) 233 713

IR 020 007

Federal Judge Rules Temporary/Educational Use of Videotape Copies of Copyrighted Works Illegal.

ISTITUTION

Phi Delta Káppa, Bloomington, Ind.

IB DATE

Jun 83

)TE

2p.

IB TYPE Journal Articles (080) --

Legal/Legislative/Regulatory Materials (090)

URNAL CIT Phi Delta Kappan; v64 n10 p746 Jun 1983

PRICE SCRIPTORS MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

\*Copyrights; \*Court Litigation; \*Federal Legislation;

Federal Regulation; Programing (Broadcast);

\*Television; \*Videotape Recordings

ENTIFIERS -PF Project

STRACT

THE FOLLOWING IS THE FULL TEXT OF THIS DOCUMENT: A deral judge in New York has ruled that, under federal copyright w, a group of Buffalo area school districts cannot videotape the levised works of three filmmakers - even for temporary educational rposes. U.S. District Judge John Curtin's decision deals another ow to the Erie County Board of Cooperative Educational Services OCES), which the judge last year said had violated the fair use ovisions of the Copyright Act of 1976 by its massive videotaping of . pyrighted works. At that time, the judge indicated that some mited or temporary use of the works might be legal. But after nsidering the potential harm to the markets of Encyclopaedia itannica, Learning Corporátion of America, and Time-Life Films, the dge again sided with the filmmakers. The Erie County BOCES ggested a temporary-use period (during which no royalties would be id and works could be used and then erased) of one year or 45 days the latter period recommended by the copyright guidelines of a U.S. use of Representatives subcommittee. But Curtin ruled in ncyclopaedia Britannica v. Crooks" that no temporary use of the rks is permitted under federal copyright law. Among the criteria r judging fair use of copyrighted works is the effect of the use on e owner's potential market, Curtin said. The judge found it gnificant that all of the 19 works at issue in the case "are ailable for rental or lease for short- or long-term periods in both lm and videotape form" and can be rented for as short a time as one three days. In addition, the firms offer many types of licensing reements for schools, Curtin noted. "For these reasons, any mporary use by/BOCES of plaintiffs' copyrighted works would terfere with the marketability of these works, and the cumulative fect of this temporary videotaping would tend to diminish or ejudice the potential short-term lease or rental market for these rks," Curtin ruled. Although distribution of copyrighted works may in the public interest when the information is hard to get, he id, "in this case it is evident that copies of the plaintiffs" work y be obtained for short periods through normal channels." The andard for proving violations of the doctrine of fair use is otentia " harm to the copyright owner's market, "but we showed tual harm," said R. William Stephens, attorney for the filmmakers. labeled the case significant because the defendants who were found able for damages included BOCES board members and the staff members o copied the firms' works. Curtin assessed damages of \$63,500 and anditional \$15,000 in court costs against the BOCES defendants,  $\mathsf{FRIC}$  rens said. (Author)

## Federal Judge Rules Temporary Educational Use Of Videotape Copies of Copyrighted Works Illegal

A federal judge in New York has ruled that, under federal copyright law, a group of Buffalo area school districts cannot videotape the televised works of three filmmakers — even for temporary educational purposes. U.S. District Judge John Curtin's decision deals another blow to the Erie County Board of Cooperative Educational Services (BOCES), which the judge last year said had violated the fair use provisions of the Copyright Act of 1976 by its massive videotaping of copyrighted works.

At that time, the judge indicated that some limited or temporary use of the works might be legal. But after considering the potential harm to the markets of Encyclopaedia Britannica, Learning Corporation of America, and Time-Life Films, the judge again sided with the filmmakers

The Erie County BOCES suggested a temporary-use period (during which no royalties would be paid and works could be used and then erased) of one year or 45 days — the latter period recommended by the copyright guidelines of a U.S. House of Representatives subcommittee. But Curtin ruled in Encyclopaedia Britannica v. Crooks that no temporary use of the works is permitted under federal copyright law.

Among the criteria for judging fair use of copyrighted works is the effect of the use on the owner's potential market, Curtin said. The judge found it significant that all of the 19 works at issue in the case "are available for rental or lease for shortor long-term periods in both film and videotape form" and can be rented for as short a time as one to three days. In addition, the firms offer many types of licensing agreements for schools, Curtin noted.

"For these reasons, any temporary use by BOCES of plaintiffs' copyrighted works would interfere with the marketability of these works, and the cumulative effect of this temporary videotaping would tend to diminish or prejudice the potential short-term lease or rental market for these works," Curtin ruled. Although distribution of copyrighted works may be in the public interest when the information is hard to get, he said, "in this case it

is evident that copies of the plaintiffs' work may be obtained for short periods through normal channels."

The standard for proving violations of the doctrine of fair use is potential harm to the copyright owner's market, "but we showed actual harm," said R. William Stephens, attorney for the filmmakers. He labeled the case significant because the defendants who were found liable for damages included BOCES board members and the staff members who copied the firms' works. Curtin assessed damages of \$63,500 and an additional \$15,000 in court costs against the BOCES defendants, Stephens said.

746 PHI DELTA KAPPAN JUNE 1983 V64 n.10

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

ROBERT W. COLE, JR.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ÉRIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

I This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view of opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.