

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 288 227

CS 505 807

AUTHOR Hay, Ellen A.
 TITLE Communication across the Curriculum.
 PUB DATE Nov 87
 NOTE 1lp.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association (73rd, Boston, MA, November 5-8, 1987).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Business Communication; Business Skills; Communication Research; Communication Skills; *Education Work Relationship; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; Interdisciplinary Approach; Interpersonal Relationship; Oral Language; Skill Development; *Speech Communication; Speech Curriculum; *Speech Instruction; *Speech Skills
 IDENTIFIERS Communication across the Curriculum

ABSTRACT

The importance of the development of oral communication abilities has been documented in a number of sources. Studies of graduates, employers, and corporate executives have revealed, for example, that skills in problem solving, communication, and interpersonal relations are most valued in high tech corporations. Several recent indictments of education have also echoed the need for highly developed oral communication abilities. One way of assisting students in developing oral communication competencies is the required speech communication course, and another way is to integrate communication skills into content area courses. A review of the literature suggests that courses in English literature, composition, business communication, vocational education, engineering, psychology, and teacher education at various institutions have effectively incorporated instruction in oral communication competencies. Other schools have established speaking labs, while emphasis on speaking skills received attention in different disciplines seemed to depend on the interests of individual instructors. Several school districts have defined integrated curricula including speech, and a handful of colleges also have integrated speech programs. It can be determined from these programs that integrating communication across the curriculum depends largely on the expertise of instructors, although few are specifically trained to teach speaking skills. Success of these programs also depends on student interest, a careful balance of skills integrated into the curriculum, and attention to developmental sequence. (Fifty-five references are appended.) (SKC)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

ED288227

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

COMMUNICATION ACROSS THE CURRICULUM

By Ellen A. Hay, Ph.D.
Lynchburg College
Lynchburg, VA 24051

Ellen A. Hay

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

The importance of the development of oral communication abilities has been documented in a number of sources. Studies of graduates, employers, and corporate executives have emphasized the relationship between professional success and sophisticated communication abilities. For example, Hague (1986) when reporting interviews with thirty vice-presidents and division directors concluded that skills in problem solving, communication and interpersonal relations were most valued in high tech corporations. Similarly, Hetherington (1982) from a survey of alumni of her institution and area employers noted that,

Out of the 80 possible answers on the alumni survey and the 65 on the questionnaire sent to employers, only four or five dealt with oral communication. That the highest percentages of agreement among all the answers should fall on these few was quite unexpected; the surprise emphasized for me the neglect of conscious training in the spoken language that is common today. (p. 570)

Several of the recent inditements of education have also echoed the need for highly developed oral communication abilities. For example, the Association of American Colleges in INTEGRITY IN THE COLLEGE CURRICULUM (1985) stated,

We are a century or more away from the time when going to college meant instruction in oratory, stage presence, debate, and the arts of oral persuasion...A bachelors degree should mean that its holders can read, write and speak at levels of distinction and have been given many opportunities to learn how. It also should mean that many do so with style. (p.19)

Obviously, one way of assisting students in developing oral communication competencies is the required speech communication course. Boileau and McBath (1987) contended that the independent course taught by a qualified instructor was the best means of developing oral communication competencies. Such a course, however, is not routinely required at the elementary, secondary or college levels. Winkeljohann (1978) reported that 75% of the 412 elementary school teachers responding to her survey did not have an oral language curriculum. Book and Pappas (1981) noted in their survey of over 3,000 secondary schools that only 32% required a basic speech communication course and that frequently those communication courses which were offered were not taught by an instructor with a degree in the discipline. At the college level, Gibson and others (1980) in their survey of the basic course found that approximately half of the responding institutions required a communication course for students graduating in education, arts and sciences, and business.

Another means of developing oral communication abilities which has received considerable attention in recent years is integrating communication skills in content area courses. As Rubin (1985) noted,

5505807

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Content area classes can provide contexts in which communication skills are applied to more genuine tasks. In content area classes, the power of the spoken word as an instrument for discovering or creating knowledge is more readily exploited. Instruction in speaking and listening can, moreover, reduce the burdens of teaching a content area class. (p. 34)

"Communication Across the Curriculum" involves using oral communication activities in the study of a variety of different disciplines. Through a review of the literature, this paper will examine some of the various ways that oral communication has been taught in conjunction with other disciplines and will also identify several of the variables which affect this integration.

Oral Communication in Other Disciplines: Some Approaches

The review of the literature suggested that there are a number of ways that oral communication competencies receive attention outside the speech communication curriculum. Communication related activities are often found in specific courses and disciplines; they are encouraged through speaking labs and suggestion books for individual teachers; they are a part of a total curricular program.

Many of the books and articles which were reviewed dealt with how communication skills received attention in a specific course or discipline. One of the most common unions that was apparent was between the study of reading, writing, speaking and listening. Courses traditionally classified as "English" frequently have a "Speech" component. The advantages of studying these two disciplines simultaneously have been repeated by a number of sources. Golub (1986) explained,

Oral communication activities, with their emphases on the same skills and competencies that are used in one's written communication, belong in the English classroom. This expanded perspective allows us to see ourselves not simply as teachers of writing but rather as teachers of language and communication, a much more appropriate and substantive role. (p. 16)

Sanders (1985) further noted that, "The strongest point in favor of oral work in the composition classroom is that it enables students to feel that they are taken seriously as people with minds, and this confidence can increase motivation to write well and even to tackle research papers with some sense of pleasure and accomplishment" (p. 358). Similar sentiments were voiced by others including Collins (1982), Wolsch and Wolsch (1982) and Schultz (1986).

While not all agree upon the relationship between the various communication arts (Halpern, 1984; Elbow, 1985), this relationship has become the basis for a number of combined programs. Cooper (1985) presented a model for such integration which focused upon communication contexts, functions and skills. She also suggested various activities and practices which would establish a supportive climate. One of the most widely discussed combined program is the thirty year old rhetoric program at the University of Iowa. This required course includes instruction and practice in both oral and written modes (Harper, 1981; Ochs, 1986; Trank, 1986). Similar programs have also been reported at a number of other

institutions including Duquesne University (Friday & Beranek, 1984), Michigan Technological University (Goldstein & Nelson, 1984), the U.S. Air Force Academy (Bangs, 1985) and Connecticut State College (Wenger & Fischbach, 1983).

A similar link of related communication abilities was also frequently discussed for courses in business communication. Clarke (1983) recommended a variety of oral communication activities which could be easily be integrated in the study of business communication. Nelson (1982) required that students consult with business faculty in choosing topics for oral and written assignments. Adamson (1982), in describing the study of functional communication at the University of Minnesota, noted that he combined writing, speaking and audio-visual proficiency by having students teach course topics.

Reports of integrating oral communication competencies in other discipline were also found on a limited basis in other content areas. Communication skills also received attention in areas such as vocational education (Mayer, 1983; Oregon State Department of Education, 1982), engineering (Selfe, 1983), nursing (Norris, 1986), in psychology (Lugh, 1983) and teacher education (Wagner, 1984; Smith, 1982).

Another approach to insuring that students were assisted in refining oral communication abilities while enrolled in content area courses was through establishing a speaking lab. When students are assigned an oral presentation in a content area course or communication course, they could find help in a speaking lab. Erownell and Watson (1984) reported that lab established at the University of Rhode Island was publicized to the entire school and helped in the retention effort. Students coming to the lab for assistance first completed diagnostic testing and received tutoring in areas such as public speaking, voice and diction, and communication apprehension. McKiernan (1984) noted that the speaking lab at the University of Iowa appealed to a broad spectrum of students with varying levels of ability.

Another way that speech communication abilities received attention in other disciplines seemed to arise from the desire of an individual faculty member to address such abilities. If individual instructors in various content areas were particularly interested in incorporating oral communication activities in their courses, a number of sources were available to assist them. Thaiss and Suhor (1984) described a number of classroom scenes which illustrated the integration of oral communication abilities into a variety of subject areas. The descriptions are then supported with corresponding research. Nugent (1986) presented articles on developing speaking skills in all subjects at all levels. The Wood (1977) booklets suggested activities for elementary and secondary students which would promote competency in the various communication functions. Cooper (1985) provided a number of specific assignments and activities which were designed to enhance communication abilities. At the college level, Rubin (1983) discussed how listening, discussion, speaking, relating could be introduced in the various disciplines. For instructors at all levels in most content areas, there are resources available which will aid in the integration of oral communication abilities.

Much of the literature on communication across the curriculum was devoted to the aforementioned approaches. Communication activities reported in specific courses or disciplines, speaking labs and individual instructor interest dominated as ways that oral communication abilities received attention outside the speech communication classroom. A handful

of resources, however, described special programs designed to insure that oral communication abilities were fully integrated across the entire curriculum.

At the elementary and secondary levels, several school districts defined integrated curriculums. For example, Beall (1981) documented the K-12 program in the Lincoln, Nebraska, public schools. Based upon the SCA competencies and language development research, objectives, activities and achievement indicators for all disciplines were defined for the use of media, public speaking, oral interpretation, groups discussion, problem solving and interpersonal communication. The Lynchburg, Virginia, public schools devised a program that promotes cross curriculum speaking and listening through a series of thirty key experiences (Lynchburg Public Schools, 1986).

At the college level, another handful of programs have received attention. At St. Mary-of-the-Woods College in Indiana, a three stage program was funded by the Exxon Education Foundation (Dukes, 1986). This program included a speaking lab which featured various communication instructional resources along with video and audio recording equipment for practice sessions. The second phase was a series of faculty seminars which discussed topics ranging from communication theory, to listening, to conflict and to public speaking (Cooper, 1986). The final component of the program was the designation of speech emphasis courses from across the curriculum taught by faculty completing the seminars which incorporated advanced speaking assignments. While the interim evaluation of this project had not produced the expected improvement in speaking skills or reduction in communication apprehension, the sample size was insufficient to warrant any definite conclusions (Flint, 1986).

At Central College in Iowa, a program initially funded by the National Endowment for the Humanities focused on training the faculty from different disciplines in reading, listening, speaking and writing (Roberts, 1984). In the summers of 1979, 1980, and 1981, 2/3 of the college's faculty attended month long workshops which included two weeks devoted to speaking and listening. They then reworked their course syllabi to reflect more communication experiences. Over 200 hundred courses offered communication skills emphases, and 75% of the students reported an increase in their communication abilities with 92% expressing a desire to further improve their skills.

At Alverno College in Milwaukee, speaking, listening and interacting are competencies which students were expected to demonstrate prior to graduation (Loacker and others, 1984). To assist in the development of these abilities, students completed introductory courses which provide them with the basics which were further refined through labs and assignments in other disciplines. The faculty defined a developmental sequence for these various competencies and designated courses where the various levels of the sequences are demonstrated. Faculty were trained in assessing these competencies, and feedback was provided students on forms used by the entire college. Mentkowski and Doherty (1984) in reporting an evaluation of abilities across the curriculum noted that,

Although alumnae use abilities taught in the curriculum, they also use abilities to create a theory of action that gets tested in various work situations. This is in sharp contrast to the view that technical knowledge alone is the basis for effective performance. Alumnae do say they learn new technical skills, but they do not

emphasize this knowledge when they describe how they go about deciding what to do. "My job is never the same ...I use communication analysis because you work very independently ...you have to analyze the financial statements from taxpayers and determine a course of action. (p. 13)

Clearly, there are a number of approaches to integrating the study of oral communication across the curriculum. Oral communication abilities received attention in specific courses and disciplines, in speaking labs, by individual instructors and in specially designed programs.

Variables Affecting Integration of Communication Across the Curriculum

From this overview of the approaches for integrating communication across the curriculum, it is possible to define a number of variables that speech communication educators must attend to as they consider their response to such integration at their institutions. These variables include faculty training, program quality, breadth of experience and developmental consideration.

Obviously, one of the major overriding considerations has to be the expertise of instructors from across the curriculum to teach oral communication skills. While it is naive to assume that all faculty are good communicators much less that they have the background to teach communication, this assumption permeates education. A widespread notion exists that anyone can teach oral communication. As Work (1982) noted in summarizing the study done by Rutherford (1979), "Although English teachers must spend 40 to 60% of their teaching time in developing the listening, composition, reading, and speech skills of their students, most college English programs allow only 8% of the professional course time for teacher preparation in these skill areas." Civikly (1986) commented upon a similar lack of training in higher education.

In the college classroom the focus has not traditionally been on the instructor's efforts at getting the message across to the audience. Rather, successful impact (often equated with student learning) has been assumed to occur if the instructor is "content competent."....Much less thought has been paid to communication competence, the ability to speak, listen, behave, and interact in a way that is both appropriate for the setting and effective for the desired purpose. (p.6)

In many approaches for integrating communication across the curriculum, this lack of background does receive attention. Speaking labs were usually staffed by speech communication faculty or upper level undergraduate students. Several of the curriculum-wide programs also included a training component. Such training is less apparent when communication activities are combined into other disciplines or when communication activities are left to the design of an individual faculty member. Roberts (1984) concluded that, "It would appear necessary that all faculty undergo some sort of formal training in skills to sensitize them and aid them in this area. Informal peer training, such as would take place at coffee hours, is not sufficient" (p. 14). If oral communication competencies are to receive attention outside the speech communication

classroom, it is essential that faculty be trained to offer such instruction.

When faculty outside the discipline receive such training and communication activities are effectively integrated into other content areas, the next concern which logically follows is that these opportunities will draw students away from enrolling in courses in the speech communication discipline. While such a concern is valid, it does not seem to hold true in practice. Reports have indicated that experiences outside the speech classroom have promoted student awareness on the need to develop effective oral communication abilities. Madsen (1984) in describing an interdisciplinary major between business and mass communication entitled "Corporate Communication" noted that, "The fallout of the construction of the major was that the role of Speech Communication was strengthened in both programs, and thus the need for Speech Communication was strengthened" (p. 8). Roberts (1984) reported that as a result of the Central College program, the enrollments almost doubled in the upper level speech courses. Whether through design or happenstance, students will have a variety of communication experiences outside the communication classroom. If these outside experiences are good, it can be asset to the traditionally communication course enrollment. Speech educators have a vested interest in assuring the quality of communication across the curriculum.

A third concern which arises when considering communication study outside the communication classroom is the lack of balance in the communication skills which are attended to in such study. In many of the attempts to integrate communication across the curriculum, there was a heavy emphasis on public speaking and very little consideration given to other dimensions of communication discipline such as group interaction, interpersonal skills or media consumption. Hamilton (1986) contended,

I have found before me in my classroom a generation of youngsters whose world encourages linguistic passivity. It falls to me as a language arts instructor not merely to hone public speaking skills, but even more challenging and difficult, to build awareness of the demands of clear verbal communication on the most rudimentary interpersonal levels. (p. 22)

Many of the curriculum-wide programs developed by elementary and secondary schools and by some colleges do address a variety of communication abilities, but many of the other approaches do not have such focus. Obviously in these instances, the limitations of the instruction need to be noted.

A fourth concern apparent from the review of outside approaches is the lack of attention to any sort of developmental sequence. As Butler (1986) illustrated, many times the communication experience is a one-shot event rather than a normal part of the school experience. These one-shot events not only arouse considerable anxiety but also ignore the fact that students must build on experiences to develop increasingly sophisticated communication abilities. The experiences of the first grader should be different from the second grader, the ninth grader from the twelve, the college freshmen from the college senior. Unfortunately, many of the approaches discussed in the literature did not consider such developmental differences. Communication activities are suggested for integration into disciplines with little concern for what has proceeded or what will follow

a specific activity. Speech communication educators must be sensitive to this shortcoming.

In summary, the answers to four questions may help to ascertain the value of communication experiences outside the communication classroom. These questions are:

Do faculty outside the discipline have training in communication instruction and evaluation?

Is the quality of the experience sufficient to promote further interest in the study of communication?

Are communication activities drawn from the full spectrum of the communication discipline?

Are communication abilities approached in a developmental manner?

If these questions can be answered with "yes," the experiences associated with communicating across the curriculum may indeed help to promote the development of effective communication abilities. "No" responses suggest a re-evaluation of that approach.

Conclusion

When reference is made to "communication across the curriculum," it is evident that the reference is to a variety of approaches rather than to a single entity. It could be integration into a single discipline such as English or a single course such as business communication. It could mean the formation of a speaking lab to assist students in preparing communication related assignment. It could mean the interest of an individual faculty member with a desire to use communication activities, or it could mean a well-define curriculum-wide program. Whatever the approach, communication educators need to attend to several variables to assure that students are indeed receiving a worthwhile experience. Through attention to training, quality, breadth and sequencing, these approaches can help students begin to develop much needed communication abilities.

REFERENCES

- Adamson, W.D. Functional Communication at Minnesota: Integrating Communication Disciplines, On and Off Campus. Paper presented at the meeting of the Midwest American Business Communication Association, Indianapolis, April, 1982. (ERIC no. ED 216 403)
- Association of American Colleges, Integrity in the College Curriculum, Chronicle of Higher Education, 1985, 29(22), 12-29.
- Bangs, T. Integrating Speech Communication and Composition in the Classroom. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Communication Association, Honolulu, May, 1985. (ERIC no. ED 260 485)
- Beall, M.L. Communication Competence: A K-12 Interdisciplinary Approach. Paper presented at the meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Anaheim, November, 1981. (ERIC no. ED 214 205)
- Berger, B.A. Implementation of a systematic desensitization program and classroom instruction to reduce communication apprehension in pharmacy students. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 1982, 46(3), 277-34.
- Boileau, D.M. & McBath, J.. The new basic: Oral communication. Updating School Board Policies, 1987, 18(2), 1-3. (ERIC no. ED 279 058)

- Book, C.L. & Pappas, E.J. The status of speech communication in secondary schools in the United States: An update. Communication Education, 1981, 30(3), 199-208.
- Brownell, W.W. & Watson, A.K. Creating a Speech Communication Laboratory in a University Retention Program. Paper presented at the meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Chicago, Novemer, 1984. (ERIC no. ED 253 901)
- Butler, G.R. Speaking skills: A few tips from an old timer. In S.M. Nugent (ed.), Integrating Speaking Skills Into the Curriculum. Urbana, Ill.: National Council of Teachers of English, 1986.
- Civikly, J.M. (Ed.), Communicating in the College Classroom: New Directions for Teaching and Learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1986.
- Clarke, L. W. Improving Oral Communication Skills in the Basic Business Communication Course, 1983. (ERIC no. ED 261 447)
- Collins, A. Speak and Write: Write and Speak. The Value of a Combined Approach to Communication Skills. Paper presented at the meeting of the Pacific Northwest Regional Conference on English in the Two Year College, Salem, OR., October, 1982. (ERIC no. ED 221 887)
- Cooper, P. Activities for teaching speaking and listening: Grades 7-12. Annandale, VA: SCA, 1985.
- Cooper, P. Oral Communication Across the Curriculum: Teaching Oral Communication in the English Classroom. Paper presented at the meeting of the Central States Speech Association, Indianapolis, April, 1985. (ERIC no. ED 255 960)
- Cooper, P. & Galvin, K. Designing Faculty Seminars for Incorporating Speech in the General Curriculum. Paper presented at the meeting of the Central States Speech Association, Cincinnati, April, 1986.
- Dukes, J. Speaking Across the Curriculum: Integrating Speech Throughout the College Curriculum. Paper presented at the meeting of the Central States Speech Association, Cincinnati, April, 1986.
- Elbow, P. The shifting relationships between speech and writing. College Composition and Communication, 1985, 36(3), 283-303.
- Flint, L. An Interim Measure of the Effectiveness of a Speech Emphasis Curriculum. Paper presented at the meeting of the Central States Speech Association, Cincinnati, April, 1986.
- Friday, R.A. & Beranek, B.F. Report on a Pilot Project Which Combined Speech Communication and English Composition Instruction, 1984. (ERIC no. ED 279 046)
- Gibson, J.W., Gruner, C.R., Hanna, M.S. Smythe, M.J., Hayes, M.T., The basic course in speech at U.S. colleges and universities III. Communication Education, 1980, 29:1, 1-9.
- Goldstein, R.M. & Nelson, C.W., Stand Up and Write: Completing thhe Freshman Composition Course. Paper presented at the meeting of the Central States Speech Association, Chicago, April, 1984. (ERIC no. ED 246 497)
- Golub, J. Speech communication: The English teacher's responsibility. English Journal, 1986, 75(5), 16.
- Halpern, J.W. Differences between speaking and writing and their implications for teaching. College Composition and Communication, 1984, 35(3), 345-357.
- Hamilton, A.J. Challenging verbal passivity. In S.M. Nugent (ed.), Integrating Speaking Skills Into the Curriculum. Urbana, Ill.: National Council of Teachers of English, 1986.
- Hauge, S. K. Skills for the new world. College Teaching, 1986, 34(4),

- 141-144.
- Harper, N.L. Rhetoric at the University of Iowa: Combining the Oral and Written Modes. Paper presented at the meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Anaheim, November, 1981. (ERIC no. ED 210 747)
- Hetherington, M.S. The importance of oral communication. College English, 1982, 44(6), 572-575.
- Klugh, H.E. Writing and speaking skills can be taught in psychology classes. Teaching Psychology, 1983, 10, 170-1.
- Loacker, G., Cromwell, L., Fey, J. & Rutherford, D. Analysis and Communication at Alverno: An Approach to Critical Thinking. Milwaukee: Alverno College, 1984.
- Madsen, J. Respect from Other Disciplines: A Case Study in Program Development. Paper presented at the meeting of the Central States Speech Association, Chicago, April, 1984.
- Mayer, K. R., Group skills for future office workers. Business Education Forum, 1983, 37:5, 1983.
- McKiernan, J. Getting Our Act Together: A Justification for a Speaking Lab. Paper presented at the meeting of the Central States Speech Association, Chicago, April, 1984. (ERIC no. ED 251 865)
- Mentkowski, M. & Doherty, A. Abilities that last a lifetime: Outcomes of Alverno Experience. AAHE Bulletin, 1984, 36(6), 5-14.
- Nelson, C. W. Teaching Oral Presentation in the Business Communication Course: An Interdisciplinary Approach. Paper presented at the Conference on College Composition and Communication, San Francisco, March, 1982. (ERIC no. 217 418)
- Norris, J. Compared methods of communication instruction. Journal of Nursing Education, 1986, 25(3), 102-106.
- Ochs, D. A Delightful Couple: Writing and Speaking Together. Paper presented at the meeting of the Western Speech Communication Association, Tucson, February, 1986. (ERIC no. ED 266 512)
- Oral Communication: A Guide to Lynchburg Public Schools' Program in Speaking and Listening. Lynchburg, VA: Lynchburg Public Schools, 1986.
- Oregon State Department of Education, Speaking and Listening in Vocational Education, Oregon State University Corvallis Vocational Education Unit, 1982. (ED 226 206)
- Roberts, C. V. A Report of a Three Year Program in Teaching Communication Skills Across the Curriculum. Paper presented at the meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Chicago, November, 1984. (ERIC no. ED 253 890)
- Rubin, D. L. Instruction in speaking and listening: Battles and options. Educational Leadership, 1985, 42(5), 31-36.
- Rubin, R.B. Improving Speaking and Listening Skills: New Directions in College Learning Assistance. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1983.
- Rutherford, L. Are We Preparing Teachers to Teach Communication Skills? Paper presented at the meeting of the Southern Speech Communication Association, 1979. (ERIC no. ED 168 101)
- Saunders, M. Oral presentations in the composition classroom. College Composition and Communication, 1985, 36(3), 357-360.
- Schultz, J. Locked Apart, Brought Together/The Power of the Speech Writing Relationship. Paper presented at the Conference on College Composition and Communication, New Orleans, March, 1986. (ERIC no. ED 274 983)

- Selfe, C.L. Decoding and encoding: A balanced approach to communication skills. Engineering Education, 1983, 74(3), 163-164.
- Smith, L.R. Training teachers to teach clearly: Theory into Practice. Paper presented at a meeting of the AERA, New York, March, 1982. (ERIC no. ED 213 688)
- Thaiss, C. J. & Suhor, C. (Eds.), Speaking and Writing, K-12: Classroom Strategies and the New Research. Urbana, Ill.: National Council of Teachers of English, 1984. (ERIC no. ED 247 607)
- Trank, D.M. A Professional Development Program for Graduate Instructors in Communication and Composition. Paper presented at the meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Chicago, November, 1984. (ERIC no. 277 052)
- Wagner, W. G. Help students find answers: A communication skills primer. Music Educators Journal, 1984, 70(7), 48-51.
- Wenger, P.E. & Fischback, R.M. Speech communication instruction: An interdisciplinary assessment. ACA Bulletin, 1983, 45, 36-38.
- Winkeljohann, R. Oral Language Instruction in the United States: The State of the Arts. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Reading Association World Congress on Reading, 1978. (ERIC no. ED 165 127)
- Wolsch, R.A. & Wolsch, L.A. From Speaking to Writing to Reading: Relating the Arts of Communication. New York: Teachers College Press, 1982. (ERIC no. ED 224 025)
- Wood, B.S. (Ed), Development of Functional Communication Competencies: Pre-K-Grade 6. Annandale, VA: SCA, 1977.
- Wood, B.S. (Ed), Development of Functional Communication Competencies: Grades 7-12. Annandale, VA: SCA, 1977.
- Work, W. Speech Communication and other basic skills: On poor relations and strange bedfellows. Communication Education, 1982, 31(1), 82-93.