DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 242 180

EC 162 151

AUTHOR

Walker, Barbara J.; And Others

TITLE

Instructional Intervention That Works: Case Study

Research.

PUB DATE

PUB TYPE

.Apr. .83

NOTE

20p.; Paper presented at the Annual Montana Symposium

on Early Education and the Exceptional Child (4th,

Billings, MT, April 27-29, 1983); see EC 162 142.

Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

Elementary Education; Intervention; Preservice

Teacher Education; *Reading Centers; *Reading

Difficulties; *Remedial Reading; *Teaching Methods

ABSTRACT

The reading clinic at Eastern Montana College uses preservice, elementary and special education teachers to provide remedial tutoring to elementary reading disabled students. The program is centered on the motivating force of success with emphasis on the academic task of reading. Tutors adapt instruction to strengths and weaknesses of students. A wide variety of techniques are used to remediate oral accuracy and oral reading fluency, sight word vocabulary, and comprehensions and study skills. Case study evaluation of students (5-9 years old) attending the clinic was summarized to identify particular methods that appeared to effect reading growth in comprehension, reading rate, decoding strategies, word recognition, oral reading fluency, and use of syntax to predict meaning. Intervention strategies were characterized by extensive, student-teacher interaction. Approaches that resulted in the highest overall gain scores required student self-evaluation and monitoring. (CL)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

- This dicument has been reproduced as received from the person or organization
- originating it.
 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document de not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

INSTRUCTIONAL INTERVENTION THAT WORKS:

Case Study Research

Dr. Barbara J. Walker Assistant Professor Eastern Montana College

Lynn Salveson and Karon French Undergraduate Students Eastern Montana College

Paper presented at the Fourth Annual Montana Symposium on Early Education and the Exceptional Child, Billings, Montana, April 27-29, 1983

Instructional intervention for children who have reading difficulties is the bridge between regular classroom performance and total failure as a student. Realizing that intervention often is only at best a bandaide to instruction, the ultimate goal of remediation is a reader who can survive the rigors of the educational system. Within these demands successful intervention programs are associated with a high. quality of instruction that is based on continuous evaluation of reading behaviors. These evaluations help to identify particular instracttional techniques the teacher can utilize with a high likelihood o∜ positive results. Thus, by necessity, effective reading instruction reflects a pattern of teaching strategies that are related to reading behaviors rather than one single intervention program (McDonald, /1976). Within the field of reading, a wealth of instructional strategies can be implemented to remediate inefficient reading behaviors. The approprinteness of each intervention strategy for a particular student can be identified through diagnosis and obeservation. Research suggests that for the primary grades the use of a variety of instructional materials based on accurate diagnosis increases the effectiveness of reading instruction (Rupley and Blair, 1978). These basic principles of intervention programs are incorporated in the reading clinic at Eastern Montana College.

The reading clinic at Eastern Montana College provides a center for preservice training of elementary education and special education majors. Functioning as a referral base for elementary students from nearby area schools and the communities in central Montana, the clinic provides remedial tutoring in reading. Elementary students accepted for tutoring

by the clinic recommendate twenty hours of one-to-one tutoring under close supervision by the geopersonnel.

The reading clinic maintains that success is the greatest motivating factor in learning to read. The initial diagnosis using a published informal read inventory determines an appropriate instruc-, tional reading level for each student. Schneider (1979) has found that a balance of high success reading and medium success reading tasks result in higher student achievement. Thus, the level of reading material is validated by informal reading evaluations of rom instructional material. Concurrent to evaluation of performance reading level, the strengths and weaknesses of each student are identified. Subsequently, material is chosen that maximizes success based on learner strengths, styles and performance level. Specific methods are chosen to remediate the identified weaknesses of each student. This success approach to instruction is incorporated in a four-phased remedial session. First, each session begins with a directed reading-thinking activity using material that reflects instructional reading. The student usually has little difficulty in word identification or comprehension during this phase of the remedial session. The selection is also of sufficient length to allow for comprehension of story plot'and character development; however, it is short enough to provide a sense of closure for the reader. Secondly, within the session a variety of short activities are planned using specialized methods to develop and modify reading skills and reading strategies. Third, each session contains an ongoing, informal evaluation to identify patterns of reading behaviors and monitor reading 'performance. In the fourth phase of the program students are

teaching focus at the Eastern Montana College Reading Clinic is on the academic task of reading and each student spends about sixty percent of each hour reading contextual materials

tion to the strengths and proficiencies of the reading while incorporating specialized techniques to remediate weaknesses. For example, a student who had a limited ability to deal with oral language, participated in an extended vocabulary development program and direct experiences with the prerequisite concepts which were necessary to read a particular selection with understanding. Thus, the directed reading-thinking activity required an exceptional amount of time for the introduction of semantic vocabulary. However, those students who experienced little difficulty with semantic vocabulary, but extreme difficulty with oral accuracy, spent more time on word identification and fluency and less time on developing word meanings.

Although the remedial session is not focused on specialized remedial techniques, a few of these techniques produced rapid gains in student achievement during the twenty hours of instruction. To remediate oral accuracy and oral reading fluency, the techniques of alternate readings, neurological impress, repeated readings, and readers theatre are used. Alternate readings is a form of modeling appropriate oral reading fluency where the clinician and student alternate reading paragraphs while the clinician models appropriate phrasing, intonation, pitch and stress. In the neurological impress method the tutor and student read aloud together with the clinician reading louder and

slightly faster then the student. The clinician reads into the right car while pointing to the line of print being read. Repeated readings is a method of re-reading meaningful, self-selected passages until speed and oral accuracy are satisfactory. After each reading different comprehension questions are answered. Reader's theatre focuses on oral reading fluency and expression by reading play scripts. The clinician provides a model for the student.

The techniques of word games, word banks, and Fernald techniques are used to reinforce sight word vocabulary. Word games include activities such as word concentration, word bingo, word fish that make a game of learning sight words. Word banks include a set of word flash cards taken from the child's reading vocabulary. The Fernald technique is a multisensory technique that involves tracing and writing the difficult words as they are said.

A variety of specialized techniques to improve comprehension and study skills are also employed for those students using inappropriate strategies. Semantic feature analysis are used to capitalize on the reader's prior knowledge to increase semantic vocabulary. In this approach the student uses a grid to classify salient features of vocabulary words. A subskills approach to comprehension using the Barnell-Loft specific skill series is used to remediate deficiences in identifying the main idea, drawing conclusion, etc. The reciprical questioning technique developed by Manzo is used to increase prediction strategies. In this approach the clinician models questioning strategies, then the student questions the clinician. Semantic webs are also used to increase comprehension and semantic vocabularies. This process

requires an interaction between student and teacher to astruct a second content and ideas producing a graphic representation of story cohesion based on personal knowledge and story content. Another comprehension technique is the Herringbone technique where the student answered Who, Did What, When, Where, How, and Why questions on a visual representation. The traditional method of studying using the strategy of survey, question, read, recite and review sequence is also used to improve comprehension of content area texts:

For some students the understanding of how syntax effects comprehension is developed using the cloze technique and sentence combining. With the cloze technique the student must predict words that have been related from an extended passage. The sentence combining technique requires students to combine kernal sentences in multiple ways. Purpose setting and creative writing are also used to increase comprehension.

Compiling Case Study Data

The data reported in this study serves as a survey of intervention technique used in the Reading Clinic at Eastern Montana College. Each remedial case study was analyzed to inentify the major concern inhibiting reading achievement. These concerns were recorded with the accompanying pre and post test scores on published informal reading inventories. Gain scores were then computed for each case study. This information was collected for all students ranging in age from five to nine years old, who attended the Reading Clinic at Eastern Montana College during Spring, Summer and Fall quarters of 1982. These data were then summarized to identify with particular remedial methods appearing to effect reading growth. Eight techniques were used by forty percent of

the clinicians during the twenty hours of remedial instruction (see table 1).

TABLE I INTERVENTION USED BY FORTY PERCENT OF CLINICIANS

INTERVENTION			•	<u> </u>	VERAGI	E GAIN	SCORES*
Repeated Readings		 : 		,			1 0
hepeated headings	u			•	, 5 %		1.9
Play Readings		 		<u>·</u> -			60
Language Experience	<u> </u>	 					72
· · ·				•			
Timed Readings		 <u>.</u>			<u>·</u>		1.0
Cloze				,		•	: . 90
		 	,		-		
Neurological Impress Method-		 			·		7ö
Flash Cards							68
rusii card.;====================================	• >		\ \			ь.	00
Progress Charts		 	- /	,			1.0
•				Ø			

*Gain Scores were computed from pre-post informal reading inventories.

One characteristic of the intervention strategies involves extensive student-teacher interaction. This allows for a reality approach to remediation, where reading strategies, rather than simple knowledge of right or wrong answers, can be discussed. The second interesting characteristic of these techniques is that the majority of the techniques were used in the contextual setting rather than with isolated words or letters. Our analysis concurs with Wilson (1981) who maintains that reading behaviors are more appropriately remediated in terms of contextual print.

Of these eight strategies utilized, repeated readings, timed read-



one characteristic of these methods is that each technique required that the student be aware of his progress and chart that progress at each instructional section. This charting activity concretely demonstrates the student's response to reading material. Some research does support the fact that inefficient readers are not aware of their own progress and self-correct less frequently than able readers (Allington, 1977). These methods provide a vehicle for enabling the reader to monitor his/her success with his/her own reading strategies. At the same time, these intervention techniques allow the tutor and student to talk about strategies to use to increase reading proficiency. Specific areas of concern were identified for each case study and appropriate intervention techniques were employed.

different intervention strategies (See Table II). Of these strategies, the Specific Skill Series produced the most consistent reading gain scores across all the case studies. Other techniques that proved successful were the language experience approach, purposeful reading, timed readings, and reader's theatre. The fact that oral reading techniques improved silent reading comprehension needs to be further investigated in a more systematic fashion. These oral reading techniques, perhaps serve the purpose of bringing covert reading behaviors to the overt attention of both the reader and the clinician.

To remediate inefficient reading rate, twenty-three different intervention techniques were used in a variety of case studies (See Table III). The most successful techniques for inefficient rate were timed readings and charting progress. These two intervention strategies have been used throughout remedial instruction with success.

To remediate the inappropriate decoding strategies, sixteen different intervention techniques were employed (See Table IV). However, the most successful of these techniques were the cloze technique and the repeated readings. Again these techniques involved contextual application of phonic principles rather than isolated drill of specific phonic rules.

To develop rapid recognition of words at sight twenty nine different intervention strategies were used (See Table V). Of these the most successful intervention techniques for the most case studies were repeated readings, the language experience approach and flash cards. These techniques all involve at least three repititions of new sight words. The language experience and the repeated readings were in context while the flash cards represented isolated drill. However, both the repeated readings and the flash cards used graphing of daily progress to illustrate the student's improvement.

Involving only six case studies, utilizing appropriate intonation, stress, pitch and phrasing to convey meaning, oral reading fluency was remediated by a variety of techniques (See Table VI). Modeling oral reading behavior was the most successful intervention strategy for this concern, while timed readings proved the least successful.

For those students who were inefficient at using syntax to predict meaning, the intervention techniques of cloze procedure, creative writing and sentence combining proved successful (See Table VII). Four of the case studies indicated that the students displayed visual track-

ing difficulties (See Table VIII). A variety of techniques were used to remediate this concern; however, no intervention program resulted in an increase in reading performance.

In summary, a variety of techniques have been used in the reading clinic at Eastern Montana College. Some of these techniques have proved more successful than others; however, none of the case studies were conducted under rigorous experimental design. It is necessary for clincians to begin collating the data from individual case studies in order to evaluat ethe effectiveness of the intervention programs.

Our intervention program based on the directed reading-thinking activity differentiated according to learner strengths and weaknesses, is working, however the effectiveness of the time spend in direct instruction could be increased if the precise intervention techniques for each areas of concern were delineated.

TABLE II

CONCERN: COMPREHENSION
NUMBER OF CASE STUDIES: 11

PERCENT OF	CASE STUDI	ES	
INTERVENTION EMPLOYI	NG TECHNIQ	<u>ue a</u>	VERAGE GAIN SCORES
Barnell Loft	55		1.08
Cloze	27		1.0
Creative writing	9		1.5
Flashcards	18		1.0
Herringbone	9		1.0
Language experience	∗36 .		1.12
NIM	27	e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	(1.0
Playreading	27		1.17
Progress chart	36		1.0
Purposeful reading	18		1.25
Sentence combining	18		1.0
Semantic mapping	9		1.0
SRA	9		1.0
Timed readings	18		1.25
Word bank	\18		1.0



TABLE III

CONCERN: RATE GAIN SCORES FOR CASE STUDIES , NUMBER OF CASE STUDIES: 26

Alternate reading 8 1.0 Barnell Loft 4 1.0 Cloze 27 .92 Creative writing 8 1.5 Flashcards 38 .55 Glass analysis 4 0.0 Herringbone 4 1.5 Language experience 46 .87 NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5 Work sheet 4 1.0	INTERVENTION	PERCENT OF CASE STUDIES EMPLOYING TECHNIQUE	AVERAGE GAIN SCORES
Cloze 27 .92 Creative writing 8 1.5 Flashcards 38 .55 Glass analysis 4 0.0 Herringbone 4 1.5 Language experience 46 .87 NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Alternate reading	8	1.0
Creative writing 8 1.5 Flashcards 38 .55 Glass analysis 4 0.0 Herringbone 4 1.5 Language experience 46 .87 NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Barnell Loft	4	1.0
Flashcards 38 .55 Glass analysis 4 0.0 Herringbone 4 1.5 Language experience 46 .87 NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Cloze	27	.92
Glass analysis 4 0.0 Herringbone 4 1.5 Language experience 46 .87 NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Creative writing	. 8	1.5
Herringbone 4 1.5 Language experience 46 .87 NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Flashcards	. 38	.55
Language experience 46 .87 NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Glass analysis	4	0.0
NIM 42 .64 Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Herringbone	4	1.5
Repated readings 31 .94 Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Language experience	46	.87
Play reading 35 .55 Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	MIM	42	.64
Progress chart 35 1.5 Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Repated readings	31	.94
Request 4 .5 Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Play reading	35	.55
Rhebus 4 0.0 Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Progress chart	35	1.5
Semantic mapping 12 .66 SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Request	. 4	.5
SQ3R 4 1.5 Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Rhebus	4	0.0
Sentence combining 19 1.2 Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Semantic mapping	12	.66
Story cards 4 0.0 Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	SQ3R .	4	1.5
Timed reading 35 1.5 Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Sentence combining	19	1.2
Word bank 19 .80 Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	Story cards	4	0.0
Word games 16 .50 Work book 4 1.5	.Timed reading	35	1.5
Work book 4 1.5	Word bank	ւ 19	.80
	Word games	. 16	.50
Work sheet 4 1.0	Work book	4	1.5
	Work sheet	4	1.0



TABLE IV

CONCERN: DECODING GAIN SCORES FOR CASE STUDIES
NUMBER OF CASE STUDIES: 12

INTERVENTION	PERCENT OF CASE STUDIES EMPLOYING TECHNIQUE	AVERAGE GAIN SCORES
Cloze	16	1/34
Creative writing	· .5	1.5
Flashcards	26	.5
Glass analysis	5	0.0
Language experience	58	.71
Modeling +	5	1.5
NIM	26	1.0
Play reading	16	.83
Progress chart	16	1.17
Repeated readings	21	1.25
Sentence combining	16	.83
Story cards	5	0.0
Timed readings	16	1.34
Word games	26.	.50
Workbook	16	.50
Worksheet	16	.67



TABLE V

CONCERN: WORD RECOGNITION GAIN SCORES FOR CASE STUDIES NUMBER OF CASE STUDIES: 19

INTERVENTION			E STUDIES CHNIQUE.	3m	AVERAGE GAIN SCORES
Alternate readings		5			.5
Barnell Loft	<i>></i> • •	5			.5
Board work		5	,		0.0
Cloze		5	<i>'</i> · .		1.5
Creative writing		5 ,	·_		1.5
Fernald		5		-4.	0.0
Flashcards		53	•		.45
Language experience		37	•		.58
NIM		37		4	.43
Repeated readings		26		. ,	.90
Play readings	•	26		•	.50
Progress charts		16			1.0
Sentence combining	• •	16			.34
Semantic feature analysis	3	11			.25
Semantic mapping		5	. *	1	1.0
Timed reading	٠	5		:	1.5
Wordbank	•	21		v	.62
Word games		32			.42
Workbook	. 5	11			.75
Worksheet	. '	21			.37



TABLE VI

CONCERN: FLUENCY
NUMBER OF CASE STUDIES: 6

PERCE	ENT OF CASE STUDIES EMPLOYING TECHNIQUE	AVERAGE GAIN SCORES
Alternate readings	, 33	1.0
Barnell Loft	, 17	.5
Ćloze \	17	0.00
Flashcards	50	.5
Language experience	17	.5
NIM	83	7
Modeling	17	1.5
Play reading	50	.67
Progress chart	17	.5
Repeated readings	33	1.0
Timed readings	17	.0
Word games	33	1.0
Word bank	33	1.0



TABLE VII

CONCERN: SYNTAX USE
NUMBER OF CASE STUDIES: 4

INTERVENTION .	PERCENT OF CASE EMPLOYING T		AVERAGE GAIN SCORE
Cloze	50		1.5
Creative writing	25	•	1.5
Flashcards	25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.5
Language experience	75	•	··· 1.0 ···
NIM	25		1.0
Play reading	25	va.	.5
Progress chart	50	•	1.25
Repeated readings	50	•	1.0
Sentence combining	25		1.5
Timed reading	50		1.0



TABLE VIII

CONCERN: VISUAL TRACKING

NUMBER OF CASE STUDIES: 4

INTERVENTION	PERCENT OF CASE STUDIES EMPLOYING TECHNIQUES	AVERAGE GAIN SCORE
Boardwork	25	0.0
BORICWOIK	23	0.0
Cloze	25	0.0
Fernald ·	25	0.0
Flashcards	₹5	0.0
Glass anaylsis	25	0.0
Language experience	50	, 0.0
NIM	50	0.0
Play reading	25	0.0
Progress charts	25	0.0
Rhebus	25	0.0
Story cards	25	0.0
Timed reading	25	0.0
Workbook	25	0.0
Worksheet	25 .	0.0



SELECTED REFERENCES

- Allington, Richard, If They Don't Read Much, How They Ever Gonna Get Good? Journal of Reading, 21, 1977, 57-61.
- McDonald, F.I. Beginning Teacher Evaluation Study, Phase II Summary. Princeton, New Jersey: Educational Testing Service, 1976.
- Rupley, W. H. and Blair, T. R. Characteristics of Effective Reading Instruction. Educational leadership, Dec., 1978, 171-173.
- Schneider, E. J. Researchers Discover Formula for Success in Student Learning. Educational Research and Development Report. 2, 1979, 1-6.
- Wilson, R. M. Diagnostic and Remedial Reading for Classroom and Clinic. Columbus, Ohio: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1981.

