DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 270 158 JC 860 295

AUTHOR Brinkman, Paul T.

TITLE The Financial Impact of Part-Time Enrollments on

Two-Year Colleges: A Marginal-Cost Perspective. National Center for Higher Education Management

Systems, Boulder, Colo.

PUB DATE [83] NOTE 45p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical

Data (110)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

INSTITUTION

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

Community Colleges; *Costs; Educational Finance; *Expenditure per Student; *Financial Needs; *Full Time Equivalency; *Full Time Students; *Part Time

Students; School District Spending; Two Year Colleges; Two Year College Students

ABSTRACT

In light of the increasing enrollment of part-time students at public two-year colleges, the question arises whether the conventional ratio (3:1 or so) for converting part-time to full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment accurately represents the actual costs of providing services to part-time versus full-time students. A study was conducted to assess the relative effect of part-time versus full-time students on several types of expenditures in the two-year college. Using a translog model, costs were represented by reported expenditures; output by the number of full-time, part-time, and non-credit students; prices by average salaries for full-time faculty; and technological conditions by program emphasis, the percent of students earning a degree, and the system status of the institution. The study found that: (1) the marginal costs for instruction at small colleges were estimated to be \$1335 for a full-time student and \$245 for a part-time student, while costs at large institutions were estimated to be \$1941 for a full-time student and \$194 for a part-time student; (2) regardless of institutional size, the ratio of full-time to part-time marginal costs was greater in the instructional area than in student services; and (3) at the majority of the two-year colleges in the sample it cost only about one-fifth to one-seventh as much to provide instructional services for a part-time student as a full-time student. A five-page list of references and cost data for the 779 colleges in the study sample are appended. (EJV)



The Financial impact of Part-Time Enrollments on Two-Year Colleges: A Marginal-Cost Perspective

by Paul T. Brinkman Senior Associate

National Center for Higher Education Management Systems

Boulder, Colorado 80302

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY						
	C.	ROBERTS				
_						

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent cfficial OERI position or policy



<u>Abstract</u>

Marginal costs are estimated for part-time and full-time students at public two-year colleges. The estimated costs are compared across several expenditure categories including instruction and student services. The results indicate that part-time students typically consume fewer institutional resources than conventional full-time-equivalency ratios might suggest.



3

<u>On Public Two-Year Colleges: A Marginal-Cost Perspective</u>

The number of part-time students attending public two-year colleges increased rapidly during the 1970s. By the end of the decade, aggregate part-time enrollment constituted about 63% of total headcount enrollment at those institutions (National Center for Education Statistics 1982).

Concern has been expressed about the financial implications of this trend from an institutional perspective. The question at issue is whether the conventional ratio (3:1 or so) for converting part-time to full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment accurately represents the actual costs of providing services to part-time versus full-time students (see, for example, Armstrong et al. 1979; Ohio Board of Regents 1981;

Wattenbarger and Bibby 1981; Loftus, Hines, and Hickrod 1982). This question has not been explored empirically in the literature except for Kress (1977), tangentially, and Brinkman (1981).1

The conventional three-to-one ratio is not a hard and fast rule, but it is grounded in typical student behavior at least in the instructional area. While national data on student credit hours are not available, a sampling of reports published by state agencies indicates that a part-time student at a public two-year college generally does take about one-third as many credits as a full-time student, with some variation by state (Connecticut Board of Higher Education 1982; St. John and Sumner 1980; New York State Education Department 1983; Ohio Board of Regents 1981; Washington State Board for Community College Education 1980). Comparable data with respect to institutional services other than instruction are not available. Some would argue (see Ohio Board of Regents 1979 for an opinion survey on this issue) that for many of the activities included within



"student services," a part-time student may require almost as much by way of institutional resources as a full-time student requires. If so, then reliance on the three-to-one ratio for funding or allocation purposes could inadvertently have adverse effects on institutional finances.

The purpose of this paper is to assess the relative effect of part-time versus full-time students on several types of expenditures in two-year colleges. Institutional expenditures for instruction, student services, and (total) educational and general purposes are considered. The financial impact of part-time and full-time students are assessed in terms of marginal costs, that is, the change in total costs (expenditures) associated with the enrollment of one additional student. Marginal costs are estimated for both part-time and full-time students for each expenditure type. The estimates are compared with one another and the results are discussed in relation to ratios used for converting part-time to FTE enrollments.

Instruction and student services, as conventionally understood in higher education, account for a large proportion of the services that are provided directly to students. Traditional classroom teaching is the predominant activity within the instructional function, while student services includes a wide variety of activities (for example, registration, admissions, personal counseling, career counseling, testing, and financial aid processing). Disparate modes of operation in the two areas should be reflected in disparate expenditure patterns. In both cases, the overall resources required by a typical part-time student are surely less than those required by a typical full-time student. The difference should be greater for instruction than for student services, however, because the latter includes many activities, such as registration and personal



counseling, that often require the same amount of institutional effort regardless of whether the student has full— or part—time status. It is also hypothesized that the magnitude of the marginal—cost ratio between full— and part—time students will be roughly three—to—one for instruction, based on relative credit—hour loads for the two types of students (as mentioned earlier). It should be noted, however, that the ratio was found to be considerably higher than that in a previous study (Brinkman 1981). For student services the expectation is that the marginal—cost ratio will be somewhat less than three—to—one.

Educational and general expenditures include expenditures for both instruction and student services, as well as for numerous other functions (academic support, institutional support, operation and maintenance of the plant, and so forth). Relating this composite expenditure category to full—and part—time enrollments is a means of assessing the relative impact of the two student types in the context of a broad measure of institutional finances. Because of the preponderant weight of the instructional function within the total budget of most two-year colleges, the ratio of full—time to part—time marginal costs for educational and general purposes should be similar to that for instruction.

The results of the analysis are intended to provide background information for assessing the appropriateness of particular funding formulas, tuition rates, and fee levels. Estimates of the relative cost of providing services to full-time versus part-time students will also be useful for enrollment planning, in that the estimates provide a basis for assessing the financial impact of various enrollment-mix alternatives.

Mode I

The relationship between expenditures and enrollment was examined by estimating several translog cost functions. Theoretically, an industry's cost and production structure can be equivalently analyzed using either a cost function or a production function. When the level of output is determined exogenously, as is generally true in public higher education, the former approach is preferable (Nerlove, 1963). Using cost functions is often more convenient as well, especially when analyzing multiproduct enterprises. In any case, it is the predominant approach in recent literature.

The general form of a cost function may be expressed as

$$C = C(Q,P;T) \tag{1}$$

where total cost, C, is represented as a function of output, Q, the prices of inputs, P, and a set of technological conditions, T, that may have some effect on the relationship between C and Q (McFadden, 1978). Under theoretically ideal circumstances (i.e., intent to minimize costs coupled with full knowledge of all production relationships), the cost function specifies the minimum cost for a given level of output. As it is generally agreed that the actual circumstances prevailing at higher education institutions do not fit the theoretical model (Bowen, 1980), the cost functions estimated here simply reflect average institutional behavior (for a similar situation with respect to hospital costs, see Pauly [1978]).

Selecting a particular form for the cost function is essentially a matter of deciding what can and cannot be assumed about the relationships and overall behavior of the variables in the function. In recent years,



econometricians have turned increasingly to one or other version of the translog model because it imposes the fewest restrictions on cost and production behavior (Brown, Caves, and Christensen 1979; Spady 1979). In the translog function, all variables are expressed as natural logarithms, and all independent variables are interacted with one another and taken to the second power.2 So constituted, the function contains no separability or homogeneity of output assumptions. That is, the behaviors of the independent variables are not assumed to be unrelated to one another, nor are total costs assumed to increase exactly in proportion to increases in output. Such assumptions can lead to distorted estimates of marginal costs (Brown et al 1979). The translog function does incorporate two regularity conditions: total costs must increase in proportion to an increase in the prices of inputs, and in the same direction as an increase in output. Generally, in the absence of prior knowledge about the proper functional form, the more flexibility that is preserved, the better.

<u>Variables</u>

In the transing model used for the present study, costs were represented by reported expenditures, output by the number of full-time, part-time, and non-credit students, prices by average salaries for full-time faculty, and technological conditions by program emphasis, the percent of students earning degrees, and the system status of the institution (i.e., free standing or part of a system). Reported expenditures for the instructional and student services functions represent direct costs only—for la_or primarily, along with supplies, travel, and certain other expenses. Educational and general expenditures are also predominantly for personnel, but also include the cost of utilities, library acquisitions, and so forth.



Properly speaking, the number of students enrolled is only a proxy for output. It is appropriate for the purpose of this study because funding of two-year public colleges is often enrollment-driven. By using both full-and part-time enrollments as output measures in the model, we can estimate their respective impact on total costs without resorting to the use of FTE enrollment data. The latter data are subject to inconsistent reporting across institutions. The third type of output, non-credit enrollment, is not a major cost factor at the typical two-year college (on average, only about 4 to 5 percent of instructional expenditures are for this purpose according to Dickmeyer and Cirino [1982]). It does add a relevant dimension, though, when outputs are characterized in terms of enrollment.

From the perspective of this study's primary objective--obtaining marginal cost estimates for full- and part-time students--the remaining variables in the model serve as controls, or intervening variables (Johnston 1960). The prices of inputs will differ from one institution to another. Data are available on one key input price, the average saiary paid to full-time faculty. In the instructional cost model, this price is used to represent not only faculty costs (for both full- and part-time instructors) but also non-faculty costs. In other words, it is assumed that institutional differences in clerical wages, for example, as well as in salaries for part-time faculty, will be highly correlated with differences in salaries for full-time faculty, and thus that all of these costs can be adequately represented by the one variable. In the student services cost model, average faculty salaries are again used-for lack of better data--on the assumption that salaries for student services personnel will typically be highly correlated with faculty salaries. Similarly, for educational and general expenditures, average faculty salaries are used to



represent price differentials across institutions for a variety of inputs. With only one price variable in the model, no interaction terms involving that variable are needed—there are no substitution possibilities to accommodate.

Two-year colleges also differ with respect to the composition of their enrollments and their curricula, both of which could affect unit costs. In addition to the full-time versus part-time issue, which is already being addressed in the model, a higher percentage of degree completers might be expected to lead to higher per-student costs in both the instructional and student services areas. To complete a degree, students must take advanced, presumably more expensive, courses. They may also need additional counseling or other assistance along with degree certification. With respect to the curriculum, differences in the unit costs of programs, such as the cost of a student credit hour in engineering versus one in arts and sciences, have been well documented (Fickett 1977; Spitz 1979; Warren, Anderson, and Handin 1976). For the present study, the extent of an institution's commitment to (relatively) high cost programs is represented by the combined percentage of degrees awarded in mechanical and engineering technologies, health services, and natural science programs.

Public two-year colleges can be part of a system of institutions or they can be in a stand-alone situation. If, in the former case, some administrative functions are carried out at a system office, the effect will be to lower institutional expenditures relative to a comparable stand-alone institution that must handle all administrative functions. In dealing with this matter in the present study, so-called "branch campuses" were eliminated from the sample altogether. For the remaining institutions, a dummy variable was used to distinguish between those with

some sort of system or multi-compus arrangement (value=0) and the stand-alone institutions (value=1). So constituted, the expected sign on the variable is positive, or, in other words, the cost function is expected to be shifted upwards for stand-alone institutions. (See Cowing and Holtmann [1983] for a similar use of dummy variables in a translog cost function.)

Data

Raw (untransformed) mean values for each of the variables are shown in table 1. All variables except the dummy for system status appear as natural logarithms in the estimating equation. All data are for 1979-80. The source for all data was HEGIS, except for data on non-credit enrollments which came from the annual directory of the American Association of Community and Junior Colleges (Gernhart 1981). While HEGIS data have been shown to contain errors (e.g., Minter and Conger 1979), there is no reason to suspect the presence of systematic error that could bias the study.

The number of institutions in the sample studied was 779. As of 1979-80, this constituted about 75% of all public two-year institutions; excluded institutions consisted primarily of those lacking one or more data elements, enrolling fewer than 200 FTE students (full-time headcount plus one-third of part-time headcount), or having the status of a branch campus. A handful of outliers were also excluded. The sample was not randomly drawn, then, but it was broadly representative of public two-year colleges.

The model was estimated using ridge regression. The abundance of squared and interaction terms in a translog model usually leads to multicollinearity problems. By introducing a small amount of bias into the system, ridge regression makes it possible to estimate parameters that are



more stable when severe collinearity is present than those estimated by ordinary least squares under the same circumstances (Hoerl and Kennard 1970; Churchill 1975; Vinod 1978; Krakower 1980; and, for critical commentary, Judge et al. 1980).

Table 1

Means and Standard Deviations for Variables

<u>Uependent</u>	\overline{x}	S.D.
Ci (notal expenditures for instruction)	\$3,524,000	\$3,463,000
CS (total expenditures for student services)	\$610,000	\$676,000
CE (total educational and general expenditures)	\$7,006,000	\$6,951,000
<u>Independent</u>		
FTS (number of full-time students)	1,645	1,588
PTS (number of part-time students)	2,840	3,614
NCS (number of non-credit students)	4,335	8,825
AVGSAL (average salary of full-time faculty)	\$ 18 , 578	\$3,765
DEGP ((number of degrees awarded/ number of full-time students) x 100)	29.0%	13.4%
HCP ((proportion of degrees earned in engineering + natural science + health services) x 100)	36 . 2%	19.9%
SYS (dummy, where 1=stand-alone institution; 0=part of system or multi-campus)	.693	.461

Results

The results of estimating the translog function are shown in table 2. The general results are about as expected. The models explain much of the



variation in total costs among institutions, especially for instruction and educational and general expenditures. The output variables (in second-order form), along with average salaries, are positively related to expenditures across all three expenditure categories. Several other variables and interaction terms also appear to be important in the model.3 Of course, the full effect of a variable that is interacted or taken to a second power can only be assessed in terms of a set of coefficients; pertinent data are provided in table 3. With the exception of the dummy

Table 2
Regression Results for Three Equations

		Dependent Variabl	es
Independent	Instructional	Student Services <u>Costs</u>	Educational and
<u>Variables</u>	<u>Costs</u>		General Costs
FTS	.190	.291	•101
	(.069)	(.119)	(•066)
(FTS)2	.035	.022	.040
	(.005)	(.008)	(.004)
(PTS)	.030	050	.021
	(.047)	(.082)	(.045)
(PTS)2	.019	.016	.018
	(.003)	(.005)	(.003)
NCS	038	003	023
	(.019)	(.033)	(.019)
(NCS)2	.007	.005	.007
	(.001)	(.002)	(.001)
AVGSAL	.640	•827	.681
	(.062)	(•024)	(.059)
DEGP	550	486	401
	(.144)	(.248)	(.138)
(DEGP)2	.108	•089	.087
	(.019)	(•033)	(.018)
HCP	218	224	254
	(.066)	(.113)	(.018)

Selection of the select

(HCP)2	.022	025	.009
	(.006)	(.011)	(.006)
(FTS) (PTS)	010	011	016
	(.004)	(.008)	(.004)
(FTS) (NCS)	003	.002	004
	(.003)	(.004)	(.003)
(FTS)(DEGP)	.007	.018	.004
	(.015)	(.025)	(.013)
(FTS)(HCP)	.022	.010	.032
	(.009)	(.015)	(.008)
(PTS) (NCS)	001	.001	.000
	(.002)	(.003)	(.001)
(PTS)(DEGP)	021	021	013
	(.011)	(.019)	(.011)
(PTS)(HCP)	004	.039	.003
	(.007)	(.013)	(.007)
(NCS) (DEGP)	001	~•008	003
	(.005)	(•008)	(.004)
(NCS) (HCP)	.005	.009	-002
	(.003)	(.005)	(.002)
(DEGP) (HCP)	.011	•021	001
	(.015)	(•026)	(.020)
SYS	.007	.044	.012
	(.019)	(.033)	(.019)
Constant	5.49	1.92	6.14
R2	•92	.81	•92

(Figures in parentheses are standard errors.)

variable (SYS), each regression coefficient shown in table 2 can be read as an elasticity, i.e., as the percentage change in total costs associated with a one percent change in the value of the corresponding variable. Note that the elasticity for average faculty salaries is less than one. This can be interpreted to mean that the salary component is reflecting only a portion (64% to 83% across the three equations) of the cost of a



hypothetical unit of input. The sign for SYS is as expected in the three models but the variable has little impact; the coefficients are to be read as percentage increases in total costs associated with being a stand-sione institution.

Table 3

F Tests to Determine the Statistical Significance of Sets of Variables

	Expenditure Type							
All Variables <u>That include:</u>	Instruction	Student Services	Educational & General					
FTS	251 .32*	71.77*	255.68*					
PTS	25.53*	15.32*	28.00*					
NCS	13.02*	3.75*	14.35*					
DEGP	A.35*	1.51	3.11*					
HCP	12.05*	3.75*	5.64*					

Numbers shown are F scores for the change in R2 associated with removing each set of variables from the model; * p < .01.

Table 4 shows the marginal cost estimates for full- and part-time students, and the ratio between them, for each of the three expenditure categories analyzed in the study.4 For example, the marginal costs for instruction at small institutions are estimated to be \$1335 for a full-time student and \$245 for a part-time student, a ratio of 5.45 dividing the former by the latter. As is evident from the table, the estimates and the ratios differ from one level of enrollment to another. The category "small institutions" refers to institutions lying within the smallest five percent of those in the sample (as measured by enrollment). Data on 10 such institutions, randomly chosen, were averaged to create a data set for a "typical" small institution—284 full-time and 221 part-time students. In a similar fashion, data for a typical large institution were created—4,665

full-time and 12,885 part-time students. Between these extremes, two types of middle-range institutions are also represented in table 4. Section C shows the results of using raw enrollment means for the entire sample--1645 full-time and 2840 part-time students--to represent one such institution.

Table 4

Marginal Cost Estimates, Public Two-Year Colleges, 1979-80

			Expenditure Type	
	stitutional Size Student Type	Instruction	Student Services	Educational & General
٨.	Small			
	FT Student PT Student FT/PT	\$1335 \$245 5•45	\$183 \$ 54 3.39	\$2480 \$ 510 4.86
В.	Middle-Range (Sample mean, logs)			
	FT Student PT Student FT/PT	\$!494 \$266 5.62	\$201 \$ 46 4.37	\$2741 \$ 484 5.66
C.	Middle-Range II (Sample mean, raw d	ata)		
	FT Student PT Student FT/PT	\$1542 \$ 208 7•41	\$210 \$ 38 5.53	\$2617 \$ 357 7•33
D.	Large			
	FT Student PT Student FT/PT	\$1941 \$ 194 9.94	\$2 <i>5</i> 7 \$ 32 8 . 03	\$3116 \$ 303 10•28

Section B shows the results of using the logarithmic enrollment means for the entire sample—1150 full-time and 1366 part-time students—to represent the other. The raw data distributions for enrollments (and expenditures) were positively skewed, so the means of the logarithmic data were smaller.

Fully two-thirds of all the institutions in the sample had enrollments equal to or less than the raw mean values.

In order to evaluate marginal costs at those various enrollment levels, values for the other independent variables in the model also had to be chosen. For the results shown in table 4, the following conditions were imposed: the raw mean values for percent of degree completion (29%) and percent of high cost programs (36.2%) were used in all sections: with respect to noncredit enrollment, the average of actual values was used for section A (165 students), the log mean value for section B (354 students), and the raw mean value for sections C and D (4335); and for faculty salaries the log mean value was used for section B (\$18,215), the raw mean value for section C (\$18,578), and the average of actual values for sections A (\$13,625) and D (\$23,949). Neither degree completion nor program emphasis were correlated with full- or part-time enrollment levels; thus it was reasonable to leave them at their respective mean values. Noncredit enrollment to some extent, but particularly average faculty salaries, were correlated with full- and part-time enrollment levels; thus values other than those at the mean were required to adequately represent typical combinations of institutional characteristics across the (credit) enrollment spectrum.

The results shown in table 4 can be summarized as follows. First, for all four institutional sizes, the ratio of full-time to part-time marginal costs is greater in the instructional area than in student services, but especially so at small institutions. Second, the larger the institution, the greater the disparity in marginal cost between the two types of students. Third, even at the smallest institutions in the sample, the marginal-cost ratio for student services is greater than three-to-one.



Fourth, the marginal-cost ratios for educational and general expenditures are quite similar to those for instructional expenditures, regardless of institutional size.

In interpreting the change in marginal costs from small to large institutions, it is useful to recall that the salary data used in the calculations for sections A and D in table 4 reflect the respective averages for the two institutional sizes, rather than the sample mean. By paying their full-time faculty a far lower wage rate, on average, than do the larger institutions, the small institutions overcome a portion of the diseconomies of scale that would otherwise accrue to their small size. For example, if the wage rate were held constant at the sample (raw) mean, the marginal cost for a full-time student in the instructional area would be \$1628 instead of \$1335 at small institutions. Conversely, a portion of the apparent diseconomies of scale at large institutions is due to relatively high wage rates. If salaries for full-time faculty at large institutions were at the sample (raw) mean, the marginal cost of instruction for a full-time student would be \$1680 instead of \$1941.

Several other results (not tabled) may be of interest. In institutions where the curriculum consists primarily of relatively high-cost programs (as measured by the variable HCP), the marginal-cost ratios between full- and part-time students are higher for instruction but lower for student services, when compared to institutions at the mean. The differences are modest: for an institution with HCP at 80 percent, but otherwise at the (raw) means for the sample, the marginal costs of instruction are estimated to be \$1798 and \$233 for full- and part-time students, respectively, or a ratio of 7.72 compared to the 7.41 figure



shown in table 4. For student services, the corresponding estimates are \$210 and \$43, or a ratio of 4.88 as opposed to 5.53 in table 4.

estimates per non-credit student are \$53, \$6, and \$93, for instruction, student services, and educational and general purposes, respectively; in other words, about one-thirtieth, or so, of the marginal cost of a full-time student for those same expenditure categories. These figures reflect considerable scale economies; excluding student services, marginal costs for non-credit enrollment are estimated to be substantially higher at low enrollment levels. On a related matter, the model predicts that enrolling the mean number of non-credit students, as opposed to enrolling no such students, increases total instructional costs at a typical institution by slightly more than 4 percent--about the same as the 4 to 5 percent calculated by Dickmeyer and Cirino (1982) using accounting procedures.

Discussion

it was hypothesized at the outset that the difference in resources demanded by full-time versus part-time students (as measured by marginal costs) would be greater in the instructional area than in student services. The results of the study appear to confirm that hypothesis. Differences between marginal costs for full-time and part-time students were estimated to be about 19 to 38 percent greater in the instructional area, depending on the size of the institution.

it was also hypothesized that for instruction, the marginal cost of a part-time student would be about one-third that of a full-time student.

But the results of the study indicate that at the majority of two-year colleges it apparently costs only about one-fifth to one-seventh as much to



provide instructional services for an additional part-time student. These results are comparable to those found in an earlier study of instructional costs at two-year colleges using different models and samples (Brinkman 1981). We might infer, then, that these institutions make use of different production technologies in providing instructional services to part-time as opposed to full-time students; for example, they may use part-time students to fill course sections or they may depend heavily on part-time (much less expensive) faculty to teach part-time students. The latter possibility was also suggested by the findings in Kress! (1977) study of costs at California community colleges.

The somewhat lower cost ratios in student services suggest that in this area it is more difficult for institutions to employ alternative, cost-saving technologies in serving part-time students. Still, the ratios were higher than expected. In the face of various one-to-one relationships in the production technology of student services, ratios in the four-to-one and higher range may indicate that many part-time students do not avail themselves of some of the services provided. They have to be admitted and registered, of course, and pay their fees, but perhaps they make relatively little use of more expensive services such as counseling.

In relating the findings of this study to funding algorithms that convert part-time to full-time equivalent enrollment, we note first of all that most funding formulas that are based on unit cost calculations employ average rather than marginal costs. It cannot be assumed that the relationship between marginal and average costs for full-time students is identical to that for part-time students. Thus we cannot assume that the full-time to part-time ratios for average costs are identical to those for marginal costs. It is reasonable to assume, though, that the marginal cost

ratios are a pretty good indicator of the corresponding average-cost relationships over much of the observed enrollment range.

Second, from a marginal-cost perspective, it does not appear that current algorithms typically work to the detriment of institutions that are enrolling increasing numbers of part-time students, at least not on the basis of part-time to full-time-equivalent conversions. The data in this study suggest that, for many two-year colleges, educational and general expenditures for an additional full-time student are five to seven times higher than for an additional part-time student. Few, if any, funding formulas appear to involve a conversion factor that high (Gross 1982; Dougherty, Hyde, and Van de Water 1982). Indeed, most formulas are more likely to reflect something close to the conventional three-to-one ratio. because most formulas are directly or indirectly based on student credit hour data--which, as noted earlier, are the foundation for the conventiona! ratio. So, it appears that, on average, two-year public colleges have been receiving more funds than they have been expending per additional part-time student. Of course, this situation does not imply anything at all about the adequacy of the overall funding of these institutions. Furthermore, it should be kept in mind that the cost estimates in this study are meant to reflect conditions at the majority of institutions. Nothing in the analysis precludes the possibility that a given institution might find itself in a situation where the relationship between the costs of full-time and part-time students is quite different than the ratios presented in table 4. Indeed, the marginal cost estimates for individual institutions show that a number of institutions are estimated to be spending considerably more for part-time students than suggested by the three-to-one FTE conversion ratio (not tabled).



Finally, the cost estimates in this study are the product of estimating a particular model in a particular way. The model employed would certainly be improved theoretically by the inclusion of additional data on the prices of inputs, especially salaries for part-time faculty, and perhaps by more data on variations in programs or on the environment surrounding the respective institutions. Whether any of these modifications would materially affect the primary results of the study cannot be known a priori. Given that some of the results run contrary to expectations, there is ample reason to develop additional evidence regarding the costs of providing services to part-time students.



and the history of history of a little to

Notes

- 1. See Hyde and Augenblick (1980) for a pertinent review of the literature on community college finance through mid 1980. For recent, wide-ranging discussions of community college finance, see Garms 1977; Richardson and Lesile 1980; and Breneman and Nelson 1981.
- 2. In general form, using the notation from equation 1, the translog cost function can be written as

$$InC = a_0 + \sum_{r} a_r InQ_r + \sum_{r} \sum_{s} a_{rs} InQ_r InQ_s + \sum_{i} b_i InP_i$$

$$+ \sum_{ri} T_{ri} T_{ri} T_{rk} T_{rk$$

estimation. Nonetheless, one may not assign significance levels to the ratio between the regression coefficients and their standard errors shown in table 2, because the sampling distribution for the ratio is unknown under conditions of bias. See Vinod (1978) for a discussion of this issue.

4. With respect to the specific translog model estimated for this study, the marginal cost of the rth output is equal to

$$\frac{\partial \ln \hat{C}}{\partial \ln Q_r} = \frac{\partial \ln \hat{C}}{\partial r} = a_r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{rk} \ln Q_r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{rk} \ln T_k$$

In other words, the marginal cost of a particular output is equal to the partial derivative of the estimated cost function with respect to that output, multiplied by the estimated value of total costs per unit of that output.

JEST COPY AVAILABLE



References

- Armstrong, D. F., W. Campbell, P. Larkin, and C. Opacinch. "Funding to Fit the Mission of Community Colleges in the 1980's." A position paper of the Maryland Community College Research Group. Rockville, Md.: Office of Institutional Research, Montgomery College, 1979.
- Bowen, H. R. <u>The Costs of Higher Education</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980.
- Breneman, D. W. and S. C. Nelson. <u>Financing Community Colleges: An Economic Perspective</u>. Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution, 1981.
- Ph.D. dissertation, University of Arizona, 1981. Ann Arbor,
 University Microfilms, 1982.
- Brown, R. S., D. W. Caves, and L. R. Christensen. "Modelling the Structure of Cost and Production for Multiproduct Firms." <u>Southern Economic</u>
 <u>Journal</u>, 46, (July 1979), 256-70.
- Cohn, E. <u>The Economics of Education</u>. Cambridge: Ballinger Publishing Company, 1979.
- Connecticut Board of Higher Education. A Profile of the Part-Time Student

 In Connecticut Institutions of Higher Education. Research Report

 R-2-82. Hartford: Board of Higher Education, April 1982.
- Cowing, T. G. and A. G. Holtmann. "Multiproduct Short-Run Hospital Cost Functions: Empirical Evidence and Policy Implications from



- Cross-Section Data. <u>Southern Economic Journal</u>, 49, (January 1983), 637-53.
- Churchill, G. A., Jr. A Regression Estimation Method for Collinear Predictors. <u>Decision Sciences</u>, 6 (1975), 670-87.
- Dickmeyer, N., and A. M. Cirino. <u>Comparative Financial Statistics for Public Community and Junior Colleges 1980-81</u>. Washington, D.C.:

 National Association of College and University Business Officers,
 1982.
- Dougherty, V., W. Hyde, and G. Van de Water. "Community College Finance at a Glance." Denver, Colo.: Education Commission of the States, 1982.
- Fickett, A. G. "An Analysis of Selected Factors Related to Instructional Costs and the Allocation of Instructional Resources to Public Community Junior Colleges in Florida." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Florida State University, 1977. Ann Arbor: University Microfilms, 1978.
- Garms, W. I. <u>Financing Community Colleges</u>. New York, N.Y.: Columbia University, 1977.
- Gernhart, J. C. (ed.) 1931 Community. Junior. and Technical College

 Directory. Washington, D.C.: American Association of Community and
 Junior Colleges, 1981.
- Grant, W. V. and L. J. Eiden. <u>Digest of Education Statistics 1982</u>.

 Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, 1982.



The trade later line to be to be to be the time. He

- Gross, F. M. "Formula Budgeting for Higher Education: State Practices in 1979-80." Working Paper Series. Boulder, Co.: National Center for Higher Education Management Systems, 1982.
- Hoerl, A. E. and R. W. Kennard. "Ridge Regression: Applications to Nonorthogonal Problems." <u>Technometrics</u>, 12, (February 1970), 55-67.
- Hyde, W. and J. Augenblick. <u>Community College Students</u>. <u>Costs and Finances: a Review of Research Literature</u>. Denver, Colo.: Iducation Commission of the States, 1980.
- Johnston, J. Statistical Cost Analysis. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- Judge, G. G., W. E. Griffiths, R. C. Hill and T-C. Lee. <u>The Theory and Practice of Econometrics</u>. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1980.
- Krakower, J. Y. "Blased Estimation and the Assessment of School

 Effectiveness." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of

 California at Los Angeles, 1980. Ann Arbor: University Microfilms,

 1980.
- Kress, S. E. "Economies of Scale and the Form of Expenditure Functions in Education: an Econometric Study of the California Community Colleges."

 Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of California, Berkeley,
 1977. Ann Arbor: University Microfilms, 1978.
- Loftus, V. L., E. R. Hines, and G. A. Hickrod. "Financial Characteristics of U.S. Community College Systems During Fiscal Years 1977 and 1980."

 Normal, III.: Center for the Study of Educational Finance, Illinois State University, 1982.



- McFadden, D. "Cost, Revenue, and Profit Functions." <u>Production Economics:</u>

 <u>a Dual Approach to Theory Application</u>, Vol. 1, edited by M. Fuss and

 D. McFadden. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1978.
- Minter, W. J. and C. A. Conger. "Comparing Institutional Reports of HEGIS Financial Data with AICPA-NACUBO Standards." NACUBO Business Officer, 12 (1979), 18-21.
- National Center for Education Statistics. <u>Digest of Education Statistics</u>

 1982. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1982.
- Nerlove, M. "Returns to Scale in Electricity Supply." <u>Measurement in Economics: Studies in Mathematical Economics and Econometrics in Memory of Yehuda Grunfeld</u>, edited by C. F. Christ et al. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1963.
- New York State Education Department. "FTE/Credit Hour Analysis by Sector and Level for Postsecondary Institutions New York State Fall 1980, Fall 1981 and Fall 1982." Draft paper. Albany, N.Y.: The State Education Department, February 1983.
- Ohio Board of Regents. <u>Basic Data Series 1981 Edition</u>. Columbus, Ohio:
 Ohio Board of Regents, 1981.
- College and University Operations. Columbus, Ohio: Ohio Board of Regents, 1979.
- Pauly, M. V. "Medical Staff Characteristics and Hospital Costs." <u>Journal</u>
 of Human Resources, 13 (Supplement 1978), 77-111.



- Community College's Evolving Mission. Washington, D.C.: American Association of Community and Junior Colleges, 1980. ERIC/AACJC Monograph.
- Spady, R. H. <u>Econometric Estimation of Cost Functions for the Regulated</u>

 <u>Transportation Industries</u>. New York: Garland Publishing, 1979.
- Spitz, J. "Cost Based College Tuition. <u>Public Finance Quarterly</u>, 1 (1979), 221-30.
- St. John, E. P. and J. Sumner. <u>Fall Enrollment</u>, 1979. Research and Planning Series, Report 80-1. Jefferson City: Missouri Department of Higher Education, 1980.
- Vinod, H. D. "A Survey of Ridge Regression and Related Techniques for Improvements Over Ordinary Least Squares." Review of Economics and Statistics, 60 (1978), 121-31.
- Warren, J. T., E. F. Anderson, and T. L. Handin. "Differential Costs of Curricula in Illinois Public Junior Colleges: Some Implications for the Future." Research in Higher Education, 4 (1976), 59-67.
- Washington State Board for Community College Education. Washington

 Community College Study. Olympia, Wash.: State Board for Community

 College Education, 1980.
- Wattenbarger, J. L. and P. J. Bibby. <u>Financing Community Colleges 1981</u>.

 Gainesville, Fl.: Institute of Higher Education, University of Florida, 1981.



APPENDIX



		Merginal	Marginal	Merginal	Merginal	Marginal	Merginal
		Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT
FICE	Institution Name	Instruct	instruct	Student	Student	Total	Total
		Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
1007	ALEXANDER CITY STATE JC	\$897	\$340	\$155	***	****	4500
1013	JOHN C CALHOUN ST CC	1211	263	127	\$51 47	\$1696	\$589
1015	ENTERPRISE ST JR COLLEGE	1063	335	178	57	2051 1969	426
1017	GADSDEN STATE JR COLLEGE	1124	391	144	71	1940	597 640
1018	GEO C WALLACE ST CC-DOTHN	1309	312	162	65	2235	554
1021	JEFFERSON DAVIS STATE JC	1023	341	137	71	1871	610
1022	JEFFERSON ST JR COLLEGE	1265	242	166	42	2130	388
1030	S D BISHOP ST JR COLLEGE	999	303	148	56	1822	525
1031	NTHEST ALA ST JR COLLEGE	1246	309	186	55	2351	559
1032		1150	307	161	65	2109	563
1034	PATRICK HENRY STATE JC	1037	347	199	49	2039	642
1038	SNEAD STATE JR COLLEGE	1047	483	147	82	1993	808
1040	STHN UNION ST JR COLLEGE	942	253	151	40	1740	434
1059	LANSON STATE CHTY COLLEGE	1204	492	164	97	2260	850
1060	FAULKNER STATE JR COLLEGE	1008	473	172	79	1897	793
1077	MESA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1470	192	209	29	2423	292
1078	PHOENIX COLLEGE	1612	216	227	35	2644	329
1079	YAYAPAT COLLEGE	1699	98	264	19	2973	176
1091	ARKANSAS STATE U BEEBE BR	1002	346	159	63	1910	638
1104	PHILLIPS CO CHTY COLLEGE	1132	327	134	63	1965	570
1110	WESTARK COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1404	290	173	51	2364	492
1111	ALLAN HANCOCK COLLEGE	1551	174	259	27	2714	297
1118	BAKERSFIELD COLLEGE	<i>)</i> ,905	213	269	39	3178	345
1119	BARSTOW COLLEGE	√1873	231	315	38	3570	431
1124	CX9RILLO COLLEGE	1711	217	226	40	2867	348
1161	CERRITOS COLLEGE	2078	191	294	33	3371	295
1162	CHABOT COLLEGE	2131	107	330	18	3545	178
1163	CHAFFEY COLLEGE	1767	232	261	41	2971	374
1166 1176	CITRUS COLLEGE	1964	217	271	35	3419	351
	WEST HILLS COLLEGE	1985	208	327	38	3729	385
1178 1181	MARIN, COLLEGE OF	1606	236	258	40	2788	396
1182	SAN MATEO, COLLEGE OF	2074	258	251	37	3455	386
1185	DESERT, COLLEGE OF THE	2222	154	353	32	3863	278
1186	REDWOODS, COLLEGE OF THE	2082	159	312	30	3529	271
1187	SEQUOIAS, COLLEGE OF THE SISK! YOUS, COLLEGE OF THE	1577	249	229	44	2732	404
1190	CONTRA COSTA COLLEGE	1476	200	277	25	2848	386
1191		2272	206	307	38	3912	336
1192	DIABLO VALLEY COLLEGE CUESTA COLLEGE	1851	231	202	22	2956	324
1193	CYPRESS COLLEGE	1509	220	249	38	2665	373
1197	EL CAMINO COLLEGE	1856	295	227	42	3137	444
1199	FOOTHILL COLLEGE	2001	184	217	22	3193	263
1201	FULLERTON COLLEGE	2344	238	274	37	3877	359
1202	GAVILAH COLLEGE	1861	253	207	25	2995	357
1203	GLENDALE CMTY COLLEGE	1502 1515	180	231	35	2673	316
1206	GOLDEN WEST COLLEGE	1851	196	251	27	2579	315
1208	GROSSMONT COLLEGE	1623	146 215	<i>2</i> 36	22	3010	221
1209	HARTNELL COLLEGE	1697	167	197 235	26 23	2721	324
		1071	107	227	23	<i>2</i> 970	272



30

		Marginal	Manalant	M11			
		Cost FT	Marginat Cost Pí	Marginal Cost FT	Marginal Cost PT	Merginal	Marginal
FIC	E Institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Cost FT Total	Cost PT Total
		Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
121	THE THE PERSON OF LEGIC	1642	336	222	57	2934	547
121	. SHOOTH OUT LOC	2171	191	312	39	3838	
. 122		1773	195	262	32	2940	308
122		1935	268	224	36	3188	392
122		2069	232	264	36	3506	359
1220		2066	248	235	31	3350	357
1227	The same of the sa	2277	263	275	44	3800	405
1220 123		2044	190	291	30	3315	296
1237		1913	282	224	42	3212	427
1239		1994	240	272	44	3337	403
1240	The second of the second	1577	159	254	26	2767	276
1242	TOTAL TONION OUTLINE	2103	190	291	34	3483	313
124		1760	217	234	32	3071	350
1246		2059	244	276	40	3326	378
1247	NAPA COLLEGE	1974	161	318	28	3655	294
1250	THE COLUMN	1755	191	262	36	3020	327
1259	PALO VERDE COLLEGE	1912	187	249	30	3074	281
1260		1770	148	354	18	3592	335
1261		1819	227	272	39	3036	360
1266		1963	403	249	66	3157	601
1267		1851 1987	175	252	32	3076	282
1268		1808	201	239	31	3329	310
1269	RIO HONDO COLLEGE	1884	229	246	47	3120	414
1270	RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE	1735	185 153	239	29	3187	289
1272	SN BERNARDINO YLY COLLEGE	1845	188	267	25	2924	249
1275	SAN DIEGO NESA COLLEGE	1861	219	219	24	3055	283
1280	SAN JOAQUIN DELTA COLLEGE	2238	156	241	34	3199	352
1282	SAN JOSE CITY COLLEGE	2168	177	329 289	28	3672	251
1284	SANTA ANA COLLEGE	2224	171	326	29 30	3684	282
1285	SANTA BARBARA CTY COLLEGE	1865	301	272	54	3671	279
1286	SANTA MONICA COLLEGE	1910	188	264	31	3161	493
1287	SANTA ROSA JUNIOR COLLEGE	2020	213	248	30	3118 3760	293
1289	SHASTA COLLEGE	2033	194	261	29	3362	322
1290		1687	208	248	38	3460 2881	306
1292	TOTAL	1817	187	246	29	2001 3145	342
1294	ATTIMES I CITE OF FOR	1679	205	242	35	2819	303
1307	FRESNO CITY COLLEGE	1560	244	210	40	2567	326 371
1308	KINGS RIVER CHTY COLLEGE	1635	356	219	67	2942	597
1309	TAFT COLLEGE	1505	191	236	7	2792	340
1335	VICTOR VALLEY COLLEGE	1975	181	289	3 7	3399	325
1338	MEST VALLEY COLLEGE	1885	191	222	23	3110	285
1344	YUBA COLLEGE	1705	189	241	34	2892	311
1346 1355	ARAPAHOE CHTY COLLEGE	1239	172	177	29	2111	285
1359	LAWAR COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1311	302	160	78	2351	616
1361	COLORADO NORTHWESTERN CC	1933	89	291	18	3464	165
1362	NORTHEASTERN JR COLLEGE	1273	314	176	61	2255	578
1368	OTERO JUNIOR COLLEGE	1483	379	196	92	2684	720
. 200	TRINIDAD STATE JR COLLEGE	1446	254	193	52	2602	455



		Marginal	Marginal	Marginal	Marginal	Marginal	Marginal
FICE	lastitutios timos	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT
FICE	Institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Total	Total
1388	HARTFORD ST TECH COLLEGE	Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
1392	MANCHESTER CHTY COLLEGE	1854	264	241	58	3256	475
1398	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	1326	170	208	27	2310	290
1399	NORMALK COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1544	146	211	10	2809	253
1400	NORMALK ST TECH COLLEGE	1441 1771	167	237	30	2593	305
1413	THAVES VLY STATE TECH C	1897	246	236	51	3163	436
1423	MATERBURY ST TECH COLLEGE	1848	186 188	260 268	42	3257	347
1470	BREYARD CHTY COLLEGE	1707	290	268 216	42	3235	355
1471	CENTRAL FLA CHTY COLLEGE	1483	376	194	48 74	2763	462
1472	CHIPOLA JUNIOR COLLEGE	1204	339	170	72	2543	668
1475	DAYTONA BCH CHTY COLLEGE	1884	280	250	49	2149	648
1477	EDISON COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1203	154	182	49 25	3077	469
1484	FLA JR COLLEGE JACKSONYL	2058	321	262	53	2093 3294	268
1485	FLORIDA KEYS CMTY COLLEGE	1984	154	275	32		504
1490	GULF COAST CHTY COLLEGE	1257	240	192	39	3370	299
1493	INDIAN RIVER CMTY COLLEGE	1689	265	221	48	2192 2841	416
1500	BROWARD CHTY COLLEGE	1738	223	233	35	2784	457 338
1501	LAKE CITY CHTY COLLEGE	1780	250	236	49	3021	
1502	LAKE-SUNTER CHTY COLLEGE	1132	160	198	12	2083	447 286
1504	MANATEE JUNIOR COLLEGE	1396	231	182	36	2443	37 4
1506	MIAMI-DADE CHTY COLLEGE	1795	328	226	44	2762	465
1500	NORTH FLORIDA JR COLLEGE	1443	183	229	34	2615	389
1510	OKALOOSA-WALTON JUNIOR C	1385	199	204	36	2406	351
1512	PALM BEACH JUNIOR COLLEGE	1484	192	205	31	2454	313
1513	PENSACOLA JUNIOR COLLEGE	1570	246	204	43	2554	401
1514	POLK COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1413	223	215	38	2456	386
1519	SANTA FE CHTY COLLEGE	1309	346	176	57	2191	555
1520	SEMINOLE CHTY COLLEGE	2162	302	285	56	3495	530
1522 1523	SOUTH FLORIDA JR COLLEGE	2688	186	388	38	4567	404
1528	SAINT JOHNS RIVER CC	916	203	157	11	1689	347
1533	SAINT PETERSBG JR COLLEGE	1379	275	179	42	2233	419
1541	TALLAHASSEE CHTY COLLEGE	1316	255	185	35	2368	426
1543	ABRAHAM BALDWIN AGRL C ALBANY JUNIOR COLLEGE	1144	880	132	191	1998	1471
1558	BRUNSWICK JUNIOR COLLEGE	1219	352	174	70	2177	630
1567	GAINESVILLE JR COLLEGE	1417	425	182	94	2506	799
1575	GORDON JUNIOR COLLEGE	806 897	313	142	13	1471	493
1577	KENNESAN COLLEGE	1364	295	136	54	1656	528
1581	MIDDLE GEORGIA COLLEGE	842	335	163	49	2351	508
1592	SOUTH GEORGIA COLLEGE	1401	485 227	149	66	1598	799
1612	HAMAIT HONOLULU CC,U OF	1645	381	218	44	2558	442
1613	HAWAII KAPIOLANI CC,U OF	1323	290	191	69	2718	627
1614	HAWATI KAUAT CC.U OF	1542	290 247	183 221	53	2288	477
1615	HAMAII MAUI CC.U OF	1580	271	221	54 57	2767	470
1619	SOUTHERN IDAHO, COLLEGE OF	1399	266	173	53 50	2877	477
1623	NORTH IDAHO COLLEGE	1296	368	162	50 75	2346	455
1636	BELLEVILLE AREA COLLEGE	1823	189	250	75 34	2228	648
1638	BLACK HAWK C QUAD-CITIES	2031	222	307	32	3035 3449	314
1640	PRAIRIE STATE COLLEGE	1668	148	234	28	2838	400 254
					20	2070	254



		Margina	Marginal	Marginal	Manalant		
		Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Marginal Cost PT	Marginal Cook ET	Marginal
FICE	Institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Cost FT Total	Cost PT
		Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	Total
1643	The same of the contract	1665	145	232	29	2901	E&G Exp
1648	IIIII	1606	247	244	45	2790	266 419
1649	The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section s	1690	134	280	18	2967	237
1652	0111 0 0110100 E001 0	1629	164	212	18	2801	259
1654 165 5	arri a and intime blatting	1308	405	174	62	2603	625
1675		1484	257	252	34	2592	429
1681		1933	156	287	29	3307	280
1699	HIGHLAND CHTY COLLEGE	1612	232	234	45	2845	442
1701	JOLIET JUNIOR COLLEGE KASKASKIA COLLEGE	1710	177	238	31	2860	290
\$. 3	ILLINOIS VLY CHTY COLLEGE	1346	182	188	34	2354	323
1728	MORTON COLLEGE	1481	226	194	37	2616	376
1742	ILL ESTN CC OLNEY CEN C	1710	158	255	31	2980	287
1747	ROCK VALLEY COLLEGE	1331	182	180	31	2385	321
1752	SAUK VALLEY COLLEGE	1539	150	222	27	2605	253
1757	SOUTHEASTERN ILL COLLEGE	1536	143	218	28	2639	257
1769	THORNTON CHTY COLLEGE	1781 1919	248	241	54	3091	449
1773	TRITON COLLEGE	2014	163	283	29	3226	275
1779	ILL ESTN CC WABASH VLY C	1641	186 205	260	32	3221	289
1811	INDIANA UNIVERSITY EAST	1525	153	222	38	2904	348
1843	VINCENNES UNIVERSITY	1111	50 7	173	6	2577	242
1853	CLINTON COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1771	309	125 223	89	1873	807
1862	ELLSWORTH CMTY COLLEGE	1204	687	170	71	3052	628
1865	IONA CENTRAL CC	1565	443	186	199 84	2323	1144
1875	MARSHALLTWN CMTY COLLEGE	1633	351	223	80	2622	772
1877	N IOWA AREA CMTY COLLEGE	1705	567	205	118	2949	647
1882	MUSCATINE CHTY COLLEGE	1403	358	205	74	2894 2583	1031
1901	ALLEN CO CHTY JR COLLEGE	1170	199	165	2	2180	711
1902	COWLEY CO CMTY COLLEGE	1438	153	207	31	2531	346 289
1906	BUTLER CO CHTY COLLEGE	1336	161	202	29	2390	299
1910	COFFEYYL CMTY COLLEGE	942	147	151	18	1758	263
1911 1916	COLBY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1403	140	200	28	2444	262
1919	FT SOOTT CHTY COLLEGE	1248	204	186	42	2261	391
1921	GARDEN CITY COMMUNITY C	881	561	140	96	1686	930
1923	HIGHLAND CHTY COLLEGE HUTCHINSN CHTY COLLEGE	961	120	156	11	1801	234
1924	INDEPENDENCE COMMUNITY C	1061	239	162	39	1925	409
1925	KANSAS CITY KANS CHTY C	1309	185	159	5	2333	303
1936	MEOSHO CO CHTY COLLEGE	1249	221	183	40	2190	388
1938	PRATT CHTY COLLEGE	1462	206	221	46	2658	423
2011	LA STATE U ALEXANDRIA	1248	160	149	4	2240	269
2012	LA STATE U EUNICE	1448	423	161	85	2555	716
2057	ALLEGANY CHTY COLLEGE	1456 1338	204	201	40	2646	367
2058	ANNE ARUNDEL CHTY COLLEGE	1581	370	161	74	2277	661
2061	BALTIMORE CMTY COLLEGE OF	1475	179	241	31	2705	301
2063	CATONSVILLE CHTY COLLEGE	2116	281 167	202	49	2474	450
2064	CHARLES CO CMTY COLLEGE	1405	120	298 217	29	3489	280
·2070	ESSEX COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1849	180	217	21	2471	216
2071	FREDERICK CMTY COLLEGE	1710	188	27 1 255	32	3105	301
			100	299	37	3047	357



		•					
		Merginal	Marginal	Morginal	Marginal	Marginal	Marginal
FICE	lood (Aud ton Atoms	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT
rice	institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Total	Total
2074	HACEDSTOWN BUILDS ON LEGS	Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
2075	TARGET TOTAL TOTAL COLLEGE	1511	226	239	43	2718	422
2073		1763	186	258	33	3050	336
2082	MONTGOMERY C TAKOMA PARK	2126	275	260	53	3555	480
2089	MONTGOMERY C ROCKVILLE PRINCE GEORGES CC	1340	249	205	32	2207	372
2167	BERKSHIRE CHTY COLLEGE	1752	226	253	38	2902	358
2169	GREENFIELD CMTY COLLEGE	1371	238	193	36	2477	399
2170	HOLYOKE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1178	236	187	42	2130	423
2171	MASS BAY CMTY COLLEGE	1245	278	162	38	2196	442
2172	MT WACHUSETT CMTY COLLEGE	1363	225	184	33	2419	369
2173	NORTH SHORE CHTY COLLEGE	1212	201	198	32	2174	353
2174	NTHN ESSEX CHTY COLLEGE	1151	557	169	114	2038	960
2175	QUINSIGAMOND CHTY COLLEGE	1637	303	228	51	2761	505
2176	BRISTOL COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1482	151	209	27	2536	259
2177	MASSASOIT CMTY COLLEGE	1412	260	191	46	2416	440
2237	ALPENA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1189	182	176	29	2046	300
2240	BAY DE NOC CHTY COLLEGE	1566	306	218	58	2864	540
2251	DELTA COLLEGE	1690	356	222	76	3008	639
2261	CHAS S MOTT CHTY COLLEGE	1705	249	228	42	2821	401
2263	GLEN OAKS CRITY COLLEGE	2495	211	300	37	3961	346
2264	GOGEBIC COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1318	257	191	51	2389	475
2270	HENRY FORD CMTY COLLEGE	1154	358	149	73	2043	647
2271	HIGHLAND PK CHTY COLLEGE	2142	159	297	28	3494	254
2274	JACKSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1558	520	193	98	2811	863
2276	KELLOGG COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1743	157	259	27	2961	2 65
2277	LAKE MICHIGAN COLLEGE	1845	220	265	40	3277	375
2278	LANSING COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1656	157	234	29	2941	274
2294	MONROE CO CHTY COLLEGE	1860 1776	173	220	25	3056	261
2295	MONTCALM CMTY COLLEGE	1883	207	274	43	3165	390
2297	MUSKEGON CHTY COLLEGE	1515	205	290	43	3461	384
2299	NORTH CEN MICH COLLEGE	1602	165	238	30	2653	284
2302	NORTHWESTERN MICH COLLEGE	1451	177	231	34	2906	319
2310	SHT CLAIR CO CHTY COLLEGE	1641	406	178	75	2576	667
2315	SCHOOL CRAFT COLLEGE	1757	340 167	223	67	2818	587
2317	SOUTHWESTERN MICH COLLEGE	1198	271	257	31	2975	280
2328	WASHTENAN CMTY COLLEGE	2096	134	155	54	2079	475
2332	ANOKA-RAMSEY CHTY COLLEGE	1922	228	314	27	3554	233
2335	AUSTIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1593	400	264	43	3270	405
233 9	BRAINERD CHTY COLLEGE	1475	474	246	89	2924	793
2352	FERGUS FALLS CHTY COLLEGE	1520	542	239	94	2876	886
2355	HIBBING COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1513	351	227	134	2918	1030
2356	ITASCA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1843	244	214	86	2752	706
2362	MINNEAPOLIS CMTY COLLEGE	1601	272	283	53	3399	468
2370	N HENNEPIN CHTY COLLEGE	1413	268	210	54	2752	483
2373	ROCHESTER CMTY COLLEGE	1724	458	220	47	2486	462
2385	NORTHLAND CMTY COLLEGE	1555	426 317	204	89 76	2883	790
2392	WILLMAR CHTY COLLEGE	1069	619	245	76	2896	668
2395	WORTHINGTON CMTY COLLEGE	1588	257	230	-36	2120	987
2402	COPIAH-LINCOLN JR COLLEGE	1059	407	265 133	52	2999	530
			701	122	82	1865	722



		Marginal	Manalual				
		Cost FT	Marginal Cost PT	Merginal Cost FT	Merginal	Marginal	Marginal
FIŒ	Institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Cost PT Student	Cost FT	Cost PT
		Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	Total	Total
2404	The second secon	723	515	132	-29	E&G Exp 1418	E&G Exp
2405	EAST MISS JUNIOR COLLEGE	1272	264	166	59		, 723
2407	HINDS JUNIOR COLLEGE	1237	379	134	62	2236 2025	517
2408	HOLMES JUNIOR COLLEGE	1121	448	129	100	1948	589
2409	ITAWAMBA JUNIOR COLLEGE	1243	324	137	60	2075	818
2411	JONES CO JUNIOR COLLEGE	874	661	107	134	1556	550
2413		1278	288	148	50	2229	1085 472
2416		1283	635	124	174	2099	1182
2418		1357	425	152	83	2298	731
2419		1161	253	152	44	2011	419
2420	THE COLUMN TO LEGISTRA	1088	651	150	214	2040	1189
2426	NORTHEAST MISS JR COLLEGE	1010	832	110	199	1785	1403
2427	NORTHWEST MISS JR COLLEGE	909	719	102	146	1597	1157
2430	III THE OFFICE	1013	779	107	227	1837	1272
2436	SOUTHWEST MISS JR COLLEGE	962	412	120	88	1710	722
2445	C. I.C. COMINGN OCCECOE	898	549	110	213	1668	956
2459		1023	201	138	40	1808	376
2470	SHT LU CC-FLORISSANT VLY	1524	184	232	30	2584	301
2471	SNT LU CO-FOREST PARK	1508	210	219	36	2549	337
2472	SAINT LOUIS CO-MERAMEC	1550	212	223	36	2602	345
2484	PENN VALLEY CHTY COLLEGE	1601	189	226	35	2740	324
2486	MINERAL AREA COLLEGE	1290	240	172	49	2272	445
2491	MOBERLY JUNIOR COLLEGE	934	175	130	32	1684	338
2514	TRENTON JUNIOR COLLEGE	1534	206	198	49	2675	432
2528	MILES COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1613	188	214	41	2844	375
2529	DAWSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1319	304	204	64	2565	608
2546	STHEST CC FAIRBY-BEATRICE	1326	323	178	91	2399	715
2552	MCCOOK COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1216	198	226	4	2384	386
2557	MID PLAINS CC	1917	180	255	37	3275	342
2560	NEBRASKA WESTERN COLLEGE	1164	208	180	36	2111	387
2596	ATLANTIC CHTY COLLEGE	1512	222	197	38	2668	371
2601	CUMBERLAND COUNTY COLLEGE	1401	243	203	46	2486	429
2615	The state of the s	17 <i>3</i> 9	314	223	51	2844	491
2624	CCEAN COLINTY COLLEGE	1345	258	216	41	2355	433
2655	NEW MEXICO JUNIOR COLLEGE	1762	271	231	54	3075	509
2656	NET MEXICO MILITARY INST	1174	333	158	-2	2195	527
2661	EASTERN NH U ROSWELL	1470	357	181	80	2621	644
2691	CUNY BORD OF MANHATTAN CC	1250	584	163	93	2137	863
2692	CUNY BRONX CMTY COLLEGE	1471	784	158	110	2563	1140
2694	CUNY KINGSBOROUGH CC	1212	591	186	82	2090	887
2697	CUNY QUEENSBOROUGH CC	1620	566	170	78	2748	824
2854	SUNY AGRL & TECH C ALFRED	1259	714	109	826	2140	984
2855	SUNY AGRL & TECH C CANTON	1179	862	141	199	2055	1448
2856	SUNY AGRL TECH C COBLESKL	1 223	1106	132	312	2096	1869
2857	SUNY AGRL & TECH C DELHI	1327	1115	143	293	2360	1791
2858	SUNY AGRL TECH C FARMINGDL	1503	322	179	54	2426	491
2859	SUNY AGRL TECH C MORRISVL	1277	999	142	209	2299	1583
2860	ADIRONDACK CMTY COLLEGE	1432	222	203	44	2493	400
2861	CAYUGA CO CMTY COLLEGE	1267	214	200	38	2265	376



		Marginal	Marginal	Marginal	Marginal	Merginal	Manalasi
FIC	E Institution Name	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Marginal Cost PT
	L INSTITUTION NUMB	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Total	Total
286	2 BROOME COMMUNITY COLLEGE	Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
286	CORNING COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1468	337	194	60	2470	557
286	7 FULTON-MONTGOMERY CC	1213	389	177	74	2156	661
2861	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1400	371	203	76	2524	682
2869	- MOSSILL LET CHILL COLLEGE	1231	518	141	89 -		802
287	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	1258	202	184	35	2200	349
2872	MONROE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1494	227	176	39	2407	364
287	NASSAU COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1369	312	183	50	2290	485
2874	NIAGARA CO CMTY COLLEGE	1353	438	182	56	2193	622
2875	OHONDAGA CHTY COLLEGE	1291	400	168	72	2216	665
2876	ORANGE CO CHTY COLLEGE	1384	284	189	48	2348	462
2878	SUFFOLK CO CC SELDEN CAM	1608	344	204	63	2709	570
2879	SULLIVAN CO CHTY COLLEGE	1404	264	184	35	2259	386
2880	TOTAL OF ONLY COLLEGE	1067	628	179	110	2022	1070
2881	MESTCHESTER CHTY COLLEGE	1421	331	201	62	2490	575
2915	" A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	1637	410	230	71	2786	652
2917	AND A SECURITY OF LAND A SECURITY OF L	2138	195	260	32	3408	310
2919	DAVIDSON CO CHTY COLLEGE	1461	302	166	a 0	2471	570
2934	ISOTHERMAL CHTY COLLEGE	1368	313	179	58	2380	559
2940	I END I DOWN ON I SOR	1418	172	206	29	2616	311
2947	The state of the s	1338	333	191	60	2357	
2958	MITCHELL CMTY COLLEGE	1528	259	191	50	2638	609 49 5
2961	TOUR TOUR TOUR TOUR TOUR	1456	403	172	65	2506	
2964	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	1431	453	172	دو دو	2459	744
2970	SOUTHEASTERN CHTY COLLEGE	1148	218	143	39	1980	824
2973	SOUTH SOUTH ON THE PARTY OF THE	1298	261	172	48	2277	386
2980		1467	259	168	45	2432	481
2982	MAYNE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1345	541	136	i 01		443
2983	WESTERN PIEDMONT CC	1463	259	161	47	2240 2451	911
2988	WILKES COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1634	197	218	34		469
2991	BISMARCK JUNIOR COLLEGE	1270	370	170	75	283 1 221 3	362
2995	LAKE REGION JR COLLEGE	1413	343	162	92		654
2995	NO STATE U BOTTINEAU	1301	382	177	138	2416	712
3007	NO STATE SCHOOL SCIENCE	1401	-705	109	728	2474	814
3052	ND WILLISTON BRANCH, U OF	1218	418	138	140	2268 2117	-364
3054	KENT ST ASHTABULA REG CAM	1590	192	217	39	2874	912
3056	KENT ST STARK OD REG CAM	1068	253	176	14		357 357
3061	KENT ST E LIVERPL REG CAM	1572	193	218	42	1843 2826	392
3064	KENT ST U SALEM REG CAM	1809	172	253	37	3282	398
3068	KENT ST TRUMBULL REG CAM	1310	260	198	50	2371	362
3102	LORAIN CO CMTY COLLEGE	1683	204	230	37		472
3104	OHIO U CHILLICOTHE BR	894	223	136	12	2829 1496	344
	OHIO U LANCASTER BRANCH	1442	240	214	44	-	332
3108	OHIO U ZANESVILLE BRANCH	1549	230	183	49	2553	412
3119 3146	SINCLAIR CHTY COLLEGE	1514	146	192	24	2663	427
	WESTERN OKLAHOMA STATE C	1122	134	18c	21	2440	225
3153	CONNORS STATE COLLEGE	869	414	124	33	2078	250
3155	EASTERN OKLA ST COLLEGE	1091	284	152		1635	640
3156	EL RENO JUNIOR COLLECE	980	244	168	50	1946	499
3158	MURRAY STATE COLLEGE	1159	213	163	16	1804	405
			- 1-	100	42	2076	386



		Marginal	Marginal	Merginal	Manatast		
		Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Merginal Cost PT	Marginal	Merginal
FIC	E Institution Rame	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Cost FT	Cost PT
746	A APPROPRIATE AND A SECOND	Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	Total E&G Exp	Total
316	The state of the s	931	459	127	78	1686	E&G Exp
316		922	249	166	25	1707	735 426
322	LANE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	169.1	436	177	70	2714	420 655
3239		1752	265	230	57	3018	516
3240	TOTAL OFFICE OFF	1368	226	165	26	2315	340
3249	TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	1816	265	242	55	3119	492
3273	THE PERSON NAMED IN TAXABLE PARTIES.	1390	245	190	39	2292	374
3319	CLARION ST C VENANGO CAN	1519	229	226	41	2614	394
3331	PA STATE U ALTOONA CAN	1929	382	247	95	3516	734
3332	The second secon	1168	852	122	145	2094	1286
3334	PA STATE U BERKS CAMPUS	1167	889	132	163	2144	1370
3336	PA STATE U FAYETTE CAMPUS	1274	456	152	89	2313	768
3338	PA STATE U HAZLETON CAM	1403	406	166	88	2522	718
3340	PA STATE U MONT ALTO CAM	1199	1163	121	241	2197	1777
3341	PA ST U NEW KENSINGTH CAN	1200	1270	162	354	2170	1934
3342	PA STATE U OGOKIZ CAMPUS	1213	540	145	106	2223	901
3343	PA STATE U SCHUYLKILL CAM	1262	920	130	138	2205	1318
3344	PA ST U WRTHGTH SCRTH CAN	1149	578	171	95	2227	962
3345	PA ST U SHENANGO YLY CAM	1289	633	151	123	2359	1036
3346	PA ST U WILKES-BARRE CAN	1372	445	169	91	2507	767
3347	PA STATE U YORK CAMPUS	1189	741	120	227	2163	1302
3395	WILLIAMSPORT AREA CC	1204	991	128	191	2200	1522
3408	CHTY COLLEGE RHODE ISLAND	1345 1533	711	131	143	2174	1185
3450	SC AT BEAUFORT, U OF	1219	317	194	53	2504	494
3453	SC AT LANCASTER, U OF	1026	166	217	6	2349	319
3454	SC AT SALKEHATCHIE U OF	802	232 180	188	33	1997	451
3472	SD AT SPRINGFIELD U OF	1323	571	140	3	1537	326
3483	COLUMBIA ST CMTY COLLEGE	1346	160	149	309	2413	1111
3596	OUESSA COLLEGE	1651	232	180	31	2311	287
3600	PANOLA JUNIOR COLLEGE	1099	221	218	44	2808	398
3601	PARIS JUNIOR COLLEGE	1745	266	165 186	43	2012	423
3603	RANGER JUNIOR COLLEGE	965	5 79	115	49	2797	474
3606	SAINT PHILIP'S COLLEGE	1224	369	137	139	1774	1026
3611	SOUTH PLAINS COLLEGE	1135	283	146	60	2019	569
3614	SOUTHWEST TEX JR COLLEGE	1131	193	167	51 36	1969	477
3627	TEMPLE JUNIOR COLLEGE	1312	245	183	47	2017	345
3628	TEXARKANA CHTY COLLEGE	1385	268	170	49	2293	441
3634	TEX ST TECH INST WACO CAM	1426	1087	129	245	2341	452
3643	TEXAS SOUTHMOST COLLEGE	1124	238	162	40	2309 1944	1791
3662 3664	VICTORIA COLLEGE	1317	194	196	38	2339	387
	WEATHERFORD COLLEGE	943	203	156	32	1756	349
3668	WHARTON CO JR COLLEGE	1144	535	149	112	2032	372
3671 3676	DIXIE COLLEGE	1072	287	162	54	2032 1952	923
3676 3679	EASTERN UTAH, COLLEGE OF	1367	253	216	53	2534	516
3698	SNOW COLLEGE	1012	569	142	139	2934 1865	501
3707	VERMONT TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1287	184	117	381	2265	1023
3712	RICHARD BLAND C WM & MARY	1065	241	190	701	2004	644
-, 12	TIDEWATER CMTY COLLEGE	1401	167	187	25	2260	421
					~-	2200	256



		Merginal	Marginal	Merginel	Marginal	Marginal	Marginal
FIC	E Institution Name	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT
	- morrialion name	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Total	Total
37 <i>2</i>	7 NORTHERN VA CHTY COLLEGE	Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
374	B ESTN SHORE CHTY COLLEGE	1700	170	212	25	2636	249
375	PATRICK HENRY CC	1465	144	254	28	2837	313
375	B DANVILLE CHTY COLLEGE	1421	145	241	27	2647	289
3759	J SARGEANT REYHOLDS CC	1298 1471	271	164	53	2218	477
376	YA WESTERN CHTY COLLEGE	1370	148	190	25	2415	238
3761	WYTHEVILLE CHTY COLLEGE	1513	205	182	36	2305	338
3769	BELLEVUE CHTY COLLEGE	1514	217	193	43	2567	394
3772	CENTRALIA COLLEGE	1735	238	207	41	2531	377
3773	CLARK COLLEGE	1717	133 215	231	19	3003	217
3774	COLUMBIA BASIN CC	1829		220	38	2816	337
3776	EVERETT CHTY COLLEGE	1565	139 152	237	23	3098	223
3779	GRAYS HARBOR COLLEGE	1756	170	219	26	2648	246
3780	GREEN RIVER ONTY COLLEGE	1474	287	269	28	3201	301
3781	HIGHLINE ONTY COLLEGE	1355	198	192	49	2481	454
3782	LOWER COLUMBIA COLLEGE	1478	189	191	32	2278	313
3784	OLYMPIC COLLEGE	1517	155	201	36	2538	315
3786	· CITTINGOLI COLLEGE	1814	139	204	27	2534	248
3791	WALLE COLLEGE	1355	291	266 179	28	3148	249
3792		1544	163	209	50	2282	456
3793		1718	260	193	28	2593	265
3796	TACOMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1318	281	193	42	2715	404
3801	MENATCHEE VALLEY COLLEGE	1496	186	214	46	2274	451
3816	STHN W VA CC	1548	192	179	34	2593	321
3817	STHN W VA CC-WILLIAMSON	1342	192	179	37	2611	350
3828	PARKERSBURG CHTY COLLEGE	1498	146	193	37 27	2459	359
3829	POTOMAC STATE COLLEGE	1021	279	167	27	2536	257
3840	WESTERN WIS TECH INST	1743	419	183	41 74	1940	499
3866	MILWAUKEE AREA TECH C	2806	268	318	42	2810	702
3897 3928	WISCONSIN CTR SYS,U OF	861	311	111	16	4340	414
3929	CASPER COLLEGE	1520	228	197	43	1316 2588	401
3930	EASTERN WYOMING COLLEGE	1525	254	205	56	2713	392
3931	SHERIDAN COLLEGE	1759	220	224	48	2713 3109	509
3956	NORTHMEST CNTY COLLEGE	1182	215	210	35	2234	402 408
3961	DALTON JUNIOR COLLEGE	1267	290	177	59	2261	528
3990	M RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE	1916	167	258	27	3101	265
3991	FLORENCE DARLINGTON TECH	1 <i>2</i> 93	493	152	95	2304	813
3992	GREENVILLE TECH COLLEGE	1370	411	147	70	2239	647
3993	PIEDNONT TECH COLLEGE MIDLANDS TECH COLLEGE	1334	394	156	83	2271	714
3994	SPARTANBURG TECH COLLEGE	1306	323	160	56	2161	526
3995	SUMTER AREA TECH COLLEGE	1673	361	195	74	2756	653
3996	YORK TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1223	344	154	64	2212	582
3998	CHATTANOOGA ST TECH CC	1345	371	161	76	2304	660
3999	CLEVELAND ST CHTY COLLEGE	1576	283	194	51	2632	467
4003	CENTRAL TEXAS COLLEGE	1533	194	193	34	2586	340
4004	JOHN TYLER CHTY COLLEGE	1488	195	219	35	2565	340
4007	MADISON AREA TECH COLLEGE	1535	148	199	29	2590	257
4009	MESABI COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1899	384	231	69	3087	626
	THE COMMONT IN COLLEGE	1397	460	202	-4	2641	718
							. 10



		Merginel Cost FT	Marginal Cost PT	Morginal	Marginal	Marginal	Marginal
FICE	Institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Cost FT Student	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT
		Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Student	Total	Total
4027	UTAH TECH COLLEGE PROVO	1235	337	132	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
4033	ASHEVL BUNCOMBE TECH C	1715	398	182	59	2045	538
4051		1479	351	194	77	2805	699
4052	ALLEGHENY CO BOYCE CAN, CC	1513	184	251	61 32	2486	570
4062	PITT CHTY COLLEGE	1541	315	174	60	2706	334
4069	U MINN TECH COL CROOKSTON	1221	517	151	119	2566	555
4074	SCOTT COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1519	312	199	64	2177 2698	934
4076		1751	466	187	82	2804	547
4452	THE PERSON CONTROLLED TO CONTR	1245	218	175	36	2132	765
4453	OOFFFOF	1965	184	255	33	3223	349
4480	TO THE COURT OF	2177	183	264	24	3563	315
4481		1806	168	247	27	3138	272
4506	TOTAL TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE	1726	114	256	22	3096	275
4513	The second secon	1325	160	226	27	2417	208
4549	HAWAII LEEWARD CC, U OF	1251	289	198	46	2186	292
4587	NTHEST IA TECH INSTITUTE	2125	628	187	234	3286	474
4595		1806	-1953	150	720	2917	1522
4608	BARTON CO CNTY COLLEGE	1409	135	195	26	2429	-1331
4611	KANSAS TECHNICAL INST	1686	398	191	107	2932	245
4622	STHN U SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER	1238	723	128	138	2932	823
4626	DELGADO CHTY COLLEGE	1268	245	146	40	2070	1148
4650	CHESAPEAKE COLLEGE	1256	111	207	18	2329	379
4681	MACONS CO CC-CENTER CAM	2053	156	299	29	3588	218
4713	THREE RIVERS CMTY COLLEGE	994	295	138	57	1803	270
4723	SOUTHEAST CC NILFORD CAN	1890	-68477	150	155	3255	539 -0
4736	BERGEN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1832	304	239	51	3014	-9 481
4740	MERCER CO CHITY COLLEGE	1628	229	209	39	2693	369
4788	HERKINER CO CHTY COLLEGE	864	455	140	71	1603	761
4835 4838	CALDWELL CC AND TECH INST	1629	239	189	44	2746	433
4844	GUILFORD TECHNICAL INST	1807	268	202	46	2939	458
	WAKE TECHNICAL COLLEGE	150 5	515	156	110	2489	924
4845 4852	WILSON CO TECHNICAL INST	1541	311	179	62	2631	581
4868	CLARK TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1410	237	163	43	2351	415
4878	CINCIN RAYMND WALTERS C, U	1487	173	202	33	2537	302
4925	CLACKANAS CHTY COLLEGE	1861	204	240	38	3104	346
4926	HORRY-GEORGETOWN TECH C	1054	384	124	75	1838	685
4937	TRI-COUNTY TECH COLLEGE	1441	401	158	76	2391	703
4988	JACKSON ST CHTY COLLEGE	1232	212	204	34	2224	378
4995	CENTRAL VA CHTY COLLEGE	1414	144	191	26	2413	250
5000	DABNEY S LANCASTER CC	1513	215	193	45	2627	404
5001	FORT STEILACOON CC	1110	240	157	32	1874	371
5006	EDMONOS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1458	143	214	25	2487	235
5220	WALLA WALLA CHTY COLLEGE	1648	196	200	34	2814	316
5223	UTAH TECH COLLECE SALT LK	1601	235	205	44	2640	390
5299	HEW RIVER CHTY SOLLEGE	1440	186	185	34	2447	330
5304	GATEWAY TECH INST-RACINE	1569	209	211	43	2787	375
5317	DISTRICT ONE TECH INST FORSYTH TECHNICAL INST	1890	566	215	112	3071	987
5318	CATAMBA VALLEY TECH C	1752	366	191	69	2843	635
10	WILLIAM TACLET TECH C	1830	298	218	56	3075	539



FI	CE Institution Name	Margina! Cost FT	Merginal Cost PT	Marginal Cost FT	Marginal Cost PT	Merginal Cost FT	Marginal
	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Student	Total	Cost PT
53:		Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	Total
530	DE DEMPARK TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1829	362	199	71	3012	E&G Exp
53	A OF MENT LECH CALLA UNITA UNITECE	1279	390	145	102	2236	661
538	N MID-STATE TECHNICAL INCT	1706	119	240	23	2927	758 210
538	MUNIN CENTRAL TECH LINES	1861 1662	456	196	94	3046	853
539	O BLACKHAMK TECHNICAL LINCT	1602	366	203	70	2782	645
544	V KANDOLPH TECHNICAL C	1391	584	162	117	2647	1021
544	8 DURHAM TECHNICAL INCT	1533	260 324	175	54	2401	509
546	O IECH C OF ALAMANOS	1553	311	182	61	2567	560
546 552	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	1382	490	193	64	2643	570
57 5		1691	328	160	106	2401	908
575		1453	291	183 169	68	2745	602
613	TOTAL TECHNICAL CONTRACTOR	1490	291	191	53	2417	493
665	· ········ OU IECHRILAL INCI	1443	375	161	57	2640	511
666		1739	186	243	71 29	2506	622
666	GALVESTON COLLEGE	1398	216	179	42	2837	290
6750		2003	228	228	46	2403	381
6753	ILLINOIS CENTRAL COLLEGE	1525	244	220	37	3253 2556	425
6760	MAINE AT AUGUSTA, U OF	1756	151	228	26	2556 2857	397
6768	MID MICHIGAN CATY COLLEGE	1445	118	215	22	2853 2 5 27	245
6774	LAKENUUD CMTY MAIEGE	1549	200	193	41	2620	211
6775	MAINY RIVER ONTY COLLEGE	1299	262	203	47	2309	365
67 77	FLANKEND VIY CMTS COLLEGE	1423	296	218	69	2671	452
6782	GENESEE COMMENTY CHIECE	1378	193	200	41	2487	632 367
6785	SUPERCIADY CINIMITY OF	1281 1389	318	200	59	2312	574
6787	CLINTON COMMINITY ON LECE	1156	158	218	30	2481	290
6788	ICTAK INS-CORTI AND CO	1249	248	182	46	2116	461
6789	WLUMBIA-GREFME OC	1034	213	178	40	2187	372
6804		1797	208 155	185	6	1942	375
6807	BEAVER CO. CHTY COLLEGE OF	1573	258	263	29	3048	264
6810 6811	LEHIGH OD OMTY COLLEGE	1623	232	191	53	2609	470
6815	LUZERNE CO CHTY COLLEGE	1546	219	218 204	45	2752	412
6819	ORANGEBUNG CALHOUN TECH C	1543	422	173	41	2610	385
6835	BLUE RIDGE CHTY COLLEGE	1568	189	209	89	2596	772
6836	DYERSBURG ST CHTY COLLEGE	899	177	149	37	2698	343
6865	MOTLON STATE CHTY COLLEGE CAMDEN COUNTY COLLEGE	1148	153	188	9 26	1649	303
6867	COLUMBUS TECHNICAL INST	1452	274	197	47	2105	279
6871	THOMAS NELSH CHTY COLLEGE	1455	345	189	62	2437 2394	433
6901	GLOUCESTER COUNTY COLLEGE	1429	172	195	30	2394 2405	551
6922	PA STATE U DELAMARE CAM	1674	234	231	46	2880	287
6931	WAUGUNSEE ONLY COLLEGE	1319	920	149	144	2341	419
6938	LINN-BENTON CHTY COLLEGE	1681	117	263	22	2933	1340
6949	MILNINZOD VALLEY OC	1638	188	211	34	2728	209
6973	CANADA COLLEGE	1766 2123	159	246	31	2970	314 269
6977	NORTHERN NEV CHTY COLLEGE	2123 2187	152	305	23	3706	269 251
6982	TATIONIUCK ONTY COLLEGE	1143	71	346	15	3988	148
7047	LOS ANG SOUTHWEST COLLEGE	1892	214	166	36	201υ	366
	-	10.2	201	280	37	3207	333



BEST COPY AVAILABI

The second of th

		Marginal	Marginal	Merginal	Marginal	Marginal	Merginal
FIŒ	Institution Name	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost Fi	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT
1100	INSTITUTION NAME	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Studens	Total	Total
7053	DEL TECH & CC STHN CAN	Exp 1558	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
7096		2034	43?	197	96	2716	780
7099	The state of the s	1336	176	282	33	3544	328
7102	JEFFERSON COLLEGE	1475	254	175	52	2332	465
7105		1510	225 282	185	42	2500	410
7107	ESSEX COUNTY COLLEGE	1425	578	176 186	46 99	2592	447
7110		1407	229	200	38	2420	875
7111	N COUNTRY CMTY COLLEGE	1478	243	209	49	2402	379
7118	PARKLAND COLLEGE	1402	212	175	37	2626 2330	456
711 9	REND LAKE COLLEGE	1852	171	233	32	3673	343 308
7170	LINCOLN LAND CHTY COLLEGE	1617	183	242	33	2771	314
7171	KIRTLAND CHTY COLLEGE	1512	243	211	51	2752	450
7184	ALLEGHENY CO SOUTH CAM, CC	1680	257	231	48	2869	458
7191	NORTHANPTON CO AREA CC	1653	170	220	31	2764	298
7260	SOUTHWEST VA CHTY COLLEGE	1492	168	210	32	2587	301
7265	CARL SANDBURG COLLEGE	1334	145	179	27	2285	257
7266	PIMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1515	149	184	22	2402	221
7275	JEFFERSON TECHNICAL C	1518	196	188	38	2588	361
7283	CENTRAL ARIZONA COLLEGE	1717	166	235	30	2900	282
7288	GATEWAY TECH INST-KENOSHA	1527	258	190	51	2f 16	439
7289	CENTRAL WYOMING COLLEGE	1549	242	251	48	2953	501
7316	WESTERN IOWA TECH	2068	722	199	245	3273	1620
7536	COSUMMES RIVER COLLEGE	2023	160	326	31	3538	287
7538	BLACK HANK C EAST CAMPUS	1793	212	258	46	3167	443
7555	NH YOG-TECH C LACONIA	1425	-191	170	241	2658	100
7560	NH YOC-TECH C CLAREMONT	1626	-4258	158	387	2859	-5483
7582	AIMS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1193	159	170	27	2077	270
7591	SOUTHEAST CC LINCOLN CAM	1809	258	201	47	2942	453
7598 7602	HOCKING TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1166	354	124	69	1927	595
7635	CHESTERFLD-MARLBORD TECH	1372	251	166	51	2400	506
7640	GREATER HARTFORD CC	1539	141	231	26	2748	255
7644	FAYETTEVILLE TECH INST LAKE LAND COLLEGE	1758	440	186	73	2854	704
7669	STHWST WIS VOC TECH INST	1236	261	160	47	2113	436
7684	KISHWAUKEE COLLEGE	2101	369	231	84	3420	758
7687	JAXES SPRUNT TECH COLLEGE	1648	166	227	33	2775	298
7690	KANKAKEE CHTY COLLEGE	1284	400	162	93	2343	736
7691	MCHENRY COUNTY COLLEGE	1701	107	238	21	2887	196
7692	MORAINE VLY CMTY COLLEGE	1491	115	236	20	2666	212
7693	SHAWNEE COLLEGE	1679 1576	190	226	31	2771	311
7694	LAKE COUNTY, COLLEGE OF	1576 1817	113	229	21	2821	207
7707	COLUMBIA COLLEGE	2040	143 149	265	26	3043	239
7713	SKYLINE COLLEGE	2264	190	337	31	3666	283
7728	MACON JUNIOR COLLEGE	1335	163	330	36	3953	323
7729	COUNTY COLLEGE OF MORRIS	1530	247	210	27	2404	304
7730	BURLINGTON COUNTY COLLEGE	1306	135	211	41	2537	391
7731	SOMERSET COUNTY COLLEGE	1314	192	208 197	17	2271	229
7738	STHN ARK U TECH BRANCH	1579	221	197	34 45	2295	329
7856	BOWLING GRN ST U FIRELDS	1434	318	192	45 60	2738	432
	· ·		J.0	134	00	2628	551



		Morginal Cost FT	Marginal Cost PT	Merginal Cost FT	Marginal Cond. PT	Marginal	Merginal
FIC	E Institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Student	Cost PT Student	Cost FT	Cost PT
	• ••••	Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	Total E&G Exp	Total
785		1645	123	246	24	2859	E&G Exp 225
787		1865	98	273	15	3090	168
7 87 1 7 89 2		1489	257	190	58	2519	493
79 3 3	IEGINIONE C	1339	244	182	50	2390	476
7950	The second secon	1447	235	170	42	2396	387
7954	MORNANDALE CHTY COLLEGE	1425	298	184	64	2521	563
7985	ANSON TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1251	326	169	56	2146	533
7986	HALIFAX CHTY COLLEGE	1581	196	202	43	2795	424
7987	BLACEN TECHNICAL INST	1331 1 3 92	337	166	71	2329	634
7986	MARTIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	1409	372	159	95	2447	781
8037	SOUTH CEN CHTY COLLEGE	1274	283	185	62	2516	564
8036	MIDDLESEX CHTY COLLEGE	1247	205 172	179	30	2334	354
8073	BUTTE COLLEGE	1533	308	216	25	2284	309
8076	JOHN A LOGAN COLLEGE	1417	289	218	61	2671	521
8080	STATE FAIR CHITY COLLEGE	1137	208	189 156	58	2465	520
8081	CARTERET TECHNICAL INST	1582	263	188	41	2018	379
8082	TOTAL IEUR OUEEEDE	1464	373	199	5 9 79	2649	521
8083		1528	548	150	79 153	2602	721
8084	TO THE CALL OF THE CALL	1267	353	149	65	2525	1127
8085	mesonate residue (Ma)	1670	337	185	85	2158 2823	607
8086	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO PERSON NAMED I	1723	268	221	54	2025 2976	715
8087 8133	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE	1656	240	184	58	2976 2819	497
8145	The second section of the second seco	1440	233	178	48	2019 2 4 62	513
8175		1474	163	211	31	2543	430
8228	Very Voice Internal Line (All Lines)	1969	143	285	27	3408	280 274
8244	TENTED OF CALL COLLEGE	1475	125	213	23	2707	241
8278	TERRA TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1470	191	219	33	2523	323
6303	MARICOPA TECH CC	1226	161	173	31	2164	289
8304	SCOTTSDALE CHTY COLLEGE	2243	208	312	44	3878	365
8404	BROOKDALE CHTY COLLEGE	1417	165	225	27	2457	. 274
8423	IND VOC TECH C-NTH CEN	1710 1434	202	221	30	2926	318
8466	SOUTHWESTERN TECH C	1449	163	169	31	2378	294
8503	HOUNTAIN VIEW COLLEGE	1691	269 175	199	58	2565	534
8504	RICHLAND COLLEGE	1586	201	246	32	2895	301
8510	EASTFIELD COLLEGE	1640	177	226 246	31 70	2612	314
8547	IND YOC TECH-WABASH YLY	1412	257	240 153	30 54	2787	295
8557	NASH TECHNICAL INSTITUTE	1343	173	193	36	2313	483
8558		1542	251	182	50 51	2408	330
8596 8597	MEST LOS ANGELES COLLEGE	1834	145	298	24	2638 3127	477
8611	FEATHER RIVER COLLEGE	2391	125	408	26	4551	240
8612	CUNY HOSTOS CMTY COLLEGE	1099	1065	150	221	2019	252
8613	ROBESON TECHNICAL C	1539	350	185	75	2628	1699 666
8659	ROANOKE-CHOWAH TECH INST	1493	278	175	62	2571	56 I
8660	CORD FAIRFAX CHTY COLLEGE GERMANNA CHTY COLLEGE	1337	139	196	22	2450	248
8661	SOUTHSIDE VA CHTY COLLEGE	1409	151	206	30	2546	287
8677	NORTHWEST TECH COLLEGE	1308	124	201	23	2344	235
	TOTAL VICEOR	1751	177	220	38	2963	363



		Manatant	Man	••			
		Merginel Cost FT	Merginal Cost PT	Merginal Cost ST	Marginal	Merginal	Merginal
FIC	E Institution Name	Instruct	Instruct	Cost FT Student	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT
		Exp	Exp	Serv.Exp	Student	Total	Total
873		1794	498	205	Serv.Exp 88	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
8739		1607	505	175	98	2912	815
8740		1509	507	175	106	2635 2 5 61	869
8760	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	2086	161	299	28	3390	908
8855 8862		1395	233	177	47	2427	255
8863		1232	200	170	39	2159	451 371
8895		1292	170	177	30	2213	289
8896	THE STREET	2243	204	333	40	3921	356
8898	TARRANT OD JUNIOR COLLEGE	1250	293	155	45	2195	463
8903	THE PERSON OF THE PERSON COLLEGE	1911	195	246	32	3043	301
8906	MACONE CO CC-SOUTH CAMPUS	1915	152	310	31	3404	280
8918	SADDLEBACK CHTY COLLEGE	2389	163	314	26	3953	257
8919	NICOLET COLLEGE-TECH INST	2110 1709	160	294	28	3407	247
8976	CLAYTON JUNIOR COLLEGE	1308	304	246	62	3102	612
8986	LUPILEEN B WALLACE ST JC	861	218	185	38	2284	383
9006	COLO NTN COLLEGE EAST CAN	2232	481	165		1634	741
9134	BREWER STATE JR COLLEGE	1205	65 384	367	12	4103	1 <i>2</i> 8
9139	HAPLE WOODS CHITY COLLEGE	1761	176	191	85	2323	736
9140	LONGVIEW CMTY COLLEGE	1570		284	34	3180	339
9159	PAUL D CAMP ONLY COLLEGE	1340	177 207	273	28	2829	322
9160	RAPPAHAMMOCK CICTY COLLEGE	1277	157	175 196	40	2352	387
9163	SAM ANTONIO COLLEGE	1537	511		29	2337	300
91 69	WRIGHT ST U WSTN CHIC BR	1338	162	172 231	71	2397	702
9185	OSCAR ROSE JUNIOR COLLEGE	1356	254	192	27 41	2556	321
9225	TEX ST TECH-HARLINGEN CAM	1500	640	149	185	2274	402
9230	The second of the contract	1745	314	173	102 37	2450	1257
9236		1436	179	185	37	2786 2502	435
9256	MORAINE PARK TECH INST	2681	77	351	13	4319	339
9257 9258	NORTHEAST WIS TECH INST	1641	436	182	81	2668	136
9259	MALKESHA COUNTY TECH INST	2305	306	270	56	3735	735 524
9272	LARANIE CO CHTY COLLEGE	1476	191	214	35	2605	342
9332	CRAFTON HILLS COLLEGE	2039	170	291	33	3643	301
9336	STATE COMMUNITY COLLEGE JOHNSTON TECHNICAL C	1179	201	168	37	2096	363
9430	TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY C	1355	310	167	59	2343	558
9507	FLOYD JUNIOR COLLEGE	1229	474	1 <i>2</i> 9	118	2128	908
9542	DENYER AURARIA CAM, CO OF	1329	325	171	63	2414	561
9543	DENYER RED ROCKS CAN, CC	1314	219	168	41	2220	373
9544	SPOKANE FLS CHTY COLLEGE	1463	182	188	33	2449	307
955 2	MERICAN RIVER COLLEGE	1102	218	76	1	1320	226
9629	MTY EMPIRE CHTY COLLEGE	1931 1622	234	275	38	3126	358
9646	PIEDMONT TECHNICAL C	909	92 252	231	18	2816	174
9684	BLUE RIDGE TECHNICAL C	1634	252 2 4 6	161	28	1766	493
9704	NORTH SEATTLE CC	1708	240 186	202	48	2869	477
9705	SEATTLE CC CENTRAL CAMPUS	1561	314	224	34	2831	302
9706	SEATTLE CC SOUTH CAMPUS	1831	139	1 <i>8</i> 9 241	52 27	2545	468
9740	INVER HILLS ONTY COLLEGE	1458	158	218	27	3022	231
9744	FOX VALLEY TECH INST	1681	450	192	28	2554	280
			720	172	79	2773	741



		Merginal Cost FT	Merginel	Merginal	Marginal	Merginal	Manata
FI	CE Institution Name	Instruct	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Margina Cost PT
976	69 - TM 64	Exp	instruct Exp	Student	Student	Total	Total
976	TOTON SUMIUM (18 I FEE	1601	134	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	E&G Exp
976		1463	116	211	23	2621	218
976		1560	149	218	22	2537	207
978	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1482	284	252	28	2807	283
979	cc cold fluide a louil t	1317	167	225	50	2607	486
989		1639	195	170 247	33	2320	298
990	CDIMEDRICY CIRCLES	1905	146	262	37	2902	353
991	ORBIT CALL IN THE	1514	258	194	25	3155	241
991	OVI TECH (TH.PIT	1441	291	185	51	2615	492
991		1315	202	198	59	2537	545
992	SIVIE CHIL INDIESE	1248	185	183	34 31	2324	354
992	IND VOC TECH C NORTHEAST	1464	177	172	31 34	2185	317
992	PIEDMONT VA CHTY COLLEGE	1547	110	206	21	2456	329
993		1338	110	193	21	2631	205
993	DUNDALK CHTY COLLEGE	1562	-126	176	289	2331	196
9936	MIDDLESEX CHITY COLLEGE	2022	138	335	26	2643	524
9941	BELMONT TECHNICAL COLLEGE	. 1711	100	253	18	3666 3006	277
9980	VEU C WALLACE ST CO-CCI MA	1887	236	250	55	2896 3284	174
9981	PUREAU COMMINITY ON LECE	1373	413	167	89	2370	441
9994	PASSAIC OD OMY ONLINE	1864	172	279	43	3398	737
10014	PROPERTY COMMENSITY CONTROL	1217	352	148	58	2037	382
10020	LEWIS MED CLARK OF	1569	240	210	52	2806	533
10027	LIMA TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1534 1399	136	215	25	2598	479 236
10038	IND TOC TECH C-CONTINUES	1401	293	162	61	2345	236 531
10039	INU YUC TECH C-! AFAYETTE	1454	205	181	42	2454	373
10041	AMA AAC LECH C-KUKUMU	1326	156	163	32	2381	298
1 0051 1 0056	CUNT LA GUARDIA CC	744	174 1154	158	33	2240	314
10000	AIKEN TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1450	229	117	126	1334	1554
10109	VERNON REG JUNIOR COLLEGE	1652	177	197	48	2562	436
10111	THE THE LEGIC CASTILIZED	1294	243	246	35	<i>2</i> 917	351
10176	CERRO COSO CHTY COLLEGE	2635	75	136	49	2132	451
10182	MESTMORELAND COUNTY OC	1790	196	441	13	4916	149
10224	ROGUE COMMENNITY COLLEGE	1693	163	239 229	37	3047	362
10225	IONA LAKES CC SOUTH CTR	1584	430	260	33	2907	294
10346	MINN TECH C-MASECA,U OF LOS NEDANOS COLLEGE	1565	681	178	67	3070	806
1 0345	CINCINNATI TECH COLLEGE	2043	172	285	167	2799	1207
10359	IONA LAKES CC NORTH CTR	1610	298	205	29 58	3595	289
10362	CLARK CO CHTY COLLEGE	1299	651	213	-60	2746	501
10363	MESTERN NEW CHTY COLLEGE	1575	93	239	-60 16	2587	928
10364	MINTOON CHEY COLLEGE	1690	66	262	11	2691	161
10387	EL PASO CO CHITY COLLEGE	1540	110	224	17	2862	111
10388	READING AREA CHTY COLLEGE	1371	202	177	31	2769	193
10390	HAMAII WINDWARD CC,U OF	1759	204	219	38	2231	311
10271	SOUTH OKLA CTY JR COLLEGE	1363	250	206	38	3024	394
10439	STELDY STATE ONTY ONLIGH	1788	123	251	22	2549 3016	435
10453	MUSHINGION TECH UNITEGE	1215	323	163	52	3016	214
10530	QUINEBAUG VALLEY CC	1024	123	115	24	2035 1736	497
		1879	96	341	15	3667	236
						-001	219



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

		Merginel	Merginel	Merginal	Merginal	Merginal	Manadaas
FIC	E Institution Name	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Cost PT	Cost FT	Marginal
		Instruct	instruct	Student	Student	Total	Cost PT
1065		Ехр	Ехф	Serv.Exp	Serv.Exp	E&G Exp	Total
1080	5 CINCIN CIFRANT COLTECUI	1538		212	25	2651	E&G Exp
1061	8 AKRON MAYNE GEN-TECH C.U	1157	129	179	6	2130	273
1087	9 RICHLAND CHTY COLLEGE	1345	159	230	8	2473	236
1088	STARK TECHNICAL COLLEGE	1730	109	280	20	3106	292
1099	A SHAMET CO TIMING UNITEGE	1389	209	1 9 0	40	2421	214
1104	O CENTRAL CHILD TECHNICAL C	1194	313	180	-13	2361	376
1107	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	1533	226	193	47	2626	533
1114	PINNIH HARRIS OD ODILIECE	1511	305	203	69	2749	434
11127	U NORUMIUUX CHIY COLLECE	1699	152	239	27	2883	620
11157	ESSEX ACM STEPH INCT	1569	_ 82	245	4	2955	262
11194	A SIMPLY TECHNICAL C	1340	- 3775	133	483	2408	168
11197	MAYLAND TECHNICAL C	1862	190	239	41	3214	-5 666
11210	DUNKER HILL ONLY COLLEGE	1686	292	187	63	2882	392
11387	TEL TECH & CC STANTON CALL	1079	149	158	21	1870	597
11667	MUNITHEAST TECHNICAL CC	1888	256	239	52	3297	247
11672	PENDOCINO COLIFCE	1490	348	166	68	2478	443
11727	DEL TECH & CC TERRY CAM	1730	104	320	16	3233	636
11730	INDIAN YALIFY COLLECTS	1292	194	198	39	2362	199
11864	PUHAVE COMMENSTY COLLEGE	1799	132	305	23	3285	368
11930	HUDBERT THE MITTO COLUMN	2188	63	342	13	3880	254
12105	WARLAND OD CATY CALLES	1039	459	206	- 77	2091	126
12112	SC AT SIMITED II AC	1435	183	196	37	2532	701
12165	ATLANTA JUNIOR COLLEGE	979	255	166	11	1765	340
12179	DEL TECH & CC WILMINGTON	991	333	130	28	1784	416
12182	CHATTAHOOCHEE VALLEY OO	1146	<i>2</i> 95	181	51	2107	508
12200	EAST ARK CHTY COLLEGE	1215	351	167	58	2226	514
12261	NORTH ARKANSAS CC	1187	186	164	36	2144	587
12270	EDISON STATE CHIY COLLEGE	1184	237	173	47	2173	365
12452	EVERGREEN VALLEY COLLEGE	1507	104	233	19		453
12550	LOS ANGELES MISSION C	1933	224	264	38	2725 3379	204
12586	METROPOLITAN TECHNICAL CC	2383	95	385	11		365
12662	SAN DIEGO MIRAMAR COLLEGE	1543	158	187	27	4512	186
1 2693	STATE TECH INST KNOXVILLE	2509	139	419	23	2524 4595	265
12713	SAM SACINID C MUDITU CAN	1329	185	159	36	2265	309
12748	SIMMEE ST CHITY COLLEGE	1867	152	256	30	3200	318
12813	JOHN MOOD CHTY COLLEGE	1191	315	136	60	2059	278
12860	MISS CO CHTY COLLEGE	1493	171	199	33	2039 2511	529
12870	STHN ST GEN-TECH COLLEGE	1370	160	200	31	2511 2530	309
12907	LAKE TAHOE CHTY COLLEGE	1117	146	177	27		303
29 050	CITY C CHGO CITY-WIDE C	1965	131	365	7	2066 3816	277
29053	WOR-WIC TECH CHTY COLLEGE	3427	64	456	ź	3816	273
29065	CEDAR VALLEY COLLEGE	1883	168	239	36	6171	139
23000	NORTH LAKE COLLEGE	1569	217	275	36	3268	358
29101	CC ALLEGHENY CO NORTH CAM	1626	138	277	24	2803	377
	on toutin CVM	4335	107	486	19	2916 6557	251
					13	6557	209

