

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 251 244

PS 014 791

**AUTHOR** Lopes, Alicia K.; Richman, Charles L.  
**TITLE** Effects of Pictorial Instruction on Paired-Associate Recall in First Graders.  
**SPONS AGENC.** National Inst. of Mental Health (DHEW), Bethesda, Md.; National Inst. of Mental Health (DHHS), Bethesda, Md.  
**PUB DATE** Mar 84  
**GRANT** NIMH-1R01-MH36491  
**NOTE** 11p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Southeastern Psychological Association (New Orleans, LA, March 29-31, 1984).  
**AVAILABLE FROM** Dept. of Psychology, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109.  
**PUB TYPE** Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)  
**EDRS PRICE** MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS.  
**DESCRIPTORS** \*Associative Learning; Cues; \*Elementary School Students; Grade 1; \*Imagery; Paired Associate Learning; Primary Education; \*Recall (Psychology); Rote Learning; Training; \*Visual Stimuli  
**IDENTIFIERS** Instructions; \*Integrated Imagery; Referents (Linguistics)

**ABSTRACT**

Twenty male and 20 female first graders were trained in a paired-associates (PA) learning task to test the hypothesis that instructions to generate interactive mental images of word referents and interactive imagery training administered prior to PA learning facilitate cued recall. Subjects were randomly assigned to one of the following five conditions: (1) separative imagery instructions with prior picture training; (2) interactive imagery instructions with picture training; (3) separative imagery instructions with no prior training; (4) interactive imagery instructions with no prior training; and (5) a control rote repetition group. Results of a 2 (pretraining condition) x 2 (imagery instructions) analysis of variance on number of trials to criterion indicated that instructing first graders to generate interacting images and providing them with interactive pictures prior to PA training facilitated cued recall. It was concluded that imagery instructions facilitate PA recall in first graders only when such instructions are made highly explicit.  
 (Author/RH)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Effects of Pictorial Instruction on  
Paired-Associate Recall in First Graders

Alicia K. Lopes and Charles L. Richman

Wake Forest University

Winston-Salem, North Carolina

This research was supported, in part, by funds from the National Institute of Mental Health, PHS, 1R01 MH36491 and the Wake Forest University Research and Publication Fund to the second author. We are grateful for the help of Ms. Kathryn Brown, Ms. Karen Blackwell and Ms. Debbie Hough for their contribution to this research. Requests for reprints should be sent to Charles L. Richman, Department of Psychology, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27109.

Running head: PA LEARNING--IMAGERY--CHILDREN

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Alicia K. Lopes

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

## Abstract

1  
2 Twenty male and 20 female first-graders were trained in a  
3 paired-associates (PA) learning task to test the hypothesis that  
4 instructions to generate interactive mental images of word referents  
5 and interactive imagery training administered prior to PA learning  
6 facilitate cued recall. Subjects were randomly assigned to one of  
7 the following five conditions: (1) separative imagery instructions  
8 with prior picture training; (2) interactive imagery instructions with  
9 picture training; (3) separative imagery instructions with no prior  
10 training; (4) interactive imagery instructions with no prior training;  
11 and, (5) a control rote repetition group. Results of a 2 (pretraining  
12 condition) x 2 (imagery instructions) analysis of variance on number  
13 of trials to criterion indicated that instructing first graders to  
14 generate interacting images and providing them with interactive  
15 pictures prior to PA training facilitated cued recall relative to  
16 subjects instructed to generate separate images, those who were not  
17 provided with pictures prior to training and subjects instructed to  
18 learn word pairs in a rote manner. It was concluded that imagery  
19 instructions facilitate PA recall in first graders only when such  
20 instructions are made highly explicit.



1 the concept of interacting images were employed prior to PA  
2 training.

### 3 Method

#### 4 Subjects & Procedure

5 Twenty male and 20 female first grade students with IQ scores  
6 ranging from 90 to 110 served as subjects.

7 Subjects were tested individually on a PA learning task. Two  
8 sets of eight noun pairs high in concreteness, imagery and  
9 meaningfulness (Paivio, Yuille, & Madigan, 1968) served as stimuli.  
10 Half the subjects in each group were presented with List 1 and the  
11 remaining half received List 2. A practice session consisting of  
12 eight PA items was used prior to training. The children who were  
13 presented with List 1 (List 2) during practice were subsequently  
14 trained on List 2 (List 1) for PA training and testing. The word  
15 pairs were presented auditorially on a tape recorder at 5-sec  
16 intervals. The children were instructed to use the strategy taught  
17 them during these intervals, and were periodically given a reminder  
18 of the pretraining instructions. A single study trial was followed  
19 by cued recall trials. The subjects were given 5 sec to respond to  
20 each stimulus. Stimulus-response and stimulus presentation orders  
21 were randomized for each set of study and test trials. Criterion  
22 was set at 8 out of 8 correct responses or 15 trials.

#### 23 Design

24 The experimental design consisted of a 2 x 2 factorial with the  
25 presence or absence of pretraining pictures and separative or

1 integrative instructions with eight children randomly assigned to each  
2 group. The subjects in the separative imagery group were instructed  
3 to form separate mental pictures ("in the head pictures") of the  
4 word referents. The children in the integrated image condition  
5 were instructed to form a mental picture of the word referents  
6 interacting in some way.

7 Pretraining with pictures was accomplished by presenting the  
8 children with three colored pictures depicting two objects separated  
9 (separative condition) or two objects interacting (integrative  
10 condition). Subjects trained in the no picture groups were instructed  
11 to form either separate or interacting images, but were not provided  
12 with pictures during pretraining. An additional group of eight  
13 children, the control group, were administered standard PA instructions,  
14 i.e., "Repeat the words over and over again until you hear the next  
15 two words."

#### 16 Results

17 A 2 (gender) x 2 (pretraining condition) x 2 (imagery instructions)  
18 analysis of variance was performed on the trials to cued recall  
19 criterion scores on the second set of eight PA items. Neither the  
20 main effects of gender, pretraining nor imagery were statistically  
21 significant,  $F_s(1, 24) = .21, 1.91, \text{ and } 1.61$ , respectively,  $p_s > .10$ .  
22 With the exception of the pretraining x imagery interactions,  
23  $F(1, 24) = 10.41$ ,  $p < .005$ , none of the interactions were statistically  
24 significant,  $p_s > .10$ . Table 1 presents the mean trials to criterion  
25

1 for the groups represented in the pretraining  $\times$  imagery interaction  
2 and the rote control group.

3  
4 

---

Insert Table 1 about here

---

5 The means in Table 1 indicate, and were verified via a Duncan  
6 Multiple Range Test, that subjects pretrained with pictures and  
7 instructed to generate integrated images reached criterion in fewer  
8 trials than the remaining three experimental groups,  $p_s < .05$ .  
9 Groups picture-separate, no picture-separate and no picture-integrate  
10 did not differ in their trials to criterion,  $p_s > .10$ . Furthermore,  
11 subsequent statistical comparisons showed that the rote control  
12 subjects required more trials to reach criterion than the  
13 picture-integrate group,  $p < .05$ , but the former did not differ from  
14 the remaining three experimental groups,  $p > .10$ . The List 1 versus  
15 List 2 trials to criterion mean scores were 8.5 and 8.0, respectively,  
16  $p > .10$ .

#### 17 Discussion

18 Several investigators have shown that first grade children's  
19 cued recall is facilitated when and only when interacting pictures  
20 or stimulus objects are provided during PA training (Danner & Taylor,  
21 1973; Milgram, 1967; Reese, 1967; Rohwer, 1967; Yuille & Catchpole,  
22 1974). The results of the present study demonstrated that  
23 instructing first graders to generate interacting images and  
24 providing them with interacting pictures prior to PA training  
25 facilitates cued recall relative to subjects instructed to generate



1 separate images, those who were not provided with pictures prior to  
2 training and subjects instructed to learn word pairs in a rote manner.

3 These findings suggest that first grade children are quite capable  
4 of generating interacting images, without the presence of pictures or  
5 stimuli during training. The failure of most first graders to employ  
6 effective imaginal strategies (Eoff & Rohwer, 1972; Wolff & Levin,  
7 1972) may have been due to the children's inability to comprehend the  
8 precise nature of the instructions to image.

9 An inference derived from previous research suggests that first  
10 grade children are in transition in the spontaneous use of imaginal  
11 strategies (see also Yuille & Catchpole, 1973). For example, instructing  
12 first graders to generate interacting images is not as an effective  
13 procedure in facilitating PA learning as having them generate sentences  
14 from word pairs; however, these strategies are equally effective for  
15 fourth graders (Kemler & Juszyk, 1975). Furthermore, instructions  
16 to image fails to facilitate PA learning in first grade students  
17 (Eoff & Rohwer, 1972; Wolff & Levin, 1972) unless instructions are  
18 accompanied by pictures during training and testing (Milgram, 1967;  
19 Reese, 1967; Rohwer, 1967). However, the facilitation of PA learning  
20 via imagery instructions does not require pictures during testing for  
21 students beyond the second grade (Bower, 1970; Cramer, 1981; Kemler &  
22 Juszyk, 1975). Based on the present results we suggest that in  
23 the absence of pictures during training and testing paired-associate  
24 learning in first graders is facilitated when, and only when, the  
25 instructions are made highly explicit, e.g., via interacting pictures.



## References

- 1  
2  
3 Bower, G. H. (1970). Imagery as a relational organizer in  
4 associative learning. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal  
5 Behavior, 9, 529-533.
- 6 Bower, G. H., & Winzenz, D. (1970). Comparison of associative learning  
7 strategies. Psychonomic Science, 20, 119-120.
- 8 Cramer, P. (1981). Imagery and Learning: Item recognition and  
9 associative recall. Journal of Educational Psychology, 73,  
10 164-173.
- 11 Danner, F. W., & Taylor, A. M. (1973). Integrated pictures and  
12 relational imagery training in children's learning. Journal of  
13 Experimental Child Psychology, 16, 47-54.
- 14 Eoff, J. E., & Rohwer, W. D. (1972). A developmental study of  
15 imagery instructions in noun-pair learning. Paper presented at the  
16 meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago,  
17 April, 1972.
- 18 Kemler, D. G., & Jusczyk, P. W. (1975). A developmental study of  
19 facilitation by mnemonic instruction. Journal of Experimental  
20 Child Psychology, 20, 400-410.
- 21 Milgram, N. A. (1967). Verbal context versus visual compound in  
22 paired associate learning by children. Journal of Experimental  
23 Child Psychology, 5, 597-603.
- 24 Paivio, A., Yuille, J. C., & Madigan, S. A. (1968). Concreteness,  
25 imagery and meaningfulness values for 925 nouns. Journal of  
Experimental Psychology Monographs Supplement, 76(1), 1-25.

- 1 Reese, H. W. (1967). Imagery in paired-associate learning in children.  
2 Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 2, 290-296.
- 3 Rohwer, W. D., Jr. (1967). Social class differences in the role of  
4 linguistic structures in paired-associate learning: Elaboration  
5 and learning proficiency. (Basic Research Project No. 5-0606,  
6 Contract No. OE 6-10-273), Washington, DC: United States Office  
7 of Education.
- 8 Wolff, P., & Levin, J. R. (1972). The role of overt activity in  
9 children's imagery production. Child Development, 43, 537-547.
- 10 Yuille, J. C., & Catchpole, M. J. (1974). The effects of delay  
11 and imagery training on the recall and recognition of object  
12 pairs. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 17, 474-481.
- 13 Yuille, J. C., & Catchpole, M. J. (1973). Associative learning  
14 and imagery training in children. Journal of Experimental Child  
15 Psychology, 16, 403-412.
- 16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Table 1

Mean Trials to Paired-Associate Learning Criterion as a Function  
of Imagery Instructions and Pretraining\*

		Imagery Instructions	
		Separative	Integrative
Pretraining	Without Pictures	5.6	7.7
	With Pictures	7.6	2.7**

\* Rote training group mean = 6.7

\*\* Statistically significant from all other groups,  $p < .01$ .