DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 311 669	EC 221 085
AUTHOR	Lowitzer, Arthur C.
TITLE	Family Demographics, Family Functioning, and Parent Involvement.
INSTITUTION	Utah State Univ., Logan. Early Intervention Research Inst.
SPONS AGENCY	Special Education Programs (ED/OSERS), Washington, DC.
PUB DATE	Apr 89
CONTRACT	300-85-0173
NOTE	8p.; Paper presented at the Society for Research in Child Development (Kansas City, MO, April 27-30, 1989). For related document, see EC 221 084.
PUB TYPE	Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) Speeches/Conference Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	Coping; Delivery Systems; Demography; *Disabilities; *Early Childhood Education; *Early Intervention; Emotional Adjustment; *Family Attitudes; *Family Characteristics; Program Effectiveness;
	*Socioeconomic Influences; Stress Variables

#### ABSTRACT

The nation-wide study assessed the effectiveness of the various delivery systems providing early intervention services to handicapped young children. The Battelle Developmental Inventory was the primary measure of child development. A series of parent measures were used and demographic information was also collected. Data from project sites including approximately 580 parents were analyzed, examining the relationship between family demographics, family functioning, and teacher ratings of parental involvement in their children's education programs. Families from low socio-economic status groups were rated by teachers as less involved with their children's programs than parents from higher income groups. Furthermore, these low income families had fewer resources and sources of support available to them. Data were interpreted to suggest that expected levels of parental involvement should take into consideration the family's economic, social, and educational resources, and that service providers will need to somehow address these areas when significant needs are evident. (Author/DB)

****	*****	********	********	******	******	*****
*	Reproductions	supplied by	y EDRS are	the best that	can be made	*
*		from the	e original	document.		*
****	******	********	*******	**********	*****	*****



US DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced es received from the person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this docu ment do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Family Demographics, Family Functioning,

and Parent Involvement

ł

Arthur C. Lowitzer Meyer Children's Rehabilitation ïnstitute University of Nebraska Medical Center

Running Head: Family Type and Stress

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

 $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ arthur L'aurer

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

Poster Session presented at the Society for Research in Child Development, Kansas City, Missouri, April 27 - 30th, 1989.

Note: This research was supported by Contract #300-85-0173 from the U.S. Department of Education, Special Education Programs, to the Early Intervention Research Institute at Utah State University.

221085

ŧ

2

# Family Demographics, Family Functioning, and Parent Involvement

## ABSTRACT

This nation-wide study was conducted under the auspices of the Early Intervention Research Institute's (EIRI) contract to assess the effectiveness of various early intervention program delivery systems. Data were collected on both children and families, with the mother typically responding to the family data forms. The Battelle Developmental Inventory was the primary measure of child development, and a series of parent measures were used, including: the Parenting Stress Index (PSI), the Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scales (FACES), the Family Inventory of Life Events and Changes (FILEC), the Family Resource Scale (FRS), and the Family Support Scale (FSS). In addition, demographic and parent involvement information were collected utilizing measures designed by the EIRI staff.

For the purposes of this study, data across all current project sites including approximately 580 parents was analyzed, examining the relationship between family demographics, family functioning, and teacher ratings of parental involvement in their children's education programs. Families from low socio-economic status groups were rated by teachers as less involved with their child's programs than parents from higher income groups. Furthermore, these low income families had fewer sources and resources of support available to them. Data were interpreted to suggest that expected levels of parental involvement should take into consideration the family's economic, social, and educational resources, and that service providers will need to somehow address these areas when significant needs are evident.



3

# Family Demographics, Family Functioning, and Parent Involvement

Parental involvement in the child's education is nearly universally considered important, both for normal and handicapped children (Rosenberg & Robinson, 1988). It is likely that parental involvement is even more important when the child is handicapped, since handicapped children may be even less likely to overcome deprived surroundings. However, little is known about those factors which are related to parental involvement. For example, does maternal employment adversely affect parental involvement, since a working mother supposedly has less time for domestic duties than a full-time mother? Does family cohesion affect the level of parental involvement?

The purpose of this study was to determine which factors are related to parental involvement in their child's education. Both demographic variables and family functioning measures were considered.

## Method

Subjects were 716 children enrolled throughout the EIEI studies. Table 1 shows the characteristics of these subjects, who were randomly assigned to one of two treatment groups for purposes of the larger study.

The parents of subjects enrolled in home-based programs (N = 260) completed the Parent Survey (i.e., the demographic form), Parent Stress Index, (PSI), (Abidin, 1983); Family Support Scale, (FSS), (Dunst, Jenkins, and Trivette, 1984); Family Resource Scale, (FRS), (Dunst and Leet, 1985); and Family Adaptation and Cohesion Evaluation Scales III, (FACES), (Olson, Portner, and Lavee, 1985); were utilized in this analysis. These measures taken at pretest time were used to predict parental involvement, which was assessed at post test time.

Parental involvement was assessed through ratings of the parents by the child's teacher or intervenor. Teachers were asked to rate the parents as to whether they were low, moderate, or high in terms of involvement. Teachers rated parents on three aspects of involvement: parental support, parental knowledge, and parental attendance. Parental support included such activities as attending IEP meetings, nonrequired activities such as workshops, and keeping scheduled appointments. Parental knowledge included variables such as being knowledgeable about their child's handicapping condition, their child's right to an appropriate education, etc. Parental support measures such things as parental assistance in school projects, providing carryover of the child's goals into the home, completing required forms on time, etc. These three areas were summed to create an overall index of parental involvement.

## Results

A normal distribution of parental involvement scores was observed, indicating that teacher rating of parents was skewed neither n-gatively nor positively. Level of parental involvement was broken into nearly equal numbers of low, medium, and highly involved parents. Low involvement was a total score below 6, medium involvement was a total score of 6 or 7, and high involvement was a score above 8.



# Table 1

.

# Characteristics of Subjects in EIEI Studies

		Bas	ic Intervent (Group #1)	ion	Expan   	ded Interven (Group #2)	tion	
_	Variable	x	(SD)	n	x	(SD)	n	P Value
0	Age of child in months as of 7-1-88	3.80	(1.57)	355	3.70	(1.62)	363	.410
0	Age of mother in years	31.26	(6.71)	320	31.34	(6.89)	330	.884
0	Age of father in years	33.79	(6.67)	301	33.99	(7.43)	311	.725
0	Percent Male*	58%		355	61%		363	•353
0	Years of Education for Mother	12.67	(2.44)	334	   12.85 	(2.33)	335	.329
0	Years of Education for Father	13.11	(2.42)	310	13.19	(2.49)	311	.673
0	Percent with both parents living at home	798		324	83%		326	.258
0	Percent of children who are Caucasian*	87%		28 <b>6</b>	89% 		293	.455
0	Hours per week mother employed	11.48	(17.21)	305	11.63	(16.87)	313	.912
0	Hours per week father employed	37.62	(16.59)	261	39.37	(16.23)	277	.216
0	Percent of mothers employed as technical managerial or above*	13%		322	   15% 		327	.477
0	Percent of fathers employed as technical managerial or above*	36%		290	   34%   		292	•689
0	Total household income	24070.10	(18264.97)	300	24766.23	(19677.21)	308	.652
0	Percent receiving public assistance	37%		305	348		311	· .577
0	Percent with mother as primary caregiver*	938		300	   93% 		312	.859
0	Percent of children in daycare more than 5 hours per week*	22%		299	218		307	.789
0	Number of siblings	<b>, 1.6</b> 0	(1.66)	322	1.51	(1.79)	335	.509
0	Percent with English as primary language	97%		319	99%		32 <b>6</b>	

The results of the ANOVAs which were done on continuous variables can be observed in Table 2. Level of parental involvement was found to increase with parental education (both mother and father, all groups significantly different), parental age (highly involved significantly different from medium and low involved), number of resources (highly involved significantly different from medium and low involved), and number of sources of support (highly involved significantly higher than low involved). Highly involved parents had more children, on the average, than did medium and low involved parents. Highly involved parents felt significantly less stress at pretest time than did low involved parents. (It should be noted that all groups fell into the "high stress" range, however.) Parental involvement was unaffected by perceived amount of time available.

	Low	Involven	ent	Medium Involvement			High Involvement			
	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	 N	Mean	SD		i I p
EducationNother	11.13	1.93	87	12.26	1.84	73	13.56	2.05	95	.000
Education-Father	11.51	2.12	77	12.68	1.73	56	13.77	2.29	96	.000
Age of Mother	29.43	6.50	86	29.14	6.03	74	33.00	5.76	95	.000
Age of Father	32.57	7.05	77	31.51	6.26	65	35.28	6.12	96	.001
Number of Siblings	1.5	1.67	88	1.31	1.18	74	1.90	1.41	98	.024
PSI-Total Stress	258.33	43.89	87	250.44	41.95	73	244.16	40.89	<b>9</b> 8	.076
PSI-Child	123.23	21.07	87	115.56	21.13	73	114.52	20.34	98	.012
PSI-Parent	135.13	26.67	87	134.88	25.23	73	129.59	27.25	98	   .282
FSS-Total	25 <b>.72</b>	11.17	79	27.15	10.48	66	30.09	12.04	93	   .038
FRS-Total	109.16	18.73	83	112.35	17.62	65	119.37	17.87	94	.001
FRS-General Resources	69.67	12.60	83	71.57	12.62	65	78.14	12.06	94	.000
FRS-Time	37.22	9.89	83	37.65	8.14	65	39.54	10.68	94	.247
FRS-Physical Resources	29 <b>.</b> 06	1.43	83	29.65	5.16	65	31.40	3.92	94	i .002
FRS-External Support	21.69	4.70	83	23.06	4.54	65	24.26	4.36	94	.001
FACE-Adaptability	9.65	7.13	78	7.20	6.14	65	6.88	5.57	94	.010
FACE-Cohesion	8.72	7.04	78	7.63	6.74	64	7.51	6.70	94	.468
FACE-Total	18.37	11.32	78	14.83	9.12	64	14.39	9.04	94	.021

Table 2Relationship of Parental Involvement toDemographic and Family Function Measures

Family adaptability was more important in determining parental involvement than was family cohesion. Families more balanced in the area of adaptability (that is, structured or flexible) were more likely to be highly involved than were less balanced families (those more rigid or chaotic).



<sup>3</sup> 6

Nominal variables were compared using a Chi-Square test of independence. and by maternal employment. Involvement was found to be unaffected by the ethnic status of the child  $[X^2 (3) = 3.02, p = .388]$ , whether the child was first or later born,  $[X^2 (3) = 5.20, p = .158]$ , the occupational status of the mother  $[X^2 (3) = 3.26, p = .353]$ , or whether or not the mother worked  $[X^2 (3) =$ 5.40, p = .145]. However, occupational status of the father was important in that higher status was related to higher involvement  $[X^2 (3) = 37.08, p =$ .000],. Single parents  $[X^2 (3) = 18.60, p = .000]$ , and those on public assistance  $[X^2 (3) = 18.94, p = .000]$  were less involved overall.

Significant variables were entered into a stepwise multiple regression equation in which parental involvement was the dependent variable (see Table 3). The best predictors of parental involvement were found to be the education of the mother, family income, total family support, and the FACES total score. Together, these variables accounted for approximately 37% of the variance. All variables were positively related to parental involvement with the exception of the FACES total. Since higher scores indicate less balance in the family, the negative relationship between parental involvement and the FACES total is as would be expected--more balanced families are more likely to be highly involved.

## Table 3

Variable	В	R <sup>2</sup>
Education of mother	•290	•2279
Income	.179	•2899
Family support-total	.048	.3445
FACES total	035	.3719
Constant	•963	

# Multiple Regression Table Depicting Predictors of Parental Involvement

## Discussion

Where the mother has a higher level of education, the family has a higher income and level of support, and the type of family functioning is balanced rather than extreme, higher levels of parental involvement in the child's education can be predicted. Variables such as maternal employment or perceived amount of time available in the family were found to be unimportant.

These results suggest that those who are highest in parental involvement may be those least in need of help for their children. While these results need replication, they also suggest that special efforts may be needed to involve those parents who are more in need of resources and support. Programs may need to address those needs if they wish to increase parental involvement. Determination of the specific areas in which these parents are most likely to benefit may yield great value in providing effective intervention for young handicapped children.

## References

- Abidin, R. R. (1983). <u>Parenting stress index-manual</u>. Charlottesville, VA: Pediatric Psychology Press.
- Dunst, C. J., & Leet, H. E. (1985). <u>Family Resource Scale</u>. Morganton, NC: Western Carolina Center.
- Leet, H. E. & Dunst, C. J. (1985). <u>Family Resource Scale</u>. Unpublished rating scale. Family, Infant. and Preschool Program, Morganton, NC: Western Carolina Center.
- Olson, D. H., Portner, J., & Lavee, Y. (1985). <u>FACES III</u>. Family Social Science, University of Minnesota, St. Paul.
- Rosenberg, S., & Robinson, S. (1985). Enhancement of mothers' interactional skills in an infant educational program. <u>Education and Training of the</u> <u>Mentally Retarded</u>, <u>20</u>, 163-169.

