

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 309 661 HE 021 950

AUTHOR Stolzenberg, Ross M.; And Others

TITLE An Overview of Demographic and Family Characteristics

of First-Year Students in U.S. MBA Programs. First Results from the GMAC's New Matriculants Survey. GMAC

Occasional Papers.

INSTITUTION Graduate Management Admission Council, Princeton,

NJ.

PUB DATE Apr 88

NOTE 87p.; For related documents, see HE 021 208, HE 021

947-951, HE 022 027, and HE 022 311.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC04 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Business Administration Education; *Demography;

*Family Characteristics; Geographic Distribution; Graduate Study; Higher Education; Parent Background; Professional Education; *Student Characteristics

IDENTIFIERS *Masters of Business Administration

ABSTRACT

Basic data about the demographic and family characteristics of first-year students at American graduate schools of business and management are presented, based on the Graduate Management Admission Council's New Matriculants Survey. Three sections are as follows: (1) individual demographic characteristics (distributions and crosstabulations of individual demographic characteristics); (2) marital and family characteristics (marital status, spouse characteristics, and children); and (3) family background (characteristics of father and mother). Results include such facts as: about two-thirds of matriculants are males, about one out of every eight matriculants is a foreign student; two-fifths are currently married; about two cut of three spouses have a bachelor's, master's, or doctor's degree; two-thirds of the spouses work at least 35 hours a week; about one-fifth of the respondents have one or more children whom they support; males are one and one half times as likely as females to have one or more children to support; about one out of 10 married respondents expect to remain childless; fathers of one third of respondents were employed in executive, administrative, or management occupations; mothers of about a third of the respondents attained a bachelor's, master's, or doctor's degree; and the mothers of about half the respondents worked for pay. (SM)



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

Graduate Management Admission Council

Ross M. Stolzenberg Roseann Giarrusso James Lehman

First Results from the GMAC's New Matriculants Survey

An Overview of
Demographic and
Family Characteristics
of First-Year Students
in U.S. MBA Programs

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

GMAC

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

First Results from the GMAC's New Matriculants Survey:

AN OVERVIEW OF DEMOGRAPHIC AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS OF FIRST-YEAR STUDENTS IN U.S. MBA PROGRAMS

Ross M. Stolzenberg

Roseann Giarrusso

James Lehman

April, 1988

Views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Graduate Management Admission Council.



PREFACE

This document reports basic information about the demographic and family characteristics of first-year students at American graduate schools of business and management. It is based on the Graduate Management Admission Council's New Macriculants Survey, which gathered data on 2,053 first-year students at ninety-one U.S. graduate schools of business and management between April and December of 1985. The survey is based on a two-stage sample that was designed to be representative of both schools and students: first, schools were randomly sampled, and then random samples of students were drawn from each sampled school. The survey achieved response rates of 93 percent from schools and 73 percent from students. The vast majority of respondents completed a lengthy written questionnaire that had been mailed to them, a few responded to an abbreviated questionnaire that was administered by telephone. To the best of our knowledge, this is the only survey that provides a wide range of data on the attitudes and characteristics of a nationally representative sample of MBA students.

This report is divided into three sections: Section 1 describes important individual demographic characteristics of respondents, including age, sex, race-ethnicity and status as a foreign or domestic student. Section 2 describes marital and family characteristics. Section 3 describes aspects of respondents' family background.

Data in this document are designed to be read quickly or to be studied at length. For the benefit of those who wish to gain the fastest or erview, key points may be culled from table titles, which are full sentences which indicate some major results.

This report is one of a series of documents intended to make large volumes of tabular material accessible to persons whose everyday business requires knowledge of the characteristics of students enrolled in MBA programs in the United States. This report is written specifically for persons who do not have formal training in mathematical statistics or survey research methods.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A number of persons and organizations were involved in the design and execution of the GMAC New Matriculants Survey. William Broesamle, president of GMAC, conceived the project and supported it vigorously. The Board of Trustees and the Research Committee of the Graduate Management Admission Council (GMAC) supervised the project from start to finish. Ross M. Stolzenberg of GMAC formulated basic design specifications for the project. Along with the National Opinion Research Center (NORC), a survey research organization affiliated with the University of Chicago, Stolzenberg and John Abowd of the University of Chicago Business School established specific survey and sample-design parameters and held basic responsibility for all aspects of the survey. Sampling and some broader issues were dealt with by Martin Frankel of City University of New York and NORC. A committee led by Abowd and assisted by Martha Mandilovitch of NORC constructed the questionnaire and conducted the Chicago-area survey pretest and pretest analysis. Committee members included R. Darrell Bock, Edward P. Lazear, and Christopher Winship. The cooperation of sampled schools was obtained through the joint efforts of GMAC and NORC, and the survey was fielded and processed by NORC staff under the supervision of Martha Mandilovitch and Woody Carter. Chris Beard of NORC supervised the data processing. In addition to co-authorship of this document, Roseann Giarrusso did much preliminary analysis to assure the quality of the New Matriculants Survey Data. Leslie Duncan assisted with production of this manuscript. We are very grateful to many people for their efforts on this project, especially to those at each sampled school who took pains to see that student lists were properly compiled so that survey mailings and follow-up telephone calls could be conducted properly. Without their help, the efforts of all others connected with this project would have been for naught.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
PR	EFACE	ii
ΑC	CKNOW	LEDGEMENTSii
LI	ST OF T	rablesv
I.	INDIV	IDUAL DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS1
A.	Distri	butions1
	l.	Age
	2.	Sex
	3.	Race and Ethnicity3
	4.	Foreign Student Status
В.		abulations of Individual Demographic aracteristics
	1.	Age by Sex5
	2.	Age by Race-Ethnicity7
	3.	Foreign Student Status9
	4.	Race-Ethnicity by Sex
	5.	Race-Ethnicity by Foreign Student Status
	6.	Foreign Student Status by Current Employment



		Foreign Student Status by Length of Full-Time st-College Employment	16
	8.	Sex of Respondent by Foreign Student Status	17
II.	MAR	TAL AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS	18
A.	Mari	tal Status	18
B.	Chara	acteristics of Respondent's Spouse	26
	1.	Spouse's Education	26
	2.	Spouse's Employment Status	30
C.	Child	en	37
III.	FAMI	LY BACKGROUND	48
A.	Char	acteristics of Respondents' Father	48
	l.	Father's Education	48
	2.	Father's Occupation	57
В.	Char	acteristics of Respondent's Mother	66
	1.	Mother's Education	66
	2.	Mother's Employment Status	69



LIST OF TABLES

Table	of age or younger, and more than one half are 26 years	
	old or younger	1
Table	1.2. SexAbout two-thirds of matriculants are males	2
Table	1.3. Race and EthnicityAbout five-sixths of matriculants are White non-Hispanics; fewer than one in twenty is Black	3
Table	1.4. Foreign Student StatusAbout one out of every eight matriculants is a foreign student	4
Table	1.5. Age by SexAge distributions of males and females do not differ markedly	5
Table	1.6. Age by Race-EthnicityThe proportion of Hispanic and Asian respondents who are younger than 24 years of age is larger than the proportion of White non-Hispanics and Blacks who are less than 24	7
Table	1.7. Age by Foreign Student StatusThe proportion of foreign respondents who are younger than 24 years of age is about twice the proportion of domestic respondents who are less than 24.	9
Table	1.8. Race-Ethnicity by SexThe proportion of women among Black respondents is higher than the proportion of women among respondents of other identified race-ethnicity groups	ı
Table	1.9. Race-Ethnicity by Foreign Student StatusThe proportion of foreign students is lower among White non-Hispanics than among members of other identified race-ethnicity groups	3



Table 1.10. Foreign Student Status by Current Employment—Foreign students are much less likely than domestic students to be employed while attending graduate school	15
Table 1.11. Foreign Student Status by Length of EmploymentForeign students are four times as likely as domestic students to lack full-time, post-college employment experience	16
Table 1.12. Sex by Foreign Student StatusTwo out of five domestic students are female; one out of four foreign students are female	17
Table 2.1. Marital Status Two-fifths are currently married	18
Table 2.2. Marital Status by AgeThe majority of students 27 years of age and over are married	19
Table 2.3. Marital Status by SexMale students are more likely than female students to be married	20
Table 2.4. Marital Status by Race-EthnicityWhites are more likely than members of other identified race-ethnicity groups to be married	21
Table 2.5. Marital Status by Foreign Student StatusTwo out of five domestic students are married; one out of four foreign students are married	23
Table 2.6. Marital Status by Foreign Student Status by SexDomestic males are about one and a third times more likely than domestic females to be married; foreign males are about two and a half times more likely than foreign females to be married.	24
Table 2.7. Educational Attainment of SpouseAbout two out of three spouses have a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree	26



Table	2.8. Educational Attainment of Spouse by Sex of RespondentSpouses of female respondents have attained a higher level of education than spouses of male respondents	. 27
Table	2.9. Enrollment Status of SpouseOne fifth of the spouses are also enrolled in school	. 29
Table	2.10. Employment Status of SpouseTwo-thirds of the spouses work at least 35 hours a week	. 30
Table	2.11. Employment Status of Spouse by Age of RespondentOlder matriculants are less likely than younger matriculants to have a working spouse	. 31
Table	2.12. Employment Status of Spouse by Sex of RespondentSpouses of male respondents are almost five times as likely as spouses of female respondents to not work	. 33
Table	2.13. Employment Status of Respondent's Spouse by Foreign Student Status of RespondentSpouses of domestic respondents are more likely to work than spouses of foreign students	. 35
Table	2.14. Number of Children Respondent SupportsAbout one fifth of the respondents have one or more children whom they support	. 37
Table	2.15. Number of Children Respondent Supports by AgeThe proportion of respondents with one or more children to support increases from one out of five for respondents between 27 to 30 years of age to three out of four for respondents 36 and over	. 38
Table	2.16. Number of Children Respondent Supports by SexMales are over one and a half times as likely as females to have one or more children to support	. 40



Table 2.17. Number of Children Respondent Supports by Age by SexAmong respondents aged 31-35, males are more than two and one half times as likely as females to	
support one or more children	41
Table 2.18. Number of Children Respondent Supports by	
Foreign Student Status-Domestic respondents are one	
and a half times more likely than foreign respondents to have children to support	44
Table 2.19. Number of Children Respondent Supports by	
Foreign Student Status by SexThe difference between	
males and females in the number of children supported	
is greater among foreign than domestic respondents	43
Table 2.20. Total Number of Children Respondents Expect to	
Have About one out of ten married respondents	
expect to remain childless	47
Table 3.1. Educational Attainment of Respondent's	
FatherFathers of about half the respondents attained	
a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree	48
Table 3.2. Educational Attriument of Respondent's Father	
by Age of RespondentFathers of older respondents were	
less likely than fathers of younger respondents to have	
attained a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree	49
Table 3.3. Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father	
by Sex of RespondentThe educational attainment	
distribution for fathers of male respondents does not	
differ markedly from the educational attainment	٠.
distribution for fathers of female respondents	ا د
Table 3.4. Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father	
by Race-Ethnicity of RespondentFathers of Black	
respondents were less likely than fathers of	
respondents of other identified race-ethnicity groups to have a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree	
groups to have a dachelor s, master's or doctor's degree	วว



Table 3.5. Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father by Foreign Student Status of RespondentThere is no	
substantial difference in the proportion of domestic	
and foreign respondents whose fathers attained a bachelor's	
master's or doctor's degree.	52
Table 3.6. Respondent's Father's OccupationFathers of one third of	
respondents were employed in executive, administrative, or	
management occupations	57
Table 3.7. Respondent's Father's Occupation by Age of RespondentOlder	
respondents were less likely than younger respondents to	
have a father who was employed in executive, administrative,	
or management occupations	58
or management occupations, minimum min	,,70
Table 3.8. Respondent's Father's Occupation by Sex of RespondentThe	
occupational distribution of male respondents' fathers	
do not differ substantially from the occupational	
distribution of female respondents' fathers	60
Table 3.9. Respondent's Father's Occupation by Race-Ethnicity of	
RespondentFathers of Asians were more likely than	
fathers of respondents of other identified race-ethnicity	
groups to have been employed in an executive, administrative,	
or management occupation	62
Table 3.10. Respondent's Father's Occupation by Foreign Student Status	
of Respondent Fathers of foreign respondents were more	
likely than their domestic counterparts to have been	
employed in an executive, administrative, or	
management occupation	64
Table 3.11. Educational Attainment of Respondent's	
MotherMothers of about a third of the respondents attained	
a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree	66



Table	3.12. Educational Attainment of Respondent's Mother by Sex of RespondentMothers of male respondents are slightly more likely than mothers of female respondents	
	to have a college degree or higher	57
Table	3.13. Respondent's Mother's Employment Status The mothers	
	of about half the respondents worked for pay	59
Table	3.14. Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Age of	
	RespondentMothers of younger respondents were more likely	
	to have been employed than mothers of older respondents	70
Table	3.15. Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Sex of	
	RespondentMothers of female respondents were slightly more	
	likely than mothers of male respondents to have worked for pay	71
Table	3.16. Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Foreign	
	Student Status of RespondentMothers of domestic students	
	were over one and three quarters times as likely as mothers	
	of foreign students to have worked for pay	72
Table	3.17. Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Race-Ethnicity of	
	RespondentMothers of Black respondents were more likely	
	than mothers of respondents of other identified	
	race-ethnicity groups to have worked for pay	73



I. INDIVIDUAL DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

A. Distributions

1. Age

Table 1.1 -- Age

More than a fifth of matriculants are 23 years of age or younger, and more than one half are 26 years old or younger.

cy Percent
20.2 33.6 22.5 12.8
10.9

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2025 respondents. 28 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals.

Remarks about the data: For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986.



:4

2. Sex

Table 1.2 -- Sex

About two-thirds of matriculants are males.

Sex	Frequency	Percent	
Males	1272	62.1	
Females	775	37.9	
Total	2047	100.0	

Notes: This table is based on the responses of 2047 respondents. 6 persons did not answer this question.



3. Race and Ethnicity

Table 1.3 -- Race and Ethnicity

About five-sixths of matriculants are White non Hispanics, fewer than one in twenty is Black.

Race and Ethnicity	Frequency	Percent
White Non-Hispanic	1725	84.3
Hispanic	67	3.3
Black	65	3.2
Asian	163	7.9
Other	28	1.3
Total	2046	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2046 respondents. 7 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals.

Remarks about the data: The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics, 4 American Indians, Aleuts, or Eskimos were combined with those identifying their race-ethnicity as "other."



4. Foreign Student Status

Table 1.4 -- Foreign Student Status

About one out of every eight matriculants is a foreign student.

Foreign Student Status	Frequency	Percent
Domestic Foreign	1676 244	87.3 12.7
Total	1920	100.0

Notes: This table is based on 1920 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 18 persons did not answer this question.

Remarks about the data: Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic.



B. Crosstabulations of Individual Demographic Characteristics

1. Age by Sex

Table 1.5 -- Age by Sex

Age distributions of males and females do not differ markedly.

Sex of Respondent

		Male	Female	Total
Age Group				
	n	254	154	409
23 or less	Row %	62.2	37.8	20.2
	Col %	20.2	20.2	
	n	421	258	679
24 - 26	Row %	62.0	38.U	33.6
	Col %	33.4	33.8	
	n	276	180	456
27 - 30	Row %	60.6	39.4	22.5
	Col %	21.9	23.5	
	n	174	86	260
31 - 35	Row %	67.1	32.9	12.8
	Col %	13.8	11.2	
	n	133	87	220
36 or over	Row %	60.7	39.3	10.9
	Col %	10.6	11.3	
	n	1258	764	2023
Total	Row %	62.2	37.8	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2023 respondents. 30 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and



rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986.



2. Age by Race-Ethnicity

Table 1.6 -- Age by Race-Ethnicity

The proportion of Hispanic and Asian respondents who are younger than 24 years of age is larger than the proportion of White non-Hispanics and Blacks who are less than 24.

Race-Ethnicity

		White Non- His- panic	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	Total
Age Group		F					
	I		18	12	60	6	409
23 - under	Row 9		4.4	3.0	14.7	1.5	20.2
	Col a	18.3	27.2	19.2	37.2	24.9	
	I	n 583	23	19	46	9	680
24 - 26	Row 9	85.7	3.3	2.9	6.8	1.3	33.6
	Col a	34.2	34.1	30.6	28.4	37.3	
	1	n 388	12	16	34	4	454
27 - 30	Row 9	85.5	2.7	3.4	7.4	1.0	22.5
	Col	22.8	18.1	24.6	20.8	18.5	
	1	n 230	3	11	13	2	260
31 - 35	Row 9	88.5	1.3	4.4	5.1	. 6	12.8
	Col 4	13.5	5.0	18.0	8.2	7.0	
	1	n 193	10	5	9	3	220
36 or over	Row 9	87.8	4.7	2.2	4.0	1.3	10.9
	Cols		15.6	7.7	5.4	12.2	
	1	n 1706	67	64	162	24	2022
Total	Row 9		3.3	3.2	8.0	1.2	100.0
	Col 9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2022 respondents. 31 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%. (c) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.

Remarks about the data: (a) For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986. (b) The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics; 4 American Indians, Aleuts, or Eskimos were combined with those identifying their race-ethnicity as "other."



3. Foreign Student Status

Table 1.7 -- Age by Foreign Student Status

The proportion of foreign respondents who are younger than 24 years of age is about twice the proportion of domestic respondents who are less than 24.

Foreign Student Status

		Domestic	Foreign	Total
Age Group				
	n	307	86	394
23 or less	Row %	78.1	21.9	20.5
	Col %	18.3	35.4	
	n	577	77	653
24 - 26	Row %	88.3	11.7	34.0
	Col %	34.4	31.5	
	n	385	50	435
27 - 30	Row %	88.6	11.4	22.6
	Col %	23.0	20.3	
	n	207	25	233
31 - 35	Row &	89.1	10.9	12.1
	Col %	12.4	10.4	
	n	199	6	205
36 or over	Row %	97.1	2.9	10.7
	Col %	11.9	2.4	
	n	1675	244	1919
Total	Row %	87.3	12.7	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1919 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign



student status. 19 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.

Remarks about the data: (a) For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986. (b) Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic.



4. Race-Ethnicity by Sex

Table 1.8 -- Race-Ethnicity by Sex

The proportion of women among Black respondents is higher than the proportion of women among respondents of other identified race-ethnicity groups.

Sex of Respondent

Race-Ethnicity			Male	Female	Total
		n	1057	667	1724
White	Row	ક	61.3	38.7	84.3
Non-	Col	ક	83.2	86.1	
Hispanic					
		n	48	19	67
Hispanic	Row	ક	72.0	28.0	3.3
	Col	ક	3.8	2.4	
		n	34	30	65
Black	Row	-	53.2	46.8	3.2
	Col	8	2.7	3.9	
		n	117	46	163
Asian	Row		71.7	28.3	7.9
	Col	•	9.2	5.9	,
		n	15	13	28
Other	Row	ક	53.1	46.9	1.3
	Co1	8	1.2	1.7	
		n	1270	775	2045
Total	Row		62.1	37.9	100.0
	Col	-	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2045 respondents. 8 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%. (c) Due to weighting or the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



Remarks about the data: The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics; 4 American Indians, Aleuts, or Eskimos were combined with those identifying their race-ethnicity as "other."



5. Race-Ethnicity by Foreign Student Status

Table 1.9 -- Race-Ethnicity by Foreign Student Status

The proportion of foreign students is lower among White non-Hispanics than among members of other identified race-ethnicity groups.

Foreign Student Status

		Domestic	Foreign	Total
Race-Ethnic	ity			
	n	1544	70	1614
White	Row %	95.7	4.3	84.1
Non-	Col %	92.2	28.6	
Hispanic				
-	n	47	15	62
Hispanic	Row %	75.8	24.2	3.2
-	Col %	2.8	6.1	
	n	40	22	62
Black	Row %	64.5	35.5	3.2
	Col %	2.4	9.0	
	n	31	129	160
Asian	Row %	19.6	80.4	8.4
	Col %	1.9	52.9	
	n	13	8	22
Other	Row &	61.4	38.6	1.1
	Col %	.8	3.4	
	n	1675	244	1919
Total	Row %	87.3	12.7	100.0
	Col %		100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1919 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign



student status. 19 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics; 4 American Indians, Aleuts, or Eskimos were combined with those identifying their race-ethnicity as "other." (b) Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic. (c) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row totals.



6. Foreign Student Status by Current Employment

Table 1.10 -- Foreign Student Status by Current Employment

Foreign students are much less likely than domestic students to be employed while attending graduate school.

Employment Status

Foreign Student Status		Full- Time	Part- Time	Not Employed	Total
Domestic	n Row % Col %	1∪62 63.7 94.9	217 13.0 88.3	389 23.3 71.6	1668 87.4
Foreign	n Row % Col %	57 23.7 5.1	29 12.0 11.7	155 64.3 28.4	241 12.6
Total	n Row % Col %	1119 58.6 100.0	246 12.9 100.0	544 28.5 100.0	1908 100.0 100.0

Notes: This table is based on the responses of 1908 respondents. 115 persons were given the short torm of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign student status. 30 persons did not answer one or both of these questions.

Remarks about the data: (a) Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic. (b) Part-time employment refers to less than 35 hours per week.



7. Foreign Student Status by Length of Full-Time Post-College Employment

Table 1.11 -- Foreign Student Status by Length of Employment

Foreign students are four times as likely as domestic students to lack full-time, post-college employment experience.

Length of Employment Experience

Foreign		None	1-24 Months	25-48 Months	49 Months or more	Total
Student						
Status						
	n	182	388	413	637	1619
Domestic	Row %	11.2	24.0	25.5	39.3	87.0
	Col %	62.1	86.9	93.2	93.6	
	n	111	59	30	43	242
Foreign	Row %	45.6	24.1	12.4	17.9	13.0
•	Col %	37.9	13.1	6.8	6.4	
	n	292	446	443	680	1861
Total	Row %	15.7	24.0	23.8	36.5	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1861 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign student status. 77 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.

Remarks about the data: Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic.



8. Sex of Respondent by Foreign Student Status

Table 1.12 -- Sex by Foreign Student Status

Two out of five domestic students are female; one out of four foreign students are female.

Foreign Student Status

			Domestic	Foreign	Total
Sex					
		n	1011	185	1196
Male	Row	8	84.5	15.5	62.3
	Col	ક	60.4	75.9	
		n	664	59	723
Female	Row	ક	91.9	8.1	37.7
	Col	8	39.6	24.1	
		n	1675	244	1919
Total	Row	ક	87.3	12.7	100.0
	Col	8	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: This table is based on the responses of 1919 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign student status. 19 persons did not answer one or both of these questions.

Remarks about the data: Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic.



II. MARITAL AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS

A. Marital Status

Table 2.1 -- Marital Status

Two-fifths are currently married.

Marital Status	Frequency	Percent
Never Married Married	1106 826	54.3 40.5
Separated, Widowed, or Divorced	106	5.2
Total	2037	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2037 respondents. 16 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals.



Table 2.2 -- Marital Status by Age

The majority of students 27 years of age and over are married.

Marital Status

	1.022002						
		Never		Separated, Widowed,			
Age Group		Married	Married	Divorced	Total		
	n	377	27	1	405		
23 or less	Row %	93.0	6.7	.3	20.1		
	Col %	34.4	3.3	1.3			
	n	476	199	3	677		
24 - 26	Row %	70.3	29.3	.4	33.6		
	Col %	43.5	24.3	2.5			
	n	176	248	32	456		
27 - 30	Row %	33.7	54.3	7.0	22.6		
	Col´%	16 1	30.3	30.5			
	n	53	165	39	258		
31 - 35	Row %	20.7	64.0	15.3	12.8		
	Col %	4.9	20.2	37.6			
	n	12	178	29	219		
36 or over	Row %	5.4	81.1	13.4	10.9		
	Col %	1.1	21.8	28.2			
	n	1094	816	105	2015		
Total	Row &	54.3	40.5	5.2	100.0		
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2015 respondents. 38 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%. (c) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.

Remarks about the data: For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986.



Table 2.3 -- Marital Status by Sex

Male students are more likely than female students to be married.

		Marit	al Status		
		Never Married	Married	Separated, Widowed, Divorced	Total
Sex					
	n	656	569	40	1266
Male	Row &	51.9	45.0	3.2	62.2
	Col %	59.5	68.9	37.9	
	n	447	257	66	770
Female	Row &	58.1	33.3	8.6	37.8
	Col %	40.5	31.1	62.1	
	n	1104	826	106	2035
Total	Row &	54.2	40.6	5.2	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2035 respondents. 18 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%. (c) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



Table 2.4 -- Marital Status by Race-Ethnicity

Whites are more likely than members of other identified race-ethnicity groups to be married.

	Marital Status				
		Never		Separated, Widowed,	
		Married	Married	Divorced	Total
Race-Ethnicit	-17	Mailled	natited	pivoiced	Iocal
Race-Demiler	-y n	897	728	94	1719
White	Row %	52.2	42.4	5.4	84.5
Non-	Col %	81.2	88.4	88.2	04.5
Hispanic	001 8	01.2	00.4	00.2	
nispanie	n	40	23	3	66
Hispanic	Row %	60.6	35.3	4.0	3.3
nispanie	Col %	3.6	2.8	2.5	0.0
	001 0	0.0	2.0	2.3	
	n	30	25	8	62
Black	Row %		39.6	12.1	3.1
2240.0	Col %		3.0	7.1	0.1
	001 0	2.,	0.0	,	
	n	120	40	1	161
Asian	Row %		24.8	.6	7.9
110 2011	Col %		4.9	1.0	,
				_,,	
	n	17	7	1	26
Other	Row &		29.0	5.2	1.3
	Col %		.9	1.3	
		_ : •			
	n	1105	824	106	2035
Total	Row &	_	40.5	5.2	100.0
	Col %		100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 2035 respondents. 18 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%. (c) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



Remarks about the data: The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics; 4 American Indians, Aleuts, or Eskimos were combined with those identifying their race-ethnicity as "other."



Table 2.5 -- Marital Status by Foreign Student Status

Two out of five domestic students are married; one out of four foreign students are married.

	Marital Status					
			Never		Separated, Widowed,	
Foreign Student Status			Married	Married	Divorced	Total
beacas		n	876	704	94	1673
Domestic	Row	8	52.3	42.1	5.6	87.3
	Col	8	83.4	91.9	93.3	
		n	174	62	7	243
Foreign	Row	¥	71.8	25.4	2.8	12.7
_	Col	8	16.6	8.1	6.7	
		n	1050	765	101	1916
Total	Row	ક	54.8	39.9	5.3	100.0
	Col	ક	100.0	100.0	10	100.0

Notes. (a) This table is based on the responses of 1916 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign student status. 22 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



Table 2.6 -- Marital Status by Foreign Student Status by Sex

Domestic males are about one and a third times more likely than domestic females to be married; foreign males are about two and a half times more likely than foreign females to be married.

Males

Marital Status

		Never		Separated, Widowed,	
Foreign Student Status		Married	Married	Divorced	Total
	n	503	472	33	1009
Domestic	Row &	49.9	46.8	3.3	84.6
	Col %	80.2	89.7	86.2	
	n	124	54	5	184
Foreign	Row &	67.5	29.6	2.9	15.4
_	Col %	19.8	10.3	13.8	
	n	628	527	38	1193
Total	Row &	52.6	44.2	3.2	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1193 respondents. 775 female respondents were eliminated from this analysis. 79 male respondents were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign student status, or they did not answer one or both of these questions. 6 persons who did not specify their sex were eliminated from the analysis. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



Table 2.6 (continued)

Females								
	Marital Status							
		Never		Separated, Widowed,	Total			
Foreign		Married	Married	Divorced				
Student								
Status								
	n	371	231	61	663			
Domestic	Row &	55.9	34.9	9.2	91.9			
	Col %	88.1	97.0	97.6				
	n	50	7	1	59			
Foreign	Row &	85.4	12.1	2.5	8.1			
	Col %	11.9	3.0	2.4				
	n	421	238	63	722			
Total	Row %	58.3	33.0	8.7	100.0			
10001	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Notes. (a) This table is based on the responses of 722 respondents. 1272 male respondents were eliminated from this analysis. 53 female respondents were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign student status, or they did not answer one or both of these questions. 6 persons who did not specify their sex were eliminated from the analysis. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



B. Characteristics of Respondent's Spouse

1. Spcuse's Education

Table 2.7 -- Educational Attainment of Spouse

About two out of three spouses have a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree.

Level of Education of Spouse	Frequency	Percent
bid Not Complete High School	9	1.3
High School Diploma	44	5.8
Some Post-Secondary	227	30.3
College Degree	327	43.6
Master's Degree	105	13.9
Doctor's Degree	39	5.2
Total	751	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 751 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked this question. 17 persons who were married but not living with their spouse were not asked this question. 106 persons who were separated, divorced, or widowed were not asked this question. 62 persons were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 3 persons who specified non-classifiable degrees were eliminated from this analysis. 8 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.



Table 2.8 -- Educational Attainment of Spouse by Sex of Respondent

Spouses of female respondents have attained a higher average level of education than spouses of male respondents.

	Sex of Respondent					
Spouse's Level of Education			Male	Female	Total	
		n	5	4	9	
Did Not Complete	Row	8	52.3	47.7	1.3	
High School	Col	B	.9	2.0		
		n	27	17	44	
High School	Row	ક	50.9	39.1	5.8	
Diploma	Col	ક્ર	5.1	7.5		
		a	185	42	227	
Some Post-	Row	ક	81.3	18.7	30.3	
Secondary	Col	ક	35.4	18.5		
		۲,	238	90	327	
College	Row	1	72.6	27.4	43.6	
Degree	Col	8	45.6	39.1		
		n	58	47	105	
Master's Degree	Row	ક	55.0	45.0	13.9	
	Col	ક	11.0	2C.5		
		n	10	29	39	
Doctor's Degree	Row	ક	26.5	73.5	5.2	
_	Col	8	2.0	12.5		
		n	522	229	751	
Total	Row	8	69.5	30.5	100.0	
		_				

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 751 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked the question on spouse education. 17 persons who

100.0

100.0



100.0

Col %

were married but not living with their spouse were not asked the question on spouse education. 106 persons who were separated, divorced, or widowed were not asked the question on spouse education. 62 persons were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on spouse education. 3 persons who specified non-classifiable degrees were eliminated from this analysis. 8 persons did not answer the question on spouse education. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column wals.



Table 2.9 -- Enrollment Status of Spouse

One fifth of the spouses are also enrolled in school.

Enrollment Status of Spouse	Frequency	Percent
Enrolled Full-Time Enrolled Part-Time Not Enrolled in School	49 116 581	6.6 15.5 77.9
Total	746	100.0

Notes: This table is based on the responses of 746 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked this question. 17 persons who were married but not living with their spouse were not asked this question. 106 persons who were separated, divorced, or widowed were not asked this question. 62 persons were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 3 persons who specified non-classifiable degrees were eliminated from this analysis. 13 persons did not answer this question.



2. Spouse's Employment Status

Table 2.10 -- Employment Status of Spouse

Two-thirds of the spouses work at least 35 hours a week.

Employment Status of Spouse	Frequency	Percent
Not Employed	162	21.8
Part-Time (less than 35 hours)	101	13.6
Full-Time (35 to 40 hours)	289	38.9
Over-Time (over 40 hours)	191	25.7
Total	742	100.0

Notes. (a) This table is based on the responses of 742 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked this question. 17 persons who were married but not living with their spouse were not asked this question. 106 persons who were separated, divorced, or widowed were not asked this question. 62 persons were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 20 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals.



Table 2.11 -- Employment Status of Spouse by Age of Respondent

Older married matriculants are less likely than younger married matriculants to inve a working spouse.

Age of	Respondent
--------	------------

Specials		23 or	24 through 27	27 through 31	32 through 36	36 or	Tomol
Spouse's Employment Status		Less	21	31	30	More	Total
	n	4	19	48	47	43	162
Not	Row %	2.5	12.1	29.8	29.2	26.5	21.8
Employed	Col %	18.1	10.2	21.6	31.9	27.2	
Part-Time	n	3	27	29	25	16	101
(less than	Row &	3.4	26.3	29.1	25.2	16.0	13.6
35 hours/ week)	Col %	15.3	13.9	13.2	17.2	10.3	
Full-Time	n	10	87	84	39	70	289
(35-40	Row &	3.5	29.9	28.9	13.4	24.2	38.9
hours/ week	Col %	45.4	45.1	37.5	26.2	44.5	
Over-Time	n	5	59	62	37	28	191
(more than	Row %	2.5	31.0	32.4	19.2	14.9	25.7
40 hours/ week)	Col %	21.3	30 9	27.7	24.7	18.1	
,	n	22	192	223	148	157	742
Total	Row &	3.0	25.ε	30.0	20.0	21.2	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes. (a) This table is based on the responses of 742 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked the question on spouses' employment. 17 persons who were married but not living with their spouse 'vere not asked the question on spouses' employment. .06 persons who were separated, divorced, or widowed were not asked the



question on spouses' employment. 62 persons were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on spouses' employment. 20 persons did not answer the question on spouses' employment. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986.



Table 2.12 -- Employment Status of Spouse by Sex of Respondent

Spouses of male respondents are almost five times as likely as spouses of female respongents to not work.

41.4

107

56.0

47.3

226

30.4

100.0

191

742

100.0

100.0

25.7

Sex of Respondent

		Male	Female	Total
Spouse's				
Employment				
Status				
	n	148	13	162
Not	Row %	91.6	8,4	21.8
Employed	Col %	28.7	6.0	
Part-Time	n	89	12	101
less than	Row %	88.1	11.9	13.6
35 hours/	Col %	17.2	5.3	
week				
Full-Time	n	196	93	289
(35-40	Row %	67.7	32.3	38.9

37.9

44 0

16.2

517

69.6

100.0

84

Col %

Ro / %

Col %

Row &

Col %

n

n

hours/we; 4)

Over-Time

40 hours/

week

Total

(more than

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 742 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked the question on spouses' employment. 17 persons who were married but not living with their spouse were not asked the question on spouses' employment. 106 persons who were separated, divorced, or widowed were not asked the question on spouses' employment. 62 persons were either given the short form of the



questionnaire which did not include the question on spouses' employment. 20 persons did not answer the question on spouses' employment. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



Table 2.13 -- Employment Status of Respondent's Spouse by Foreign Student Status of Respondent

Spouses of domestic respondents are more likely to work than spouses of foreign students.

Foreign Status of Respondent

Spouse's Employment Status		Domestic	Foreign	Total
Over-Time (more than 40 hours/ week)	n Row % Col %	134 83.2 19.7	27 16.8 47.0	161 21.8
Part-Time (less than 35 hours/ week)	n Row % Col %	87 89.2 12.8	11 10.8 18.3	98 13.2
Full-Time (35 to 40 hours/ week)	n Row % Col %	275 95.6 40.5	13 4.4 21.9	288 39.1
Over-Time (more than 40 hours/ week)	n Row % Col %	183 96.1 27.0	7 3.9 12.8	191 25.9
Total	n Row % Col %	680 92.2 100.0	58 7.8 100.0	738 100.0 100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 738 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked the question on spouses' employment. 17 persons who



were married by not living with their spouse were not asked the question on spouses' employment. 106 persons who were separated, divorced, or widowed were not asked the question on spouses' employment. 62 persons were either given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on spouses' employment. 24 persons did not answer the question on spouses' employment. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



C. Children

Table 2.14 -- Number of Children Respondent Supports

About one fifth of the respondents have one or more children whom they support.

No. of		
Children	Frequency	Percent
None	1594	80.9
One	160	8.1
Two	151	7.6
Three	54	2.7
Four or More	13	. 7
Total	1971	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1971 respondents. 68 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 14 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals.



Table 2.15 -- Number of Children Respondent Supports by Age

The proportion of respondents with one or more children to support increases from one out of five for respondents between 27 to 30 years of age to three out of four for respondents 36 and over.

Number of Children Respondent Supports

Age Group			None	One or More	Total
		n	400	1	401
23 or	Row	8	99.6	.4	20.5
less	Col	8	25.3	.4	
		n	644	28	672
24 - 26	Row	8	95.8	4.2	34.3
	Col	ફ	40.7	7.5	
		n	352	90	442
27 - 30	Row	8	79.6	20.4	22.6
	Col	8	22.3	23.9	
		ņ	132	106	239
31 - 35	Row	8	55.5	44.5	12.2
	Col	*	8.4	28.1	
		n	54	151	206
36 or	Row	8	26.3	73.7	10.5
more	Col	8	3.4	40.2	
		n	1582	377	1959
Total	Row	8	80.7	19.3	100.0
	Col	8	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1959 respondents. 68 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on number of children. 26 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to



weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986.



Table 2.16 -- Number of Children Respondent Supports by Sex

Males are over one and a half times as likely as females to have one or more children to support.

Number of Children Respondent Supports

Sex			None	One or More	Total
Male	Row Col	n ቄ	950 77.6 59.7	274 22.4 72.7	1224 62.2
Female	Row Col		642 86.2 40.3	103 13.8 27.3	745 37.8
Total	Row Col	n % %	1591 80.8 100.0	377 19.2 100.0	1969 100.0 100.0

Notes: This table is based on the responses of 1969 respondents. 68 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on number of children. 16 persons did not answer one or both of these questions.



Table 2.17 -- Number of Children Respondent Supports by Age by Sex

Among respondents aged 31-35, males are more than two and one half times as likely as females to support one or more children.

Males
Number of Children Respondent Supports

Age Group		None	One or More	Total
23 or less	n Row % Col %	245 99.4 26.0	1	247 20.3
24 - 26	n Row %	393 94.4	.5 23 5.6	417 34.2
27 - 30	Col %	200 74.5	8.5 69 25.5	269 22.1
31 - 35	Col %	73 44.8	25.0 90 55.2	163 13.4
36 or	Col % n Row %	7.7 31 25.7	32.8 91 74.3	123 10.1
more Total	Col % n Rew %	3.3 943 77.5	33.2 274 22.5	1218 100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1218 respondents. 775 female respondents were eliminated from this analysis. 54 male respondents did not answer one or both of these questions. 5 persons who did not specify their sex were eliminated from



the analysis. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.

Remarks about the data: For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986.



Table 2.17 (continued) Females

Number of Children Respondent Supports

			None	One or More	Total
Age Group					
	1	n	154	0	154
23 or	Row	ક	100.0	0	20.9
less	Col	8	24.2	0	
	1	n	248	5	253
24 - 26	Row	8	98.1	1.9	34.2
	Col :	8	39.0	4.6	
	;	n	152	22	173
27 - 20	Row	%	87.5	12.5	23.4
	Col	ક	23.8	21.0	
		n	60	16	76
31 - 35	Row	8	78.6	21.4	10.3
	Col	ક	9.4	15.7	
		n	23	60	83
36 or	Row	8	27.2	72.8	11.2
more	Col	8	3.5	58.6	
		n	636	103	739
Total	Row	8	86.1	13.9	100.0
	Col	ક્ર	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 739 respondents. 1272 male respondents were eliminated from this analysis. 36 female respondents did not answer one or both of these questions. 6 persons who did not specify their sex were eliminated from the analysis. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.

Remarks about the data: For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986.



Table 2.18 -- Number of Children Respondent Supports by Foreign Student Status

Domestic respondents are one and a half times more likely than foreign respondents to have children to support.

Number of Childre Respondent Supports

Foreign Student Status		None	One or More	Total
	n	1327	340	1667
Domestic	Row %	79.6	20.4	87.3
	Col %	86.3	91.3	
	n	210	32	243
Foreign	Row %	86.7	13.3	12.7
J	Col %	13.7	8.7	
	n	1537	373	1910
Total	Row %	80.5	19.5	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1910 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on foreign student status or on number of children. 28 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.



Table 2.19 -- Number of Children Respondent Supports by Foreign Student Status by Sex

The difference between males and females in the number of children supported is greater among foreign than domestic respondents.

Males

Number of Children Respondent Supports

Foreign Student		None	One or More	Total
Status		767	0/1	1007
	n	767	241	1007
Domestic	Row &	76.1	23.9	84.6
	Col %	83.3	88.9	
	n	154	30	184
Foreign	Row %	83.7	16.3	15.4
J	Col %	16.7	11.1	
m 1	n	921	271 22.7	1191
Total	Row %	77.3	22.1	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1191 respondents. 775 female respondents were eliminated from this analysis. 81 male respondents did not answer one or both of these questions. 6 persons who did not specify their sex were eliminated from the analysis. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row totals.



Table 2.19 (continued)

Females

Number of Children Respondent Supports

Foreign Student Status		None	One or More	Totaı
Domestic	n Row % Col %	559 84.9 90.8	100 15.1 97.7	659 91.8
Foreign	n Row % Col %	56 96.1 9.2	2 3.9 2.3	59 8.2
Total	n Row % Col %	616 85.8 100.0	102 14.2 100.0	718 100.0 100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 718 respondents. 1272 male respondents were eliminated from this analysis. 57 female respondents did not answer one or both of these questions. 6 persons who did not specify their sex were eliminated from the analy 's. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exact, to row or column totals.



Table 2.20 -- Total Number of Children Respondents Expect to Have

About one out of ten married respondents expect to remain childless.

No. of		
Children	Frequency	Percent
No children	105	12.5
One Child	95	11.3
Two Children	371	44.3
Three Children	195	23.3
Four or More Children	71	8.5
Total	838	100.0

Notes. (a) This table is based on the responses of 838 respondents. 1106 persons who had never been married were not asked this question. 68 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 3 persons who responded "don't know" to this question were eliminated from this analysis. 38 persons did not respond to this question. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.



III. FAMILY BACKGROUND

A. Characteristics of Respondents' Father

1. Father's Education

Table 3.1 -- Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father

Fathers of about half the respondents attained a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree.

Father's Education	Frequency	Percent
Did Not Complete High School	308	15.5
High School	416	20.9
Some Post-Secondary	347	17.4
College Degree	464	23.3
Master's Degree	246	12.4
Doctor's Degree	210	10.5
Total	1992	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1992 respondents. 6 persons who responded "don't know" to this question were eliminated from this analysis. 55 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about these data: Father's education is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.2 -- Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father by Age of Respondent

Fathers of older respondents were less likely than fathers of younger respondents to have attained a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree.

Age	οf	Res	pondent
-----	----	-----	---------

Father's Level of Education			23 or Less	24 through 26	27 through 30	31 through 35	36 or More	Total
Did Not Complete	Dan	n	39 12.9	61	69	52	83	304
High School	Row Col	-	9.8	20.0 9.2	22.7 15.7	17.2 20.7	27.2 38.5	15.5
		n	59	131	107	62	51	409
High School Diploma	Row Col		14.5 14.8	32.0 19.8	26.1 24.4	15.1 24.4	12.4 23.5	20.8
		n	58	117	80	51	39	345
Some Post- Secondary	Row Col		16.7 14.4	34.1 17.8	23.2 18.2	14.8 20.1	11.3 18.1	17.5
		n	113	168	110	53	19	463
College Degree	Row Col		24.3 28.1	36.3 25.5	23.8 25.1	11.5 21.0	4.0 8.7	23.5
		າ	77	100	34	23	6	240
Master's Degree	Row Col		32.0 19.2	41.5 15.1	14.1 7.7	9.6 9.1	2.7 3.0	12.2
		n	55	83	39	12	17	206
Doctor's Degree	Row Col		26.7 13.8	40.0 12.5	19.0 8.9	5.7 4.7	8.5 8.1	10.5
	_	n	401	659	439	253	215	1967
Total	Row Col	-	20.4 100.0	33.5 100.0	22.3 100.0	12.9 100.0	10.9 100.0	100.0 100.0



Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1967 respondents. 6 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' educational attainment were eliminated from this analysis. 80 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cel! frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986. (b) Father's education is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.3 -- Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father by Sex of Respondent

The educational attainment distribution for fathers of male respondents does not differ markedly from the educational attainment distribution for fathers of female respondents.

Father's Level of Education		Sex of R Male	espondent Female	Total
Did Not	n	182	127	308
Complete High School	Row % Col %	58.9 14.8	41.1 16.7	15.5
	n	261	155	416
High School Diploma	Row % Col %	62.7 21.2	37.3 20.5	20.9
	n	198	149	347
Some Post- Secondary	Row % Col %	57.1 16.1	42.9 19.7	17.4
	n	301	163	463
College Degree	Row % Col %	64.9 24.4	35.1 21.5	23.3
	n	157	88	245
Master's Degree	Row % Col %	64.0 12.7	36.0 11.6	12.3
	n	134	76	210
Doctor's Degree	Row % Col %	63.9 10.9	36.1 10.0	10.6
	n	1232	757	1989
Total	Row % Col %	61.9 100.0	38.1 100.0	100.0 100.0



Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1989 respondents. 6 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' educational attainment were eliminated from this analysis. 58 persons did not a swer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column to als. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about these data: Father's education is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.4 -- Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father by Race-Ethnicity of Respondent

Fathers of Black respondents were less likely than fathers of respondents of other identified race-ethnicity groups to have a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree.

			Race-Ethnicity of Respondent				
Father's Level of Education		White Non- His- panic	Hispanic			Other	Total
Did Not Complete High School	n Row % Col %	244 79.1 14.5	11 3.7 17.6	18 5.8 29.9	28 9.2 18.1	7 2.1 28.3	308 15.5
High School Diploma	n Row % Col %	356 85.6 21.2	13 3.0 19.6	18 4.3 29.6	24 5.7 15.1	6 1.4 25.1	416 20.9
Some Post- Secondary	n Row % Col %	301 86.7 17.9	13 3.7 19.8	8 2.2 12.6	26 7.4 16.4	0 0 0	347 17.4
College Degree	n Row % Col %	406 88.0 24.1	10 2.1 15.2	6 1.2 9.6	36 7.8 22.9	.9 17.1	462 23.2
Master's Degree	n Row % Col %	82.6	6 2.4 9.1	3.1 12.5	26 10.4 16.3	4 1.5 16.0	245 12.3
Doctor's Degree	n Row % Col %	82.8	5.8	4 1.7 5.8	17 8.3 11.1	3 1.5 13.5	210 10.6
Total	n Row % Col %	84.7	3.2	60 3.0 100.0	157 7.9 100.0	23 1.2 100.0	1988 100.0 100.0



Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1988 respondents. 6 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' educational attainment were eliminated from this analysis. 59 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics; 4 American Indians, Aleuts, or Eskimos were combined with those identifying their race-ethnicity as "other." (b) Father's education is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.5 -- Educational Attainment of Respondent's Father by Foreign Student Status of Respondent

There is no substantial difference in the proportion of domestic and foreign respondents whose fathers attained a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree.

Foreign Student Status of Respondent

Father's Level of Education			Domestic	Foreign	Total
		n	238	49	283
Did Not Complete	Row	ક	82.9	17.1	15.4
High School	Col	ક	14.6	21.4	
		n	339	41	380
High School	Row	ક	89.3	10.	20.4
Diploma	Col	ફ	20.8	17./	
		n	301	30	332
Some Post-	Row	용	90.9	9.1	17.8
Secondary	Col	ક	18.5	13.1	
		n	399	47	446
College	Row	융	89.4	10.6	24.0
Degree	Col	ક	24.4	20.6	
		n	184	37	221
Master's	Row	*	83.1	16.9	11.9
Degree	Col	ક	11.2	16.2	
		n	171	25	196
Doctor's	Row	કે	87.3	12.7	10.5
Degree	Col	8	10.5	10.8	
		n	1632	230	1862
Total	Row	ક	87.7	12.3	100.0
	Col	8	100.0	100.0	100.0



Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1862 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 6 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' educational attainment were eliminated from this analysis. 70 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic. (b) Father's education is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



2. Father's Occupation

Table 3.6 -- Respondent's Father's Occupation

Fathers of one third of respondents were employed in executive, administrative, or management occupations.

Father's Occupation	Frequency	Percent	
Executive, Administrative,			
or Management	649	35.6	
Professional Specialty	435	23.9	
Technician	38	2.1	
Sales	191	10.5	
Administrative Support	73	4.0	
Service	48	2.6	
Other	390	21.4	
Total	1824	100.0	

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1824 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked this question. 13 persons who responded "don't know" to this question were eliminated from this analysis. 93 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) Fathers occupation at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (b) Father's occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background. (c) Occupation was coded according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census 1980 Alphabetical Index of Industries and Occupations. Some categories were combined due to the small number of cases in these categories.



Table 3.7 -- Respondent's Facuer's Occupation by Age of Respondent

Older respondents were less likely than younger respondents to have a father who was employed in executive, administrative, or management occupations.

Age of Respondent

Father's Occupation		23 or Less	24 through 26	27 through 30	31 through 35	36 or More	Total
Executive, Administrative,	n Row %		228 35.3	128 19.7	61 9.4	54 8.4	648 35.6
or Management	Col %	46.7	37.0	31.3	26.8	28.4	33.0
Professional Specialty	n Row % Col %	87 20.1 23.1	176 40.4 28.4	100 22.9 24.4	50 11.5 22.1	22 5.2 11.7	435 23.9
Technician	n Row % Col %	6 14.6 1.5	8 20.5 1.3	12 31.2 2.9	6 14.6 2.4	7 19.1 3.8	38 2.1
Sales	n Row % Col %		72 37.5 11.6	46 24.3 11.4	23 12.0 10.2	14 7.1 7.1	191 10.5
Administrative Support	n Row % Col %		23 32.1 3.8	14 18.6 3.3	14 18.9 6.1	8 11.1 4.3	73 4.0

continued ...



Table 3.7 (continued)

Age of Respondent

Father's Occupation		23 or Less	24 through 26	27 through 30	31 through 35	36 or More	Total
Service	n Row % Col %	9 18.9 2.4	16 33.1 2 6	9 18.9 2.2	8 16.3 3.5	6 12.8 3.2	48 2.6
Other	n Row % Col %	49 12.6 13.0	95 24.3 15.3	100 25.7 24.5	66 16.9 29.0	80 20.5 41.6	389 21.3
Total	n Row % Col %	379 20.8 100.0	617 33.9 100.0	408 22.4 100.0	226 12.4 100.0	191 10.5 100.0	1822 100.0 100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1822 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on fathers' occupation. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not ask. 4 the question on fathers' occupation. 13 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' occupation were eliminated from this analysis. 95 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986. (b) Fathers' occupation at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (c) Father's occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background. (d) Occupation was coded according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census 1980 Alphabetical Index of Industries and Occupations. Some categories were combined due to the small number of cases in these categories.



Table 3.8 -- Respondent's Father's Occupation by Sex of Respondent

The occupational distribution of male respondents' fathers does not differ substantially from the occupational distribution of female respondents' fathers.

		Sex of	Respondent	
Father's Occupation		Male	Female	Total
Executive,	n	413	236	649
Administrative,	Row &	63.6	36.4	35.6
or Management	Col %	35.9	35.1	
	n	283	152	435
Professional	Row %	65.2	34.8	23.9
Specialty	Col %	24.6	22.5	
	n	22	16	38
icchnician	Row &	58.7	41.3	2.1
	Col %	1.9	2.3	
	n	124	67	191
Sales	Row &	65.0	35.0	10.5
	Col %	10.8	10.0	
	n	46	27	73
Administrative	Row &	63.2	36.8	4.0

4.0

Col %

continued ...



Support

4.0

Table 3.8 (continued)

Father's Occupation

Service

	Male	Female	Total
n	34	14	48
¥	70.2	29.8	2.6
8	2.9	2.1	

Sex of Respondent

n 228 162 390 58.6 41.4 Other 21.4 Row & Coi & 19.8 24.0 1151 673 1824 n 63.1 36.9 100.0 Total Row & Col % 100.0 100.0 100.0

Row Col

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responser of 1824 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the question aire which did not include the question on fathers' occupation. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked the question on fathers' occupation. 13 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' occupation were eliminated from this analysis. 93 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) Fathers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (b) Father's occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background. (c) Occupation was coded according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census 1980 Alphabetic index of Industries and Occupations. Some categories were combined due to the small mber of cases in these categories.



Table 3.9 -- Respondent's Father's Occupation by Race-Ethnicity of Respondent

Fathers of Asians were more likely than fathers of respondents of other identified raceethnicity groups to have been employed in an executive, administrative, or management occupation.

Race-Ethnicity of Respondent

Father's Occupation			White Non- His- panic	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	Total
Executive, Administrative, or Management	Row Col		539 83.1 34.8	19 2.9 32.0	16 2.5 32.4	69 10.6 46.9	6 .9 28.7	649 35.6
Professional Specialty	Row Col		367 84.5 23.7	15 3.4 25.0	10 2.3 19.7	37 8.5 25.1	6 1.4 30.4	435 23.9
Technician	Row Col	n % %	31 82.2 2.0	1 3.8 2.4	1 2.2 1.7	3 8.1 2.1	1 3.6 7.0	38 2.1
Sales	Row Col	n %	174 90.9 11.2	7 3.5 11.2	1 .7 2.6	9 4.6 6.0	1 .3 3 4	191 10.5
Administrative Support	Row Col	n %	58 79.3 3.8	2 3.4 4.1	0 . 3 . 4	11 15.6 7.8	1 1.4 5.2	73 4.0



Table 3.9 (continued)

Race-Ethnicity of Respondent

Father's Occupation		White Non- His- panic	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	Total
Service	n Row % Col %	83.1	1 1.5 1.2	3 7.1 6.8	3 6.9 2.2	l 1.4 3.5	48 2.6
Other	n Row % Col %	86.8	14 3.7 24.1	18 4.7 36.4	15 3.7 9.9	1.1 21.8	390 21.4
Total	n Row % Col %	84.8	60 3.3 100.0	50 2.7 100.0	147 8.1 100.0	19 1.1 100.0	1824 100.0 100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1824 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on fathers' occupation. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked the question on fathers' occupation. 13 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' occupation were eliminated from this analysis. 53 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics; 4 American Indians, Aleuts, or Eskimos were combined with those identifying their race-ethnicity as "other." (b) Fathers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (c) Father's occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background. (d) Occupation was coded according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census 1980 Alphaoetical Index of Industries and Occupations. Some categories were combined due to the small number of cases in these categories.



Table 3.10 -- Respondent's Father's Occupation by Foreign Student Status of Respondent

Fathers of foreign respondents were more like, than their domestic counterparts to have been employed in an executive, administrative, or management occupation.

Foreign Student Status of Respondent

Father's Occupation		Do	mestic	Foreign	Total
Executive, Administrative, or Management	Row 9		550 84.8 34.6	99 15.2 45.0	649 35.8
Professional Specialty	Row 9		373 86.2 23.4	60 13.8 27.2	432 23.9
Technician	-		36 96.6 2.2	1 3.4 .6	37 2.0
Sales	Row 9	n %	178 94.4 11.2	11 5.6 4.8	189 10.4
Administrative Support	Row 9	n %	57 77.9 3.6	16 22.1 7.3	73 4.0

continued ...



Table 3.10 (continued)

Foreign Student Status of R spondent

Father's Occupation		Domestic	Foreign	Total
Service	n Row % Col %	40 88.8 2.5	5 11.2 2.3	45 2.5
Other	n Row % Col %	357 92.7 22.5	28 7.3 12.9	385 21.3
Total	n Row % Col %	1590 87.9 100.0	219 12.1 100.0	1810 100.0 100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1810 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on fathers' occupation. 8 persons ho were raised in an institution were not asked the question on fathers' occupation. 13 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on fathers' occupation were eliminated from this analysis. 107 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about the data: (a) Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic. (b) Fathers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (c) Father's occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background. (d) Occupation was coded according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census 1930 Alphabetical Index of Industries and Occupations. Some categories were combined due to the small number of cases in these categories.



B. Characteristics of Respondent's Mother

1. Mother's Education

Table 3.11 -- Educational Attainment of Respondent's Mother

Mothers of about a third of the respondents attained a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree.

Mother's Education	Frequency	Percent
Did Not Complete High School	235	12.4
High School	598	31.6
Some Post-Secondary	512	27.0
College Degree	381	20.1
Master's Degree	150	7.9
Doctor's Degree	17	. 9
Total	1893	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1893 respondents. 112 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked this question. 8 persons who responded "don't know" to this question were eliminated from this analysis. 29 persons did not answer this question. (b) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about these data: Mother's education is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.12 -- Educational Attainment of Respondent's Mother by Sex of Respondent

Mothers of male respondents are slightly more likely than mothers of female respondents to have a bachelor's, master's or doctor's degree.

Sex of Respondent

Mother's Level of Education		Male	Female	Total
20000101011	n	135	101	235
Did Not Complete	Row %	57.2	42.8	12.4
High School	Col %	11.4	14.1	
	n	385	212	597
High School	Row %	64.5	35.5	31.5
Diploma	Col %	32.7	29.6	
	n	307	205	512
Some Post-	Row %	60.0	40.0	27.0
Secondary	Col %	26.1	28.6	
	n	253	128	381
College	Row %	66.5	33.5	20.1
Degree	Col %	21.5	17.8	
	_	83	68	150
Mark mark o	n Row %	55.0	45.Ŭ	7.9
Master's	Col %	7.0	9.5	7.5
Degree	COL &	7.0	9.5	
	n	14	3	17
Doctor's	Row %	80.7	19.3	. 9
Degree	Col %	1.2	.5	
	n	1176	716	1892
Total	Row %	62.2	37.8	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0



Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1892 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on mothers' educational attainment. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked the question on mothers' educational attainment. 8 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on mothers' educational attainment were eliminated from this analysis. 30 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

Remarks about these data: Mother's education is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



2. Mother's Employment Status

Table 3.13 -- Respondent's Mother's Employment Status

The mothers of about half the respondents worked for pay.

Mother's Employment Status	Frequency	Percent
Worked for Pay	991	51.7
Did Not Work for Pay	925	48.3
Total	1917	100.0

Notes. (a) This table is based on the responses of 1917 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include this question. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked this question. 5 persons who responded "don't know" to this question were eliminated from this analysis. 9 persons did not answer this question. Due to weighting of the sample, these numbers do not sum to 2053. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals.

Remarks about the data: (a) Mothers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (b) Mother's occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.15 -- Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Sex of Respondent

Mothers of female respondents were slightly more likely than mothers of male respondents to have worked for pay.

			Sex of	Respondent	
Mother's Employment Status			Male	Female	Total
	;	n	596	395	990
Worked for	Row	ક	60.1	39.9	51.7
Pay	Col	ક	49.9	54.(
	;	n	597	328	925
Did Not Work	Row	ક	64.6	35.4	48.3
for Pay	Col	ક	50.1	45.4	
	;	n	1193	723	1916
Total	Row	ક	62.3	37.7	100.0
	Col	ક	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1916 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on mothers' employment. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked the question on mothers' employment 5 persons who responded "don't knc w" to the question on mothers' employment were elin. mated from this analysis. 9 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row totals.

Remarks about the data: ., Mothers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (b) Mothers' occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.14 -- Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Age of Respondent

Mothers of younger respondents were more likely to have been employed than mothers of older respondents.

	Age of Respondent							
		23 or	24 through	27 through	31 through	36 or		
Mother's Employment		less	26	30	35	more	Total	
Status								
	n	200	355	233	107	95	990	
Worked for	Row %	20.2	35.9	23.5	10.8	9.6	51.7	
Pay	Col %	50.7	54.8	54.2	45.0	46.4		
	n	195	293	196	131	110	925	
Did Not Work	Row %	21.1	31.7	21.2	14.1	11.8	48.3	
for Pay	Col %	49.3	45.2	45.8	55.0	53.6		
	n	395	649	429	238	204	1915	
Total	Row %	20.6	33.9	22.4	12.4	10.7	100.0	

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1915 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on mothers' employment. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked the question on mothers' employment. 5 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on mothers' employment were eliminated from this analysis. 10 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals. (c) Due to rounding, percents may not sum to exactly 100.0%.

100.0

100.0

100.0

Col %

100.0

100.0

100.0

Remarks about the data: (a) For purposes of this table, age is measured on January 1, 1986. (b) Mothers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (c) Mother's occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.16 -- Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Foreign Student Status of Respondent

Mothers of domestic students were over one and three quarters times as likely as mothers of foreign students to have worked for pay.

Foreign Student Status of Respondent

Mother's Employment Status		Domestic	Foreign	Total
	n	914	70	984
Worked for	Row &	92.9	7.1	51.7
Pay	Col %	54.9	29.7	
	n	752	166	919
Did Not Work	Row &	81.9	18.1	48.3
for Pay	Col 9	45.1	70.3	
	n	1666	237	1903
Total	Kow %	87.6	12.4	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1903 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on mothers' employment. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked the question on mothers' employment. 5 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on mothers' employment were eliminated from this analysis. 22 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to row or column totals.

Remarks about the data: (a) Mothers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (b) Students with dual citizenship with the U.S.A. were considered domestic. (c) Mothers' occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background.



Table 3.17 -- Respondent's Mother's Employment Status by Race-Ethnicity of Respondent

Mothers of Black respondents were more likely than mothers of respondents of other identified race-ethnicity groups to have worked for pay.

Race-Ethnicity of Respondens

Mother's Employment Status		White Non- His- panic	Hispanic	Black	Asian	O ^r :her	Total
	n	871	22	42	44	10	990
Worked for	Row &	88.0	2.3	4.3	4.5	1.0	51.7
Pay	Col %	53.9	36.0	69.0	28.2	50.2	
	n	744	40	19	11′	10	925
Did Not Work	Row %	80.4	4.3	2.0	12.1	1.1	48.3
for Pay	Col %	46.1	64.0	31.0	71.8	49.8	
	n	1616	62	61	157	20	1916
Total	Row &	84.3	3.2	3.2	8.2	1.1	100.0
	Col %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: (a) This table is based on the responses of 1916 respondents. 115 persons were given the short form of the questionnaire which did not include the question on mothers' employment. 8 persons who were raised in an institution were not asked the question on mothers' employment. 5 persons who responded "don't know" to the question on mothers employment were eliminated from this analysis. 9 persons did not answer one or both of these questions. (b) Due to weighting of the sample and rounding, cell frequencies may not sum exactly to column totals.

Remarks about the data: (a) Mothers' occupation was at the time the respondent was sixteen years of age. (b) Mothers' occupation is an indicator of the respondent's socioeconomic background. (c) The Hispanic category includes 22 non-White Hispanics, the "other" category includes 4 American Indians.



