## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 284 114 CG 020 047

AUTHOR Nickell, Gary S.; And Others

TITLE Gender and Sex Role Differences in Computer Attitudes

and Experience.

PUB DATE Apr 87

NOTE 7p.; Paper presented at t. Annual Convention of the

Southwestern Psychological Sociation (33rd, New

Orleans, LA, April 16-18, 1987).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*College Students; \*Computers; \*Expectation; Higher

Education; Negative Attitudes; \*Sex Diffe nces; \*Sex

Role; \*Student Attitudes; Use Studies

IDENTIFIERS \*Computer Equity

#### **ABSTRACT**

Research suggests that males tend to have a more positive attitude toward computers than do females; that males outnumber females in computer camps; and that males have greater access to, and report more frequent use of, computers at home than do females. It has been suggested that these findings may be based on sex role differences and not on gender differences. Gender and sex role differences in computer attitudes and experience were studied in 166 college students who completed the Computer Attitude Scale; the Personal Attributes Questionnaire to determine sex role orientation; and questions about demographics, computer experience, and future expectations. The results revealed that males had a more positive attitude toward computers than did females, although the difference was not significant. Males did report using computers more frequently than did females. Regular use of computers in school and at home were related to positive computer attitudes. The expectation that computer skills will be necessary in a subject's future occupation varied as a function of sex role. These findings support other research indicating gender differences in regard to attitudes, use, and expectations about computers, and suggest some sex role differences in computer attitudes and expectations. (NB)



# GENDER AND SEX ROLE DIFFERENCES IN COMPUTER ATTITUDES AND EXPERIENCE

Gary S. Nickell and Connie P. Schmidt

Moorhead State University

John N. Pinto

Morningside College

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Paper presented at the Southwestern Psychological Association Meetings, April 1987, New Orleans



# Abstract

Gender and sex role differences in computer attitudes and experience were studied in a sample of college students. Subjects were given the Computer Attitude Scale, a sex role instrument (PAQ), and questions about their computer use. As expected, males were using computers more often and had a more positive attitude toward computers than females. The expectation that computer skills will be necessary in subjects' future occupation varied as a function of sex role.



## Introduction

Several studies have found that males tend to have a more positive attitude toward computers than females (Nickell and Pinto, in press; Wilder, Machie & Cooper, 1985). Studies have found that males outnumbered females in computer camps by a margin of 3:1 (Hess & Miura, 1985), and males have greater access to and report more frequent use of computers at home than do females (Lockheed, 1985). It has been suggested that these findings may be based on sex role differences and not gender differences. Wilder et al. (1985) found that K-12 boys and girls perceive the computer to be more appropriate for boys than for girls. Albert (1986) found that males and females who were androgynous report greater interest in computers and confidence in learning computer skills. The present study tests the effects of sex roles and gender differences on computer attitudes, future expectations, and computer related experience.

## Method

The Computer Attitude Scale (CAS) (Nickell & Pinto, in press) and the Personal Attributes Questionnaire (PAQ) (Sperce & Helmreich, 1978) were administered to 60 males and 106 females at a midwestern university. The CAS measures attitudes toward computers in society, with low scores indicating a negative attitude and high scores indicating a positive attitude. The PAQ was used as a measure of subjects' sex role orientation. Using a median split, subjects were placed into one of four sex-role categories: Masculine, Feminine, Androgynous, and Undifferentiated. In addition, demographic items, information regarding computer related experience, and questions related to future expectations were collected. It was expected that students categorized as Masculine would score higher on the CAS than those categorized as Feminine. It was also expected that males would have more experience with computers than females in terms of usage, and classes.



#### Results

Table 1 presents summary statistics on the results of this study. Results showed that males ( $\underline{M} = 75.2$ ) had a more positive attitude toward computers than females ( $\underline{M}$  = 73.3) but it was not significant ( $\underline{p}$  <.07). Males also reported that they were using computers more frequently than females ( $\underline{p} < .03$ ). The more subjects use computers, the more positive was their attitude (p<.03). Regular use of computers in school and at home were also related to mure positive computer attitudes. Males had more positive expectations than females that using computers would: (1) make it easier to complete their undergraduate education: ( $\mathbf{p}$   $\leq$ .01); (2) help them get a job after graduation ( $\underline{p} < .05$ ); and (3) make them more money in the future ( $\underline{p}$  < .01). Neither gender or sex role differences were found in whether or not subjects had taken a computer class. A comparison of sex role orientation and computer attitudes found that Masculine subjects had a significantly more positive attitude ( $\underline{M} = 77.4$ ) than Feminine subjects ( $\underline{M} = 73.3$ ), Androgynous subjects ( $\underline{M}$  = 73), or Undifferentiated subjects ( $\underline{M}$  = 74.2). Masculine subjects had a more positive expectation than Feminine subjects that computer Knowledge and ability will be necessary in their future occupation ( $\underline{p} < .04$ ).

## Discussion

The present study supports other research that indicates gender differences in regard to attitudes, use, and expectations about computers. In addition, some sex role differences were found in computer attitudes and expectations. These differences may contribute to future occupational problems for women if they are not addressed. In 1980, only 31% of computer programmers and 22% of systems analysts were women (Lockheed, 1985), indicating a male dominated occupational field. Yet census statistics indicate that computer programmers and system analysts are two jobs with the largest projected increase in demand by 1995 (King, 1987). These findings imply that women must be encouraged to take computer



courses and to use computers in order to reverse the present trend toward a male dominated computer field. Fish, Gross, and Sanders (1986) found that intervention targeted at girls can increase voluntary computer usage. Brophy (1983) reports that some organizations are realizing that negative computer attitudes can limit the job mobility of women, and that seminars like those conducted by the Womens' Computer Literacy Project may be helpful.

## References

- Albert, M.A. (1986, May). <u>Gender role orientation differences in computer interest, perceptions, and confidence</u>. Paper presented at the Western Psychological Association, Seattle, WA.
- Brophy, B. (1983, March 21) Computer Savvy: A career essential. <u>USA Today, p. 3b.</u>
- Fish, M.C., Gross, A.L., & Sanders, J. S. (1986). The effect of equity strategies on girls' computer usage in school. <u>Computers in Human Behavior</u>, 2, 127-132.
- Hess, R.D., & Miura, I.T. (1985). Gender differences in enrollment in computer camps and classes. <u>Sex Roles</u>, <u>13</u>, 193-203.
- King, G. (2d.) (1987) <u>Statistical Abstract of the United States 1987</u>. U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Lockheed, M.E. (1985). Women, girls, and co. ters: A first look at the evidence. <u>Sex Roles</u>, 13, 115-122.
- Nickell, G.S., & Pinto, J.N. (in press). The Computer Attitude Scale. <u>Computers in Human Behavior</u>.
- Spence, J.T., & Helmreich, R.L. (1978) <u>Masculinity and Femininity: Their psychological dimensions, correlates, and antecedents</u>. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Wilder, G., Mackie, D., & Cooper, J. (1985). Gender and computers: Two surveys of computer-reated attitudes. Sex Roles, 13, 215-228.



TABLE 1
COMPUTER ATTITUDES

|                         | n         | CAS Score |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total college sample    | 166       | 74.0      |
| Sex                     |           |           |
| Male                    | 60        | 75.2      |
| Female                  | 106       | 73.3      |
| Sex Role                |           |           |
| Masculine               | 23        | 77.3*     |
| Feminine                | 49        | 73.4      |
| Androgynous             | 53        | 73.0      |
| Undifferentiated        | 41        | 74.2      |
| Age                     |           |           |
| Under 20                | 107       | 74.8      |
| 20-29                   | 47        | 73.1      |
| 30-39                   | 10        | 71.3      |
| 40-49                   | 2         | 63.5      |
| Taken a Computer Class  | <b>;</b>  |           |
| Yes                     | 135       | 74.0      |
| No                      | 31        | 73.9      |
| Own a Personal Compute  | r         |           |
| Yes                     | 23        | 77.6×     |
| No                      | 143       | 73.4      |
| Using Computers         |           |           |
| Not at all              | <b>35</b> | 69.5*     |
| Very little             | 61        | 74.2      |
| Occasionally            | 50        | 74.5      |
| Quite often             | 17        | 79.5      |
| All the time            | 3         | 82.0      |
| Use regularly at School | 1         |           |
| Yes                     | 74        | 75.6*     |
| No                      | 92        | 72.8      |
| Use regularly at Work   |           |           |
| Yes                     | 13        | 77.1      |
| No                      | 153       | 73.7      |
| Use regularly at Home   |           |           |
| Yes                     | 18        | 78.4*     |
| No                      | 148       | 73.5      |

<sup>\*</sup> p < .05 \*\* p < .01

