DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 261 616   HE 018 714

AUTHOR   Smith, Susan L.; Borgstedt, Kaye W.
TITLE   Dynamics of Interracial Relationships Involving White
                Faculty in Black Colleges: Review, Systematization,
                and Directives.
PUB DATE   [85]
NOTE   23p.
PUB TYPE   Information Analyses (070) -- Viewpoints (120)

EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Black Colleges; Blacks; *College Faculty; *Culture
                Conflict; Ethnic Stereotypes; Higher Education;
                *Interpersonal Relationship; Interprofessional
                Relationship; Peer Relationship; Power Structure;
                Racial Bias; *Racial Relations; Role Playing; Social
                Attitudes; Teacher Attitudes; Teacher Student
                Relationship; Values; *Whites

ABSTRACT
                Factors that affect interracial relationships of
white faculty at predominantly black colleges are considered. Based
on theoretical writings and research, five dynamics influencing
black-white interaction are identified: prejudice and stereotyping,
dominance by whites, racial role-playing, social acceptance/social
distance, and value differences. Factors at the individual, small
group, college, and community levels that influence white faculty
members' adjustment within the black college are also addressed.
Stereotyped perceptions of white faculty at black colleges as
academic rejects who are there for a paycheck or as paternalistic
"know it alls" can impede effective interpersonal functioning. The
social environment of black colleges, where blacks rather than whites
are dominant, can lead to ambiguities and subtle conflicts between
the two races. The phenomenon of racial role-playing refers to
pretense and a lack of sincerity in relating to those of another
race. Some research has concluded that black students distance
themselves more from white instructors than black instructors.
Value-related concerns include the influence of social class,
differing perspectives on race consciousness, and value conflicts
that may be experienced by white faculty when grading black students.
(SW)

****
****

DYNAMICS OF INTERRACIAL RELATIONSHIPS INVOLVING WHITE FACULTY

IN BLACK COLLEGES: REVIEW, SYSTEMATIZATION, AND DIRECTIVES

Susan L. Smith
Assistant Professor of Social Work
Illinois State University
Schroeder 363
Normal, IL 61761

Kaye W. Borgstedt
Assistant Professor of Social Work
South Carolina State College
Box 2095
Orangeburg, SC 29117

ABSTRACT

This paper delineates numerous factors which impact upon the interracial relationships of white faculty in predominantly black colleges. Through a synthesis of theoretical writings and research findings relating to interpersonal aspects of interracial interaction, five dynamics influencing black-white interaction are identified: prejudice and stereotyping, dominance by whites, racial role-playing, social acceptance/social distance, and value differences. Subsequently, these dynamics are explored in relation to the role of the white academician in the black college setting. In addition, a variety of factors at the individual, small group, college, and community levels are delineated in relation to their influence on white faculty's adjustment within the black college; and the implications are guidelines for future study of cross-racial interaction within a predominantly black setting are discussed.

The study of minority-dominant relations has mushroomed in America since the Civil Rights Movement of the 1960's, yet few theoretical advances have been made in the understanding of the dynamics of interracial relationships, particularly at the interpersonal level (Lyman, 1972:23). Sociological research on race relations has concentrated largely on interaction at the group, organizational, or community levels and on patterns of assimilation of minority groups. Many studies have focused on prejudice and discrimination as reflected by racial stereotypes or certain behavioral patterns which avoid interracial interaction. Consequently barriers to effective interracial interaction often have been attributed rather simplistically to prejudice and discrimination.

This article seeks to synthesize the literature relating to the interpersonal dynamics of black-white interaction, particularly in educational settings. The overall purpose of this review is to explore the adjustment of white faculty in predominantly black colleges and to consider the various factors which impact upon their functioning in this setting. This frame of reference of a white minority within a black setting is somewhat different from the historical focus on the study of interracial interaction. As Standley (1978:19) notes, "Traditionally, black-white relations were seen against a backdrop of the white situation, that is, the established context for analyzing human relationships has been the white social context." Due to the voluminous body of literature on minority-dominant relations, this review focuses primarily on three areas of content specific to its purpose. First, the dynamics or interpersonal aspects of black-white interaction are delineated and discussed. Second, the dynamics of black-white interaction at black colleges are considered. Third, methodological and substantive recommendations regarding the study of interracial interaction that occurs within black colleges and other settings that primarily involve black participants are offered.

INTERPERSONAL DYNAMICS OF BLACK-WHITE RELATIONSHIPS

Historically there are numerous dynamics which have been attributed to black-white relationships. Current relationships between blacks and whites may be characterized by both overt and covert counterparts of these traditional dynamics. Some of these include: prejudice and stereotyping, dominance of the interaction by whites, racial role-playing, a lack of social acceptance on the part of both whites and blacks resulting in the imposition of social distance between them, and a real or perceived value conflict between blacks and whites.

Prejudice and Stereotyping

As previously stated, social-psychological research in the area of minority dominant relations has focused largely on racism in the form of prejudice. A recent text on this subject defines prejudice according to the traditional description by Gordon Allport: "an antipathy based upon a faulty and inflexible generalization. It may be felt or expressed." (Feagin, 1978:7) Prejudice is viewed sociologically as having cognitive (inaccurate beliefs), affective (negative feelings), and behaviorial components (discrimination). The cognitive aspect also has been termed a stereotype, defined as "an overgeneralization associated with a racial or ethnic category that goes beyond existing evidence." (Feagin, 1978:2) According to Davis, (1978) stereotypes usually contain elements of truth which are distorted or overgeneralized. Once a stereotype is learned, it results in selective perception so that it is further reinforced and contradictory evidence is ignored.

A study of stereotyping relating to whites, blacks, and Japanese and stereotypes of their own and other races addressed the fact that racial stereotypes are social norms which change in relation to social trends. According to this research, whites currently are stereotyped most frequently as materialistic and pleasure loving; and blacks, as musical, aggressive, and straight-forward (Maykovich, 1972).

More recent naturalistic and clinical studies have examined the complexity of the study of interracial interaction to expand the concept of racism beyond hostile or inaccurate stereotyping. In his book, <u>Portraits of White Racism</u>, Wellman (1977) indicates that defining racist attitudes as prejudice limits sociological analysis and that many Americans' attitudes about race do not reflect hostility nor are they always misjudgments, yet they justify arrangements that in effect maintain an unequitable system.

The effects of prejudice on interaction are self-evident, such as the inability to individualize those in a racial category and blanket discomfort or lack of acceptance in relating to individuals of this racial group. Many other dynamics described in this article are resultant consequences of prejudicial stereotyping including dominance by whites, social distance, and racial role-playing.

Dominance by Whites

In relation to this dynamic, the dominance of blacks by whites is an obvious element of the historical character of minority-dominant relations in this country. As social changes occur and prescribed status differentials between white and black are lessened, the nature of this dominance seems to have become more subtle. To some extent, a continuation of this pattern in black-white relationships would result from the lack of experience of many whites and black individuals in equal-status relationships with each other. As a result, the white individual expects to retain the predominant role--to stay in control and determine the character and boundaries of the relationship.

In recent empirical research, there is evidence that whites tend to dominate in social situations with blacks, even in social relationships where blacks have designated whites as significant others. Cohen and Roper (1972), labeled this tendency "interracial interaction disability." As a result of laboratory experiments they concluded that addressing both black and white expectations prior to the interracial interaction was necessary to attain real equal-status interaction.

Racial Role-playing

Another historical interactional pattern in black-white relationships
is colloquially reffered to as "Uncle Tomism" and in characterized by obsequious
behavior on the part of blacks in response to dependence and powerlessness experi-
enced in relation to whites. In their analysis of the "Uncle Tom" role, McCarthy
and Yancey (1971:651-3) write:

At times such a role was necessary for physical survival, and it nor-
mally produced more of what little could be gained from the white op-
pressor. But this behavior was for white consumption only.. Among
other Negroes another 'truer' role was manifest . . . some such dissocia-
tion occurs in the acting out of many social roles, but the intesity of
racial role-playing renders this type of dissociation especially
dangerous.

Although present day black-white interaction may contain residues of the
Jim Crow racial etiquette imposed on blacks by white dominace, there are other
manifestations of racial role-playing which characterize their interaction. One
example of this type of role-playing behavior is evident in a noted black author's
description of certain black college students' behavior. In an attempt to estab-
lish and demonstrate their "blackness", they adopt certain dress, speech, and
actions characteristic of the black lower class, where, according to Napper (1973),
distinctively "black" characteristics find their greatest expression. Such
"black norms" are acted out differently in the presence of blacks than in the
presence of whites. Of course, this racial role-playing may be characteristic
of the behavior of whites as well as blacks.

Social Acceptance/ Social Distance

A crucial aspect affecting interracial interaction is the concept of social
acceptance on the part of both parties and the degree of social distance main-
tained in the relationship. Social distance is at a maximum in situations in

which persons play conventional roles, maintain politeness rather than disclosing personal reactions, or feel inhibited from doing what they actually waht to do. Sociological research indicates that neither physical proximity nor frequency of contact necessarily reduces social distance. Intimate contact between persons should however lead to a reduction of social distance if both parties are able to relax their reserve and become more genuine in their interchange. (Shibutani, Tamotsu, and Kwan, 1965).

Sociological research had addressed the "contact hypothesis" which states that increasing contact between races reduces prejudicial attitudes and social distance. Several empirical studies concluded that increased contact with persons of another race is as likely to confirm one's prejudices (or even create new ones) as it is to change them. (Foley, 1977; Poskosil, 1977). Further research in relation to the contact hypothesis has concluded that social contact between persons of equal status reduces prejudice. A study by Robinson and Preston, (1976) supported this conclusion for whites but found that such contact did not lessen black's prejudices toward whites. They state that a very intimate relationship on a personal level may be required in order for blacks to feel that whites are trustworthy, in that black stereotypes about whites are less tangible and less easily refuted in a contact situation.

In many black-white relationships, many aspects of social distance must be overcome to facilitate intimate interaction. Among these for blacks are feelings of alienation or mistrust of whites, and for whites, a fear of being considered racist by blacks or having to confront their own prejudices personally. Both blacks and whites must contend with lack of familiarity of a cross-racial per-spective, discomfort with going against conventional social norms in some loca-tions, and a certain "willingness to risk" characteristic of unfamiliar social situations.

An element which confuses the understanding of social acceptance is the fact that people may be accepted on the basis of certain identities or on certain

levels and not others. This is true in any type of relationship regardless of
the existence of a racial factor. For example, one study of the first black
pledge to be accepted into a white fraternity stated that blacks may be accepted
in terms of different identities--"1) as a Black, 2) as a Black who has 'made
himself white,' 3) as a Black to whom the majority member has accommodated him-
self, or 4) as an individual apart from his Black identity." (Schmitt, Fox, and
Lindberg, 1982). Social acceptance is not a global, uni-dimensional phenomenon
and further conceptual differentiation needs to address the various elements of
this concept.

Sociological research relating to blacks' feelings of alienation from or
mistrust of whites reveals certain trends in the complexities of black racial
attitudes in response to societal events and changes. Unlike the trend of de-
clining "traditional white prejudice" since the 1940's, the authors of an in-
depth analysis of black racial attitudes from 1968-1971 describe a trend toward
greater alienation from white society (Schuman and Hatchett, 1974:125).
However, a later follow-up to this study in 1976 indicated that black alienation
had dropped from the relatively high levels of 1971 back to the immediate 1968
post-riot levels. These findings revealed a shift from blacks perceiving whites
as hostile to perceiving them as not caring or apathetic (49%) and reflected
an increase in the cultural aspect of black consciousness (Farley, Hatchett,
and Schuman, 1979). Another attribute of black alienation reflected by research
is that is is highest among the young and college-educated (Schuman and Hatchett,
1974:58-74). Based on a study of black students in a white university, Shingles
(1979) concluded that liberal arts education and dissatisfaction with the
university contributed to black students' alienation from white society. Although
the sources of dissatisfaction are more general than racial, the resulting sense
of powerlessness contributes to racial alienation.

Value Differences

Value dimensions and their impact on interaction are very difficult to study

due to the fact that values are not readily discernible. Many inferences and

assumptions relating to values underlying certain situations and behaviors may

be false in reality. Value dimensions in black-white relationships may be viewed

from the perspective of ethnic, cultural differences including class differences

or ideological, political differences.

According to an analysis of the impact of cultural differences by Shibutani

and Kwan, one of the most important cultural aspects of a particular group is its

vocabulary of motives, that is a set of intentions regarding as the natural ground

of conduct and relating to reasons or motivations for various actions. Hence,

persons who do not share the same vocabulary of motives frequently may misunder-

stand each other. Some other cultural differences which necessitate understanding

in interactions of persons from different cultural backgrounds include value

conceptions related to life goals; communication variables such as style of

relating, slang, accent and gestures; and customs or rituals. (Shibutani & Kwan,

1965).

Because all people are ethnocentric in that they view situations from the

perspective of their own cultural system, the critical condition for effective

cross-racial interaction is the ability to develop an understanding and appre-

ciation of the other's perspective (Shibutani & Kwan, 1965). This is often a

difficult thing to do and may involve a trial and error process. Also, individu-

als differ greatly in their ability to develop self-awareness, to deal with

conflicts which may be only intuitively felt, to correctly identify the real

elements involved, and to assume a relativistic stance.

Many black individuals feel that whites cannot understand the black experi-

ence and perspective. One black author cites three reasons for this condition:

whites have been socialized to believe in the inferiority of blacks; they have

not had sustained contacts with blacks; and they view the black experience through

the eyes of a white historical and cultural perspective (Thompson, 1973:126-8).

While it is futile to debate whether any white individual can understand the black

perspective, it is apparent that developing this understanding requires formal and informal education and experiences which whites may lack.

The existence of attitudinal conflicts between blacks and whites has been characterized politically as "race politics," presuming a white and black point of view on major issues. A recent examination of this phenomenon concluded that the national media perpetuate ideas of racial division and black homogeneity of opinion. Although there are significant differences in the views of samples of blacks and whites on most surveys measuring attitudes about racial issues, the majority of both groups appear to agree (Bolce & Gray, 1979). To some extent whether the differences are real or perceived is not of the most critical importance. As long as persons believe that major differences exist between them, these assumptions will influence their interaction to some degree.

Perhaps the most significant element of value differences between some whites and blacks is an ideological one stemming from different perspectives on race consciousness. Through race consciousness members of a race become identified as an historic group with a common cause and sense of solidarity (Pitts, 1974). Another characteristic of consciousness of kind is that outsiders are viewed as basically different and therefore are to be treated differently (Shibutani & Kwan, 1965). Racial consciousness for most American blacks is a salient aspect of their identity particularly in a setting such as the black college. The increase in the cultural aspect of black consciousness is associated with the intensified process of ethnogenesis of black Americans over recent decades. Through ethnogenesis, the boundaries between black and white have evolved from a primarily exclusive nature involving social barriers imposed by whites to include more inclusive boundaries characterizing ethnic minorities who cultivate a distinctive cultural system and set themselves apart from the majority. Hence, boundaries between black and white involve forces from both social units (Bantam, 1977:136-55).

In contrast to the strong racial consciousness or identification of many
black Americans, the white liberal ideology has been described as being uncomfor-
table with the consciousness of color. In describing the reactions of liberal
white professors to black students movements of the late 60's, Blauner cites this
value conflict between universalism and particularism. The univeral ethos is
color blind in that color and ethnicity should be irrelevant and special admis-
sions programs for minorities are seen in this light as racist. According to
Blauner, (1973), the presence of black students was disquieting,for many white
professors who had identified with blacks and their causes from a distance but
we offended by their manner and ideas. The professors began to recognize
"prejudices" within themselves, which only served to intensify their discomfort.

BLACK-WHITE INTERACTION AT BLACK COLLEGES

Although there is a body of literature relating to cross-racial relation-
ships and attitudes in the field of education, very little of it is specifically
applicable to the role of a white academician in a black college. As previously
noted, the traditional context for the study of black-white relations has viewed
blacks as a minority within a dominant white society. This assumption is at the
heart of nearly all articles which pertain to cross racial relationships in
education, sociology, and other fields. Dalton (unpublished) found, for example,
that white students differentiate between black and white instructors, allowing
black instructors closer than white instructors. Her study was conducted at the
University of Houston, a predominantly white institution. In other words,
racial relationships and attendant feelings are analyzed from a white-in-charge,
black-as-subordinat perspective.

The black college offers a unique situation for research in race relations
for its social climate is exactly reverse: black-in-charge, white-as-subordi-
nate. This social structure is probably unique in the United States; hence it

is not surprising that so few articles are to be found on the subject. There
are a few first-person accounts: (Jones, 1973; Sloan, 1977) however, systematic
research on the subject of white faculty in black institutions is practically
nonexistent.

Prejudice and Stereotyping

In relation to the dynamics of black-white relationships discussed previously,
it is anticipated that each of these elements may influence the interaction of
white faculty and black students, colleagues, or administrators. Both blacks and
whites would bring to their interaction the prejudices and stereotypes they had
internalized through previous experiences, which would inevitably impact on
their relationships. There are numerous stereotypes of white faculty contained
in the writings about black colleges. For example, Thompson (1973:130-4) described
four distinct types of white teachers in black colleges: the dedicated profes-
sional, the missionary type (including a sub-type who is guilt-motivated), the
young white scholar, and the academic reject. Some of these same stereotypes
also are included in Warnat's (1976) analysis of four roles commonly assumed by
white faculty on black campuses: the Moron, Martyr, Messiah, and Marginal Man.

Some individual black faculty or administrators may perceive white faculty
in general as academic rejects who are only there for a paycheck or as "know it
alls" who are paternalistic in their efforts to enlighten the less fortunate.
These stereotypical perceptions not only would influence their views of an be-
havior toward individual white faculty, but they also would be conveyed to white
faculty and color white faculty's perceptions and experiences. Likewise, indivi-
dual white faculty may retain a variety of stereotypical perceptions of blacks
or black colleges which become barriers to their effective functioning.

Dominance by Whites

The dynamic of dominance by whites would result in an interesting phenomenon
in the interaction of blacks and whites in this setting. Although some black

colleges were dominated historically by whites from their inception, practically all black colleges today are controlled by blacks. Hence, whites would be in a subordinate status overall, except possibly in their role with students. A further complicating factor is the fact that the black college is itself a minority system within a dominant white society, so that racial relation in the linkages of the college and its environment would impact on interracial relation- ships within the college. The transposition of previously learned patterns of relating for both black and white individuals to a contrary social environment would most likely result in ambiguities and subtle conflicts. Unfortunately, no research was found which addressed either of the above variables in relation to black colleges.

Racial Role-playing

The phenomenon of racial role-playing, characterized by pretense and a lack of sincerity in relating to individuals of another race, has received no atten- tion in actual research. Some authors have referred to roles which white faculty assume in black colleges. While these role descriptions contain some common stereotypes of white faculty in black colleges, they also embody some behaviors of white faculty which are performed only in relation to blacks and not whites. The most thorough role analysis of white faculty in black colleges is Warnat's article mentioned above.

Warnat describes the moron role as stemming from others' conceptions that the white faculty person must be incompetent and unable to find a job in a white college. In his moron role, he functions as faculty scapegoat and underling. The martyr role centers around white faculty's need to expiate racial guilt by becoming a kind of zealous missionary, content to do the drudge work and accept whatever comes their way. A third type of white faculty functions as a self- designated Messiah who perceives black colleagues as well-meaning but naive and

in need of direction. According to Warnat, this element of some white faculty
more than any other fosters feelings of mistrust, alienation, and hostility among
black colleauges. The white faculty person is also viewed from the role of a
marginal man--one who lives in two different and antagonisitic cultures, thus
being somewhat alien in both of them. The Marginal Man experiences a great deal
of cognitive dissonance but derives personal satisfaction from the ability to
survive in an unfamiliar climate and to become a productive member of the black'
college community. Warnat (1976) stresses the need to further explore the roles
of white faculty due to'their increasing number in black colleges and the need
to optimize their contributions.

Social Distance

The variables of social acceptance and social distance in interracial rela-
tionships is higher education, primarily student-teacher relationships, have
received more attention from authors and researchers than other dynamics of inter-
racial interaction. In a study cited earlier, Dalton (unpublished) concluded
that black students distance themselves more from white instructors than black
instructors. This preference for or trust of black faculty over white on the
part of black students is reflected by recent research which contrasts the attitudes
of black students in black and white colleges. Although this survey does not
distinguish between black and white faculty, it is reasonable to assume that the
faculty in white colleges are predominantly white and vice-versa. Black students
in-black colleges were twice as likely to indicate that they can talk to their
teachers on a friendly, person-to-person basis than were white campus blacks.
Also, 63 percent of black students at the black college perceived their teachers
as genuinely interested in them as compared to 17 percent on the white campus
(Hemmons, 1982). Whether these marked differences are more a function of students'
levels of assimilation within the overall environmental setting than of faculty-
student interaction in particular has yet to be substantiated by research.

The only research to date relating to white faculty-black student relationships in black colleges was conducted by Charles Levy (1967) at Tuskegee Institute. Levy compared the level of acceptance of white faculty between upper classmen and lower classmen, finding all perceptible differences in the direction of growing unacceptance. The students' appraisals indicated an increasing feeling that the white teacher was working against them. Levy concludes that high credentials of white faculty are not necessarily enough to offset problems arising in their teaching. It should be noted that this study was rather limited in its research design and has neven been replicated so that its conclusions need further substantiation.

Research has not addressed the dynamics of white faculty's interaction with black faculty or administrators, so that the aspects of social distance present in these relationships is speculative. The earlier descriptions related to stereotypes of white faculty by blacks indicate the presence of perceived barriers between black and white. Also, white faculty may lack the depth of experience in interacting with blacks and the understanding of black perspectives which contribute to their open social interaction in this setting.

Value Dimensions

Several authors have discussed value differences affecting black-white interaction within the black college, although no research has addressed this variable. In relation to value dimensions associated with social class, a class differential exists between students at black colleges and faculty, both white and black. More than 60 percent of students at black colleges come from low-income families. (Sims, 1976:157) According to Napper (1973) some black students' embracing certain "black norms" characteristic of lower class language, dress, etc., and a "gettin over" philosophy related to education runs counter to the socialization of older black faculty and white faculty as well. Other authors in writing about black colleges have described the nature of the gap which exists

between teachers and students as due to social class values. The faculty as a whole is middle class in values and very success-oriented; so that they have difficulty understanding what they perceive as academic apathy on the part of many students. This class differential also may influence the relationship of the college to the local black community, in that the black poor may perceive the college people as "snobs" (Thompson, 1973).

Another significant value dimension differentiating many whites and blacks within the black college may be their differing perspectives on race consciousness. The universalisitic view of race described earlier as characterisitic of many white liberals contrasts sharply with the strong race consciousness and particularistic focus characteristic of the black college. Also, in black-white relationships where concerns of racism are inevitable, differences in vocabularies of motives as cited earlier may be significant influences on interactions.

One area of value conflict which the white faculty may experience centers around relationships with minority students and grading. In reference to this conflict, Longres (1973:296) writes: "The attitudinally liberal white faculty member is confronted with non-white students whom he frequently perceives as 'disadvantaged' or in some way inferior. When problems arise in classroom assignments, he becomes intimidated and fearful of treating the problem directly. Not wanting to appear like a 'bad guy,' paternalistic behavior follows." To a larger extent, paternalistic behavior in white faculty may be aggravated by their own fear of being labeled racist. In his analysis of black college students, Napper (1973) describes the tactic used by some black students to intimidate certain white professors into giving them good grades by accusations of racism, a label which the professor will go to great lengths to resist.

SUBSTANTIVE AND METHODOLOGICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

In summary, factors relating to several levels of influences may be significant in shaping the role of white faculty in a black college setting and in

influencing their relationships with black students, colleagues, and adminis-
trators. The dynamics previously cited as well as other factors proposed as
significant influences at the individual, group, organizational, and community
levels are incorporated in the matrix below.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

'Insert Table I

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

In terms of the individual, it would seem that their socialization relating
to racial attitudes and the extent and quality of their experiences in inter-
acting with blacks prior to coming to the college would be primary influences.
Also, their particular ideology about race would affect their ability to under-
stand the particularistic perspective of the black college. Personality quali-
ties such as self-awareness, openness and honesty, style of relating, and self-
confidence will shape their interactions with black colleagues and their ability
to understand others' perspectives. Also, individuals vary in their ability to
handle constructively both internal and external conflicts resulting from socio-
cultural dissonance. It seems that those persons who were more inner-directed
would experience less ongoing tension related to their discrepant position as
a marginal man.

Since small groups serve as the bridge between the individual and large
social systems, the interpersonal dynamics operating in the immediate work group
of white faculty would affect their perceptions and experiences. It would be
this group of individuals who would assist in socializing the white faculty.
The genuineness and trust achieved in interactions at this level may be most
critical in determining white faculty's ultimate adjustment and satisfaction in
their role. Obviously, these interactions within the work group would be in-
fluenced by the characteristics of all individual members.

TABLE I

Matrix of Factors Influencing Adjustment
of White Faculty

	Cultural/Historical	Social/Interpersonal	Psychological
Individual	White faculty's experience related to interracial contact	Style of relating, particularly in interracial situations; honesty and openness in acknowledging own and others racial attitudes and feelings	Racial identification, ideology; understanding of particularistic vs. universalistic focus
Small Group	Black faculty's experience related to interracial contact; interracial dynamics of relationships with individuals in immediate work group	Congeniality, trust, and degree of social distance present in interaction in immediate work group and with students	Personal comfort/ security in dealing with racial factors and ambiguities; ability to separate racial from non-racial factors in situations
Organization	Historical factors shaping development of college; roles of individuals of both races in college's historical development	Style of college governance; integration of white faculty within total organization to utilize their expertise and achieve optimal involvement	White faculty's comfort with style of governance; achievement orientation in relation to availability of external rewards
Community	Interracial relations within community over time; institution/ community relations	Degree of fit between attitudes of whites in community, friendship, and family groups and attitudes of blacks in work setting	White faculty's ability to deal with conflicts of marginal man; more inner-directed than other-directed

At the organizational level, the historical events leading up to the contemporary interracial climate within the college are variables to be considered. In some colleges, blacks founded and have administered the college through the years, and the employment of white faculty has been a relatively recent occurrance. For example, in several Southern states the employment of white faculty in black colleges was legally prohibited as late as the mid-1960's (McGrath, 1965: 116). Other black colleges were dominated by whites from their inception and never had a black college president until recent years. Also, various aspects relating to institutional governance may be important influences on white faculty's experiences and adjustment, such as tenure and promotion policies and practices, faculty input and consideration in decision-making, and the general climate or style of authority employed in regulating job performance. Administration in black colleges is an area which has received critical analysis in the writings of numerous authors.

Finally, interracial relations within the community and between the college and community would seem to impact on interracial interaction within the college setting. Poor race relations within the community would augment the dissonance and conflict experienced by white faculty in seeking to adapt within the college and community settings. This situation obviously affects the white faculty's experiences with whites in the community, but also it may well affect blacks' perceptions of white faculty. Because they continue to have negative experiences in dealing with the white community at large, they may generalize their feelings of alienation or distrust toward the "white community" to individual white faculty coming to teach in the black college.

It is evident from the paucity of substantial research relating to interracial interaction in the field of higher education and particularly in black colleges that empirical investigation of this pheonmenon is in the ground-breaking stages. Initially, both qualitative and quantitative research which explore

interracial relations within black colleges is needed to identify significant variables influencing interaction in this setting. A recent analysis of directives for qualitative research in interracial interaction emphasizes its dual reality and the need to understand the multiple, often conflicting perspectives involved. The need to identify those factors which reflect the more divisive and the more solidifying aspects of the interracial interaction is stressed. Also, recognition of the linkages between the participants and other audiences or systems as well as the influence of historical events leading up to contemporary situations are crucial aspects of such research (Schmitt, Fox, and Lindberg, 1982). Another author cites the need to use multiple methods in studying interaction in natural settings (Denzin, 1978).

Further research which collectively attempt to address these methodological considerations is needed to delineate more specifically the perceptions that whtie faculty in black colleges have of their role and to determine what variables seem to be most significant in influencing their interaction, adjustment and satisfaction. Although this research to some extent would be particularistic to the black college setting, it would seem that the study of interracial relationships in a predominantly black setting would provide unique theoretical insights on contemporary minority-dominant relations in this country.

REFERENCES

1. Banton, M., The Idea of Race. London: Tavistock
         1977

2. Blauner, R., "White Professors". In P. Rose, S. Rothman, and W. Wilson (eds.)
         1973   Through Different Eyes: Black and White Perspectives on
                     American Race Relations. New York: Oxford.

3. Bolce, L.H. III, "Blacks, Whites, and 'Race Politics'" The Public Interest
         1979   54: 61-75.

4. Cohen, E., and Roper, S., "Modification of Interracial Interactions
         1972   Disability: An Application of Status Characteristic Theory."
                 American Sociological Review 37: 643-57.

5. Dalton, M., "Interpersonal Distancing Between Undergraduate College Students
                     and Their Instructors" Texas: University of Houston.
          unpublished

6. Davis, J., Minority-Dominant Relations. Illinois AHM.
         1978

7. Denzin, N.K., The Research Act. New York: McGraw-Hill.
         1978

8. Farley, R., Hatchett, S., and Schuman, H., "A Note on Changes in Black Racial
         1979   Attitudes in Detroit: 1968-1976." Social Indicators Research
                     6: 439-43.

9. Feagin, J., The Nature of Prejudice. New York: Doubleday.
         1958

         1978   Racial and Ethnic Relations. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

10. Foley, L., "Personality Characteristics and Interracial Contacts as Determined
         1977   of Black Prejudice Toward Whites": Human Relations 30: 709-20.

11. Hemmons, W.M. "From the Halls of Howgh and Halsted: A Comparison of Black
         1982   Students on Predominantly White and Predominantly Black
                     Campuses." Journal of Black Studies 12: 383-402.

12. Jones, A., Uncle Tom's Campus. New York: Praeger.
         1973

13. Jones, A., and Sloan, J. "Morgan State University." Intergraded Education
         1977   15: 14.

14. Levy, C., "The Process of Intergrating White Faculty Members into a
         1967   Predominantly Negro College." U.S. Department of Health,
                     Education and Welfare.

15. Longres, J., "The Impact of Racism on Social Work Education." In J. Goodman
         1973   (ed.) Dynamics of Racism in Social Work. Washington, D.C.:
                     National Association of Social Workers.

16. Lyman, S.M., The Black American in Sociological Thought. New York: Patnam.
    1972

17. Maykovich, M.. "Reciprocity in Social Stereotypes: White Black, and Yellow."
    1972   American Journal of Sociology 77: 879-97.

18. McCarthy, M., and Yancey, W., "Uncle Tom and Mr. Charlie: Metaphysical Pathos
    1971   in the Study of Racism and Personal Disorganization."
                    American Journal of Sociology 76: 648-72.

19. McGrath, E.J., The Predominantly Negro Colleges and Universities in Transition.
    1965   New York: Columbia University.

20. Napper, G., Blacker Than Thou. Michigan: Erdman
    1973

21. Pitts, J., "The Study of Race Consciousness: Comments on New Directions. "
    1974   American Journal of Sociology 80: 668.

22. Poskosil, A., "Encounters Between Blacks and White Liberals: The Collision
    1977   of Stereotypes." Social Forces 55: 715-27.

23. Robinson, J. Jr., and Preston, J. "Equal-Status Contact and Modification of
    1976   Racial Prejudice: A Reexamination of the Contact
                    Hypothesis. Social Forces 54: 911-24.

24. Schmitt, R.L., Fox, R., and Lindberg, S., "Grounded Directives for Research
    1982   of the Interracial Act: The White Syndrom of Transforma-
                    tion." In N. Denzin (ed.) Studies in Symbolic Interaction:
                    A Research Annual. Conn.: JAI.

25. Schman, H., and Jatchett, S., Black Racial Attitudes: Trends and Complexities.
    1974   Michigan: University of Michigan.

26. Shibutani, Tamotsu and Kwan,   Klan Ethnic Stratification: A Comparative
    1964   Approach. New York: MacMillan.

27. Shingles, R., "College as a Source of Black Alienation." Hournal of Black
    1979   Studies 9: 267-87.

28. Sims, W.E., Political Education in Black and White: A Case Study. Washington,
    1976   D.C.: University Press.

29. Standley, N.V., White Students Enrolled in Black Colleges and Universities:
    1978   Their Attitudes and Perceptions. Atlanta: Southern
                    Regional Education Board.

30. Thompson, D.C., Private Black Colleges at the Crossroads. Conn.: Greenwood.
    1973

31. Warnat, W., "The Role of White Faculty on the Black College Campus." Journal
    1976   of Negro Education 45: 334-8.

32. Wellman, D., Portraits of White Racism. New York: Cambridge University.
    1977