

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 234 098

TM 832 002

AUTHOR Holmes, Barbara J.
 TITLE Student Performance Patterns Change.
 INSTITUTION Education Commission of the States, Denver, Colo.
 PUB DATE 83
 NOTE 3p.
 PUB TYPE Journal Articles (080) -- Information Analyses (070)
 JOURNAL CIT State Education Leader; p13 Win 1983

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; *Academic Education; Academic Standards; Achievement Gains; Black Achievement; *Black Students; Comparative Analysis; Educational Assessment; Educational Research; Educational Trends; Grade 4; Grade 8; Grade 11; *High Achievement; Intermediate Grades; Longitudinal Studies; *Low Achievement; Mathematics Education; Reading Achievement; Science Education; Secondary Education; *White Students
 IDENTIFIERS National Assessment of Educational Progress; PF Project

ABSTRACT

The paper summarizes a National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) report, "Reading, Science and Mathematic Trends: A Closer Look," in which achievement trends are compared in several subjects for 4th, 8th, and 11th grade black students, white students, low achievers, and high achievers. Findings indicate that while overall performance in mathematics and science declined, reading performance remained stable. Students in the lowest performance quartiles realized greater gains than did those in the highest quartiles. Although black students in both the lowest and highest quartiles showed more improvement in reading and mathematics than their white counterparts, all highest quartile 17-year-olds suffered substantial losses in mathematics and science. State boards of education and teacher training institutions might ensure higher achievement levels in science and mathematics by: (1) reviewing the relationship between teacher training and curricular needs in mathematics and science; (2) reconsidering current retention and remediation policies that separate students from their age/grade group for remediation; and (3) ensuring that the curriculum covers both low level and high level skills. (LH)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

S. V.
Allen

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

State education Leader • Winter 1983

Student Performance Patterns Change

by Barbara J. Holmes, administrative editor,
National Assessment of Educational Progress.

During the seventies, American education underwent a number of alterations, many in response to increased public concern over what students were not learning in school. One answer was to go "back to the basics." Another favored by many states and local districts was to give students minimum competency tests to identify the needy, tighten grade promotion standards or establish minimum criteria for high school graduation. At the same time, many federally funded compensatory education programs flourished.

What in fact happened to education achievement during this period? And what are the implications for the future? These are the questions explored in a report, *Reading, Science and Mathematics Trends: A Closer Look*, recently released by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP).

NAEP reexamined assessment data collected about 9-, 13- and 17-year-old students in two assessments each of reading, science and mathematics. The report compares achievement trends in several subjects and for four key groups of students in 4th, 8th and 11th grades: black students, white students, low achievers and high achievers. Among the findings are these:

- Performance in mathematics and science declined, for the most part, while reading performance remained stable.
- Overall, students in the lowest performance quartiles realized greater gains than did those in the highest performance quartiles. This was especially true of 9- and 13-year-olds in fourth or eighth grades, and it was most dramatic in reading.

- Black students in the lowest quartile improved their reading and mathematics performance more than white students. Black fourth and eighth graders in the highest quartiles also improved faster than white students in reading and mathematics.
- All highest quartile 17-year-olds in the 11th grade suffered substantial losses in mathematics and science.

These trends suggest that educators made considerable progress during the seventies in helping black students, low achieving students and reading performance in general. But they also suggest that more attention must be paid to mathematics and science achievement and to the highest quartile of students. In light of these trends, state boards of education and teacher training institutions might consider the following:

- Reviewing the relationship between teacher training and curricular needs in mathematics and science. Often students complete high school without the appropriate sequence or number of science and mathematics courses needed to pursue careers in technical fields or in teaching.
- Reviewing retention and remediation policies. Black students in their appropriate grades made considerable gains, whether they were in the lowest or highest quartiles. Those who were below model grade did not gain as much. Thus, educators may want to reconsider current retention policies that separate students from their age/grade group for remediation.
- Reviewing "basics" policies to make sure that the curriculum covers both low-level and higher-level skills. Various NAEP reports suggest that student gains during the seventies were concentrated in the fundamental, lower-order skills. Conversely, losses (especially at age 17) were concentrated in inferential comprehension in reading, problem-solving in mathematics and the physical, rather than the biological, sciences.

Many positive things were going on in the schools during the seventies. The challenge now is to give attention to science and mathematics, while not losing ground in reading and to find ways to strengthen students in the higher-order skills. Only by attending to these issues will we bring the schools back into synch with the economic and social needs of the eighties and nineties. ★

ED234098

TM 832 002

Published by
the Education Commission of the States

Managing Editor: Rexford Brown

Editor: Doris Ross

Staff Contributors: Rex Brown, Doris Ross, Robert Andringa, Joslyn Green, Chris Pipho, Donald Burnes, Robert Palaich, Patricia Flakus-Mosqueda, Shirley McCune, Barbara Holmes.

Production Editor: Marci Reser

ECS Executive Director: Robert C. Andringa

Photos: Rexford Brown, Russ Jacobson, Ken Bisio, Bruce Reedy, John Norcross, John Mukavetz, NWREL.

Art: Patricia Kelly and students from the NAEP Art Assessment.

Design: Patricia Kelly

Published quarterly: \$15 per year.

Manuscript queries and unsolicited submissions should be addressed to the editor. No payment for articles.

Contents do not necessarily reflect views of ECS. Copyright © Education Commission of the States, 1982. All rights reserved.

The Education Commission of the States is a nonprofit, nationwide interstate compact formed in 1966. The primary purpose of the Commission is to assist governors, state legislators, state education officials and others develop policies to improve the quality of education at all levels. Forty-eight states, American Samoa, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands are members. The ECS central offices are at 1860 Lincoln Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80295. The Washington office is in the Hall of the States, 444 North Capitol Street NW, Suite 248, Washington, D.C. 20001.

It is the policy of the Education Commission of the States to take affirmative action to prevent discrimination in its policies, programs and employment practices.