DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 312 507 CE 053 689

AUTHOR Clark, Bud; Davis, Steve.

TITLE Summary of Fiscal Year 1936 Participation in

Educational Programs.

INSTITUTION Oklahoma State Dept. of Corrections, Oklahoma

City.

PUB DATE Sep 86 NOTE 35p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical

Data (110)

EDRS TRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Correctional Education; *Correctional

Rehabilitation; Job Training; *Outcomes of Education;

Postsecondary Education; *Prisoners; *Program Effectiveness; *Program Improvement; Technical

Education; Vocational Education

IDENTIFIERS *Oklahoma

ABSTRACT

As an initial step toward developing an accountability plan for educational services, the Administrator of Classification and Programs of the Oklahoma Department of Corrections requested an evaluation of services for fiscal year 1986. Data were gathered for 10 educational services programs through logs prepared by teachers and principals. Results were reported in five parts: (1) information concerning the need for educational programs in the Department of Corrections based on the test data provided by psychological staff; (2) a summary of the delivery of educational services to inmates in terms of the number of inmates served; (3) a summary of movement through educational programs; (4) information about the individuals who participated; and (5) the results of the quarterly test data as a measure of program impact. The study concluded that although a great many educational services had been provided, the completion rate was very low. It recommended a series of action steps to improve educational services for incarcerated persons in Oklahoma. (Includes 11 data tables, description and eligibility criteria for offender programs, and a sample class register form.) (KC)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

* from the original document.



PLANNING AND RESEARCH



Summary of Fiscal Year 1986
Participation in Educational Programs

Bud Clark Planning and Research

Dr. Steven Davis Planning and Research

September 1986

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

THE STATE AND RESULTED NETTHINGS OF THE STATE AND RESULTED NETTHINGS OF THE STATE AND THE STATE AN

Micro far unstave tipe imagest incover of distributions of a section of the secti

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Z

BEST COPY AVAILABL



Introduction

As an initial step toward developing an accountability plan for Educational Services, the Administrator of Classification and Programs requested that Planning and Research conduct an evaluation of services based on the data being submitted by teachers and principles each month. The request was made to provide the Administrator with measures of current activity and performance and an assessment of the appropriateness of data being collected so that programmatic issues could be identified, performance standards could be established and future program directions could be determined.

Throughout fiscal year 1986, teachers and principles submitted monthly program participation logs to Planning and Research. The information from the logs was summarized each month and presented in the monthly report submitted to the Oklahoma Board of Corrections. The present report contains a summary of the monthly data for the fiscal year as requested. The discussions of the summary process and results are followed by a review of the actions being taken by Educational Services in response to the findings reported. The teachers and principles are commended for their cooperation and their efforts to provide administrators valuable information about program performance within Educational Services.

Data Collection

Descriptions and criteria for the ten Educational Services programs discussed in the present report are provided in Appendix A. The ten programs are: (a) Basic Integration Program; (b) Learning Disability Program; (c) Adult Basic Education; (d) GED; (e) High School Diploma; (f) College/Talk-Back TV; (g) Post Secondary Education; (h) Chapter I; (i) Vocational Technical Programs; and (j) Daily Living Skills. The vocational programs were limited to those at Oklahoma State Reformatory, Mabel Bassett, and Jess Dunn for the full fiscal year, with Conner and James Crabtree reporting for part the year. Two of the larger vo-tech programs, Suachita and Lexington, did not maintain the monthly logs during the fiscal year.

A copy of the monthly log that served as the data collection instrument is provided in Appendix B (the actual log was on legal size paper). The log was designed by the Superintendent of Schools for the Department of Corrections, with assistance from Planning and Research staff. The intent was to record events which might influence reflect the delivery of services to inmates. Codes were provided following events: (a) reception date and type; (b) termination date and type; (c) excused absences, defined as absences not in the control of the inmate; (d) unexcused absences, that absences that could have been avoided by the inmate; and (e) that instructors took when unexcused absences occurred. Instruct rs recorded the various codes for the day each event occurred.

Entry of the monthly logs from each instructor was provided by the Data Entry Operator assigned to Planning and Research. All data was entered on an IBM PC XT through dBASE III software. An average of 1,800 records per month were entered. Summarization of the data was also performed on the IBM PC XT. The summary reports were presented in the monthly Board of Corrections reports.



Instructors also provided the results of the pre- and post-testing for students completing academic programs. This information was provided quarterly. A major limitation on the quarterly testing results is that instructors did not utilize the same tests, that is, instructors gave the tests that they preferred and not a standardized scale.

Therefore, the results presented in the next section on the quarterly tests should be reviewed with caution; they are not necessarily comparable.

Results

Presentation of the results is divided into five parts. First, information is presented concerning the need for educational programs in the Department of Corrections based on the test data provided by Lexington's psychological staff. The second part provides a summary of the delivery of educational services to inmates in terms of the number of inmates served. Third, movement through educational programs is summarized; the fourth section provides information about the individuals that participated. The fifth part presents the results of the quarterly test data as a measure of program impact.

The Need

Information from the Fiscal Year 1986 Inmate Accounting report showed 4,179 inmates received into the inmate system. Revised Beta scores were provided by Lexington psychological staff for 3,937 inmates (Table I). Receptions that could not read or could not speak English were not entered into the data files, so the data in Table I represents only those inmates capable of completing the test.

For the Revised Beta, a score of 100 is considered average and scores between 85 and 115 are considered to be within the normal range. Of the 3,937 inmates tested, 27.3 percent, or 1,073 inmates, fell at or below 85. For the female receptions, 111 (40.7 percent) were at obelow 85, as were 26 percent (858) of the males. The majority of the inmates fell within one standard deviation of the norm of 100 for the Revised Beta, and 2,968 inmates (72.7 percent) scored higher than 85. The mean value for females was almost ten points below the norm. This result may be an artifact of 366 scores (9 percent) having the sex code excluded. However, the average of all receptions tested was 6.4 points below the norm and 69 percent scored below 100.

Reading level results from the California Achievement Test are presented in Table II. A total of 3,167 inmates completed their reading test, of which 24.2 percent (767) were reading at or below a sixth grade level and 41.2 percent (1,524) were at or below the ninth grade level. Among those tested, 58.8 percent (1,643) were performing higher than the ninth grade. Again, the number of inmates whose reading skills were too poor for them to take the test was not recorded during the year.

Results for the mathematics scale from the CAT (Table III) were somewhat lower than for the reading scale, as was the number taking the scale. Fifty percent of those tested scored lower than the eighth grade level and 25 percent scored below the sixth grade level. Only 22 percent were above a ninth grade level. The overall average was a math grade level of 7.9, compared to 9.4 on the reading scale.



The last grade completed reported by receptions at Lexington is summarized in Table IV. Of the 3,853 inmates for which the information was provided, 38.9 percent (1,500) reported completing the 12th grade or more. Only 24.2 percent (965) failed to complete more than the ninth grade. This is in contrast to the 41.2 percent found to be reading below the tenth grade level and the 77.4 percent below grade 10 level on the math test.

Program Delivery

The number of individual inmates participating in educational programs during fiscal year 1986 is presented in Table V. Also presented are the total number of inmates handled by the facility during the fiscal year. This was estimated from the year-end count reported on weekly inmate accounting sheet, the percent of the total inmates handled that participated in educational programs, and the total educational program slots allocated. Almost 31 percent of all inmates handled by the Department of Corrections during the fiscal participated in educational programs. This is an impressive percentage given that an unknown percentage of those handled by the facilities had already completed educational needs in other fiscal years. Percent participating in education of those handled by facility ranged from a low of 8.2 at McLeod Correctional Center to a high of 45.2 at Mabel Bassett Correctional Center. Of course the percent of those handled is a function of the number of slots available and the length of the programs offered.

Table VI provides the average daily population for each program by The average population figures are estimates starting and completion dates were not always reported on the monthly The first time an inmate appeared on the monthly log, a was opened and remained open until the inmate did not appear on a monthly report for one month. If the same inmate appeared on a after a month's absence, then a second case would be recorded. Ιn these instances, starting and completion dates were assigned to records so that average daily population could be estimated. following rules were used to assign dates: On cases that lacked a beginning day, the first day of the month they were first reported was For cases that lacked both the starting day and the termination day, the 15th day of the month was assigned as termination day. If the case had a starting day later than the then the last day of the month was assigned as the completion day. Table VI also provides the total cases, number without starting and the number without ending date for each program and each facility. Note that the table presents estimates for the full fiscal year. program actually began at a facility in the middle of the year, estimate of average daily population is still for the full year, i.e., the average daily population would be underestimated in that instance.

The most active programs were Adult Basic Education (ABE) and General Educational Development (GED), with an average daily population of over 200 inmstes for each program. Among the academic programs (GED, High School Diploma, College/Talk-Back TV, Post Secondary Education, and Chapter I) had a combined average daily population of 618 inmates.

è.



Overall, with 840 program slots available in education, the average daily population was 834.6. Obviously the program slots were kept filled during the fiscal year.

Movement Through Education Programs

Movement through the educational programs during fisca, year 1986 summarized in Table VII. This table is in the same format as the one in the monthly Board of Corrections report with one exception. the New Students and Terminations sections of the report include a column labeled Not Given. This column represents those cases where a reception type or termination type was not specified. The frequencies reported are inmate based, that is, each inmate is counted once. an inmate started a program three times during the year, only the reception type for the first entry was included. If an terminated more than once, then the termination type for the last exit was reported. For program totals and the grand total, each inmate is also counted once. That is, inmates that transferred facilities and participated in the same program at both facilities was counted once in the Program Total row.

Of the 3,910 total inmates that participated in educational programs (from the Grand Total row, the Starting Population + New Students). 3,227 were terminated. Transfers to another facility accounted for 670 terminations (20.8 percent), release from prison totaled 161 (5 percent), 308 inmates (9.5 percent) were dropped from programs, inmates (24.4 percent) completed at least one program, and 657 (20.4 percent) left programs due to job changes. An additional 644 inmates (16.5 percent), did not have a reason for termination specified. Among the individual programs, Daily Living Skills showed the highest completion rate (57.4 percent), followed by Vo-tech with The academic programs had percent rate of completion. The academic programs had lower completion rates: Adult Basic Education reported a completion rate of 4.2 percent, while 14.4 percent of the terminations from GED completed the program. Completion rate for the High School Diploma program was 3.8 percent and, for Chapter I, 13.9 percent of the terminations were program completions. Higher education programs included a 31.2 percent completion rate for College/Talk-Back TV and 10.1 percent for Post Secondary Education.

The majority of students received into programs were recorded as new students. Although the Grand Total showed 670 inmates terminated as transfers only 82 inmates were reported to have started as transfers-in. This may represent a data shortcoming rather than a failure to continue the program at a new facility. The receiving instructor may have recorded the inmate as new instead of a transfer.

Table VII also shows a total of 1,651 inmates with at least one excused absence from programs. Excused absences by reason are provided in Table VIII The 1,651 inmates that missed at least one class generated 16,090 absences (Grand Total row) during the fiscal year, or 9.75 excused absences per inmate with at least one absence. The two most frequent reasons for excused absences were School Closed (29.9 percent) and Teacher Absent (36.6 percent). Both reasons are outside



the students' control and tend to inflate the count, since each student in the class would be coded when the events occurred. School Closed was generally due to holidays; teacher absences were an outgrowth of meetings and illness. If the two were removed from the excused absence count, then the total excused absences would be reduced to 5,377. Medical Lay-in (13.3 percent) was the third most frequent reason for excused absences, followed by 7.6 percent for Out Count (e.g., hospital, court, etc.), Lock-Down (4.8 percent), and Disciplinary Unit (4.5 percent). Facility Classification Committee and Religious reasons accounted for smaller percentages (1.0 and 0.2 percent, respectively).

In addition to the excused absences, 925 inmates (Table IX) had 5,640 unexcused absences. The majority of the unexcused absences were from GED and ABE classes, which accounted for 3,358 (59.5 percent) of the total unexcused absences. However, since the two programs handled the largest number of inmates and both programs require longer to complete, it was not surprising that the programs would generate the most absences. Over one-half of the unexcused absences from GED and ABE occurred from the programs at Oklahoma State Reformatory (911 or 27.1 percent) and James Crabtree (850 or 25.3 percent).

Of the total unexcused absences, 8.9 percent (503) were for being late and not for missing the entire class period. No Reason accounted for the majority of the unexcused absences (3,755 or 66.6 percent) and Other Programs explained an additional 15.4 percent (1,597). Not summarized in a table are the responses by instructors to unexcused absences. Data from the monthly logs indicated that over 75 percent of the unexcused absences resulted in some form of action by the instructor. Responses from the instructors ranged from no action necessary to misconduct write-ups.

The Participants

An attempt was made to match the Lexington Assessment and Reception Center's initial testing data with the inmates that participated in educational programs during fiscal year 1986. Information on I.Q.s, reading scores, and math scores among those participating educational programs is presented in Table X. The scores appear to be as expected for the academic programs. Average I.Q., reading level, and math level scores for the Basic Integration Program and the Learning Disability Education were less than the Adult Basic Education program, which in turn, were lower than scores for GED and High School Diploma. Higher scores were found for the participants in Secondary Education and College/Talk-Back TV. Overall, participants educational programs that had test scores from Lexington Assessment and Reception Center had an average I.Q. of 90.3, an average reading level of 8.8, and an average math level of 7.4. These average scores are somewhat lower than the averages presented in Table I, Table II, and Table III for all inmates tested at Lexington.

The Impact

Several problems plague the quarterly pre- and post-test scores which were studied to assess program impact. First, the tests employed by



facilities reflect the individual preferences of instructors rather than a standardized test. Even within the same facility, the tests administered may have differed. Therefore, the data between facilities are not necessarily comparable. Second, the course completed to justify the test was not always clear on the data sheet. As a result, the information was matched by DOC number without controlling for the program and one inmate that completed both ABE and GED would be counted for both programs. Finally, because the data was stored by Planning and Research into quarterly files without reference to date tested, some of the data may represent inmates that completed a program prior to fiscal year 1986.

With all the above limitations, the data is summarized in Table XI for academic programs; Vo-tech and Daily Living Skills were excluded. Overall, the gain made on math level (0.9) was larger than the gain in reading level (0.4), yet because of their relative starting points, the average post-test math grade level (8.0) was still slightly lower than average post-test reading level. Individuals that participated in GED exceeded the overall post-test averages on both reading and math level, while the results for Adult Basic Education were lower than the averages for the overall total. Results for several of the programs (e.g., College Talk/Back TV), and particular facilities, involve such a small number of inmates that the data should be disregarded.

Discussion

The results for fiscal year 1986 indicate clearly that the staff of the educational programs delivered services to a large number of inmates and that, based on the Lexington test data, these services were provided to inmates with needs in academic areas. Collectively, 3,910 inmates participated during fiscal year 1986, which represented almost 31 percent of all inmates handled by the Department of Corrections. The 31 percent did not include two of the larger vo-tech programs, Ouachita and Lexington Correctional Centers.

Although program delivery was impressive, the completion rate for programs was not. Completion rates, defined as the percent of all terminations with a completion code as the last exit code, was highest for Daily Living Skills with 57.4 percent completions. Since this program is short-term and does not require demonstration of higher academic skills, one would expect a high completion rate. However, in the academic skills programs, the completion rate was considerably lower. Adult Basic Education showed a completion percent of 4.2, GED had 14.4 percent, Chapter I programs had 13.9, and High School Diploma only 3.8. Transfers to another facility, job changes, and release from prison accounted for 46 percent of all program terminations. An additional 20 percent did not have a termination type specified.

The lack of information on reception types and release types reduced the utility of this report. Of the 3,696 total receptions throughout educational programs, 1,212 (39.1 percent) did not have a reception type or date specified, and, as mentioned above, 20 percent of 3,227 terminations lacked a type and date. It was noted in the result section that 670 inmates terminated from a program due to a transfer



to another facility, but only 82 inmates were reported to have started a program as a transfer. With the large number of unspecified receptions, the possibility is strong that part of the 1,212 represents transfers in from another facility.

Although nistorical data is now availab' on the process of educational programs, there is not a consistent measure of program impact. The quarterly testing information presented results that were not comparable between facilities nor, in some cases, within facilities because of a lack of standardization among tests used.

Action Steps

As noted in the introduction, the current analysis was performed to provide an assessment of the status of Educational Services activities and reporting. It was, in turn, to be used as a basis for completing part of a Programs and Services Division objective: to establish a standard for the evaluation of educational services. In anticipation of utilizing the findings, task forces were established within Educational Services. The following tasks have been started, or are being planned, in response to the analysis:

- Development of a list of standard texts and standardized tests to be used throughout the system. It is expected that this action will eliminate the problems of comparability and impact assessment raised by the current study.
- 2. Development of a performance rating system. Given findings in this report as a benchmark, goals for student achievement can be defined.
- 3. Development of acceptable levels of absences. By addressing this issue with wardens, unexcused absences can be eliminated and excused absences can be reduced to a minimum acceptable level.
- 4. Revision of monthly report log. The log has already been revised to include additional data on programs which should enhance next year's summary.
- 5. Review of literacy issues. A literacy task force has already been created which, although not directly dealing with education evaluation questions, is likely to generate findings which will influence educational service delivery.

Conclusion

A summary of Educational Services data was requested by the Administrator of Classification and Programs and produced by Planning and Research staff. The results provided the basis for actions by Educational Services and a benchmark against which to measure the impact of those actions in the coming year.



SUMMARY OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES TESTING AT LARC: RB RESULTS 07/01/85 THROUGH 06/30/86

TABLE I

	FF	me			MALE	3		TOTAL	,
LEVEL	f	*	CUM %	£	*	CUM %	f	×	CUM X
60 - 75	43	15.8	15.8	271	8.2	8.2	349	8.9	8.9
76 - 85	68	24.9	40.7	587	17.8	26.0	724	18.4	27.3
86 - 95	54	19.8	60.4	889	27.0	53.0	1058	26.9	54.1
96 - 105	66	24.2	84.6	914	27.7	80.7	1063	27.0	81.1
106 - 115	28	10.3	94.9	480	14.6	95.3	551	14.0	95.1
116 - 125	12	4.4	99.3	138	4.2	99.5	172	4.4	99.5
> 125	2	0.7	100.0	17	0.5	100.0	20	0.5	100.0
TOTAL	273	100.0	100.0	3296	100.0	100.0	3937	100.0	100.0
AVERAGE		90.3			94.0			93.6	
MEDIAN		89.7			93.9			93.5	

NOTE: AVERAGES ARE NOT REPRESENTATIVE AS LOWEST SCORE REPORTED IS 60, WHICH PLACES

A LOWER LIMIT ON SCORES AND DISTORTS THE SKEWNESS OF THE DISTRIBUTION.

NOTE: TOTAL COLUMN INCLUDES MALES + FEMALES + CASES WITHOUT A SEX CODE

TABLE II

SUMMARY OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES TESTING AT LARC: RT RESULTS 07/01/85 THROUGH 06/30/86

	ere.	MALE			MALE	•		TOTAL	
LEVEL	f	**************************************	CUM %	£	*	am x	f	101AL	CUM %
1	0	0.0	0.0	12	0.5	0.5	13	0.4	0.4
2	6	2.6	2.6	30	1.2	1.6	41	1.3	1.7
3	12	5.2	7.8	95	3.7	5.3	125	3.9	5.7
4	14	6.0	13.8	152	5.9	11.3	191	6.0	11.7
5	18	7.8	21.6	165	6.4	17.7	206	6.5	18.2
6	20	8.6	30.2	145	5.7	23.4	191	6.0	24.2
7	18	7.8	37.9	188	7.3	30.7	232	7.3	31.5
8	26	11.2	49.1	8 5	11.1	41.8	348	11.0	42.5
9	20	8.6	57.8	135	5.3	47.1	177	5.6	48.1
10	15	6.5	64.2	284	11.1	58.2	338	10.7	58.8
11	17	7.3	71.6	234	9.1	67.3	283	8.9	67.7
12	66	28.4	100.0	837	32.7	100.0	1022	32.3	100.0
13	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0	. 0	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	232	100.0	100.0	2562	100.0	100.0	3167	100.0	100.0
AVERAGE		9.0			9.5			9.4	
MEDIAN		9.1			10.3			10.2	

NOTE: TOTAL COLUMN INCLUDES MALES + FEMALES + CASES WITHOUT A SEX CODE



TABLE III

SUMMARY OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES TESTING AT LARC: MT RESULTS 07/01/85 THROUGH 06/30/86

	सम	MALE			MALE			TOTAL	
LEVEL f		*	CUM %	f	*	CUM %	f	*	CUM %
1	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.2	0.2	4	0.1	0.1
2	5	2.3	2.3	42	1.8	2.0	57	2.0	2.2
3	17	7.9	10.2	93	4.0	6.0	128	4.5	6.7
4	23	10.7	20.9	173	7.5	13.6	218	7.7	14.4
5	24	11.2	32.1	251	10.9	24.5	302	10.7	25.1
6	26	12.1	44.2	256	11.1	35 .6	314	11.1	36.3
7	23	10.7	54.9	330	14.3	49.9	388	13.7	50.0
8	38	17.7	72.6	406	17.6	67.5	508	18,0	68.0
9	12	5.6	78.1	230	10.0	77.5	264	9.4	77.4
10	13	6.0	84.2	149	6.5	84.0	185	6.6	83.9
11	9	4.2		7 8	3.4	87.4	100	3.5	87.5
12	25	11.6	100.0	289	12.6	100.0	35 3	12.5	100.0
13	0	0.0	100.0	1	0.0	100.0	1	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	215	100.0	100.0	2302	100.0	100.0	2822	100.0	100.0
AVERAGE		7.5			7.9			7.9	
MEDIAN NOTE: TOTAL COLUMN INCLUD	es m	7.5 ALES +	FEMALES	+ CAS	8.0 TIW 23	HOUT A S	ex codi	8.0 E	

TABLE IV

SUMMARY OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES TESTING AT LARC: LGC RESULTS 07/01/85 THROUGH 06/30/86

	ਜ਼ਜ਼	MALE			MALE			TOTAL	•
LEVEL	f	%	CUM %	f	*	CUM %	f	*	CUM %
1	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0
1	Ö	0.0	0.0	4	0.1	0.2	4	0.1	0.1
2 3	0	0.0	0.0	8	0.2	0.4	9	0.2	0.4
	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.1	0.5	5	0.1	0.5
4 5	1	0.4	0.4	9	0.3	0.8	13	0.3	0.8
	4	1.4	1.8	27	0.8	1.7	34	0.9	1.7
6 7	9	3.2	5.0	77	2.4	4.1	97	2.5	4.2
			10.0	220	6.9	10.9	261	6.8	11.0
8	14	5.0	24.2	418	13.0	23.9	507	13.2	24.2
9	40	14.2		577	18.0	41.9	690	17.9	42.1
10	41	14.6	38.8	596	18.6	60.5	732	19.0	61.1
11	61	21.7	60.5		31.9	92.4	1231	31.9	93.0
12	97	34.5		1022	7.6	100.0	269	7.0	100.0
13	14	5.0	100.0	244	1.0	100.0	203		
TOTAL	281	100.0	100.0	3207	100.0	100.0	3853	100.0	1/10.0
AVERAGE		10.7			10.7			10.7	
MEDIAN		11.5		. 040	11.4	UVIF A C	מטט אמ	11.4	

TE: TOTAL COLUMN INCLUDES MALES + FEMALES + CASES WITHOUT A SEX CODE

TABLE V
SUMMARY OF PROGRAM DELIEVERY BY EDUCATIONAL SERVICES
FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986

FACILITY	TOTAL INMATES PARTICIPATING	TOTAL INMATES HANDLED BY FACILITIY	PRCENT HANDLED PARTICIPATING IN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS	PROGRAN SLOTS
OSP	169	1255	13.5	40
JBCC	105	945	11.1	31
JCCC	398	1296	30.7	103
OSR	453	1652	27.4	94
JHCC	382	1427	26.8	100
MCC	115	1394	8.2	60
MACC	119	1152	10.3	27
occ	368	1154	31.9	65
CCC	325	1614	20.1	107
MBCC	293	648	45.2	52
LCC	457	2223	20.0	35
JDCC	195	896	21.8	7 4
JLCC	298	976	30.5	52
тстс	451	1084	41.6	NA
TOTAL	3910	12704	30.8	840

NOTE: TOTAL INMATES HANDLED BY FACILITY IS FROM THE JUNE 1986 MONTHLY BOARD OF CORRECTIONS REPORT, FISCAL YEAR INMATE ACCOUNTING SHEET.

NOTE: PROGRAM SLOTS TAKEN FROM THE MAY 1986 REPORT EDUCATION PROGRAMS FY86 BY ELLEN FOX. THE REPORT WAS FOR INSTITUTIONS ONLY AND DID NOT INCLUDE TULSA COMMUNITY TREATMENT CENTER.

NOTE: TOTAL PARTICIPANTS IS FOR INDIVIDUAL INMATES AND NOT THE SUM OF THE NUMBER PARTICIPANTING COLUMN



TABLE_VI

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1926 BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

FACILITY	TOTAL CASES	NUMBER WITHOUT RECEPTION DATA	NUMBER WITHOUT RELEASE DATA	AVERAGE DAILY PDPULATIDN
BASIC INTEGRATION PROGRAM	•			
JCCC PROG. TOTAL	101 101	53 53	56 56	22.1 22.1
LEARNING DISABILITY EDUCATI	ON			
MCC MBCC JDCC PROG. TOTAL	27 5 1 33	5 4 Ø 9	11 3 1 15	8.1 1.2 0.0 9.3
ADULT BASIC EDUCATION				
OSP JBCC JCCC OSR JHCC MCC SCC OCC CCC MBCC LCC JDCC JCCC TCTC PROG. TOTAL	106 60 101 211 253 73 27 94 158 46 256 108 88 20 1601	45 35 48 84 163 21 13 38 85 24 54 43 15 10 678	64 34 45 107 102 20 11 46 81 28 76 44 32 8	10.5 5.6 11.7 24.4 42.3 9.7 3.3 7.4 23.8 10.7 21.9 21.1 13.9 1.9 208.2
GED				
OSP JBCC JCCC OSR JHCC MCL SCC OCC CCC MBCC LCC JDCC JLCC TCTC PROG. TOTAL	89 48 155 307 206 27 43 267 85 67 239 35 105 244 1917	47 34 72 138 143 5 15 72 47 25 44 16 33 78 770	53 25 55 137 78 3 20 103 38 34 58 15 40 105 764	11.2 6.8 18.9 38.4 30.2 3.0 6.7 23.5 11.6 14.8 15.5 4.4 12.6 21.9 220.3
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA				
OSR	277	197	167	40.7



YABLE VI
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986 BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

FACILITY	TOTAL Cases	NUMBER WITHOUT RECEPTION DATA	NUMBER WITHOUT RELEASE DATA	AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA				
JHCC OCC CCC JLCC PROG. TOTAL COLLEGE/TALK BACK TV	1 10 4 6 298	1 7 4 2 211	1 2 1 2 173	0.2 0.8 0.2 0.6 42.6
OSP JBCC OSR JHCC SCC OCC CCC MBCC JDCC PROG. TOTAL	26 12 38 71 33 22 1 45 18 256	25 11 30 71 28 15 0 25 11 216	16 5 33 69 18 7 1 10 13	2.4 3.7 9.9 21.4 12.3 2.9 0.0 13.6 3.5 69.8
POST SECONDARY EDUCATION				
JBCC JCCC OSR JHCC MCC CCC MBCC LCC JDCC JLCC PROG. TOTAL	4 108 40 41 13 18 3 26 91 345	0 55 26 21 0 3 11 0 5 19	0 42 31 16 0 9 3 4 19	0.4 12.3 2.6 5.8 0.0 0.7 0.5 4.1 15.2 42.0
CHAPTER I				
OSR JHCC CCU MBCC LCC JLCC PROG. TOTAL	24 39 35 34 237 2 371	9 12 12 18 39 1	11 15 17 12 65 2	2.7 6.2 5.4 6.0 14.5 0.0 34.9
VO-TECH				
JCCC OSR CCC	65 121 115	59 68 41	49 81 38	7.2 29.8 13.9



TABLE_VI

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986 BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

FACILITY	TOTAL Cases	NUMBER WITHOUT RECEPTION DATA	NUMBER WITHOUT RELEASE DATA	AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION
VO-TECH				
MBCC	218	54	40	36.1
JD CC	47	16	20	8.3
PROG. TOTAL	566	238	228	95.2
DAILY LIVING SKILLS				
JB CC	40	5	16	3.4
JCCC	69	35	32	5.1
JHCC	40	18	25	4.4
MCC	1	1	0	0.1
SCC	62	10	7	4.7
OCC	135	89	5	5.8
CCC	73	14	21	11.7
MBCC	54	23	21	8.0
LCC	21	21	4	1.8
JDCC	79	40	30	22.0
J LCC	112	0	17	15.5
TCTC	353	115	106	7.7
PROG. TOTAL	1039	371	284	90.2
GRAND				
TOTAL	6537	2777	25.45	834.6



INDLE VII

SUMMARY OF PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES PROGRAMS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986

					KELI Vicens					TERMI	ATIONS				MM.	ZM.
		*******	HCYANA	****	************		****							cuaruc	IM.	IM.
FACILI		STARTING PCP.	TOTAL	HEU	TRANS- Ferked	NOT GIVEN	TOTAL	TRAKS- Ferred		DROP- PED	COM- PLETED	SKAH8£	NOT GIVEN	ENDING Pop.	EXC. ABS	UNEXC. Ags.
BASIC	INTEGR	ATION PRO	GRAM													
JCCC		29	67	6	22	39	Ćυ	27	6	0	1	10	22	30	57	36
PROS.	TOTAL	29	67	6	22	33	6 6	27	6	0	1	10	22	30	57	36
LERRNI	HS OIS	RBILITY F	DUCATIO	H												
MCC		6	21	12	0	9	19	10	0	4	0	2	3	8	18	8
JDCC JDCC		0 0	4	0	0	1 0	3 1	0	0	1 G	0	1 0	1	1 0	3	۱ 1
	TOTAL	6	26	13	0	13	23	10	9	5	0	3	5	9	21	10
AOULT	BASIC !	EOUCAT ION														
OSP		25	71	51	2	18	87	14	1	14	0	10	48	9	49	16
JBCC		8	50	23	0	29	43	8	8	5	0	11	19	7	17	2
JCCC		17	75	35	5	35	78	19	\$	4	1	24	25	14	61	40
OSR		18 CC	161	68	4	89	144	11	2	33	0	17	51	35	154	93
JHCC MCC		59 13	154 55	50 36	2	102 16	152 1 8	18 17	3 2	44 4	8	46 17	33 4	61 21	47 49	49 30
SCC		11	15	13	o O	2	26	3	1	2	0	16	10	0	11	5
900		17	69	40	3	26	78	10	5	7	4	20	32	8	13	0
332		27	1 1	58	0	53	116	17	1	18	1	36	43	22	51	62
MBCC		17	29	21	0	8	32	12	2	2	1	1	14	14	38	15
LCC		23	228	176	0	52	226	73	27	26	18	32	50	25	106	40
1000		25	67	24	14	Z9	82	14	Ş •	14	10	10	29	10	67	64
JLCC Tete		§ 3	78 16	45 10	0 0	āá 6	68 19	9 11	1 8	9 1	0	35 0	14	19 0	36 10	12 0
	TOTAL	265	1075	588	31	456	1096	224	53	169	46	259	345	244	663	108
650																
GSP		23	62	35	2	25	77	11	0	4	13	ć	43	8	41	14
j8 CC		0	39	1!	0	28	32	10	2	0	9	2	ġ	7	18	1
JCCE		37	99	51	10	38	128	26	3	19	2	47	31	8	91	84
OSR JHCC		41 55	210 130	84 39	0 3	126 88	210 146	36 16	4	53 19	7 13	49 60	61 37	41 39	17 4 39	143
HCC		3	24	19	2	3	26	5	0	3	12	4	2	1	21	30 8
SCC		5	37	24	1	12	30	4	1	1	12	6	6	12	21	8
000		31	221	136	7	82	217	44	8	13	40	52	69	35	51	1
CCC		16	58	31	0	27	6 5	3	2	4	10	26	20	9	36	30
MBCC		21	40	37	1	2	57	12	7	1	10	3	24	4	59	7
LCC		26	206	169	3	34	214	59	20	8	53	40	34	18	86	46
3000		3 4	30 91	8 42	6 0	16 49	29 7 7	1 15	9	3 5	7 4	9 40	9 12	4 13	18 3i	22 e
JLEE TETC		30	71 207	157	U 0	50	237	109	1 3	5 0	23	ur S	102	18 0	51 68	9
	TOTAL	291	1344		27	536	1434	312	52	122	207	332	489	201	687	381
		DIPLOMA			-										-	
OSR		49	195	41	3	151	192	32	7	33	3	28	89	52	199	136



TABLE VII

SUMMARY OF PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES PROGRAMS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986

HELL

			*****		UOENTS			******			IAT1OHS				NUM.	HUM.
		STARTING	*****	:3994E	TRRMS-	HO:	*****	TRBK.	KE-	DROP-	con-	80L	HOT	ENDING	IMM. EXC.	INM. UNEXC.
FACILI	ITY	POP.	TOTAL	NEW	FERRED		TOTAL				PLETED			POP.	ABS.	ABS.
HIGH S	SCHOOL	OTPLOMA														
Jacc 33ht		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
000		3	5	0	0	5	8	1	0	1	4	1	1	6	1	0
333		0	4	1	0	4	4	0	V	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
JLCC PROS.	. TOTAL	0 - 52	6 211	4 45	0 3	2 163	5 209	37 37	0 7	1 37	1 8	2 32	1 92	1 54	3 205	0 138
COLLEG	SE/TALI	(BACK TU														
OSP		7	10	0	0	10	17	I	0	Ç	9	0	7	0	0	0
1800		0	9	1	0	8	9	1	8	8	6	Ō	2	Ō	Ō	0
OSR		12	9	1	0	8	12	ù	0	1	2	2	7	9	Ō	5
JHCC		3 2	35	0	0	35	42	1	Ū	0	0	0	41	25	1	0
5CC		15	15	7	0	8	23	8	2	0	2	3	8	7	16	0
330		10	7	4	0	3	14	O	1	1	8	3	1	3	2	0
CCC		Û	ì	1	0	0	1	0	Ç	Û	0	0	1	9	0	9
MBCC		0	44	9	0	35	22	0	9	1	15	6	0	22	2	0
JOCC 9805.	TOTAL	. 76	12 132	1 23	? 2	9 107	8 138	6	1	2 5	1 43	0 14	4 57	4 70	6 23	f) 5
		IRY EQUEAT														-
1800		6	4	4	0	0	4	1	0	0	3	ŋ	0	0	4	8
JCCC		23	72	36	2	34	90	22	6	4	9	33	25	5	61	38
OSR		0	34	11	Û	23	25	9	1	2	1	5	16	9	28	10
JHEC		6	33	12	0	21	25	2	i	4	4	10	4	14	2	4
HEC		0	1	1	0	Û	1	1	ម	6	0	6	û	0	1	9
CCC		Û	ì2	9	0	3	10	Û	9	1	0	3	5	2	2	5
MBCC		2	14	6	0	8	14	2	2	0	5	0	5	2	7	0
LEE		0	3	3	0	0	0	Û	0	0	0	0	Û	3	0	0
30CC		4	21	10	7	4	22	6	0	5	1	8	2	3	19	17
JLCC PROS	IOTAL	6 11	8 4 276	55 145	0 9	29 122	79 268	14 4?	2 12	5 21	13 27	36 95	9 66	11 1 9	48 172	12 86
CHAPTE		••	210	. 13	,	166	200	••	**		٠.	,,	50	.,	112	vu
C.HII C	N 1															
OSR		2	19	12	0	7	19	5	1	4	ย	1	8	2	20	13
JHEE		3	33	12	5	16	22	1	3	3	1	12	2	14	14	13
233		5	23	19	1	3	23	3	Û	2	2	10	٤	5	19	21
338M		C	30	11	Û	19	24	19	\$	3	1	Û	5	6	23	3
LCC		18	217	158	0	59	213	79	28	19	36	14	37	22	111	61
JLCC 321L	****	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
PR06	IUIHL	28	309	206	5	98	289	90	36	28	40	37	57	49	180	103
vo-teci	H															
333£		Ú	58	5	0	53	51	16	3	0	1	8	23	7	43	6
OSR		36	63	36	1	26	60	15	6	6	5	7	21	39	41	32
CCC		0	110	50	0	60	109	15	0	9	43	11	31	1	4	19
MBCC		43	162	144	0	18	174	34	9	12	103	5	11	31	142	53



TABLE VIX
SUMMARY OF PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES PROGRAMS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986

MEU

			ST	UGENTS				TERMINATIONS						KUM.	SUM.
		*****	****	******	******	*****	******	******	*****	****	*****	*****		IM.	IM.
	STARTING			TRANS-	HOT		TRANS-	RE-	DROP-	con-	108	HOT	EHDING	EXE.	UNEXC.
FACILITY	POP.	TOTAL	HEU	FERREO	6IUEN	TOTAL	FERRED	LERSED	PED	PLETED	CHANGE	BIVEH	POP.	ABS.	ABS.
UO-TECH															
JDCC	0	31	8	0	23	27	0	2	6	17	8	2	4	16	2
PROG. TOTAL	. 79	423	243	1	179	420	80	20	33	169	31	87	82	246	112
DAILY LIVING	SKILLS														
18CC	0	40	35	e	5	38	2	0	0	22	0	14	2	10	0
JCCC	15	48	26	1	21	63	15	6	3	1	14	24	0	48	19
JHCC	8	26	18	1	7	25	2	1	0	6	6	10	9	1	1
MCC	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SCC	9	53	52	0	1	5€	8	0	4	43	0	1	6	12	0
330	0	132	35	8	89	130	1	1	1	123	1	5	0	5	0
222	9	62	57	0	5	58	13	4	6	3	24	8	13	38	38
MBCC	13	38	29	C	9	46	9	4	2	13	4	14	5	44	10
LCC	0	21	0	0	21	21	4	1	θ	12	0	4	0	20	0
JDCC	24	54	18	16	20	51	5	3	2	34	3	14	17	57	37
JLCC	0	111	109	0	2	100	20	1	3	35	35	6	11	60	13
TCTC	32	320	228	0	92	352	0	0	0	246	0	106	0	25	G
PROS TOTAL	. 110	887	592	24	271	934	74	21	20	536	85	198	63	314	116
GRAND TOTAL	814	3096	1802	82	1212	3227	678	161	308	737	657	644	683	1651	925



1.

TABLE VIII
SUMPMAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1986 ENCUSED ABSENCES FROM EDUCATIONAL PROSPANS BY FACILITY

	TOTAL.		DICAL IY-IN	LOC	X-DOM	OUT	COUNT	AEL.	ICIOUS		H001. .0sed)		CHER Sent		FCC		IPLTIMAN Unit
FACILITY AS	SEDICES	f	*	f	*	f	*	f	1	f	t	f	*	f	*	f	*
BASIC DATES	MATION P	HOCAN	i														
J CCC	412	60	14.6	26	6.3	12	2.9	0	0.0	202	49 0	0	0.0	33	8.0	79	19.2
LEAGNEDIG DI	SMBILITY	EDUCA	TION														
HCC	131	15	11.5	0	0.0	12	9.2	0	0.0	24	18.3	79	60.3	0	0.0	1	0.8
MBCC	48	1	2.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	35.4	1	2.1	29	60.4
PAG. TOTAL	179	16	8.9	0	0.0	12	6.7	0	0.0	24	13.4	%	53.6	1	0.6	30	16.8
ADULT BASIC	EDUCATI	ON															
OSP	420	4	1.0	333	79.3	40	9.5	0	0.0	41	9.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.5
JBCC	67	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	58	86.6	9	13.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
JCCC	192	19	9.9	13	6.8	23	12.0	0	0.0	113	58.9	2	1.0	14	7.3	8	4.2
OSR	1213	15	1.2	18	1.5	4	0.3	0	0.0	316	26.1	848	69.9	1	0.1	11	0.9
JHCC	171	159	93.0	2	1.2	4	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	3.5
HCC	241	14	5.8	0	0.0	19	7.9	0	0.0	64	26.6	134	55.6	3	1.2	7	2.9
SCC	54	1	1.9	2	3.7	1	1.9	0	0.0	26	48.1	22	40.7	0	0.0	2	3.7
OCC	36	5	13.9	0	0.0	26	72.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	13.9
CCC	267	123	46.1	17	6.4	37	13.9	7	2.6	63	23.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	7.5
MECC	478	30	6.3	0	0.0	Σ,	4.6	4	8.0	108	22.6	312	65.3	1	0.2	1	0.2
LCC	397	151	38.0	19	4.8	35	8.8	0	0.0	146	36.8	0	0.0	21	5.3	25	6.3
JDCC	406	149	36.7	8	2.0	26	6.4	0	0.0	177	43.6	42	10.3	1	0.2	3	0.7
JCC	157	50	31.8	0	0.0	21	13.4	0	0.0	78	49.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	5.1
TOTO	j4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0 260	0.0	0	0.0	8	57.1	6	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
PRG. TOTAL GED	4113	120	17.5	412	10.0	258	6.3	11	0.3	1198	29.1	1375	33.4	41	1.0	98	2.4
OSP	365	23	6.3	249	68.2	2	0.5	0	0.0		24.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
JECC	70	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			12	17.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
JCCC	255	-	10.6	11		0	0.0	0	0.0		66.7	0	0.0		11.8	!7	6.7
05R	1422		3.7	32	2.3	3	0.2	0	0.0	409			64.6	0	0.0	6	0.4
JHCC	72		87.5	0	0.0	3	4.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	8.3
HCC SSS	98 75		13.3	0	0.0	7	7.1	0	0.0		23.5	46	46.9	1	1.0	8	8.2
SCC	75 255		13.3	11		100	5.3	0	0.0		46.7	2	2.7	0	0.0		17.3
000	255 140		3.5	0	0.0		76.5	0	0.0	18	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0		12.9
CCC	140	Z)	20.7	12	8.6	1	0.7	5	3.6	/4	52.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	13.6

TABLE VIII
SURPANY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1986 ENCUSED ARSENCES FROM EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY FACILITY

	TOTAL		EDICAL Ny-in	LOCI	(-DOWN	OUT	r count	RE L	IGIOUS		HDOL .OSED		CHER ISBNT	i	æ		IPLINNRY UNIT
FACILITY AR	SENCES	f	*	f	*	f	*	f	*	f	*	f	*	f	*	f	*
(23)			((CONTINU	ED)												
MICC	928	39	4.2	38	4.1	57	6.1	0	0.0	213	23.0	559	60.2	0	0.0	22	2.4
LCC	278	为	34.2	0	0.0	62	22.3	0	0.0	49	17.6	3	1.1	0	0.0	69	24.8
JBCC	78	24	30.8	0	0.0	2	2.6	0	0.0	40	51.3	12	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
LCC	159	22	13.8	0	0.0	12	7.5	0	0.0	123	77.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.3
TCTC	108	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.9	74	68. 5	33	30.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
PAG. TOTAL	4303	407	9.5	353	8.2	348	8.1	6	0.1	1377	32.0	1586	36.9	31	0.7	195	4.5
KIICH SCHOOL	DIPLOM																
OSR	1292	17	1.3	24	1.9	5	0.4	0	0.0	345	26.7	900	69.7	0	0.0	1	0.1
JHCC	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
000	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
∞	6	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
JLCC	13	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	84.6	Đ	0.0	2	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PRG. TOTAL	1314	21	1.6	24	1.8	17	1.3	4	0.3	347	26.4	900	68.5	0	0.0	1	0.1
COLLEGE/TALI	K BACK T	٧															
JICC	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
900	138	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	93	67.4	45	32.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
0CC	15	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	9.0
MBCC	25	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	100.0
JDCC	12	0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PRG. TOTAL	191	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	8.4	0	0.0	105	55.0	45	23.6	0	0.0	25	13.1
POST SECOND	ARY EDUC	ATION															
JECC	12	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
3000	208	26	12.5	15	7.2	30	14.4	0	0.0	118	56.7	5	2.4	12	5.8	2	1.0
OSR	137	4	2.9	0	0.0	1	0.7	0	0.0	10	7.3	122	89.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
JHCC	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HCC	1	0	ა.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
œ	2	1		0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MBCC	36		13.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.6	7	19.4	22	61.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
JDCC	180	87		4	2.2	23	12.8	0	0.0	49	27.2	16	8.9	1	0.6	0	0.0
JC	2%	59	19.9	0	0.0	84	28.4	3	1.0	126	42.6	8	2.7	3	1.0	13	4.4
PRG. TOTAL	875	186	21.3	19	2 .?	138	15.8	6	0.7	321	36 .7	174	19.9	16	1.8	15	1.7



TABLE VIII
SURPARY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1986 EXCUSED ABSENCES FROM EDUCATIONAL PROSPAYS BY FACILITY

	TOTAL		DICAL NY-IN	100	X-DOM	(NO	COUNT	0 01	ICIOUS		CHOOL Losed		CHER Sent		FCC		IPLIWARY UNIT
FACILITY M		f	\$ *	f	*	f	\$	f	‡	f		f	\$	f	*	f	ATT:
CHAPTER I																	
OSR	273	0	0.0	0	0.0	4		0	0.0	57	20.9	212	77.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
JHCC	35	30		0	0.0	2	5.7	1	2.9	0		0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.7
CCC	75	17		2	2.7		14.7	0	0.0	18		24	32. 0	0	0.0	3	4.0
MBCC	201	6	3.0	0	0.0	40	19.9	0	0.0	70		60	29.9	0	0.0		12.4
LCC	309	94	30.4	2	0.6		13.9	0	0.0	86		1	0.3	8	2.6		
PAG. TOTAL	893	147	16.5	4	0.4	100	11.2	1	0.1	231	25.9	297	33.3	8	0.9	105	11.8
VO-TECH																	
JCCC	115	3	2.6	2	1.7	0,	0.0	0	0.0	82	71.3	4	3.5	5	4.3	19	16.5
OSR	753	2	0.3	0	0.0	4	0.5	0	0.0	82	10.9	650	86.3	0	0.0	15	2.0
œ	11	1	9.1	0	0.0	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	72.7
MBCC	1273	137	10.8	85	6.7	167	13.1	4	0.3	250	19.6	542	42.6	0	0.0	88	6.9
JDCC	60	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PRG. TOTAL	22 12	143	6.5	87	3.9	173	7.8	4	0.2	474	21.4	12.96	54.1	5	0.2	130	5.9
DAILY LIVID	e skiiti	S															
JECC	32	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	81.3	6	18.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
JCCC	149	32	21.5	9	6.0	1	0.7	Ú	0.0	92	61.7	3	2.0	12	8.1	0	0.0
JHCC	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SCC	75	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	45.3	41	54.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
000	12	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8		0	0.0	0	0.0	4	33.3
CCC	377	59		9	2.4	156	41.4	0	0.0		17.2	0	0.0	3	8.0	85	22.5
MBCC	373	11	2.9	25	6.7	100		4	1.1	49	13.1	139	37.3	2	0.5	43	11.5
ΓCC	53	1	1.9	6	11.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	46		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
JDCC	186	55		0	0.0	31	16.7	0	0.0		33.9	23	12.4	0	0.0	14	7.5
JCC	314	85	27.1	0	0.0	70	22.3	0	0.0		41.1	15	4.8	12	3.8	3	1.0
TCIC	26	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PRG. TOTAL	1598	244	15.3	49	3.1	328	22.4	4	0.3	538	33.7	227	14.2	29	1.8	149	9.3
CRAND																	
TOTAL	16090	1944	12.1	974	6.1	1432	8.9	36	0.2	481?	29.9	5896	36.6	164	1.0	82 7	5.1



TABLE IX

SUMMARY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1986 UNEX. USED ABSENCES FROM EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY FACILITY

FACILITY	TOTAL UNEXCUSED ABSENCES	API	DICAL COINT- MENT %		THER XERAM %		SE IAGER %		VO BASON %	WOR ASSI ME f		I f	ATE %
BASIC INTEGRAT	ION PROGRAM												
JCCC	205	4	2.0	2	1.0	1	0.5	180	87.8	0	0.0	18	8.8
LEARNING DISAB	ILITY EDUCAT	ION											
MCC MBCC JDCC PRG. TOTAL	14 1 10 25	0 0 9 9	0.0 0.0 90.0 36.0	2 0 0 2	14.3 0.0 0.0 8.0	1 0 0 1	7.1 0.0 0.0 4.0	2 0 1 3	14.3 0.0 10.0 12.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	9 1 0 10	64.3 100.0 0.0 40.0
ADULT BASIC ED	UCATION												
OSP JBCC JCCC OSR JHCC MCC SCC CCC MBCC LCC JDCC JLCC PRG. TOTAL	58 4 277 345 96 69 32 280 16 92 400 24 1693	2 0 15 32 0 0 0 2 0 1 31 0 83	3.4 0.0 5.4 9.3 0.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1.1 7.7 0.0 4.9	9 0 14 11 24 1 18 25 8 48 86 0	15.5 0.0 5.1 3.2 25.0 1.4 56.3 8.9 50.0 52.2 21.5 0.0 14.4	0 0 0 3 59 5 5 5 2 13 10 0 102	0.0 0.0 0.9 61.5 7.2 15.6 1.8 12.5 14.1 2.5 0.0 6.0	40 4 177 266 9 17 9 245 4 28 170 5 974	69.0 100.0 63.9 77.1 9.4 24.6 28.1 87.5 25.0 30.4 42.5 20.8 57.5	7 0 0 2 3 1 0 0 0 0 70 0 83	12.1 0.0 0.6 3.1 1.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.5 0.0 4.9	0 0 71 31 1 45 0 3 2 2 33 19 207	0.0 0.0 25.6 9.0 1.0 65.2 0.0 1.1 12.5 2.2 8.2 79.2 12.2
OSP JBCC JCCC OSR JHCC MCC SCC OCC CCC	40 3 573 566 60 23 13 2 142	1 0 8 9 0 0 0 0 1 4	2.5 0.0 1.4 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7 36.4	10 0 27 36 31 0 7 0 10 2	25.9 0.0 4.7 6.4 51.7 0.0 53.8 0.0 7.0 18.2	0 0 0 7 22 3 1 0 3 2	0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 36.7 13.0 7.7 0.0 2.1 18.2	499 475 1 6 5	72.5 100.0 87.1 83.9 1.7 26.1 38.5 100.0 89.4 18.2	0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 39 38 5 14 0 0	0.0 0.0 6.8 6.7 8.3 60.9 0.0 0.0 9.1



TABLE IX

SUMMARY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1986 UNEXCUSED ABSENCES FROM EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY FACILITY

FACILITY	TOTAL UNEXCUSED ABSENCES	API	DICAL POINT- MENT %		HER CRAM %		ASE VAGER %		NO EASON %	WOR ASSI ME f		L f	ATE %
GED			(00)10	ירוו זו די									
LCC	104			INUED		^	0.0	50	40.1	•		10	10.5
JDCC	104	1	1.0	40	38.5	0	0.0	50		0	0.0	13	12.5
	113	2	1.8	51	45.1	4	3.5	35	31.0	12	10.6	9	8.0
JLCC	15	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	26.7	0	0.0	11	73.3
PRG. TOTAL	1665	26	1.6	214	12.9	42	2.5	1238	74.4	14	0.8	131	7.9
HIGH SCHOOL DIE	PLOMA												
OSR	533	21	3.9	69	12.9	3	0.6	396	74.3	4	0.8	40	7.5
JHCC	2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
CCC	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	ō	0.0	3	100.0	Ō	0.0	Ö	0.0
PRG. TOTAL	538	21	3.9	69	12.8	5	0.9	399	74.2	4	0.7	40	7.4
COLLEGE/TALK BA	ACK TV												
OSR	5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	2	4C.O
POST SECONDARY	EDUCATION												
JCCC	197	0	0.0	23	11.7	0	0.0	157	79.7	0	0.0	17	8.6
OSR	13	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
JHCC	4	Û	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
CCC	18	1	5.5	3	16.7	1	5.6	13	72.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
JDCC	108	17	15.7	45	41.7	2	1.9	27	25.0	0	0.0	17	15.7
JLCC	18	1	5.0	1	5.6	0	0.0	4	22.2	1	5.6	11	61.1
PRG. TOTAL	358	19	5.3	73	20.4	6	1.7	214	59.8	1	0.3	45	12.6
CHAPTER I													
OSR	50	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0	49	98.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
JHCC	33	Ő	0.0	17	51.5	15	45.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	i	3.0
CCC	95	1	1.1	6	6.3	2	2.1	8£	90.5	0	0.0	ō	0.0
MBCC	3	ō	0.0	2		1		0		Õ	0.0	Õ	0.0
LCC	107	Ö	0.0	37				35		0	0.0	9	8.4
PRG. TOTAL	288	1	0.3		21.5			170		0	0.0	10	3.5
VO-TECH													
JCCC	11	1	0 1	Λ	0.0	0	0.0	0	81.8	Δ	0.0	1	0 1
OSR		1	9.1	12		0					0.0	1	9.1
CCC	227 76	14 0	$6.2 \\ 0.0$	12	5.3	22 0			63.0	32	14.1	4	1.8
	10	U	0.0	1	1.3	U	0.0	75	98.7	0	0.0	0	0.0



TABLE IX

SUMMARY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1986 UNEXCUSED ABSENCES FROM EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY FACILITY

			ICAL							WOR			
	TOTAL		-TAIO		HER		ASE		Ю	ASSI			
	UNEXCUSED	M	ENT		XCIRAM		NAGER		ASON	ME	NT	L	ATE
FACILITY	ABSENCES	f	%	f	*	f	%	f	*	f	*	f	*
VO-TECH			(CONT	INUEL)}								
MBCC	115	6	5.2	31	27.0	36	31.3	16	13.9	4	3.5	22	19.1
JDCC	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0).0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PRG. TOTAL	431	22	5.1	45	10.4	58	13.5	243	4.د.	36	8.4	27	6.3
DAILY LIVING SE	CILLE												
JCCC	84	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0	83	98.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
JHCC	2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
CCC	207	3	1.4	6	2.9	4	1.9	194	93.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
MBCC	14	0	0.0	3	21.4	2	14.3	4	28.6	3	21.4	2	14.3
JDCC	109	2	1.8	56	51.4	4	3.7	45	41.3	0	0.0	2	1.8
JLCC	16	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	12.5	5	31.3	0	0.0	9	56.3
PRG. TOTAL	432	5	1.2	66	15.3	14	3.2	331	76.6	3	0.7	13	3.0
GRAND													
TOTAL	5640	190	3.4	777	13.8	274	4.9	3755	66.6	141	າ.5	503	8.9



TABLE X

I.O. SCORES, READING TEST SCORES, AND MATH TEST SCORES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986 PARTICIPANTS IN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

	NUMBER With		X2NT 8'			AVERAGE 10	NUMBER WITH READ.	REA	ERCENT NOTING L		average read.	NUMBER WITH MATH	K	RCENT NTH LEV	EL	average Nath
FACILITY	IQ SCORE	60- 75	76-85	86-95	>95	SCORE		1-6	7-9	10-12			1-6			SCORE
BASIC INT	EGRATION PR	DGRAM														
JCCC PROGRAM	43	86 .0	9.3	4.7	0.0	67.7	32	90.6	3.1	6.3	4.5	31	93.5	6.5	0.0	4.2
TOTAL	43	86 .0	9.3	4.7	0.0	67.7	32	90.6	3.1	6.3	4.5	31	93.5	6.5	0.0	4.2
LEARNING I	DISABILITY E	DUCATIO	XN													
NCC Program	12	66.7	8.3	25.0	0.0	74.0	6	100.G	0.0	0.0	3.9	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	4.2
COTAL	12	66.7	8.3	25.0	0.0	74.0	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	4.2
ADULT BASE	IC EDUCATION	1														
OSP	17	11.8	23.5	23.5	41.2	92.0	13-	46.2	30.8	23.1	7.4	13	53.8	46.2	0.0	6.2
J8CC	20	20.0	30.0	20.0	30.0	89.2	16	56.3	25.0	18.8	6.9	16	50.0	50.0	0.0	6.5
JCCC	56	50.0	23.2	19.6	7.1	75.9	49	75.5	10.2	14.3	5.6	48	83.3	10.4	6.3	5.3
OSR	60	21.7	21.7	26.7	30.0	87.7	51	47.1	19.6	33.3	7.8	49	59.2	34.7	6.1	6.5
JHCC	73	21.9	31.5	23.3	23.3	84.0	67	50.7	16.4	32.8	7.6	60	68.3	28.3	3.3	6.3
HCC	43	20.9	16.3	39.5	23.3	87.1	43	37.2	41.9	20.9	7.7	38		36.8	5.3	6.7
SCC	7	28.6	28.6	28.6	14.3	82.7	8	50.0	37.5	12.5	6.8	7		14.3	0.0	6.1
000	45	28.9	20.0	28.9	22.2	83.9	41		14.6		6.3	38		23.7	0.0	5.3
CCC	65		27.7			86.8	62	38.7			1.7	59		35.6	3.4	6.4
MBCC	23		26.1		4.3	17.7	25	92.0	8.0	0.0	5.4	22		13.6	0.0	5.3
LCC	174		23.6			88.1	155	51.0			6.9	143	58.7	37.8	3.5	6.3
JDCC	i2		16.7			83.7	14		42.9	7.1	7.1	13	61.5		0.0	
JLCC	51		31.4			86.5	45		37.8	6.7		39				6.1
TCTC	11		27.3			81.2	-		37.5		6.4	-	79.5	20.5	0 0	5.7
PROGRAM	11	30.4	27.3	7.1	27.5	01.2	8	02.3	31.3	0.0	5.7	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	4.8
TOTAL	657	27.5	24.0	2/ 5	21.0	05.5	503	.,	33.0			•••			_	
	657	23.7	24.8	26.5	24.8	85.5	597	55.6	27.8	18.6	6.9	551	66.4	30.5	3.1	6.1
GED																
OSP	14	21.4	14.3	28 6	35.7	88.5	10	30.0	40.0	30.0	8.0	10	50.0	30.0	20.0	7.3
JBCC	16	12.5	12.5	18.8	56.3	95.0	14	35.7	21.4	42.9	8.8	14		57.1		3.7
JCCC	72	9.7	18.1	29.2	43.1	92.1	75		28.0		8.4	72		51.4	8.3	7.4
OSR	74		17.6			89.5	66		22.7		8.4	61		37.7		7.0
JHCC	72	16.7	19.4	25.0	38.9	89.1	71		22.5		9.9	66		47.0		7.4
HCC	24		8.3			101.5	24		16.7		11.5	24		66.7		8.9
SCC	20		15.0			91.7	18		11.1		9.5	17		35.3		1.1
000	153		22.2			90.3	145		33.1		8.6	130		45.4	7.7	7.1
CCC	35		14.3			95.5	34		26.5		10.6	33		60.6		8.5
MBCC	29		27.6			88.5	32		37.5		9.0	28		46.4		7.6
LCC	163		11.0			97.9	162		38.3		9.7	25 157		63.7		8.1
JDCC	2		100 0			79.0	5		80.0		9.4	157		60.0		
JLCC	52		17.3			95.9	50		48.0		9.8					8.4
TCTC	174		21.3			87.2						45		44.4		7.9
PROGRAM							156		37.8		8.5	138		39.1		6.8
TOTAL	900	11.4	18.0	28.0	42.6	91.9	862	22.3	32.8	44.9	9.1	800	38.0	49.1	12.9	7.5
HIGH SCHOO	OL DIPLOMA									2 :						

66 10.6 22.7 30.3 36.4 91.0 62 33.9 17.7 48.4 10.0 39 44.1 32.2 23.7 8.5

I.O. SCORES, READING TEST SCORES, AND MATH TEST SCORES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986 PARTICIPANTS IN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY PROGRAM AND BY FACTLITY

	NUMBER WITH	****		****	111711	AVERAGE 10	KEAD.	RE		LEVEL	average read.			ERCENT ATH LE	ÆL	average Math
FACILITY	IQ SCORE	60-75	76 -85	86-95	>95	SCORE	SCORE	1-6	7-9	10-12	SCORE	SCORE	1-4	7-9	10-12	SCORE
HIGH SCHOOL	L DIPLOMA															
000	6	33.3	16.7	33.3	16.7	82.3	6	33.3	16.7	50.0	8.4	6	50.0	50.0	0.0	7.0
CCC	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	108.0	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	10.8	3		100.0		8.3
JLC	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	109.3	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	12.9	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	12.7
Prijgram Total	78	11.5	20.5	28. 2	39.7	91.7	74	31.1	17.6	51.4	10.0	70	41.4	35.7	22.9	8.5
COLLEGE/TA	LK BACK TV															
OSP	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100 0	110.0	2	0.0	۸ ۸	100.0	12.0	2	0.0	۸ ۸	100.0	12.5
.1BCC	3	0.0				113.0	4	0.0		100.0	12.9 12.7	3	0.0		100.0 100.0	
JHCC	15	0.0				102.5	13	7.7		92.3	11.9	13			61.5	
SCC	9	0.0				100.1	10	10.0		80.9	11.2	10			50.0	
000	4	0.0				101.2	4	0.0		100.0	12.4	4			25.0	
MBCC	4	0.0			25.0		7			57.1	9.7	7			42.9	
JDCC Program	2		50.0		50.0		2	50.0		50.0	7.5	2	50.0		50.0	
TOTAL	39	0.0	1.7	15.4	76.9	102.3	43	9.3	7.0	83.7	11.4	42	4.8	38.1	57.1	10.3
POST SECON	dary educat	ION														
JCCC	53	1.9	۵,4	20.8	67.9	98.2	54	0.0	11.1	88.9	13.3	53	9.4	41.5	49.1	9.8
OSR	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	99.4	4	0.0	50.0	50.0	10.5	4	25.0	50.0	25.0	7.7
JHCC	17	11.8	11.8	23.5	52.9	93.1	16	ó.3	31.3	62.5	10.3	16	31.3	50.0	18.8	8.1
CCC	6		16.7		83.3	101.7	5	0.0	40.0	60.0	10.0	5	0.0	80.0	20 1	9.0
MBCC	7		14.3			94.1	7	14.3	28.6	57.1	10.2	6	33.3	16.7	50.0	9.3
FCC	2		50.0		50.0	86.5	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	10.6	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	7.9
JDCC	5	20.0			60.0	93.0	4			50.0	9.3	4	25.0	50.0	25.0	8.6
JLCC	41	0.0	12.2	24.4	63.4	99.4	40	7.5	7.5	85.0	11.5	38	10.5	36.8	52.6	9.9
PROGRAM																
TOTAL	137	29	10.9	22.6	63.5	97.7	133	4.5	17.3	78.2	11.9	129	14.0	43.4	42.6	9.4
CHAPTER I																
OSR	13	23.1	7.7	38.5	30.8	91.3	12	75.0	16.7	8.3	6.2	11	72.7	18.2	9.1	6.1
JHCC	16	12.5	31.3	18.8	37.5	89.1	17	58.8	5.9	35.3	7.7	14	64.3	35.7	0.0	6.1
CCC	18	16.7	16.7	11.1	55.6	92.8	•	27.8	50.0	22.2	8.2	18	50.0	44.4	5.6	6.8
MBCC	24	20.8	20.8	41.7	16.7	85.7	24	37.5	50.0	12.5	7.5	21	47.6	52.4	0.0	7.0
FCC	185		16.8			94.2	166	29.5	35.5	34.9	8.4	158	36.7	55.7	7.6	7.2
JLCC Program	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.ა.5	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
TOTAL	258	9.7	17.4	27.5	45.3	92.9	239	35. i	34.7	30.1	٤.،	223	42.6	51.1	6.3	7.0
VO-TECH																
JCCC	22	72.7	13.6	4.5	9.1	72.0	20	75.0	10.0	15.0	5.7	19	68.4	26.3	5.3	5.0
OSR	25		٥.0			94.2	27	11.1			10.9	د⁄2		46.2		8.5
CCC	38		10.5			92.1	43	37.2			8.9	41		34.1		8.0
MBCC	114		26.3			88.2	117		31.0		9.3	107		47.7		8.0
PROGRAM																
RIC	199	21.1	19.6	28.6	30.7	87.9	207	30.9	24.6	44.8	9.1	193	36.8	42.5	20.7	7.8

25

I.Q. SCORES, READING TEST SCORES, AND MATH TEST SCORES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986
PARTICIPANTS IN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

TABLE X

							NUMBER	-	ERCENT	•		NUMBER	PE	RCENT	BY	
	NUMBER With			Y 10 LE		AVERAGE 10	WITH READ.		mine i	EVEL	AVERAGE READ.	HATH	******	1TH LE1		average Math
FACILITY	IQ SCORE	60-75	76-85	86-95	>95	SCORE	SCORE	1-6	7-9	10-12	SCORE	SCORE	1-6	7-9	10-12	SCORE
DAILY LIV	ING SKILLS															
J8 X	14	7.1	35.7	21.4	35.7	89.4	14	42.9	14.3	42.9	8 0	13	23.1	61.5	15.4	7.7
JCXC	35	17.1	28.6	14.3	40.0	88.1	33	30.3	21.2	48.5	9.1	32	46.9	28.1	25.0	7.7
JHX	9	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	92.0	10	10.0	10.0	80.0	11.4	10	50.0	30.0	20.0	7.1
SCC	16	12.5	18.8	18.8	50.0	91.5	17	35.3	29.4	35.3	8.1	15	40.0	60.0	0.0	6.9
00 0	80	6.3	15.0	30.0	48.7	94.0	84	15.5	34 5	50.0	9.4	78	41.0	50.0	9.0	8.0
222	30	3.3	16.7	30.0	50.0	95.9	26	11.5	38.5	50.0	9.7	22	27.3	50.0	22.7	8.2
MBCC	26	23.1	26.9	19.2	30 .8	85.3	29	17.2	55.2	27.6	9.3	27	37.0	44.4	18.5	7.8
LCC	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	90.0	102.3	11	9.1	18.2	72.7	10.1	11	9.1	81.8	9.1	8.3
JDCC	9	11.1	0.0	11.1	77.8	103.4	12	8.3	16.7	75.0	10.9	12	8.3	41.7	50.0	9.5
JLCC	63	7.9	20.6	27.0	44.4	93.7	60	20.0	25.0	55.0	9.6	51	33.3	39.2	27.5	8.1
TCTC	268	13.8	16.8	23.1	46.3	92.3	265	18.9	23.4	57.7	9.8	232	29.7	44.8	25.4	8.2
Program																
TOTAL	561	11.4	18.2	24.2	46.2	92.6	562	19.2	26.9	53.9	9.6	504	32.7	45.4	21.8	8.1
GRAND TO	TAL 2885	15.6	19.1	26.1	39.2	90.3	2756	30.4	28.1	41.5	8. 8	2550	42.6	42.5	14.9	7.4



2.

TABLE XI

PRE- AND FOST- READING AND NATH TEST RESULTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986
PARTICIPANTS IN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

			EADING RESUL			******	MATH RESULT	S *******
FACILITY	MUMBER WITH SRE- AND POST- TEST DATA	AVERAGE PRE-TEST SCORE	AVERAGE PUST-TEST SCORE	AVERAGE CHANGE	NUMBER WITH PRE- AND POST- TEST DATA	AVERAGE PRE-1EST SCORE	AVERAGE POST-TEST SCORE	AVECAGE CHANGE
BASIC INTEG	RATION PROGRAM							······2
JCCC	3	3.4	3.5	0.0	3	4.6	5.4	0.9
FROGRAH	_							
TOTAL	3	3.4	3.5	0.0	3	4.6	5.4	0.9
LEARNING DIS	SABILITY EDUCATION							
HCC	17	3.8	4.2	0.4	18	4.5	4.9	0.3
MECC	3	5.7	5.9	0.2	3	5.9	6.7	0.8
Program								
TOTAL	20	4.1	4.5	0.4	2 i	4.7	5.1	9.4
ADULT BASIC	EDUCATION							
OSP	13	6.4	7.4	0.9	13	6.3	7.6	1.3
JECC	17	7.2	7.7	0.5	17	7.1	7.8	9.7
JCCC	5	5.6	6.6	1.0	5	6.0	6.9	0.8
OSR	25	8.1	8.4	0.3	26	7.2	7.5	0.3
JHCC	24	6.6	7.1	0.5	24	6.6	7.2	0.6
MCC	35	6.7	7.6	0.9	36	6.8	7.8	1.0
SCC	9	7.1	7.2	0.1	9	7.2	8.4	1.2
OCC	12	7.1	8.0	0.9	12	7.2	8.0	0.7
CCC	49	6.6	7.0	0.3	47	6.7	7.3	0.5
MBCC	15	5.5	6.1	0.6	13	5.3	6.4	1.0
LCC	44	6.1	6. 6	0.5	45	5.9	6.9	1.0
JDCC	46	6.2	6.6	0.4	45	6.1	6.8	0.7
JLCC	26	6.4	7.2	0.8	27	6.0	7.1	1.1
PROGRAM								
TOTAL	290	6.7	7.2	0.5	288	6.5	7.3	0.8
GED								
OSP	17	8.4	9.8	1.5	17	8.6	9.0	0.4
JECC	20	9.5	10.1	0.6	20	9.6	9.8	0.1
JCCC	8	8.1	7.8	-0.3	8	7.5	7.9	0.3
OSR	28	8.5	8.8	0.3	29	7.4	7.7	0.3
JHCC	23	8.5	8.8	0.2	22	8.0	8.7	0.7
MCC	6	9.3	9.7	0.4	6	8.0	9.1	1.1
SCC	. 18	8.1	8.8	0.7	18	7.5	9.5	2.0
OCC	27	7.5	7.8	0.4	29	7.4	8.0	0.6
CCC	22	8.3	8.8	0.5	22	7.9	8.4	0.5
MBCC	17	9.7	10.3	0.6	19	8.0	9.0	1.0
LCC	33	9.1	9.8	0.7	40	7.0	8.7	1.6
JDCC	15	9.2	9.8	0.5	15	8.3	8.6	0.2



PRE- AND FOST- READING AND NATH TEST RESULTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986
PARTICIPANTS IN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

TABLE XI

			EADING RESUL			*******	MATH RESULT	
	MUMBER WITH PRE- AND POST-	AVERAGE PRE-TEST	AVERAGE Post-test	AVERAGE	MUMBER WITH PRE- AND FOST-		AVERAGE POST-TEST	AVERAGE
FACILITY	TEST DATA	SCORE	SCORE	CHANGE	TEST DATA	SCORE	SCORE	CHANGE
GED								
JLCC	37	10.1	9.6	-0.5	37	8.1	8.7	0.6
TCTC	6	7.1	7.0	-0.1	4	7.2	7.7	0.5
FROGRAH Total	251	8.8	9.2	0.4	256	7.7	8.6	0.9
NICH SCHOOL	DIPLONA							
			_					
OSR	21	9.5	9.8	0.2	2 2	8.1	8.2	0.2
JHCC	1	6.0	6.0	0.0	1	6.6	6.4	-0.2
900	2	5.9	5.8	0.0	2	7.7	7.4	-0.3
CCC	2	9.3	8.8	-0.5	2	8.5	8.2	-0.3
JLCC	2	12.1	9.6	-2.5	2	6.9	8.3	1.4
PROGRAM								
TOTAL	28	9.3	9.3	-0.1	29	7.9	. 8.1	0.2
COLLEGE/TAL	K SACK TY							
OSP	1	12.5	12.3	-0.2	1	12.1	12.4	0.3
JHCC	1	11.5	10.2	-1.3	1	9.4	9.3	-0.1
PROGRAM					-		,,,	•••
TOTAL	2	12.0	11.2	-0.8	2	10.7	10.9	0.1
FOST SECOND	ARY EDUCATION							
JBCC	1	9.1	9.8	0.7	1	9.7	9.9	0.2
JCCC	2	10.5	11.2	0.8	2	10.7	11.8	1.1
OSR	3	12.0	12.1	0.1	8	9.6	10.1	0.5
JHCC	4	10.1	10.0	0.0	4	9.0	8.8	-0.1
NCC	1	9.6	7.6	-2.0	1	8.4	7.9	-0.1
CCC	2	9.3	8.8	-0.5	2	8.5	8.2	-0.3
MBCC	2	10.0	10.2	0.3	2	8.2	9.6	1.4
LCC	2	10.6	10.2	-0.4	2	7.9		
JBCC	8	10.6	11.0	0.5	8		9.6	1.7
JLCC	38	11.1	10.8	-0.3		9.6	11.0	1.4
PROGRAM	30	11.1	10.8	-0.3	37	9.2	10.2	0.9
TOTAL	65	10.9	10.9	0.0	64	9.3	10.2	0.9
CHAPTER I								
OSR	4	7.6	8.2	0.7	4	6.8	7.1	0.3
JHCC	9	6.4	7.0	0.7	9	· 6.6	7.1	
CCC	7	7.1	7.3	0.8	6	7.0		0.6
MBCC	9	5.8	6.6	0.1	6 5	7.0 6.7	7.2	0.3
LCC	42	7.2	7.4				7.4	0.6
LUU	•	1.2	1.4	0.2	45	6.3	7.4	1.1



TABLE XI

PRE- AND FOST- READING AND MATH TEST RESULTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986 PARTICIPANTS IN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS BY PROGRAM AND BY FACILITY

			EADING RESUL				NATH RESULT	
			*********	*******		*******	********	*******
FACILITY	NUMBER WITH PRE- AND POST- TEST DATA	AVERAGE PRE-TEST SCORE	AVERAGE POST-TEST SCORE	AVERAGE CHANGE	NUMBER WITH PRE- AND FOST- TEST DATA	AVERAGE PRE-TEST SCORE	AVERAGE POST-TEST SCORE	AVERAGE CHANGE
CHAPTER I								
JLCC Program	1	5.7	6.2	0.5	1	5.6	6.0	0.4
TOTAL	65	7.0	7.3	0.3	64	6.4	7.3	0.9
CRAND TOTAL	486	7.8	8.2	0.4	484	7.1	8.0	0.9



Appendix A



Oklahoma Department of Corrections Offender Programs

Title	Description	Eligibility Criteria
Basic Integration Program (BIP)	Provides an intensive structured program of education, social skills and vocational training to inmates with borderline mental ability or below.	1) I.Q. pelow 80 on Revised Beta II PR MAIS-R (60-80 full scale).
	oorder the mercar ability or permi.	2) Minimum security status.
		3) Not actively psychotic.
		4) Reading level below 5th grade.
		5) Recommendation by Psychological Services staff.
Learning Disability Education	Provides an intensive program for inmates with I.Q.'s between 50 and 70, or Learning Disabilities.	Diagnosed as Learning Disability by qualified psychometrist or psychologist.
A&E	Adult Basic Education is a remedial program to bring reading, math, language arts, social studies, and science achievement levels up to eighth grade level.	CAT score on reading or math below 7.0
GED	Instruction in reading, math, language arts, social studies, spelling, and science for students functioning above the eighth grade level. Leading to a high school equivalency certificate.	CAT score on reading and math 7.0 and above.
High School Diploma Program	A fully accredited high school program which offers a minimum of 28 units per school year at one correctional institution, other units available at all institutions - based on teacher availability.	Does not have a High School Diploma or SED and inmate requests enrollment in High School Diploma Program.
College/Taik Back TV	Provides educational opportunities for college level programs for eligible inmates leads to a degree (AA, BA, MA).	Inmate must possess a high school diploma or GED and requests college programming.
Daily Living Skills	Teaches consumer education which includes:apartment/ home buying or renting, advertising gimmicks, insurance buying, use of credit, good shopping habits, budgeting, income tax preparation, health, education, government and law, and employment education.	(No criteria)

Source: CH-05 dated November 1, 1984.



Appendix B



FDUCATION DEPARTMENT CLASS REGISTER Month _____Year ____

Return to: Dr. Kathy Roberts Department of Corrections

Due: Fifth Day of Each Month

Facility Code:				.													Due: Fifth Day of Lach Month															
Teacher #:	ed:																															
NAME	DOC #	CLASS CODE			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
			 	-	 -				-		-																					
		<u> </u>	 		-	-	-		-	 	-	1	-	-	-		-		_		∤ - - 	-		 		-			-			
			 		-	-	-	-	_	-	<u> </u> _	+	-		-	_	-		-	 		-		-	\vdash			-	-		 	
			 		-	-	-	-	-	 	-	+-	-	-	-	-			-	├-	_	-	-		-		-		-			-
			ļ		ļ	-	<u> </u>	_	 	-	-	\perp	-	-	-	 	_		-			-	 	_	-	 	-	-	-			
			<u> </u>								_		_	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	_			ļ					-			-				
																									_	_	_	_				_
			1																		Ì											_
				+-	-	 	-	 	T																							
					-		-	-	-	+	╁-	1	-	 	<u> </u>				1	 												
Number on Waiting List By Program ABE MR TBTV													# of Case Management Referrals Actions Taken:																			
ABE MR TBTV GED LD Chapter I DLS PSE B.S. Credit																																



 3σ