DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 303 299 RC 016 937

AUTHOR Fletcher, Richard K. Jr.; And Others
TITLE Strategies and Effectiveness of Rural

Schools/University Collaborations.

INSTITUTION Tennessee Technological Univ., Cookeville. Rural

Education Research and Service Consortium.

PUB DATE Sep 88

NOTE 68p.; Paper presented at the National Rural Education

Association Conference (80th, Bismarck, ND, September

24-28, 1988). For related document, see ED 289

639.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical

Data (110) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *College School Cooperation; Educational Research;

Elementary Secondary Education; *Regional

Characteristics; Rural Education; *Rural Schools; *School Effectiveness; Student Attitudes; Teacher

Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS *Good Schools Project (Kappa Delta Pi); *Tennessee

(Upper Cumberland)

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the findings of a study of the effectiveness of collaboration between the Rural Education Research and Service Consortium at Tennessee Technological University and rural schools in its service area. The study uses the instrumentation and research design of the Good Schools Project (GSP) initiated by Kappa Delta Pi in 1984. Baseline data collected in 1986 using the GSP structure are compared to data collected in spring 1988. Data were gathered by the consortium and analyzed in 11 conceptual dimensions: demographics, curriculum perspectives, goal attainment, classroom practices, interpersonal relations, commitment, discipline and safety, support services and facilities, decision-making, history, and achievement scores. Between the time of the baseline data collection and the spring of 1988, strategies directed at areas of indicated weaknesses were implemented in 12 of the 40 schools from which baseline data were collected. This research report discusses both positive and negative changes. The 1988 sample included 9 of 40 schools used in the 1986 study. Numerous tables present the percentages of responses for the GSP sample, the Middle Tennessee Region 1986 sample, and the Middle Tennessee Region 1988 sample. The chi square test is reported on the tables. The report concludes that, although the study was originally designed to measure the impact of collaboration on rural school effectiveness, it has become instead a measure of the impact of state mandates and principal leadership on rural schools. (ALL)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

Strategies and Effectiveness of Rural Schools/University Collaborations

A Paper

Presented by

Dr. Richard K. Fletcher, Jr., Professor Curriculum and Instruction

and

Dr. Margaret S. Phelps, Director Rural Education Research and Service Consortium

and

Dr. Elmer Gene Talbert, Coordinator Education Support Services

Tennessee Technological University Cookeville, Tennessee 38505

at

The National Rural Education 80th Annual Conference

Bismarck, North Dakota

September 24-28, 1988

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy. "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

TABLE OF CONTENTS/TABLES

PA	AGE	NUI	MBE
INTRODUCTION	. 		1
PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS	. 	• •	5
TABLE T-1 CURRICULUM PERSPECTIVES	· • • •		6
TABLE S-1 CURRICULUM PERSPECTIVES	. 	• •	11
TABLE T-2 GOAL ATTAINMENT			13
TABLE S-2 GOAL ATTAINMENT			15
TABLE T-3 CLASJROOM PRACTICES			17
TABLE S-3 CLASSROOM PRACTICES		. 	21
TABLE T-4 DECISION MAKING	• • •		26
TABLE S-4 DECISION MAKING			33
TABLE T-5 SUPPORT SERVICES AND FACILITIES	• • •		34
TABLE T-6 COMMITMENT	• • •	• •	36
TABLE S-6 COMMITMENT	• • •	• •	42
TABLE T-7 DISCIPLINE AND SAFETY	• • •		44
TABLE S-7 DISCIPLINE AND SAFETY	• • •	• •	47
TABLE T-8 INTERPERSONAL RELATIONS	• • •	• •	50
TABLE S-8 INTERPERSONAL RELATIONS	• • •	• •	54
DISCUSSION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES	• • •	• •	58
Curriculum Perspectives	• • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	58 59 59 60 61 61 61 62
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	• • •		62
REFERENCES			c n



ABSTRACT

Strategies and Effectiveness of Rural Schools/University Collaborations

The Rural Education Research and Service Consortium at Tennessee Technological University has engaged in a variety of collaborative efforts with a dozen rural schools in its service area over the past four years. The process of rural school/university collaboration has evolved into various strategies for impacting rural school effectiveness while meeting the research and service demands of the University.

Baseline data reflecting the effectiveness of rural schools in the Upper Cumberland region of Tennessee were collected and analyzed in a report by these authors which included comparisons of teacher and student responses from forty rural schools from this region with those of teachers and students included in Kappa Delta Pi's Good Schools Project. Subsequent to collecting the baseline data, strategies were implemented in a dozen of the schools which were directed at areas of indicated weaknesses. The same instruments were administered again to both students and teachers in 1988. This research report discusses the changes, both positive and negative, which the authors construe as related to the impact of the strategies which have been implemented.

The period of time included in the study of rural schools/ university collaborations has been one of tremendous school change in Tennessee. The State has mandated numerous reforms including a Career Ladder Program for teachers, state curriculum guides, required achievement testing, competency based programs in many subject areas, publication of School Success Report Cards for each school system, and other measures designed to standardize the public school experience. In addition, in the particular schools included in this study, two were consolidated and five changed principals. Consequently it appears that the study which was designed at its inception to measure the impact of collaboration on rural school effectiveness has become instead a measure of the impact of state mandates and principal leadership on rural schools. On items dealing with issues addressed by state mandates, each of the schools studied tended to shift in the same direction. items reflecting leadership initiatives of the building principal, some schools showed great improvement and others showed the opposite. This resulted in several survey items showing both positive and negative shifts away from earlier more neutral responses.



INTRODUCTION

How effective are rural schools in meeting the needs of youth? How does one determine the effectiveness of a rural school? How do rural schools in a particular region compare with effective schools across the nation? These were some of the questions facing the Tennessee Technological University Rural Education Research and Service Consortium (RERSC) when it was The mission of RERSC is to facilitate research formed in 1984. and service activities of the University's teacher education faculty in rural schools and to launch a major research thrust consistent with the provisions of the Tennessee Comprehensive Education Reform Act of 1984 (CERA) and the national emphasis on effective schools and effective teaching. One of the first goals of the Consortium was the collection of a broad base of data to be used in determining the level of effectiveness of schools in the Upper Cumberland region of Tennessee and to identify variables for school improvement projects.

The process of rural school/university collaboration has evolved into various strategies for impacting rural school effectiveness while meeting the research and service demands of the University. Baseline data reflecting the effectiveness of rural schools in the Upper Cumberland region of Tennessee were collected and analyzed in a report by these authors (Talbert, 1987) which included comparisons of teacher and student responses from forty rural schools from this region with those of teachers



and students included in Kappa Delta Pi's Good Schools Project.

(Frymier, 1984) The GSP included 106 schools from throughout the nation which had been identified by the project committee as "good schools" based on nominations from Kappa Delta Pi members. Principals, teachers and students in each school were surveyed, and selected school personnel, students and parents were interviewed. Data were gathered and analyzed with respect to eleven conceptual dimensions: demographics, curriculum perspectives, goal attainment, classroom practices, interpersonal relations, commitment, discipline and safety, support services and facilities, decision making, history, and achievement scores.

Because the GSP data were current, included a broad base of information, and were based on a national sample, they were selected for comparison with baseline information on rural schools in the TTU service area. Permission was secured from Kappa Delta Pi to use the GSP instrumentation and research design.

The period of time included in the study of rural schools/university collaborations has been one of tremendous school change in Tennessee. The State has mandated numerous reforms including a Career Ladder Program for teachers, state curriculum guides, required achievement testing, competency based programs in many subject areas, publication of School Success Report Cards for each school system, and other measures designed to standardize the public school experience. In addition, in the particular schools included in this study, two



were consolidated and five changed principals. Concurrent with the state and local changes occurring in these schools, the TTU Rural Education Research and Service Consortium initiated a variety of school-based research/service projects in the member schools. The strategies used varied from school to school depending on the perceived needs of individual schools and the availability of resources.

Teachers in each member school were provided access to a professional growth program which provided for their spending a day visiting another school without loss of pay. The program provided either a TTU professor to serve as substitute or reimbursed the school for the substitute and paid expenses incurred in the visit. Other programs implemented in one or more of the member schools included specialized courses for teachers, an elementary school music teacher, use of art methods students to teach art, a resource teacher in art, a resource teacher in elementary science, storytelling in K-2, children's literature in 3-6, creative writing using word processing, study skills training, higher order thinking instruction, a middle school band program, parent education, science enrichment, concert series, theatrical productions, and student clubs. Many of these activities were funded externally as a result of proposals written by the Rural Education faculty.

In the spring of 1988, the Kappa Delta Pi Good Schools instrumentation was readministered in nine of the member schools. Subsequent to collecting the baseline data, strategies were

implemented in a dozen of the schools which were directed at areas of indicated weaknesses. The same instruments were administered again in selected schools to both students and teachers in 1988. This research report discusses the changes, both positive and negative, which the authors construe as related to the impact of the strategies which have been implemented.

Faculty from the Rural Education Research and Service

Consortium at Tennessee Technological University have assisted rural schools in acquiring grants relating to dropout prevention, providing free inservice and course opportunities for teachers, provided personnel in band, music, and art and have been instrumental in providing physics by sattelite to schools which otherwise would have had limited programs in physics. Some of the specific questions which reflect the thrust of this proposal are whether provisions for exemplary interactive growth experiences for teachers, special enrichment programs for elementary and secondary students such as art and music teachers, and providing physics by sattelite change the quality of the rural schools, thus reflecting better ratings on the assessment instruments used in the Good Schools Project.

This session is a presentation of statistical and graphical analysis which reflect the effectiveness of the strategies used to improve rural schools in Tennessee through the collaborative effort between faculty in the Rural Education Research and Service Consortium and key administrators and teachers in these rural schools. The baseline data from the Good Schools Project



(GSP) of a national sample of one hundred schools are included in the statistical TABLES as a point of comparative reference only. The chi squared test was employed to determine whether any statistically significant changes were made between the 1986 and 1988 assessments which used the two hundred item (GSP) survey for teachers and one hundred item (GSP) survey for students. (Frymier, 1984)

PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS

The sample for this study included 9 of 40 schools used in the earlier investigation. Specifically, the number of teachers surveyed in 1988 was 150 and the number of students was 1202. This compared to 173 teachers and 866 students from the same nine schools in 1986. The tables reflect the percentages of responses for the GSP sample, the Middle Tennessee Region 1986 sample (MTR86), and the Middle Tennessee Region 1988 sample (MTR88). The results from the analysis of these data are included in the following tables.

TABLE T-1 CURRICULUM PERSPECTIVES

#Survey Item	. GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teach	
Conceptions of Knowledge and Learning				
142. What is considered to be true or important changes as conditions change. Always Often Seldom/Never	22 63 15	8 73 20	9 72 19	0.111
112. Open-ended questions are confusing to students. Always/Often Seldom Never	53 39 8	72 28 1	69 29 2	1.476
34. It is more important that students learn what is right than to think for themselves. Always/Often Seldom Never	35 41 24	52 39 9	44 48 8	2.768
44. It is important for student to learn what is in the textbook Always Often Seldom/Never	ts k. 21 70 9	15 83 2	21 77 1	2.551
153. Information is learned primarily so it can be applied to real-life situations. Always Often Seldom/Never	27 65 8	13 82 6	16 77 7	1.068
66. Students learn best when new content and skills are related to their previous experiences. Always Often Seldom/Never	59 39 2	64 36 0	55 45 1	3.933



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	Chi rs Sq.
90. Students learn best when they begin with discrete skills and information rather than broideas.	ad			
Always Often Seldom/Never	18 57 25	17 63 20	8 76 16	6.877*
156. Content is integrated acrosubject boundaries to promote learning.	ss			
Always Often Seldom/Never	21 62 16	10 71 19	10 71 20	0.027
101. Students learn best when they have some choice in the selection of materials and activities.				•
Always Often Seldom/Never	20 60 20	8 73 19	6 73 21	0.581
125. Students learn best when a wide variety of activities are provided.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	57 39 4	42 55 2	46 50 3	1.030
139. Given the opportunity, students will choose activities that are educationally worthwhile				
Always Often Seldom/Never	6 69 25	0 68 32	0 65 35	0.321
Expectations				
52. All students are capable of higher-level learning. Always Often Seldom/Never	16 56 27	12 65 23	13 62 25	0.377



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8 Teach	
102. Teachers in this school expect students to learn. Always Often Seldom/Never	74 25 1	72 28 1	72 27 1	·0.564
80. If teachers expect students to learn, students will learn. Always Often Seldom/Never	34 57 9	19 76 5	21 73 6	0.578
How important is it for this school to help students acquire each of the following:				
21. Reading skills Always Often Seldom/Never	95 5 0	94 6 0	90 7 3	5.894*
<pre>22. Factual knowledge and concepts in the subject area Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	79 20 1	59 40 1	63 35 3	3.161
23. Positive attitudes toward learning Always Often	91 9 9	86 14	91 8	2.801
Seldom/Never 24. Friendliness and respect toward people of different	-	1	1	•
races and religions Always Often Seldom/Often	85 14 1	65 34 1	70 27 3	3.715
<pre>25. A sense of self-worth Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	89 10 1	84 14 1	89 11 1	1.232



#Survey It.em	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
26. Critical thinking and reasoning skills Always Often Seldom/Never	81 17 2	60 38 2	69 29 3	3.232
27. Independence and self-	2	2	J	
reliance Always Often Seldom/Never	81 18 1	66 32 2	70 28 2	0.562
28. Skills in evaluating information and arguments Always	68	35	44	2.925
Often Seldom/Never	29 3	57 8	47 9	2.725
29. Effective expression of opinions				
Always Often Seldom/Never	68 29 3	32 61 7	43 51 7	4.191
30. Vocational skills Always Often Seldom/Never	41 40 19	20 60 20	31 47 23	6.855*
83. Teachers feel responsible for the social development of students				
Always Often Seldom/Never	27 57 16	10 71 20	7 75 17	0.996
67. Academic learning is a top priority at this school Always Often Seldom/Never	49 44 7	49 46 5	44 46 9	2.283
*p<.05				



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8 Teach	
138. There is pressure on teachers for students to get high scores on achievement tests.				
Always	14	19	41	35.165*
Often	40	42	46	
Seldom/Never	46	40	13	
77. In this school, there is a lot of pressure on students to get good grades Always Often Seldom/Never	11 54 35	5 62 33	7 69 25	2.615
35. Teachers pressure students to get good grades				
Always	8	6	7	1.811
Often	51	69	74	
Seldom/Never	41	25	19	
127. Achievement is more important than effort for getting good grades in this school				
Always	12	5	5	0.157
Often	54	68	66	
Seldom/Never	34	27	29	

^{*}p<.05



TABLE S-1 CURRICULUM PERSPECTIVES

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
Self-Expectations				
1. Do you expect to graduate high school? A.Definitely yes B.Probably C./D.I'm not sure/No	85 11 4	77 16 7	81 13 7	3.826
2.After high school, do you expect to go to college? A.Definitely yes B.Probably C./D.I'm not sure/No	50 25 25	29 24 48	35 23 42	9.817*
6. How much do you expect to learn in school this year? A.A lot B.Some C./D.Not much/Very little	72 25 3	62 31 7	61 32 7	0.585
7. What is your favorite subject in school? A.Language Arts/Reading/ English B./C.Mathematics/Science D.Social Studies/History/ Geography	23 60 17	23 58 19	19 52 29	26.077*
8. If you could choose one important goal for yourself, which of the following would be the most important one for your A./C.To get along with other people/To become a better person B.To learn a lot about the subjects in school D.To get a good job		46 15 38	42 16 42	4.347
Expectations for Me, Personally				
23. Teachers believe I can learn Always Often Seldom/Never *p<.05	79 17 4	74 20 6	70 22 8	4.090



#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
75. Teachers expect me to learn Always Often Seldom/Never	72 24 4	68 25 7	60 29 11	17.259*
Achievement Pressure				
11. Teachers count how hard we try as part of our grade. Always	30	27	23	8.124*
Often Seldom/Never	44 26	43 30	43 35	
37. Students who try hard in this school succeed. Always Often Seldom/Never	51 44 5	42 50 8	42 48 10	5.048
71. Teachers put a lot of pressure on us to learn. Always Often Seldom/Never	22 41 37	17 40 43	18 33 48	10.183*
94. Nobody cares how hard you try in this school. Always Often Seldom/Never	9 13 78	12 1.6 72	12 18 70	1.550



TABLE T-2 GOAL ATTAINMENT

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teach	
How effective this school is in helping students acquire each o the following:			·	
Intellectual				
11. Reading skills Always Often Seldom/Never	55 40 5	9 73 18	7 81 11	3.177
<pre>12. Factual knowledge and concepts in the subject are Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	49 49 2	10 80 10	9 82 9	0.285
<pre>16. Critical thinking and reasoning skills Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	30 58 12	2 51 47	5 41 53	5.193
<pre>18. Skills in evaluating information and arguments Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	25 58 17	1 45 54	5 41 53	4.731
<pre>19. Effective expression of opinions Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	32 56 12	3 55 42	7 50 43	3.680
Vocational				
20. Vocational skills Always Often Seldom/Never	21 42 37	2 32 66	4 28 68	1.887



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8 Teach	
How effective this school is in helping students acquire each of the following:	=			
Personal				
13. Positive attitudes toward learning Always Often	55 40	5 72	, 7 67	1.066
Seldom/Never	5	23	25	
<pre>15. A sense of self-worth Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	53 43 4	8 72 20	11 61 28	4.871
<pre>17. Independence and self- reliance Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	37 52 11	4 55 40	5 54 41	0.320
Social				
<pre>14. Friendliness and respect toward people of different races and religions Always Often Seldom/Never</pre>	54 38 8	12 65 23	13 55 32	3.314
General				
111. All students have a chance to do well in this school. Always Often Seldom/Never	72 27 1	63 36 1	59 39 2	0.772



TABLE S-2 Goal Attainment

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR88 Studen	Chi ts Sq.
Intellectual				
29. In this school, we are taught reading skills. Always Often Seldom/Never	55 27 18	54 28 18	54 26 20	2.667
43. In this school, we are taught to read for understandin Always Often Seldom/Never	g. 47 39 14	44 38 18	39 41 20	5.932*
83. In this school, we are taught to read for enjoyment. Always Often Seldom/Never	23 41 36	23 37 40	21 37 42	1.551
60. In this school, we are taught how to write effectively. Always Often Seldom/Never	37 41 22	27 40 33	29 40 32	0.846
40. In this school, we are taught thinking and reasoning skills. Always Often Seldom/Never	36 44 20	32 40 28	27 41 32	5.590
Personal				
15. In this school, we are taught how to study. Always Often Seldom/Never	33 34 33	40 29 31	37 34 29	5.035



PABLE S-2 (cont)

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students		
92. In this school, we are taught to be independent and self-reliant. Always Often	36 47	34 46	29 46	8.592*
Seldom/Never	17	20	25	
Social				
36. In this school, we are taught to respect rights of other individuals and groups. Always Often Seldom/Never	52 32 16	48 36 16	47 34 20	4.244
87. In this school, we are taught to be friendly toward people of different races, religions and cultures.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	50 30 20	53 27 21	47 30 23	7.128*



TABLE T-3 CLASSROOM PRACTICES

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
Critical Thinking				
32. I encourage students to disagree with me. Always Often Seldom/Never	16 52 32	1 42 57	3 55 42	7.902*
58. Students are encouraged to examine different points of vie rather than to expect that ther are right answers. Always Often Seldom/Never	w e 20 68 12	5 72 23	7 69 23	0.708
74. I encourage students to raise questions about what they are studying. Always Often Seldom/Never	60 36 3	46 47 6	42 51 7	0.614
Homework				
10. How much time do you expect students to spend on homework each day? None Less than 30 minutes More than 30 minutes	12 41 47	8 30 62	12 40 48	6.091*
Use of Textbooks				
106. I use the textbook as the primary source of information. Always Often Seldom/Never	16 51 33	18 65 18	19 66 15	0.433



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8	,
53. I use standardized test results for making instructiona decisions.	1			
Always/Often	43	32	45	5.930*
Seldom Never	39 18	55 12	48 ?	
98. The tests and examinations I give my students accurately represent the goals and objectiof this school.	ves			
Always	46	30	30	2.132
Often Seldom/Never	51 3	68 2	64 5	
109. I use my own teacher-made tests for making instructional decisions.				
Always Often	21 65	13	6	12.126*
Seldom/Never	14	70 12	75 19	
Use of Classroom Time				
38. In this school, most classe are well-organized, and little time is wasted.	s			
Always	43	16	24	3.181
Often Seldom/Never	52 5	78 6	70 6	
157. Most of the time in class spent on academic activities.	_	Ū	Ū	
Always	32	28	21	2.063
Often Seldom/Never	65 3	71.	78	
Deldom, Nevel	3	1	1	
Individualization				
96. Teachers individualize instruction.				
Always Often	19 60	* 4 69	8	2.351
Seldom/Never	21	27	68 24	

^{*}p<.05



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
Student Choice Options				
93. I let students select the curriculum materials they use. Always/Often Seldom Never	21 58 21	15 67 18	11 68 21	0.933
104. I let students select learning activities. Always/Often Seldom Never	52 42 6	45 52 3	39 57 4	1.365
121. I give my students the option to do projects such as pictures or models rather than written assignments. Always/Often Seldom Never	53 36 11	48 46 6	54 39 6	1.526
Availability of Materials, Suppl	lies	•		
97. The curriculum materials available are appropriate for the students in my classes. Always Often Seldom/Never	46 48 6	17 76 7	19 73 9	0.618
133. Audio-visual materials and equipment are available when needed. Always Often Seldom/Never	63 33 4	29 67 4	37 55 8	5.612
151. School supplies are readily available for classroom use. Always Often Seldom/Never	44 47 9	17 64 20	19 64 17	0.536



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8 Teach	_	Chi Sq.
Cooperation and Learning					
148. Students tutor or assist other students in my classes. Always Often Seldom/Never	15 56 29	6 64 30	6 63 31	0.(065
61. I encourage students to wor together on topics they are studying. Always Often Seldom/Never	23 60 17	4 72 24	7 65 28	2.4	424



TABLE S-3 CLASSROOM PRACTICES

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
Critical Thinking				
16. Teachers ask us to explain how we got an answer. Always Often Seldom/Never	27 54 19	18 62 20	19 58 23	2.597
28. Teachers encourage us to question what's in the book. Always Often Seldom/Never	34 39 27	33 37 30	29 37 35	6.680*
41. Teachers encourage us to raise questions about what we are studying. Always Often Seldom/Never	46 40 14	42 41 16	40 41 19	2.910
74. Teachers encourage us to examine different points of view rather than just find the right answers. Always Often Seldom/Never	27 49 24	21 46 33	20 42 38	4.470
80. We are free to question or disagree with our teachers. Always Often Seldom/Never	38 34 28	32 34 34	27 35 38	5.594
90. We are encouraged to express our opinions in class. Always Often Seldom/Never 96. We spend a lot of time	38 40 22	30 43 26	30 37 33	13.510*
memorizing things. Always Often Seldom/Never *p<.05	15 41 44	10 33 57	11 36 52	4,458



#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
Student Choice Options				
32. We have a choice about the time we spend working on assignments.	•			
Always Often Seldom/Never	9 23 68	10 20 71	9 23 68	3.228
49. Teachers let us select the materials we use in class. Always	6	5	. 6	1.382
Often Seldom/Never	24 70	24 71	22 71	
52. We have a chance to decide what to study.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	19 75	9 17 74	6 18 76	9.029*
72. We are encouraged to study topics that interest us. Always Often Seldom/Never	27 44 29	2 3 45 32	21 40 39	9.053*
76. Teachers let us do projects such as pictures or models rather than written assignments		32	33	
Always Often Seldom/Never	6 29 65	4 28 68	7 30 62	12.516*
Availability of Materials, Suppl	<u>lies</u>			
67. We use different kinds of materials in class, such as newspapers and photographs.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	13 36 51	7 2 9 65	7 27 66	0.567



#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR88 Studen	
Use of Classroom Time				•
9. How do you spend most of your time during the school day?				
A.Listening to the teacher talk with the whole group	67	75	70	9.049*
B.Working by myself on workbooks or reading C./D.Working with other	20	11	10	
students on special projects/Taking tests to see how much I have				
learned	13	14	19	
31. What we do in class is well organized and little time is wasted.	•			
Always Often	25	22	23	0.787
Seldom/Never	55 20	51 27	49 27	
77. Students fool around a lot in class.				
Always Often	15 33	18 3 9	14 37	9.314*
Seldom/Never	52	43	49	
81. I have enough time in class to finish my assignments.				
Always Often	16 51	18 50	15 50	2.617
Seldom/Never	33	32	34	
<u>Homework</u>				
5. How much time do you spend of homework each day? A./B.None/Less than 30 minutes		36	38	0.752
C.Between 30 and 60 minutes D.More than 60 minutes		46 18	45 17	0.152

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR88 Studer	
Individualization				
24. Everybody works on tha same things in class. Always Often Seldom/Never	23 57 20	30 51 19	33 50 16	4.386
Instructional Practices				
34. Most of our class assignment are interesting. Always Often Seldom/Never	13 49 38	12 46 42	10 42 48	7.275*
48. Teachers try to explain this in terms of other things we already.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	28 54 13	27 53 20	27 50 23	2.332
57. Class assignments are too h for me.	ard			
Always/Often Seldom Never	16 58 26	22 54 24	22 55 23	0.237
91. Most of our classwork is but waste of time.	sy-a			
Always/Often Seldom Never	23 45 32	30 44 26	32 41 27	1.354
Use of Textbooks				
47. Most of the work in my class comes from the textbook. Always Often Seldom/Never	22 60 18	30 60 10	22 63 15	19.741*



#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR88 Studen	
Evaluation				
4. What grades do you usually go in school?	et			
A.A	23	26	25	0.126
B.B	48	47	48	
C./D.C,L, r F	29	27	27	
82. We get the grades we deserve whether or not the teacher likes us.				
Always	55	53	51	2.373
Often	32	31	31	
Seldom/Never	13	15	18	•
Cooperation and Learning				
44. Teachers encourage us to wortogether on what we're studying				
Always	16	17	16	6.240*
Often Seldom/Never	37	31	36	
Serdom/Never	47	53	48	
79. There is a lot of cooperative effort among students.	<i>r</i> e			
Always	22	19	19	0.588
Often Seldom/Never	57 21	54	53	
perdom/ Mener	21	27	28	



TABLE T-4 DECISION MAKING

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
Response to Problems				
115. Schoolwide problems are identified and acted upon cooperatively by administrators teachers, and other staff membe Always Often Seldom/Never		22 60 18	26 56 18	0.735
136. People in this school do a good job of examining alternative solutions to problems before deciding what to do.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	35 5 8 6	20 72 9	22 65 14	2.519
88. When a problem arises in this school, there are established procedures for working on it.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	46 45 9	20 59 21	18 62 20	0.323
49. Our efforts to solve schoolwide problems are successful.				
Always/Often Seldom Never	91 8 1	84 16 0	79 17 4	7.245*
Administrators' Decision Making				
50. Once decisions are made, the principal sees that they are carried out. Always Often Seldom/Never	54 40 6	38 53 9	43 47 10	1.274



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
56. Administrators seek out teachers' suggestions for improving the school.				
Always Often	26 50	8 54	11 45	2.390
76. The principal makes the	24	38	44	
inportant decisions in this school.				
Always Often	34 55	37 56	37 59	0.797
Seldom/Never 81. The principal accepts	11	7	5	
staff decisions even if he or she does not agree with them.				
Always	15	10	11	0.932
Often Seldom/Never	53 31	48 41	53 36	
114. The principal trusts teachers to use their profession judgement on instructional matter				
Always Often	62 35	49	50	0.091
Seldom/Never	35 3	50 2	49 1	
120. The principal encourages teachers with leadership abilitito move into leadership roles.	.es			
Always	36	20	21	0.235
Often Seldom/Never	46 18	49 31	50 29	
Parents and Community	•			
86. In this school, parents and community organization work with school personnel to identify and resolve schoolwide problems.	ı L			
Always Often	21 50	1 28	2 36	3.098
Seldom/Never	29	71	62	



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
140. Parents are important members of school committees and advisory groups. Always Often Seldom/Never	37 42 21	11 30 59	15 35 50	2.780
General				
117. The staff evaluates its programs and activities to chan them for the better. Always Often Seldom/Never	age 41 51 8	19 62 19	18 59 23	0.831
128: Overall, I have control over how I carry out my own job.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	54 44 2	36 64 0	29 71 0	1.913
154. It is difficult for teachers to influence administrative decisions regarding school policy. Always Often Seldom/Never	9 37 53	11 44 46	13 54 33	5.382
100. Teachers' unions or associations should bargain about curriculum and teaching materials.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	41 33 26	59 33 8	66 29 6	1.692
Actual and Desired Involvement	in Selecte	d Areas		
181. Do participate in hiring new teachers in this school. Always Often Seldom/Never *p<.05	9 13 78	1 1 98	0 2 98	2.091



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88	
191. Should participate in hiring new teachers in this school.				
Always	24	11	12	5.142
Often	33	39	50	J.142.
Seldom/Never	43	50	38	
182. Do participate in selecting textbooks.				
Always	42	10	8	5.063
Often	33	44	33	3.003
Seldom/Never	25	47	59	
192. Should participate in selecting textbooks.				
Always	57	34	30	1.458
,Often	32	58	57	
Seldom/Never	11	9	13	
183. Do participate in resolving learning problems of individual students.	3			
Always	43	12	12	0.057
Often	44	66	65	
Seldom/Never	13	22	23	
193. Should participate in resolving learning problems of individual students.				
Always	54	35	30	1.855
Often	39	60	61	
Seldom/Never	7	6	9	
184. Do participate in determining appropriate instructional methods and techniques.				
Always	51	15	11	3.669
Often Seldom/Never	38 11	61 24	56 33	
194. Should participate in determining appropriate instructional methods and techniques.	r— L			
Always	62	37	35	1.358
Often	32	58	57	
Seldom/Never *p<.05	6	5	8	



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
185. Do participate in establishing classroom disciplinary policies.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	59 29 12	25 55 20	24 54 23	0.452
195. Should participate in establishing classroom disiplinary policies.				
Always	69	48	45	0.577
Often Seldom/Never	24 7	48 4	49 6	
186. Do participate in establishing general instructional policies.				
Always	29	6	9	2.452
Often	44	47	39	
Seldom/Never	27	46	53	
196. Should participate in establishing general instructional policies.				•
Always	42	30	29	0.377
Often	46	61	60	
Seldom/Never	12	9	11	
187. Do participate in determining faculty assignments in the school.				
Always/Often	16	8	7	2.955
Seldom	25	20	29	
Never	59	72	64	
197. Should participate in deter faculty assignments in the school	1			
Always/Often	37	26	24	0.455
Seldom Never	32 31	43 31	46 30	
188. Do participate in evaluating the performance of teachers.				
Always/Often Seldom	9	5	2	5.784
Never	15 76	17 77	27 71	
*p<.05	7.0	, ,	1 T	



#Survey Ite	em	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
198. Should participate in evaluating					
the periorm Always Seldom Never	nance of teachers. s/Often	21 31 48	13 55 32	21 48 32	3.183
adminstrati	ticipate in selectir ve personnel to be the school.	ıg			
Always Seldom Never	s/Often 1	6 10 84	·1 8 91	0 11 89	2.519
199. Should participate in selecting administrative personnel to be assigned to the school					
	:/Often	32 28 40	19 43 37	31 38 31	6.136*
your own jo	ticipate in evaluati b performance.	ng			
Always Often Seldom	/Never	37 30 33	16 36 49	17 35 49	0.082
	participate ng your own				
Always Often		53 35 12	53 42 4	53 38 9	2.846
Students					
	s school, students ce to change things like.				
	/Often	44 49 7	28 68 4	22 69 9	4.063



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teachers	Chi S Sq.
143. Students participate in the development of school policies, procedures, and programs. Always/Often Seldom	e 45 47	29 65	22 4 67	1.803
Never	8	5	11	



TABLE S-4 DECISION MAKING

#Survey Item.	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
17. We have a chance to change things we don't like. Always Often	9	9	5	10.764*
Seldom/Never	28 63	24 67	26 69	
21. Students in this school participate in developing school policies and programs.				
Always	19	14	14	0.789
Often Seldom/Never	47 34	42 44	44 42	
97. Teachers lister to our suggestions for program changes. Always Often Seldom/Never	1.8 41 41	14 37 48	15 39 47	0.622



TABLE T-5 SUPPORT SERVICES AND FACILITIES

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers			8 Chi ers Sq.
Library Services	_			
37. Library services meet the needs and interests of students Always Often	52 38	11 65	19 63	5.427
Seldom/Never	10	24	17	
41. Library services meet the needs of teachers. Always	44	9	19	6.144*
Often Seldom/Never	44 12	65 26	59 22	0.111
	12	20	22	
Pleasantness/Cleanliness				
134. This school building is pleasant to be in. Always Often	57 38	29 57	24 61	1.327
Seldom/Never	5	14	16	
159. The school building and grounds are kept clean.				
Always Often	51 43	19 57	18 60	0.246
Seldom/Never	6	24	22	
<u>Secretarial</u>				
64. Adequate secretarial service is available.				
Always . Often	55 31	19 39	38 43	23.933*
Seldom/Never	14	42	19	



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teachers		Chi Sq.
Inservice Worthwhile					
103. Inservice programs at this school are worthwhile. Always Often Seldom/Never	21 54 25	6 58 35	5 56 39	0.	830
Use of Building					
110. Teachers and students are allowed to put things on the walls in this building. Always	55	44	55	15.	575*
Often Seldom/Never	33 12	39 17	42 3		
118. Furniture and equipment ca be rearranged as desired.	n				
Always Often Seldom/Never	77 21 2	60 33 7	65 34 1	5.	935*



TABLE T-6 COMMITMENT

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
Indices of Student Commitment				
130. Students have a lot of sch spirit.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	56 29 15	19 60 22	22 64 14	2.883
33. There is a lot of student participation in academic clubs sports, and music and drama act	ivities.		_	
Always Often	36 42	10 53	7 58	1.112
Seldom/Never	22	37	34	
Indices of Staff Commitment: Teacher Pride and Morale				
31. Teachers are proud to work at this school.				
Always Often	63	42	39	0.264
Seldom/Never	34 3	51 7	52 8	
43. The morale of teachers is h				
Always Often	37 50	8 66	12 58	2.502
Seldom/Never	13	26	30	
63. Teachers maintain high standards for themselves.				
Always Often	53	34	31	0.398
Seldom/Never	44 3	61 5	65 5	
Indices of Staff Commitment: Teacher and Principal Openness				
40. Teachers are receptive to suggestions for program improve Always		20	2.0	0.740
Often	45 50	28 66	29 63	0.740
Seldom/Never	5	6	8	



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
91. Teachers try new ideas to improve their teaching. Always Often Seldom/Never	37 59 4	16 79 5	20 75 5	1.080
145. Staff members are flexible they are able to consider their positions on issues and change their minds. Always	; , 23	11	0	2 507
Often Seldom/Never	66 11	11 74 15	8 71 22	3.597
147. The principal encourages teachers to try out new ideas.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	40 50 10	22 61 17	19 59 22	1.520
122. I participate in profession development activities outside the school.	nal of			
Always Often Seldom/Never	25 53 22	17 60 24	10 64 27	3.605
78. The principal shares new ideas with teachers.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	50 41 9	20 66 14	23 53 24	6.585*
Indices of Staff Commitment: Staff Acceptance of Responsibility				
59. Administrators, teachers, an other staff members are working hard to improve this school.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	60 36 4	40 53 7	43 43 15	6.493*

^{*}p<.05



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teacher	Chi cs Sq.
69. Rules and red tape in this school make it difficult to get things done. Always/Often Seldom Never	20 57 23	16 74 10	29 65 5	9.568
105. Teachers are not responsible for what happens at this school too many factors are beyond their control.	le ;			
Always/Often Seldom Never	23 47 30	31 61 8	41 52 6	3.580
158. People in this school complain about things, but are reluctant to do anything about them.				
Always/Often Seldom Never	35 54 11	56 41 3	61 37 2	0°.768
92. Teachers feel responsible for student learning. Always Often Seldom/Never	58 40 2	48 52 0	43 53 4	7.444*
119. The staff is task oriented, jobs get completed and there is little wasted time. Always Often Seldom/Never	36 58 6	14 80 6	22 67 10	6.451*

^{*}p<.05



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8 Teach	
Indices of Parent Commitment				
48. Parents support school activities. Always	34	5	6	0.871
Often Seldom/Never	51 15	62 33	65 29	0.871
55. Parents serve as teacher aides in this school. Always/Often	37	5	15	15.322*
Seldom Never	27 36	25 69	34 50	13.322
68. Parents support school rules. Always	27	E	А	0 421
Often Seldom/Never	66 7	5 84 10	4 84 12	0.431
72. Parents work in the school library.				
Always/Often Seldom Never	31 19 50	8 12 81	8 21 71	5.210
85. Parents come to school to discuss their children's problems.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	22 59 19	1 54 45	3 52 45	1.840
95. Parents tutor students at this school.				
Always/Often Seldom Never	27 36 37	7 37 56	3 44 53	4.046
129. Parents encourage and support teachers' efforts. Always	21	5	1	A 522
Often Seldom/Never	66 13	61 34	1 63 36	4.533

^{*}p<.05



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8	
146. Parents make sure their children do their homework. Always Often Seldom/Never	3 59 38	1 48 52	1 44 55	0.377
Other Indices of Teacher Commit	ment			
3. On the average, the amount of spend per day on extra- or co-duties such as music or athletic Less than 1 hr. Between 1 and 2 hrs. More than 2 hours	urricular	59 32 9	74 19 7	7.957*
4. On the average, the amount of time you spend per day after regular school hours checking and grading papers and preparing for class is:	g			
Less than 1 hr. Between 1 and 2 hrs. More than 2 hrs.	44 41 15	44 42 13	47 36 17	1.532
5. On the average, the amount of time you spend per day after regular school hours with stude is:				
Less than one-half hour Between 1/2 hr. and 1 hr. More than 1 hour	65 25 10	74 17 9	79 16 5	1.854
6. On the average, the total amount of time you work per day on school-related activities is	:			
Less than 8 hrs. Between 8 and 10 hrs. More than 10 hrs.	47 44 9	47 48 6	55 39 6	2.557
7. The number of teaching days you missed last year for health or personal reasons was:				
None 1-5 More than 5	16 66 18	13 66 20	13 66 21	0.084



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
8. The number of teaching days you missed last year for professional reasons was: None 1-3 More than 3	45 47 8	51 44 5	43 55 2	4.824
70. Teachers put in extra time and effort to improve this school. Always Often Seldom/Never	56 38 6	20 70 10	19 66 15	1.772
46. I plan to teach until retirement: Always Often Seldom/Never	53 24 23	50 30 19	49 33 18	0.330
137. Teachers support school policies and procedures. Always Often Seldom/Never	49 49 2	40 58 2	39 59 3	0.379
141. Our faculty meetings are worthwhile. Always Often Seldom/Never	30 54 16	21 64 14	22 63 14	0.052
144. Teachers spend time after school with students who have individual problems. Always Often Seldom/Never	13 52 35	1 30 69	1 32 68	0.096



TABLE S-6 COMMITMENT

#Survey Item	GSP Students			Chints Sq.
Indices of Staff Commitment				
25. Teachers like to work at this school.				
Always	43	43	39	3.474
Often	45	41	44	
Seldom/Never	12	16	18	
59. Teachers in this school help out with student activities.				
Always	35	35	34	5.723
Often	51	50	47	
Seldom/Never	14	15	19	
63 Teachers spend time after school with students who have individual problems. Always	22	10	14	15.950*
Often Seldom/Never	41	29	34	
65. Teachers put a lot of time and effort into their work here Always Often Seldom/Never	37 49 41 10	61 42 44 14	52 43 39 18	8.927*
84. Teachers leave the building as soon as possible when the school day ends.				
Always	10	18	16	2.071
Often	23	26	28	
Seldom/Never	67	56	56	
99. Teachers and administrators work hard to improve this school Always Often	48 37	41 37	42 36	0.643
Seldom/Never	15	23	22	



#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students		
Indices of Student Commitment				
20. I tend to watch the clock a count the minutes until school	ends.			
Always Often Seldom/Never	24 22 54	28 28 45	28 27 45	0.313
45. There is a lot of student participation in academic clubs, sports, and music and drama activities.				
Always Often Seldöm/Never	50 34 16	36 37 27	35 44 21	14.197*
61. The work we do in school is important to me.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	46 41 13	40 41 19	38 39 24	5.214
78. This school is a good place to be.	•			
Always Often Seldom/Never	44 38 18	37 39 24	33 40 27	4.615
100. Good luck is more important than hard work for success in school.	it			
Always/Often Seldom Never	20 26 54	25 28 47	31 29 40	10.916*

^{*}p<.05



TABLE T-7 . DISCIPLINE AND SAFETY

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTP88 Teache	
Rule Enforcement				
9. On the average, how often do you report a student to the office for disciplinary action? Once a day/Once a week Once a month Rarely or never	6 16 78	6 19 75	9 15 75	1.394
42. Rules for students are fair enforced.	ly			
Always Often Seldom/Never	50 44 6	32 59 9	34 59 7	0.244
87. Student misbehavior is dealt with firmly and swiftly. Always	38	28	25	6.317*
Often Seldom/Never	51 11	61 11	71 4	0.517
Compliance				
47. Students attend class regularly and are punctual. Always Often Seldom/Never	38 57 5	21 76 2	19 79 2	0.427
149. Students obey school rules and regulations. Always Often Seldom/Never	11 84 5	5 94 1	5 90 5	4.831
Safety/Security				
36. The building and the school grounds are safe. Always Often Seldom/Never	60 34 6	24 66 10	26 68 7	1.405

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
60. Students damage or steal other students' property. Always Often Seldom/Never	19 74 7	18 79 3	23 76 1	1.911
73. Students damage or steal school property. Always/Often Seldom Never	14 73 13	22 73 5	25 69 7	0.742
94. Students fight with each other. Always/Often Seldom Never	14 79 7	23 76 2	27 73 0	3.408
155. Students physically assault teachers. Always/Often Seldom Never	2 25 73	2 35 63	1 29 69	1.503
Student Behavior 107. Students are taught how to behave properly so they can benefit from academic activities. Always Often Seldom/Never	43 50 7	30 65 5	30 66 4	0.059
School Rules 131. School rules for students are reasonable. Always Often	69 30	63 37	49 49	6.596*
Seldom/Never	1	1	2	

#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
Drugs/Alcohol/Smoking				
39. Students violate school rul on smoking. Always/Often Seldom Never	es 17 30 53	20 [.] 43 37	17 36 48	3.579
62. Students in this school drink alcohol. Always/Often Seldom Never	20 29 51	14 44 42	17 36 47	1.695
113. Students in this school use drugs. Always/Often Seldom Never	12 41 47	11 54 35	8 56 36	0.685



TABLE S-7 DISCIPLINE AND SAFETY

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
School Rules				
18. Rules for students are reasonable. Always Often Seldom/Never	39 39 22	38 39 23	36 39 26	1.900
64. We have a say in making classroom rules. Always Often Seldom/Never	12 21 67	11 14 74	6 15 79	16.337*
Rule Enforcement				
46. Students know the consequences for breaking rules. Always Often	65 27	67	59 31	16.627*
Seldom/Never	8	23 9	10	
54. Student misbehavior is dealt with firmly and swiftly. Always Often Seldom/Never	41 40 19	42 37 20	36 40 23	8.052*
Student Behavior				
53. In this school, we are taughow to behave properly. Always Often Seldom/Never	42 36 22	47 34 20	41 35 24	7.392*
70. Teachers are more concerned that we keep quiet than that we learn.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	11 15 74	15 21 64	14 22 65	0.503

TABLE S-7 (cont)

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
Compliance				
12. Students are expected to attend class regularly and to be on time. Always	88	90	88	3.142
Often Seldom/Never	10 2	8 2	9	
30. Students obey school rules and regulations.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	12 60 28	15 56 29	11 58 31	7.844*
88. Students obey the school rules.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	13 60 27	15 56 29	13 55 32	2.248
Safety/Security				
38. I feel safe at this school. Always Often Seldom/Never	49 35 16	50 34 15	47 32 22	12.287*
58. Students physically assault teachers.				
Always/Often · Seldom Never	10 23 67	12 21 67	12 22 66	0.234
69. Students fight with each other.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	12 26 62	15 33 52	12 33 56	6.385*



TABLE S-7 (cont)

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
98. Students camage or steal school property. Always Often	6 16	6 18	7 19	1.191
Seldom/Never	78	76	74	
Drugs/Alcohol/Smoking				
35. Students violate school rules on smoking. Always Often	15 17	18 22	18 26	6.642*
Seldom/Never	68	61	56	
85. Students at this school use drugs. Always Often Seldom/Never	7 17 76	6 19 75	8 21 71	3.452
95. Students at this school drink alcohol. Always Often Seldom/Never	12 23 65	10 24 66	12 29 59	11.196*



TABLE T-8 INTERPERSONAL RELATIONS

#Sur.:y Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	
Task Support ·				
45. There is someone in this school I can count on when I need help.				
Always Often	73 23	72 25	81 19	3.802
Seldom/Never	4	2	1	
51. There is a great deal of cooperative effort among staff members.				
Always	46	34	29	7.990*
Often	45	60	55	7.550
Seldom/Never	9	6	15	
82. Teachers' accomplishments ar recognized and rewarded.				
Always	32	10	13	4.072
Often Seldom/Never	48 21	40 49	49 38	
99. Other teachers in this schoo seek my assistance when they hav teaching problems.				
Always	8	2	1	0.282
Often Seldom/Never	54 38	54	57	
Serdom/Never	36	44	42	
124. The principal goes out of h or her way to help teachers.				
Always Often	47	28	41	6.733*
Seldom/Never	39 14	56 16	43 15	
160. Teachers help each other fi ways to do a better job.	nd			
Always	28	13	15	0.708
Often	60	69	70	
Seldom/Never	12	18	15	
4.4.05				



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR88 Teache	Chi rs Sq.
Personal Support				
65. Teachers at this school act if things are more important th people.				
Always/Often Seldom Never	11 50 39	7 71 23	15 68 17	5.718
71. Teachers trust the principa Always Often Seldom/Never	51 40 9	42 48 10	37 53 10	0.838
75. The work of students and awards are prominently displayed. Always Often Seldom/Never	55 39 6	24 63 13	30 55 15	2.316
79. There is an "every person for himself" attitude in this school.	·	10	10	
Always/Often Seldom Never	15 49 36	20 63 17	22 64 14	0.779
89. The principal is concerned about the personal welfare of teachers.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	55 35 10	39 45 16	42 44 13	0.520
152. Teachers trust each other. Always Often Seldom/Never	36 58 6	21 75 4	20 76 3	0.152



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers					
Inclusion						
57. New teachers are made to feel welcome and part of the group.						
Always Often	56 37	52 43	46 46	2.197		
Seldom/Never.	7	5	8			
84. There is a positive "sense of community" among students, teachers, and administrators.				• ,		
Always Often	32 53	14 55	11 59	0.828		
Seldom/Never	15	31	30			
123. Teachers from one area or grade level respect those from other areas or grade levels. Always Often Seldom/Never	51 44 5	34 59 8	33 63 5	1.154		
132. When the principal acts as a spokesperson for this school, he or she accurately represents the needs and interests of the staff and students. Always Often	55 40	41 53	37 59	2.264		
Seldom/Never	5	_. ' 6	4			
135. Teachers are responsive to the concerns of parents. Always Often Seldom/Never	49 49 2	34 64 2	32 63 5	2.227		

^{*}p<.05



#Survey Item	GSP Teachers	MTR86 Teachers	MTR8 Teach	
Respect				
54. Students insult teachers. Always/Often Seldom Never	11 66 23	13 72 15	16 74 10	2.242
108. Teachers and students in this school are considerate of one another. Always Often Seldom/Never	29 67 4	13 82 5	12 82 6	0.316
150. Teachers care about what students think. Always Often Seldom/Never	43 54 3	32 68 1	29 67 5	5.847*



TABLE S-8 Interpersonal Relations

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
Task Support				
19. Students in this school help one another. Always Often Seldom/Never	22 53 25	22 58 20	21 55 24	4.539
33. Teachers ignore students who aren't very smart. Always/Often Seldom Never	15 27 58	20 27 53	21 27 52	0.636
55. Teachers get angry when students give wrong answers. Always/Often Seldom Never	16 48 36	21 45 34	22 43 34	0.800
68. Students' accomplishments are recognized and rewarded. Always Often Seldom/Never	29 45 26	18 46 36	21 46 32	3.708
Personal Support				
10. There may be a lot of thing you like about this school, but if you had to choose the one be thing, which of the following w A. My friends B. The teachers C. The classes I am taking	st	? 77 12 11	77 8 15	14.827*
13. Teachers at this school act things are more important than Always Often Seldom/Never	as if people. 7 16 77	7 19 74	8 18 74	0.682 .



TABLE S-8 (cont)

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR8 Stude	
27. Teachers are considerate of each others.				
Always Often Seldom/Never	58 35 7	56 36 7	55 36 9	1.089
56. Students are friendly toward each other. Always Often Seldom/Never	19 65 16	22 62 15	20 60 19	6.400*
Inclusion				
14. I know most of the other students in my grade. Always Often Seldom/Never	57 33 10	69 26 5	69 26 5	0.252
39. Teachers act as if they are always right. Always Often Seldom/Never	26 35 39	29 37 34	29 33 38	5.491
42. It is hard to get to know teachers here. Always/Often Seldom Never	19 39 42	22 35 43	22 36 42	0.124
51. Teachers show favortism. Always Often Seldom/Never 86. It is hard to get to know students here.	18 31 51	26 38 35	23 31 45	20.729*
Always/Often Seldom Never	23 43 34	22 43 34	24 44 33	0.770

^{*}p<.05



TABLE S-8 (cont)

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR88 Student	Chi ts Sq.
99. In general, I am satisfied with the way teachers and other adults in this school treat me. Always Often Seldom/Never	37 46 17	33 46 20	34 43 23	3.362
Respect				
22. Teachers treat you better if you are wealthy or your parents are "important." Always Often Seldom/Never	8 11 81	13 22 64	13 20 67	2.750
26. Students respect teachers. Always Often Seldom/Never	22 53 25	26 48 25	21 52 27	6.510*
50. Students in this school respect the rights of other students Always Often Seldom/Never.	18 53 29	22 48 29	18 49 33	6.708*
62. Teachers care about what students think Always Often Seldom/Never	33 47 20	32 42 25	32 40 28	1.413
73. Students in this school are treated fairly. Always Often Seldom/Never	36 46 18	34 44 22	33 41 26	3.327



TABLE S-8 (cont)

#Survey Item	GSP Students	MTR86 Students	MTR88 Student	Chi s Sq.
93. Students are considerate of each other.				
Always	16	18		5.350
Often	61	60	56	
Seldom/Never	23	22	27	



DISCUSSION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES

The results from this study depicted in the above tables reflect the responses and the comparison of responses from teachers and students in nine schools within the University service area. Responses on these surveys between 1986 and 1988 were compared using the chi squared test to determine statistical significance. Th 0.05 level was of measurement was used as the mark for determining whether statistical significance existed between groups. The TABLES also include the percentages from the Good Schools Project as a reference though the statistical analysis did not include computations relating to these values. The earlier study completed by these authors included the statistical comparisons between the rural group from Middle Tennessee and the Good Schools Group.

The discussion of findings is divided according to the format used by the Good Schools Project. Items relating to specific areas of interest are grouped together. Discussion is limited to items where statistical significance was determined to exist. Discussion will also be directed to the shift toward or away from the norms from the Good Schools Project.

Curriculum Perspectives

Items 90, 21, 30, and 138 showed significant changes between the 1986 and 1988 assessment for the teacher group and items 2, 7, 75, 11, and 71 revealed significant changes in the student comparisons. Inspection of these findings reveals that the 1988 teacher group moved away from the GSP norms for items 90 and 21



58

while moving toward the GSP norms for item 30. The most notable itm is item 138 where there is a pronounced indication from the 1988 teachers that their students should score higher on achievement tests. This seems to contradict their real interest as noted in item 30 where they show more interest in vocational skills and in item 21 where their interest in reading dropped from the 1986 assessment.

Results from item 2 from the student group indicates a positive shift in college attendance from 1986 to 1938 and there is a shift in interest from reading/language arts and mathematics/science to the social sciences shown in item 7. Students dropped in their perception of how much the teachers expected them to learn from 1986 to 1988 as shown in item 75. This same trend is supported by the results from items 11 and 71. Goal Attainment

No significant changes were reflected in the findings for the teacher groups. There were statistically significant findings for items 43, 92, and 87 for the "tudent comparisons. The trend away from the GSP norms was reflected in the results for each of these items with students answering more negatively in 1988 than in 1986.

Classroom Practices

Significant differences for items 32, 10 53, and 109 were found for the teacher comparisons while students changed over the two year period in their responses to items 28, 90, 52, 72, 76, 9, 77, 34, 47, and 44. The results in item 32 indicate that



59

teachers are more open to student disagreement in 1988 than they were in 1986. Emphasis on homework dropped toward the GSP norms as reflected in item 10. The results from items 53 and 109 indicate a shift toward teacher use of standardized tests and away from teacher-made tests from 1986 to 1988.

Results from items 28 and 90 indicate that student opinion regarding openness to discussion dropped from 1986 to 1988 while, as indicated above, their teachers perceived just the opposite. Results from items 52 and 72 reveal the same downward shift. Results from item 76 and 9 indicate a shift from emphasis on written assignments and workbooks to other types of projects. This is also reflected in items 47 and 44 where there is a shift fom the traditional textbook and individual student emphasis. Results from item 77 indicate an improvement with less fooling around in class. However, results from item 34 show student interest in class assignments to be less.

Decision Making

There were few significant changes reflected in comparisons relating to the items pertaining to decision making. Results from item 49 indicate teachers less satisfied with efforts to solve schoolwide problems while the results from item 199 indicate teachers believe more strongly they should be involved in the selection of administrative personnel. Students attitudes toward being involved in the change process also shifted downward as indicated in item 17.

Support Services and Facilities

Teachers perceived library and secretarial services to be significantly improved as indicated in items 41 and 64. Results from items 110 and 118 indicated more satisfaction with the flexible use of the building and furniture in 1988 than in 1986. Commitment

Results from items 78 and 59 show both an upward and a lowering shift with more teachers perceiving their principal positively and more teachers showing a more negative disposition toward the principal. Fewer teachers were in the middle of the group on this response when comparing 1988 to 1986. A similar set of responses are noted in item 119. In item 69 teachers indicated more rules and red tape than in 1986 while in item 92 teachers felt less responsible for student learning than in 1986. Results from items 55 and 3 indicate more parents are serving as teacher aides and teachers are spending less time per day on extra-curricular activities.

Students responses as indicated in items 63 and 65 split in their changes with some positive and some negative changes as did the teacher group. Results from item 45 indicate a shift toward greater participation in academic clubs in 1988 than in 1986. Students lowered their ranking in terms of GSP norms on item 100 indicating good luck is more important than hard work.

Discipline and Safety

Teachers perceive school rules to be less reasonable in 1988 than in 1986 while seeing student misbehavior being dealt with



61

more effectively as noted in items 131 and 87 respectively. Students responded more negatively regarding school rules and enforcement of rules in 1988 than in 1986 as shown in items 64, 46, 54, 53, and 30. Students fell less safe and see more fights in 1988 than in 1986 as shown in items 38 and 69. Items 35 and 95 indicate more student use of tobacco and alcohol by their peers. (Though more rule breaking is noted, this happened at a time the rules were increased.)

Interpersonal Relations

Results from item 51 indicate teachers see less cooperative effort between staff members and item 124 shows improvement in the perception of teachers regarding their principal's willingness to help them. Item 150 reveals that teachers show less concern in 1988 about what students think than in 1986. Item 10 also indicates that students have decreased their perception of teachers as being in high regard yet results from item 51 indicate students see teachers showing less favoritism in 1988 than in 1986. Students have likewise lowered their friendliness toward other students, lowered their perception of other students respect for teachers, item 26, and lowered their respect for the rights of other students, item 50.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The number of significant differences identified in this comparison of the 1986 and 1988 assessments is much smaller than the differences found with the Middle Tennessee Group was compared to the Good Schools Group. Results from the



62

investigation indicate that overall little progress has been made in improving the attitudes of teacher and students in these nine schools from the original data collection until 1988. The collective data show a decided negative impact of state mandates on items describing testing programs, input into curriculum planning and teacher morale. Individual school analysis not included in this report reveals that much progress has been made in some schools while tremendous drops in attitude have occurred in other schools. Discussion of these findings with school personnel links the responses on particular items to administrative changes within the schools. These discussions tend to validate the instruments and data collection techniques.

It appears that the study which was designed at its inception to measure the impact of collaboration on rural school effectiveness has become instead a measure of the impact of state mandates and principal leadership on rural schools. On items dealing with issues addressed by state mandates, each of the schools studied tended to shift in the same direction. On items reflecting leadership initiatives of the building principal, some schools showed great improvement and others showed the opposite. This resulted in several survey items showing both positive and negative shifts away from earlier more neutral responses.



REFERENCES

Talbert, E. Gene, Richard K. Fletcher, Jr., Margaret S. Phelps.

FORTY RURAL SCHOOLS: A STUDY OF SCHOOL EFFECTIVENESS. A

Research Study Presented at the 1987 Annual Meeting of the

Rural Education SIG. American Educational Research

Association. Washington, D. C., April 23, 1987

Frymier, Jack, Catherine Cornbleth, Robert Donmoyer, Bruce M.

Gansneder, Jan T. Jeter, M. Frances Klein, Marian Schwab,

William M. Alexander. ONE HUNDRED GOOD SCHOOLS. West

Lafayette, IN: Kappa Delta Pi. 1984.

