#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 239 174 CG 017 219

AUTHOR Heinemann, Allen W.; Shontz, Franklin C.

TITLE Methods of Studying Persons.

PUB DATE Aug 83
NOTE 21p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the

American Psychological Association (91st, Anaheim,

CA, August 26-30, 1983). Best copy available.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Coping; Emotional Adjustment; Emotional Response;

\*Holistic Approach; \*Individual Differences; Physical Disabilities; Psychological Patterns; \*Q Methodology;

\*Research Methodology

IDENTIFIERS \*Role Repertory Technique; Spinal Cord Injuries

**ABSTRACT** 

Conventional research strategies typically emphasize behavior-determining tendencies so strongly that the person as a whole is ignored. Research strategies for studying whole persons focus on symbolic structures, formulate specific questions in advance, study persons one at a time, use individualized measures, and regard participants as expert consultants. Two such techniques, Q-technique and the role repertory test, were used in a study of two college students with spinal cord injuries. Specific questions focused on a stage model of adjustment, the necessity of mourning loss prior to disability acceptance, and the manner in which values theorized to define disability acceptance change across time. A variation of the Critical Incident Technique was used to obtain descriptions of course-of-life landmarks. Both subjects used a 48-item Q sort to describe typical and ideal selves at each landmark episode; the role repertory technique was used to determine significant persons and the constructs defined by their roles. Factor analysis of the Q sortings showed distinctly different ways of adjusting to a major life disruption. The results enhance the sophistication of the stage model of adaptation as applied to individuals, and build on existing methods to enhance the systematic understanding of individuals. (JAC)

\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

# BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Methods of Studying Persons

Allen W. Heinemann

Illinois Institute of Technology

and Franklin C. Shontz

University of Kansas

Running head: Studying Persons

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

#### Abstract

A method is described that permits answering research questions of general importance by examining individuals in a comprehensive, whole person manner. The method requires that research questions be formulated in advance and that the person studied be carefully selected for suitability. It also requires the acquisition and analysis of data on symbolic structures, which are systems of meaning and action that define personal identity. Q-technique and the role repertory test are valuable tests for research with individuals. Their use in two studies of persons with spinal cord injuries is described.

## Methods of Studying Persons

Conventional research strategies typically emphasize behavior-determining tendencies so strongly that the person as a whole is ignored. They also tend to rely on group statistics or comparisons that yield little information about individuals. Understanding persons as complex, unique, pluralistic (Ogilvy, 1977) and heterarchically organized (Palmer, 1969; Ricoeur, 1974) entities requires a departure from reductionist methods. A comprehensive study of a person would examine that individual as a symbol constructor and user. Symbolic structures are systems of meaning that constitute the context within which component processes such as behavior and traits take place and through which meanings are expressed.

Positivistic or justificationist theory and hypothetico-deductive methods are inadequate as ways to study persons (Earle, 1968; Polyani, 1958; Weimer, 1979). These approaches require claims to knowledge to rest upon absolute truth; they assume that science results in the discovery and accumulation of uncontestable facts. However, no one theory can ever be "proved" because the idealized hypothetico-deductive procedures rely on sensory experience — data that only indirectly represent environmental objects. Furthermore, interpretations of sensory data are influenced by psychological processes that add meaning to direct experiences. Finally, the hypothetico-deductive approach fallaciously affirms the consequent (Rychiak, 1981), because it is always the case that many theories can predict the same observed outcome.

The study of persons requires an understanding of actions from multiple causal perspectives. Whereas conventional research paradigms regard only efficient causes as a valid basis for predicting behavior.



a more complete understanding of persons results from examining relational (formal) and purposive (final) causes.

The purposes of systematic, person-focussed studies include confirming and objectifying clinical and/or social experience, constructing a typology of persons, providing paradigms others may employ in the future, specifying critical differences between person types, and permitting specification of subsequent case selection criteria. Their goal is not to list a fixed set of behavioral laws, universal traits or stages of development, but to acquire multiple, internally consistent theories that can be used to describe and explain symbolic structures.

Research strategies for studying whole persons focus on symbolic structures, formulate specific questions in advance, study persons one at a time, use individualized measures and regard participants as expert consultants. Measures compatible with such a method must be flexible in content and adaptable to the study of a variety of topics. The Q-technique (Stephenson, 1953) and the role repertory technique (Kelly, 1955; Bannister & Mair, 1968; Fransella & Bannister, 1977) are ideally suited to the purpose.

Two studies demonstrate how this type of research may be conducted. Both persons had spinal cord injuries and were experts on their own coping strategies.

#### Methods

One purpose of these studies was to describe the process of adjustment following a major life disruption. Specific questions focussed attention on a stage model of adjustment, the necessity of mourning loss prior to disablity acceptance, and the manner in which values theorized to define disablity acceptance change across time.



Two persons were chosen who came from similar socioeconomic and religious backgrounds, who were injured more than two years prior to study, and who possessed adequate verbal skills to report experiences, thoughts and feelings, but who had notably different kinds of adjustment.

The first person, Craig, was an undergraduate university student with an excellent academic record who was injured 28 months prior to study in a hang-gliding accident. He worked hard in rehabilitation and was motivated to return to school, but attempted suicide within weeks of his return. Deirdre was pursuing a career in rehabilitation psychology at the time of study and appeared well adjusted. She was injured nine and one half years prior to study while a passenger in her family's car. Both persons had limited use of their upper extremities, were mobile in electric wheelchairs and vans, were 24 years old at the time of study, and came from middle-income, Roman Catholic families.

A variation of the Critical Incident Technique (Flanagan, 1954) was used with both persons to obtain decriptions of course-of-life landmarks. Each person identified an event that occurred shortly after hospitalization (episode 1), an event when life seemed to lack purpose (episode 2), a postingury event when hope for the future was high (episode 3), and an event in the present (episode 4). The episodes were keyed to theoretical stages of crisis adaptation described by Fink (1967): shock, defensive retreat (episode 1), acknowledgement (episode 2), and adaptation (episode 3 and 4).

A 48-item Q-sort developed by Heinemann and Shontz (in press) was used to assess the four theoretical stages of reaction to crises. Expert opinion was used to select 12 items in each category. Items were sorted by Craig and Deirdre in a quasi-normal distribution to

describe typical and ideal selves at each of these episodes for a total of eight sortings. Table 1 lists scale items.

Insert Table 1 about here

The role repertory technique (Kelly, 1955) was used to determine significant persons in each participant's life and constructs defined by these roles. Sixteen roles were defined, including usual and ideal self (preinjury, currently and in the future); another person with the brother; sister; same disabilitu; best friend; mother: father: intelligent, successful and interesting persons; and a typical patient met during rehabilitation. Both persons developed 12 constructs by examining triads of role designators, telling how two are similar and how the third differs from them. Seven standard theoretical constructs acknowledges were also included: copes well with adversity, limitations and lives life to the fullest, values physical achievement and skill, values physique and physical attractiveness, compares self with others, values self because of intrinsic (personal) assets or characteristics, and experiences emotion intensely.

#### Results

<u>G-sort</u> Deirdre described herself with items that indicated defensive retreat at episode 1, acknowledgement at episode 2 and adaptation at episodes 3 and 4. The correlations between her typical and ideal selves was -.13 at episode 1, -.72 at episode 2, .44 at episode 3, and .95 at episode 4 indicating greater congruence with her ideal self following a time when she was most despairing. A factor analysis of her G-sortings (Table 2) revealed two major factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.0. The first factor describes her in the

present. Prominent characteristics in this factor are feeling worthwhile, being future-oriented, and receiving satisfaction from new values and goals. The second factor describes her ideal selves at episodes 1, 2 and 3 and her typical self at episode 2 (negatively loaded). Characteristics describing these roles are expecting to return to preinjury self and maintaining control of everything in her life.

#### Insert Table 2 about here

Craig selected items that indicated primarily acknowledgement at episode 1 and 2, adaptation at episode 3 and acknowledgement at episode 4. The correlations between his typical and ideal selves range from .49 at episode 2 to .75 at episode 3. Intermediate values were .62 at episode 1 and .73 at episode 4. No large difference between correlations or trend in values is discernible. Factor analysis (Table 3) revealed two major factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.0. The first factor contains his ideal self-sortings at all episodes while the second factor contains his typical self-sortings at all episodes. Characteristics describing his ideal self include being able to cope, learning the satisfaction of a challenge well met, and learning how to deal with his handicaps. All of these items are indicative of the adaptation stage. He described his typical self as being able to cope, not being able to avoid the grim reality of his situation, and being worse than before his accident.

Insert Table 3 about here

Role Rep Technique Deirdre's constructs, listed in Table 4, suggest a concern with nurturance and psychological sophistication. The factor analysis of her roles (Table 5) produced five factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.0. Factor I is composed of her typical and ideal selves currently and in the future as well as the intelligent and successful persons. They perceive multiple levels of reality, are even-tempered, achieve integration of professional and interests, cope well with adversity, acknowledge limitations and live life to the fullest, value self because of intrinsic assets, and experience emotions intensely. Factor II is composed of her typical and ideal preinjury self, her brother, a typical patient, and a person with the same disablity. They are alike by seeking an insular world; perceiving only a single level of reality, being even-tempered, not having achieved integration of professional and personal interests, and valuing physical achievement and skill. Factor III is composed of her preinjury ideal self and her mother. They are alike in that both seek an insular world, perceive only a single level of reality, seek to nurture through parenting, emphasize professional interests, value their intrinsic assets, and experience emotions intensely. is composed of her father, sister and an interesting person. They are alike by being involved in professional interests, comparing selves with others and experiencing emotions intensely. Factor IV is composed This friend is interested in of a single role, her best friend. internal growth, open to the world and giving, is even-tempered and compares self with others.

Insert Tables 4 & 5 about here



in Table 6, show a Crain's constructs, listed physical function, achievement and competence, and specific objects and activities such as children, outdoor recreation, Physical ability is linked with success and music and science. happiness. The factor analysis of his roles (Table 7) produced four factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.0. Factor I is composed of his ideal selves preinjury, currently and in the future, his mother, sister They are alike by being happy and and an interesting person. interested in their work, having outdoor and intellectual interests, intelligence, competence and experiencing emotion intensely. The second factor is composed of his typical preinjury self. intelligent and successful persons. characteristics of happiness, being physically able, interests in science and coping well with adversity. His current and future typical selves compose the third factor and are alike by sharing outdoor, musical performance, science and engineering interests, physique and physical attractiveness as well as intrinsic assets. father and a typical patient compose the fourth factor and are similar by perceiving humor in many situations. liking children and having outdoor interests.

Insert Tables 6 & 7 about here

# Discussion of Methods and Results

These studies show two distinctly different ways of adjusting to a major life disruption. The detailed information provided by these procedures suggests why Deirdre proceeded through the hypothesized stages and Craig did nut. Deirdre's willingness to mourn her lost

abilities and physical functions contrasts sharply with Craig's course of dwelling upon physical values and tackling rehabilitation tasks without attending to his affective responses. The independence of idealized self and experienced self evident in the factor analysis of Craig's Q-sortings is notably different from Deirdre's "adjusted" self and "unadjusted" self factors. Likewise the constructs they use to distinguish among themselves and others are different. Craig's constructs focus on achievement and physical performance and associate these with positive emotional states while Deirdre's constructs reflect psychological sophistication and a need for nurturance.

These two studies enhance the sophistication of the stage model of adaptation, as applied to individuals. They show that symbolic integration of loss is more complete in one person who went through the hypothesized stages than it is in another person who did not. Mourning of loss does not appear to be essential for adaptation at the behavioral level alone: Craig became a successful student again after his suicide attempt. However, mourning may be essential if a loss is ever to be fully incorporated into the symbolic structure, as it clearly is in the case of Deirdre.

Obviously, adoption of the metatheoretical position and methods proposed here requires an expansion of psychological research, not only by augmenting the methodolgical procedures, but by including topics such as symbolic structures, multi-level causality, ethics and morals (Shontz, 1983). The research described here extends the tradition established by investigators such as Kurt Goldstein, William Stern, Henry Murray, Robert White, Carl Rogers, Kurt Lewin and Gordon Allport. It incorporates existing methods and builds upon them to help enhance our systematic understanding of human beings.

## References

- Bannister, D. & Mair, J. The evaluation of personal constructs. New York: Academic Press, 1968.
- Earle, W. <u>Objectivity: An essay in phenomenological ontology.</u> Chicago: Guadrangle Books, 1968.
- Fink, S. Crisis and motivation: A theoretical model. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 1967, 48, 592-597.
- Flanagan, J. The critical incident technique. <u>Psychological</u> <u>Bulletin</u>, 1954, <u>51</u>, 327-358.
- Fransella, F. and Bannister, D. <u>A manual for the repertory grid</u> technique. New York: Academic Press, 1977.
- Heinemann, A. & Shontz, F. Adjustment following disability: Representative cases. Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, in press.
- Kelly, G. A theory of personality: The psychology of personal constructs. New York: Norton, 1955.
- Ogilvy, J. Many dimensional man: Decentralizing self, society, and the sacred. New York: Oxford University Press, 1977.
- Palmer, R. <u>Hermeneutics: Interpretation theory in Schleiermacher</u>, <u>Dilthey, Heidegger, and Gadamer</u>, Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press, 1969.
- Polyani, M., <u>Personal knowledge: Towards a post-critical philosophu</u>. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1958.
- Ricoeur, P. <u>The conflict of interpretations: Essaus in hermeneutics</u>. (D. Ihde, Ed.). Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press, 1969.
- Rychlak, J. A philosophu of science for personality theory (2nd Ed.). Malabar, FL: Krieger, 1981.
- Shortz, F. To study persons: Reactions to "Qualitative strategies in counseling research." The Counseling Psychologist, 1983, 10, 91-93.
- Stephenson, W. The study of behavior: G-techniques and its methodology. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- Weimer, W. Notes on the methodologu of scientific research. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1979.

### TABLE 1 Q-SORT ITEMS

#### Shock

- 1. Threatened by what is happening to me
- 2. Wonder if I will survive
- 3. More is happening to me than I can absorb
- 4. Too much is happening at once
- 5. Panicked
- 6. Anxious
- 7. Helpless
- 8. Afraid
- 9. Thinking is disorganized
- 10. Irrational
- 11. In doubt about what's happening to me
- 12. Confused about what has happened to me

#### Defensive Retreat

- 13. Feel I am the same as I've always been
- 14. Believe my current state is just temporary
- 15. I'll soon be just like I was before
- 16. Never think about my injury
- 17. My paralysis will disappear
- 18. Soon be my old self again
- 19. Everything in my life is under control
- 20. Relieved knowing I'll soon be well and on my way again
- 21. Indifferent to things happening about me
- 22. Intend to continue my familiar way of doing things
- 23. Plan to keep the goals and values I've had all my life
- 24. Determined to keep on living exactly as before

#### Acknowledgement'

- 25. Wonder if I am still the same person I was before my accident
- 26. No longer the person I was before my accident
- 27. Worse than I was before my accident
- 28. Feel of little worth
- 29. The grim reality of my situation can't be avoided
- 30. Often wonder what's the use of doing anything
- 31. Feel the world should take care of me now
- 32. Depressed
- 33. Sad
- 34. Bitter about what has happened to me
- 35. Often ask myself why this happened to me
- 36. My disability is in the forefront of my attention

#### Adaptation and Change

- 37. Can learn to be of value to the world
- 38. A person of worth
- 39. Having this disability is a valuable experience
- 40. Exploring strengths and resources again
- 41. I didn't choose to be disabled; but, I have a choice in what to do about it now that I am
- 42. Getting to know own abilities
- 43: Satisfied with the new things I'm doing
- 44. Vearning the satisfaction of a challenge well met
- 45. Planning for the future in line with my known strengths and weaknesses
- 46. New values and goals will bring satisfaction in the future
- 47. Am able to cope with my new situation
- 48. Know my handicaps and am learning how to deal with them



TABLE 2 Q-Sort Factor Analysis: Deirdre

Factor	Eigenvalue	Sortings	Factor Loadings
T V	<b>4.043</b>	Episode 1 (Onset): Typical Self Episode 2 (Despair): Typical Self Episode 3 (Hope): Typical Self Episode 4 (Present): Ideal Self	536 .833 .937 .983
II	2.090	Episode 1 (Onset): Ideal Self Episode 2 (Despair): Typical Self Episode 3 (Hope): Ideal Self Episode 4 (Present): Ideal Self	.769 742 .985 .779

TABLE 3 Q-Sort Factor Analysis: Craig

Factor	Eigenvalue	Sortings	Factor Loadings
I	5.706	Episode 1 (Disability Onset): Ideal Self Episode 2 (Despair): Ideal Self Episode 3 (Hope) Ideal Self Episode 4 (Present): Ideal Self	.750 .837 .898 .935
II	0.895	Episode 1 (Disability Onset) Typical Self Episode 2 (Despair): Typical Self Episode 3 (Hope): Typical Self Episode 4 (Present): Typical Self	.687 .916 .765 .687

# TABLE 4 Role Repertory Test Constructs and Contrasts: Deirdré

#### Construct

- Interested in internal growth
- Seeks integration with universe
- 3. Open to world and giving
- Perceives multiple levels of reality
- Acknowledges multi-leveled reality
- 6. Even tempered, slow to anger
- 7. Less involved in professional interests
- 8. Accepting of one's disability
- Seeks to nurture through parenting
- 10. Feels antipathy towards organizations
- 11. Achieved integration of professional and personal interests
- 12. No holds barred nurturance
  - 13. Copes well with adversity
  - 14. Acknowledges limitations and lives life to the fullest
  - 15. Values physical achievement and skill
  - 16. Values physique and physical attractiveness
  - 17. Compares self with others
  - 18. Values self because of intrinsic assets or characteristics
  - 19. Experiences emotions intensely

#### vs.

#### Contrast

- 1. Interested in outside world and social convention
- 2. Seeks insular world
- 3. Constricted, giving to only a few
- 4. Perceives single level of reality
- Acknowledges conventional Christian reality
- 6. Hyper, quick tempered
- 7. More involved in professional interests
- 8. Attempts to conceal one's disability
- 9. Disinterested in parenting
- 10. Prefers organizations in achieving goals
- 11. Emphasizes professional interests
- 12. Strings attached nurturance



TABLE 5
Factor Analysis of Deirdre's Role Repertory Test Roles

Factor	Eigenvalue		Roles	Factor Loadings	Characteristic Constructs
I	5.570	1. 2. 5. 6. 12.	Current typical self Current ideal self Future typical self Future ideal self Intelligent person Successful person	.788 .952 .788 .952 .868	Perceives multiple levels of reality Even-tempered Copes well with adversity Values self because of intrinsic assets
II	3.138	4. 10. 15.	Pre-injury typical self Pre-injury ideal self Brother Typical patient Person with same disability	.595 .551 .864 .948	Seeks insular world Perceives single level of reality Values physical achievement
III	2.730	4. 8.	Preinjury ideal self Mother	.559 .878	Seeks to nurture through parenting Experience emotion intensely
IV	1.651	9. 11. 14.	Father Sister Interesting person	.694 .927 .579	Compares self with others Involved in professional interests
V	1.014	7.	Boyfriend	.844	Open to the world & giving Nurtures with no-holds-barred

# TABLE

		TA Role Repertory Test Cons	E6 ucts and Contras	ts: Craig .	,
		Construct	rs. Co	ontrast	
	1.	Physically capable and successful	l. Less physic	ally capable	
	2.	Successful in achieving goals and happy	2. Less succes	ssful and less happy	
	3.	Happy and interested in work while recognizing physical limitations	3. Less bound	by physical limits	•
	4.	Less happy and less physically capable	4. Happier and	d more physically ca	apable
	5.	Likes children	5. Not interes	sted in parenting	
	6.	Outdoor interests	6. Social inte		in the second se
	7.	Possesses interests in playing muscial instrument	7. No interes	t in playing ical	l instruments
	8.	Intellectual interests	8. Physical i	nterests	
	9.	Scientific/engineering inter- ests	9. Psychology	/humanities interes	ts production of the second of
	10.	. Science interests and physical ability	10. Social sci physical a	ence interests and bility	low
	11	. Intelligence and competence	ll. Inactive,	not applying self i	n few situations
•	12	. Perceives humor in many situations	12. Perceives	humor in few situat	ions
	13	. Copes well with adversity			
	14	<ul> <li>Acknowledges limitations and lives life to the fullest</li> </ul>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.5 <sup>3</sup> 1
1	15	. Values physical achievement and skill	,		
	16	<ul> <li>Values physique and physical attractiveness</li> </ul>			
	17	. Compares self with other			•



18. Values self because of intrinsic assets or characteristics

19. Experiences emotion intensely

TABLE 7
Factor Analysis of Craig's Role Repertory Test Roles

Factor	Eigenvalue		Roles	Factor Loadings	Characteristic Constructs
I	6.106	4. 6. 8. 11.	Current ideal self Pre-injury ideal self Future ideal self Mother Sister Interesting Person	.831 .766 .831 .905 .593	Happy & interested in work Posses outdoor & intellectural interests Intelligent; competent
II ,	2.400	7. 10.	Brother Intelligent person	.639 .521 .757 .963 .586	Physically able Interested in science Copes well with adversity
III	1.903		Current typical self Future typical self	.940 .896.	Interests in out-of-doors, music performance, science & engineering
IV	1.527		Father Typical patient	.689 .707	Likes children Perceives humor in many situations