

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 281 714

SE 047 837

TITLE Criteria for Excellence: Science.  
INSTITUTION Alaska State Dept. of Education, Juneau. Office of Curriculum Services.  
PUB DATE Sep 86  
NOTE 11p.; Prepared by the Science Criteria for Excellence Task Force.  
PUB TYPE Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Elementary School Science; Elementary Secondary Education; Evaluation Criteria; Inservice Teacher Education; Process Education; \*School Community Relationship; Science Curriculum; Science Education; \*Science Programs; \*Secondary School Science; Staff Development; \*State Departments of Education; \*State Surveys; Teacher Administrator Relationship  
IDENTIFIERS Alaska

ABSTRACT

This program assessment instrument was developed to identify criteria for excellence in science in Alaskan schools. It was designed to be used by administrators, professional staff and community representatives to assess science programs. The instrument contains categories of items which are to be rated on a five-point scale ranging from "not started" to "achieved." The categories deal with: (1) philosophy; (2) staffing and professional development; (3) administration; (4) planning; (5) program; (6) community involvement; and (7) evaluation. It is suggested that the instrument could also be used to establish program goals, validate exemplary programs, do a self-appraisal, plan inservice and staff development activities, and disseminate information. (TW)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.  
 Minor changes have been made to improve  
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document  
do not necessarily represent of  
OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED

*R. B. Ferguson*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

ED281714

PROMISING PRACTICES

---

**CRITERIA  
FOR  
EXCELLENCE**

---

SCIENCE EDUCATION

SE 047 837

# **CRITERIA FOR EXCELLENCE**

## **SCIENCE**

**This program assessment instrument has been developed to be used by administrators, professional staff and community representatives to assess Science programs. Other possible uses for information generated from using these criteria include:**

**Establishing program goals**

**Validation of exemplary programs or promising practices**

**Self-appraisal (school, district, community level)**

**Planning inservice, staff development and training activities**

**Disseminating information**

**Adopted by the State Board of Education  
September 1986  
Office of Curriculum Services  
Alaska Department of Education  
P.O. Box F  
Juneau, Alaska 99811**

# CRITERIA FOR EXCELLENCE

## SCIENCE

For each statement circle the rating number that most accurately describes the current status of your school's program.

### PHILOSOPHY

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. There is a written philosophy for the science education program.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. The science philosophy reflects the needs, wishes, cultures, and values of the local communities.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. The science program philosophy is the basis for planning, implementation, and evaluation of the school's science program.                   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. The science philosophy encourages the infusion of new ideas and technologies that prepare students for the future.                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. The program is supported by the school district board and is consistent with district's educational philosophy and science education goals. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

### STAFFING AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. Qualified personnel are teaching in the science program:   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| . Elementary teachers have at least one science course and one science methods course.  |   |   |   |   |   |
| . Secondary science teachers have a minimum of a college minor or equivalent course credits in science and one science methods course.                                |   |   |   |   |   |
| . At least one staff person in the program has completed courses in several areas of science (i.e. Biology, Chemistry, Physics, Geology, Environmental Science etc.). |   |   |   |   |   |
| . Teachers show an enthusiasm and interest in science and assume the professional responsibility of keeping current.  |   |   |   |   |   |
| . Recruitment procedures encourage hiring of under-represented groups.  |   |   |   |   |   |

---

#### Rating Scale:

(1) not started (2) started/little progress (3) some progress (4) almost achieved (5) achieved

- |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7. | There are ongoing inservices and science staff meetings to implement the science program based on assessed needs according to program objectives. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 8. | There is training in appropriate use of educational materials.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 9. | A process is in place to update staff on current research, teaching techniques, and technological trends applicable to science education.         | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## Administration

- |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | School administration and counseling staff are knowledgeable about the scope and sequence of the science program.           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. | The school administration provides full support to the science program.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. | The program is budgeted at a level which allows for a successful program to exist and flourish.                             | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. | Qualified individual(s) are given the authority, responsibility, and time for continued development of the science program. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## Planning

- |     |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 14. | A clear management plan exists that defines roles and responsibilities of all parties involved in the program. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. | Program planning incorporates needs assessments of:<br>students<br>school<br>community                         | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. | Program planning and development are based on realistic goals and objectives.                                  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

### Rating Scale:

(1) not started (2) started/little progress (3) some progress (4) almost achieved (5) achieved

- |   |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| 17. Program planning and development and setting goals and objectives involve:<br>teachers<br>administrators<br>students<br>community | 1    2    3    4    5 |
|---|-----------------------|

**Program**

- |   |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| 18. The science curriculum is coordinated with the total school instructional program.  | 1    2    3    4    5 |
| 19. The science program is integrated into all grade levels, while meeting the needs of individual students and matching the developmental abilities, learning styles, and rates of the students.                 | 1    2    3    4    5 |
| 20. The science program encourages integration with other subject matter and uses skills learned in those subject areas.  | 1    2    3    4    5 |
| 21. The program is based on a written scope and sequence of knowledge and skills, K-12. The scope and sequence is used in instruction and evaluation.   | 1    2    3    4    5 |
| 22. Student progress is assessed in relation to program goals and objectives.   | 1    2    3    4    5 |
| 23. Financial, material, and human resources for science education are coordinated to meet goals.   | 1    2    3    4    5 |
| 24. The program has extended learning opportunities for all students regardless of ability. (for example, Science Fair and outdoor education projects) allowing students to explore areas of individual interest. | 1    2    3    4    5 |
| 25. Activities are used to involve the under represented groups in the science program.   | 1    2    3    4    5 |

**Rating Scale:**

(1) not started (2) started/little progress (3) some progress (4) almost achieved (5) achieved

- |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26. | The science program includes or incorporates:   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|     | : Opportunities for all students to explore careers in science.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Current technological, social and economic changes.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Local, cultural and language diversity in the science curriculum.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Topics relevant not only to the college-bound student but to students' lives in the community setting.  |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Topics that include and exploit the opportunities provided by Alaska's environment.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Opportunities to explore the world of science by experiencing hands-on experiments related to the scientific method.  |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : A variety of and the most appropriate instructional method for the lesson such as research, field trips, experimentation and computer technology.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Creative thinking, problem solving skills and the knowledge necessary to prepare students to make informed decisions.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Opportunity to communicate both written and orally.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Opportunities for success.  |   |   |   |   |   |
| 27. | The program teaches both the process and content of science by including such skills as observing, classifying, analysis and scientific inquiry, as well as content areas such as plants, geology, and electricity. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 28. | Adequate instructional materials are available for the execution of the program. The materials are:   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|     | : Appropriate for the students' abilities and concepts to be learned.   |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Current and motivational  |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | : Continually reviewed, inspected and updated, and have been reviewed for bias.   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 29. | Reference materials are available within the school or the school district.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 30. | Adequate and appropriate equipment and supplies are available.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 31. | Current audio-visual materials (films, filmstrips, audio-cassettes, records, laser disks, posters, charts, computer programs, etc.) are available.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

**Rating Scale:**

(1) not started (2) started/little progress (3) some progress (4) almost achieved (5) achieved

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 32. Adequate and appropriate space is available for performing science activities and for storage of equipment and supplies. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|

## COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 33. The talents and knowledge of local people, (including under-represented groups which compliment the program's goals) are used as resources in the classroom.                                  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 34. The resources available in the local community and environment are identified and used to meet program objectives.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 35. Parents and the community are informed of program goals and involved in program development and evaluation.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 36. Community resource people (for example, agency biologists, industry geologists, village elders, medical personnel) are informed of the program goals and involved in the program development. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## EVALUATION

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37. An ongoing process and procedure exist to assess student achievement against objectives of the curriculum.      | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 38. Program evaluations are based on the well defined goals and objectives.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 39. There is an ongoing evaluation process that includes students, teachers, community members, and parents.        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 40. Results of the evaluations are communicated to students, teachers, parents and the community.                   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 41. Evaluation results, including assessment of student achievement, are used for program planning and improvement. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

---

### Rating Scale:

(1) not started (2) started/little progress (3) some progress (4) almost achieved (5) achieved

5083I



Criteria for Excellence  
SCIENCE

Profile Sheet

Rating Scale: Mark your rating for each numbered item on a scale of 1 to 5 or any point in between.

	Not Started	Started	Some Progress	Almost Achieved	Achieved
<b>PHILOSOPHY</b>	1	2	3	4	5
1 Written philosophy					
2 Reflects community values					
3 Basis for science program					
4 Encourages technology					
5 School board support and consistency					
<b>STAFFING AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT</b>					
6 Qualified personnel					
7 Ongoing inservices based on needs					
8 Training for materials use					
9 Staff updated on research					
<b>ADMINISTRATION</b>					
10 Knowledge of scope and sequence					
11 Administrative support					
12 Adequate budget level					
13 Responsibility for program development					
<b>PLANNING</b>					
14 Management plan					
15 Needs assessment					
16 Realistic goals and objectives					
17 Group involvement					
<b>PROGRAM</b>					
18 Coordination with total program					
19 All grade level integration					
20 Integrated subject matter and skills					
21 Written scope and sequence					
22 Assessment of student progress					
23 Resources meet goals					
24 Extended learning opportunities					
25 Under-represented groups					
26 Science program elements					
27 Process and content					
28 Adequate materials					
29 Reference materials					
30 Equipment and supplies					
31 Audio visual materials					
32 Adequate space and storage					

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT	2	3	4	5
33 Local human resources used				
34 Community resources identified				
35 Parent and community participation				
36 Community resources informed				

EVALUATION				
37 Process for student assessment				
38 Program evaluation based on goals				
39 Ongoing evaluation process				
40 Communication of results				
41 Results used for planning				

50831

## Science Criteria for Excellence Task Force Members

Jan Wallance-Keck, Teacher  
Soldotna High School  
Kenai Peninsula Borough Schools

Dennis Dempsey, Asst. Principal  
Soldotna High School  
Kenai Peninsula Borough Schools

Doug Emery, Teacher  
Kenai Junior High  
Kenai Peninsula Borough Schools

Larry Rockhill, Teacher  
Soldotna Elementary  
Kenai Peninsula Borough Schools

Sara Wilbur, Parent  
Kenai Peninsula Borough Schools

Peggy Cowan, Facilitator  
Department of Education, Juneau