DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 267 691 HE 019 159

TITLE Independent Higher Education in California,

1982-1984. Commission Report 85-33.

INSTITUTION California State Postsecondary Education Commission,

Sacramento.

PUB DATE 9 Sep 85

NOTE 46p.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

LDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College Instruction; *College Students; Degrees

(Academic); *Enrollment Trends; Federal Aid; Higher Education; *Private Colleges; Private Financial

Support; State Aid; *Student Financial Aid

IDENTIFIERS *California

ABSTRACT

Financial conditions at 51 private colleges and universities in California are reported for 1977-1985. The following seven indicators are covered: fall enrollment, the percentage of current revenue represented by the difference between revenue and current expenditures, the percentage of annual expenditures for educational and general purposes represented by income from tuition and student fees; the percentage of educational and general expenditures represented by current revenue from gifts and private grants; the percentage of educational and general expenditures represented by direct expenditures for instruction; state, federal, and institutional funds awarded to students; and numbers of degrees granted. Enrollment trends are provided for first-time freshmen, total undergraduate and graduate students, and part-time and full-time students. Information is provided on the following types of financial aid: institutional grants, Cal Grants and graduate fellowships, federal grants and College Work-Study aid, Guaranteed Student Loans, and National Direct Student Loans. Implications of these trends for California's private colleges are also discussed. Data are provided on state and federal student financial aid. (SW)







CAL POS EDU

RI(

CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION

THE California Postsecondary Education Commission is a citizen board established in 1974 by the Legislature and Governor to coordinate the efforts of California's colleges and universities and to provide independent, non-partisan policy analysis and recommendations to the Governor and Legislature.

Members of the Commission

The Commission consists of 15 members. Nir epresent the general public, with three each appointed for six-year terms by the Governor, the Senate Rules Committee, and the Speaker of the Assembly. The other six represent the major segments of postsecondary education in California.

As of 1985, the Commissioners representing the general public are:

Seth P. Brunner, Sacramento, Chairperson
C. Thomas Dean, Long Beach
Seymour M. Farber, M.D., San Francisco
Patricia Gandara, Sacramento
Ralph J. Kaplan, Los Angeles
Roger C. Pettitt, Los Angeles
Sharon N. Skog, Mountain View
Thomas E. Stang, Los Angeles, Vice Chairperson
Stephen P. Teale, M.D., Modesto

Representatives of the segments are:

Sheldon W. Andelson, Los Angeles; representing the Regents of the University of California

Claudia H. Hampton, Los Angeles: representing the Trustees of the California State University

Peter M. Finnegan, San Francisco: representing the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges

Jean M. Leonard, San Mateo; representing California's independent colleges and universities

Darlene M. Laval, Fresno; representing the Council for Private Postsecondary Educational Institutions

Angie Papadakis, Palos Verdes; representing the California State Board of Education

Functions of the Commission

The Commission is charged by the Legislature and Governor to "assure the effective utilization of public postsecondary education resources, thereby eliminating waste and unnecessary duplication, and to promote diversity, innovation, and responsive less to student and societal needs."

To this end, the Commission conducts independent reviews of matters affecting the 2,500 institutions of postsecondary education in California, including Community Colleges, four-year colleges, universities, and professional and occupational schools.

As an advisory planning and coordinating body, the Commission does not administer or govern any institutions, nor does it approve, authorize, or accredit any of them. Instead, it cooperates with other state agencies and non-governmental groups that perform these functions, while operating as an independent board with its own staff and its own specific duties of evaluation, coordination, and planning,

Operation of the Commission

The Commission holds regular meetings throughout the year at which it debates and takes action on staff studies and takes positions on proposed legislation affecting education beyond the high school in California. By law, the Commission's meetings are open to the public. Requests to address ? Commission may be made by writing the Commission in advance or by submitting a request prior to the start of a meeting.

The Commission's day-to-day work is carried out by its staff in Sacramento, under the guidance of its director, Patrick M. Callan, who is appointed by the Commission.

The Commission issues some 30 to 40 reports each year on major issues confronting California postsecondary education. Recent reports are listed on the back cover.

Further information about the Commission, its meetings, its staff, and its publications may be obtained from the Commission offices at 1020 Twelfth Street, Second Floor, Sacramento, CA 98514; telephone (916) 445-783.



INDEPENDENT HIGHER EDUCATION IN CALIFORNIA 1982-1984



CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION 1020 Twelfth Street, Sacramento, California 95814



Commission Report 85-33 Adopted September 9, 1985



CONTENTS

			Page		
INT'RODU	CTIO	N	1		
ONE:	ENROLLMENT TRENDS				
	F	First-Time Freshmen	5		
	Τ	Cotal Undergraduates	5		
	P	Part-Time Undergraduates	6		
	T	Cotal Graduate Students	7		
	Τ	Cotal Full-Time Students	7		
	C	everall Enrollment Trends	8		
TWO:	NET	REVENUE RATIO	9		
THREE:	TUIT	TION AND FEES CONTRIBUTION RATIO	11		
FOUR:	GIF	TS AND GRANTS RATIO	13		
FIVE:	INST	TRUCTIONAL COSTS RATIO	15		
SIX:	FINA	ANCIAL AID FOR STUDENTS	17		
	I	nstitutional Grants	17		
	C	Cal Grants and Graduate Fellowships	20		
	F	ederal Grants and College Work-Study	21		
		tudent Borrowing Through Federal Direct Loans nd Guaranteed Loans	21		
	C	bservations	22		
SEVEN:	DEG	REES CONFERRED	23		
EIGHT:	CO.	CLUSIONS	27		
APPENDI:	X A:	Total State-Funded Financial Aid for Students at Selected Independent California Colleges and Univer- sities, Academic Years 1978-79, 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85, by Institutional Category	29		
APPENDI	Х В:	Federal and Federally Guaranteed Financial Aid for Students at Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities, Academic Years 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85, by Institutional Category	37		
REFEREN	CES		41		



TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
1.	Independent Institutions Studied by the Commission in 1981 and 1985, by Type	2
2.	Fall Enrollment in Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in 1978, 1982, 1983, and 1984 as Percentages of Fall 1976 Enrollment, by Institutional Category	6
3	Net Revenue Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category	9
4.	Tuition and Fees Contribution Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category	11
5.	Gifts and Grants Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category	13
6.	Instructional Costs Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category	15
7.	Financial Aid for Students at Sample Institutions, Academic Years 1978-79, 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85, by Type of Aid and Institution	18-19
8.	Degrees Conferred by Selected Independent Institutions, by Type of Institution and Degree, July 1978-June 1979 and July 1982-June 1983	24



INTRODUCTION

California's independently supported colleges and universities enroll approximately one-fourth of all students attending four-year colleges in California. Through their education, research, and other services, they contribute greatly to California's economy. Simply in terms of the number of their staff, together they constitute the State's fourth largest private employer, exceeded only by the Bank of America, Pacific Telesis, and Lockheed.

Thus, while the State has extended opportunities for students at publicly supported colleges and universities, it has repeatedly expressed its concern for independently supported institutions as an important part of the California system of higher education. In particular, in creating the California Postsecondary Education Commission in 1974, the Legislature and Governor directed it to report periodically to them "regarding the financial conditions of independent is titutions, their enrollment and application figures, the number of student spaces available, and the respective cost of utilizing those spaces as compared to providing additional public spaces," and to include in its reports "recommendations concerning state policies and programs having a significant impact on independent institutions" [Education Code Section 66903(19)].

This is the fourth report in this series. In large part, it follows the pattern of its predecessor, The Financial Condition of California's Independent Colleges and Universities, 1981, which the Commission published in February 1982. In that report, the Commission studied seven types of independent institutions by means of a sample of 51 of those institutions that were accredited by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges, and it compared trends among these types on their financial and enrollment conditions.

For the present report, the Commission sought data from the same 51 institutions, grouped them into the same seven categories listed in Table 1 on the next page, employed several of the same indicators of financial condition to continue this trend analysis, and added two types of data -- on financial aid and degrees awarded -- for the first time in the series. As in the earlier study, the Commission has converted raw dollar and enrollment figures for each institution into percentages and ratios, in order to compare such diverse institutions and compute average indicators for the seven clusters of institutions.

Of the sample of 51 institutions selected in the mid-1970's for this series of reports, only three have changed sufficiently to warrant possible shifts to a different category of the sample:

• Chapman College has developed an extensive network of teaching locations well beyond California that give it a total full-time-equivalent enrollment of well over the 3,500 students that serves as the upper limit of Comprehensive Universities II in Group Three, yet its programs continue to resemble those of other institutions in that group more than those with professional degree programs in Group Two.



-1-

Independent Institutions Studied by the Commission in 1981 TABLE 1 and 1985, by Tupe

GROUP ONE: Doctoral Research Universities (those with substantial endowment, graduate enrollment, research activity, and a variety of doctoral programs)

California Institute of Technology

Claremont Graduate School

Stanford University

University of Southern California

GROUP TWO: Comprehensive Universities I (those with total full-time equivalent enrollment over 3,500)

Golden Gate University Loyola Marymount University

National University Pepperdine University University of San Diego University of San Francisco University of Santa Clara University of the Pacific

GROUP THREE: Comprehensive Universities II (those with total full-time equivalent enrollment between 2,000 and 3,500)

Biola University Chapman Collage

St. Mary's College of California

United States International

University

University of La Verne

GROUP FOUR: Liberal Arts Colleges I (those with selective admission standards)

Claremont McKenna College Harvey Mudd College Mills College

Occidental College Pitzer College

Pomona College Scripps College University of Redlands

Whittier College

GROUP FIVE: Liberal Arts Colleges II (those with total full-time equivalent enrollment between 900 and 2,000)

Azusa Pacific University California College of Arts and Crafts Point Loma College California Lutheran College Mount St. Mary's College Northrop University

Pacific Union College West Coast University Westmont College

GROUP SIX: Liberal Arts Colleges III (those with total full-cime equivalent enrollment less than 900)

California Baptist College Cogswell College Dominican College of San Rafael Fresno Pacific College

Holy Names College Los Angeles Baptist College

Menlo College Pacific Christian College San Francisco Conservatory of Music Simpson College Southern California College

GROUP SEVEN: Specialized Institutions

Humphreys College John F. Kennedy University Pacific Oaks College

Southern California College of Optometry World College West

- Azusa Pacific University has grown larger than the enrollment parameters of the liberal arts colleges in Group Five and has a program as comprehensive as some universities in Group Three.
- Northrop University offers such a unique program and attracts such a unique clientele (well over half come from other countries for specialties focussed in aeronautics) that it might be classified as a specialized institution in Group Seven rather than among the liberal arts colleges of Group Five. Yet, it also operates a School of Law and a College of Business and Management that make it more varied than narrowly specialized.

Rather than changing the categorization of these three institutions, however, the Commission has chosen to retain the original groupings in order to maintain comparability among all the reports in the series.

It is not the purpose of the Commission in this series to point out signs of stress or distress in any individual institution. Instead, the Commission seeks to discern and discuss trends among institutions of somewhat similar type. The Commission is aware, however, that aggregate totals and group averages for theoretically similar institutions can conceal important signs of trouble or success at individual institutions. Therefore, in this report, it uses some information on individual institutions, here left unidentified, that does not stem directly from statistics presented in the tables but rather from institutional data out of which those tables have been formed.

The first seven sections of the report discuss trends in these data over recent years by using seven indicators of the financial condition of independent higher education in California:

- 1. Fall enrollment;
- 2. Net revenue ratio -- that is, the percentage of current revenue represented by the difference between revenue and current expenditures;
- Tuition and fees contribution ratio -- the percentage of annual expenditures for educational and general purposes represented by income from tuition and student fees;
- 4. Gifts and grants ratio -- the percentage of educational and general expenditures represented by current revenue from gifts and private grants;
- Instructional costs ratio -- the percentage of educational and general expenditures represented by direct expenditures for instruction;
- 6. State, federal, and institutional funds awarded to students; and
- 7. Numbers of degrees granted.

A final section discusses implications of these trends for California's independent institutions.

The Commission wishes to thank the officers of the 51 institutions included in this study for their care in submitting annual data through the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) of the United States Department of Education, and in particular, the Association of Independent California



 $\hat{\ }$ olleges and Universities, which has promptly provided information at several times during the study.



-4- 11

ONE

ENROLLMENT TRENDS

The first indicators of the financial condition of any college cr university consist of enrollment statis'ics. What is the nature and size of the new incoming class? What proportion of students enroll full time, thus paying full tuition and, in some cases, residence fees? How is the enrollment of graduate or professional students changing? These are some of the questions useful in analyzing enrollment characteristics.

Table 2 on page 6 presents data on these and other enrollment characteristics of the seven groups of California's independent institutions for Fall 1978, 1982, 1983, and 1984, as percentages of the same characteristics in Fall 1976. To obtain these percentages, Commission staff added together the enrollments of all the sampled institutions of each type and then divided these aggregate totals by the comparable tallies for Fall 1976.

FIRST-TIME FRESHMEN

With Fall 1976 as the base term, the seven groups of institutions show quite diverse patterns in enrollment of "first-time" freshmen -- those students with no previous college experience, and most of them recent high school graduates. As can be seen in column 1 of Table 2, the relatively large institutions of Group Three have registered impressive gains here, while Groups One and Two have sustained significant losses. The prestigious colleges in Group Four have recovered from losses suffered in first-time freshman enrollments at the turn of the decade, but Groups Five and Six show continuing signs of strain, with the percentages of Group Six steadily declining.

For the comprehensive universities in Group Two, the decline in new freshmen has been more than offset by enrollment growth in graduate programs, some of them new, supplemented in 1984 by a surge in total undergraduate enrollments -- presumably among upper-division students. Group One shows a similar pattern albeit with fewer percentage points of variation. Even the small colleges of Group Six tended to sustain large percentage increases in graduate enrollments, although their increases in headcount numbers were small.

TOTAL UNDERGRADUATES

For nearly all the independent institutions sampled, undergraduate enrollment as shown in the second student column of Table 2 still constitutes the corror their effort and of their full-time student body. In terms of their overall enrollment of undergraduates, the large institutions of Groups One, Two, and Three, as well as liberal arts colleges of Group Four, have now surpassed their own high numbers of 1976, while those in Groups Five and Six



TABLE 2 Fall Enrollment in Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in 1978, 1982, 1983, and 1984 as Percentages of Fall 1976 Enrollment, by Institutional Category

		Students							
Group	<u>Year</u>	First- Time Fresh- men	Total Under- gradu- ate3	Part- Time Under- Gradu- ates	Total Graduate Students*	Part- Time Gradu- ate Students	Total Full- Time Stu- dents	Total Part- Time Stu- dents	Total Students
ONE:									
Doctoral	1978	97%	106%	107%	91%	88%	101%	92%	98%
Research	1982	91	102	37	107	112	107	99	104
Universities	1983	89	107	83	104	103	108	100	105
(N=4)	1984	93	110	85	104	106	110	102	107
TV:0:									
Comprehensive	1978	91	104	95	105	105	106	103	105
Univer-	1982	n/a	94	26	142	167	117	118	117
sities I	1983	80	96	21	147	174	119	121	120
(N=8)	1984	71	119	108	121	127	121	122	121
THREE:									
Comprehensive	1978	125	117	117	114	106	117	110	115
Univer-	1982	114	138	110	180	258	134	206	153
sities II	1983	124	149	138	187	269	142	223	164
(N=5)	1984	162	195	424	144	179	143	264	176
FOUR:									
Liberal	1978	91	109	57	159	82	112	68	105
Arts	1982	91	100	13	121	107	99	56	94
College: I	1983	95	102	32	120	86	102	56	95
(N=9)	1984	101	104	49	95	81	102	54	97
FIVE:									
Liberal	1972	74	90	83	93	1,7	90	96	92
Arts	1982	67	86	52	133	95	94	130	1 C 1
Colle ge s II	1983	69	93	51	126	20(-	96	135	105
(N=9)	1984	65	99	132	86	11.	94	120	100
SIX:									
Liberal	1978	105	107	94	124	140	109	108	109
Arts	1982	95	93	43	175	225	164	97	102
Colleges III	1983	91	93	43	235	292	107	118	110
(N=11)	1984	90	99	88	174	194	103	121	108
SEVEN:									
Specialized	1978	97	106	107	91	88	101	92	98
Institutions	1982	232	93	122	230	230	132	169	146
(N=5)	1983	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1984	150	143	181	165	204	136	195	157

Includes all matriculated post-baccalaureate students, whether or not they have been classified as graduate or professional.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of data supplied by institutions for the Higher Education General Information Survey (HECIS) of the U.S. Department of Education.



have at last recovered from intervening losses. Again, however, it must be added that several major institutions of Group Two have not recouped their losses of the early 1980s. By Fall 1984, two members reported only 85 percent and 86 percent of the base-year 1976 undergraduate enrollments. Among the selective liberal arts colleges of Group Four, one college had only 76 percent of Fall 1976 undergraduate enrollment as reported in HEGIS, and another had only 87 percent. The smaller colleges of Group Six ranged rather evenly between 46 percent and 152 percent. Even in such bare statistics as these can be detected the signs of stress in one or more California colleges.

PART-TIME UNDERGRADUATES

The meaning of "part-time undergraduate" has shifted in some institutions since the 1976 base year of Table 2, and as a result, this column probably contains the least reliable data of any in that table. Moreover, in Groups Two and Three, several institutions have in the past decade deemphasized or even closed certain lower-division programs with high proportions of part-time students, while other institutions have opened new off-campus programs especially suited to adult part-time bachelor's degree candidates. Thus an overall decline in part-time undergraduate enrollment cannot be assumed from the data in Table 2, but because institutions vary so greatly in these enrollments, it would be misleading to make claims from Table 2 about steady trends for them.

TOTAL GRADUATE STUDENTS

In Table 2, the term "graduate students" means all matriculated scudents listed as post-baccalaurezte even if they have not yet been classified as to graduate or professional status. Column 4 of Table 2 shows, in general, no dearth of adulte students cent years for institutions that could accommodate them. Thus while containing the enrollments, they admitted high levels of post-baccalaureate enrollments — in most cases both part time and full time. Even the small colleges of Group Six generally flourished in their enrollment of graduate students, although in their 1984 percentages they individually raised from 48 percent to 1500 percent of their 1976 figures.

TOTAL FULL-TIME STUDENTS

Enrollment of full-time students at all levels combined (column 6) continued to increase in the groups with the largest institutions -- One, Two, and Three -- while remaining stable or better at selective liberal arts colleges in Group Four. Among the "Liberal Arts Colleges II" in Group Five, enrollment of full-time students continued to recover steadily from an abrupt drop



which occurred in 1977 and 1978. The total for the 11 colleges in Group Six remained above 100 percent of that in 1976, but in that group, the combined figures do not necessarily reflect stability. Among these 11, Fall 1984 enrollments of full-time students ranged from a low, at one institution, of 72 percent of its 1976 figure, to a high of 138 percent at another institution. The other nine spread rather evenly along that range.

Among the large universities of Group Two, the overall success of the sample group also conceals individual difficulties in two or three institutions. One old and widely known university in this group has experienced a continuing decline in full-time enrollment from 1976 to 1984, when its number of these students tallied only 83 percent of what its total had been in 1976. Another institution, however, continued steadily upward in about equal proportions.

Within the past decade, several institutions among the 51 -- including two in Group Two -- have grown enormously through programs designed for special clientele such as working adults with some prior college experience and personnel on military bases. At least four institutions have enrolled students in programs conducted beyond California, and their out-of-State enrollments have contributed to the increased percentages.

OVERALL ENROLLMENT TRENDS

Since 1976, a few of the 51 institutions have shown signs of steady and continuing enrollment loss, while most have continued to grow in student demand. For example, one institution in Group Five -- a denominational liberal arts college -- in 1984 enrolled only half the number of first-time freshmen and 60 percent the number of full-time students it had enrolled in 1976. A comprehensive university in Group Two saw a decline of first-time freshmen to three-fourths of its Fall 1976 number, resulting in slippage of its full-time enrollments to four-fifths of its 1976 level; and even its greatly increased part-time graduate enrollments could not compensate for the loss. Yet overall, the aggregate percentages of Table 2 indicate that the enrollment of California's independent universities and colleges has generally improved from 1976 to 1984 and even from 1983 to 1984.



₋₈₋ 15

TWO

NET REVENUE RATIO

An institution's "net revenue ratio" indicates the percentage of its current revenue represented by the difference between that revenue and current expenditures. Although this ratio does not actually tell whether an institution has enough money available to pay all its current bills, it functions in much the same way; and because it indicates a percentage relationship, it permits comparisons of one year with others, regardless of inflation or other factors that vary from year to year.

Table 3 below indicates the average met revenue ratios for each of the seven groups of California's independent institutions in fiscal years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984. During 1983, these institutions in general seemed to be overcoming financial deficits that had appeared in 1979 or before. Current income, especially for Groups Two, Four, and Six, exceeded current expenditures by a slightly more comfortable margin than before, and fewer institutions had a negative balance.

In 1984, current revenues either stabilized or advanced strongly particularly in Groups One and Three. In both 1983 and 1984, however, the impact of major private gifts to a few institutions raised the average balance of several groups deceptively, and thus one should not read too much prosperity

TABLE 3 Net Revenue Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category

	Group	1977	1979	1983	1984
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=4 of 4)	0.2% •	0.6%	0.0%	+5.8%
TWO:	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	+2.4	+ 2.7	+3.3	+3.6
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=4 of 5)	+6.2	+ 0.8	+0.7	+3.6
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=9 of 9)	+1.4	+ 3.5	+9.4	+5.5
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=6 of 9)	+3.1	+ 0.9	÷0.7	+1.6
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=11 of 11)	-1.7	+ 1.2	+3.7	+3.0
SEVEN:	Specialized Institutions (N=5 of 5))	+8 .3	+11.2	+3.5	+0.1
Source:	California Postsecondary Education	Commiss	sion sta	ff analy	sis of

data supplied by institutions for the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) of the U.S. Department of Education.



into the positive group balances. Obviously these figures tell nothing about deferred maintenance or other issues, nor do they indicate whether some institutions are engaged in extraordinary fund-raising campaigns that are not repeated annually. Actually, the positive ratios of Groups Two and Four remained remarkably stable, while few of the liberal arts colleges in Group Five or of the specialized institutions of Group Seven had a comfortable positive margin of revenues, as gauged by this indicator.



THREE

TUITION AND FEES CONTRIBUTION RATIO

An institution's "tuition and fees contribution ratio" indicates its income from tuition and student fees as a percentage of its annual expenditures for "educational and general" purposes -- that is, for all its activities except auxiliary enterprises, independent operations, and capital outlay. Ideally, this percentage should decrease over time as an institution builds other sources of income, such as gifts and endowment, besides its student charges; but sometimes a decrease can indicate that an institution has for some reason lost the flexibility it needs to raise tuition or fees appropriate to general cost increases.

Table 4 below presents data for the seven groups of institutions on this indicator as of fiscal years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984. Most of the eight comprehensive universities in Group Two let their tuition and fees contribution ratio creep upward over these years, and only a remarkable decline in this proportion to far below 80 percent at two of the eight brought this group's ratio in 1984 lower than for 1983.

TABLE 4 Tuition and Fees Contribution Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category

	Group	<u>1977</u>	1979	1983	1984
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=3 of 4)	38.9%	38.1%	40.7%	40.8%
TWO:	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	85.2	85.5	85.3	83.8
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=4 of 5)	78.2	78.4	79.5	80.1
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=9 of 9)	60.4	59.7	60.8	62.0
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=6 of 9)	80.7	76.1	74.2	74.6
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=11 of 11)	45.7	61.9	59.2	57.1
SEVEN:	Specialized Institutions (N=5 of 5)	62.0	73. 0 *	65.6	66.7

*Four institutions of five.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of data supplied by institutions for the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) of the U.S. Department of Education.



As a whole, Group Two has been consistently higher in this indicator than any other. Two of the eight have no campuses in the traditional sense, and several have multiple off-campus instructional centers concentrating in graduate and professional programs for employed adults whose business and military employers commonly pay part or all of their tuition and fees. At some institutions, such programs help pay for other, more struggling programs.

The colleges in Group Four remained rather stable on this indicator, except for an upward jump of nearly 8 percentage points by one college.

Some sharp fluctuations in this indicator also occurred among the small liberal arts colleges of Group Six, three of which underwent a steady, strong decline on this ratio.



FOUR

GIFTS AND GRANTS RATIO

An institution's "gifts and grants ratio" indicates the percentage of its educational and general expenditures consisting of current revenues from gifts and private grants, including income from endowment and other long-term accounts as it becomes available for current expenditure.

Ideally, of course, this proportion should increase over time, especially in relation to tuition and fee income, with the two indicators tending to vary reciprocally -- one rising as a proportion of educational and general expenditures when the other falls.

Table 5 below presents data on this indicator for the seven groups of institutions as of fiscal years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984. The doctoral/research universities in Group One showed striking increases; these also are the most likely of any group to win public grants and sponsorship for research purposes. On the other hand, most Group Six institutions, heavily dependent on small constituencies, appeared merely to hold steady or actually fall on this measure, since only three of the 11 showed strong upward turns in donations.

TABLE 5 Gifts and Grants Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category

	Group	1977	1979	1983	1984
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=4 of 4)	21.6%	22.9%	25 . 2%*	33.5%
TWO:	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	7.3	7.6	7.4	8.0
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=4 of 5)	18.9	11.8	9.7	13.1
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=9 of 9)	29.2	31.1	41.4	33.0
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=6 of 9)	15.0	13.3	14.4	14.2
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=11 of 11)	22.1	27.1	24.4	25.9
SEVEN:	Specialized Institutions (N=5 of 5)	26. 6	7.7**	15.2	9.3

^{*}Three institutions of four.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of data supplied by institutions for the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) of the U.S. Department of Education.



^{**}Four institutions of five.

Such was also the case with the comprehensive universities in Group Two. One long-established university with denominational ties fell still lower in its already low pattern of private gifts, despite its long history and active alumni organization.

The selective liberal arts colleges in Group Four continue to sustain not only the highest, but also the most stable proportions of private giving among all seven types of institutions. Nevertheless, from 1983 to 1984, fully seven of the nine colleges in this group underwent decreases in private gifts as a proportion of what they spent, and two of the seven fell more than 20 percentage points on this indicator.



FIVE

INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS RATIO

An institution's "instructional costs ratio" indicates the percentage of educational and general expenditures represented by direct expenditures for instruction. As the Commission stated in its 1982 report on the financial condition of California's independent colleges and universities, this ratio shows quite directly "the ef an institution to maintain current levels of quality in education programs" (p. 13).

One of the largest elements in direct expenditures for instruction obviously is faculty salaries. Table 6 below shows what percentages of total educational and general expenditures in fiscal years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984 were spent directly for instruction. It is striking that all groups but the first and sixth show a declining trend in the 1980s.

The evidence from this indicator is a clue for further inquiry, since it strongly suggests, but does not prove, a significant and steady slippage of faculty compensation in many colleges. Of course, factors other than lagging faculty compensation can lead to a relatively low proportion of direct expenditures for instruction -- for example, if an institution were to develop large new enrollments in programs housed beyond the home campus and

TABLE 6 Instructional Costs Ratios of Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities in Fiscal Years 1977, 1979, 1983, and 1984, by Institutional Category

	Group	1977	1979	1983	<u>1984</u>
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=4 of 4)	37.4%	36.8%	39 . 2% *	38.5%
:OWI	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	41.1	40.2	37.8	37.6
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=4 of 5)	46.1	49.2	46.9	45.4
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=9 of 9)	37.0	35.4	34.2	33.6
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=6 of 9)	38.9	36.3	33.9	32.5
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=11 of 11)	34.5	35.1	28.5	2 9.9
SEVEN:	Specialized Institutions (N=5 of 5)	45.4	41.3**	40.5	34.2

^{*}Three institutions of four.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of data supplied by institutions for the Higher 'ucation General Information Survey (HEGIS) of the U.S. Department of Education.



^{**}Four institutions of five.

taught by part-time staff, or if it dropped programs that had required an especially rich ratio of faculty to students, or if it managed to increase its "productivity" with the same number of scholar-teachers, then its direct instructional costs could drop at a proportion of educational and general expenditures.

As Table 6 shows, all groups except Group One have been experiencing significant decline in this measure; even Group Four continued its steady decline. In Group Five, one institution fell from over 48 percent on this indicator in 1977 to under 30 percent in 1984. In Group Six, one went from 56 percent in 1979 to 36 percent in 1983, while another went from 29 to less than 20 percent. As a group, however, the 11 colleges of Group Six seem to have reversed this decline in 1984.

Other groups exhibited more subtle, yet noteworthy, changes. Among the large universities of Group Two, one of them dropped on this measure from 42 percent to 33 percent in the past seven years. Among the 26 institutions in Groups One through Four, no fewer than five institutions (35 percent) dropped 9 percent or more over these seven years in the proportion of current expenditures they directed to instructional efforts. Eleven of the total sample (21 percent), well-distributed among the various types, showed a similar degree of erosion. We must continue to include a caveat that cost does not automatically mirror quality. Yet it does appear from these statistics that California's scholar-teachers, along with its libraries, have been bearing the brunt of stringent times for independently-supported universities and colleges.

In its 1982 report, the Commission stated that "As data over the past five years indicate, the independent sector is experiencing difficulty in maintaining current levels of expenditure for instruction and, as a result, in maintaining current levels of quality in educational programs" (p. 13). The most recent data assembled in Table 6 lead the Commission to reiterate that statement and to advise probing further into the institutional impact of these current troubling figures.



SIX

FINANCIAL AID FOR STUDENTS

Most of the 51 institutions included in this sample of California's independent colleges and universities derive between 60 and 85 percent of their educational and general funds from tuition and student fees, and a few derive even more than that. A study of the financial condition of such institutions, therefore, can hardly ignore the question of how students manage to secure funds for paying their share of the costs of college.

Table 7 on the next two pages shows the extent of financial aid for students at these 51 institutions over the three years from 1982-83 to 1984-85, together with the percentage change over these years, for four major types of aid -- (1) institutional grants such as scholarships and fellowships; (2) Cal Grants and Graduate Fellowships awarded by the State of California; (3) federal grants and College Work-Study earnings; and (4) federal direct loans and federally guaranteed loans to individual students.

As can be seen from Table 7, among the first three of these four sources of aid -- sources of outright grants, rather than loans -- the institutions themselves provided more aid than either the State or federal government. For example, in 1984-85, they offered some \$85 million in grants, compared to \$48 million from the State and \$31 million from the federal government. But federal direct and guaranteed loans continued to be the largest single source of aid, amounting to \$95 million that year and resulting in extensive indebtedness by students and their families above their normal tax payments.

INSTITUTIONAL GRANTS

Not only are institutional funds the largest source of outright grants to students at California's independent institutions, they increased the most between 1982-83 and 1984-85: 31.1 percent, compared to only 2.6 percent for Cal Grants and Graduate Fellowships and 19.8 percent for federal grants and College Work-Study funds. As Table 7 shows, the selective liberal arts colleges in Group Four increased their aid the most of all -- a total of 49.7 percent over the three years -- while the research-oriented universities of Group One earned second place with an increase of 37.5 percent. In contrast, the liberal arts colleges of Group Five (those enrolling between 900 and 2,000 full-time equivalent students) decreased their amount of aid by 5.1 percent. Their increase of 7.5 percent between 1983-84 and 1984-85 did not fully compensate for their drop of 11.7 percent between 1982-83 and 1983-84.

How and from what sources independent institutions increased their student aid funds 31.1 percent in these few years poses specific questions which warrant further analysis. How much of the increase, for example, came from increased contributions by alumni and others, given specifically for increasing student aid in light of substantial increases in tuition? Two other likely



-17-

TABLE 7 Financial Aid for Students at Sample Independent Institutions,

		Amount			Percent of Change		
Туре	of Aid and Institution	1982-83 (000s)	1983-84 (000s)	1984-85 000s)	1982-83 and 1983-84	1983-34 and 1984-85	1982-83 and 1984-85
INSTIT	UTIONAL GRANTS						
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=4 of 4)	\$25,769	\$31,359	\$35,422	+21.7%	+13.0%	+37.5%
TWO:	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	18,050	21,432	23,087	+18.7	+11.9	+32.9
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=4 of 5)	5,545	5,943	6,850	+ 7.2	+15.3	+23.5
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=6 of 9)	7,656	9,629	11,461	+25.8	+19.0	+49.7
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=7 of 9)	5,989	5,286	5,684	- 11.7	+ 7.5	- 5.1
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=8 of 11)	·	ŕ	·	+27.8	+ 5.9	+35.4
SEVEN:		*	ŕ	17	N.A.	+ 6.5	N.A.
TOTAL	(Not including Group Seven, N=36 of 46)	\$65,208	\$76,458	\$85,480	+17.2%	+11.8%	+31.1%
	RNIA STATE CAL GRANTS ADUATE FELLOWSHIPS						
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=4 of 4)	\$14,638	\$13,651	\$14,899	- 6.8%	+ 9.1%	+ 1.8%
TWO:	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	13,719	12,871	13,725	- 6.2	+ 6.6	+ 0.04
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=5 of 5)	4,326	4,065	4,542	- 6.0	+11.7	+ 5.0
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=9 of 9)	6,7%	6,405	6,943	- 5.0	+ 8.4	+ 3.0
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=9 of 9)	6,169	5,892	6,454	- 4.5	+ 9.5	+ 4.6
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=11 of 11) 1,898	·	·	+ 4.8	+ 5.4	+10.4
SEVEN:		137	152		+10.9	+53.9	+70.8
TOTAL ((Including Group Seven, N=50 of 51)		\$45,025		- 5.7%	+ 8.6%	+ 2.6%



Academic Years 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85, by Type of Aid and Institution

			Amount		Percent of Change		
	of Aid and Institution GRANTS AND	1982-83 (0C0s)	1983-84 (000s)	1984-85 000s)	1982-83 and 1983-84	1983-84 and 1984-85	1982-83 and 1984-85
	WORK-STUDY						
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=3 of 4)	\$ 8,822	\$10,840	\$11,481	+22.9%	+ 5.9%	+30.1%
TWO:	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	8,356	8,767	9,203	+ 4.9	+ 5.0	+10.1
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=4 of 5)	2,347	2,405	2,569	+ 2.5	+ 6.8	+ 9.5
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=5 of 9)	2,036	3,050	3,103	+49.8	+ 1.7	+52.4
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=7 of 9)	3,133	3,409	3,432	+ 8.8	+ 0.7	+ 9.5
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=7 of 11)	1,404	1,474	1,455	+ 5.0	- 1.4	+ 3.6
SEVEN:	Specialized Institutions (N=2 of 5)	N.A.	78	71	N.A.	- 9.0	N.A.
4	Not including Group Seven, N=34 of 46)	\$26,098	\$29,945	\$31,243	+14.7%	+ 4.3%	+19.8%
	DIRECT LOANS AND EED LOANS						
ONE:	Doctoral/Research Universities (N=3 of 4)	\$31,721	\$31,185	\$31,283	- 2.7%	+ 0.3%	- 1.4%
TWO:	Comprehensive Universities I (N=8 of 8)	35,760	36,5 6 4	37,404	+ 2.2	+ 2.3	+ 4.6
THREE:	Comprehensive Universities II (N=4 of 5)	7,052	6,171	6,672	-23.5	+ 8.1	- 5.4
FOUR:	Liberal Arts Colleges I (N=5 of 9)	5,426	5,758	6,318	+ 6.1	+ 9.7	+16.4
FIVE:	Liberal Arts Colleges II (N=7 of 9)	9,075	9,810	11,329	+ 8.1	+15.1	+24.8
SIX:	Liberal Arts Colleges III (N=7 of 11)	2,487	2,235	2,462	-10.1	+10.2	~ 1.1
SEVEN:	Specialized Institutions (N=2 of 5)	N.A.	3,199	4,076	N.A.	+27.4	N.A.
	Not including Group Seven, N=34 of 46)	\$91,521	\$91,723	\$95,468	+ 0.2%	+ 4.1%	+ 4.3%

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of data provided by the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities and the California Student Aid Commission.



sources would bear further inquiry -- the tuition increases themselves, already know. to help maintain financially diverse student bodies at some California institutions, and increases in faculty compensation smaller than those in the cost of living. In the past it has not been uncommon to hear of institutions keeping tuition artificially low in order to continue attracting the kind of student enrollment that seemed adequate for their mission, and subsidizing this low tuition by paying partificially low levels of faculty compensation. In a time of rapidly ring tuition levels, shrinking pools of high school graduates, and now uncertain amounts of financial aid from public sources, the question of where institutions are to find even more student financial aid will become even more acute.

CAL GRANTS AND GRADUATE FELLOWSHIPS

Although California's constitution prohibits direct State support of non-public educational institutions of all kinds, California students who attend the State's accredited or approved independent institutions are eligible to receive State grants under the Cal Grant A program, the Cal Grant B program, or the Graduate Fellowship program. These three programs began and still remain as the chief ways by which the State helps independent institutions attract able students of modest financial means. Because of the importance of these State funds, in addition to the aggregate information displayed in Table 7 about their extent in recent years Appendix A reports the number and amount of each of these three types of grants for each institution in the sample, not only for 1982-83 through 1984-85 but also for 1978-79. So far, these institutions have not regained the 1978-79 level of \$50.6 million. If increases for inflation and in tuition costs between 1978-79 and 1984-85 were taken into account, their decrease over those years would be much larger than the \$1.7 million in actual dollars.

In two other reports (1984, 1985), the Commission has noted the declining ability of the Cal Grant A and B programs to fulfill their role of providing equal opportunity and access to postsecondary education because of statutory limits on the number of new awards. For example, in the first report, the Commission stated (1984, p. 6):

The number of low-income and middle-income Cal Grant A applicants to the University and State University increased over the four-year period, but at independent institution; substantially fewer students applied from families with incomes below \$36,000. Increases in these applicants also occurred in the Cal Grant B program at the three public segments, but again at independent institutions fewer students applied from families with incomes below \$24,000.

Evidently the cost to individual students and their families of attending an independent college or university in California has begun to appear so far beyond their reach that fewer even apply for Cal Grants for that purpose. Of those able students who do, many are refused, as the Commission also noted (p. 7):



The gap between the number of needy eligible applicants and authorized new Cal Grant B awards widened from 10,692 to 26,498. Most of these fully-qualified applicants were from extremely low-income, disadvantaged families, and yet for every one of them who received a new Cal Grant B award, more than three were turned away.

This problem is further highlighted there by the mere 2.6 percent increase in State funds for students at these independent institutions between 1982-83 and 1984-8 During the same period, the Consumer Price Index for California rose 26 points, according to the State Department of Finance.

From 1982-83 to 1983-84, as Table 7 shows, five of the seven groups of institutions suffered actual decreases in the amount of State funds received by their students; and over the three years 1982-83 through 1984-85, only the specialized institutions of Group Seven realized increases in the amount of State grant and Tellowship funds to their students by more than inflation rates. The research-oriented universities of Group One counted increases of only 1.8 percent, while the selective liberal arts colleges of Group Four counted only 3.0 percent increase.

FEDERAL GRANTS AND COLLEGE WORK-STUDY

Federal grants and work-study aid are available to students through the Pell Grant program, the Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant program, and the College Work-Study Program. Table 7 shows that the overall increase of 19.8 percent in these funds for students at California's independent institutions from 1982-83 to 1984-85 stemmed primarily from a 30.1 percent increase at the research-oriented universities of Group One and 52.4 percent at the selective liberal arts colleges of Group Four -- both of which tend to attract academically talented students. Although these increases helped counter the minimal growth of State aid for students in recent years, the current federal administration has seemed inclined to reduce federal sources of financial aid to students of limited means.

STUDENT BORROWING THROUGH FEDERAL DIRECT LOANS AND GUARANTEED LOANS

The two major federal loan programs for college students are the National Direct Student Loan program and the Guaranteed Student Loan program. Table 7 reveals that students in California's independent institutions have been borrowing through these programs about twice as much as they received from the State in grants and over three times as much as they received from federal grants -- in 1984-85, some \$99.5 million, compared to \$48.9 million and \$31.3 million, respectively.

Increases between 1982-83 and 1984-85 in the amount of student borrowing from federal sources have been highest at liberal arts colleges in Groups Five and Four -- 24.8 and 16.4 percent, respectively. In contrast, three



groups of institutions saw a decline in the amount their students borrowed from these sources -- the small liberal arts colleges of Group Six (down 1.1 percent), the research-oriented universities of Group One (down 1.4 percent), and the small comprehensive universities of Group Three (down 5.4 percent). Although the three-year increases in student borrowing from these sources has been considerably less than the increase in federal grants (4.3 percent, compared to 19.8 percent), it has exceeded the 2.6 percent increase in State grants and fellowships overall.

While data on federal aid have not yet been obtained for all 51 institutions in the Commission's sample, Appendix B includes all available information on federal grants and loans to them. The availability of federal aid funds has become a crucial fact of life for many independent institutions and thus a factor of considerable importance also for State policy in higher education, since ultimate responsibility for education under the American system of government falls on the states.

OBSERVATIONS

Comparing trends of State and federal aid at individual institutions reveals an uncertain pattern in State programs. The total value of State-supported aid programs tended to decrease in the early 1980s and then to rise slightly in 1984-85, in contrast to a steady increase in federal at most institutions, which did not keep pace with inflation.

The extent to which independent institutions have become dependent on State and federal public sources of student financial aid remains in question, since the proporty of students who would somehow manage to attend the college of their cnoice without these funds is unknown. It seems evident, however, that fewer students of modest means are bothering to apply for public assistance to attend independent institutions. In light of the magnitude of these funds, it is clear that changes in them would further affect many independent colleges and universities and reverberate through California s entire system of higher education.



SEVEN

DEGREES CONFERRED

The final indicator of the health of California's independent colleges and universities included in this report consists of the numbers of various degrees they confer. Admittedly, these numbers are an imperfect reflection of the real products of institutional efforts at education, yet higher education has no precise analogue for the easily countable products of factories and foundries. For academic institutions, the number of degrees they grant provides one important indicator of their accomplishments for given amounts of effort and support. And for their students and patrons, degrees offer a measure, however imperfect, of "learning goals attained."

Table 8 on the next page shows the number of degrees granted by 45 of the 51 institutions in the Commission's sample during 1978-79 and 1982-83. It reveals a remarkable stability, over the five-year period, in the total numbers of degrees conferred by all 45 institutions. Despite variations over these years among institutions, groups and particular types of degree, the overall total remained practically the same at the end of 1982-83 as it had been at the end of 1978-79: 35,525, compared to 35,340. This total, which combines such different kinds of degrees as associate and doctorate, clearly has no practical use other than to approximate the numbers of students who completed their academic aims for enrolling in these institutions. Viewed in such a light, however, it seems curious that this number did not increase significantly during a period of substantially increasing enrollments.

Table 8 also reveals that the number of master's degrees conferred by these institutions underwent a sub tantial decrease of 7 percent -- a drop from 13,604 in 1978-79 to 12,645 in 1982-83, while professional degrees also dropped slightly -- 1.4 percent, from 3,604 to 3,554.

This seems the more remarkable since as Table 2 showed, by 1982 graduate enrollments in the comprehensive universities had expanded so dramatically. In some master's level programs, of course, part-time students comprise a large part of the enrollment, so that one might expect a corresponding increase in master's degrees conferred several years afterward, instead of only one year afterward.

In contrast, the number of doctorates awarded increased by one-third -- from 1,375 to 1,833, and the number of bachelor's degrees conferred rose by 4.5 percent -- from 16,115 to 16,847.

Four of the seven groups of institutions had an overall increase in the number of degrees they awarded, while the other three experienced a decrease -- the research-oriented universities of Group One down by 2.9 percent, the selective liberal arts colleges of Group Four down by 17.3 percent, and the small liberal arts colleges of Group Six down by 13.7 percent.

Particularly noteworthy were the declines of both the bachelor's and master's degrees at the selective liberal arts colleges of Group Four -- 14.7 and 33.0 percent, respectively, which followed their enrollment decline between



-23-

TABLE 8 Degrees Conferred by Selected Independent Institutions, by Type of Institution and Degree, July 1978-June 1979 and July 1982-June 1983

			Degree							
			Asso-	Bache-		Profes-				
	Group	<u>Year</u>	<u>ciate</u>	<u>lor's</u>	<u>Master's</u>	<u>sional</u>	<u>Doctoral</u>	<u>Total</u>		
ONE	Doctoral/ Research									
	Universities	197 8- 79		4,267	5,151	777	1,186	11,381		
	(N=4 of 4)	1982-83		4,475	4,718	870	1,000	11,063		
		% Change		+4.9%	-8.4%	+12.0%	- 15.7%	-2.9%		
TWO	Comprehensive									
	Universities I	19/8 - 7 9	148	5,191	5,791	2,206	51	13,387		
	(N=8 of 8)	1982-83	188	5,892	5,719	1,789	62 5	14,213		
		% Change	+27.0%	+13.5%	-1.2%	-18.9%	1125.5%	+6.2%		
THREE	Comprehensive									
	Universities	197 8- 79	37	1,251	1,305	53	138	2,784		
	II $(N=3 \text{ of } 5)$	1982-83	144	1,419	1,081	126	208	2,978		
		% Change	+289.2%	4 +13.4%	-17.2%	+137.7%	+50.7%	+7.0%		
FOUR	Liberal Arts									
	Colleges I	197 8- 79		3,069	500			3,569		
	(N=9 of 9)	1982-83		2,618	335			2,953		
		% Change		-14.7	-33.6%			- 17 3%		
FIVE	Liberal Arts									
	Colleges II	197 8- 79	289	1,329	620	389		2,627		
	(N=7 of 9)	1982-83	234	1,471	388	553		2,646		
		% Change	-19.0%	+10.7%	-37.4%	+42.2%		+0.7%		
SIX	Liberal Arts									
	Colleges III	197 8- 79	123	976	152			1,251		
	(N=11 of 11)	1982-83	38	875	160	6		1,079		
		% Change	-69.1%	-10.3%	-5.0%			-13.7%		
SEVEN	Specialized									
	Institutions	1978 - 79	44	33	85	179		341		
	(N=3 of 5)	1982-83	42	97	244	210		593		
		% Change	-4.5%	+193.9%	% + 187.1%	₹17.3%		+73.9%		
TOTAL	(N=45 of 51)	1978-79	641	16,116	13,604	3,604	1,375	35,340		
	•	1032-83	646	16,847	12,645	3,554	1,833	35,525		
		', Change	+0.8%	+4.5%	- 7.0%	-1.4%	+33.3%	+0.50%		

Source: CPEC staff analysis of data reported by individual institutions on the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) of "Earned Degrees and Other Academic Awards."



1978 and 1982. Numerically, they suffered a drop of 616 graduates over the four-year period -- the largest decrease numerically as well as proportion-ately of any group.



EIGHT

CONCLUSIONS

The findings from this study present a varied and, for some in titutions, turbulent picture. Those institutions that account for the overwhelming majority of independent college and university enrollments -- the major research and large doctoral degree-granting universities -- show clear signs of recovery from financial stress of recent years. Yet even they have done so in part by significantly increasing tuition and fees as a proportion of their current revenue.

Most institutions, meanwhile, have seen fit to increase by leaps and bounds their own annual outlays for financial aid to their students. Have these large increases from institutional funds occurred to the detriment of what they spend directly for instruction? The financial reports from some institutions suggest so. Such institutions cannot continue for fore than a few years the current sharp rate of increase in the proportion of their own funds devoted to student financial assistance. Nor can they continue through another decade their decreasing proportion of expenditures for instruction while maintaining a strong core of scholars -- not unless they turn to some way of increasing "productivity" as occurs in modern industries.

Nonetheless, several positive themes emerged from the statistical data and from conversations with officers at various institutions during the course of this inquiry.

- For a few independent institutions with national reputations for excellence, current income from gifts and private grants has increased steadily as a proportion of their total educational and general expenditures.
- Furthermore, California still maintains a commanding lead among the 50 states in the number of students enrolled from other countries; a large majority of these students bring new, "outside" funds thile they reside in California, and about 40 percent attend independent institutions.
- As further good news, more than one major institution has discovered or reemphasized the financial significance of reducing student attrition and increasing retention. For example, an administrative officer of one comprehensive university commented that his institution has managed for several years by special effort to attain a relatively high retention rate among its undergraduates, and this improvement has helped bring about a marked improvement of its financial stability.
- One interesting phenomenon among California's independent institutions during the past decade has been the development of a new type of institution that has shown special signs of flourishing. The several examples of this type in the Commission's sample are all fully accredited and generally well regarded. Their programs tend to follow the market -- and seemingly insatiable demand -- for degree specialties in business, administration, management, and engineering, while carefully limiting "general education" courses. In addition, they offer instruction at times and



-27-

places attractive to working adults -- their chief clientele, tuition and fees pay nearly all current operating costs, they heavily use part-time faculty, and they avoid construction of any major institution-owned libraries.

As other comprehensive institutions face the question about how much to adapt themselves for the current marketplace, they probably also need to consider how to retain enough breadth and flexibility to meet the next shift in the market and the next one after that. The State, for its part, always needs to consider how much to encourage civic learning and related subject areas vital to its political system but not necessarily in popular demand.

All of this suggests that the next decade will bring forth both financial and academic changes among independent colleges and universities at least as profound as those of the 1950s.



APPENDIX A

Total State-Funded Financial Aid for Students at Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities, Academic Years 1978-79, 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85, by Institutional Category

Group and Institution	Academic Year	Cal Gr Number	rant A Value (000s)	Cal Gr Number	ant B Value (000s)	Grad Fellow Number		Total Value (000s)
GROUP ONE								
California Institute of Technology	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	176 152 153 119	434 501 506 438	12 14 14 11	26 48 51 33	3 2 1 1	24 11 6 6	484 560 563 477
Claremont Graduate School	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85					3 4 6 13	32 19 33 70	32 19 33 70
Stanford University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	1,025 818 749 769	2,632 2,704 2,531 2,824	84 51 31 41	222 166 103 108	53 36 41 36	263 248 205 209	3,117 3,118 2,839 3,141
University of Southern California	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	4,327 2,731 2,532 2,525	11,085 8,960 8,529 9,333	429 351 316 336	1,205 1,148 1,083 1,066	131 134 105 138	561 833 604 812	12,851* 10,941 10,216 11,211
Total, Group One	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85		14,151 12,165 11,566 12,595		1,453 1,362 1,237 1,207		880 1,111 848 1,097	16,484 14,638 13,651 14,899
GROUP TWO								
Golden Gate University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	14 24 31 36	19 54 80 102	7 4 5 7	13 11 12 19	5 5 3 7	12 22 17 36	44 87 109 157
Loyola Marymount University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	1,419 960 868 853	3,532 3,076 2,873 3,072	201 132 113 96	569 429 385 347	16 11 17 24	48 43 74 120	4,149 3,548 3,332 3,539
National University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	1 40 40 51	2 144 145 226	1 5 4 2	1 21 6 5	0 0 1	0 0 0 5	3 165 151 236



-29-

						Grad	luate	
Group and	Academic	<u>Cal Gr</u>		<u>Cal Gr</u>		Fellow		Total
<u>Institution</u>	<u>Year</u>	Number	Value (000s)	Number	Value (000s)	Number	Value (000c)	Value
Pepperdine University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	431 335 362 358	1,115 1,104 1,215 1,318	67 21 14 17	^00 62 50 45	5 2 3 4	18 10 16 23	(000s) 1,333 i,176 1,281 1,386
University of the Pacific	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	1,055 772 693 698	2,829 2,670 2,428 2,660	108 139 112 105	325 521 418 386	9 5 5 8	54 29 28 47	3,208 3,220 2,874 3,093
University of San Diego	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	476 483 434 435	1,181 1,554 1,443 1,577	40 38 22 23	89 108 65 78	3 5 6 10	10 22 29 53	1,280 1,684 1,537 1,708
University of San Francisco	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	648 390 318 300	1,599 1,231 1,041 1,068	79 57 46 39	197 206 174 141	9 8 11 10	32 40 53 58	1,828 1,477 1,268 1,267
University of Santa Clara	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	1,027 707 680 633	2,452 2,225 2,215 2,243	46 24 16 18	106 80 54 39	13 11 10 10	44 57 50 57	2,602 2,362 2,319 2,339
Total, Group Two	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85		12,729		1,000		218	14,447 13,719 12,871 13,725
GROUP THREE								
Biola University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	510 437 415 405	1,122 1,307 1,303 1,407	32 36 31 32	86 127 114 86	i 0 2 0	2 0 8 0	1,210 1,434 1,425 1,493
Chapma n College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	285 149 134 192	715 480 435 696	36 21 16 16	115 64 58 41	0 1 0 3	0 4 0 17	830 548 493 754
St. Mary's College of California	197 8- 79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	358 294 261 265	876 932 849 941	41 44 27 19	103 147 83 72	1 0 0 1	3 0 0 6	982 1,079 932 1,019
United States In- ternational University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	39 29 34 42	92 95 112 157	13 4 8 11	25 10 13 25	9 1 1 4	34 4 5 23	151 109 130 205



					uate			
Group and	Academic	<u>Cal Gr</u>		Cal Gr		Fellow		Total
Institution	<u>Year</u>	Number	<u>Value</u> (000s)	Number	<u>Value</u> (000s)	Number	<u>Value</u> (000s)	(000s)
University of La Verne	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	367 288 264 250	917 923 888 905	55 75 63 42	165 230 193 166	3 1 1 0	9 3 4 0	1,091 1,156 1,085 1,071
Total, Group Three	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85		3,722		494		48	4,264 4,326 4,065 4,542
GROUP FOUR								
Claremont McKenna College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	263 159 155 145	602 524 525 538	6 10 8 7	15 26 18 22	 		617 550 543 560
Harvey Mudd College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	165 140 131 120	4 462 440 443	3 1 4 3	9 1 4 11	 	 	435 463 444 454
Mills College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	197 192 173 180	505 630 582 669	23 29 23 21	62 94 69 71	0 1 1 0	0 3 3 0	567 727 654 740
Occidental College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	580 413 375 363	1,492 1,346 1,258 1,332	41 23 15 22	123 68 48 65	0 2 1 3	0 11 6 17	1,615 1,425 1,312 1,414
Pitzer College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	198 119 117 126	499 378 388 462	27 16 18 16	69 51 57 57			568 429 445 519
Pomona College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	346 265 251 247	876 863 845 910	24 16 16 12	62 54 45 45			938 917 890 955
Scripps College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	161 102 107 108	414 333 363 396	10 16 12 9	26 60 45 39			440 393 408 435
University of Redlands	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	491 294 269 279	1,265 972 910 1,031	24 32 21 22	68 103 69 70	5 0 1 1	20 0 6 6	1,353 1,075 985 1,107



Group and	Academic	Cal Gr	ant A	Cal Gr	Total			
Institution	Year	Number	Value	Number	Value	Fellow Number	Value	Value
			(000s)		(000s)		(000s)	(000s)
Whittier College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	441 210 187 181	1,106 672 626 6 62	61 27 26 23	166 92 92 80	0 0 1 3	0 0 6 17	1,272 764 724 759
Total Group Four	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85		7,185		600		20	7,805 6,743 6,405 6,943
GROUP FIVE								
Azusa Pacific University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	325 230 240 248	781 709 763 853	30 29 24 22	80 95 75 69	5 1 1 2	12 2 3 7	873 806 841 929
California College of Arts and Crafts	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	98 127 117 112	246 414 381 410	11 15 14 15	34 45 40 59	1 0 2 1	3 0 9 6	283 459 430 475
California Lutheran College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	327 233 207 212	785 732 670 766	18 19 15 14	45 51 44 47	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	830 785 714 813
Mount St. Mary's College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	250 167 179 196	596 549 598 706	69 43 53 48	159 135 165 153	 	 	755 684 763 859
Northrop University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	37 51 50 65	87 167 162 242	9 12 11 15	19 31 31 44		 	106 198 193 286
Pacific Union College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	642 461 418 369	1,606 1,483 1,358 1,290	56 38 22 19	138 116 71 53	 	 	1,744 1,599 1,429 1,343
Point Loma College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	374 300 270 271	880 898 844 914	22 23 15 17	58 68 54 70	 	 	938 966 898 984
West Coast University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	0 0 2 0	6	1 0 0	1			1 6



Group and Institution	Academic Year	Cal Gr Number	ant A Value (000s)	Cal Gr Number	ant B Value (000s)	Grad <u>Fellow</u> Number	uate ships Value (000s)	Total Value (000s)
Westmont College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	278 206 183 209	681 648 609 761	2 8 2 3	5 23 9 4	 		686 671 618 765
Total, Group Five	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85		5,662		539		15	6,216 6,169 5,892 6,454
GROUP SIX								
California Baptist College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	114 105 95 86	203 270 277 281	12 13 18 14	22 35 50 40	 	 	225 305 327 321
Cogswell College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	15 35 38 24	24 82 122 79	0 8 10 6	0 19 33 20	 	 	24 101 155 99
Deminican College of San Rafael	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1934-85	48 39 46 52	113 122 143 177	0 5 8 9	0 18 34 32	0 1 1 0	0 4 4 0	113 140 182 209
Fresno Pacific College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	75 93 88 98	169 276 287 345	13 13 16 17	37 29 45 46	 		206 305 332 391
Holy Names College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	47 43 31 26	111 132 103 94	7 14 10 7	23 49 40 18	2 1 0 0	5 3 0 0	134 184 143 112
Los Angeles Baptist College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	77 53 53 48	150 162 167 157	5 8 5 1	9 33 21 1			159 195 1 8 8 158
Menlo College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	21 14 10 13	48 46 34 49	0 2 3 3	0 5 8 5			48 51 42 54
Pacific Christian College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	66 41 33 26	119 93 82 69	11 6 8 10	21 19 24 31			140 112 106 100



Group and Institution	Academic <u>Year</u>	<u>Cal Gr</u> <u>Number</u>	ant A Value (000s)	<u>Cal Gr</u> Number	ant B Value (000s)	Grad Fellow Number		Total Value (000s)
San Francisco Conserva- tory of Music	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	18 23 14 16	48 75 47 56	2 1 1 1	7 4 4 5		 	55 79 51 61
Si mpson College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	35 42 30 34	57 84 31 98	3 4 6	0 5 11 14	 	 	57 89 92 112
Southern California College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	92 113 109 124	153 294 326 417	10 16 14 23	18 43 45 62			171 337 371 479
Total, Group Sıx	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85		1,195		11		4	1,332 1,898 1,989 2,096
GROUP SEVEN								
Humphreys College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	11 12 11 15	16 25 28 38	6 4 2 1	8 9 5 4	 		24 34 33 42
John F. Kennedy University	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	3 7 4 6	6 18 10 20	0 1 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 6 5 12	0 16 20 59	6 37 35 79
^p acific ∪aks College	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	8 4 3 6	21 11 10 22	1 0 0 0	3 0 0 0	 	 	24 11 10 22
Southern California College of Optometry	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	2 2 0 2	2 4	0 0 0 0	0 0	1 4 4 3	4 22 22 17	6 26 22 21
World College West	1978-79 1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	5 9 17 20	8 29 52 70	0				8 29 52 70



Group and Institution	Academic Year	Cal Gr Number	ant A Value (000s)	Cal Gr Number	ant B Value (000s)	Grad <u>Fellow</u> <u>Number</u>	Total Value (000s)
Total, Group Seven	1978-79 1982-83						68 137
·	1983-8 ⁴ 1984-85						152 23 ⁴

^{*}Not included in the 1978-79 Cal Graduate Fellowships at the University of Southern California are those for its medical students.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of data from California Student Aid Commission.



APPENDIX B

Federal and Federally Guaranteed Financial Aid for Students at Selected Independent California Colleges and Universities, Academic Years 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85, by Institutional Category

Group and Institution	Academic <u>Year</u>	Pell Grants (000s)	Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants (000s)	National Direct Student Loans (000s)	Guaranteed Student Loans (000s)	College Work- Study (000s)	Total Value (J00s)	Average Per Full-Time Student
GROUP ONE								
California Institute of Technology	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	\$ 120 185 190	\$ 164 166 137	\$ 419 467 652	\$ 692 750 800	\$ 258 267 250	\$ 1,653 1,835 2,029	\$ 913 1,040 1,117
Stanford University	1982 - 83 1983 - 84 1984 - 85	865 921 987	599 701 738	1,399 1,298 1,500	5,008 5, 0 75 5,500	265 331 284	8,136 8,326 9,009	701 710 767
University of Southern California	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	3,024 3,607 4,000	784 801 965	4,203 5,095 4,331	20,000 18,500 18,500	2,743 3,861 3,950	30,754 31,864 31,726	1,671 1,716 1,657
GROUP TWO								
Golden Gate University	1982 - 83 198 3- 84 1984 - 85	81 109 120	42 39 55	14 15 5	334 400 470	320 10 20	791 57 3 670	457 323 357
Loyola Marymount University	1982 - 83 1983 - 84 1984 - 85	894 915 900	501 512 516	640 722 750	2,430 2,971 3, 0 00	643 692 692	5,108 5,812 5,858	1,008 1,136 1,161
National University	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	535 538 600	45 46 53	199 161 285	10,000 10,118 12, 0 00	46 57 66		
Pepperdine University	1982 - 83 1983 - 84 1984 - 85	494 498 510	192 220 219	544 537 547	2,265 3,303 3,505	177 149 144	3,672 4,707 4,925	863 1,061 1,089
University of the Pacific	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	799 754 806	385 440 485	1,144 1,250 1,362	3,692 3,412 3,024	303 753 951	6,323 6,609 6,628	1,311 1,374 1,397
University of San Diego	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	\$ 617 594 625	\$ 299 293 310	\$ 169 214 400	\$3,518 3,380 3,400	\$ 349 375 375	\$ 4,952 4,856 5,110	\$1,279 1,230 1,265
University of San Francisco	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	601 515 550	5 4 27	778 808 760	7,284 6,777 5,185	305 471 425	8,973 8,575 6,947	2,138 2,207 1,723



Group and Institution	Academic <u>Year</u>	Pell Grants (000s)	Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants (000s)	National Direct Student Loans (000s)	Guaranteed Student Loans (000s)	College Work- Study (000s)	Total Value (000s)	Average Per Full-Time Student
GROUP TWO (continued)								
University of Santa Clara	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	436 403 414	192 224 227	442 515 513	2,307 1,981 2,198	95 156 113	3,472 3,279 3,465	730 696 740
GROUP THREE								
Chapman College	1982 -8 3 1983 - 84 1984 -8 5	516 565 575	90 91 103	154 211 180	1,388 1,800 2,273	127 140 137	2,275 2,807 3,268	864 890 1,135
St. Mary's College of California	1982 -8 3 1983 -8 4 1984 -8 5	311 320 345	30 30 30	101 195 191	1,850 1,350	0 0 0	2,292 1,895 566	875 692 179
Ur ted States In- ternational University	19 82-8 3 1983-84 1984-85	194 203 215	173 176 178	115 100 100	302 296 300	200 237 296	984 1,012 1,089	631 614 633
University of La Verne	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	518 436 480	62 71 75	214 224 283	2,928 1,995 	126 136 135	3,848 2,862 973	1,825 1,318
GROUP FOUR								
Harvey Mudd College	1982 - 83 1983 - 84 1984 - 85	\$ 72 84 80	\$ 97 109 105	\$ 66 75 90	\$ 668 580 600	\$ 60 ± 51 48	\$ 963 899 923	\$1,899 1,690 1,697
Mills College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	275 287 288	57 65 70	93 96 117	580 527 546	60 58 58	1,065 1,033 1,079	1,257 1,242 1,274
Occidental College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	301 285 285	20 22 50	142 211 276	1,537 1,494 1,750	28 87 49	2,028 2,099 2,410	1,315 1,351 1,568
Pomona College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	193 234 243	216 221 235	194 181 200	1,204 1,392 1,471	191 207 205	1,998 2,235 2,354	1,444 1,641 1,733
Scripps College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85							



Group and Institution	Academic <u>Year</u>	Pell Grants (000s)	Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants (000s)	National Direct Student Loans (000s)	Guaranteed Student Loans (000s)	College Work- Study (000s)	Total Value (000s)	Average Per Full-Time Student
GROUP FOUR (continued)								
Whittier College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	248 250 265	152 1,002 1,060	245 200 208	697 1,002 1,060	66 88 62	1,408 2,542 2,655	1,177 2,037 2,171
GROUP FIVE								
Azusa Pacific University	1982 -8 3 1983 - 84 1984 - 85	412 435 437	134 133 141	366 402 376	2,025 2,000 2,500	149 154 159	3,086 3,124 3,613	2,125 1,962 2,169
California College of Arts and Crafts	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	338 2 9 5 306	39 40 46	38 23 72	787 575 600	32 62 33	1,234 995 1,057	1,572 1,415 1,497
California Lutheran College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	\$ 265 328 324	\$ 57 75 69	\$ 187 149 150	\$ 813 1,093 1,318	\$ 61 79 70	\$ 1,383 1,724 1,931	\$1,046 1,235 1,421
Mount St. Mary's College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	366 431 420	23 24 30	176 84 100	600 978 1,130	59 62 58	1,224 1,579 1,744	1,474 1,669 1, 9 22
Northrop University	1982-83 1963-84 1984-85	182 215 225	19 24 24	60 30 45	700 928 1,000	3 15 15	964 1,212 1,309	1,050 802
Point Loma College	1982 - 83 1983-84 1984 - 85	488 518 475	88 42 100	367 504 350	1,653 1,738 2,000	106 130 100	2,734 2,932 3,025	1,629 1,755 1,779
West Coast University	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85							
Westmont College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	211 209 219	19 15 35	92 100 170	1,206 1,206 1,518	82 123 140	1.610 1,653 2,082	1,618 1,688 1,761
GROUP SIX								
California Baptist College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	333 338 371	70 71 72	77 72 80	534 265 330	31 50 33	1,045 796 886	1,890 1,382 1,772



Group and Institution	Academic Year	Pell Grants (06Js)	Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants (000s)	National Direct Student Loans (000s)	Guaranteed Student Loans (000s)	College Work- Study (000s)	Total Value (000s)	Average Par Full-Time Student
GROUP SIX (continued)								
Dominican College of Can Rafael	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	103 104 110	21 22 24	55 70 87	350 515 500	23 42 30	552 753 751	1,327 1,719 1,629
Fresno Pacífic College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	\$ 212 207 209	\$ 93 102 96	\$ 106 96 125	\$ 488 315 322	\$ 64 69 68	\$ 963 789 820	\$2,638 1,939 2,228
Holy Names College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	101 84 76	22 22 23	47 37 33	243 255 245	32 41 40	445 449 417	1,229 1,283 1,241
Los Angeles Baptist College	1982-83 1983-84 (984-85	118 112 103	38 39 39	76 73 81	251 291 405	34 37 36	517 552 664	1,860 1,971 2,441
Menlo College	1982-83 1983-84 1934-85	50 55 45	3 3 3	3 9 5	109 71 113	0 0 0	165 138 166	259 222 261
San Francisco Conservatory of Music	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	48 52 63	8 9 9	18 24 15	130 132 121	0 15 5	204 232 213	1,179 1,389 1,139
Simpson College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85							
Southern California College	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	275 280	40 45	175 225	597 	40 40	1,127 590	1,680 808
GROUP SEVEN								
John F. Kennedy University	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	42 45	5 5	52 60	3,118 4,000	26 20	3,243 4,130	
Southern California College of Optometry	1982-83 1983-84 1984-85	5 1		1	28 15	0 0	34 17	83 43

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of institutional data provided through the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities.



REFERENCES

- Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities: Counselor's Directory, Independent California Colleges and Universities, Fifteenth Edition (Fall 1984); Santa Ana, California
- California Community Colleges, California State University, University of California, Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities, California Postsecondary Education Commission: A General Diffusion of Knowledge . . . Benefits to California and Its Economy from Its Colleges and Universities; Sacramento, 1983.
- California Postsecondary Education Commission. California Independent
 Colleges and Universities: Current Fund Revenue and Expenditure Analysis, by John Minter Associates. Commission Report 77-6. Sacramento:
 The Commission, July 1977.
- --. <u>Current Fund Fevenue and Expenditure Analysis: California Independent Colleges and Universities</u>. Commission Report 78-5. Sacramento: The Commission, March 1978.
- -- The Financial Condition of California Independent Colleges and Universities, 1981. Commission Report 82-6. Sacramento: The Commission, February 1982.
- --. Characteristics of Cal Grant Applicants and Recipients: A Preliminary Report 1 ifornia Student Aid Commission Grant Programs, 1980-81 to 1983-8 mission Report 84-33. Sacramento: The Commission, December 1984.
- --. Policy Options for the Cal Grant Programs: The Second of Two Reports on California Student Aid Commission Grant Programs. Commission Report 85-26. Sacramento: The Commission, April 1985.
- California Student Aid Commission: Agenda, October 1980 and October 1984; Sacramento, California; 1980 and 1984.
- Eurich, Nell P. Corporate Classrooms -- The Learning Business; The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching; Princeton; 1985. Foreword by Ernest L. Boyer.
- Melman, Seymour. Profits Without Production; Alfred A. Knopf Inc., N.Y.; 1983.
- National Association of Independent Colleges and Universities, and National Institute of Independent Colleges and Universities: The New Economics of Independent Higher Education -- Agenda Materials for the Ninth Annual Meeting; Washington, D.C.; 1985.



46