DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 290 520 JC 880 066

TITLE Fiscal Year 1987 Program Review Summary Report.

INSTITUTION Illinois Community Coll. Board, Springfield.

PUB DATE Dec 87 NOTE %p.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College Programs; Community Colleges; *Evaluation

Methods; Models; *Program Evaluation; *Program Termination; *Self Evaluation (Groups); State Surveys; Statewide Planning; Two Year Colleges

IDENTIFIERS *Illinois

ABSTRACT

In fiscal year 1984, the Illinois Community College Board initiated a statewide program review and evaluation process, through which each of the Illinois public community colleges has implemented a review process to evaluate both instructional programs and student/academic support services. Each college designed a program review process to serve its own needs. Eight colleges have established "a screening model," which begins with an annual screening of statistical data available on all instructional programs. Based on these data, individual programs are selected for either a more focused review of a particular problem or a comprehensive review. A "proportional model" is used by 33 colleges. This model involves the pre-selection of approximately 20% of the college's programs for comprehensive review each year. The individual units selected for evaluation conduct a detailed self-study, which is then reviewed and verified by a college-wide committee or an external review team. Four colleges have established a "divisional model," a process which is similar to the proportional model in that the process begins with a self-study and culminates in college-level action; but rather than pre-selecting 20% of the programs for review, the college selects a particular organizational division for review each year. Thus, the number of programs reviewed may differ substantially from year to year. A "combination model," which integrates aspects of the "screening" and "proportional" models, is used by five colleges. During fiscal year 1987, 1,556 instructional programs and 127 student and academic support services programs were reviewed. As a result, 39 programs (3%) are being withdrawn or phased out, and 101 (6%) have been rescheduled for further review. (EJV)



FISCAL YEAR 1987 PROGRAM REVIEW SUMMARY REPORT

"FERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

V.K. McMILLAN

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OHice of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been inade to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions siated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Illinois Community College Board 509 South Sixth Street, Room 400 Springfield, Illinois 62701 Telephone: (217) 785-3123

December 1987

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



FISCAL YEAR 1987 PROGRAM REVIEW SUMMARY REPORT

REPORT: In fiscal year 1984, the Illinois Community College Board initiated a statewide program review and evaluation process. Through this process each of the Illinois public community college has implemented a systematic, college-wide program review process to evaluate both instructional and student and academic support services programs within each five-year period. Program need, cost, and quality are among the criteria usad to evaluate each program and each college submits an annual report on the findings and actions taken on each program reviewed during the year. Since fiscal year 1984, when the review process was implemented, the colleges have reviewed nearly 6,000 instructional programs (duplicate count), have made major modifications to many of these programs, and have identified over 180 programs for withdrawal through this process. Fiscal year 1987 represents the fourth year of the first five-year review cycle. The report that follows summarizes the fiscal year 1987 program review reports submitted on August 1 by each of the community colleges.

Community College Program Review Report Summary

Each community college may design a program review process to serve its needs. While each college's process is, thus, to some extent unique, several general approaches are discernible. Eight colleges have developed processes that begin with an annual screening of statistical data available on all instructional programs from which individual programs are then selected for either a more focused review of a particular programmatic problem or a comprehensive review. This process has been labeled the "screening model" in Table 1.

Thirty-three colleges have established processes that pre-select approximately twenty percent of the college's programs for comprehensive review each year. This process normally begins with a detailed self-study by the individual units selected for review. The self-study is then reviewed and verified either by a college-wide committee or an external review team which makes recommendations for action to the president or board of trustees. This process has been labeled ...e "proportional model" in the table.

Four colleges have established a process similar to the proportional model in that the process begins with an individual unit self-study and culminates in college-level action; but rather than pre-selecting twenty percent of the college's programs, the college selects a particular organizational division within the college for review each year. Thus, the number of programs reviewed may differ substantially from year to year. This process has been labeled the "divisional model" in the table.

Five colleges have established a process that is a combination of both the screening model and the proportional model in that while approximately twenty percent of the college's programs are reviewed each year, some of the programs are reviewed comprehensively while others receins a focused review. In the table, this process is labeled the "combination model."



The individual college program review reports show that 1,556 instructional programs and 127 student and academic support services programs were reviewed by the 50 colleges in fiscal year 1987. Table 1 on the following pages identifies the number of programs reviewed by each of the colleges, the type of process used, and the kind of actions taken on instructional programs only. The number of programs reviewed varies by the type of review process used. For those colleges using the screening model, for example, the number of instructional programs listed as reviewed includes all entries on the college's ICCB MIS Curriculum Master File. Thus, those colleges offering a general AA and a general AS degree program and using the screening model are credited with reviewing only two programs, while those using a proportional model have been credited with reviewing any individual arts and sciences disciplines within a general AA or general AS degree program that they reviewed in fiscal year 1987.

The actions taken as a result of program review are listed in the table only for instructional programs because the kinds of actions that can be taken to improve the diverse student and academic support service programs vary so widely. As a result of the fiscal year 1987 review of instructional programs, 39 programs (3 percent) are being withdrawn or phased out, and 101 programs (6 percent) have been rescheduled for further review in fiscal year 1988 before final action is determined. The remaining programs will continue to be offered with a variety of improvements or modifications made as a result of the review process. A listing of the programs to be withdrawn or phased out is found in Table 2.

State-Level Program Review

The ICCB staff program review has two facets: The review of the colleges' annual review reports and the coordination of the program review process with other state agencies. After reading each college's program review report, the staff prepared a letter t each college providing suggestions for improvement in the process when appropriate. Each college's process is being examined in greater detail to determine compliance with the standards as part of the fiscal year 1986 through 1988 recognition visit cycle. Most of the colleges that have undergone recognition in the last two years have been in compliance and examination of their processes has revealed that, for the most part, the colleges have developed processes that result in many program improvements.

The ICCB staff is working with the staffs of both the Illinois Board of Higher Education (IBHE) and the Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE) to coordinate and consolidate the program review process for community colleges with these agencies. Since it is the IBHE that has statutory authority "to review periodically existing programs of instruction...and to advise the appropriate board of control if the contribution of each program is not educationally and economically justified," a copy of each college's annual report was provided to the IBHE staff for review. The IBHE staff is focusing its review this year on the occupational programs in welding, human services, apprenticeship, CNC/robotics, aviation, and agri-business and production that were reviewed by individual colleges. In addition, counseling service reviews



were also examined. Nineteen colleges have been asked to provide additional information or clarification as a result of this statewide review. The staff will prepare a report on both community college and university program review for presentation to the IBHE in the spring.

As part of the inter-agency agreement, the ICCB and ISBE staffs have been working for the past two years to consolidate the program review efforts for community college occupational program review into a single process. A proposed procedure and format has been developed by a team of independent consultants, and the first phase was pilot tested by two community colleges in fiscal year 1987. It is hoped that the combined process can be implemented in fiscal year 1989, the beginning of the second ICCB five-year program review cycle.



Table 1
FISCAL YEAR 1987 PROGRAM REVIEW SUMMARY

	Number of		Actions on Instructional Programs			
District/	Prog	cams	Continued/	Number Continued	Number to he	
College Name	Inst'l	<u>Other</u>	Improved/ Modified	for Review in FY 1988	Phesed out/ Withdrewn	Type of Review Process
Belleville (522)	25	3	25			Proportional Hodel
Bleck Kewk - Qued (50301)	A17 (196)	22	106			Screening Model
Bleck Hewk - Eest (50302)	A11 (60)	8	57	3		Screening Model
CCC - Kennedy-King (50801)	21	3	9	12		Proportional Model
CCC - Loop (50802)	17	4	13		4	Proportional Model
CCC - Helcolm X (50803)	13	1	q	1	3	Proportional Model
CCC - Truman (50804)	11	1	9	1	1	Proportional Model
CCC - Olive-Hervey (50805)	28	5	25		3	Proportional Model
CCC - Deley (50806)	27	2	22		5	Proportional Model
CCC - Wright (50807)	19	4	17		2	
CCC - City-Wide (50809)	48	1	30	15	3	Proportional Model
Denville (507)	Al: (66)	0	65		1	Proportional Model Screening Model
Dr.Pege (502)	13	1	10	3		Proportional Hodel
Elgin (509)	16	1	16			Proportional Model
Herper (512)	29	4	29			Proportional Model
Highlend (519)	21	1	14	6	1	
Illinois Centrel '514)	58	5	45	13		Combination Model
IE - Lincoln Treil (52901)	14	2	14			Combination Mudel
IE - Olney Centrel (52902)	15	2	13	1	1	Proportional Model
IE - Webesh Velley (52903)	13	2	13			Proportionel Hodel
IE - Frontier (52904)	9	0	9		~~	Proportionel Model
Illinois Velley (513)	14	1	12	2		Proportional Hodel
Joliet (525)	All	3	124			Proportional Hodel
	(128)		***	1	3	Combination Model
Kankakee (520)	5	0	5			Proportional Model
Kaskeskie (501)	15	1	10		5	Proportional Model
Kishweukee (523)	15	1	15			Proport; onel Model
Leke County (532)	11	1	11	~-		Proportional Model



14014 1

FISCAL YEAR 1987 PROGRAM REVIEW SUMMARY (Continued)

			Actions on Instructional Programs			
District/ College Name		r of rams eved Other	Number Continued/ Improved/ Modified	Number continued for Review in FY 1988	Number to be Phased out/ Withdrewn	Type of Review Process
Leke Land (517)	25	1	24		1	Proportional Hodel
Lewis & Clerk (536)	_0	5	10			Proportional Model
Lincoln Lend (526)	All (88)	6	70	18		Screening Hodel
Logen (530)	0	1				Divisional Model
HcHenry (528)	18	1	18			Combinetion Hodel
Moreine Velley (524)	1	2	1			Proportionel Model
Horton (527)	ALL (50)	2	50			Screening Model
Oekton (535)	4	6	4			Proportional Hodel
Perkland (505)	19	2	18	1		Proportional Hodel
Prairie Stete (515)	37	1	34	2	1	Proportione Hodel
Rend Lake (521)	All (53)	1	48	. 5		Screening Model
Richland (537)	8	0	6	2		Divisional Model
Rock Velley (511)	61	2	56		5	Proportional Hodel
Sendburg (518)	13	1	12	1		Proportional Model
Seuk Ve'ley (506)	9	3	9			Proportional Model
Shewnee (531)	21	1	12	9		Proportional Model
Southeestarn (533)	19	1	19			Proportional Model
Spoon River (534)	16	0	15	1		Proportione: Model
Stete C C (601)	0	•				Divisionel Model
Thornton (510)	13	0	13			Divisional Hodel
Triton (504)	All (189)	5	183	4		Screening Model
Waubonsee (516)	All (85)	4	85			Screening Model
John Wood (539)	0	2				Combination Model
Totel	1,556	127	1,416	101	39	

^{*}Includee erts and sciencee disciplines not included in the total number of instructional programs for colleges using screening or divisional processes.



Table 2

CURRICULA TO BE WITHDRAWN AS A RESULT OF FISCAL YEAR 1987 PROGRAM REVIEW

Dist.	District/		
No.	Collegs Name	Title of Curriculum	Typs of Curriculum
501	Kaskeskis	Medical Records Technology	AAS
		Haslth, Safsty, and Environment	··
		Intellectual and Cultural	40 hour general studies certificate
		Improving Family Circumstances	40 hour general studies certificate
		Community and Civil Defense	40 hour general studies certificate
•••			40 hour general studies certificate
507	Denville	Cosmetology	36 hour occupational cartificats
508	Loop	Teaching High School	AA
		Elementer/ Teaching	AA.
		Optometry	M.
		Sacraterial-Hospital	9 hour occupational cartificate
	Melcolm I	Journalism	AA
		Health Facilities Manager	AAS
		Lew Enforcement	
	_		9 hour occupational cartificata
	Truman	Data Processing	12 hour occupational cartificate
	Olive-Hervey	Optometry	AA
		ABE	
		ASE .	30 hour certificate
			30 hour certificate
	Daley	Clerical Basic	15 hour occupational cartificata
		Clerical Advanced	30 hour occupational cartificate
		Typing	15 hour occupational cartificate
		Word Processing	Achievement sward
		Herchandising and Harksting	15 hour occupational cartificata
	Wright	Hechanical Technology	
	•	Recreational Landership	AAS
		-	32 hour occupational cartificate
	City-Wide	Hid-Hansgement Executive Housekesping	30 hour occupational cartificats
		Distatic Tach-Mutrition	AAS
		Mentsi Heelth Associate-DD	32 hour occupational cartificate
511	Rock Valley	Fluid Power Tachnology	AAS ·
		Fluid Power Tachnology Cartificate	
		Basic Fluid Power	26 hour occupational cartificate
		Intermediate Fluid Power	9 hour occupational cartificate
		Advanced Fluid Fower	7 hour occupational cartificata 7 hour occupational cartificata
515	Preirie State	Afro-American Studies	
•••		researches States	ALS
517	Lake Land	Welding	31 hour occupational cartificate
519	Highland	Air Conditioning, Refrigeration	32 hour occupational cartificata
		and Heating	
525	Jolist	Transportation	AAS
		Transportation	30 hour occupational cartificats
		Public Administration	30 hour occupational cartificate
			** . ner Accelerrater CSLETLICES
529	TE - Olney Central	Hasonry	11 hour occupational cartificats



ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges