DCCUMENT RESUME

ED 259 890 SE 045 854

TITLE Reading, Language, Mathematics. 11th Grade--Spring,

1981. Washington Statewide Educational Assessment.

State General Report.

INSTITUTION Washington Office of the State Superintendent of

Public Instruction, Olympia.

PUB DATE Oct 81 NOTE 41p.

FUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Achievement Rating; Educational Assessment; *Grade

11; High Schools; *Language Arts; *Mathematics
Achievement; *Reading Achievement; *State Surveys;

*Test Results

IDENTIFIERS *Washington

ABSTRACT

The Washington State Legislature requires that a sample of public school eleventh grade students be tested at least once every 4 years in the basic skills of reading, language arts and mathematics. A statewide assessment of eleventh grade achievement was made in 1981 using the California Achievement Test Form C. This report describes the procedures and results of the statewide survey. The specific subtest results include the areas of: (1) reading vocabulary; (2) reading comprehension; (3) spelling; (4) language mechanics; (5) language expression; (6) mathematics computation; and (7) mathematics concepts and applications. The appendices contain the mean raw scores and scale scores for each subtest and total battery and the complete item summary report for the assessment. (ML)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made * from the original document.



WASHINGTON STATEWIDE EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT

READING, LANGUAGE, MATHEMATICS

11th Grade -- Spring, 1981

Dr. Frank B. Brouillet Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dr. Monica Schmidt
Assistant Superintendent
Division of Instructional and Professional Services

Dr. Alfred F. Rasp Director, Testing and Evaluation

Dr. Gordon B. Ensign, Jr. Supervisor, Testing and Evaluation

This report is made in compliance with RCW 28A.03.360

October, 1981



WASHINGTON STATEWIDE EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT

STATE GENERAL REPORT

ELEVENTH GRADE

INTRODUCTION

In 1976 the Washington State Legislature enacted legislation (RCW 28A.03.360) which created the Washington Statewide Educational Assessment Program. The State Assessment Program requires that a sample of public school 11th grade students be tested at least once every four years in the basic skills of reading, language arts, and mathematics. Pursuant to the legislation a sample of 11th grade students was tested in the Spring of 1977 using test items available from the National Assessment of Educational Progress. The results of the 1977 11th grade assessment were published in August, 1977.

In the spring of 1981 a carefully selected sample of 11th grade students was tested with the California Achievement Test (CAT). The sample was selected to allow the results to be generalized to the more than 59,000 lith grade students in Washington's public schools. The Legislature's stated purpose for these surveys is to allow the public and the Legislature to judge how Washington 11th graders' achievement in reading, language, and mathematics compares with 11th grade achievement nationally. The remainder of this report describes the procedures and results of the second statewide assessment of 11th grade achievement.



OVERVIEW OF ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

It was determined that the range of items available from the National Assessment item pool was too restricted to provide adequate coverage of Washington's basic skills programs. Therefore, the decision was made to seek a more comprehensive test of achievement in the basic skills. The Superintendent issued a "Request for Proposals" to the publishers of survey tests of basic skills widely used in the State of Washington. The Superintendent's "RFP" detailed the kinds of services, score reports and interpretation materials required for the testing program. Responses to the Superintendent's RFP were received from five publishers. Based on the unanimous recommendations of an independent review panel, the California Achievement Test (ĈAT) Form C, was selected for use at grades 4, 8, and 11 as prescribed by RCW 28A.03.360

For the Spring, 1981 llth grade testing, a two-stage cluster sample design, for the total grade 11 population was developed and implemented. In the first stage 64 schools enrolling 11th grade students were selected. The second stage involved requesting 11th grade rosters from each school selected in the first stage. From these rosters, a sub-sample of students was selected from each school. This sample design resulted in a probability sample of students with each student in the total population having an equal probability of selection thus resulting in a self-weighting sample.

GENERAL STATE LEVEL RESULTS

The CAT provides scores in seven basic skill areas: reading vocabulary, reading comprehension, spelling, language mechanics, language expression,



mathematics computation and mathematics concepts and applications. The CAT results for the sample of Washington 11th graders are reported in two ways: the mean national percentile ranks and the percentages of students scoring in the four norm group quarters on each of the seven sub-tests, the three sub-test totals and the combined battery total.

A percentile rank describes the relative position of a student within a specified group of students. The specified group of students is called the norm group. When the norm group is based on a national sample of students, the score is called a national percentile rank. A percentile rank score indicates the percentage of students in the norm group whose scores were equal to or lower than the score reported. The mean percentile rank indicates the average score of a group of scores converted to the corresponding percentile rank in the national norm group. The average score in the national norm group always corresponds to the 50th percentile. To the extent a specific group's mean percentile rank exceeds the 50th percentile, it can be concluded that the general level of the group's performance exceeds that of the national norm group.

The mean percentile ranks for Washington were calculated by converting the raw scores (number correct on the test) of each student to a scale-score. (Scale-scores are special scores that have mathematical characteristics that allow them to be averaged without distorting the scores' meaning.) The Washington students' scale scores were then averaged on each sub-test and the resulting mean scale-score for the sub-test was converted to its equivalent national percentile rank for comparison purposes.



Because the results reported are based on a sample and not the entire population of 11th grade students, the scores are estimates of the population scores. That is, the scores are the estimates of what the scores would have been if all 11th grade students had been tested. Because the sample was carefully designed and selected, the error associated with these estimates is very small and can be calculated. In fact, one of the advantages of a carefully designed sample is that error variance can be calculated. This is not the case when an entire population is surveyed, though error is present even in surveys of entire populations. Appendix A gives the standard errors associated with each of the mean scores on each sub-test of the CAT. The error range in percentile units can also be calculated using the publisher's empirical norms tables.

In addition to the mean percentile scores, the percentages of students scoring in each of the national norm group quarters provide another basis for analyzing Washington's performance on the CAT. The national norm group's scores were divided by the publisher into four equal groups or quarters: first quarter (percentile ranks 1-25), second quarter (percentile ranks 26-50), third quarter (percentile ranks 51-75), and fourth quarter (percentile ranks 76-99). It follows that 25% of the students in the national norm group had scores in each quarter. If Washington's distribution of scores was like that of the national norm group, 25% of Washington's scores would be in each quarter. To the exent the percentages of Washington's scores in each quarter vary, Washington's performance differed (higher or lower) from the national norm group. The two middle quarters (percentiles 26-75) are generally considered an "average" range of achievement.



Table 1 provides the percentages of Washington 11th grade students scoring in each of the norm group quarters for each of the sub-tests on the CAT.

At the bottom of each sub-test column the mean national percentile rank is included for each sub-test.

TABLE 1.* PERCENTAGES OF WASHINGTON ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS SCORING IN EACH QUARTER AND MEAN NATIONAL PERCENTILE RANK ON THE CAT

	NORM GROUP QUARTERS	READ VOCAB	READ COMP	READ TOTAL	SPELL	LANG MEÇH	LANG EXPR	LANG TOTAL	HATH COMP	MATH CO/AP	MATH TOTAL	TOTAL BATT
4th		27%	34%	31%	.27%	26%	27%	25%	27%	27%	27%	29%
3rd.		412	32%	362	28%	37%	31%	34%	37%	36%	38%	36%
2nd		21%	24%	24%	27%	26%	292	30X	26%	27%	25%	26%
lst		10%	102	10%	192	12%	132	112	10%	112	10%	92
Mean Nat'l Zile Rank	50	62	63	62	57	58	61	57	61	61	62	61

^{*}Percentages and percentile ranks have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

The results in Table 1 indicate that compared with the national norm group, Washington's scores were consistently high across all sub-tests. Even Washington's lowest mean percentile ranks (57 in the spelling and language total sub-tests) are significantly above the mean percentile rank of 50 for the national norm group. In no case does the percentage of Washington



students in the lowest quarter exceed 19%, and in all cases the percentages of Washington students in the upper quarters equals or exceeds 25%. On the total battery score 29% of Washington's students scored in the upper quarter and only 9% scored in the lower quarter compared with the national norm group's results of 25% each in the upper and lower quarters.

SPECIFIC SUB-TEST RESULTS

The California Achievement Test surveys students in seven basic skill areas. These areas are tested by separate sub-tests within the total battery. This section of the report focuses on the performance of Washington's 11th graders on each of the CAT sub-tests.

Reading Vocabulary

Vocabulary knowledge is important for understanding concepts and verbal and written communication. Level 19 of the CAT focuses on three general areas of vocabulary skills: words with the same meaning, words with opposite meanings and multimeaning words. Words of the same or opposite meanings are tested by placing the "stimulus" word in a phrase and asking the student to select the word with the same (or opposite) meaning from a group of four words. Words with multimeanings are tested in sentences where context is important to determine the intended word meaning. There are 30 items on the reading vocabulary sub-test and Washington students answered an average of 70% correct compared to an average of 62% correct for the national norm group.



Reading Comprehension

Reading comprehension includes word recognition and word meaning skills; but these decoding and vocabulary skills are only a means to comprehension. Successful reading comprehension requires the student to attach meaning to the broader sentences and concepts which make up a reading passage. Reading comprehension on the CAT is divided into literal, interpretive, and critical comprehension. Literal comprehension concerns what is actually stated in a passage—the recognition and recall of facts. Interpretive comprehension requires students to determine (infer) what is implied in a passage. Such skills as identifying main ideas, drawing conclusions, recognizing cause and effect relationships, analyzing characters and interpreting figurative language are included in interpretive comprehension. Critical comprehension tests student's skills in evaluating and making judgments about what they read. Separating fact from opinion or recognizing when important information is missing are some of the skills tested in critical comprehension.

There is a total of 40 items on the CAT reading comprehension sub-test. On the average, Washington's 11th graders answered 72% of the items correctly compared with 64% correct in the national norm group.

Spelling

The items on the CAT spelling sub-test are organized around sounds-symbols (phonemes-graphemes) and the structural units of words (morphemes). The items emphasize the frequent errors that occur within each category. Spelling problems are tested in the consonant group and the vowel group, as well as, the structural or morphemic units of words. The test items



consist of underlined words presented in sentences. Students choose the incorrect word from three underlined words or if there are no misspellings they select the answer choice "none." Washington's students answered an average of 60% of the 20 items on the spelling test correctly compared with 56% correct in the norm group.

Language Mechanics

The language mechanics sub-test consists of 25 items testing capitalization and punctuation skills. The ten capitalization items ask students to decide which of four underlined words in a sentence requires a capital letter. Each sentence also contains an alternate choice of "none" if no word requires a capital letter. The 15 punctuation items require students to determine which one of four punctuation marks included in a sentence is required. Again the choice "none" is provided if no additional punctuation is needed.

Washington students answered 70% of the language mechanics items correctly compared with 64% correct in the norm group.

Language Expression

The language expression sub-test of the CAT focuses on the ability to apply language skills in written work. Students do no write in the test, but students must recognize the appropriate use of such writing concepts as subject-verb agreement, modifying and transitional words or phrases, and complete, incomplete or run-on sentences. Students' knowledge of parts of speech such as pronouns, nouns, adjectives and adverbs used in the context of sentences is also tested.



A third language expression area requires students to arrange related sentences in a logical or appropriate sequence based on the meaning of the group of sentences.

Of the 38 items on the language expression sub-test, Washington students answered 71% correct compared to 66% correct in the norm group.

Mathematics Computation

The CAT contains a sampling of computation skills commonly taught in the public schools. Items include problems requiring the addition, subtraction, Eultiplication, and division of whole numbers, fractions, mixed numbers, decimals, and algebraic expressions. Common errors in computation are incorporated into the alternative answer choices, thus adding a diagnostic dimension to the computation sub-test.

There are 40 items on the mathematics computation sub-test. Washington's lith graders averaged 70% of the items correct compared with the norm group's 62% average correct.

Mathematics Concepts and Applications

The concepts and applications sub-test of the CAT tests a broad range of mathematics skills with emphasis on concepts and their application rather than on isolated facts. The objectives measured in this sub-test include numeration, number theory, number sentences, and number properties.

Generally, these items test the student's knowledge of the symbols and words that represent numbers, the organization of these symbols, and the rules that govern these symbols. Other items in the CAT mathematics



concepts and applications sub-test focus on geometry, measurement, graphs and functions. All items have four possible answer choices with a fifth choice, "none of the above" to discourage uninformed guessing. The Washington 11th graders answered correctly an average of 67% of the 45 concepts and applications items compared with 60% correct for the norm group.

Appendix B of this report contains the complete Item Summary Report for the 1981 11th grade CAT administration.

LIMITATIONS OF THE REPORT

The results reported have several important limitations:

- 1. The California Achievement Test measures a limited number of skills in reading, spelling, language, and mathematics. There are other important skills in these areas not tested by the CAT.

 There are also many other important subject areas not tested such as science, music, history, foreign languages, or art as well as citizenship, study habits, or attitudes toward school.
- Many factors influence students' success in school. These test results can offer some information about the degree of success, but they provide little information about causes.



- 3. Because of the nature of the sampling process, the results reported in this document are applicable only to the total group of public school 11th graders in Washington in May, 1981. No generalizations can or should be made to specific schools or school districts. Districts who routinely use the CAT with their 11th grade students could, of course, compare their students' performance with the state performance described in this report.
- 4. Finally, test results, thoughtfully used, can provide valuable information about students' strengths and weaknesses and the effectiveness of instructional programs. However, test results should always be used in context with other factors such as local program priorities, school climate and allocation of instructional time and resources.



Appendix A

Below are the mean raw scores and scale scores together with their standard errors for each sub-test, sub-test total and total battery, on the May, 1981, Washington State 11th grade test.

Also provided are the mean national percentile scores which were derived by converting the mean scale scores to their corresponding percentile ranks taken from the publisher's empirical norms table.

Washington Statewide Assessment Program California Achievement Test Grade 11 - Level 19C Spring 1981

	READ VOCAD	READ COMP	READ TOTAL	SPELL	LANG MECH	LANG EXPR	LANG TOTAL	MATII COMP	PATIR CO/AP	L'ATII TOTAL	TOTAL.
Yean Raw Score Standard Error	21 0.244	29 0.258	50 0.479		17 0.163	27 0.226		28 0.319		58 0.620	165 1.469
Veca Scale Score Standard Error		650 2.821	652 2.932	637 2.797	632 2.848	637 3.161	638 3.155	642 3.039	642 2.980	643 3.035	646 3.137
Meen Mat'l Percentile Mank	62	63	64	58	58	59	58	62	60	62	62



Appendix B

Washington Statewide Assessment Program

California Achievement Test

11th Grade - Level 19C

Spring, 1981

STATE ITEM SUMMARY REPORT

The following pages contain the complete Item Summary Report for the 1981 llth grade assessment. The percentage of students answering each item correctly is presented by sub-test. The items are grouped by concept or skill area and by the CAT objective they measure. A complete description of the objectives, concepts, and skills measured by the CAT is provided in the scope and sequence section of the Test Coordinator's Manual.

Three columns of percentages are reported. The first column reports the percentage of students in Washington answering each item correctly. The second column reports the percentages for the National reference (norm) group. The third column reports the difference in percentage points between Washington and the norm group. When the difference favors Washington, no symbol precedes the percent in column three. When the difference favors the norm group, a minus sign precedes the percentage.

I004B7.00



State Right Response Summary

The State Right Response Summary provides an analysis of the performance of the sample of Washington eleventh graders on individual and groups of items in the California Achievement Test. The analysis is first divided by subtests (Test 1 -- Reading Vocabulary, Test 2 -- Reading Comprehension, etc.). The second division is by content domain (literal comprehension, interpretive comprehension, etc.). The third dimension is based on category objectives such as recall of facts, inferred meaning, etc.

For each of the items, the following information is provided about the students' performance:

- 1. The percentages (PCT) of students omitting, giving wrong, or giving right responses;
- 2. The percentage of students in the national norm group (national reference group) answering the item correctly. These figures displayed in the three right-hand columns are item difficulty values for each applicable grade at the time the CAT was "normed." The center column (11.7) corresponds to the time of year Washington students were tested and is therefore the percentage to be compared with Washington's "right percentage;" and
- 3. The difference between Washington's and the norm group's percents correct for each item and objective (far right-hand column).



State Right Response Summary California Achievement Test May, 1981 - Form C, Level 19

			M1TS PCT	LOCAL WRUNG PCT	-R 16HT PC T	11-2	REFERE GRADE- 11.70 -RIGHT- PCT	11.8	DIFFERENCE LOC - NAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT
i AĎ	ING VOCABULARY SECTION STUDENT COUNT = 1182	**	2	28	70	. 60	62	63	*
	OBJECTIVE 32 - SAME MEANING	**	1	30	69	50	60	61	<u> </u>
	UI INCREDIBLE. UNUELIEVABLE 02 LABORIOUS. STREMUOUS 03 ERRATIC. IRREGULAR 04 RATIONAL. REASONABLE 05 ASPHYXIATION. SUFFUCATION 06 AFFRONT. INSULT 07 ULTINATUM. FINAL DEMAND 08 NULLIFY. VUID 09 ACCENTUATE. EMPHASIZE 10 ENVIATLE. DESIRABLE 11 VALID. SOUND 12 AMIABLE. AGREEABLE 13 SCATHING. HARSH 14 SIRENE. PEACEFUL 15 NUNCHALANTLY. CASUALLY 16 DEPICT. DESCRIBEE 17 INTERVENES. INTERFERES 18 MALLEABLE. PLIABLE 19 GIBLS. TAUNTS 20 UNFOUNDED. GROUNDLESS		001022210001111221111221	3 17 18 11 28 68 23 38 28 28 29 27 19 30 17 458 34	97 82 81 89 70 30 75 62 71 63 64 64 70 72 81 62 51 62 51	92 67 67 72 57 27 68 58 56 53 61 42 56 63 64 64 35 52	92 70 67 74 60 30 66 62 56 64 45 65 66 70 42 39	93 71 68 75 61 30 66 63 57 67 44 59 66 68 66 71 43 39 57	5 12 14 15 10 0 9 0 13 7 10 2 12 7
	DUJECTIVE 33 - UPPOSITE MEANING	**	3	33	65	54	55	55	10
	21 MANDATURY. OPTIONAL 22 IGNUBLE. HUNURABLE 23 DETRIMENTAL. BENEFICIAL 24 MALIGN. PHAISE 25 REFUTE. AFFIRM		1 3 2 4 3	13 27 27 51 44	85 70 71 45 53	65 62 56 41 44	66 63 57 42 46	66 64 58 42 47	19 7 14 3 7
	UDJECTIVE 34 - MULTIMEANING	**	•	13	63	75	76	76	7
	26 SITE, SIGHT, CITE 27 DELIBERATE 28 CUMPLEX 29 FLARE, FLAIR 30 FRAY		4 4 5	16 13 5 4 25	78 84 90 92 70	69 75 83 68 60	69 75 83 88 63	69 75 83 86 64	7



		M1TS- PCT	LOCAL TRONG PCT	-R 1GHT PCT	11.2	REFERS -GRADE- 11.7* -RIGHT- PCT	11.8	DIFFERENCE LOC - MAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT
DING:COMPREHENSION T-SECTION:STIDENT COUNT = 1183	**	i	27	72	62	64	64	•
LITERAL COMPREHENSION	**	1	32	67	56	58	58	9
UBJECTIVE 36 - RECALL OF FACTS	**	1	32	67	56	58	58	<u></u>
31 PERSON 35 EVENT 47 EVENT 52 EVENT 61 PLACE 65 PLACE 53 SEQUENCE		0 1 1 2 3 2	17 24 31 50 17 43 42	83 76 69 50 81 54 56	75 65 56 41 68 43 46	75 67 59 43 68 45 49	76 67 60 43 68 46 49	8 9 10 7 13 9 7
INTERPRETIVE COMPREHENSION	**	1	29	70	60	62	62	
UBJECTIVE 38 - INFERRED MEANING	**	1	32	67	57	59	549	
51 MAIN IDEA 63 MAIN IDEA 37 CUNCLUSION 38 CUNCLUSION 49 CUNCLUSION 62 CUNCLUSION 48 CAUSE/EFFECT 50 CAUSE/EFFECT 66 CAUSE/EFFECT		030012013	14 44 15 23 16 34 67 46 26	85 54 85 77 83 64 33 53	69 41 77 69 73 53 28 47	70 43 78 70 74 55 30 49 60	70 43 78 70 74 56 30 49	15 11 7 7 9 9 3 4
OBJECTIVE 39 - CHARACTER ANALYSIS	**	2	37	61	53	55	56	<u>6</u>
32 FEELING 33 FEELING 67 NOTIVE 36 TRAIT 34 COMPARE CHARACTERS 64 COMPARE CHARACTERS		1 0 4 0 1 3	60 38 21 21 41 42	40 62 75 79 58 54	31 58 59 74 53 42	35 59 60 75 54 45	35 59 61 76 54	5 3 15 4 4 9
OBJECTIVE 40 - FIGURATIVE LANGUAGE	**	1	18	81	70	73	73	6
S4 SIMILE S6 SIMILE S7 METAPHOR G0 METAPHOR S5 HYPERBOLE S9 UNDMATOPOEIA S8 PERSONIFICATION		0 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 30 16 19 5 31	90 69 83 80 94 68	78 58 71 70 85 58 72	81 62 76 71 85 60 75	82 63 77 71 84 60 75	9 7 7 9 9

• - THIS VALUE REPRESENTS A LINEAR INTERPOLATION HETWEEN THE TWO DUTER VALUES. ** - AVERAGES OF INCLUDED STEMS

* - THIS VALUE REPRISENTS A LINGAR INTERPOLATION DETWEEN THE TWO OUTER VALUES. ** - AVERAGES OF INCLUDED ITEMS



DIFFERENCE

		11 TS-	LOCAL WRONG PCT	RIGHT PCT		GRADE	11.6	DIFFERENCE LDC - NAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT
LLING ST-SECTION STUDENT COUNT = 1182	••	1	39	60	\$6	>4	56	4
UNJECTIVE 44 - CONSUMENT PHONEMES/GRAPHEMES	••	0	30	62	57	57	57	8
09 C/S/ (ADVERCE, ADVERSE) 15 SENT SGE ETR (AJOURNED, ADJUURNED) 12 SENT USE ETR (AC(C)OMMUDATIONS) 16 SENT USE ETR (PUS(S) HIS ETR) U4 CK/K/ (BARNAKS, BARRACKS)		0 1 0	39 51 38 35 27	61 48 62 64 73	60 44 52 56 72	60 60	90 44 63 61 67	10
UNJECTIVE 45 - VONEL PHONEMES/GRAPHEMES	**	1	41	50	95	84	54	4
UZ CUM'SIN IE (GREIVANCE) GRIEVANCE) 17 V-C-FINAL E (ADVOCATA ADVOCATE) US SCHJA (CUNSTAJCCHSTELLATIUNS) 07 SCHJA (ACEDENICA ACAGENIC) 20 R-CIMTRL UR (PRUJECTER» PROJECTOR)		0 0 2	30 18 55 43 60	70 81 45 57 38	43 74 44 50 37	61 72 42 50 37	61 72 41 60 36	9 6 3 - 1
OUJECTIVE 46 - MORPHENIC UNITS	**	1	40	59	54	86	96	4
10 FINAL E -ING (PROBEING, PROBING) 08-DBL FAL LIR (CUMFERED, COMFERRED) 14 -ENCE (UCCUMENCE, DECUMENCE) 18 -ABLE (ADMINEABLE, ADMIRABLE) 06 EXTRA (EXTR/EXTRAORDINAPY) 19 DIS (UISATISFIED, DISSATISFIED) 01 -IBLE (INAUDABLE, INAUDIBLE) 13 -TIUM (UISTRIBUSION, DISTRIBUTION)		1011002000	20 39 52 43 36 58 90 21	79 61 47 56 64 41 49 78	72 56 40 56 60 42 47 70	72 36 41 54 61 43 44	72 96 41 54 61 43 43	7 5 0 2 3 - 2 5
CURHECT WORDS - NUT AN OBJECTIVE	••	•	36	63	50	61	62	\$
03 CLASSIFYING. LOGICAL. SEQUENCE 11 HEILE. SWEATER. GUARANTEED		0	28 45	72 55	67 48	64 54	69 55	1



· ·								•
		•				GRADE-		DIFFERENCE LOC - NAT 11.7 -11.7
			LOCAL WRONG PCT	-RIGHT PCT	11.2. RIGHT- PCT	11.7. RIGHT- PCT	R IGHT	RIGHT PCT
AGE-MECHANICS SECTION:STUDENT COUNT = 1181	**	0	30	70	63	64	65	6
CAPITALIZATIUN	**	0	34	66	60	₃ 62	42	4
OBJECTIVE 48 - 1/PROPER FOUNS/ADJECTIVES	**	0	26	74	60	70	70	
DI GEUGRAPHICAL NAME. AREA		0	20 9	80 91	70 81	72 8 0	72 80	
JU9-GLUGRAPHICAL_NAME. MOUNTAIN		×	35	65	54	58	59	7
US PERSUNAL NAME		ă	25	75	73	73	73	2
Ú3-PROPER-ADJECTIVE. GEOG -08-PROPER ADJECTIVE. GEOG		ŏ	40	60	64	65	66	
UBJECTIVE 50 - BEGINNING WORDS/TITLES	**	0	45	55	50	52	52	3
02 BEGIN WORD. GUOTATION		0	54	46	44	46	46	0
10 BEGIN WORD. GUOTATION		ŏ	43	57	52 52	53	53	•
06 BEGIN WURD. SALUTATION		0	44	56	52	53	53	3
OF TITLE. BUOK			39	61	52	54	54	<u></u>
PUNCTUATION	**	0	28	72	65	66	66	6
OUJECTIVE 52 - END MARKS/COLDN/SENICOLON	**	0	42	57	51	53	53	
13 OVESTION MARK		0	24	75	70	72	73	3 11
18 EXCLAMATION POINT		0	27	73	61	62	62 77	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
12 CULUN. HOUN/MINUTE		0	10	82	73 32	76 35	36	Š
25 COLUN, LIST		I	59 84	40 16	32 17	16	18	- ž
22 SENICULUN								
DUJECTIVE 53 - COMMA	**	<u> </u>	29	70	62	62		
14 INTRODUCTURY WORD		0	11	89	80	82 64	82 64	7 5
16 CLAUSE SEPARATUR		Ö	31	69 71	66 59	61	61	10
19 DIRECT ADDRESS		•	29 36	63	57	57	57	6
23 QUOTATION		•	40	59	47	48	46	11
24 INTERPUPTER		: -			80	81	01	7
OPJECTIVE 54 - QUUTATION MARKS	**		12	89				
11 UNDIVIDED		0	20	96 80	88 71	90 73	90 73	7
17 DIVIDED		ŭ.	15	85	77	76	78	7
20 DIVIUED		ŏ	io	90	83	84	· 84	6
21 TITLE/SHORT WORK	**		16	84	78	79	80 .	5
CORRECT SENTENCES - NOT AN OBJECTIVE		 -						

* - THIS VALUE REPRESENTS A LINEAR INTERPOLATION RETWEEN THE TWO OUTER VALUES.

** - AVERAGES OF INCLUDED ITEMS



,	OMITS PCT	LOCAL -URONG PCT	i-RIGHT PCT		MEFLA MADE 11.79 -RIGHT PCT	11.0 -RIGHT PCT	DIFFERENCE LOC - MAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT
U4 NU CAPS NEEDED	. 0	17 15	#2 #5	77	78 86	70 01	*

* - THIS VALUE REPRESENTS A LINEAR INTERPOLATION BETWEEN THE TWO OUTER VALUES.

** - AVERAGES OF INCLUDED ITEMS



	•			M1T\$ PCT	LOCAL -WRONG PCT			GRADE-	11.8	DIFFERENCE LOC - NAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT
	JAGE: EXPRESSION SECTION STUDENT COUNT =	1177	**	0	. 29	71	65	66	67	5
	USAGE		**	0	33	67	63	- 64	66	3 .
	ORJECTIVE 57 - PRONOU	K S	**	0	48	52	52	53	54	- 1
	26 PERSONAL. PUSSE 28 PERSONAL. OBJEC 30 PERSONAL. UBJECT 29 PERS. NOMINATIV 27 RELATIVE (WHO.	TIVE (IT. THEM) (SHE, HER, HERSELF) E (HE,HIM, HIMSELF)		0 0 0	48 59 53 45 30	52 40 47 51	48 34 54 51 72	50 36 54 53 72	51 37 55 54 72	2 4 - 7 - 2 - 2
	UHJLCTIVE 58 - VERBS		**		17	82	74	76	76	6
	31 AGREEMENT 32 AGREEMENT 33 TENSE PAST PER 34 TENSE FUTUNE P 35 VOICE PASSIVE			0 0 0	39 14 14 14 7	61 86 86 86 93	52 76 79 77 87	54 78 80 80 87	54 79 80 80 87	7 8 6 6
	SENTENCE STRUCTURE		**	0	28	72	66	68	68	4
	UBJECTIVE 60 - SUBJEC	TS/VERES	**	0	55	45	42	43	43	2
	42 SUBJECT 43 SUBJECT 44 SUBJECT 45 VERB 46 VERB 47 VERB			0000	40 54 45 62 64 66	60 46 55 38 36 34	58 39 43 39 32 40	59 41 45 39 33 40	59 41 46 40 33 40	1 5 10 - 1 - 3 - 6
	OBJECTIVE 62 - MUDIFY	ING/TRANSITIONAL WORDS	**	0	16	84	75	77	77	7
	36 MUDIFIER® ADVER 37 MUDIFIER® ADVER 38 MUDIFIER® ADJEC 39 TRANSITIONAL® A 40 TRANSITIONAL® A 41 TRANSITIONAL®	8 Tive Dver8 Dver8		0	8 10 10 11 32 25	92 89 90 89 68 75	86 85 80 81 57 62	86 84 81 83 60 66	86 84 82 84 61 67	6 5 9 6 8 9
	UBJECTIVE 64 - VERBUS	1TY/REPETITION	**	0	25	75	66	71	72	4
	49 VERBOSITY 57 VIRBOSITY 51 REPETITION 53 REPETITION			0	23 12 17 35	77 87 83 65	66 80 77 55	72 82 80 62	73 83 80 63	5 5 3 3

^{* -} THIS VALUE REPRESENTS A LINEAR INTERPOLATION BETWEEN THE TWO DUTER VALUES. ** - AVERAGES OF INCLUDED ITEMS

ERIC

		ITS CT	LOCAL WRONG- PCT		11.2 RIGHT	-GRADE 11.74 -RIGHT	11.8	DIFFERENCE LOC - NAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT
55 REPETITION		0	36	64	54	60	61	4
DUJECTIVE 65 - HISPLACED HUDIFIERS/NONPARALLEL	**	Ø	13	67	82	83	#3	4
50 HISPLACED MODIFIER 52 HISPLACED MODIFIER 60 HISPLACED MODIFIER 40 NUMPARALLEL STRUCTURE 54-NUMPARALLEL STRUCTURE		00000	23 8 5 14 13	76 92 95 86 87	73 67 69 63 78	71 88 89 85 60	70 86 90 85 80	5 4 6 1 7
PARAGRAPH URGANIZATIUN	**	1	24	75	66	66	66	9
DEJECTIVE 68 - SEQUENCE/TOPIC.CONCLUDING SENTENCE	**	1	24	75	66	66	66	9
58 SENIENCE SEQUENCE 59 SENTENCE SEQUENCE 60 TUPIC SENTENCE DEVELOPMENT 61 TUPIC SENTENCE DEVELOPMENT 62 CONCLUDING SENTENCE 63 CUNCLUDING SENTENCE		0 1 1 2 2 2 2	10 14 56 20 16 30	90 85 43 78 82 68	82 79 37 67 73 57	83 80 38 64 72 58	83 81 38 64 72 58	7 5 5 14 10

** - AVERAGES OF INCLUDED ITEMS * - THIS VALUE REPRESENTS A LINEAR INTERPOLATION BETWEEN THE TWO OUTER VALUES.



	•		1115- *CT	LOCAL TRUNG- PCT	-R 1GHT PCT	11.2	REFERI GRADE- 11.70 RIGHT- PCT	11.0	DIFFERENCE LOC - NAT 11.7 - 11.7 RIGHT PCT
	MATICS CUMPUTATION SECTION-STUDENT COUNT = 1171	••	2	20	70	65	62	62	
	CHILCTIVE 69 - ADDITION	••	0	22	17	70	70	78	7
23	OJ UNLIKE PHACTIONS 24 MIXED NUMMERS 21 DECIMAL PHACTIONS MORIZ US DECIMAL MUNNERS MORIZ 01 WHOLE NO + DEC NO. HORIZ, NO REGR 04 WHOLE NO + DEC NO. HORIZ, NORER 23 MIXED NUMBER + DECIMAL NUMBER 02 MINEY, NO REGR 22 PUS INTEGENS + MEG INTEGER 25 FPACTIONAL ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSIONS		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	22 43 18 12 5 8 22 15 23	76 54 82 88 95 92 77 85 76	60 50 70 01 91 06 62 83 66 39	60 50 75 79 90 84 63 83 65	· 66 56 75 79 90 84 63 83 66 40	10 6 7 9 5 8 14 22 11 3
	CHIJECTIVE 70 - SUBTRACTION	**	1	28	71	•	63	63	•
	08 UNLIKE FRACTIONS 19 MIXED NUMBERS 09 DECIMAL FRACTIONS; HDRIZ 10 WHOLE NU - DECIMAL MU» HURIZ 26 DECIMAL NO - DECIMAL FR» HORIZ 06 DEC N() - BHULE NU» HORIZ» NO REGR 27 MIXED NUMBER - DECIMAL NUMBER 07 MUNEY» HORIZ 28 PUS INTEGER - NEG INTEGER 30 FRACTIONAL ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSIONS		300102024	30 52 13 16 23 5 29 17 39	70 44 87 84 76 95 68 83 59 43	59 45 79 88 63 89 60 77 48 38	30 43 78 79 63 88 56 76 50	60 42 78 79 63 86 50 76 51	10 1 9 5 13 7 10 7
	OBJECTIVE 71 - MULTIPLICATION	••	2	27	71	62	63	64	
	13 FRACTION X WHOLE NUMBER 31 UNLIKE FRACTIONS 14 VHULE NUMBER X DECIMAL FRACTION 32 DECIMAL FIRACTIONS 12 DECIMAL NUMBERS. HORIZ 13 DECIMAL NO X DECIMAL FR 34 MIXED NUMBER X DECIMAL NUMBER 15 2 NEGATIVE INTEGERS 33 FRACTIONAL ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSIONS 35 ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSIONS		0304006055	21 23 17 29 12 12 44 29 40 39	79 74 83 67 88 49 71 55	69 - 62 69 57 82 80 43 63 47 46	69 63 69 59 82 81 45 64 51	69 63 69 59 82 61 45 65 52 52	10 11 14 6 6 7 4 7
	UNJECTIVE 72 - DIVISION	_ ••	4	34	62	51	52	52	10
The at 4 %	19 FRACTION / WHOLE NUMBER 40 MIXED NUMBER / FRACTION 39 MIXED NUMBERS		3 8	49 .45 38	51 46 54	43 41 45	43 41 45	43 41 45	8 5 9

^{. -} THIS VALUE REPRESENTS A LINEAR INTERPOLATION HETWEEN THE TWO DUTER VALUES.

^{* -} AVERAGES OF INCLUDED ITEMS

	OHIT: PCT	LUCAL -WRONG PCT	,	11.2	REFER GRADE 11.70 -RIGHT PCT	11.0	DIFFERENCE LOC - NAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT	
17 DECIMAL FPACTIONS 16 DECIMAL NUMBERS 36 WHOLE NUMBER / DECIMAL FRACTION 20 DECIMAL FRACTION / MAULE NUMBER 18 DECIMAL NU / DECIMAL FR 37 :2-NEGATIVE INTEGERS 38 ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSIONS	0 6 0 1 7	21 22 27 41 26 29 39	79 78 67 59 74 64 53	62 63 51 45 56 56	61 62 51 47 58 59	61 62 51 47 57 59 50	18 16 16 12 16 5 3	mit audio do

+ - THIS VALUE, REPRESENTS A LINEAR INTERPOLATION NETWEEN THE TWO DUTER VALUES. ** - AVERAGES OF INCLUDED ITEMS

×			1118- CT	racyr racyr		HATIGHAL 11 -2 1100T- PCT	GRADL- 11.7*		DIFFERENCE LOC - MAT 31-7 -11-7 RIGHT PCT
MAINE	MATICS CUNCEPTS AND APPLICATIONS SECTION STUDENT COUNT = 1167	••	1	25	47	**	80	44	7
	UNJECTIVE 73 - NUMERATION	••	1	26	73	63	64	46	
	AP GREATEST VALUE. DEC NOS b) GREATEST VALUE. HUMBREDTH'S PLACE 46 EXPUNENTS. EUUIVALENT MUTATION 51 RIUMD TO MEAREST TENTH 75 SUUARE ROOT AS SCIENTIFIC NOTATION 73 EXPANDED NOTATION. FOUR-016		0 0 0 1 1 2	11 40 0 11 20 28 47	89 39 91 89 70 71	01 32 34 72 66 96	96 31 94 73 70 41 47	43 31 94 74 71 61	7 7 7 16 9 10 3
;	UBJECTIVE 74 - HUNDLE THEORY	••	2	38	44	•	61	42	5
	47 CUMMUSITE MUO SET INTERSECTION 48 DECINAL TO PRACTION 53 LEAST COMMON DEMONIMATOR HO FACTURSO ALG EXPRESSION HA ALG EXPRESSION FROM FACTORS 60 SEQUENCEO PUB/NEG FNACTIONS		100350	17 16 19 63 63	82 84 61 33 33 84	76 70 76 34 29 78	76 71 76 35 34 76	77 71 77 36 36 36	13 - 2 - 1
	UDJLCTIVE 79 - MUNDER SENTENCES/PROPERTIES	••	1	35	64	60	50	50	<u> </u>
**************************************	ST SULVE INEQUALITY, NUMBER LINE 69 SULVE FUR UNKNOWN 77 SULVE FUR LUKKHUMN 68 BUX AS PLACEHULDER, INTEGERS 43 ASSOCIATIVE PROPERTY, MULT 71 DISTRIBUTIVE PROPERTY, FULT 56 IDENTITY ELEM, MULT OF FRACTIONS		1221020	47 41 36 37 11 43 29	52 57 63 62 89 54 71	47 48 57 53 65 51	44 49 57 54 66 52 67	46 50 57 55 56 54	
	OBJECTIVE 81 - GEOMETRY	**	2	34	64	57	59		<u> </u>
	58 BASES OF SULID FIGURE 54 INTERSECTION OF PLAMES 59 PERPENDIQUEAR RAYS 85 HEASURE ANGLE IN PARALLELOGRAM 72 SIMILAR RECTANGLES		0	21 26 32 62 30	79 73 67 32 69	67 67 63 36 57	71 68 64 31 59	72 64 64 31 60	5 3 1
	OBJECTIVE B2 - MIASURENENT	**	2	33	66	56	54	56	10
	52 LENGTH, ADD/CUNVERT CENTINETERS 66 HASS, CONVERT KG TO GRANS 75 CAPACITY, CONVERT/DIVIDE LITER 65 OPENATIONS, DIVIDE KILUMETERS 74 AND FORMS A FIR TRIANGLE		1 2 1	14 39 40 34 32	45 60 57 65	76 49 44 55 61	75 51 46 54	75 51 46 54	10 9 11 11

				LDCAL WRONG- PCT			GRADE-	11.8	DIFFERENCE LOC - NAT 11.7 -11.7 RIGHT PCT
	76 AHEA, PARALLELÖGRAM		3.	37	60	50	50	50	10 .
26	UBJECTIVE 87 - FUNCTIONS AND GRAPHS	**	1	24	75	67	68	69	7
	64 FINCTION WITH 3 VARIABLES 67 FUNCTION WITH 3 VARIABLES 41 ONDERED PAIRS 44 ONDERED PAIRS 53 BAR GRAPH H3 CIRCLE GRAPH		1 2 0 0 0 4	37 25 5 6 17 52	61 73 95 94 83 44	56 65 85 65 72 37	56 65 87 87 75 40	56 65 88 87 76 41	6 8 9 7 0
	UNJECTIVE 88 - STORY PROBLEMS	**	2	36	63	53	54	56	9
	79 FRACTIONS DIVIDE BY MIXED NO 61 SET UP AND SULVE PROPORTION 70 RATE OF DISCOUNT 78 COMMISSION 82 DISCOUNT PRICE 49 LUGICAL DEDUCTION 63 LOGICAL DEDUCTION 46 PROBABILITY OF SIMPLE EVENT		3 1 1 3 4 0	54 36 46 35 47 14 39	43 63 51 62 49 86 61	36 56 41 48 35 78 57	38 56 42 49 38 79 99	39 56 42 49 39 79 60 74	5 7 9 13 11 7 2