DOCUMENT RESUMF

ED 313 787

EA 021 462

AUTHOR

DeMoulin, Donald F.; Guyton, John W.

TITLE

Attributes for Measuring Equity and Excellence in

District Operation.

PUB DATE

1? Nov 89

NOTE

31p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Southern Regional Council on Educational

Administration (Columbia, SC, November 11-14,

1989).

PUB TYPE

Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -Research/Technical (143) -- Tests/Evaluation

Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Educational Administration; *Educational Assessment; Educational Development; Educational Improvement; *Educational Quality; Elementary Secondary Education; *Equal Education; *Evaluation Methods; *Excellence in

Education; School Districts

IDENTIFIERS

*Mississippi

ABSTRACT

In the quest for excellence, school districts have a variety of indicators or attributes available by which to gauge their progress. This model, used By the Equity and Excellence Research school districts in Mississippi, monitors achievement in relation to educational excellence. Team member. established a list of attributes and various means of measurement. A district then decided on a set of attributes from the list to establish goals for achieving excellence. Data were gathered on a yearly basis and compared to the measures of the selected attributes for excellence by the use of a time-series design. Consistency of performance provided an overview for attaining excellence to aid in long-range planning and measurement. Appended are: (1) a list of the Equity and Excellence Research Team members; (2) a list of attributes of equity and excellence; (3) a checklist of attributes; and (4) attribute information sheets. (SI)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

ATTRIBUTES FOR MEASURING EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE IN DISTRICT OPERATION

By
Donald F. DeMoulin
Arkansas State University
and
John W. Guyton
Murray State University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction Quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Donald F.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

A paper presented at the annual meeting of the Southern Regional Council on Educational Administration Columbia, South Carolina

November, 13, 1989

1 180 to ERIC

Related Literature

The United States' former Secretary of Education, William

Bennett, concluded his resignation from Reagan's cabinet by indicating that the United States' educational system suffers severe problems and that this system is far from being close to upgrading the quality of education it should be providing to the students. In 1981 U.S.

Secretary of Education T. H. Bell demonstrated a deep concern about "the widespread public perception that something is seriously remiss in our education system". In response to his concern, Secretary Bell established the National Commission on Excellence in Education on August 26 of the same year. The commission confirmed the public perception as clearly indicated by the title of their report:

Recent reports by researchers in the education field make it even more clear that the United States' educational system is in a critical state and that something must be done to provide remedial measures for this situation. In the opinion of many authorities, the system is far from being excellent. In such a situation the first step to correct the problem is to bring the educational standards up to an acceptable level, then target standards to achieve and/or maintain excellence.

The topic of education became a major issue in political campaigns at state and national levels. During their gubernatorial campaigns, Governors Ray Mabus and Wallace Wilkinson placed great emphasis on reforming the educational system of Mississippi and Kentucky. With their limited resources, Mississippi, Kentucky, and other states, began to focus on the education issue. Various state



3

consortia were established with the common goal of enhancing the quality of education in these states.

The Reform Act redefined the mission of Mississippi's education system and established specific requirements for school accreditation in hopes that these changes would be a solution to some of the problems. A shortcoming of the Reform Act was the lack of standard models or prototypes of performance designed on the basis of expected student learning outcomes. This shortcoming placed schools and their staff in a state of frustration and confusion. Their attempts to conduct daily administrative and instructional practices were also frustrated by trying to meet undefined requirements for accreditation.

In a landmark decision the Supreme Courts declared Kentucky's entire educational system unconstitutional. This has brought forth the immediate need for restructuring the system. Much confusion exists concerning the needs and directions of Kentucky's reform.

Educators in Kentucky have long lamented the needs of the schools and streamlined their cwn operations. A legislative appointed auditor reported school administrators had done an admirable job of using their relatively scarce resources. The audit identified problems in the property tax system as the major financial problem facing the state. Reform of the states school systems currently resides in the hands of amateurs instead of the educators who have been immersed in the system and know the intimate natures of the problems. Severely lacking in Kentucky is a guide or prototype by which to model schools.

It is necessary to develop a process of establishing an



appropriate performance prototype that can be modified or corrected until a desired outcome is achieved. One of the main objectives of this performance prototype is to guide school district's efforts to achieve both equity and excellence.

The Turning Point

Today educational research is building an empirically solid foundation which allows more systematic and sophisticated design, monitoring, and evaluation of educational/programs and systems.

Numerous statistical tools and techniques are available to assist in the evaluation of many aspects of the overall program. In the quest for excellence, school districts have a variety of indicators or attributes available by which to gauge their progress.

<u>Significance</u>

The long-range significance is to provide a series of options related to excellence in school districts. Thus, districts that have met a state's equity standards (accreditation) can establish their local goals for excellence. The results produced a model that allowed the Equity and Excellence Research school districts (Appendix A) to monitor their a hievement in relation to educational excellence. The intent was to establish a process every district in a state could follow.

Methods and Procedures

The population of this study included all measures for students in the schools that were related to the attributes selected by the district from the approved list. The list of attributes established an instrument from which districts selected specific attributes.



5

Attributes selected were those toward which the district strived to achieve and/or maintain as a mark of excellence.

Team members, through group consensus, established a list of attributes and various means of measurement (Appendix B). A district then decided on a set of attributes from the list to establish goals for achieving excellence.

It was stressed that districts should not compete against each other, but rather select attributes as a goal for measuring their own excellence. Tracking of the selected attributes on a yearly basis would signify the level of excellence attained, i.e., the number of attributes met versus the number of attributes selected. This system allowed each individual district the opportunity to select attributes that would be appropriate measurements of its excellence. It also allowed the districts latitude by disregarding attributes that may not readily pertain to their goal for excellence. Appendix c includes the checklist of Attributes from which the district selected.

<u>Data Analysis</u>

Data was gathered on a yearly basis extending from 1983, when possible, and compared to the measures of the selected attributes for excellence by the use of a time-series design. Individual districts, having designed a self-portrait for excellence, now had a base-line framework for interpretation. Thus the attainment of selected attributes was measured and compared. Consistency of performance provided an overview for attaining excellence to aid in long-range planning and measurement (Appendix D).



Conclusion

The procedures of this research project may be compared to an ipsative approach to measurement. Strengths and weaknesses of individual districts produced a self portrait from which individuals looked at themselves on an ipsative framework. Although this approach may be taken out of its original context of individual assessment, it followed basic concepts as an assessment of an individual, organizational structure. Appendix E reports each district's measure of excellence.

But, more important were the interactions and conclusions by team members in this project. Implementation of these attributes by individual districts had not followed a lackadaisical or haphazard approach, but served as goals for each district in striving for, or maintaining excellence.

School districts in the future, hopefully, will be able to display their performance level and shape their long-range goals to practical use. Districts must develop and establish viable goals and direction for striving to achieve excellence and recognition.

Recommendations

This study represents a baseline data gathering effort. Each district should opt for a leadership role model status for other districts in a state.

The following recommendations are made for future analyses:

 Each year, a different attribute should be selected in addition to the previous attributes. This will provide a



1

- better overall picture of a district's performance in a wide range of areas.
- 2. Data from this study should be logged into a computer whereby each additional measure will be automatically reported. A yearly report should be included to each district for analysis.
- 3. Superintendents from each district should designate a person(s) who will be responsible for collecting the data on a yearly basis. A meeting of the Equity and Excellence superintendents and their designee will be held at the beginning and end of each year. During the year, regularly scheduled meetings should be held. This process will ensure constant contact on a regular basis and provide an environment whereby district response will be prompt and accurate while reporting district progress.
- 4. The results of the time-series analyses should be forwarded to the state accreditation committees to supplement excellence standards throughout a state. Districts, having met state mandated equity standards, should then begin the process of establishing criteria to measure excellence in operation.



 \mathcal{S}

References

- National Commission on Excellence in Education, <u>A Nation at Risk</u>: The Imperative for Educational Reform (Washington, D.C., U.S. Government Printing Office), 1983.
- PREPS (1988). Position Paper, Organizational Model, and Operational Plan for PREPS As Its Mission Relates to the Education Reform Act of 1982. Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS.



APPENDIX A



EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

RESEARCH TEAM

Mr. Albert Broadway, Superintendent DeSoto Schools 655 Holly Springs Street Hernando, MS 38622 Phone 368-5272

Dr. John Frisk Hattiesburg Municipal Separate School District 846 Main Street Hattiesburg, MS 39401 Phone 582-5063

Dr. Jim Moore Jackson Municipal Separate School District P.O. Box 2338 Jackson, MS 39225-2338 Phone 960-8769

Dr. C. H. Cronin, Superintendent Moss Point Municipal Separate School District 4924 Church Street Moss Point, MS 39563-0727 Phone 475-1533

Dr. Larry Box Starkville Separate School District Highway 25 South Starkville, MS 39759 Phone 324-4083

Dr. Richard Thompson, Superintendent Tupelo Municipal Separate School District P.O. Box 557 Tupelo, MS 38802-0557 Phone 841-8850

Dr. Leslie Johnson, Superintendent Yazoo City Separate School District P.O. Box 127 Yazoo City, MS 39194 Phone 746-2125



APPENDIX B



ATTRIBUTES OF EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

1. ACT Scores

- increase the percent of students in the upper three quartiles
- increase the percent of students in the IHL core track
- increase the mean score for the students in the non-core track

2. Standardized Test Scores

- increase the percent of students in the upper three quartiles on all areas of mandatory testing (Grades 3, 5, 8, and 11)

3. Honor Roll

- increase the percent of students who make the Honor Roll

4. Course Selection

- increase the percent of students in the IHL Core track
- expand alternative course selection for non-core track
- develop and/or increase advanced placement program

5. Graduation

- increase the percent of students who complete their programs (diploma or equivalent) and/or are placed by district

6. Scholarships

- increase the kind and type of scholarly recognition (National Merit Scholarship, etc.)
- increase local scholarly recognition under criteria established by individual districts

7. Post-Graduate Attendance

- increase the percent of students who:
 - -- attend a vocational/trade school (perception/awareness)
 - attend a junior college
 - -- attend a four-year college
 - attend a university

8. School Pride

- decrease the dollar amount spent on vandalism and/or general facility maintenance repair
- increase in awards, recognition, trophies, etc. (band, chorus, science, math, athletics, etc.)
- increase in student participation in clubs, extracurricular activities, etc.

9. Class Size

- determine and provide "optimum student/teacher ratio

10. Inservice/Workshop Experience

 appropriate individualized and/or group staff development experiences for teachers (recognition programs)



11. Instruction By Regular Teacher

- provide incentives for district teachers whereby full-time teachers remain in the classroom more and substitutes are in the classroom less (decrease the dollar amount spent on substitute teachers, and decrease the inappropriate use of sick days)
- 12. Honor Graduates
 -Determine and provide a specified Honors Program
- 13. District Holding Power, Dropout, and At Risk
 provide appropriate alternative programs, i.e., gifted and talented, at risk, GED, etc.
- 14. Quality Personnelincrease district standards for selecting quality personnel



APPENDIX C



CHECKLIST FOR ATTRIBUTES

District Name	
- From your approved list of attrib corresponding number (s) that your o excellence -	xites, place a check by the listrict has selected to measure
Attribute 1	
Attribute 2	
Attribute 3	
Attribute 4	
Attribute 5	
Attribute 6	
Attribute 7	
Attribute 8	
Attribute 9	
Attribute 10	
Attribute 11	Total Number of Attributes
Attribute 12	Selected
Attribute 13	
Attribute 14	

-- Please return the list in the return envelope with the next week --



APPENDIX D Attribute Information Sheets



PROGRAM FOR RESEARCH AND EVALUATION IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS ATTRIBUTE INFORMATION SHEET

Dis	strict N	ame			_				
Total nur	ncer of	student	s 9 - 12						
ATTRIBUT	<u>3 1</u>								
ACT	TEST SC	ORES							
	Total	number	of stu	dents	who to	ook AC	T tests:		
	1	983-84			_				
	1	984-85			_				
	`1	985-86			_				
	1	986-87			_				
	1	987-88			_				
	(1988-89			_				
	w the c	amposit	e nati					of students	in
<u>Year</u> 1983 – 84	<u>X</u> 18.5	<u>SD</u> 5.9	(13)	# a	t or be	elow	Total # IHL Core		
1984-85	18.6	6.0	(13)	_					
1985–86	18.8	5.9	(13)						
1986–87	18.7	5.8	(13)	_					
1987-88	18.8	5.9	(13)	_					
(1988-89)							



MTIRIBUTE 2

SAT SCORES

1983-84	# of students who took test	% Low (1−3)	<pre>% Average % High (4-6) (7-9)</pre>
Grade 3 Grade 5 Grade 8 Grade 11			
1984-85 Grade 3 Grade 5 Grade 8 Grade 11		 	·
1985-86 Grade 3 Grade 5 Grade 8 Grade 11			
1986-87 Grade 3 Grade 5 Grade 8 Grade 11			
1987-88 Grade 3 Grade 5 Grade 8 Grade 11			
1988-89 Grade 3 Grade 5 Grade 8 Grade 11		 	



19

HONOR ROLL

	Total nur	mber of sta	dents in q	grades 9—12	::	
	1983-84		_			
	1984-85 _		_			
	1985-86 _		_			
	1986-87		_			
	1987-88 _		_			
	1988-89		_			
	Total num Roll:	nber of stu	xdents in o	grades 9-12	who made	the Honor
	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
Qt 1 Percent						
Qt 2 Percent						
Qt 3 Percent						
Qt 4 Percent						



COURSE SELECTION

	Total Number of Students (9-12)	Percent of Students IHL Core Track	Number of Selections for Non-Core Track
1983-84			
1984-85			
1985-86			
1986-87			
1987-88			
1988-89			
Is t	here an advanced pl	lacement program? Yes	No
If y	es, when did it beg	gin?, 19	·
Has	the advanced placen Yes No	ment program been expande	đ?
If y			
	**		



GEADUATION

	Total Number o Freshmen		al Number of Graduates	Percent (%)	Total # Placed by District
1979	-80	1983-84	<u> </u>		
1980	-81	1984-85			
1981	-82	1985-86	. <u> </u>		
1982	-83	1986-87			
1983	-84	1987-88			



SCHOLARSHIPS

What types of scholarships are presently being recognized by the district?
Number of Students
Are there local scholarly recognitions? Yes N
If yes, what type?
FTA
Lions
Elks
Kiwanas
Rotary
J.C.'s
Other (Specify)
Are criteria established by the district? Voc. No.



POST-GRADUATE ATTENDANCE

	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
Total Number of Students	: 					
Total Number of Graduates						
Total Number wh Attended Vocationa Trade School	A					
Total Number Wh Attended Four-Year College	A					
Total Number Wh Attended Universit	A					



SCHOOL PR	RIDE		
Total dol	lar amount spent f	or repai	r due to vandalism:
1983-84	\$	<u>.00</u>	
1984-85	\$.00	
1985-86	\$	00	
1986-87	\$.00	
1987-88	\$	<u>.00</u>	
1988-89	\$	<u>.00</u>	
CHECK WHE	RE APPROPRIATE		
	nds, recognition, a lowing areas:	nd/or tr	ophies are given for the
-	BAND		SPELLING BEE
	_ MUSIC		FFA
	_ CHORUS		FHA
	_ SCIENCE CLUBS		LITERARY
	_ MATH CLUBS		DRAMA
	_ ATHIETICS	 	OTHER
Tota	d number of partic	ipants f	for each activity:
-	BAND		SPELLING BEE
	MUSIC		FFA
	_ CHORUS		- FHA
•	_ SCIENCE CLUBS		LITERARY
	_ MATH CLUBS		_ DRAMA



____OTHER

ATHLETICS

CLASS SIZE

<u>CLASS_S1.</u>	<u> </u>			
Tota	al number	of teacher	s in the d	listrict:
Year	K-5	6-8	9-12	Other
1983-84	•			
1984-85				
1985-86				
1986-87				
1987-88				
1988-89				
Tota	al number	of students	s in distr	ict:
Year	K-5	6-8	9-12	Other
1983-84				
1984-85				
198586				
1986-87	-			
1987-88				
1988-89				
Stu	dent/teach	er Ratio		
1983-84				
1984-85				
1985-86				
1986-87		-		
1987-88				
1988-89	<u></u> -			



INSERVICE/WORKSHOP EXPERIENCE
Are there recognition programs within the district?
Yes No
If yes, specify what type.
Are staff development programs catered to the <u>specific</u> needs of individual teachers?
Yes No
If yes, specify types of individualized programs.



INSTRUCTION BY REGULAR TEACHER

	Total Dollar Ams Spent on <u>Substit</u> Teaching:		Total Dollar Amos Spent on <u>Substitu</u> Teaching Resultin A Teacher's Abse Due to Sick Leave Personal Days:	ute ng From nce
1983-84	\$	<u>.00</u>	\$	<u>.00</u>
1984-85	\$	<u>.00</u>	\$	<u>.00</u>
1985-86	\$	<u>.00</u>	\$	<u>.00</u>
1986-87	\$	<u>.00</u>	\$	<u>.00</u>
1987-88	\$	<u>.00</u>	\$	<u>.00</u>
1988-89	Ś	. 00	¢	00

INVOK GRALOATES	
Is there a specified honors program?	
Yes No	
If yes, specify requirements.	
	
Is there an Honor Diploma?	
Yes No	
If yes, please complete the following information:	
Total Enrollment Total Number	
9-12 of Students Receiving the Honors Diploma	
3-84	
4-85	
======================================	
e6 - 87	
7-88	



DISTRICT HOLDING POWER, DROPOUT, AND AT RISK

Are there alternative programs for	r:
------------------------------------	----

	Yes	No
Gifted		
Talented		
At Risk		
GED		
Other (Specify)		
If yes for any of and student target	the above, sp	ecify the nature of the program(s
<u>-</u> -		
		·



QUALITY PERSONNEL

Is there a district policy for selecting "quality" administrative, teaching, and staff personnel?
Yes No
If yes, have those standards been increased or updated?
Yes No
If yes, specify the increased standards.
If no, give reason for not increasing or updating.
-

Please attach the Hiring Policy for Each Area.

