

ED286550 1984-04-00 The Status of the Transfer Function. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

The Status of the Transfer Function. ERIC Digest.....	1
WHAT HAS CAUSED THE GROWING CONCERN FOR TRANSFER EDUCATION?.....	2
CAN THE TRANSFER FUNCTION BE ASSESSED?.....	2
HOW ARE COMMUNITY COLLEGES RESPONDING TO THESE CONCERNS ABOUT THE TRANSFER.....	3
CONCLUSION.....	4



ERIC Identifier: ED286550

Publication Date: 1984-04-00

Author:

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges Los Angeles CA.

The Status of the Transfer Function. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC
INTRODUCTION

Increased national attention on the quality of education has resulted in a more critical

examination of the community college transfer function. This concern has emerged not only in the professional literature but in the popular literature as well. Time magazine (19 September 1983), for example, reports the 20-year decline in the percentage of community college students who plan to transfer and notes that "educators and public officials are now trying to work out ways to get community colleges back to their basics" (p. 60). After years of growth, during which colleges championed comprehensive curriculum, the original, transfer function of the colleges has reemerged as a primary determinant of community college quality.

WHAT HAS CAUSED THE GROWING CONCERN FOR TRANSFER EDUCATION?

As early as 1979, Lombardi noted a resurgence of interest in and concern for the transfer function. Since then, researchers, legislators, and the media have raised serious questions about the viability of community college transfer education. Several factors have precipitated this concern: o--the declining percentage of community college students who transfer (Farlaud and Cruz, 1982; "Back to First Principles," 1983); o--the growth of the community services, vocational, and remedial curricula

(Kissler, 1982; Knoell, 1982; Lombardi, 1979); o--a perceived decline in the academic performance of community college

transfer students at four-year institutions (Kissler, 1980, 1982;

Kissler and others, 1981); o--research indicating that after controlling for social background,

ability, motivation, and other personal factors, students starting

their collegiate career at a two-year college have a smaller chance of

attaining a baccalaureate degree than do most freshmen at residential,

four-year institutions (Astin, 1977).

CAN THE TRANSFER FUNCTION BE ASSESSED?

Do community colleges provide quality transfer education? How many community college students intend to transfer? How many of the students do transfer? How well do these students perform at four-year institutions? These questions are central to an assessment of the community college transfer function; yet they are not easily answered.

There are several barriers to an accurate assessment. One of the most formidable is

the lack of norms relating to the transfer function. Because the priority given to transfer education varies from state to state, there are marked regional differences in the number and percentage of community college students who transfer (The Role of the Community College..., 1983). Consequently, there is no consensus regarding the optimal or acceptable percentage of community college students who should transfer. Lombardi (1979) notes that both college practitioners and critics are "silent on this issue" (p. 8).

Flawed counting procedures also add to the problem. Figures on the number of students who enter community colleges with the intention of transferring are inflated by colleges that count as transfer students anyone enrolled in a credit course but not enrolled in a vocational program. Under this counting system, students taking credit courses for vocational purposes are tagged as potential transfers. The inflation of these figures is increased by the practice of asking first-time, full-time, freshmen if they intend to transfer. Because few are willing to totally rule out the possibility of further education, "few will say that they never intend to transfer to a senior institution" (Cohen and Brawer, 1982, p. 53).

Yet another problem is the fact that accurate data on the number of students who do transfer are not available (Cohen, 1979). Most data collection systems are set up to accommodate information about linear transfers, those students who spend a year or two at the community college and then enroll at a university. Many systems, however, do not take into account those students who start their work at a four-year institution, transfer to a community college, and then return to a university. Other students who are usually ignored in the counting process include those who stop-out for a time between community college and university attendance and those who transfer from a community college to an out-of-state institution.

Finally data on the upper-division performance of community college students who do transfer are difficult to interpret. Though there are numerous follow-up studies examining the performance of transfer students at four-year institutions, the studies are dissimilar and provide results which are not comparable. "They may concentrate on first-time transfers, transfers attending senior institutions at a given term, transfers who completed a minimum number of units or terms, or transfers to the state public institutions" (Lombardi, 1979, p. 9).

HOW ARE COMMUNITY COLLEGES RESPONDING TO THESE CONCERNS ABOUT THE TRANSFER

FUNCTION?Community colleges are responding to growing concerns about the transfer function with a renewed emphasis on mandatory basic skills assessment and

counseling. In a survey of 34 colleges in six large, urban community college districts, researchers found that over 60 percent of the colleges required academic advising for all students, for students taking more than a certain number of units, or for all new students. In addition, most of the colleges utilize placement or assessment testing as a means of directing students into classes for which they are academically prepared (Counseling Services to Facilitate Transfer, 1982). The laissez faire notion of the student's right to fail is being abandoned. As Leslie Koltai, chancellor of the Los Angeles Community College District, put it:

In terms of transfer education...our leadership role will involve letting it be known that certain standards will have to be met by students and faculty, that reading and writing assignments are to be an integral part of all transfer level courses, that developmental assistance is available for those who need it, and that a rigorous approach to student advisement is not only desirable--it is expected. (Koltai, p. 5)

Related to this emphasis on standards and counseling is the growing number of community college honors programs. These programs serve not only to attract academically capable students to the two-year college, but to "direct and motivate students toward successful transfer" (Transfer, Honors, and Excellence, 1982, p. 1). Often, the honors programs serve an additional purpose: to improve the overall quality of the academic program. The "Emphasis on Excellence" program at Miami-Dade Community College, for example, serves in part to "address the continuing need for high quality programs during the first two years of college" (Emphasis on Excellence, 1980, p. 1).

CONCLUSION

There can be no doubt that community colleges continue to help millions of students who have no other access to higher education. Unfortunately, the fact that many of these students do achieve that baccalaureate is sometimes overlooked. However, the current push to provide quality education as well as equitable access has resulted in a number of efforts to improve the transfer function. These efforts are not uncontroversial. Not all educators would agree that it is the college's responsibility to identify and track students (Identifying and Assisting..., 1982). Others might claim that honors programs are elitist. Still others, pointing to the growth in the absolute number of community college transfer students, maintain that two-year college transfer programs are being unfairly criticized. Nonetheless, the current reexamination of transfer education has

resulted in increased acceptance of an enhanced community college role in serving academically talented students and in a greater acceptance of the need for assessment and tracking programs that identify transfer students and assist them toward their degree goals.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Astin, A.W. *Four Critical Years*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1977.

"Back to First Principles." *Time*, September 29, 1983, 66-67. Cohen, A.M. *Counting the Transfer Students*. Los Angeles: ERIC Clearinghouse for

Junior Colleges, 1979. 6pp. (ED 172 864). Cohen, A.M., and Brawer, F.B. *The American Community College*. San Francisco:

Jossey-Bass, 1982. *Counseling Services to Facilitate Transfer*. Los Angeles: Center for the Study

of Community Colleges, 1982. 6pp. (ED 219 118). *Emphasis on Excellence*. Miami: Miami-Dade Community College, 1980. 14pp.

(ED 198 840). Farland, R., and Cruz, J. *Identifying and Assisting Potential Transfer*

Students: Policies and Practices. Sacramento: California Community

Colleges, Office of the Chancellor, 1982. 53pp. (ED 220 162). *Identifying and Assisting Transfer Students. Survey of Current Policies and*

Practices. California Community Colleges, Sacramento: California

Community Colleges, Office of the Chancellor, 1982. 42pp. (ED 223 277). Kissler, G.R. "The Decline of the Transfer Function: Threats or Challenges."

New Directions for Community Colleges, 1982, 10 (3), 19-29. Kissler, G.R. *Trends Affecting Undergraduate Education in the University of*

California. Berkeley, CA: University of California Board of Regents, 1980.

22pp. (ED 194 138). Kissler, G.R., and others. "Factors Contributing to the Academic Difficulties

Encountered by Students Who Transfer from Community Colleges to Four-Year

Institutions." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Educational Research Association, Los Angeles, CA, April 13-17, 1981.

22pp. (ED 203 920). Knoell, D. "The Transfer Function--One of Many." New Directions for Community

Colleges, 1982, 10 (3), 5-17. Koltai, L. The State of the District: 1981. Los Angeles: Los Angeles Community

College District, 1981. 20pp. (ED 207 654). Lombardi, J. The Decline of Transfer Education. Los Angeles: ERIC Clearinghouse

for Junior Colleges, 1979. 37pp. (ED 179 273). The Role of Community Colleges in Preparing Students for Transfer to Four-Year

Colleges and Universities: The Maryland Experience. Annapolis: Maryland

State Board for Community Colleges, 1983. 27pp. (ED 230 255). Transfer, Honors, and Excellence: Six Districts Spotlighted. Los Angeles:

Center for the Study of Community Colleges, 1982. 6pp. (ED 221 257) ----- The Clearinghouse operates under NIE Contract No. 400-83-0030. The opinions expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of NIE and no official endorsement by NIE should be inferred.

Title: The Status of the Transfer Function. ERIC Digest.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Reports---Descriptive (141); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Target Audience: Practitioners

Descriptors: Academic Standards, Articulation (Education), College Transfer Students, Community Colleges, Educational Assessment, Educational Counseling, Higher Education, Program Effectiveness, Transfer Programs, Two Year Colleges

Identifiers: ERIC Digests

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)