DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 303 708 CG 021 405

AUTHOR Shaughnessy, Michael F.

TITLE The Psychology of Cheating Behavior.

PUB DATE Dec 88 NOTE 13p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Anxiety; *Cheating; *College Students; Higher

Education; *Student Behavior

ABSTRACT

The phenomenon of cheating exists at every level of education, yet empirical research and theorizing about cheating behavior are scanty and inconclusive. This study used a questionnaire format to procure information relative to cheating at a small southwestern university. Age, sex, class standing, religion, and college were chosen as variables to be examined. Previous cheating behavior was also explored. Subjects were college students enrolled in the College of Business (N=33), the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences (N=100), the College of Education and Technology (N=103), and the College of Fine Arts (N=131). The responses to the survey appear consistent throughout. Cheating appeared to occur in high school but to diminish at the college level. The results suggest that, while very few students deliberately plan ahead to cheat, they will take advantage of a situation if given the opportunity. Future research may want to more specifically address the issue of anxiety in cheating behavior. (The questionnaire is included with responses for students in each of the four colleges). (NB)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

 The Psychology of Cheating Behavior

Michael F. Shaughnessy

Eastern New Mexico University

Psychology Department

Portales, New Mexico

Running head: CHEATING BEHAVIOR

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvemen
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (-PIC)

CENTER (-Din)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

□ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated if this ducument do not necessarily represent official OERI position or colicy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Michael F Shaughnessy

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "



1

Abstract

The phenomenon of cheating exists at every level of education. Empirical research and theorizing however is scanty and inconclusive. This paper addresses the problem of cheating at the college level and offers some insights into this problem.



All teachers, professors, principals and instructors are aware that pupils "cheat." This cheating may involve glancing at another's paper "crib sheets" and other more intricate methodologies. When caught, students may profess innocence or deny their guilt. The rationale behind cheating may or may not be known even by the cheater.

The research on cheating is limited and anachronistic. Schab (1969) looked at cheating and sex differences. David (1963) examined cheating behavior in the ghetto school. Ellenburg (1973) explored the differences between high and low achievers relative to cheating. Heatherington and Feldman (1964) examined cheating relative to subject and situational variables. Johnson and Klores (1968) found attitudes toward cheating related to classroom dissatisfaction and peer norms. Age, class standing and commitment were examined by Kanfer and Duerfeldt (1968).

Vitro and Schoer (1972) examined test importance and risk of detection relative to cheating. Personality correlates were examined by White, Zielonka, and Gaier (1967) in terms of college women.

Bushway and Nash (1977) comprehensively reviewed school cheating behavior and offered a theoretical perspective relative to situational variables, personality characteristics and rationale for cheating.

However, there has been little empirical data since that time there may have been a decrease in cheating behavior or simply a lack of interest in this domain. The present study evolved from a meeting wherein several deans complained about an increase in notes on student's arms, periodic trips to the lavatory and extensive "index card crib notes" found in pocket books and under test sheets.



The present study utilized a questionnaire format to procure information relative to cheating at a small southwestern university.

Age, sex, class standing, religion and college were chosen as variables to be examined. Previous cheating behavior was also explored. The four colleges on campus studied were the College of Business (n = 33), College of Liberal Arts and Sciences (n = 100), College of Education and Technology (n = 103) and the College of Fine Arts (n = 131). We had hoped to have approximately equal numbers of subjects from each college. However, when the Dean of the College of Business learned about the nature of the study, it was immediately terminated. This is of course of some concern, both for academic freedom and investigative research as well as the integrity of the study and the results. Informal data led us to believe that there was more cheating in that particular college. The reader must however form their own conclusions based on the limited data available.

All of the following results will be presented in percent age format.

1.	Sex	COB	CLAS	CET	CFA	
	a. Male	58	35	22	42	
	b. Female	42	65	78	55	
2.	Age					
	18-19	12	28	24	31	
	20-21	15	22	31	34	
	22-23	21	17	8	12	
	24-25	15	7	8	7	
	25 and older	33	26	29	14	



3. Status

Freshman	6	20	19	24
Sophomore	30	22	14	35
Junior	15	23	29	21
Senior	42	28	24	15
Graduate	6	•	13	3

4. Enrolled in:

- A. College of Education and Technology (n = 103)
- B. College of Fine Arts (n = 131)
- C. College of Pusiness (n = 33)
- D. College of Liberal Arts/Sciences (n = 100)
- E. Nondeclared Major (n = 4)

5. Religion

Catholic	39	26	29	16
Baptist	15	25	26	27
Protestant	18	11	14	13
Methodist	6	9	8	11
Other	21	27	20	28

6. Did you cheat in high school on tests?

	СОВ	CLAS	CET	CFA
a. Yes	42	40	52	49
b. No	58	59	48	48

7. Have you cheated in college on tests?

a. Yes	36	24	27	38
b. No	61	76	72	58



5

8.	Have you used "	crib s	heets"	in	college	on	smal1	pieces	of	paper	with
	information on	them t	o cheat	?							

a. Yes 21 8 10 15 b. No 79 92 90 82

9. Have you used "crib sheets" in high school?

a. Yes 18 30 39 34 b. No 82 70 61 64

10. Have you written notes on your hands/arms/desk during college?

a. Yes 9 30 39 15 b. No 91 70 61 82

11. Have you written notes on your hands/arms/desk during high school?

a. Yes 27 30 37 35 b. No 70 68 63 62

12. Have you deliberately looked at or read another student's test sheet or paper during college?

a. Yes 21 22 22 40 b. No 79 78 78 57

13. Have you deliberately looked at or read another's students test sheet or paper during high school?

COB CLAS CET CFA
a. Yes 27 41 47 53
b. No 70 59 53 44

14. Have you passed answers to another person during a test or allowed another student to see your answers during college?

a. Yes 42 40 18 44 b. No 58 60 82 54



15.	Have you passed	answers to another person during a test or al	llowed
		to see your answers during high school?	

a. Yes 39 57 62 60 b. No 58 43 38 37

16. Have you planned with another student how you would cheat prior to an exam during college?

a. Yes 15 6 9 15 b. No 85 93 91 82

17. Have you planned with another student how you would cheat prior to an exam during high school?

a. Yes 21 22 29 28 b. No 67 76 69 66

18. Have you cheated on _____ during college?

a. Multiple Choice b. Essay Exams c. Math Tests d. Final Exams e. All of the Above

19. Have you cheated on _____ during high school?

a. Multiple Choice b. Essay Exams c. Math Tests d. Final Exams e. All of the Above



20.	Whi	ch is the o	ne <u>main</u> rea	ason why	you have	cheated	d uring	colle	ege?
	a.	Didn't have	enough tim	ne to stu	ıdy 1 2	8	17	6	
	ъ.	Course was	too hard		0	8	2	6	
	c.	Professor g	ave very ha	ard tests	18	9	9	19	
	d.	Cut class t	oo often		0	1	0	0	
	е.	Was lazy du	ring semest	ter	9	6	7	8	
21.	Whi	ich is the o	ne <u>main</u> rea	ason why	you have	cheated	during	high	school?
	а.	Didn't have	enough tir	me to stu	idy 18	9	22	21	
	ъ.	Course was	too hard		3	5	5	7	
	c.	Professor g	ave very ha	ard tests	; 3	10	6	10	
	d.	Cut class t	oo often		3	2	1	2	
	е.	Was lazy du	ring semes	ter	15	21	20	22	
22.		ve you ever ring college		term pap	per and h	anded it	in as	your	own
	а.	Yes	0	2	1	5			
	ъ.	No	100	94	97	89			
23.		ve you ever ring high so		term pap	per and h	nanded it	in as	your	own
	а.	Yes	3	2	1	5			
	ъ.	No	94	95	98	89			
24.		ve you ever ring college		rials fro	om books	without	quoting	g the	author
	а.	Yes	24	38	29	31			
	ъ.	No	76	60	71	64			
25.		ve you ever ring high so		rials fro	om books	without	quoting	g the	author
	а.	Yes	30	33	42	45			
	ъ.	No	58	64	57	50	•		



									01.0 20.		8
26.		ve you used the ring college?	exact	same	term	paper	for	two	different	courses	U
	а.	Yes	18	21	L	20		16			
	ъ.	No	82	78	3	80		79			
27.		ve you used the ring high school		same	term	paper	for	two	different	courses	
	a.	Yes	3	10)	13		13			
	ъ.	No	88	88	3	86		82			
28.		ve you ever cop off as your own					ome wo	ork a	assignment	and passe	đ
	a.	Yes	52	50	ס	55		55			
	ъ.	No	42	31	3	45		41			
29.		ve you ever cop off as your own					omew	ork a	assignmen t	and passed	d
	a.	Yes	36	2	l	27		31			
	ъ.	No	64	7	7	72		65			
30.		ve you ever had rm paper for you					iend'	'wr	ite parts (or an enti	re
	a.	Yes	3	;	8	8		14			
	ъ.	No	88	8	~	92		81			
31.		ve you ever had rm paper for yo					iend	" wr:	ite parts (or en erti	re
	а.	Yes	9		7	7		15			
	ъ.	No	88	8	9	93		80			
32.		ve you ever gon ur "gyp" sheets		ie ba	throo	m duri	ng a	tes	t to revie	w notes on	
	a.	Yes	0	(6	1		5			
	ъ.	No	100	9	1	99		89			



33. Have you ever inadvertently glanced at another student's paper, gleaned an answer and used it?

a. Yes	39	41.	54	59
b. No	61	55	41	34

The responses to this short survey appear to be consistent throughout. While cheating does occur in high school, it seems to diminish at the college level. Very few students take the time to deliberately plan ahead to cheat, but it does appear that if given the opportunity, that they will take advantage of a situation. One weakness of this questionnaire is that it did not probe deeply enough into the motivation of "on the spot" cheating. Perhaps when confronted with a difficult test students will utilize whatever means at their disposal to do well or at least to minimize anxiety. Question twenty specifically, did not address the motivation of "getting good grades" or the inverse, avoiding failure or a poor grade. Cheating may be motivated more by a desire to avoid a poor grade than by a desire to procure a good one. Tests may of course present material for which the student has not prepared, or prepared cursorily. Cheating may serve to allay anxiety. The short run, and future research may want to more specifically address the issue of anxiety in cheating behavior. Future research may also want to focus on choice of major, although many students often remain undeclared until their junior or often early senior year.



References

- Bushway, A., Nash, W. R. (1977). School cheating behavior. Review of Educational Research 47, 4, pp. 623-632.
- David, P. (1963). Correlates of cheating behavior in a ghetto elementary school. Graduate Research in Education and Related Disciplines 7, pp.

35-63.

- Ellenburg, F. C. (1973). Cheating on tests: Are high achievers greater offenders than low achievers? Clearing House 47, pp. 427-29.
- Heatherington, E. M. and Feldman. S. E. (1964). College cheating as a function of subject and situational variables. Journal of
- Educational

Psychology 55, pp. 212-218.

- Johnson, R. E. and Klores, M. S. (1968). Attitudes toward cheating as a function of classroom dissatisfaction and peer norms. Journal of Educational Research, 62, pp. 60-64.
- Kanfer, F. H. and Duerfeldt, P. H. (1968). Age, class standing and commitment as determinants of cheating in children. Child

Development

39, pp. 545-557.

Schab, F. (1969). Cheating in high school: Differences between the sexes. Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors 33, pp. 39-42.



- Vitro, F. T. and Schoer, L. A. (1972). The effects of probability of test success, test importance and risk of detection on the incidence of cheating. Journal of School Psychology 10, pp. 269-277.
- White, W. F., Zielonka, A. W. and Gaier, E. L. (1967). Personality correlates of cheating among college women under stress of independent opportunistic behavior. Journal of Educational Research 61, pp. 68-70.

