing or repairing water and waste disposal systems and taking various energy conservation measures. Repairing or replacing heating systems, roofs, sidings, and electrical wiring are also permitted. maximum lifetime amount of assistance is \$5,000, which is disbursed through a swervised bank account, only after such repairs have been made and inspected.

If assistance is available from other sources, the applicant is required to use that assistance to the fullest extent possible. The Department of Housing and Urban Development, under the Community Development Block Grant, sometimes provides such assistance and the FmHA funding is reduced to a supplementary Thus, Rural Housing Grants function as a program of last resort to upgrade substandard dwellings owned and occupied by elderly poor persons.



### ADULT PROGRAMS

The Adult Programs are a combination of older welfare programs that were replaced for states by Supplemental Security Income in 1974. These older programs are Grants to the States for Old-Age Assistance (OAA), for Aid to the Blind (AE), for Aid to the Permanently and Totally Disabled (APTD), and for Aid to the Aged, Blind, or Disabled (AABD). Recipients are also eligible for Medicaid.

The Adult Programs operate only in the territories of Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands and enable these jurisdictions to provide cash assistance to needy individuals who are aged, blind, or disabled. Each territory may choose to provide benefits under any combination of OAA, AB, and APTD or may choose to provide all three under AABD.

The territories help fund the programs, determine the types of needs addressed, and the extent to which such needs will be met. Local offices serve as the contact point for applicants in need and make eligibility determinations according to the standards of the territory.

In FY 1986, about 42,000 persons in the three territories received a total of about \$18 million in cash assistance under the Adult Programs. Since FY 1979, the total amount which the federal government provides to the territories to help fund all of the Adult Programs, plus AFDC and Foster Care and Adoption Assistance has been capped: \$3.3 million for Guam, \$72 million for Puerto Rico, and \$2.4 million for the Virgin Islands. Each of the territories currently spends more of its own money than necessary to meet the federal matching requirements (which require states to pay for 25 percent of total expenditures).

Under all of the Adult Programs, the territories set their own income and asset limits for determining eligibility and set their own cash benefit levels.



# GRADUATE AND PROFESSIONAL FELLOWSHIPS (PATRICIA ROBERTS HARRIS FELLOWSHIPS)

The Department of Education provides grants to institutions of higher education to support fellowships for graduate and professional students with financial need. State and local governments play no direct role in the program. All accredited institutions with programs leading to advanced degrees are eligible to participate. Institutions receiving grants determine the financial needs of students and award the fellowships.

The program supports two kinds of fellowships. Graduate and Professional Opportunity Fellowships are restricted to individuals from groups that are underrepresented in graduate or professional study, such as minorities and women. Public Service Education Fellowships are restricted to persons who plan to begin or continue a career in public service. Applicants must also be full-time enrolled candidates for advanced degrees and must have demonstrated financial need.

Financial need is determined by the schools receiving the grants using the method required by statute for the federal Title IV student financial assistance programs. This need analysis takes into consideration the amounts that the students and their families might reasonably be expected to contribute toward total educational costs, as well as aid received from other sources. Financial need is the difference between these expected contributions and the cost of attendance.

Fellowships are awarded for one year with renewals for students making satisfactory progress for up to three years. The actual amounts of the fellowships are based on financial need and may range as high as \$10,000 per year. The program also provides an additional allowance of \$6,000 per year to the institution for each fellow to cover tuition, books, fees, and other reasonable educational costs.

The program is fully funded by the federal government and in FY 1985 had a total cost of about \$12 million. These funds provided 1,737 fellowships through 204 grants. In addition to encouraging graduate and professional schools to recruit students from a underrepresented groups, these fellowships are also intended to address national employment needs for workers with advanced degrees.



### TITLE VI GRANTS TO INDIAN TRIBES

Title VI of the Older Americans Act authorizes grants to Indian tribal organizations to promote delivery of supportive and nutritional services to older members of federally recognized tribes. State and local governments are not involved in administering the program, although some states independently contribute funds, in-kind materials, or such services as nutritional inspections and training.

Title VI grants support a variety of services. The nutritional services consist of congregate meals and home-delivered meals. The only federally required supportive services are information and referral; other typical supportive services include transportation, homemaker and chore services, legal and ombudsman assistance, and cultural and recreational activities. The tribes have discretion allocating resources among such services and for distributing services among the eligible elderly Indians.

Older members of the federally recognized tribes receiving grants under the program are eligible for services. Each tribe may designate its age for "older Indian." Spouses of older Indians, nonelderly handicapped or disabled Indians residing in facilities occupied primarily for the elderly, and volunteers providing services during meal hours are eligible for meals, but not for supportive services. There are no means-tests for services.

In FY 1985, about 34,000 persons were eligible for services, which were provided at a total federal cost of about \$7.5 million. In addition, the meal reimbursement program of the Department of Agriculture (authorized by the Older Americans Act) provides benefits to the same Indian tribal organizations to meet some of the costs of the congregate and home-delivered meals.



#### FOLLOW THROUGH

The Follow Through program, administered by the Department of Education, provides grants to assist children from low income families enrolled in kindergarten through third grade and to amplify the gains made by such children in Head Start and similar preschool programs. State governments play no direct role in the program. Follow Through grantees are primarily Local Educational Agencies, but also include institutions of higher education and regional educational laboratories.

Follow Through is an experimental program designed to develop, implement, validate, and disseminate innovative instructional approaches for primary education. Discretionary grants are awarded to institutions of higher learning and regional educational laboratories to develop new methods of instruction. Local Education Agencies are awarded grants to implement innovations that stress active participation of parents and provision of supportive services related to nutrition and health. Successful local programs validated by the Department of Education may be awarded additional grants to serve as Resource Centers or demonstration projects so the other local school districts may adopt their innovative practices.

In the areas selected for participation, public and private school children from low income families enrolled in kindergarten through third grade are eligible. Low income is defined as family income that does not exceed the federal poverty guidelines. Within certain limits, non-low income children also may participate. The limits are that at least 50 percent of the children must be from low-income families and at least 50 percent of the children must have participated for a full year in Head Start or similar preschool programs.

In FY 1986, about 18,000 children, 80 percent of whom were from low income families, participated in Follow Through programs. The federal cost was about \$7 million, which covered about 80 percent of program expenses; Local Educational Agency grantees must contribute at least 20 percent of the total program costs.



## EXCEPTIONAL FINANCIAL NEED SCHOLARSHIPS

The Public Health Service (PHS) of the Department of Health and Human Services, makes federal funds available to health profession schools to award scholarships to full-time, first-year students with exceptional financial need (EFN). The program is administered by PHS; state and local governments play no direct role in the program. Based on the availability of funds and a competitive process, EFN awards are issued to schools which in turn give the scholarships to students they select.

The EFN Scholarships are awarded without a reciprocal service or financial obligation to encourage needy students who might otherwise be reluctant to pursue a health profession career. The awards are limited to first-year, full-time students who have exceptional financial need. The candidate's resources are determined on the basis of the student's income as well as the income of the student's parents or spouse, regardless of the student's tax status. The combined incomes and other factors provide a basis for a schedule of amounts (or resources) that the students and their families might reasonably be expected to contribute toward total educational costs. In recent years, scholarships have been limited to these with no other resources.

In FY 1985, about 300 schools received EFN grants at a total federal cost of about \$7 million. The grants provided full scholarships for about 421 students selected from among 1,300 eligible applicants. In addition to payment of tuition, fees, and other reasonable educational costs, the EFN Scholarships provide stipends of \$632 a month for 12 months.

The schools receiving EFN grants represent the wide range of health professions, including schools of medicine, dentistry, osteopathy, optometry, podiatry, pharmacy, and veterinary medicine. The program has been in operation with about the same funding since 1978.



## MIGRANT HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY PROGRAM

The Migrant High School Equivalency Program (HEP) provides federal grants to institutions of higher education and private nonprofit organizations to assist students from migrant farmworker backgrounds obtain the equivalent of a secondary school diploma. State and local governments play no direct role in the program. The grantees are responsible for determining the needs of students, for providing the financial assistance, academic help, and supportive services they need, and for assisting successful students either gain employment or continue on with their education.

Eligibility is limited to students who are themselves or whose families are engaged in migrant or seasonal farmwork. Eligibility is further limited to those who have not earned a secondary school diploma, who are not currently enrolled in school, and who are above the age of compulsory school attendance. While there is no specific income test, the students must be judged by the grantees to need financial assistance, academic help, and supportive services. The generally very low incomes of migrant and seasonal farmworkers provide a basis for estimating that virtually all HEP participants are from families with incomes below the federal poverty guidelines.

The HEP programs typically provide basis instruction in reading, writing, mathematics, and other subjects tested by high school equivalency examinations. In addition to personal and academic counseling, HEP programs often provide career-oriented, workstudy courses, some forms of financial assistance such as housing, and placements in postsecondary schools or training programs.

In FY 1985, the HEP program served about 2,900 students through grants to 15 institutions of higher education and seven nonprofit organizations at a total federal cost of about \$6 million. The program is fully funded by the federal government. Grants are awarded through a competitive process conducted by the U.S. Department of Education.

The HEP program was established in 1965 and is perhaps best seen in the context of other educational programs targeted on the children of migrant and seasonal farmworkers. There are other federally funded programs to meet the special educational needs of migratory school children and to aid first-year college students from such backgrounds. The HEP program is for the dropouts and attempts to reopen alternatives for young persons.



### BLACK LUNG CLINICS

Black Lung Clinics (BLCs) provide health care services to coal miners who have Black Lung disease or related respiratory and pulmonary impairments. The program is administered by the federal Department of Health and Human Services and BLC funds are targeted on areas with significant numbers of active and inactive coal miners. Any public or private entity may apply for a grant, but a state that meets the minimum requirements will be given preference over all other applicants from that state. About onethird of the current grantees are state or local governments and the remainder are private, nonprofit organizations.

In FY 1985, about 48,000 persons received BLC services and federal outlays for the program totalled about \$3 million. Grantees are required to maximize other sources of revenues, particularly third-party reimbursements, and to ensure that all persons who can afford to pay for all or part of the cost of their care do so. Thus, while services similar to those provided by BLCs may be provided by other programs, little or no duplication occurs because BLC dollars are used to pay for services only if no other sources of funds are applicable.

Active, unemployed, and retired coal miners with Black Lung disease or related chronic and obstructive pulmonary diseases are eligible for all BLC services, regardless of ability to pay. While states have more latitude to set their own standards, all other grantees must provide service to miners whose incomes are less than twice the federal poverty guidelines with services at a discount according to a sliding fee scale. The coal miner population includes any individual who works or has worked in or around a coal mine or coal preparation facility and who was exposed to coal dust as a result of such employment.

BLCs provide a range of health care services. Primary care, such as physician services for respiratory and pulmonary impairments, is supplemented by patient care coordination, including individual care plans for all patients. Other services include patient and family education and counseling, antismoking advice, and other symptomatic treatments. The BLCs generally emphasize achieving and maintaining a level of health that decreases use of relatively high-cost emergency room and inpatient services.



## COLLEGE ASSISTANCE MIGRANT PROGRAM

The College Assistance Migrant Program (CAMP) provides federal grants to institutions of higher education to assist first-year college students from migrant farmworker backgrounds. State and local governments play no direct role in the program. The institutions of higher education that receive the federal grants are responsible for determining the needs of students and providing the financial assistance, academic help, and supportive services needed by the students to successfully complete a postsecondary program of study.

Eligibility is limited to first-year students who are themselves, or whose families are, engaged in migrant or other seasonal farmwork. While there is no specific income test, the students must be judged by the grantees to need financial assistance for college expenses. The very low incomes of migrant and seasonal farmworkers provide a basis for estimating that virtually all CAMP participants are from families with incomes below the federal poverty guidelines.

In addition to financial aid, the CAMP program may provide academic and supportive services. Academic services feature totoring and instruction in basic skills as well as in the subjects in which the students are enrolled. The supportive services may include counseling, housing support, allowances for meals and personal expenses, and exposure to cultural events and other activities not ordinarily available to students from these backgrounds.

In FY 1985, the CAMP program served about 400 students through grants to five institutions of higher learning at a total federal cost of about \$1 million. The program is fully funded by the federal government. Grants are awarded through a competitive process conducted by the Department of Education.



## FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT FOR DISADVANTAGED YOUTH - PART TIME (STAY-IN-SCHOOL PROGRAM)

The Stay-In-School Program operated by the federal Office of Personnel Management (DPM) provides disadvantaged young persons with opportunities for part-time employment with the federal government. Under the program, OPM allocates authority to fill part-time jobs to federal agencies; local offices of the state employment service screen young persons for some eligibility and refer eligible applicants to federal agencies with slots available. The employment is intended to a work the participants to continue their educations without interruptions caused by financial pressures.

Participation is limited to disadvantaged young persons who are at least age 16 and who are actively pursuing education up to the baccalaureate level. Disadvantaged status is determined by a financial needs test and referrals for jobs are made in order of financial need: eligible applicants are assigned to one of four categories depending on household income. All applicants in the poorest category must be referred for jobs before other applicants are considered. The annual income ceilings range, for a family of four, from \$11,000 in category one to a high of \$18,500 in category four.

Participants are permitted to work up to 20 hours per week during the school year and a regular 40 hour week during vacation periods. No special skills or experience are required to participate and federal agency heads fix rates of pay in accordance with the duties assigned. The rates of pay range from a minimum of the federal minimum wage (\$3.35 an hour) up to a maximum of the GS-4 rate (\$6.08 an hour).

While the Stay-In-School Program is administered by OPM, it is both funded and carried out by the numerous federal agencies participating in the program. In FY 1985, an estimated 21,000 youths per month were employed under the Stay-In-School Program.



## FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT FOR DISADVANTAGED YOUTH SUMMER PROGRAM

The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) provides disadvantaged young persons with opportunities for summer employment with the federal government. Under the program, OPM allocates authority to fill summer jobs to federal agencies; local offices of the state employment service screen young persons for income eligibility and refer eligible applicants to federal agencies with available slots. The program is intended to assist needy young persons gain work experience and to earn the money they need to return to school.

Participation is limited to disadvantaged young persons who are at least age 16 and who are enrolled in school pursuing education up to the baccalaureate level. Disadvantaged status is determined by a financial needs test and referrals for jobs are made in order of financial need: eligible applicants are assigned to one of four categories depending on household income. All applicants in the poorest category must be referred for jobs before other applicants are considered. The annual income ceilings range, for a family of four, from \$11,000 in category one to a high of \$18,500 in category four.

Youths hired as Summer Aids work a regular 40 hour week and are paid at the federal minimum wage rate. No special skills or experience are required and federal agency heads assign duties as appropriate. In FY 1985, about 14,000 youths participated in the program.

The program is administered by OPM, but is both funded and carried out by the numerous federal agencies participating in the program.



## Introduction to Part Three

Part Three includes brief descriptions of federal programs which target loans to persons with incomes under some maximum. Eleven such programs were identified and included in Table 1 of Up From Dependency. They are listed here, as in that table, in order based upon total loans made in FY 1985.



#### GUARANTLED STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM

The Guaranteed Student Loan Program (GSL) makes loans available to eligible students attending participating postsecondary schools, including colleges and universities, vocational, technical, business and trade schools, and certain foreign institutions. There are three principal programs: (1) a highly subsidized regular GSL program; (2) a less subsidized program of supplemental loans for students (SLS); (3) a less subsidized program for parents of dependent students (PLUS). Students must meet a "need test" to determine eligibility for the regular GSL program.

GSL uses private loan capital supplied primarily by commercial lenders, but also by other lenders including state agencies and schools. Lender participation is secured by guaranteeing lenders a yield equal at least the 91-day Treasury bill rate plus 3.25 percent. The loans are fully guaranteed by individual state or private nonprofit guarantee agencies and reinsured by the federal government. GSL defaults will cost the federal government over \$1.5 billion in FY 1988.

Applications for loans are obtained from lenders or schools. The school must cercify that the student is enrolled and maintaining satisfactory progress, or accepted for enrollment, and provide information on the student's cost of education, other financial aid, and the expected family contribution.

Repayment begins immediately for less subsidized loans and six months after the student ceases to carry at least one-half the normal full-time academic workload for regular loans. For regular loans, the federal government pays all interest costs before the student enters repayment. Deferment of payment may be granted during certain authorized periods.

In FY 1986, about \$9.3 billion in loans were made to about four million students. About four in ten of these students had incomes below poverty.



## VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME HOUSING LOANS

Title V of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to extend assistance, through the Farmer's Home Administration (FmHA), to persons in rural areas for the construction, improvement, alterations or repair of dwellings. Funds may be used to build, rehabilitate, improve, or relocate the applicant's permanent residence, for housing for farm managers, tenants, share croppers or farm laborers, and to refinance certain secured and unsecured debts.

At least 40 percent of funds are allocated for use by very low income families. Low income and very low income are the levels so established for the programs of the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development. Interest credit assistance is based upon the borrower's income and loan size.

In most cases, applications are made directly by he family seeking the loan. In some states, applications are submitted to FMHA by "packagers" who screen applicants and aid with completion of required documentation. Loan funds are received by the borrower at the time of loan closing. A borrower may not have Section 502 housing loans on more than one property at one time, but may have more than one loan per property. The maximum size of the loan is determined by the cost of modest housing in the borrower's locality.

In FY 1985, loans totaling about \$2.3 billion were made to about 52,000 families. A national mean loan was about \$34,000.



#### RURAL RENTAL HOUSING LOANS

Sections 515 and 521 of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, provide for loans for economically designed and constructed rental and cooperative housing and related facilities suited for independent living for rural residents. Occupants must be low or moderate income families, as defined for programs administered by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development, or aged 62 or older, or handicapped.

Public or private nonprofit, or private for-profit organizations, and individuals apply to the FmHA for sites in open country or communities of less than 10,000 (20,000 under certain circumstances). Applicants must provide a market analysis showing need for such services, a legal capacity to incur the obligation and operate the housing, a sound budget, and inability to obtain the funds from other sources at terms which would enable the application to rent the units for amounts that are within payment ability of eligible low and moderate income families, senior citizens, and the handicapped.

Occupants receive the benefit through reduced rental payments. In FY 1985, loans totaling about \$900 million were made to provide housing for about 25,500 families.



### RURAL HOUSING SITE LOANS

Under Sections 523 and 524 of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, loans are made to assist public or private nonprofit organizations interested in providing sites for housing, to acquire and develop land in rural areas to be subdivided as adequate building sites and sold on a nonprofit basis to families eligible for low and very low income loans. Sites developed with Section 524 loans must be for housing low and very low income families. Section 523 sites must be for housing built by the self-help method.

Sites must be in open country or towns with populations under 10,000 (20,000 under some circumstances). Applicants must furnish market analysis showing the need for such services, a legal capacity to borrow funds and develop land for sale, a sound budget, and a general project description.

In FY 1985, loans totaling about \$218 million were made.



Section 221 of the National Housing Act, as amended in 1954, authorizes insurance of mortgages to make homeownership more readily available to families displaced by a natural disaster, urban renewal, or other government action, and to increase homeownership opportunities for low and moderate income families.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development insures lenders against loss of mortgages used to finance the purchase of proposed or existing low-cost dwellings for one to four families, or the rehabilitation of such housing. The mortgage may extend for 30 years (or longer if the mortgagor is unacceptable under a 30-year term).

For most families purchasing single family units, the amount of the loan is 97 percent of the appraised value plus closing costs, up to a maximum of \$31,000. Higher maximums are permitted for high-cost areas and large families. The down payment is equal to the difference between the maximum loan amount and the purchase price plus prepaid expenses. For displaced families, the down payment is somewhat smaller, but no less than \$200. Mortgage insurance premiums, loan origination fees and appraisal and inspection fees may also be required.

In FY 1985, about \$213 million in mortgages were insured. At the beginning of FY 1985, a cumulative total of 936,000 homes were insured with mortgages totaling \$13.5 billion.

## PERKINS LOAN PROGRAM (FORMERLY NATIONAL DIRECT STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM)

The Perkins Loan Program provides federal capital to participating postsecondary schools to provide low-interest, long-term loans to help financially needy students pay their educational costs. Schools with high default rates may not be eligible for new federal capital. Undergraduate, graduate or professional students enrolled or accepted for enrollment as regular students who are maintaining satisfactory progress and have demonstrated financial need are eligible.

Perkins funds are 90 percent federal and 10 percent institutional capital. Institutions may use funds to make loans to needy students for educational expenses and may use between three and five percent of funds for administrative expenses. Loan repayments are made to school revolving funds, where they are available to be lent again. Currently about \$5 billion is in revolving status.

For FY 1986, about \$779 million in loans were made to benefit about 885,000 students. About 18 percent of the students were from families with incomes below poverty.



### LOANS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES

Section 7(a) of the Small Business Act of 1953, as amended, authorizes direct and guaranteed loans for small businesses owned by low income persons or located in areas of high unemployment. Loans may not be made to publishing media, nonprofit enterprises, speculators in property, lending or investment enterprises, or financing real property held for investment.

Generally, eligible businesses must be independently owned and operated, not dominant in the field, and meet Small Business Administration size criteria. Criteria are set separately for manufacturers, wholesalers, retailers, service providers, and agricultural enterprises.

Applications are filed directly in SBA field offices. In FY 1985, direct loans totaling \$15 million were made, and in FY 1986, \$14 million in direct loans and \$32 million in guaranteed loans were made.



445

1.7

#### COMMUNITY FACILITIES LOANS

Section 306 of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act authorizes loans to construct, enlarge, extend or otherwise improve community facilities providing essential solvices to rural residents. Community facilities include, by are not limited to, those providing or supporting overall community development, such as fire and rescue services, transportation, community, social, cultural and health benefits, industrial park sites, access ways, and utility extensions.

Applicants may be public or private nonprofit organizations who have the legal authority necessary for constructing, operating and maintaining the proposed facility or service and for obtaining, giving security for and repaying the loan, who are unable to finance the project from their own resources or at commercial rates and terms. All facilities financed in whole or in part with FmHA funds must be for public use.

Projects are selected for funding giving due consideration to state development strategies, serving the largest number of low income rural residents, and priority recommendations. Priority for funding is given first to projects which enhance public safety, secondly to health care facilities needed to conform to life and safety codes, third to public service buildings, and fourth to new hespitals or major expansions of existing hospitals.

For FY 1985, 185 loans totaling \$115 million were made.



## SECTION 312 REHABILITATION LOAN PROGRAM

Section 312 of the Housing Act of 1964, as amended, authorizes the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to make loans for the rehabilitation of single-family and multifamily residential, mixed-use, and nonresidential properties. To be eligible, properties must be located in designated areas (i.e., principally urban homesteading areas at this time) or the rehabilitation must be necessary or appropriate to the execution of an approved Community Development Program under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.

There is no individual means test, but communities are required to give priority to loans to low and moderate income owner-occupants. Moderate income means no higher than 95 percent of the area median. Loans are made at three percent to persons with incomes no higher than 80 percent of the area median who live in the single family (1-4 units) property to be rehabilitated. For others, the interest rate will be equal to the market yield on outstanding marketable securities of the United States with comparable terms. The term of a loan may not exceed 20 years or three-fourths of the remaining economic life of the property, whichever is shorter.

In FY 1985, about \$75 million in loans were made. A total of 2,707 single-family loans assisted in the rehabilitation of 3,132 units. Another 77 loans were made for multifamily properties, directly assisting 1,195 units. About three-fourths of the single-family loans were to families with incomes below 80 percent of the area median.



### FARM LABOR HOUSING LOANS AND GRANTS

Sections 514 and 516 of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, authorizes loans to provide decent, safe, and sanitary low-rent housing and related facilities for domestic farm laborers. Loans and grants may be used for construction, repair or purchase of year-round or seasonal housing, acquiring and improving land for such housing, and developing related support facilities, such as recreation areas, central cooking and dining facilities, small infirmaries, laundry facilities, day care centers, and other essential equipment and facilities.

Loans are available to public and private nonprofit, private forprofit organizations and individuals who document the need for such facilities and inability to provide them from other resources.

In FY 1985, loans totaling about \$20 million were made. Grants totaled an additional \$12 million.



## RURAL DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND

Authorized through the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, the Rural Development Loan Fund is intended to help alleviate rural poverty by promoting economic and community development activities. Loans may be used only to finance establishment, expansion, or preservation of business facilities or the undertaking of community development projects in rural areas.

Priorities are given to projects which provide the greatest number of jobs, increase ownership opportunities for rural low income residents, are part of a coordinated community, regional or statewide effort, employ a strategy of leveraging other resources, demonstrate a positive cost-benefit ratio, and are managed by persons with experience in successful operation of profit or nonprofit enterprises.

Loans are made at interest rates established by the Secretary of the Treasury based upon average market yields on outstanding Treasury obligations of comparable maturity, except that, for the first five years, the interest rate may be four percentage points below that level, but not less than five percent.

In FY 1984, 12 loans, totaling about \$10 million were made.



## Introduction to Part Four

Part Four includes data related to state and local spending on programs for low income people. Some state and local spending receives matching funding under federal law. Spending of that kind is described in Parts One and Two. This Part contains information about spending not associated with federal matching funds, though, in the case of SSI supplements, the spending may be required by federal law.

In July 1986, the Low Income Opportunity Working Group invited the Governors of the states and territories to provide information about state and local spending for low income programs. Such information helps complete the picture of low income aid developed for Up From Dependency. The Working Group was aware that decentralization of relevant records among local agencies, and especially variation in key definitions used from state to state and locality to locality, would make it impossible to develop data of precision and completeness comparable to that available at the federal level. A special survey was conducted a rause available published sources of state and local spending in not focus upon: a) low income programs; b) spending not associated with federal matching funds.

Information was requested on spending amounts for a recent fiscal year in several areas, including assistance in cash, and non-cash assistance for food, housing, health, employment, and training. In order not to limit responses by imposing impractical reporting standards, states were instructed to use their own classifications, definitions, and reporting periods.

In the relatively short period requested, 33 states, the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico responded. Approximately \$9.2 billion was identified in low income programs. About one-third was classified as cash aid, through General Assistance programs and state SSI supplements. Another third was described as health-related spending, and the final third was identified as social services, housing, and other programs. About two-thirds of all the spending reported was in California (\$3.1 billion) and Pennsylvania (\$2.9 billion). It must be remembered that some states with the largest low income populations and significant state spending for low income programs, such as Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, and New York, were among the 17 states not reporting. Based upon other sources, it appears that inclusion of these 17 states would have more than doubled the total of low income spending by states not required to receive matching federal funds.

The following table displays the data reported by 35 jurisdictions. The absence of a state from the table does not mean that the state makes no expenditures on low income programs, and a blank for a reported state should not be taken as proof that the particular state has no expenditures in that area.



## STATE AND LOCAL EXPENDITURES FOR LOW INCOME PERSONS (Thousands of Dollars)

| States                      | Cash Assistance<br>General SSI |                    | Human &                         | Health                         | <b>Employment</b>      |                       |                          |                          |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                             | Assistance                     | SS I<br>Supplement | Social<br>Services              | & Medical<br>Services          | & Training<br>Services | Housing<br>Assistance | 0ther                    | Total                    |
| Alabama                     | \$522                          | \$15,869           | \$18,413                        |                                |                        |                       |                          | \$34,804                 |
| Alaska<br>California        | \$883                          | \$13,698           | A004 WEE                        | 44 454 455                     |                        |                       |                          | \$14,581                 |
| Colorado                    | \$208,278<br>\$17,561          | \$1,417,093        | \$291,755                       | \$1,220,179                    |                        |                       |                          | \$3,137,305              |
| Delaware                    | \$2,393                        |                    | \$52,823<br>\$1,796<br>\$29,530 | \$8,500                        |                        | .=                    |                          | \$78,684                 |
| Dist. of Col.               | \$19,403                       |                    | \$1,780<br>\$20,530             | \$31,619                       | \$1,908                | \$5,000               | <b>\$7,263</b>           | <b>\$4</b> 9,979 '       |
| Florida                     | \$7,620                        | <b>\$</b> 9,641    | \$63,9 <sub>U</sub> C           | \$17,068                       | \$107                  | \$4,689               | \$417                    | \$71,204                 |
| Georgia                     | \$2,620                        | 40,041             | \$863                           | \$413,896                      | 407                    | 40.047                | 40 404                   | \$495,057                |
| Hawa I I                    | \$17,497                       | <b>\$5,672</b>     | \$000                           | \$37,805                       | <b>₹</b> 567           | \$2,047               | <b>\$2,</b> 153          | \$46,055                 |
| Idaho                       | \$151                          | 40,012             |                                 | \$3,420                        |                        | <b>\$5,201</b>        |                          | \$28,369<br>\$3,572      |
| illinois                    | \$258,881                      | \$45,118           | \$144,900                       | \$59,681                       |                        |                       |                          | \$3,5/2                  |
| Indiana                     | ·                              | V,                 | \$38,806                        | \$106,176                      |                        |                       | <b>\$6,150</b>           | \$508,580                |
| lowa                        | \$35                           | \$10,387           | \$120,094                       | \$24,514                       |                        |                       | <b>40, 100</b>           | \$151,132                |
| Kansas                      | \$13,446<br>\$7,500            | •                  | \$3,600                         | \$49,787                       |                        |                       | \$80                     | \$155,030<br>\$66,913    |
| Maine                       | \$7,500                        |                    | \$3,600<br>\$6,930              | V.0,                           | <b>\$</b> 671          |                       | 400                      | \$15,101                 |
| Maryland                    | \$59,409<br>\$57,906           |                    | <b>\$</b> 33,422                | \$2,900                        | <b>V</b>               | \$10,600              |                          | \$106,331                |
| Minnesota                   | <b>\$</b> 57,906               | \$16,564           | <b>\$</b> 56,684                | \$69,278                       |                        | V.0,000               | \$7,499                  | \$207,931                |
| Missour <br>Montana         | \$38,962                       | \$4,436            | \$1,458                         | \$33,427                       |                        |                       | <b>\$</b> 7, <b>7</b> 18 | \$83,999                 |
| Nebraska                    | \$4,843                        |                    | \$217                           | \$3,380<br>\$1,900<br>\$13,329 |                        |                       | V                        | \$8,440                  |
| Nevada                      | \$1,748<br>\$966               |                    | A70F                            | \$1,900                        |                        |                       |                          | <b>\$</b> 3.648          |
| New Hampshire               | \$1,300                        | \$7,352            | \$725                           | \$13,329                       |                        |                       |                          | \$15.021                 |
| North Carolina              | \$41,910                       | \$1,002            | \$4,694<br><b>\$</b> 22,827     | \$1,654                        | 4000                   | \$9,372<br>\$4,511    | \$9,638                  | <b>\$</b> 34.010         |
| Oktahoma                    | \$1,119                        | \$31,512           | \$70,472                        | \$34,527                       | \$398                  | \$4,511               | \$421                    | \$104,593                |
| Pennsylvania                | \$406,147                      | \$67,300           | \$409,388                       | \$137,865<br>\$875,591         | \$296                  | \$2,223               | \$42,814                 | \$286,301<br>\$2,874,351 |
| Puerto Rico                 | \$4,796                        | 407,000            | \$12,108                        | \$0/0,081                      | \$48,346               | \$158,900             | \$908,679                | \$2,874,351              |
| Rhode Island                | \$19,922                       | \$9,152            | \$2,276                         | \$2,882                        | \$247                  | \$228                 | \$926                    | \$17,829                 |
| Tennessee                   |                                | V-,                | \$2,276<br>\$15,792             | 42,002                         | <b>₽</b> & <b>7</b> /  | <b>\$</b> 220         | \$13,298                 | \$47,804                 |
| Texas                       |                                |                    | \$16,198                        | \$5,845                        | \$16                   |                       | \$1,217                  | \$15,792                 |
| litah                       | \$5,061                        | \$853              | \$71,410                        | 40,010                         | <b>\$10</b>            |                       | <b>41,21</b> 7           | \$23,274<br>\$77,324     |
| Vermont                     | \$3,121                        | \$7,053            | •                               |                                |                        |                       | \$6,746                  | \$16,920                 |
| Washington                  | \$47,657                       | \$18,018           | \$64,785                        | \$86,624                       | \$2,752                |                       | 40,740                   | \$219,836                |
| Wes". VIrginia<br>Wisconsin | 401 750                        | 470 045            | \$26,099                        | \$6,700                        | V=V                    |                       | \$2,398                  | \$35,197                 |
| Wyoming                     | \$61,759                       | \$78,215           | 44 544                          |                                |                        |                       | \$100                    | \$140,073                |
| нуониц                      | \$1,292                        | <b>\$225</b>       | <b>\$2,768</b>                  | <b>\$</b> 5,232                |                        |                       | • • • •                  | \$9,517                  |
| TOTALS                      | \$1,312,707                    | \$1,758,158        | \$1,584,518                     | \$3,253,580                    | \$55,308               | \$202,770             | \$1,017,516              | \$9,184,557              |

Data were provided in response to a request for totals of state and local spending not required to obtain federal matching funds. States reported according to their own definitions and classifications. Absence of a state or blanks under a category of spending do not necessarily mean there was no spending by the state for that purpose. States provided spending data according to their own fiscal accounting periods, generally overlapping, but not identical to, federal FY 1985.

QU.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE11987-170-754/70379 ... VOL. ###

