

ED292217 1988-00-00 Team Management. ERIC Digest Series, Number EA25.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Team Management. ERIC Digest Series, Number EA25.....	1
---	---



ERIC Identifier: ED292217

Publication Date: 1988-00-00

Author: Hadderman, Margaret L.

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management Eugene OR.

Team Management. ERIC Digest Series, Number EA25.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

TEXT: Far from being a passing fad, the management team--a formal arrangement enabling the superintendent to consult with other personnel on decisions--has become a permanent feature of American education. The myth of the school leader as a "solitary, benevolent autocrat" is misguided, says Patricia Wilhelm (1984), as principals have always belonged to district management groups and school communities. Similarly, superintendents have come to rely on other administrators' expertise to resolve the increasingly complex problems facing the schools.

Bryce Grindle (1982) notes that the team approach seems "compatible with the best

concepts of management democracy, and open social systems." Moreover, the concept has proved responsive to pressure from teachers and parents to redistribute power, broaden participation in the decision-making process, and improve administrative efficiency.

WHAT IS TEAM MANAGEMENT?

A management team might best be described as "a group whose role is formalized and legitimized and whose purpose is problem solving and/or decision making" (Duvall and Erickson 1981). The school management team usually includes a cross-section of experienced central office and building-level administrators committed to a "structured decision-making process endorsed by the school board and the superintendent" (Lindelov and Bentley forthcoming). Team management offers organizations an opportunity to improve the quality of decisions made and fosters consensus where none was thought possible.

WHAT ARE THE ELEMENTS OF TEAM MANAGEMENT?

To become more than a new label for traditional hierarchy, team management requires sound leadership from the superintendent, good working agreement between the board and its administration, and an organizational model suitable for the district. Above all, team management demands strong commitment to building trust among all participants.

Changes in the district's power structure are largely informal. Success depends on such intangible factors as team members' willingness to be open, trustworthy, and nonjudgmental and the board's and the superintendent's eagerness to share power while retaining final responsibility for team decisions (Anderson 1988).

WHAT ARE SOME PROBLEMS WITH PARTICIPATORY DECISION-MAKING?

For all its positive effects on decision quality and staff morale, participative decision-making can lead to frustration if not enough information-sharing occurs within the group (Wood 1984). Other factors hindering group effectiveness are tendencies to avoid conflict-producing discussion, differences between problem-solving actions and beliefs, and misconceptions concerning levels of participation.

To avoid these problems, school districts must clearly communicate the approaches and processes that will be followed, use participatory decision-making at all hierarchical levels, and offer appropriate training for group members used to more autocratic approaches. Team members must also learn how to handle dissent, allow sufficient time to make group decision, and develop an effective self-evaluation process

WHAT ARE SOME GOOD EXAMPLES OF TEAM MANAGEMENT?

Several districts that John Lindelov and Scott Bentley describe have developed

successful management teams over the past decade. The Yakima (Washington) School District's team "resembles a legislative body, with many small groups doing most of the work." Once a group recommends an action, the entire 72-member team decides the issue by consensus. The team also prepares salary schedules and uses position papers to facilitate the policy-making process. Yakima's management team is best characterized by its flexibility, responsiveness, and clearly delineated communication channels.

The Rio Linda (California) Elementary School District's 40-member team, while smaller, resembles Yakima's configuration, with small group doing most of the work and making recommendations to the larger team. Unlike Yakima, the Rio Linda team "works toward a solution" until reading a general agreement (rather than consensus), say Lindelow and Bentley. The keys to Rio Linda's success are well-established communication patterns and solid support from the school board.

Attleboro (Massachusetts) School Department also has an interlocking team structure, but depends more on informal, open discussion than on formalized communication processes. During its formative stage, the team relied heavily on consultants, who held seminars on group dynamics and related team-building strategies. Attleboro's team has worked together so harmoniously that no formal administration-board agreement has been needed.

For additional profiles of successful school management teams, see Anderson (1988).

HOW MIGHT TEAM MANAGEMENT BE FURTHER EXPANDED IN SCHOOL SETTINGS?

School districts can broaden the management team by tapping the talents and creative energies of two underrepresented sectors—women and minorities—and by involving teachers in school-based teams. Despite women administrators' special collaborative decision-making and community-building skills school management teams are overwhelmingly dominated by (white) males.

Ethnic minorities are especially in need of encouragement. At a time when schools are gearing up to serve increasing numbers of black, Hispanic, and poor students, the number of minority teachers and administrators is actually shrinking.

The team approach also can be extended to the faculty. Principals can adopt instructional leadership teams that pool the expertise of administrators, department heads, and teachers. Using the team approach, "critical functions are assigned to those most capable of performing them rather than being centralized in the principal's office" (Glatthorn and Newberg 1984).

Most recently the "second wave" of educational reform calls for structuring the schools and reshaping teachers' roles to allow greater autonomy, status, and decision-making

responsibility (Lieberman 1988). In South Bend, Indiana, for example, retiring district-level content specialists are being replaced by teacher specialist. Teacher collaboration is helping to develop leadership potential and may help stem the exodus of experienced teachers from the profession.

Expanding the school leadership team involves more than creating new roles or providing extra help for the principal. The idea is to reorganize school and create a collaborative work mode to replace teacher isolation and break down management/labor barriers (Lieberman 1988). At its best, the management team approach reshapes the administrator's role so that power and authority may be shared with other staff in a nonthreatening way that builds organizational commitment and enhances the entire educational process.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Anderson, Mark E. *THE MANAGEMENT TEAM: PATTERNS FOR SUCCESS*. Eugene: Oregon School Study Council, February 1988. OSSC bulletin series. 27 pages. ED number not yet assigned.

Duvall, Lloyd A. and Kenneth Erickson. "School Management Teams: What Are They and How Do They Work?" *NAASP BULLETIN* 65, 445 (1981): 62-67.

Garfinkel, Elliot Z. "The Administrative Team, Trust, and Gender." Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association. April 20-24, 1987. ED 281 284.

Glatthorn, Allan A., and Norman A. Newberg. "A Team Approach to Instructional Leadership." *EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP* 41, 5 (1984): 60-63.

Grindle, Bryce W. "Administrative Team Management: Four Essential Components." *CLEARING HOUSE* 56, 1 (1982): 22-33.

Lieberman, Ann. "Expanding the Leadership Team." *EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP* 45, 5 (1988): 4-8.

Lindelov, John, and Scott Bentley. "Team Management." In *SCHOOL LEADERSHIP: HANDBOOK FOR EXCELLENCE*, 2nd edition, eds. Stuart C. Smith and Philip K. Piele. Eugene, Oregon: ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, University of Oregon. Forthcoming.

Wilhelm, Patricia M. "The Administrative Team, a Simple Concept to Facilitate Problem Solving." *NASSP BULLETIN* 68, 468 (1984): 26-31.

Wood, Carolyn J. "Participatory Decision Making: Why Doesn't It Seem to Work?" *THE EDUCATIONAL FORUM* 49, 1 (1984): 55-64.

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under OERI contract. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the Department of Education.

—
Title: Team Management. ERIC Digest Series, Number EA25.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: Publication Sales, ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, University of Oregon, 1787 Agate Street, Eugene, OR 97401 (\$2.50 handling charge on each order).

Descriptors: Administrators, Board Administrator Relationship, Cooperation, Elementary Secondary Education, Management Teams, Participative Decision Making, Teacher Participation

Identifiers: ERIC Digests

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)