DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 283 469 HE 020 456

AUTHOR Kunziker, Celeste M.

TITLE Persistence and Graduation of UC Davis Undergraduates

Admitted by Special Action: 1975-1985.

INSTITUTION California Univ., Davis. Office of Student Affairs

Research and Information.

PUB DATE May 87
NOTE 16p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Academic Persistence; Affirmative Action; College

Admission; *College Students; Educationally...

Disadvantaged; Enrollment Trends; Higher Education;

*High Risk Students; Institutional Research;
*Minority Groups; *Open Enrollment; *White

Students

IDENTIFIERS Educational Opportunities Programs; *University of

California Davis

ABSTRACT

Persistence and graduation rates of University of California, Davis, special action students admitted in any fall quarter from 1975 to 1985 were studied. Special action students show academic_potential_but_do not_meet admission_requirements_of_ completed course work and academic achievement. The number of special action_students_during_this_l0=year_period_increased_by_73%._In_1975 a large majority of special action students were also members of underrepresented (i.e., Student Affirmative Action-SAA) minority groups; by 1985 SAA and White students were equally represented among special action admits. In 1985 SAA students made up 15% of all entering students but 43% of entering special action students. About half of all Black students entering the university were admitted by special action; the proportion of Chicanos was about 25%. Special action_students_did_not persist_at rates_close_to those of regularly admitted_cohorts_About_60% of all special action students_persist through a second year at the university. About 45% of all special action students entering in fall 1979 or 1980 graduated. About one in two White and Asian special action students graduated, compared with only one in three Black and Chicano special action students. (SW)



PERSISTENCE AND GRADUATION OF UC DAVIS UNDERGRADUATES ADMITTED BY SPECIAL ACTION: 1975-1985

Celeste M. Hunziker



Student Affairs Research and Information University of California, Davis May 1987

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Doublet affairs

Record & L

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

received from the person or organization

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



PERSISTENCE AND GRADUATION OF UC DAVIS UNDERGRADUATES ADMITTED BY SPECIAL ACTION: 1975-1985

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The number of special action students enrolling at UC Davis from Fall 1975 to 1985 increased by 73%. In Fall 1985 one in ten new students was admitted by special action. These students are concentrated in the lower division where one in eight new students was admitted by special action.

There has been a shift in the ethnic background of special action students. Ten years ago a large majority of special action students were also members of underrepresented (i.e., Student Affirmative Action) minority groups. Now SAA and White students are equally represented among new special action admits.

Although most SAA students are not admitted by special action, they are disproportionately represented among this population. In Fall 1985 SAA students made up 15% of all entering students but 43% of entering special action students.

The proportion of special action students varies by ethnicity within the SAA population. From Fall 1975 to 1985, about half of all Black students entering UC Davis were admitted by special action; the proportion for Chicanos was about 25%.

Special action students do not persist at rates close to those of their regularly admitted cohorts, although data for recent years indicate some improvement. From Fall 1975 to 1984, fourth quarter persistence rates increased slightly for all students (87% to 91%) and more dramatically for special action students (70% to 80%).

Persistence rates for special action students drop about 20% during the first four quarters and another 20% during the fifth to seventh quarters. About 60% of all special action students persist through a second year at UC Davis.

About 45% of all special action students entering in Fall 1979 or 1980 graduated. This rate represents a 10% increase over previous years, but it is too early to tell if this improvement reflects a trend.

Graduation rates of special action students vary considerably by ethnicity. In recent years, about one in two White and Asian special action students graduated, compared with only one in three Black and Chicano special action students.



Introduction

In February 1986 Student Affairs Research and Information released a comprehensive report on student persistence and graduation rates entitled Persistence and Graduation of UC Davis Undergraduates: 1971-1983. The report examines the performance of several student groups, including special action. Special action students show academic potential but do not meet the University's admissions requirements of completed course work and academic achievement. This report complements that earlier report and builds on its research. Persistence and Graduation only describes outcomes of special action students admitted with less than 12.5 college credits, a subset that represents about 60% of all special action students entering in any fall quarter from 1975 to 1985. This report looks at the persistence and graduation rates of all special action students admitted in any fall quarter from 1975 to 1985.

Although this report refers throughout to special action students and to particular ethnic subpopulations of special action students, it should be remembered that neither the population as a whole nor the subpopulations are homogeneous. Moreover, although admission outside the standards of University eligibility is taken generally to imply underpreparedness, not all students admitted by special action are actually underprepared.

Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to clarify and reestablish a common understanding of the numbers, persistence and graduation rates for that group of students whom previous research has shown to be at highest risk. (See Appendix A for a Bibliography of UC Davis special action admissions research.) This report also discusses the relationship between special action admissions and Student Affirmative Action efforts.

Data Source

Except where otherwise noted, data for this report are taken from the Composite Undergraduate File (CUF), March 3, 1987, which was updated through the first 1986 Summer Session. CUF, derived directly from the Student Records System, is maintained by Student Affairs Research and Information. Statistics in this report may differ slightly from those in other University sources because they include all students who paid their fee: after the third and fifth week of classes; in addition, they do not include students working toward their second baccalaureate degrees or students who registered but attempted no academic units.

Size of the Population

The number of special action students enrolling at UC Davis has increased both absolutely and relatively to the number of students entering as freshmen and sophomores. As shown in Table 1 below, special action students increased 73% from Fall 1975 (207) to Fall 1985 (358). In Fall 1985, 3,700 new domestic students enrolled at UC Davis; about 10% were admitted by special action.



<u>i</u> 4

TABLE 1 Special Action Students as a Percent of New Domestic Students Fall 1975-1985

Fall	Total n	Special_Action n % of Total		Fall	Total n	Special Action n % of Total		
1975	4079	207	5.1%	1981	3657	247	6.7%	
1976	3486	198	5.7	1982	3516	297	8.4	
1977	3330	205	6.1	1983	3444	317	9.2	
1978	3594	271	7 ; 5	1984	4139	392		
1979	3930	346	8.8	1985	3700	358	9.5 9.7	
1980	4221	339	8.0	2300	3,00	330	J• /	

This phenomenon is not unique to UC Davis. Increasingly larger numbers of special action students have been enrolling Universitywide. The figures in Table 2 below come from the annual report of the Office of the President to members of the Committee on Educational Policy. Although these data differ slightly from those produced from the research data base (CUF), they support the same conclusion.

TABLE 2 Special Action Students as a Percent of Total New Enrollments Universitywide and UC Davis Fall 1980-1984

Fall	Total Register	ed	Special Ac Register		Special Action as a % of Registrant		
	University	UCD	University	UCD	University	UCD	
1980	26351	3967	1951	337	7.4%	8.5%	
1981	25646	3551	2089	257	8.1	7.2	
1982	26314	3382	2206	301	8.4	8.9	
1983	27638	3322	2429	324	8.8	9.8	
1984	28158	4016	2443	392	8.7	9.8	

Source: Office of the President, Report on University of California Admissions & Admissions by Special Action, Tables on Summary of Admission, Registration & Cumulative Performance of New Freshmen & Advanced Standing Students.

Because special action students are admitted primarily as freshmen or sophomores, the figures above underestimate the burden that increasingly larger numbers of special action students place on lower division courses and on support services devoted to students at this level. Of the 3,053 new freshmen and scphomores entering in Fall 1985, 12%--about one in eight students = - did not meet the University's eligibility requirements upon entrance. A significant proportion of these must also be presumed to be underprepared for University-level work (see Table 3 below).



TABLE 3

Special Action Students as a Percent of New Lower Division Students

Fall 1975=1985

	Lower	Specia	1 Action		Lower	Specia	1 Action
Fall	Division n	<u></u>	Percent of LD	Fall	Division n	'n	Percent of LD
1975	2790	207	7.4%	1981	3078	247	8.0%
1976	2431	198	8.1	1982	2893	297	10.3
1977	2496	205	8.2	1983	2804	317	11.3
1978	2747	27 1	9 <u>.</u> 9	1984	3416	392	11.5
1979	3182	346	10.9	1985	3053	358	11.7
1980	3572	339	9.5			300	***

Relationship between Special Action and Student Affirmative Action

Students admitted by special action and students from the five underrepresented minority groups—Native Americans, Blacks, Chicanos, Latinos, and Filipinos—are, by definition, distinct populations. Although the intersection between these two groups was once large, it has declined steadily over time as both groups increased in size,

The data in Table 4 reflect this shift in ethnic background of special action students. In Fall 1975 well over half of the new special action students came from one of the five underrepresented minority groups and about one-quarter were White. By Fall 1985, the two groups—SAA and White—were equally represented. Of all new special action domestic students enrolled in Fall 1985, 43% were SAA, 43% were White, and 12% were Asian.

TABLE 4

Ethnic Distribution of Special Action Students
Fall 1975-85

	Ālī	Š	SÄÄ	Wh	ite	Ot	her ¹
Fall I	<u>_</u>	n	%	ñ	%	ñ	%
1975	207	119	57.5%	57	27.5%		15.03
1976	198	100	50.5	61	30.8	37	18.7
1977	205	93	45.4	- 81	39.5	31	15.1
1978	271	134	49.4	112	41.3	25	9.2
1979	346	104	30.1	188	54.3	54	15.6
1980	339	103	30.4	167	49.3	69	20.4
1981	247	122	49.4	. 84	34.0		16.6
1982	297	128	43-1	126	42.4	41 43	14.5
1983	317	128	40.4	139	43.8	50	15.8
1984	392	176	44.9	157	40.1	59	15.1
1985	358	155	43.3	152	42.5	51	14.2

¹ Includes students who declined to state their ethnicity.



Although there has been a decline in the SAA proportion of special action students over time, SAA students continue to be overrepresented among this population. Table 5 compares the proportions of all students with all special action students (from Table 4) who are also SAA. In Fall 1985 approximately 15% of all newly registered students were affirmative action students. At the same time affirmative action students constituted over two-fifths of the special action population.

TABLE 5

SAA Students as a Proportion of All and Special Action Students
Fall 1975-85

<u> </u>	All Regis Total		tudents SAA _	Special Total	Action	Students SAA
Fāll	n	n	%	<u> </u>	n	%
1975	4079	324	7.9%	207	119	57.59
1976	3486	286	8.2	198	100	50.5
1977	3330	304	9.1	205	. 93	45.4
1978	3594	350	9.7	271	134	40-4
1979	3930	3 5 5	9.0	346	104	30.1
1980	4221	363	8.6	339	103	30.4
1981	3657	449	12.3	247	122	49.4
1982	3516	440	12.5	297	128	43.1
1983	3444	387	11.2	317	128	40.4
1984	4139	494	11.9	392	176	44.9
1985	3700	550	14.9	358	155	43.3

Though disproportionately represented among special action students, most SAA students are not admitted by special action. The percent of all SAA students who are admitted by special action has fluctuated, but has rarely been more than about one-third of this group. However, as the data below (Table 6) indicate, this proportion varies considerably among the five underrepresented groups. Appendix B contains complete data on admission status by ethnicity.



4

TABLE 6
Proportions of New Students Admitted_by_Special Action By Ethnicity
Fall 1975-85

Fāll	Total ¹	SAA	White	Asian	Chicano	Black
1975	5%	37%	2%	4%	31%	50%
1976	6	35	2	4	24	60
1977	6	3 1	3	ē	23	
1978	8	38	4	5	25	51 62 52
1979	9	29	6	7	25	52
1980	8	28	5	ģ	21	52
1981	7	27	ã	7	19	47
1982	8	29	Š	, 7	20	49
1983	ĝ	33	6	7		56
1984	ĝ	36	<u>-</u>	7	24 30	56 52
1985	10	28	6	8	28	45

Note: Native American, Latino and Filipino data are too small to analyze

separately.

Includes students in 'Other' and 'Decline to State' categories.

Source: Appendix B

Although special action admissions account for a small percentage of newly enrolled students among the White and Asian populations, these populations are sufficiently large so that their numbers, climbing slowly over time, account for most of the overall increase in special action students. Within the SAA student population the trend has been in the opposite direction. In Fall 1975, 37% of all SAA students were admitted by special action; ten years later, this proportion had declined to 28%. However, special action admissions still account for a large proportion of these students, particularly for Blacks; during the years under study, about half of all Black students enrolling were admitted by special action. The same is true for about one in four Chicano students.

Persistence and Graduation Rates

Admitting special action minority students, including many who are underprepared, has helped UC Davis partially meet its SAA admissions goals. This strategy, however, can achieve long-run affirmative action results only to the extent that these students persist and graduate at lites close to those of their regularly admitted peers. But previous research (Appendix A) and the outcomes presented below indicate that such expectations may be unrealistic. Special action students do not persist or graduate at rates close to their regularly admitted cohorts, although data for recent years indicate some improvement.

Table 7 below contains fourth and seventh quarter persistence rates for all lower division (freshman and sophomore) regularly admitted students and all special action students entering Fall 1975 through Fall 1984. Lower division students are used as a comparison group here and in the discussion on graduation rates that follows because the special action students in this report entered only at this level. The comparison is still imprecise because the proportion of freshmen to sophomores for each group and ethnicity



varies from year to year. Because sophomores usually persist and graduate at rates slightly higher than freshmen, caution should be used in interpreting small changes in the data below.

TABLE 7

Fourth and Seventh Quarter Persistence Rates:
All Lower Division Regular and Special Action Students by Ethnicity
Fall 1975-1984

Fāll	Reg/	Spec	All Reg/	SAA Spec	Whi Reg/	te Spec	Asi Reg/	an Spec	Chic Reg/	ano Spec	Bla Reg/	
1975	87%	70%	87%	72%	87%	63%	90%	69%	90%	66%	89%	76%
1976	88	<u>71</u>	85	74	89	75	95	92	73	57	90	84
1977	86	71	81	72	86	67	89 89	90	77	73	95	84 72
1978	87	77	87	78	87	77	89	75	94	90	91	83
1979	87	7.7	84	78	88	73	92	89	90	85	78	77
1980	89	80	86	81	88	78	93	92	81	79	93	83
1981	89	80 79	86 84	8 <u>1</u> 8 <u>4</u>	90	73	90	7.8		78	80	83 89
1982	90	78	90	78	90	75	93	88	89	73	83	77
1983	92	80	90	77	92	81	95	91	83	85	89	74
1984	91	80	91	81	91	78	93	88 91 82	85 89 83 89	78	89	82

Seventh Quarter Persistence Rates

Fāll	Reg/	1 'Spec	All Reg/	SAA Spec	Wni Reg/	te Spec	Asi Reg/	an Spec	Chic Reg/	ano Spec	Bla Reg/	ick 'Spec
1975	74%	52%	77%	52%	73%	46%	80%	62%	80%	44%	79%	59%
1976	75	44	<u>71</u>	44	75	54	88	50	64	26	81	54
1977	<u>74</u>	50	71	52	74	49	7.7	65	73	73	84	54 51
1978	75	52	69	51	76	55	80	56	83	75	64	51
1979	77	61	74	60	77	60	84	79	79	69	70	56
1980	79	62	72	60 59	79	60	83	76	72	50	66	50 50
1981	79	60	74	62	80	57	81	59	70	70	71	59 61 64
1982	81	66	73	63	81	64	84	81	78	54	67	64
1983	82	59	78	51	82	63	86	73	72	5 0	63	47
1984					no		lable	= = =	= = =	= = :		

Source: Appendix C

From Fall 1975 to Fall 1984, fourth quarter persistence rates increased slightly for all students, from 87% to 91%, and more dramatically for special action students, from 70% to 80%. About 80% of special action students from each ethnic group entering in Fall 1984 returned to UC Davis to complete a fourth quarter. Figures for regularly admitted students in most categories are about 10% higher. Overail, for the most recent years, there are only small differences in fourth quarter persistence rates by ethnicity. Black and Chicano regular and special action students persist at rates close to those of White students; Asian students persist at somewhat higher rates.



By the seventh quarter, larger differences in persistence rates appear between regular and special action students. The figures fluctuate from year to year, but in general fourth quarter differences of 9% to 12% evolve into seventh quarter differences of 15% to 23%. This gap appears as persistence rates of special action students overall drop about 20% during the fifth to seventh quarters.

Regularly admitted Chicano and Black students persist at rates close to White students. Within the last five years differences among these ethnic groups are 10% or less. Asian students continue to persist at the highest rates. SAA special action students persist through seventh quarter at rates similar to all special action students. The population sizes of SAA special action students by ethnicity are so small at this point that their numbers fluctuate widely.

Overall, persistence rates for special action students from Fall 1975 to Fall 1984 have increased, at both the fourth and seventh quarter. This pattern was suggested in the findings of Persistence and Graduation, but emerges more clearly in this study. Not surprisingly, persistence rates of all special action students are more stable and fluctuate less widely than those of the smaller subset of students, studied in the previous report, who entered with little or no previous college experience.

Graduation Rates

Special action students graduate overall at one-half to two-thirds the rate of regularly admitted students. Table 8 below contains graduation rates for students entering Fall 1975 to 1980. Each cohort has had a minimum of 18 quarters to graduate. Fall 1979 and Fall 1980 special action students graduated at rates 10% higher than previous years.

TABLE 8

Graduation Rates of Regular and Special Action Students by Ethnicity
Fall 1975-1980

Fall	A1 Reg/	1 Spec	All Reg/	SAA Spec	-Whi Reg/	te Spec	Asi Reg/	an Spec	Chic Reg/	ano Spec	Bla Reg/	ck Spec
1975	67%	39%	58%	:6%	68%	44%	76%	62%	68%	41%	43%	40%
1376	68	33	54	26	69	51	81	42	60	26	38	29
1977	66	34	62	24	67	47	63	35	66	41	73	
1978	67	32	55	28	68	40	70	31	57	30	57	23 31 32
1979	67	47	57	35	68	53	71	64	57 57	38	44	35
1980	67	45	59	32	68	51	74	53	55 55	38	34	29

Source: Appendix C.

It is too early to know if this increase in graduation rates is temporary; however, it appears to be driven primarily by increases in graduation rates of White and Asian special action students. Despite the small differences in fourth and seventh quarter persistence rates of SAA and non-SAA students, SAA students graduate at consistently lower rates. The figures in



Table 8 indicate that in recent years about one out of two Asian and White special action students and one out of three Black and Chicano special action students graduated.

Similar differences by ethnicity appear in graduation rates of regularly admitted students. Overall, 67% of regularly admitted lower division students graduated. This figure has remained stable over the years under study. The figures for White and Asian students are slightly higher than average. For the last three years, regularly admitted Chicano students graduated at a rate ten percentage points or 15% lower than the average overall, while the rate for Black students is more than twenty percentage points or 30% lower.

Special Action Committee Admits

There are two main categories of special action admissions to UC Davis, formula and committee. In 1978 UC Davis created a formula based on past experience with special action students. Special action formula students are admitted on the basis of a progressively higher GPA for each A-F pattern subject omission. The formula, used since Fall 1979, is in essence a down-scaling of the conventions used in regular undergraduate admissions. Committee admits are students who do not meet this downscaled admissions criteria. Their applications are reviewed by a subcommittee of the Academic Senate Committee on Admissions and Enrollment. Although these students show promise in some areas, by traditional standards they are the least prepared of all high-risk entrants.

Profile of Committee Admits

Data on special action committee admits are available in the Student Records System beginning in Fall 1981. From Fall 1981 through Fall 1985, CUF reports 158 students admitted through the committee process (see Table 9 below). This number is slightly below that reported by the Undergraduate Admissions Office (168). The two figures reflect some imprecision in data entry to the Student Records System during earlier years. Committee admits represent only 10% of all special action admits enrolling between Fall 1981 and Fall 1985. Their proportion of special action students has declined from 23% in Fall 1981 to 6% in Fall 1985.

TABLE 9

Committee Admits as a Proportion of All Special Action Admits
Fall 1981-1985

Fā'i 1	All Special Action Admits n	Commin	ttee Admits Percent
1981	247	57	23%
1982	297		8
1983	317	26 27	ğ
1984	392	27	7
1985	358	21	6



The committee review process has served primarily as a conduit for additional admissions of underrepresented students; about 78% of all students admitted by committee review are SAA students. Among committee admits, 68 are Black (43%), 31 are Chicano (20%), 18 are Asian (11%), 13 are White (8%), 13 are Filipino (8%), 7 are Native American (4%), 5 are Latino (3%), and 3 come from other ethnic backgrounds (2%). In Fall 1985 SAA students made up 76% of committee admits as compared with 43% of all special action students and 15% of all new students.

Overall, 53% of committee admits are male, 47% female. Close to 90% of them were admitted as freshmen (i.e., with less than 40.5 college credits). Over 60% of these students enrolled in the College of Letters and Science, 30% in Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, and 7% in Engineering.

Persistence and Graduation Rates of Committee Admits

Data on outcomes of committee admits (Table 10 below) were obtained directly from the Student Records System, using the program SNAPSHOT and are current as of the start of Winter Quarter 1987. Committee admits persist through their first year at rates similar to those of all special action students, but begin to drop out in larger numbers between the fourth and seventh quarters.

Persistence and Graduation Status of Special Action Committee Admits Fall 1981-1985

: ::::	Percent 4 Qtrs	Persisting 7 Qtrs		led or uated		Enrolled Graduated	
Fall			ñ	%	ñ	%	
1981 (n=57)	79%	54%	19	33%	38	67%	
1982 (n=26)	77	35	. 9	35	17	6 5 52	
1983 (n=27)	74	48	13	35 48	14	52	
1984 (n=27)	81	na	20	74	1	26	
1985 (n=21)	nā	nā	15	7 1	<u></u>	29	

na = not available

It is too soon to determine with any certainty whether this difference in seventh quarter persistence rates will be directly reflected in lower graduation rates for committee admits. One-third of Fall 1981 committee admits had not dropped out of UC Davis by Winter Quarter 1987. But of these 19 students, 8 (or 14% of the cohort) had graduated and 11 were still envolved. This result suggests that time-to-degree for committee admits may be longer than for all special action students and that their graduation rates ultimately may be considerably lower.



Discussion

This report examines in detail persistence and graduation rates of all UC Davis special action students entering in a fall cohort. Previous reports described a subset of this population—students entering UC Davis directly from high school; although that methodology creates a more homogeneous subset for research purposes, it has the disadvantage of eliminating approximately 40% of the population from study. It is axiomatic in student outcomes research that the more college credits a student earns, the more likely that student is to earn additional college credits and ultimately graduate. Thus, the inclusion of special action entrants with previous college experience wil? not only stabilize outcomes by increasing population size but also improve them because of the background of the additional students. The special action student outcomes in this report are both more stable than those reported earlier and more positive. Each study approach has its advantages and disadvantages and interested readers should read this report in conjunction with earlier research.

Part of this report describes the numbers of special action students and the distribution of these students by level. There are two reasons for this lengthy analysis. First, it is necessary to put into perspective the notion that special action students make up only 6% of the undergraduate student body. The 6% figure is an admissions goal, set by the University to increase the proportion of disadvantaged students. However, because these students enroll at a much higher rate than other groups, they make up a greater percentage of the admitted students who actually enroll.

Secondly, the special action students discussed in this report were admitted exclusively as freshmen and sophomores; therefore, they represent a high proportion of lower division enrolled students. In Fall 1985 special action students made up 12% of newly enrolled lower division students, a proportion twice as high as that reflected by the 6% admissions goal. The report of the UC Task Force on Lower Division Education, Lower Division Education in the University of California (June 1986), described the lower division as "something of a neglected child in terms of information gathered, attention paid and critical review given to it." Campus attempts to rectify this problem and address questions, such as who should teach lower division courses, what is the quality of training for teaching assistants, and what are sufficient and appropriate academic support services at this level, should take into consideration that one in eight of these students was admitted without meeting the University's eligibility criteria and thus quite likely to be underprepared for its curriculum.

Special action admissions are one means by which the campus has met its SAA admissions targets. But unless persistence and graduation rates of SAA special action students improve substantially, continuing to count special action admits towards meeting admissions targets may be only a short-term, and perhaps short-sighted, solution to improving the problem of underrepresentation. Special action students do not graduate at the same rate as regularly admitted students nor do special action SAA students graduate at the same rate as non-SAA special action students. Most of the improvement in special action outcomes in recent years reflects outcomes of White and Asian students. It is important to keep in mind that use of special admissions to meet SAA targets can actually subvert long-run efforts to increase the percentage of SAA baccalaureate holders by admitting into the base large numbers of students with only a one in three chance of graduating.



10

APPENDIX A

Bibliography of UC Davis Special Action Admissions Research¹

- Persistence and Graduation of UC Davis Undergraduates: 1971-1983 (February 1986)
- Retention and Graduation Rates of UC Davis Students Admitted from High School: 1974-81 (April 1984)
- A Brief Report on Graduation Rates in UC Davis Undergraduate Colleges for Students Admitted from High School (December 1983)
- Non-Traditional Predictors of Academic Success for Special Action Admissions (November 1982)
- Inter-rater Reliability and Validity of Non-Traditional Factors For Predicting Special Action Students' University Success (October 1982)
- EOP and SAA Undergraduates Who Left UC Davis Without a Degree (October 1981)
- Progress in Enrolling and Graduating Minority Undergraduates at UC Davis (June 1980)
- Report of the UC Davis Task Force on Retention and Transfer (June 1980)
- Publications are available from the Office of Student Affairs Research and Information.



11 14

APPENDIX B

Admission Status At Entry All Domestic Undergraduates by Ethnicity Fall 1975-85

ALL En	trants					SAA En	trants				
	Total		jular nits		cial iits		Total	Regi Admi		Spec Admi	
<u>Fá11</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>n</u>	%	n	<u> </u>	<u>Fa11</u>	n	<u>_</u>	%	ri	<u> </u>
1975	4079	3872	95%	207	5%	1975	324	205	63%	119	37%
1976	3486	3288	94%	198	6 %	1976	286	186	65%	100	35%
1977	3330	3125	94%	205	6%	1977	304	211	69%	: 93	31%
1978	3594	3323	92%	271	8%	1978	350	216	62%	134	38%
1979	3930	3584	91%	346	9%	1979	355	251	71%	104	29%
1980	4221	3882	92%	339	8%	1980	363	260	72%	103	28%
1981	3657	3410	93%	247	7%	1981	449	327	73%	122	27%
1982	3516	3219	92%	297	8%	1982	440	312	71%	128	29%
1983	3444	3127	91%	317	9%	1983	387	259	67%	128	33%
1984	4139	3747	91%	392	9%	1984	494	318	64%	176	36%
1985	3700	3342	90%	358	<u>10%</u>	1985	550	395	72%	- 155	28%
ÄHITE E	ntrants					<u> ASIAN</u> E	ntrants				
	Total	Reg	ular	Spe	cial	••••	Total	Regu	lar	Speci	āl
# 14.4		Adm	iits		its	P - 1.1	ñ	Admi	ts	Admit	S
a11	<u></u>	n	<u>%</u> 	<u>n</u>	<u> </u>	Fall	n .	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u> 	n	<u> </u>
975	3217	3160	98%	57	2%	1975	319	306	96%	13	4%
976	2740	2679	98%	61	2%	1976	272	260	96%	12	4%
977	2583	2502	97%	- 81	3%	1977	312	292	94%	20	6% 5%
978	2771	2659	96%	112	4%	1978	330	314	95%	16	5%
979	3013	2825	94%	188	6% 5%	1979	380	352	93%	28	7% 9%
980	3076	2909	95%	167	5%	1980	443	405	91%	38	9%
981	2620	2536	97%	84	3%	1981	367	340	93%	27	7%
982	2466	2340	95%	126	5%	1982	453	421	93%	32	7% 7%
1983	2448	2309	94%	139	6%	1983	456	423	93%	33	7%
984	2788	2631	94%	157	6%	1984	619	574	93%	45	7%
1985	2462	2310	94%	152	6%	1985	543	502	92%	41	<u>8%</u>
CHICANO	Entrants	_				BLACK E	ntrants				
	Total	Reg Adm	ular its	Spe Adm	cial		Tötāl	Regu Admi		Speci Admit	
Fa11	ñ	'n	%	ñ	*	Fā11	ñ	ñ	%	'n	<u>%</u>
1975	104	72	69%	32	31%	1975	115	57	50%	58	50%
976	96	73	76%	23	24%	1976	105	42	40%	63	60%
1977	94	72	77%	22	23%	1977	112	55	49%	57	51%
978	: 81	61	75%	20	25%	1978	134	51	38%	83	62%
979	105	79	75%	26	25%	1979	126	60	48%	66	52%
980	113	89	79%	24	21%	1980	114	55	48%	59	52%
981	122	- 99	81%	23	19%	1981	148	78	53%	70	47%
982	129	103	80%	26	20%	1982	135	69 ·	51%	66	49%
983	-84	64	76%	20	24%	1983	132	58	44%	7.4	56%
984	137	96	70%	41	30%	1984	150	77	48%	83	52%
	177	127	72%	50	28%	1985	158	87	55%	<u>71</u>	45%



Numbers of Entrants Persisting and Graduating All Lower Division Regular and Special Action Students by Ethnicity Fall 1975-1985

ALL !	Lower Division E	<u>Intrants</u>			SÃĀ LOW	er Division E	: ntrants		
Fall	Total Reg/Spec	4th Quarter Reg/Spec	7th Quarter Reg/Spec	Graduates Reg/Spec		Total Reg/Spec	4th Quarter Reg/Spec	7th Quarter Reg/Spec	Graduates Reg/Spec
1975	<u>n</u> n 2583 207	- <u>II</u> - II - II - II - II - II - II - I	<u> </u>	: .D:.	Eall		<u> </u>	<u>n</u> n	<u> </u>
		2248 144	1902 107	1742 80	1975	112 119	97 86	86 62	65 43
1976	2233 198	1976 141	1677 -88	1523 65	1976	117 100	100 74	83 44	63 26
1977	2291 205	1966 145	1699 103	1514 69	1977	133 93	108 67	94 48	82 22
1978	2476 271 2025 245	2156 208	1866 142	1671 88	1978	168 134	146 104	116 68	93 37
1979	2836 346 3233 339	2481 265	2186 212	1905 164	1979	195 104	<u>163 81</u>	145 52	111 36
1980		2862 272	2543 211	2173 152	1980	212 103	182 83	153 61	107 33
1981		2523 196	2512 148	na na	1981	263 122	222 102	194 76	na na
1982	2596 297 2487 317	2346 233	2091 195	ņā na	1982	255 128	230 100	187 81	na na
1983 1984		2281 254	2051 187	na na	1983	215 128	194 99	167 65	na na
	3024 392	2761 314	na na	na na	1984	239 176	218 142	n a na	na na
1985	2695 358	na na	<u>na</u> na	na na	1985	314 155	— na na	na na	na na
WHITE	Lower Division	Entrants			ASIAN L	ower Division	Entrants		-
	Total	4th Quarter	7th_Quarter	Graduates		Total	4th Quarter	7th-Quarter	Graduates
	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec		Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec
Fall	:::0:: N.	:::0. '0::	במב מב	במ מנו	Falt		—— ≕n n	- j j	
1975	2140 57	1865 36	1569 26	1449 25	1975	197 13	177 9	158 8	149 8
1976	1844 61	1637 46	1377 33	1269 31	1976	189 12	180 11	167 - 6	- 1
1977	1855 81	1589 54	1371 40	1235 38	1977	223 20	198 18	172 13	153 5 141 7
1978	1972 112	1716 - 86	1489 62	1350 45	1978	247 16	221 12	197 9	174 -5
1979	2229 188	1955 138	1714 112	1520 99	1979	297 28	274 25	250 22	212 18
1980	2430 167	2145 130	1916 101	1652 85	1980	351 38	328 35	293 29	
1981	2102 84	1889 61	1685 48	na na	1981	300 27	270 21	242 16	2 <u>61</u> 20
1982	1890 126	1700 95	1526 81	na na	1982	348 32	325 28	293 26	na na na na
1983	1832 139	1677 113	1511 88	na na	1983	346 33	327 30	297 24	
1984	2107 157	1921 123	na na	na na	1984	501 45	465 37		na na
1985	1859 152	na na	na na	na na	1985	416 41	na na	na na na na	na na
	NO Lower Divisio					wer Division	-	110 110	<u>na na</u>
	::Total	4th_Quarter	7th Quarter	Chadiistae		TWATE	AFR. MILLIAN	411 80	_========
	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Graduates Pog/Spoc		Total	4th Quarter	7th Quarter	Graduates
Fall	neg/spec	u: u:	a∵ a: vēā\ahēc	Reg/Spec	Esti	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec	Reg/Spec
1975	41 32	37 21	33 14	<u> 28 13</u>	Fall 1975	——n n 28 58	N N	<u>n: n:</u> 22 34	01. 01
1976	45 23	33 13	29 6	27 6	1975		25 44	22 34	12 23
1977	44 22	34 16	32 16	20 T		21 63	19 53	17 34 31 29	<u>.8 18</u>
1978	47 20	44 18	32 16 39 15	. <u>29 9</u> 27 6	1977	37 57	35 41	31 29	27 13
1979	58 26	52 22			1978	44 83	40 69 39 51	28 42 35 37	25 26
1980				33 10	1979	50 66			22 21
1981			50 12	38 9	1980	44 59	41 49	29 35	15 17
		67 18	<u>55 16</u>	na na	1981	65 70	52 62	46 43	na na
1982	81 26	72 19	63 14	na na	1982	60 66	50 51	40 42	na na
1983 1007	54 20	45 17	<u>39 10</u>	<u>na</u> <u>na</u>	1983	46 74	41 55	29 35	na na
1984 1006	62 41	55 32	na na	na na	1984	61 83	<u>54 68</u>	<u>na</u> na	na na
1985	95 50	<u>na na</u>	<u> </u>	na na	1985	74 71	na na	na na	na na
	167			_	-				

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC