DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 268 433

CG 018 992

TITLE

INSTITUTION

State Dropout Prevention Funds. Annual Report. North Carolina State Dept. of Public Instruction,

Raleigh.

PUB DATE

Apr 86

NOTE

46p.

PUB TYPE

Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

*Dropout Prevention; *Educational Finance; Financial

Support; *Program Descriptions; *School District

Spending; *State Aid; *State School District

Relationship

IDENTIFIERS

*North Carolina

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this report is to identify the use of North Carolina's dropout prevention funds by local school systems and to define the types of services provided to students. Part I of the report contains a summary of funding by program type. A chart lists the total amount of funds obligated from state dropout prevention and other program sources to operate the different types of programs. Part II contains charts which list the amount of funds obligated to date, the types of programs supported by the funds, and the numbers of students receiving services. Part III provides a summary of individual program types, including ojbectives, approaches, evaluation procedures, and implementation data. Information in this section was obtained from surveys completed by the state's 141 local school systems. The program types described include in-school suspension, job placement, alternative school, extended school day, high-risk counseling, academic remediation, transition programs, and other programs. The state dropout prevention funds annual report form is appended. (NB)



STATE DROPOUT PREVENTION FUNDS

Annual Report

U.S DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

E Brumback

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



STATE DROPOUT PREVENTION FUNDS ANNUAL REPORT

Dropout Prevention Section
Division of Support Programs
Support Services Area
State Department of Public Instruction
Raleigh, North Carolina

April, 1986



CONTENTS

Preface	i
Executive Summary	iii
Part I: Summary of Funding by Program Type	5
Part II. LEA Profile of Funding and Services	9
Part III: Explanation of Dropout Prevention Programs	19
In-School Suspension Job Placement Centers Alternative School Extended School Day Program Counseling the High-Risk Student Academic Remediation Transition Programs Other Programs	21 23 25 27 t 29 31 33
Appendix	37
State Dropout Provention Funds	

State Dropout Prevention Funds Annual Report Form



PREFACE

In 1985 the North Carolina General Assembly appropriated funds specifically for the development and expansion of dropout prevention programs in middle schools, junior high schools, and high schools. The language of the appropriations bill guaranteed that each local school system would receive, at a minimum, the cost of one full-time counselor position. The remaining funds would be allotted on the basis of average daily membership. The legislation further specified those priorities for the use of the funds: in-school suspension programs and identification and intervention programs for high-risk students.

In this first year of increased funding for dropout prevention services, local school systems have expanded existing programs and implemented many new ones, each designed to reduce the dropout rate. At this time, the success or failure of these programs cannot be documented, since many have been operating for only a brief period of time. Local schools, however, have established program goals, objectives, and evaluation procedures which will enable them to gather and provide student data on a periodic basis. It is anticipated that some indicators will be available by the end of the first full year of funding.

The following report is the first in a series of annual reports. It provides an overview of funding to local school systems, including an explanation of the use of funds and a description of the different types of dropout prevention programs operating in the North Carolina public schools.

Ju L N. Di

Theodore R. Drain Assistant State Superintendent Support Services Area

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to identify the use of dropout prevention funds by local school systems and to define the types of services provided to students. The report includes three parts:

- 1. A Summary of Funding by Program Type The chart lists the total amount of funds obligated from state propout prevention and other program sources to operate the different types of programs.
- 2. LEA Profile of Funding and Services The charts list the amount of state dropout funds allocated to each local education agency (LEA), the amount of funds obligated to date, the types of programs supported by the funds and the numbers of students receiving services.
- 3. Explanation of Dropout Prevention Programs This part of the report provides a summary of individual program types, including objectives, approaches, evaluation procedures, and implementation data.

Information included in the program summaries was compiled from the surveys completed by all of the state's 141 local school systems. The objectives, approaches and evaluation procedures listed are those most frequently reported.

The program data includes both actual and approximate figures. The total funds obligated and the number of LEAs providing programs are actual figures. In some cases, the numbers of students served and staff employed are estimated because all respondents did not complete that section of the report.

A review of the data reveals:

- . Of the \$15,000,324 allocated, \$14,748,958 has been obligated.
- . A majority of the funds (\$8,291,468) is being used to staff in-school suspension programs.
- . The second largest amount (\$3,849,182) is being used for nigh-risk counseling.
- . Approximately 56,499 high-risk students have been served to date.
- . Student groups served: students with discipline problems; students with multiple suspensions and expulsions; low achievers; economically and socially disadvantaged students; habitual absentees and truants; and students with low self-concepts.

All local education agencies have indicated an increase in the number and range of services to high-risk students. Although outcomes are not available at this time, some school systems are projecting a substantial reduction in their dropout rate by the 1987-88 school year. Subsequent studies will determine the success of this projection as well as the total effect these services are having on students and the entire school program.



PART 1
SUMMARY OF FUNDING BY PROGRAM TYPE

STATE DROPOUT PREVENTION FUNDS ANNUAL REPORT Summary

Total State Allocation \$ 15,000,324.00 (1)

Program Type	State <u>Oropout Funds</u>	Total Other Funding Sources (3)	Progr am Budget Total
In-School Suspension	8,291,468	2,264,003	10,555,471
Job Placement Center	834,845	1,153,233	2,008,078
Alternative School	185,093	212,427	397,520
Extended Schoo! Day	163,468	1,307,454	1,470,922
High-risk Counseling	3,849,182	1,012,969	4,862,151
Academic Remediation	436,320	731,572	1,167,892
Transition	10,798	38,562	49,360
Other	977,784	379,382	1,357,166
TOTAL	14,748,958 (2)	7,099,602	21,848,560

⁽¹⁾ The amount includes the original 1985 General Assembly allocation of \$13.7 million, plus an additional allocation of \$1.3 million to compensate for salary increases.

⁽³⁾ Other funding sources include: Community-Based Alternatives, Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Act, Job Training Partnership Act Title II-A, and other local and federal funds.



⁽²⁾ The total includes the amount of funds obligated to date; a balance of \$251,366 is unobligated by LEAs.

PART II LEA PROFILE OF FUNDING AND SERVICES



STATE DROPOUT PREVENTION FUNDS ANNUAL REPORT LEA PROGRAMS AND FUNDING

	ANNUAL									* SERVED	
	HS 04-05	TOTAL DOP	IN-SCHOOL	JOB PLACE	ALTERNATE	EXTENDED	HIGH-RISK	ACADEMIC		4S OF	TOTAL DOP \$
Code LEA	RATE (%)	FUNDS	SUSPENSION	CENTER	SCHOOL	DAY	COUNSELING	REMEDIATION TRANSITION	Rahto	1-15-86	OBLIGATED
		4.44 443 44	\$142.023 00							1,709	\$142,023 0 0
10 ALAMANCE	1	\$142.023 00					\$41,165 OB	\$5,599 00		485	807,134 00
11 Burtington	5 8	895,279 00 867,246 00					\$67.246 00			550	867,246 00
20 ALEXANDER	6 3 5 3	\$20,207 00					***************************************			10	\$25,207 00
30 ALLEGHANY 40 ANSON	,,,	169,316 00					\$24.009 00		\$7,136 00	132	169,336 00
50 ASHE	7 0	\$53.365 00		\$11,178 00			\$21,541 00		\$20.646 00	99	153,365 00
60 AVERY	7.6	139,144 11					\$25.912 00			314	139,444 00
70 BEAUFORT	5 4	160.475 00							860.475 00	110	860.475 00
71 Washington	19	852,104 00		813, 157 00		\$5,164 00	\$22,417 00		\$4.986 00	200	852.104 00
OO BERTIE	7 1	850.494 00					858,494 00			520	850,494 00
90 BLADEN	6 7	104,208 00				\$25.288 00			115,000 00	197	\$84,288 89
100 BRUNSWICK		8115,062 00					1115,062 00				\$115,062 00
110 BUNCOMBE	6 1	\$299,026 00							1299,026 00	162	1299.026 00
111 Asheville	7 1	\$66.622 00								620	166.622 00
120 BURKE	1 3	\$172,607 00									\$172,607 00
130 CABARRUS	11	8160.700 00					\$10,647 00	\$37 171 00		120	\$160,700 00
132 Kanaapolis	9 5	862,972 0			CODY	41/41/45/	_			170	862.972 00
140 CALDWELL	9 3	8174,560 00		DE21	LUPT	AVAILABLE	\$73,124 00			109	8174,402 00
150 CAMBEN	16	\$20.207 0					\$28.287 00			20	\$20,287 00
160 CARTERET	11	8103,670 0)						83	\$103,670 00
170 CASWELL	15	853,610 0						131 610 00		256	853,610 00
100 CATAWDA	6 2	8175.022 0								680	8175.022 00
101 Hickory	9 5	159,580 m							\$59,580 00	13	859.500 00
102 Newton	1.5	840.041 4		16.570 00				12.000 OV	\$13,796 00	205	\$40,041 00
190 CHATHAM	6.1	879.092 0					\$10.292 00			79	\$79,092 00
200 CHEROKEE	1 2	850,950 0					\$34,480 00			235	350,950 00
210 CHOWAN	16	\$32,918.0		\$32.910 00						65	632.910 00
220 CLAY	5 5	\$20,207 0					\$11,626 00			100	328,207 00
230 CLEVELAND	5 8	8117,057 0					\$8,238 00		\$10,478.00	1,536	\$117,057 00
231 Kings Mt	6.1	857.097 0					\$10,946 00				857.097 00
232 Shelby	5 2	850.638 0								173	150.630 00
240 COLUMBUS	12	8110.245 0		\$110,245 00						342	\$110,245 00
241 Whiteville	7 2	137.056 0						\$17,556.00	\$19.500 00	05	837.056 00
250 CRAVEN	1 7	\$185,212 0		\$22.511 00			\$36.866 00			169	\$105,212 00
260 CUMBERLAND	5 6	8507,373 0								1.636	1507,373 00
270 CURRITUCK	11	830.407 0				84,160 00	\$26,247 00	1		151	130,407 00

ERIC

	•	•							•	•	D SERVET)
	AMMUAL							ACAREMIC			AS OF	TOTAL DOP \$
	HS 84-85	TOTAL DOP	IN-SCHOOL	JOD PLACE.	ALTERNATE	EXTENDED	HIGH-RISK	ACADEMIC REMEDIATION	TRANSITION	OTHER	1-15-86	OBLIGATED
Code LEA	RATE (1)	FUNDS	SUSPENS'ON	CENTER	SCHOOL	DAY	COUNSELING	MEMENTHITUM	111111111111		**	434 736 44
CAAA eru							17,707 00			\$27,029 00	53	\$34,736 00 \$217,004.00
260 DARE	\$ 6	134,736 00	A.74 741 AA				143,063 00				1,304	845,971 00
199 BVAID20M	5 0	0217,804.00	0174,741.00				\$23,009 60	\$22,002 90				435,482.00
291 Lexiogico	0.5	045 971 00	022,215 00				\$13,267 00				173	\$65,767.00
292 Themesville	6,4	\$35,402 00	032,967 00	\$17.036 00			\$15,764 00				133	
JOO DAVIE	6.3	\$65,767 00	190,222 00	\$21,891 00							624	\$112,023.60
310 007118	7 5	0112,023 00	1134,590 00	•••••						192,500 00	1,667	\$227,090.00
JEO DURNAM	6 6	0227,090.00 3117,097.00	154,063 00	\$16,015 00			122,590 01	\$23,621 00			796	8117,097 00
321 Derben	12 6 8 4	074.058 00	\$19,429 00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			\$55,429 00				206	174,850 00
330 EBGECOMBE	1 0	\$43,705.00	\$11,997 00				\$31,700 00				29	\$43,705.00
331 Terbere	4 6	1534,401.00	0534,401.00								1,399	1534,401 00
340 FORSYTH	12	\$60,611 00	360,611 00								180	\$60,611.00
350 FRANKLIN	9 1	\$20.267 00	\$20,207 00								67	120,281.00
351 Freehlielee 360 GASTON	11	8437,561 00	\$416,096 00			18,290 00	\$13,176 00				1,400	1437,562 00
370 GATES	6.3	120,267 00		\$24,759 00			\$3.52 0 00				36	\$26,207 00
	, ,	120,207 00	10,031 00				\$19,456 00				138	128.387 00
300 GRAHAM	, ,	\$91,792 00	\$67,530 00				\$24,262 00				670	191,792 00
390 GRANVILLE	9.2	139,703 00	.,				\$7,067.00			132,716 00	• 3	\$39,783 00
400 GREENE	5 5	0323,056 00	\$323,056 00	0504							1,830	1323,056 20
410 GUILFORD	5 3	\$296,285 00	\$209.367 00	BF21	COPY AV	ALLARIF						1209,367 00
411 Greenshorn 412 High Paint	1 1	0117,477 00	•			MENDEL	\$117,477 00				126	\$117,477 00
420 MALIFAX	, ,	193,067.00	831,022 80				\$31.022 00				291	193,066 00
421 Recebe Repidi		136.025 00	132.067 00				\$3,958 00				505	836,025 00
422 Welden	12 3	\$28,207 0 0		\$20.287 00							95	126,207 00
410 HARNETT	1 2	\$156,053.00					\$40,300 00			110,403 00	1,450	\$156,053.00 \$110,590.00
440 HAYDOO	11	\$110,590 00			\$110,590 00						135	\$110,700.00
450 HENDERSON	1.5	\$110,700 00									#31 164	\$20,207 00
451 Mendersonville		\$20,207.00		.2,560 00			\$17,787 00		\$1,200 00	86,000 00	25 0 27 3	152,069 00
460 HERTFORD	11	\$50,617 00		\$26.265 +0						126,604 00	373	167.653 00
470 HOKE	11	\$67,653 00		\$11,000.00			\$33,430 00	1			313 47	\$20,207 00
460 HYDE	1.1	\$20,207 00)							520	153,290 00
490 IREDELL	7 9	0130,021 00	\$49,245 00				113,053 01	1			163	130,529 00
491 Meereaville	, ,	\$30,529 00	010,959 00	011,570 00							99	146,337 00
492 Statesville	4.5	846,337 00	046,337 00				414 104 41				,,, 10	552,546 00
500 JACKSON	6.6	852,551 00						112,011 00	19,598 00		667	\$193,672 00
510 JOHNSTON	1.4	0190,572 00					\$23.392 00				140	\$20,207 00
520 JONES	1.1	\$28.207 00					\$17.582 00)			497	\$101,019 00
530 LEE	5 7	\$101,019 00				\$13,020 00	1				627	\$87,004.00
540 LENOIR	6.5	107.004 0									223	166,560 00
541 Riestes	1.2	166.568	\$40,013.00	\$10,555 }0)			•			166	\$119,404 00
550 LINCOLN	6 9	0119,404 0				\$29,061 00					73	\$27,702 00
C "10 MACON	5 0	\$49,010 0	819,125 0 0)			88,577 0				74	130,956 00
RIC70 MADISON	9 5	130,956 0			_		\$30,199 0				203	074,004 00
at Provided by ERIC DO MARTIN	5.9	874,085.0	011,856 0	819,112 00)		843,116 0	,			(43	
												14

			,	•							•	•	• SERVED AS OF	TOTAL BOP \$
			ANNUAL HS 84-85	TOTAL DOP	IN-SCHOOL	JOB PLACE.	ALTERNATE	EXTENDED	HIGH-RISK	ACADEMIC		OTHER	1-15-86	OBLIGATED
	Code	1 CA	RATE (1)	FUNDS	SUSPENSION	CENTER	SCHOOL	DAY	COUNSEL ING	REMEDIATION	TRANSITION	OTHER	1-17-00	ODCIONICO
	COUR	LL.											376	195,374 00
	508	MCBOWELL	7 9	195,374 00	071,097 00	\$12,341 00	\$1,177 00	19,959 0					i , 000	1979.960.00
		MECKLENDURG	1 2	1979,960 00					1661,000 0			15,624 00	342	134,247 00
		MITCHELL	7 9	134,247 00	13,430 00	15,303 00			\$19,810 0	0		151,277 00	176	050,277.00
		MONICOMENY	1.4	158,277.00								030,211 W	204	\$120,245 00
		WOORE	6.3	0120,245.00		\$10,304.00		\$24,784 0		\$26.055 00			376	\$140,080 00
		WASH	1.0	8140,00% 00		817,034 00			853,582 0				153	179,742.00
		Rocky M1.	0.5	179,743.00		821,757 90			\$39,226 0	0 \$12,759 00		16,000 00	072	1256,740.00
		NEM HUNOAEL	11	1250,740 00									₩1.6	150,929.00
		HORTHAMPTON	5 5	150,929 00					\$58,929 0				745	
		OUSLOW	1 2	8213,760 00				\$20,991 0	8119,834 0	1			725	1210,709.00
		ORANGE	1.9	160,630 00									532	160,630 00
		Chapel Hell	3 1	173,230.00									150	173,230 00
		PANLICO	1 1	128,060 00										120,060 00
		PASQUOTANK	11	869,471 00		817,280 00			\$57,191 (54	869,471 00 863,650.00
		PENOER	9.1	163,650 6		1		\$22,751 0	0 \$26,245	0			414	
		PEROFIMANS	1.1	\$28.207 00		828,287 00							36	120,207.00
		PERSON	6.1	\$73,710 0		1			\$17,396				373	873,710 00
		PITT	1 2	\$157,369.00					883,707 (941	8157,369 00
		Greenville	11	169,024 0)	\$12,626 00		824,486	00 \$15,956 06			240	169,024.00
		POLK	11	120,207 0)							18	120,287.00
		Tryee	5 0	120,207 0					\$28,287					820,237 00
		RANDOLPH	9.4	8170,590.0)			862,482	0 0			i ,553	8170,589.00
		Asheboro	7.1	049,349 0)						\$23,224 00	111	149,349.00
		R I CHOOMS	6.7	0123,475.0		813,437 09			\$14.271	00			614	1110,301 00
		ROBESON	1	1200,029.0)							778	\$190,190.00
		Fairment	10.0	831,107 0)			\$11,010	1			13	831,167 00
		Lombertee	6.9	159,173.0					\$33.877	90			209	159,173.00
		Red Springs	1.0	\$28,207 0					83,883	00			103	120,207 00
		SI Pools	6.7	\$20,207.0					824,287	08				020,207.00
		ROCKINGHAM	11.5	149,960.0					149,960	01			136	149,960 00
		Edeo	7.6	150,454 0)			\$7,232	01		121,112 00	64	150,454 00
		W. Rockingbo							\$16.273	00			●5	051,615 00
		Reidsville		153,026.0			ı					1301 00	100	153,026 00
		ROWAN	71	0103,964.0									930	8103,964 00
		l Selisbery	1 4	133,325.0			\$21,057 00)		\$12,268 80			34	133,325.00
		RUTHERFORD	0.4			\$20.034 04	816,635 00)	\$10,404	00 \$86,534 88			734	8142,457.00
		§ • №08	1.1										106	191,900.00
	_	l Minim	4.7						19,345	08			99	830,101 00
		SCOTLAND	10.6			•			\$103,922					8103,922 00
		O STABLY	7.1						\$91,778				194	091,778 00
			0.5			•							100	020,207 00
		1 Albomovio	6.1)						39	890,504 00
		O STOKES O SURRY	0.6									\$2,000 00	••	8111,575.00
FI	RĬC	o sonny 1 Elbio	3,8				RF21 CU	PY AVAII	ABLE			-	100	020,287 00
Full Tex	Provided by ERIC	1 [1510 4 M4 Ainn	6.0			•		············	-404E . 201	••				020,287.00
		2 Ml. Airy		************	•									

Code LEA	ANTRIAL HS 84-85 RATE (I)	TOTAL DOP FUNDS	IN-SCHOOL Suspension	JOB PLACE. CENTER	ALTERNATE SCHOOL	EXTENDED Day	HIGH-RISK COUNSELING	ACADEMIC REMEDIATION	TRANSITICA	OTHER	O SERVED AS OF 1-15-86	TOTAL BOP \$
070 SWAIR	9.5	\$20,287 00					828.287 (00			133	820,287.00
OSO TRANSTLYANIA	6.1	856,676.00	825,626 00	80.050 00	\$23,000 00							856,676.00
890 TYRRELL	5.6	\$28,287.00	85,002 00	821.555 00							66	826,597.00
SOS UNIOR	6.9	\$165,293.00	8165,293.00								731	\$165,293.00
901 Hourse	11	\$02,103.00									90	842,103.00
910 VANCE	5.5	8104,207.00	\$26,052 00	826,052 00			852,183 (04			1,006	8104,207.00
920 WARE	6.0	8777,551.00					\$352,218			857,133 00	3,174	1777,551.00
930 WARREN	1.1	802,931.00	• -				\$42,931 (431,133 44	392	142,931.00
940 WASHINGTON	1 1	840,597.00		840,597 00				•			93	140,597.00
950 WATA 06 A	6.6	863,210 00		122,000 00						841,216 00	70	
960 WAYNE	1 5	8180,354.00								**1,210 00	414	803,216.00
962 Caldabara	1.5	867,056.00	•								930	8100,354.00
970 WILKES	0.1	8150,544 00					805,490 0	18		\$26,273 00	126 994	\$42,490.60 \$148,229.00
980 WILSON	6,4	8170,470.00					8170,476			*2*,213 **	774	
990 YADRIB	7.3	867,003.00					\$15,645	-			974	8170,476.00
995 YANCEY	• •	837,775 00	-	810.255 00			V, 2, 0 4 3 4	•			370	887,842 60 837,775.00
TOTALS	!	6}5,000,326 0 0	88,291,466 0e	8834,645 00	£185.093 00	£ 163.46£ (0 83.049.182 0	50 - 1436,320 0 0	£18 74£ 88	4877 784 88	(¢ 444 +1	12 788 868 88

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PART III EXPLANATION OF DROPOUT PREVENTION PROGRAMS

IN-SCHOOL SUSPENSION

P'.rpose:

The in-school suspension program is designed for those students who need to be provided opportunities to develop the degree of self-discipline required to take advantage of the school's academic program. The program provides a practical alternative to out-of-school suspension and can be an important aspect to the school's overall approach to discipline.

Objectives:

To reduce the number of "out-of-school" suspensions.

To identify causes of students' maladjustment with consequent referral for assistance to proper personnel and agencies.

To provide a learning and therapeutic environment within the school for students with problems which normally would lead to suspension.

Approach or Elements:

Program placement determined by the school principal or his/her designee based on a <u>suspendable</u> offense or action on the part of a student.

Interaction among administrator, teacher, and counselor regarding resolution of student's behavior and adjustment problem.

Required continuation or make-up of academic work by the individual student with assistance from the teacher.

Evaluation Procedures:

Compare numbers of failures, dropouts, and suspensions in school year 1984-85 with those in 1985-86.

Compare attendance, discipline referrals and grades for a randomly-selected group of students who have been assigned to in-school suspension.



IN-SCHOOL SUSPENSION PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$8,291,468

LEAs Providing Programs: 90

Students Served: 30,000

Group(s) Served:

- . Discipline Problems
- . Habitual Absentees/Truants
- . Students with Multiple Suspensions/Expulsions
- . Low Achievers
- . Substance Abusers
- . Students with Low Self-concept
- . Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- . Students with Family History of Dropping Out
- . Handicapped
- . Pregnant School Girls

Staff Employed:

- . 250 Full-time
- . 50 Part-time



JOB PLACEMENT CENTERS

Purpose:

Job placement centers are designed to address the individual needs of potential dropouts and actual school dropouts who are recruited back to school. The enrollees are those students most in need of program services. These would include students who are:

frequently absent; truant; disruptive in school; functioning academically two or more grade levels below expectancy level; encountering home problems; having personal problems (drug abuse, alcohol, legal, etc.); and in need of pre-employment skills and/or employment.

Objectives:

To identify and make available to students a total education-work experience program to include employment -- subsidized and unsubsidized; part- as well as full-time; and temporary.

To improve access to available academic, vocational and remedial in-school programs.

To facilitate access to out-of-school employment and training programs available to students.

Approach or Elements:

Student involvement in work-experience programs.

Educational and work experience activities co aid and enhance students' transition from school into the labor market.

Development of support for individual educational and employability developmental plans.

Evaluation Procedures:

Monitor student progress on Education Employability Development Plan (EEDP).

Hold follow-up conferences with teachers, parents, employers, and other agency personnel.

Collect data on the number of clients served and the number of clients in the market place.



22

JOB PLACEMENT CENTER PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$834,845

LEAs Providing Programs: 36

Students Served: 2,169

Group(s) Served:

- Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- Low Achievers
- . Habitual Absentees/Truants
- . Students with Low Self-concept
- Discipline Problems
- . Students with Family History of Dropping Out
- Handicapped
- . Pregnant School Girls

Staff Employed:

- 47 Full-time
- 2 Part-time



ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL

Purpose:

This program offers an alternative learning approach for high school, middle school and junior high school dropouts, potential dropouts, and students with behavioral problems. It is generally located in a setting of its own in an attempt to create an environment more conducive to learning for capable students who have demonstrated that they cannot make satisfactory progress in the traditional school setting or cannot return to the regular setting.

Objectives:

To provide an isolated setting so that students may remain in school and on task even if they have been expelled from regular school attendance for the school year.

To help high-risk students re-enter the regular school program, graduate from the alternative school program and continue their education or enter the work force.

To redirect undesirable behavior; to reduce the disruptive behavior of students (as a symptom) and student dropouts (the problem).

Approlen or Elements:

Individualized or small-group instruction for identified students.

Strong guidance component.

Remedial instruction where academic deficiencies exist.

Reduction of student/teacher ratios and provision of flexible schedules to create a successful learning environment.

Evaluation Procedures:

Compare and analyze results of teacher assessments and standardized tests to determine student progress.

Conduct follow-up surveys of students involved in the program.

Gather and analyze quarterly information on behavior, attendance, academic failures, school dropouts, suspensions and expulsions.



ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$185,093

LEAs Providing Programs: 6

Students Served: 471

Group(s) Served:

- Low Achievers
- . Habitual Absentees/Truants
- . Students with Family History of Dropping Out
- . Students with Low Self-concept
- . Discipline Problems
- . Students with Multiple Suspensions/Expulsions
- . Pregnant School Girls
- . Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- . Substance Abusers

Staff Employed:

- . 14 Full-time
- . 18 Part-time



EXTENDED SCHOOL DAY PROGRAM

Purpose:

The extended school day program is an alternative Education program. It is primarily designed to provide dropouts and potential dropouts ages 16-21 years of age with the opportunity to complete high school in an environment suitable for meeting their needs. The program emphasizes preparing students for the world of work upon completion of high school.

Objectives:

To provide students with alternative means of completing requirements for high school graduation.

To enhance and reinforce the educational experience for those students who have experienced difficulty in the conventional school program.

To increase the number of students who graduate from high school.

Approach or Elements:

Credits earned from successful employment.

Classes scheduled in late afternoor, and evening to accommodate the working student.

Assistance provided in job placement.

Evaluation Procedures:

Conduct periodic monitoring (Department of Public Instruction and the Division of Employment and Training).

Survey the number of dropouts registered in the program with focus on achievement level.

Focus on normal class evaluation, dropout rate and number of students successfully completing needed time and instruction.



EXTENDED DAY PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$163,048

LEAs Providing Programs: 8

Students Served: 671

Group(s) Served:

- . Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- . Low Achievers
- . Habitual Absentees/Truants
- . Pregnant School Girls
- . Discipline Problems
- . Students with Family History of Dropping Out
- . Students with Low Self-concept
- . Students with Multiple Suspensions/Expulsions
- . Handicapped

Staff Employed:

- . 11 Full-time
- . 36 Part-time



COUNSELING THE HIGH-RISK STUDENT

Purpose:

Counseling services for high-risk students focus on early

identification of students with potential problems and on early

intervention techniques.

Objectives:

To strengthen the students' self-esteem by providing sufficient experiences to enable students to see themselves as capable and worthwhile individuals no matter what their past experiences have been.

To assist in creating an instructional environment which will allow students to experience success and achievement, thus avoiding repeated failure.

To develop an awareness among school staff of problems facing high-risk students.

Approach or Elements:

Early identification of high-risk students to determine plans for intervention.

Structured counseling (individual and group) and amelioration of problems that may inhibit success in school.

Staff oevelopment for school personnel to increase awareness of problems faced by high-risk students--for example, substance abuse, teenage pregnancy, behavioral problems and academic failure.

Evaluation Procedures:

Compile data regarding number of students served by the program during the year utilizing a statistical breakdown by age, sex, race, and discipline referral.

Compile data regarding number of students who improved their behavior as documented on follow-up evaluation forms completed by teachers of students assigned to the high-risk counseling program.

Use principals' monthly reports of student accounting, counselor interview records, personal teacher and student interviews, and follow-up to determine program's positi 2 effect on the dropout rate.



COUNSELING THE HIGH-RISK STUDENT PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$3,849,182

LEAs Providing Programs: 75

Students Served: 10,632

Group(s) Served:

- . Low Achievers
- . Habitual Absentees/Truants
- . Discipline Problems
- . Students with Low Self-concept
- . Students with Family History of Dropping Out
- . Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- . Students with Multiple Suspensions/Expulsions
- . Handicapped
- . Pregnant School Girls
- . Substance Abusers

Staff Employed:

- . 150 Full-time
- . ²⁵ Part-time



ACADEMIC REMEDIATION

Purpose:

Academic remediation programs provide intensive remedial instruction for high-risk students. Specific goals include providing a comprehensive instructional program to keep high-risk students in school, identifying and correcting their learning deficiencies in the basic academic skills and providing an introduction to the world of work.

Objectives:

To provide a structured invironment where meaningful learning can occur.

To identify high-risk students who will be subjected to intense individualized instruction.

To reduce the number of students failing the State Competency Test.

To reduce the number of students attending summer school.

To reduce the number of students failing math, science, and language arts.

Approach or Elements:

Diagnosis of academic weaknesses of individual students as related to the school curriculum.

Intense remediation on an individual basis.

Remedial labs in reading and/or math for high-risk students.

Remedial English teacher in each high school for students at risk.

Evaluation Procedures:

Compare pre- and post-program failure rates, test scores (math and English), and the number of students passing the competency test.

Collect data by way of a follow-up checklist between tutor and regular classroom teacher on conduct, attitude and classroom performance.

Review academic records of high-risk students each grading period; monitor attendance records of high-risk students.



ACADEMIC REMEDIATION PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$436,320

LEAs Providing Programs: 18

Students Served: 1,161

Group(s) Served:

- . Low Achievers
- . Habitual Absentees/Truants
- . Students with Low Self-concept
- . Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- . Students with Family History of Dropping Out
- . Discipline Problems
- . Pregnant Students
- . Students with Multiple Suspensions/Expulsions
- . Substance Abusers

Staff Employed:

- . 25 Full-time
- . 15 Part-time



TRANSITION PROGRAMS

Purpose:

Transition programs provide coordination and linkage for existing special and supportive services to insure a successful move from school to work. To illustrate this concept, two current projects in operation are:

- Project "HELP" (Handicapped Employment Linkage Program).
- School-to-work Transition Project: training and services to students who desire to enter the full-time labor market upon graduation.

Objectives:

Project HELP - To improve service delivery and job placement for handicapped students through vocational skills training and technical assistance.

School-to-work Transition Project - To provide occupational information and job search assistance to high school seniors and dropouts who desire employment upon graduation.

To provide follow-up services as soon as possible for seniors and dropouts upon leaving the program.

Approach or Elements:

Involvement of parents in the design and implementation of a written, formal transition plan.

Involvement of special and vocational educators, the employment sector, parents, and students.

Restructuring of services among agencies to eliminate duplication and enhance employment training and placement programs.

Evaluation Procedures:

Conduct follow-up interviews with those involved in program.

Observe pre- and post-behavior, social adjustment, and academic achievement.

Compare school attendance records; monitor improvement in student work habits.



TRANSITION PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$10,798

LEAs Providing Programs: 2

Students Served: 30

Group(s) Served:

- . Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- . Handicapped
- . Low Achievers
- . Habitual Absentees/Truants

Staff Employed:

. 3 Full-time



OTHER PROGRAMS

Purpose:

This category includes a wide range of programs:

- . Alternative Classrooms
- . Assistance to Pregnant Students
- . Assistance to Single Parents
- . Dropout Prevention Identification
- . Parent Involvement
- . Coordination and Liaison
- . Visiting Teacher

Objectives:

To identify, assess, and resolve difficulties which may interfere with a student's attendance, adjustment, and achievement in school.

To provide professional assistance to chronic absentees.

To reduce or limit the number of juvenile petitions.

Approach or Elements:

Home visits by teacher counselors to provide instructional support; provision of transportation and any additional strategies that are parent/child centered.

Opportunities for success through counseling, tutoring, small group activities, parental contact, referrals to community resources and the implementation of an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) for high-risk students.

Evaluation Procedures:

Review and update IEPs; compare pre- and post-progress data regarding grades during each grading period.

Observe student behavior and social interaction; monitor each student's individual academic progress.

Review quarterly reports submitted to collaborative committee and vocational director comparing status of program with stated objectives.

Collect data from student attitude survey to assess program usefulness.



OTHER PROGRAM DATA

Total Funds Obligated: \$977,784

LEAs Providing Programs: 24

Students Served: 2,883

Group(s) Served:

- Habitual Absentees/Truants
- . Economically/Socially Disadvantaged
- . Students with Family History of Dropping Out
- . Handicapped
- . Students with Low Self-concept
- . Discipline Problems
- . Students with Multiple Suspensions/Expulsions
- . Substance Abusers
- . Pregnant School Girls

Staff Employed:

- 25 Full-time
- . 10 Part-time



APPENDIX



STATE DROPOUT PREVENTION FUNDS ANNUAL REPORT

Instructions

Summary - Form DOP-1

- 1. <u>LEA Information</u> Include the name, address and telephone number of the person on the central office staff who is responsible for coordinating dropout prevention services in the LEA. The form must be dated and signed by the superintendent.
- 2. Budget Indicate the total state allocation received by the LEA and list the budget for those programs supported either in full or in part by these funds. Include also the total amount from other sources which is used to operate each of the programs (Individual program budgets are requested in item 9, Form DOP-2).
- 3. Planning Information Items a through e have been included to assist our staff in securing information planning and improvement of dropout prevention services. Please be specific as possible in responding to these questions.

Program Description - Form DOP-2

Complete one copy of Form DOP-2 for each program supported in full or in part by the state dropout prevention funds. Make additional copies of Form DOP-2 as needed by your LEA. A program may cover one position or a number of positions providing the same service. Attach completed copies of Form DOP-2 to Form DOP-1 and submit as one report.

- 1. Program Type Check the type of program to be described on the form. If the types listed do not accurately identify your program, specify the type under other.
- 2. Program Description Briefly describe the program provided and state the goals, objectives, strategies and procedures for evaluation. Under progress indicators, provide any data available on the effect of the program on students. For example, actual reductions in suspensions, expulsions, absenteeism; improvement in grades or test scores, etc. Include additional pages if necessary.
- Number of Students Served In the first blank, list the actual number of students served from the beginning of the program during the current school year to January 15, 1986. This figure should be a cumulative, unduplicated count. In the second blank, include an estimate of the number to be served during the entire 1985-86 school term.
- 4. <u>Target Group(s)</u> In this section, indicate the groups of students the program is designed to serve.
- 5. <u>Identification/Selection Procedures</u> List the procedures used by your <u>LEA</u> to identify and select students for the program described. Such



procedures may include specific grade, test and attendance records or behavior problems; recommendations from teachers/principals, school committees, student service personnel, etc.

- 6. Location(s) Name school(s) or other site(s) in which the program is located and the grade level(s) served.
- 7. Staffing List the positions employed from state dropout prevention funds to staff the program (teacher, counselor, job placement specialist, etc.). Indicate also the number of positions, either full-time or part-time, and the type of certification required by the LEA.
- 8. Program Duration Indicate the length of the program.
- 9. Program Budget Indicate the amount of the state allocation designated for this program. List other funding sources and amounts which provide additional support. For example, vocational education, handicapped (state-aid, Title VI-B), Chapter 1, migrant, or JTPA.

Submission Requirements

Submit two copies of the total report by February 7, 1986, to:

Ms. Anne Bryan
Assistant Director for Dropout Prevention
Division of Support Programs
Department of Public Instruction
116 W. Edenton Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-1712
Phone: (919) 733-6286



STATE DROPOUT PREVENTION FUNDS ANNUAL REPORT Summary

1.	LEA	Central Office Dropo Prevention Coordinat Address	or	TitlePhone
	SuperintendentSignatu	re	Date	
2.	BUDGET for programs suppo	rted in full or in par description (FORM DOP-	t by state dropout prevention funds 2) for each area listed below.	. Attach a
	Total State Allocation \$_	*		
	Program Type	State Dropout Funds	Total Other Funding Sources	Program Budget Total
	In-School Suspension			
	Job Placement Center			
	Alternative School			
	Extended School Day			
	High-risk Counseling			
	Academic Remediation			
	Transition			
	Other, specify			

*Amounts should be the same.



What types of services from the Department of Public Instruction would be most helpful to you in 3. strengthening the quality of your dropout prevention services? Please check appropriate areas and provide specific recommendations. Program consultation Staff development in areas such as: Identification of model programs Development of resource materials Others, please be as specific as possible In the existing program, are there problems (other than insufficient funding) which limit the b. provision of effective services? If so, please explain. If additional funds were available for expansion of dropout prevention services, how would you anticipate using them? What is your most pressing need? Has a dropout prevention task force or advisory group been appointed in your LEA or community? If so, what is the membership representation (school program personnel, service agencies, business

42

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

and industrial groups, etc.)?

1.10 B

STATE DROPOUT PREVENTION FUNDS ANNUAL REPORT Program Description

1.	<u>Program Type</u>										
	In-School Suspension Job Placement Center Alternative School	Extended School Day High-Risk Counseling Academic Remediation	Transition Other, specif								

2. Program Description

Summary -

Goal -

Objectives -

Strategies -

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Evaluation Procedures -

Progress Indicators -



<pre>Location(s) Site(s)</pre>	Grade Level(s)
Method of Identification/Selection	
Students with Multiple Suspensions/ Expulsions	
Habitual Abseniees/Truants	Others, Specify
Discipline Problems	Pregnant School Girls
Handicapped	Students with Low Self-concept
Low Achievers Concentration Type Socially Disadvantaged	Substance Abusers Students with Family History of Dropping Out
Target Group(s)	Culatorea Abusans

ERIC

7.	Staffing				
	Position Title		ber <u>Part-time</u>	Certification Required By 1	<u>. E</u>
					_
8.	Program Duration				
	9 months 1	0 months	12 months	Other, specify	_
9.	Program Budget				
	a. Amount of state allo	cation \$			
	b. Other funding source	s and amounts			
	Sour	ce		Amount	
					

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Total