#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 262 718 HE 018 797

AUTHOR Gill, Wanda E.; Brooks, Cornelia

TITLE Special Services Project Survey Form on Teachers'

Characteristics.

PUB DATE [85] NOTE 12p.

PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Tests/Evaluation

Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*College Faculty; Feedback; Higher Education;

\*Questionnaires; \*Rating Scales; Student Attitudes; \*Student Evaluation of Teacher Performance; \*Teacher

Characteristics; \*Teacher Effectiveness

IDENTIFIERS \*Bowie State College MD

#### ABSTRACT

An instrument that allows students to rate characteristics they use in selecting teachers is presented, along with a brief literature review on college students' perceptions of faculty. The inventory is designed to provide college administrators feedback on what students perceive to be positive teacher characteristics. For the first part of the instrument, students list the rank order importance of 37 teacher characteristics. The second part deals with the students' actual experiences with their teachers at Bowie State College. Respondents indicate their agreement/disagreement with 50 statements, using five possible responses ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Respondents may also write the name of a teacher as an example for each item. The literature review cites 11 studies that investigated the following topics: research productivity and teaching effectiveness, halo effects in student judgments of teachers, personality traits, mathematics lecturers, class size, and gender factors. (SW)



# SPECIAL SERVICES PROJECT SURVEY FORM ON TEACHERS' CHARACTERISTICS

Wanda E. Gill Cornelia Brooks

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
DUCATIONAL RESOURCES INCOME.

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as

received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy. "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE SOUR

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

#### INTRODUCTION

College Teacher characteristics may prove to be a critical factor in retention. At a time when there is keen competition for college students, all factors of the college community must be closely scrutinized to provide the student consumer with the best possible education. As the student's direct contact with and impression of the college is the college teacher so the characteristics of the college teacher need to be examined to provide the institution with information form the students on the characteristics that are "good" for a college instructor to have. Once students have rated college teacher characteristics. They may personally think of those teacher characteristics they use to select courses, where choices of instructors are allowable.

The most typical attempt to isolate college teacher characteristics as variables is the evaluation form completed by college students. Most evaluation forms are designed to provide teachers with feedback by determining teacher effectiveness or for promotion decisions. A review of the literature shows the need for further research in this area.



#### Review of the Literature

A review of the literature on college students' perceptions of faculty reveal that studies have been done on: research productivity and teaching effectiveness (Centra, 1983); halo effects in student judgments of teachers (Van Rooijen and Vlaadr, 1983); personality traits (Kegel-Flom, 1983); mathematics lecturers (Clarkson, 1984); class size (Feldman, 1984 and Marsh, 1984) and, gender factors (Gupta, Singh & Hoshi, 1983; Basow and Distenfeld, 1985; Khashan, 1984). General evaluations of college students were done by Haugen (1984) and Marsh (1984).

Centra found that students tended to rate instructors with publications higher than instructors without publications. Van Rooijen and Vlaandr found that college students perceived the teacher's considerate warm attitude or expressiveness as a positive trait. Kegel-Flom identified the college teacher's self-confidence, assertion, tolerance, desire to interact on a personal level and personal/intellectual drive as positive characteristics. This finding is supported by Clarkson's 1984 study which concluded that students did not distinguish between the quality of the lecture organization and the lecturer's rapport with students. The istructor's rapport was most important.

Feldman (1984) found that class size affected group interaction ratings and individual rapport ratings. The class size was also examined by Marsh who found that grading leniency and demanding little work had no significant impression of a student's overall evaluation of an instructor. In the same study, teacher popularity was insignificant on the ratings of college teachers.

Studies of the gender factors yielded mixed results. The differences in student perceptions seem to be linded to the country the study was done in and the sex of the instructor. At the University of Rajasthan in Jaipur, India, a sample of post-graduates were unamimous in their decision that female instruc-



tors were more competent than their male counterparts (Gupta, Singh and Joshi, 1983). Saudi Arabian students of the College of Administrative Science in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia perceived their college instructors as corrupt, unprofessional and conceited. The majority of the students (85% of 420) did not believe that the faculty was interested in their "academic well-being"

Haugen (1984) found that students rated those instructors with podagogical training higher than those instructors without pedagogical training in a clinical program.

There is no specific literature on first generation or minority college students' perceptions of faculty. There is a need for such research.

The present instrument was designed to provide the college administration with feedback on what students perceive to be positive teacher characteristics. The instrument then asks each student to rate characteristics they use in selecting teachers. The fifty items in Part II present the student with statements which they respond to. The instrument designed by Wanda E. Gill, is presented on the following pages for use by researchers interested in teacher characteristics.



## SPECIAL SERVICES PROJECT SURVEY ON TEACHERS' CHARACTERISTICS

PLEASE RETURN THIS COMPLETED SURVEY IN THE ENCLOSED STAMPED ENVELOPE.

The following descriptive data is needed to assign your answers to categories. Please circle the descriptors that fit you.

Senior Sophomore Junior CLASSIFICATION: Freshman Other Hispanic RACE: Black White Female GENDER: Male over 27 25-27 19-21 22-24 AGE: 16-18 Baltimore City Prince Georges County RESIDENCE: District of Columbia Anne Arundel County Out of State Eastern Shore of Maryland Other(Please Write Explanation)

### PART I - TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS

A. Please rank order the following teacher characteristics that you think a teacher should have from the most important characteristic to the least important characteristic. Number 1 the most important characteristic. Number 37 the least important characteristic.

TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS	NUMBER RANK
GIVES ALOT OF A's	
ATTRACTIVE	
GIVES TESTS AND QUIZZES WEEKLY	
ALLOWS GROUP DISCUSSION	
PUNCTUAL (ON TIME)	
FOLLOWS CLASS SCHEDULE	
LECTURES MOSTLY	
TELLS JOKES THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE TOPIC	
WANTS STUDENTS TO WORK HARD	
REQUIRES A RESEARCH PAPER	
YOUNG	
INSISTS ON GOOD ATTENDANCE	
ADDS MATERIAL TO THAT PRESENTED IN TEXTBOOK	



TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS (A. CONT.)	NUMBER RANK
WORKS HARD	
FEELS GRADES ARE SECONDARY (not that important) TO LEARNING	
WELL GROOMED	
FAILS ALOT OF STUDENTS	
SHOWS FILMS FREQUENTLY	
SETS HIGH EXPECTATIONS FOR STUDENTS	
OLD	
GIVES FOUR - FIVE TESTS A SEMESTER	
WELL ORGANIZED	
HAS A LOT OF KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONTENT AREA	
GIVES MID-TERM AND FINAL EXAMS ONLY	
DEPENDABLE (Meets Class Regularly at Scheduled Time)	
USES THE TEST TO TEACH CONCEPTS NOT LEARNED	
USES VIDEOTAPES TO TEACH	
TELLS STORIES TO ILLUSTRATE POINTS	
BLACK	
CAN PARAPHRASE (Put Language In Other Words) TEXTBOOK	
PREPARED FOR CLASS	
EXPERIENCED TEACHER	
RELIABLE (Predictable)	-
NEW TEACHER	
SHOWS SLIDES	
USES TAPES	
FOREIGN .	
NICE	
WHITE	
GOOD VERBAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	
GOOD WRITTEN COMMUNICATION SKILLS	



B. Please rank order the following teacher characteristics you would use to pick your teachers. DO NOT GIVE NUMBER TO FACTORS THAT ARE NOT IMPORTANT TO YOU. YOU MAY LEAVE SOME NUMBER RANKS BLANK IN THIS SECTION.

TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS	NUMBER RANK
Gives alot of A's	
Attractive	
Gives tests and quizzes weekly	
Allows group discussion	
Punctual (on time)	
Follows Class Schedule	
Lectures Mostly	
Tells jokes that have nothing to do with the topic	
Wants student to work hard	
Requires a Research Paper	
Young	
Insists on Good Attendance	
Adds Material to that presented in textbook	
Works Hard	
Feels Grades are secondary to learning	
Well Groomed	
Fails alot of students	
Shows films frequently	
Sets high expectations for students	
Old	
Gives four - five tests a semester	
Well organized	
A lot of knowledge of the content area	
Gives mid-term and final exams only	
Dependable (meets class regularly at scheduled time)	
Uses the test to teach concepts not learned	
Uses videotapes to teach	
Tells stories to illustrate points	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Black	
Can paraphrase textbook	
Prepared for class	<del></del>
Experienced Teacher	



TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS ( B. CONT.)

NUMBER RANK

Reliable (predictable)  New Teacher  Uses Tapes  Foreign  Nice  White  Good verbal communication skills  Good written communication skills						
C. Please list other teacher charac instructors that were not listed	teristi •	cs tl	nat y	ou us	e to p	ick your
				-		
PART II - PERCEPTIONS OF ACTUAL Directions: This section deals with ers. Please answer easis room to put the name if you wish.	your ch ite	actu n us:	al ex	periei he fol	nces w lowing	ith your teach- code. There
SA = STRONGLY AGREE A = AGREE N = NEITHER AGREE NOR DIS	AGRE				GREE ONGLY	DISAGREE
1. My teachers were friendly.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	TEACHER EXAMPL
2. My teachers were nice.	SA	A	D	SD	N	
3. My teachers usually have please	ant					
expressions.	SA	A	D .	SD	N	
4. My teachers usually smile.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
5. I feel free to ask my teachers questions.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
6. I feel my teachers know the anto my questions.	swers SA	Α	D	SD	N	
7. Teachers taught the subject I signed up for.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	



32.	Teachers give a reasonable numb	ser of	2001	anma			
31.	Teachers assist students by sha information with students.	ring j SA	ob/in A	tern D	ship SD	N	
30.	Teachers are helpful.	SA	A	D	SD	N	
29.	Teachers assume the attitude the students.	at the SA	y wo A	rk fo D	or the SD	e N	
28.	Teachers allow students access the scheduled class time.	to clas SA	ss <b>r</b> oo	ms tl D	roug SD	hout N	
27.	Teachers share information.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
26.	Teachers show an attitude of "I yours to get."	have SA	mine A	and D	you SD	have N	
25.	Teachers encourage students to	work SA	hard A	D	SD	N	
24.	Teachers encourage students to	study SA	/ • A	D	SD	N	
23.	Teachers call students names.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
22.	Teachers embarrass students in	front SA	of the	he cl D	ass. SD	N	
21.	Female teachers favor male stude	ents. SA	Α	D	SD	N	
20.	Male teachers favor female stude	ents. S/	A	D	SD	N .	
19.	Teachers treat all students the	same. SA	A	D	SD	N	
18.	Teachers' tests are fair.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
17.	Teachers are fair in their gradi	ng pr SA	actice A	es. D	SD	N	
		SA	A	D	SD	N	
16.	Teachers are accessible to stude		Λ		SD	14	
<ul><li>14.</li><li>15.</li></ul>	Teachers encourage student par  Teachers plan time well.	SA SA	A A	n cia D D	SD	N N	
13.	Teachers lecture only.	SA	Α	D ,	SD	N	
12.	Teachers know how to teach.	SA	A	D	SD	N	
11.	Teachers understood the concep enough to teach them.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
10.	Teachers covered material in the we were required to buy.	e text SA	book:	s D	SD	N	
9.	Teachers met all classes.	SA	A	D	SD	N	
8.	Teachers are punctual.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
	~						TEACHER EXAMPLE



	· pair						23111111
34.	Teachers assignments are reason			_			
		SA	Α	D	SD	N	<u>,                                     </u>
35.	Teachers explain assignments.	SA	Α	D	ŞD	N	
36.	I want to take other courses with					year.	
		SA	Α	D	\$D	N	
37.	I don't ever want to take other I had this year.	cours SA	es w	ith t	eache SD	rs N	
38.	I learned alot in my courses.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
39.	I feel well prepared for the wor	k wor	ld af	fter			
	my courses.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
40.	I feel my reading skills are stro	nger. SA	Α	D	SD	N	
41	I fool man markling 1 111		••			21	
41.	I feel my writing skills are stron	nger. SA	Α	D	SD	N	
42.	I feel my mathematics/algebra sk	ills ar	e st	rong	er.		
		SA	Α	D	SD	N	
43.	I feel my communications skills a	re str	onge	er.			
		SA	Α	D	SD	N	
44.	I feel the teachers are qualified	to tea	ch c	olleg	e cou	rses.	-
		SA	Α	D	SD	N	
45.	I feel the courses I took are app	licable	an	d rel	evant	to	
	my chosen profession.	SA	Α	D	SD	N	
46.	I feel the teacher used a variety	of te	achi	ng m	ethods	5.	
		SA	Α	D	SD	N	
47.	I would recommend my teachers t						
		SA	Α	D	SD	N	
18.	I feel the teachers at Bowie State asset to the College's image.		ege ; A	are a D	stron	ng N	
19.		1:					<del></del>
	I feel the teachers in my field ac		me A	we.i.	SD	N	
50.	The teachers in my field helped i	me pla	n m	v pr	ogram		
	for next semester.		Α	Ď.	ŠD	N	

Thank you so much for your cooperation. This survey took alot of time to complete but will assist greatly with our efforts to provide the faculty with feedback on their teaching.

Please return this survey now in the enclosed stamped envelope.



## Bibliography

- Basow, S. and Distenfeld, M. (1985, February). Teacher Expressiveness:

  More Important for Male Teachers than Female Teachers. <u>Journal of</u>
  Educational Psychology, 77(1), 45-52.
- Centra, J. (1983). Research Productivity and Teaching Effectiveness. Research in Higher Education, 18(4), 379-389.
- Clarkson, P, (1984, December). Papua New Guinea Students' Perceptions of Mathematics Lecturers. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 76(6), 1386-1395.
- Feldman, K. (1984). Class Size and College Students' Evaluations of Teachers and Courses. Research in Higher Education, 21,(1), 45-116.
- Gupta, U., Singh, P., and Joshi, R. (1983, January). Perception of Male and Female professors by the Student. <u>Journal of Psychological Researches</u>, 27(1), 17-20.
- Haugen, R. (1984). Educationists and Academics: Ratings of Community College Instructors. Community/Junior College Quarterly of Research and Practice, 8(1-4), 103-113.
- Kegel-Flom, P. (1983). Personality Traits in Effective Clinical Teachers. Research in Higher Education, 19(1), 73-82.
- Khashan, Hilal. (1984). A Study of Student Perceptions in a Saudi Arabian University. Research in Higher Education, 21(1), 17-31.
- Marsh, H. (1984, October). Students' Evaluations of University Teaching:

  Dimensionality, Reliability, Validity, Potential Biases and Utility. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 76(5), 707-754.
- Van Rooijen L. and Vlaandr, G. (1933, July). Appearance and Disappearance of Halo Effects in Student Judgements of Teachers. <u>Tijdschrift voor Onderwij's Research</u>, 8(4), 157-171.

