#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 230 450 SO 014 578

AUTHOR Andreasen, Alan R.; Belk, Russell W.

TITLE Consumer Response to Arts Offerings: A Study of

Theater and Symphony in Four Southern Cities.

INSTITUTION Illinois Univ., Urbana. Dept. of Business

Administration.

SPONS AGENCY National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE Sep 78
CONTRACT RQO-22-6N

NOTE 170p.; Some pages may be marginally legible due to

broken print type.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC07 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Audiences; \*Citizen Participation; \*Concerts;

Interviews; Life Style; Social Attitudes; Social Science Research; Socioeconomic Influences; \*Theater

Arts

IDENTIFIERS Georgia (Atlanta); Louisiana (Baton Rouge); South

Carolina (Columbia); Tennessee (Memphis); \*United

States (South)

#### **ABSTRACT**

In an effort to broaden the audience for the performing arts, attitudinal, life-style, and socioeconomic data on marginal and regular attenders of theater and symphony concerts in Atlanta (Georgia), Baton Rouge (Louisiana), Columbia (South Carolina), and Memphis (Tennessee) were collected. Telephone interviews were conducted with 1491 respondents 14 years of age or older randomly selected from households with telephones in 4 cities. Four major factors were found to predict attendance at both theater and symphony: attitudes toward attendance, attendance in the past year, interest in the art form as a child, and membership in the Culture Patron life-style group. For both theater and symphony, the two highest impact strategies were to offer second tickets at half price from time to time and to include famous performers in the production. The report concludes with recommendations of interest to arts managers who would like to translate the study results into concrete actions and with methodological conclusions of interest to those doing further audience studies. Appendices contain statistical tables, a note on factor analysis, and the survey questionnaire. (RM)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC) This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

() Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

#### CONSUMER RESPONSE TO ARTS OFFERINGS:

A STUDY OF THEATER AND SYMPHONY

IN FOUR SOUTHERN CITIES

Alan R. Andreasen Professor of Business Administration Research Professor, Survey Research Laboratory

Russell W. Belk Associate Professor of Business Administration

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

September 1978

Prepared for the Research Division of the National Endowment for the Arts under Contract No. RQO-22-6N.

SQ ON 578



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|        |                 |   |   |  | c                                       |                                       |                   |  |                            |  |                               |                             |           |    |                                       |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |   |   | Page   |
|--------|-----------------|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|---|--|
| LIST   | OF TABLE        | S AND   | ) FI  | GURES  | S .                                     |                                       |                   | •  |                            |  |                               |                             | •         |    |                                       | • |   |   |   |   | • |     | •                                       |   | iv   |
| AC KNO | WLEDGMEN        | TS .  |   |  |   |                                       | •                 | •  | •                          |  |                               | •                           | •         |    |                                       | • | • |   | •                                       |   |   |     | •                                       |   | vii  |
| SUMMA  | RY              |   | •   |  | •                                       |                                       |                   | •  | •                          |  |                               | •                           | •         |    | •                                     | • | • |   |   |   | • | • . | •                                       | • | ix   |
| I.     | INTRODU         | CTION   | Ι.  |  | •                                       |                                       |                   | •  | •                          |  |                               | •                           | •         | •  |                                       |   |   | • | •                                       |   |   |     |   |   | 1  |
| II.    | METHODO         | LOGY  | •   |  | •                                       | •                                     |                   | •  | :                          |  |                               |                             |           | •  | •                                     |   | • | • | •                                       |   |   |     | •                                       |   | 3  |
|        | B. Cha          | ple s<br>racte<br>Ques  | eris  | tics   | οf                                      | the                                   | e S               | amp  | )le                        |  |                               | •                           | •         | •  | •                                     | • | • | • | •                                       | • | • | •   | •                                       | • | Ć  |
| III.   | FINDING         | s   | •   | • • •  |   |                                       |                   | •  |                            |  |                               |                             |           |    |                                       |   |   | • | •                                       |   | • | •   |   | • | 7  |
|        | 1.<br>2.        | Futuermin Past Life a. b. Att: Fam: Reg: a. b. c. Impa. b. c. | t Atractic Atractic Fire St. General Contractic Sympon Contractic Int | tenda<br>Atters of<br>nding<br>yle sure<br>eral<br>es to<br>Life<br>ion fater<br>phony<br>usefutions<br>itude<br>sure<br>erest | Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc. | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | Stype             | Ger<br>tte<br>le<br>le<br>eate<br><br>ial<br>Ass | Ch<br>Ch<br>Ch<br>Cr<br>Gr | al<br>an<br>ar<br>ar<br>an<br>re<br>ia | Fice act act disconnection ps | nd<br>er<br>er<br>Sym<br>to | ing       | gs |                                       |   |   |   | • |   |   |     | • |   | 13<br>14<br>18<br>20<br>30<br>32<br>39<br>41<br>44<br>47<br>49<br>50<br>50 |
| )      | 7. C. The 1. 2. | Man:<br>The   | ipul<br>Man<br>ults<br>New<br>Pri<br>Bro<br>Res                       | ipula  | ns<br>ations<br>eriond<br>ing           | Approns . ngs Ser . the               | roa<br>ies<br>e A | ch<br>Studi                                      | :ra<br>ien                 | .te                                    | gie                           | es<br>a                     | · · · · · |    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · |   | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |   |     |   | • | 62<br>63<br>64<br>72<br>73<br>78<br>81                                     |



# TABLE OF CONTENTS -- Continued

|       |      |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Page |
|-------|------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|
| IV.   | CON  | ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS              |   |   |   |   | • |   | • |   |   | 88   |
|       | A.   | Managerial Conclusions and Recommendations |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 88   |
|       | В.   | Analytical Conclusions and Recommendations | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | . 94 |
| APPEN | DICE | SS .                                       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |      |
|       | Α.   | Detailed Statistical Tables                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 96   |
|       | B.   | Technical Note on Factor Analysis          |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 98   |
|       | Ċ.   | Survey Questionnaire with Frequency Counts | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 110  |
| REFER | ENCE | ES   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 138  |



# LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

| Table |   | •      | Page       |
|-------|---|--------|------------|
| 1.    | Final Sample Size, by City  | •      | 5          |
| 2.    | Theater and Symphony Attendance in Past 12 Months · · · · ·   | •      | 8          |
| 3.    | Percentage of Respondents Attending Theater and Symphony in Past 12 Months, by City                         | •      | 8          |
| 4.    | Anticipated Attendance of Theater and Symphony  | •      | 9          |
| 5.    | Future Attendance of Theater and Symphony, by Past Attendance   |        | 11         |
| 6,.   | Percentage Planning to Attend Theater and Symphony, by Past Attendance                                      |        | , 12       |
| 7.    | Distribution of Respondents by Leisure Life-Style Grouping  | •      | 21         |
| 8.    | Expectations about Outcomes of Attending Theater and Importance of These Outcomes, by City                  | ,<br>, | 35         |
| 9.    | Expectations about Outcomes of Attending Symphony and Importance of These Outcomes, by City                 | •      | <b>3</b> 6 |
| 10.   | Simple Correlations of Selected Respondent Characteristics and Likelihood of Attending Theater and Symphony | •      | 42         |
| 11.   | Multiple Correlation Coefficients for Variables Predicting Likelihood of Attending Theater                  | •      | 45         |
| 12.   | Multiple Correlation Coefficients for Variables Predicting Likelihood of Attending Symphony                 |        | 48         |
| 13.   | Expectations about Outcomes of Attending Theater and Symphony, by Past Attendance                           | •      | 52         |
| 14.   | Importance of Outcomes of Attending Theater and Symphony, by Past Attendance                                | ٠      | 53         |
| 15.   | Significant Demographic Differences among Leisure Life-Style Groups   | •      | 56         |
| 16.   | Differences in Childhood Exposure to the Arts and Amount of Leisure Time among Leisure Life-Style Groups    | •      | 57         |

# $t_{ m LIST}$ OF TABLES AND FIGURES--Continued

| Table |  | Page    |
|-------|--|---------|
| 17.   | Differences in Family Life Cycle among Leisure Life-Style Groups   | 58      |
| 18.   | Differences in General Life Style among Leisure Life-Style Groups  | 59      |
| 19.   | Indices of Effectiveness for New Symphony Offerings, by Past Attendance  | 74      |
| 20.   | Indices of Effectiveness for New Theater Offerings, by Past Attendance   | 75      |
| 21.   | Indices of Effectiveness of Price and Series Strategies, by Past Attendance  | 76      |
| 22.   | Probability That Responses to New Offerings and Price and Series Strategies of Past Nonattenders and Attenders Are the Same        | 79      |
| 23.   | Percentage Decreasing Planned Future Attendance of Theater, by Price Increase  | 80      |
| 24.   | Indices of Effectiveness of New Symphony Offerings, by Life-Style Group  | 82      |
| 25.   | Indices of Effectiveness of New Theater Offerings, by Life-Style Group   | 83      |
| 26.   | Indices of Effectiveness of Price and Series Strategies, by Life-Style Group   | . 84    |
| 27.   | Significant Probabilities That Responses to New Offerings of Past Nonattenders and Attenders Are the Same within Life-Style Groups | ,<br>86 |
| A1.   | Percentage of Final Sample To Meet Screening Criteria  | 96      |
| A2.   | Sampling Results, by City  | 97      |
| B1.   | Mean Variable Scores (Normalized) for Each Leisure-Specific Life-Style Group   | . 99    |
| В2.   | Varimax Rotated Factor Matrix: Correlations of Variables with General Life-Style Factors   | 105     |
| Figur | e  |         |
| 1.    | Selected Activities, Interests, and Opinions of Passive  | 22      |

# LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES -- Continued

| Figur | Pag   | e  |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.    | Selected Activities, Interests, and Opinions of Active Sports Enthusiast Life-Style Group       | 3  |
| 3.    | Selected Activities, Interests, and Opinions of Inner-Directed Self-Sufficient Life-Style Group | 4  |
| 4.    | Selected Activities, Interests. and Opinions of Culture Patron Life-Style Group                 | :5 |
| 5.    | Selected Activities, Interests, and Opinions of Active Homebody Life-Style Group                | :6 |
| 6.    | Selected Activities, Interests, and Opinions of Socially Active Life-Style Group                | 27 |



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS\

This study was made possible through the assistance of a great many specialists in the performing arts and in survey research. Insightful suggestions for questions and manipulations to be presented to our survey respondents were offered by Michael Hardy of the Krannert Center for the Performing Arts at the University of Illinois, Harold Horowitz of the National Endowment for the Arts, Hugh Southern of the Theater Development Fund, and Michael Useem of the Center for Study of Public Policy. Assistance with pretesting was provided by Michael Hardy and by Juana H. McCoy of the Memphis Arts Council, Inc. William D. Wells of Needham, Harper and Steers aided in constructing our pool of life-style items. Technical assistance on the survey design and analysis was given generously by Seymour Sudman of the Survey Research Laboratory of the University of Illinois. The latter organization was responsible for managing the field work and for coding and reducing the data for analysis. This work was conducted under the competent supervision of Mati Frankel, Jutta Sebestik, and Dorothy Nemanich of SRL. Field work was carried out by Joyner-Hutcheson Research, Inc., of Atlanta, Georgia. Computation of Q-factor groupings was carried out by Market Facts, Inc., Chicago, under the supervision of John Freehling. Harold Horowitz, Paul DiMaggio, and several anonymous reviewers in the arts community made useful comments on an earlier draft of this report.

Finally, we wish to thank our tireless research assistants, Tony Schellink, Gregory Upah, and Ganesan Visvabharathy for their willingness

to carry out sometimes dull assignments and their ability to give us insights and perspectives on the analyses they were helping produce.



#### SUMMARY

This study applies recent advances from the field of marketing to attitude measurement, life-style analysis, market segmentation, and multivariate statistics to audience development research. Data were collected from a sample of 1,491 respondents 14 years of age or older in four southern cities (Atlanta, Georgia; Memphis, Tennessee; Columbia, South Carolina; and Baton Rouge, Louisiana). Our focus was on attracting marginal attenders rather than building subscription sales among present attenders. For this reason, potential respondents judged to have zero probabilities of attending resident theater or symphony in the future were eliminated from the study and present heavy users were undersampled.

Somewhat less than half the sample claimed to have attended the theater in the previous 12 months; about the same proportion said that they would attend "in the next year or two." By contrast, 14 percent attended a symphony concert in the past year, but double that number said they were likely to attend in the next year or two. A substantial proportion of those who planned to go to both theater and symphony events in the future had never been in the past.

Two approaches to the problem of broadening the audience for theater and symphony were then explored. The first approach, called the "associational" approach, considered which characteristics best predicted whether respondents anticipated attending theater and symphony in the future. The second "manipulations" approach explored the responses of potential audiences to new theater and symphony offerings.

ERIC

To find the best set of predictors of future attendance, the "associational" approach employed stepwise regression analysis using a battery of individual traits including demographic characteristics, prior experience with theater or symphony, a specially developed leisure life-style characterization, measures of general life-style traits, measures of attitudes toward theater or symphony, and a measure of the respondent's stage in the family life cycle. The best predictors of anticipated future attendance for both theater and symphony were found to be attitudes toward attending these events, prior experiences with the cultural arts (including childhood interests), and belonging to a leisure life-style group characterized in this report as "Culture Patrons." In addition, for theater the absence of two general life-style traits referred to here as "traditionalism" and "self-confidence/opinion leadership" increased the level of anticipated future attendance beyond that suggested by the predictor variables shared with the symphony analysis.

These findings, although associational, are interpreted to suggest that leisure life styles are a valuable means for characterizing prospective theater and symphony attenders (i.e., for segmenting the market). In addition it appears that early thildhood socialization in the arts is critical and that greater likelihood of attendance is reflected in more detailed attitudes toward theater and symphony attendance. Finally, in contrast to most other past studies, purely demographic or family lifecycle characteristics were not found to be the best predictors of attendance. In particular, we did not find significant differences across cities.

The other significant group of analyses conducted, the "manipulations" approach, examined reported *changes* in the likelihood of future theater and symphony attendance if certain changes were made in these offerings



or in the controllable conditions surrounding them. Since the overall objective was to assess the potential for attracting new patrons rather than simply increasing the attendance of current patrons, these analyses compared the responses of current attenders and current nonattenders at each cultural event.

Over a dozen different modifications were considered in four basic areas: (1) changes in the event (type of performance, quality of performance, formality, and extent of learning opportunities); (2) price changes; (3) changes in the event's location; and (4) changes of price in combination with changes in the event or its location.

The analysis of these manipulations clearly pinpointed two strategies that appear to have very high impact on present nonattenders, although in both cases the impact on present attenders is just as great. One can attract more nonattenders by offering second tickets at half price, a strategy that strikingly appears likely to generate more revenues from nonattenders than does offering ticket or series discounts of larger amounts. A second high impact strategy is one that is not surprising to arts managers—including more famous performers in the event. The next most important overall strategies for attracting symphony attenders were offering tickets at half off on the day of the program and having a short introductory talk before the concert. Mowever, both of the latter strategies appear to have lower overall impact on theater nonattenders, who seem more responsive to program changes, especially the offering of more musical comedies.

Several of the proposed strategies also had an impact on past attenders. Investigation of strategies that selectively broadened audiences



(i.e., attracted past nonattenders but not past attenders) found none for theater and only one for symphony--offering choral music. Subsequent analysis within life-style groups showed that choral music was mainly effective on nonattenders among a life-style group described here as "Passive Homebodies." However, increasing the use of choral music is probably not effective because it affects only one life-style group and, more importantly, because it has the lowest overall impact score among nonattenders.

Given the lack of a great number of significant selective strategies for increasing attendance at symphony and theater events, the obvious implication from this analysis for managers is that the major short-term strategy for broadening the audience is to use high-impact strategies such as occasionally offering second tickets at half off or scheduling more famous performers, recognizing that these strategies will also increase patronage among present attenders. Data from the associational analysis would also encourage the use of the second ticket at half off to stimulate interpersonal influence processes, thus getting present attenders to invite nonattenders at the reduced rate.



#### I. INTRODUCTION

This study responds to three specific objectives of the arts community. A first long-rum objective is to broaden the audience for the performing arts. Presently there is a great deal of interest among arts managers in increasing subscription sales to current attenders as a means of stabilizing revenues (18). This is not our focus here. The present research follows from the mandate of the National Endowment for the Arts to "make arts and cultural activities more widely available to millions of Americans" (16, p. 11). To achieve this, the arts must attract greater patronage from current light attenders and, particularly, from nonattenders. However, at this time we know little about why some people become arts attenders and others do not. We know even less about what, if anything, can be done to make light or nonattenders attend more often.

The traditional approach to this problem has been to look at relationships between standard socioeconomic characteristics and arts attendance one at a time (e.g., 13, 17, 30). The present study advances this approach by adding complex life-style and attitudinal measurements to the standard set of predictors and by simultaneously analyzing the relationships between planned arts attendance and all the predictor variables.

However, as we shall discuss below, a problem with this "associational" approach is that association does not imply causation. Inferences about the effects that changes in arts offerings might have on future



Efforts by researchers to look directly at the effects of given changes on attendance have been rare. One such attempt is found in the work of Eric Marder (13).

Marder developed a model of respondents' attitudes toward seven performing arts. He then, in effect, asked the model what would happen if selected beliefs about these arts were changed, deriving estimates of likely gains and losses to each art form. Three limitations exist in Marder's pioneering work, however. First, respondents were not asked directly what their responses to the changes would be. Second, relative choices were forced; a gain for one art form always meant a loss for another. Finally, no assessment was reported of who changed. Thus, one cannot tell whether the changes simply attracted more present attenders or, in fact, broadened the arts audience.

To extend Marder's work, the present study asked potential theater and symphony attenders what their responses would be to proposed changes in the offerings of those institutions. Many means of increasing attendance have been tried or suggested in various parts of the country, including price discounts, touring programs, and special promotions (e.g., 2). A major objective of this study is to explore several such changes in order to provide insight into what will and will not broaden the arts audience.

The second broad objective to which this study is directed is the need to apply the sophisticated tools of marketing and business management to the problems of generating demand for the arts. This study introduces several recent marketing approaches to demand analysis in an attempt to give new insights into arts audiences and their development.



In particular, the study makes considerable use of recent advances in attitude measurement, life-style analysis, market segmentation, and multivariate statistics to develop strategies for arts managers.

A final objective is to develop information that can be used by arts administrators in the South, where research (e.g.,\*17) has shown that demand for the performing arts is particularly weak.

#### II. METHODOLOGY

In order to achieve the three objectives outlined above, this study develops basic attitudinal, life-style, and socioeconomic data on marginal and regular attenders of two of the performing arts-theater and symphony concerts. The study was carried out in four southern cities (Atlanta, Georgia; Baton Rouge, Louisiana; Columbia, South Carolina; and Memphis, Tennessee) and assesses responses to 12 different manipulations in the offerings of both resident symphony and resident theater. The four cities were chosen from among a list of several dozen southern cities with both a symphony and regular theater presentations.

## A. Sample Selection

Data for the analysis were gathered by means of telephone interviews conducted with respondents 14 years of age or older randomly selected from households with telephones in the four cities. At the outset, it was decided that a major focus of the study would be on marginal attenders—those who do not now go frequently to theater or symphony but who might be enticed to do so. For this reason, those whom we judged to have virtually zero probability of attending theater or symphony were screened out. At the same time, those who are already heavy attenders were intentionally



undersampled, since our concern was not with appealing to audiences already heavily involved in the arts. The undersampling was accomplished by interviewing only one-half of those who had attended three or more theater or symphony performances in the previous year.

Screening questions defined potential users as the following:

- a) Those who did one of the following in the last 12 months:
  - (1) Went to live popular or rock concerts;
  - (2) Listened at least ten times to classical music on radio, television, records, or tapes;
  - (3) Visited an art gallery or museum;
  - (4) Went to a live classical music performance other than a symphony concert;
  - (5) Saw a ballet either live or on television;
  - (6) Saw one or two plays;
  - (7) Went to a symphony orchestra concert once or twice.
- b) And/or those who met one of the following qualifications:
  - (1) Plays a musical instrument;
  - (2) Ever worked for a theater, music, or dance production;
  - (3) Attended three or more live plays sometime in their lives but not in the past year;
  - (4) Attended three or more symphony orchestra concerts sometime in their lives but not in the past year.

A total of 3,956 residential telephone numbers were selected for screening. Of these, 44 percent were not screened because the numbers were no longer in service, the residents were not at home after five callbacks, or they refused to participate. Of those screened, 15 percent



the main sample. Only 14 percent of those reached were dropped because their probability of attending was deemed to be zero according to the criteria discussed above. (Percentages of the final sample who met each screening criterion are reported in Appendix A, Table Al.) After screening, a total of 1,733 households were designated for complete interviews.

A systematic selection table (see Appendix C) was used to determine the household member to be interviewed. Of the remaining respondents, an additional 14 percent were unavailable or refused to participate in the main interview, yielding a final sample of 1,491, subdivided by city as shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1
FINAL SAMPLE SIZE, BY CITY

| City        | Number | Percent |
|-------------|--------|---------|
| Atlanta     | 357    | 23.9    |
| Baton Rouge | 358    | 24.0    |
| Columbia    | 385    | 25.8    |
| Memphis     | 391    | 26.2    |
| Total       | 1,491  | 99.9    |

Detailed sampling results by city are given in Appendix A, Table A2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Of the heavy attenders, 77 percent were heavy attenders of theater only, 5 percent were heavy attenders of symphony only, and 14 percent were heavy attenders of both.



#### B. Characteristics of the Sample

The study's methodology attempted to develop a sample of individuals over 14 years of age in the four metropolitan areas. Comparisons of study characteristics with available census data suggest that the sample population is younger, better educated, from a higher income level, and substantially more often female than the general population of the four areas. These differences are consistent with those found in other studies using telephone interviewing and are also consistent with our procedure for screening out those with zero probability of attending arts events.

### C. The Questionnaire

Respondents in the study were asked extensive questions about their attitudes and behavior toward theater and symphony, aspects of their leisure and general life styles, and their socioeconomic characteristics.

The questions were developed from other research studies, from introspection, and from several focus-group interviews with heavy and light arts attenders. The questionnaire was pretested with a sample of 30 respondents in Memphis. Because of the length of the questionnaire, one-third of the main sample in each city was asked about their attitudes toward attending the theater, another third was asked about their attitudes toward attending symphony concerts, and the final third was asked neither set of attitude questions. The questionnaire reproduced in Appendix C includes basic frequency counts or mean responses for each question.

#### III. FINDINGS

As indicated in the Introduction, this study is concerned with building future audiences. It is designed to offer guidance on how to make both past nonattenders and attenders become future attenders. The study utilizes two broad analytical approaches: (1) analyzing associations with planned future attendance (the "associational" approach), and (2) analyzing responses to proposed changes in arts offerings (the "manipulations" approach). Sections B and C of this part of the report are devoted to these analyses. First, however, we shall begin in Section with a background description of the sample's past and planned future patronage behavior.

## A. Past and Future Attendance

## 1. Past Attendance

About 42 percent of all respondents in the study claimed that they had attended the theater in the past 12 months (and an addit onal 46 percent had not attended theater in the past year but had attended at least three times in their lives). By contrast, only 14 percent had attended a symphony concert in the past 12 months (and 19 percent had at some previous time). Some 10 percent of the respondents had attended both symphony and theater, and some 54 percent had attended neither in the past 12 months, as the figures in Table 2 indicate. Clearly those who are concertgoers only are a small, unique group; concert attendance is more likely to be combined with theater attendance. Quite the opposite is true of theater attendance.



TABLE 2
THEATER AND SYMPHONY ATTENDANCE
IN PAST 12 MONTHS

| Attendance                    | Number | Percent |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Attended theater only         | 482    | 32.3    |
| Attended theater and symphony | 148    | 9.9     |
| Attended symphony only        | 60     | 4.0     |
| Attended neither              | 800    | 53.7    |
| Total                         | 1,490  | 99.9 a  |

a Not 100 percent because of rounding.

Past attendance at theater is very similar across the four cities in our study, but symphony attendance is not, as the figures in Table 3 indicate. Columbia has much lower concert attendance and Atlanta has somewhat higher concert attendance than the remaining two cities.

TABLE 3

PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS ATTENDING THEATER AND SYMPHONY IN PAST 12 MONTHS, BY CITY

|             | Attending within | past 12 months |
|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| City        | Theater          | Symphony       |
| Atlanta     | 42.0             | 18.3           |
| Baton Rouge | 43.4             | 14.8           |
| Columbia    | 44.5             | 9.1            |
| Memphis     | 39.4             | 13.8           |



Whether these differences are due to differences in the kinds of people in each of these cities or to other factors in their respective cultural environments (e.g., quality and relative availability of performances) is an issue to which we shall return in later multivariate analyses.

#### 2. Future Attendance: General Findings

Despite the fact that respondents to this study were asked about past attendance and about other behaviors that might make them potential attenders at theater and symphony, it was not expected that they would all be likely to attend in the next year or two. Clearly, if a respondent was not very likely to attend, asking him or her about attending more or less often if certain changes were made in theater and symphony offerings was not likely to yield meaningful results. Thus, respondents were further screened on their anticipated likelihood of attending theater or symphony concerts "in the next year or two." The results are shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4

ANTICIPATED ATTENDANCE OF THEATER AND SYMPHONY

| Anticipated attendance                                 | Number | Percent | <del></del> |
|--|--------|---------|-------------|
| Very or somewhat likely to attend theater only         | 316    | 21.2    |             |
| Very or somewhat likely to attend theater and symphony | 340    | 22.8    | •           |
| Very or somewhat likely to attend symphony only        | 89     | 6.0     | j           |
| Not very or not at all likely to attend either         | 746    | 50.0    | ( /         |
| Total  | 1,491  | 100.0   |             |

that about the same proportion anticipate attending symphony only or neither symphony nor theater in the future as in the past. There is a marked shift, however, from "theater only" to joint theater and symphony attendance. Although this shift does not affect the number who are planning to attend theater, it has marked effects on the number who are planning to attend symphony concerts in the next year or so.

To see where this shift is coming from, past and planned future attendance is contrasted in Table 5. Here we can see that the most stable groups are those who go either to both art forms or to neither; in each case, about two-thirds say that they will continue their past patterns. The remaining categories have a great deal of volatility. Only 18 percent of the symphony-only patrons and 34 percent of the theater-only patrons claim that they will continue exactly the same arts attendance patterns. Half of the symphony goers say that they will add theater attendance and a quarter of the theater attenders will add symphony. By contrast, one-quarter of the symphony-only attenders and over one-third of the theater-only attenders will drop out of the market altogether.

Put another way, those who attended both theater and symphony appear more likely to remain loyal to each performing art than those who attended only one of the art forms, as the figures in Table 6 show. This high likelihood of future attendance on the part of those with interest in more than one cultural art is a finding that we shall see reflected in later analyses. It will, undoubtedly, not come as a surprise to arts researchers or administrators.



TABLE 5

FUTURE ATTENDANCE OF THEATER AND SYMPHONY,
BY PAST ATTENDANCE<sup>a</sup>

| 1                    | Past attendance |         |           |         |         |                   |        |                   |        |         |  |  |  |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| Future attendance    | Theater only    |         | Theater a | Sympho  | ny only | Ne                | ither  | Total             |        |         |  |  |  |
| I .                  | Number          | Percent | Number    | Percent | Number  | Percent           | Number | Percent           | Number | Percent |  |  |  |
| Theater only         | 164             | 34.1    | 15        | 10.2    | 6       | 10.0              | 129    | 16.1              | 314    | 21.1    |  |  |  |
| Theater and symphony | 118             | 24.5    | 97        | 66.0    | 29      | 48.3              | 96     | 12.0              | 340    | 22.9    |  |  |  |
| Symphony only        | 25              | 5.2     | 12        | 8.2     | 11      | 18.3              | 41     | 5.1               | 89     | 6.0     |  |  |  |
| Neither              | 174             | 36.2    | 23        | 15.6    | 14      | 23.3              | 533    | 66.7              | 744    | 50.0    |  |  |  |
| Total                | 481             | 100.0   | 147       | 100.0   | 60      | 99.9 <sup>b</sup> | 799    | 99.9 <sup>b</sup> | 1,487  | 100.0   |  |  |  |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a}}$ Attendance within past 12 months.



23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Not 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 6
PERCENTAGE PLANNING TO ATTEND THEATER AND SYMPHONY,
BY PAST ATTENDANCE

|                      | Future attendance |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Past attendance      | Theater           | Symphony         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Theater only         | 58.6              | 29.7             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Theater and Symphony | 76.2              | <del>7</del> 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Symphony only        | 58.3              | . 66.6           |  |  |  |  |  |

We still have not resolved the problem of where the "new" symphony attenders come from. Fully 65 percent of those who say they will go to the symphony in the next year or so did not attend in the past 12 months. On the other hand, only 39 percent of future theater attenders did not attend the past year's theater performances. What is even more surprising is that of these potential "new" symphony attenders, 70 percent have never attended three or more concerts in their lives. The comparable figure for theater is only 12 percent. Several explanations of this finding are possible:

(1) Symphony audiences may indeed be growing much faster than theater audiences. (The implied annual growth rate for symphony is 48 percent, compared with 10 percent for theater.)

Actual attendance data in the four cities would belie this, suggesting—as seems reasonable—that the "likely" attendance figures are not always very good predictors of actual behavior.

- (2) Symphony attendance is seen as more prestigious than theater attendance, so that more respondents tried to impress our interviewers by saying that they planned to attend in the future. Undoubtedly, some of this response bias is present in our data, but to suggest that it applies to 15-19 percent of the sample seems unlikely.
- (3) The potential audience for symphony is much larger than past attendance figures would suggest. By saying that they plan to go in the future, past nonattenders may be merely signaling their interest in attending if the circumstances are right. Such a possibility would predict that this group might be particularly responsive to new offerings, a prediction that we shall see has some support.

## B. Determinants of Future Attendance

It is future attendance that arts marketers wish to influence.

One approach to developing strategies to that end, as we have noted,

is to ascertain what characteristics are associated with planned attendance.

The assumption, then, is that if one knows that some members of a

particular population segment have a high probability of attendance,

one should focus one's marketing efforts on that segment with the expectation that nonattenders or light attenders in the segment are more

likely to be favorably predisposed to take the action that one wants

than are those in other segments. Marketing dollars would therefore

be more productively spent with such a sequential strategy (7, 25).



#### 1. Past Findings

There have, of course, been a large and growing number of audience studies conducted in the United States in the last ten years, a great many of which are unpublished.

A detailed analysis of 270 studies of audiences for museums and the performing arts has recently been completed by DiMaggio, Useem, and Erown (5). Through extensive efforts at standardizing the results across these diverse studies, these researchers concluded the following:

Gender:

"The percentage of men and women in the audiences surveyed varied, but did not differ greatly from the population at large" (5, p. ii).

Age:

"On the average, arts audiences exhibited age profiles similar to that of the entire population, but specific audiences frequently diverged greatly from this central tendency" (5, p. 32).

Educational attainment:

"Although audiences varied considerably, median educational attainment was in most cases very high relative to the population at large" (5, p. iii).

Occupation:

"Among the most striking findings were the high median percentages of professionals in the audiences surveyed relative to their share of the employed civilian work force and the rarity of blue collar workers among attenders surveyed in art museums and the performing arts" (5, p. iii).



Income:

"The median income for performing arts audiences was approximately \$19,000 [in mid-1976 dollars], or about \$4,000 more than the United States' average" (5, p. iv).

Race and ethnicity:

"Minorities participated in the relatively few audiences for which data were available at rates consistently lower than their share of relevant metropolitan populations" (5, p. iv).

In addition to these findings, the authors also reached the following conclusions:

- (1) There seem to be no significant changes in audiences over time, although there are relatively few pre-1970 studies.
- (2) Heavy attenders reported higher education levels and incomes than did light attenders but they had the same gender and age patterns.
- (3) Heavy attenders at one live performing art (except theater) tended to be heavy attenders at other live performing arts.

These findings, in the main, are not very surprising, and in their conclusions, the authors point to the need for further advances in research to try to improve the quality of audience research. They urge four specific advances of relevance to the present study:

(1) They ask, "Is there one arts audience or many? For example, do major arts centers like New York have multiple publics while smaller cities have a single cultural public?" (5, p. 177).



Much of the past research on arts audiences has tended to contrast attenders and nonattenders and has not looked to see if there are meaningful subsegments within either group. A major contribution of the present study is that it divides the population in advance into subsegments based on their leisure-time use patterns and then observes their likelihood of future attendance and their responsiveness to new arts offerings. In addition to developing these leisure life-style groupings, this study also develops a rich array of data on respondents' general life-style tendencies.

(2) DiMaggio, Useem, and Brown state: "Another issue about which little is known and much curiosity exists is the process of socialization into arts attendance: how early does it begin, how important is the family, and how important is the school?" (5, p. 178). The present study for the first time adds to the standard set of socioeconomic variables two new sets of questions asking about (a) the extent to which respondents were interested in classical music or live theater when they were growing up and (b) the extent to which their parents were interested in the same performing arts. In addition to these new questions, the analysis also takes conventional data on age, marital status, and the presence or absence of children to construct a measure of the respondent's stage in the family life cycle to see whether receptivity to the performing arts is higher or lower as one moves through a set of typical life stages.

- information on why people do or do not attend. For example, they ask, "Do individuals fail to attend museums and the live performing arts because of disinterest, antipathy, inconvenience, prices or discomfort?" (5, p. 176). Clearly, to answer this question one must know the extent to which potential attenders' expectations affect their attendance. To this end, our study has included a substantial battery of questions about consumer attitudes (e.g., their expectations) when attending theater and symphony and on the importance of those expectations to them.
- (4) Finally, DiMaggio, Useem, and Brown urge researchers to employ more sophisticated analytic techniques to the data that they collect and particularly to look at the interactions among variables. Although they focus on the need for greater use of the relatively simple technique of cross-tabulation analysis, the present study suggests the much higher payoff that is possible from the use of more sophisticated techniques now relatively commonplace in marketing research, namely, analysis of variance, factor analysis, and multiple regression. These techniques permit examinations of the entire set of predictor variables simultaneously to learn which are most important in explaining the variability in planned attendance.

Thus, in summary, what we have sought to achieve in the present study is to use more advanced analytic techniques and to introduce several new audience measures in the expectation that these innovations



will yield better predictions of future attendance and better explanations of responses to changes in arts offerings. Before proceeding to the analysis of future attendance, it will be desirable to describe in more detail three of the new sets of variables introduced here--life styles, attitudes, and family life cycle--since they are relatively complex and possibly are new to some readers of this report. We begin with the concept of life style, which occupies a central position in the analysis.

### 2. Life Style

In the field of marketing, the study of consumer life styles, or "psychographic" profiles, has emerged in the past decade as a major part of an effort to provide detailed insight into consumer decision patterns. A description of a consumer's life style typically notes the activities in which the consumer commonly participates (e.g., going to church, camping), the interests of the consumer (e.g., liking to eat, liking to travel), and the opinions of the consumer (e.g., most men would cheat on their wives if given the chance; there should be a gun in every home). For this reason, life-style data are often called activity, interest, and opinion (AIO) data. By constructing a broadbased life-style profile, the researcher's intent is to show how the consumption of a particular product or service fits into the context of the consumer's chosen way of life.

Besides the expanded perspective on consumption provided by lifestyle analysis, these descriptions often provide profiles of consumer purchases that are greater in depth and clarity than those provided



by simpler demographic information about the consumer. Among the product and service choices that have benefited from life-style analysis are bank charge cards (21), department stores (14), television programs (22), shotgun ammunition (27), and clothing (4). A number of further studies have shown that the predictive power of such psychographic profiles can be superior to that of basic demographic characteristics (e.g., 3, 8, 10, 20, 29). Thus, life-style analysis can be a practical tool for understanding consumer choice. It can also be subjectively insightful, as we shall suggest below.

The methods used in obtaining psychographic profiles are somewhat varied, but they usually involve having a large number of people (often over 1,000) respond to a large number of scaled activity, interest, and opinion questions (often over 100). The questions may involve either general life style—in which case they are often drawn from a pool of more or less standard items—or a life style specific to the consumption area under study (e.g., cooking). In the latter case the items must be specially developed.

Consumer responses to the AIO questions may be analyzed in several ways. The most direct way is to simply profile the responses of users versus nonusers of a product or service (for example, those with and without bank charge cards). More typically, however, life-style dimensions are developed through a procedure such as factor analysis in order to combine AIO items into underlying dimensions. It is also common to use a cluster analysis or Q-type factor analysis to group respondents into life-style categories. (The present study



employs both procedures, as described in Appendix B.) In either case, the reduced life-style dimensions or groups are then related to the choice or preference behavior of interest in order to examine the impact of life style on these consumption responses.

To the marketing practitioner, analysis of consumer life styles offers a means for probing into reasons for consumer choice more deeply than is allowed by standard demographic variables such as age, income, and family size. This deeper awareness of how consumer purchases facilitate or reflect individual life styles can offer major insights for programs designed to communicate more effectively with these consumers.

In the present study, consumer life style was measured at two different levels. The first level was the individual's use of leisure time, or what may be called "going-out behavior". The second level was the individual's more general activities, interests, and opinions in which the leisure activities are imbedded.

## a. Leisure Life-Style Characteristics

The first type of life style analyzed was based on responses to a set of 50 questions about leisure-time activities, interests, and opinions. These data were then used to group respondents into leisure-specific life-style categories. Unlike the analysis of the general life-style characteristics to be discussed later, for the leisure life styles so-called Q-type factor analysis was performed on the answers to these 50 questions in order to group respondents into unique leisure-time use categories, recognizing that this procedure does some disservice



to those respondents with mixed life styles (see Appendix B). The objective of this analysis was to find types of life styles (i.e., people) rather than types of life-style characteristics (i.e., traits).

In developing the leisure life-style groups, a number of different possible groupings from the Q-type factor analysis were examined, and these solutions were tested for stability between two randomly chosen halves of the respondents. A solution was selected that partitioned the population into six unique clusters. Names for each group and the distribution of respondents across the groups are given in Table 7. Figures 1 through 6 report four to six activities, interests,

TABLE 7
DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS BY
LEISURE LIFE-STYLE GROUPING

| Life-style group               | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Passive Homebody               | 295    | 20      |
| Active Sports Enthusiast       | 285    | 19      |
| Inner-Directed Self-Sufficient | 216    | 14      |
| Culture Patron                 | 295    | 20      |
| Active Homebody                | 190    | 13      |
| Socially Active                | 210    | 14      |
| Total                          | 1,491  | 100     |

Note that because of the special nature of the present sample, the proportions in Table 7 are not projectable to the general populations of the cities in this study. Thus, for example, we cannot say that 20 percent of all those over age 14 in the four cities are Passive Homebodies.



#### FIGURE 1

# SELECTED ACTIVITIES, INTERESTS, AND OPINIONS OF PASSIVE HOMEBODY LIFE-STYLE GROUP

## They do or agree with the following:

- 1. Television is my primary source of entertainment (interest).
- 2. I am a homebody (interest).
- 3. I watch TV in order to quietly relax (interest).
- 4. I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go to a party (interest).
- 5. My days seem to follow a definite routine (interest).

# They do not do or disagree with the following:

- 1. See a movie in a movie theater (activity).
- 2. Go bowling (activity).
- 3. Go to a sports event (activity).
- 4. Work on an arts or crafts project of your own (activity).
- 5. Go out to dinner at a restaurant (activity).
- 6. Play tennis (activity).

Number in group = 295 (20% of sample)



#### FIGURE 2.

# SELECTED ACTIVITIES, INTERESTS, AND OPINIONS OF ACTIVE SPORTS ENTHUSIAST LIFE-STYLE GROUP

# They do or agree with the following:

- 1. Go bowling (activity).
- 2. Go to a sports event (activity).
- 3. Play tennis (activity).
- 4. See a movie in a movie theater (activity).
- I like to attend sporting events (interest).
- 6. I can't see myself going to an opera (interest).

# They do not do or disagree with the following:

- 1. I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go to a party (interest).
- 2. Many of my friends are interested in symphony concerts (interest).
- 3. Many of my friends are interested in the theater (interest).
- 4. I usually know which symphony concerts are being performed around here (interest).
- 5. I am a homebody (interest).
- 6. I usually know which play is being performed around here (interest).

Number in group = 285 (19% of sample)



#### FIGURE, 3

SELECTED ACTIVITIES, INTERESTS, AND OPINIONS OF INNER-DIRECTED SELF-SUFFICIENT LIFE-STYLE GROUP

# They do or agree with the following:

- 1. Do yard work or gardening outdoors (activity).
- 2. Read a book for pleasure (activity).
- 3. I'd rather read a good book than a newspaper (interest).
- 4. Work on an arts or crafts project of your own (activity).
- 5. Go on a picnic (activity).

# They do not do or disagree with the following:

- 1. I enjoy many foreign films (interest).
- 2. I do more things socially than most of my friends do (interest).
- 3. I usually know which symphony concerts are being performed around here (interest).
- 4. Many of my friends are interested in symphony concerts (interest).

Number in group = 216 (14% of sample)



#### FIGURE 4

# SELECTED ACTIVITIES, INTERESTS, AND OPINIONS OF CULTURE PATRON LIFE-STYLE GROUP

#### They do or agree with the following:

- 1. Went to a play in the past 12 months (activity).
- 2. Went to a symphony orchestra concert in the last 12 months (activity).
- 3. Visited an art gallery or museum in the last 12 months (activity).
- 4. The arts are more important to me than to most other people (interest).

#### They do not do or disagree with the following:

- 1. My major hobby is my family (interest).
- 2. Television is my primary source of entertainment (interest).
- 3. Watch TV other than sports events (activity).
- 4. I watch TV in order to quietly relax (activity).
- 5. Watch a sports event on TV (activity).
- 6. If cultural organizations cannot pay their own way, they should go out of business (opinion).

Number in group = 295 (20% of sample)



#### FIGURE 5

# SELECTED ACTIVITIES, INTERESTS, AND OPINIONS OF ACTIVE HOMEBODY LIFE-STYLE GROUP

## They do or agree with the following:

- 1. Play golf (activity).
- 2. Work on your car (activity).
- 3. I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go to a party (interest).
- 4. I don't often listen to the radio (interest).
- 5. Most of the arts and cultural activities in the area are not for someone like me (interest).
- 6. I am a homebody (interest).

#### They do not do or disagree with the following:

- 1. Watch TV other than sports (activity).
- 2. I watch TV in order to quietly relax (interest).
- 3. Read a book for pleasure (activity).
- Give or attend a party (activity).

Number in group = 190 (13% of sample)



#### FIGURE 6

# SELECTED ACTIVITIES, INTERESTS, AND OPINIONS OF SOCIALLY ACTIVE LIFE-STYLE GROUP

#### They do or agree with the following:

- 1. Go to a meeting of a social or service club (activity).
- Give or attend a party (activity).
- Go on a picnic (activity).
- 4. I usually know which plays are being performed around here (interest).

### They do not do or disagree with the following:

- 1. I'd rather read a good book than a newspaper (interest).
- 2. I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go to a party (interest).
- 3. I can't see myself going to an opera (interest).
- 4. I like to read nonfiction books (interest).
- 5. I have less leisure time compared to other people I know (interest).

Number in group = 210 (14% of sample)



and opinions that most typify each group and four to six that least

typify it. Table B1 in Appendix B provides a more detailed summary of

the mean scores of each group on each of the 50 variables used to con
struct the typologies. The answers to the questions have been "standardized"

so that the average score, across all groups, is zero and the group

scores range between +1 and -1.

The six leisure life-style groups may be characterized as follows:

The Passive Homebody.--This group prefers family- and home-oriented activities. Its members are heavy watchers of television, have essentially negative attitudes toward cultural organizations and activities, and, in fact, tend to avoid nearly any activity outside the home, such as bowling, eating out, or seeing a movie. These people recognize that their days are routine and filled with unused leisure time.

The Active Sports Enthusiast. -- In many ways this group is the antithesis of the previous group. They take part in many active sports, such as tennis and bowling, and engage in other outgoing activities, such as movies, parties, and spectator sports. They strongly disagree that they are homebodies or like to spend a quiet evening at home. On the other hand, they are like the homebodies, but more extreme, in their negative attitudes toward theater, symphony, and other cultural activities.

The Inner-Directed Self-Sufficient.--Members of this group are best characterized by their participation in a number of industrious homeoriented activities, such as gardening, reading, and craft projects.

They are family-oriented and prone to undertake outdoor activities such as hiking and picnics. They are inactive and uninformed when it comes to



cultural activities, although they are not negative toward these activities as are the Passive Homebody and Active Sports Enthusiast groups. They are not overburdened with leisure time as is the Passive Homebody. Instead, it appears that their leisure interests keep them busy, either alone or with their family.

The Culture Patron. -- This group would be expected to be the best market for theater and symphony, since they report that they are now involved with these activities. This is a reflection of their general cultural orientation, with favorable attitudes toward and partronage of the arts in general. They lack the orientation toward home and family of the Passive Homebody and the Inner-Directed Self-Sufficient and the sports orientation of the Active Sports Enthusiast. They rely very little on television for entertainment or relaxation.

The Active Homebody. -- Members of this group resemble the Passive Homebody group in their home- and family-orientation, but replace that group's nonactive TV-watching with such activities as golf, working on the car, and gardening. They have a generally negative attitude toward the arts and do little reading, partying, or radio listening. In other words, they are not very socially active or media-oriented, but fill their time with what might be called productive "tinkering" activities.

The Socially Active. -- This last group is also active, but in a more social vein. They give and attend parties, eat out often, and participate in clubs and other meetings. They are aware of theater and symphony offerings and have friends who are interested in these activities. Nevertheless, their own patronage is presently not great. They are busy and cannot abide leisurely pursuits such as golf, reading, or spending a quiet evening at home.



In the present context, then, the Culture Patron and Socially Active groups have leisure life styles that appear to be conducive to attending the performing arts. On the other hand, the Passive Homebody, Active Homebody, and Active Sports Enthusiast groups would appear to be negatively predisposed toward attendance. Finally, the Inner-Directed Self-Sufficent group appears generally uninformed, and possibly neutral, about the arts. It may be expected that these differences will prove instructive in the analyses of future behavior response to our manipulations in the sections to follow.

#### b. General Life-Style Chracteristics

Differences in leisure life styles are likely to be associated with both socioeconomic characteristics and other, more general activities, interests, and opinions. Descriptions of general life styles were developed through 43 questions asked in the study. These questions were then factor analyzed to yield underlying dimensions along which our six groups might be expected to vary. The methodology used to accomplish this was an R-type factor analysis, which develops a small number of independent dimensions (in this case, six) that are highly correlated with subsets of the original 43 variables. It is a grouping of characteristics, not of people. The correlation coefficients measuring the degree of relationship between the original variables and the six new dimensions (factors) are shown in Table B2 of Appendix B, which also presents a more detailed description of the analytical procedures. The larger coefficients for each dimension may be used in labeling the factors. For instance, the first factor has high positive correlations



(over .30) with church-going, old-fashioned tastes, and wishing for the good old days. These and other high correlations point to variables that the factor most closely resembles (or in the case of negative correlations, resembles the opposite of); they thus aid in naming the factor.<sup>3</sup>

The six general life-style dimensions developed in this study are the following:

Traditionalism. -- As noted, this characteristic is associated with church-going, old-fashroned tastes, a feeling that things are moving too fast, and a wish for the good old days. It is also related to preferences for a traditional child- and family-centered home where the man is in charge and the woman is home-oriented. Finally, it includes a preference for security and an unwillingness to take chances.

Hedonism/Optimism. -- This characteristic involves wanting to look attractive and perhaps a little different, wanting to travel around the world or live in London or Paris for a year, and liking to eat.

It is associated with the positive view that one's greatest achievements lie ahead.

Defeatism. -- This characteristic is marked by a depressed outlook due to a belief that things have not turned out so well. One's present life is thought undesirable; if given the chance, one would do things differently. It is also associated with wishing for the good old days, thinking things are changing too fast, spending for today, and dreading the future.

It may be noted that a small number of items from the leisure life-style analysis are used in the general analysis.



Self-Confidence/Opinion Leadership. -- Two characteristics seem best to describe this dimension -- a feeling of self-confidence and liking to be considered a leader.

<u>Urbanism.--This</u> factor involves a preference for big cities and support for Women's Liberation.

Outdoorsiness .-- This dimension involves going on picnics and hiking.

## 3. Attitudes toward Theater and Symphony

The life-style approach to explaining arts behavior is a general one; it examines how various arts behaviors fit into more general life patterns. Attitude researchers focus instead on predicting behavior by understanding the nature and value of the various outcomes that an individual expects from engaging in a behavior (e.g., attending theater or symphony). Behaviors that yield positive outcomes on important dimensions will be adopted; those that do not yield positive outcomes or that yield positive outcomes only on unimportant dimensions will not be adopted. This approach, following the work of Fishbein and Ajzen (6), Rosenberg (23), and others (e.g., 9), has proved useful to marketers in predicting purchase intentions, actual purchases of such products as children's clothing (26), and TV program selection (12).

In the present investigation, subsamples of consumers were asked about their attitudes toward attending the two performing arts under study. As indicated earlier, because of the length of the overall questionnaire,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>It is important to note that it is attitude toward an action (e.g., buying a Rolls Royce) rather than attitude toward an object or event (e.g., a Rolls Royce) that generally is found to predict behavior best.



attitudes about theater attendance were only asked of one-third of the sample and attitudes about symphony attendance were only asked of another third. Each participating respondent was asked two questions, the first of which asked the following:

If you were to go to a live, professional play (symphony concert) in the next month or two, how likely would it be that you would experience the following:

- a. You could get exactly the seats you wanted?
- b. It would not take a long time to get from your home into the theater (concert hall)?
- c. You would feel comfortable with the audience?
- d. You would not find the play (concert) too long?
- e. You would feel personally involved with what was going on at the performance?
- f. You would find your friends there?
- g. You would feel pleased that you were going long before the performance day?
- h. You would find the tickets inexpensive?
- i. You would not feel that it was too formal an occasion?
- j. You would find the performers excellent?
- k. You would not feel you'd spent too much for the occasion, that is, for tickets, travel, food and the like?
- 1. You would like the play (program)?
- m. You would feel you understood what was going on?
- n. You would find that those you were with were having a good time?
- o. You would learn a lot?
- p. You would not feel you were wasting your time?
- q. You would feel stimulated?



Respondents were asked whether it would be "very likely," "somewhat likely," "somewhat unlikely," or "very unlikely" that each would be experienced.

The next question read as follows:

We've just talked about how likely it would be to experience certain things when going to a live play (symphony concert). If you were to go to a live, professional play (symphony concert) in the next month or two, how important would it be that you would experience these same things?

Respondents were then given the same 17 items as in the preceding question and asked whether each outcome would be "very important," "somewhat unimportant," or "very unimportant."

Specific beliefs and importance scores on each of the 17 attributes for each of the four study cities are given in Tables 8 and 9.

The data in Table 8 show that, when thinking of attending theater, respondents give highest importance weightings to the play and performance characteristics and to understanding what is going on. Finding friends there, having the occasion informal, and having the theater nearby appear to be least important.

There are differences across the four cities with respect to expectations about attending the theater. These differences appear to be greatest for Memphis. Respondents there are more likely to expect plays to be

- (1) Not too long
- (2) Personally involving
- (3) Performed well

Differences across cities were tested at the .05 level under one-way analysis of variance.



TABLE 8

EXPECTATIONS ABOUT OUTCOMES OF ATTENDING THEATER AND IMPORTANCE OF THESE OUTCOMES, BY CITY<sup>a</sup>

| _                             | Atlanta      |      | Baton | Baton Rouge |       | Columbia |       | nis  | Total |      |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------|-------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|------|-------|------|
| Outcome                       | Expc.        | Imp. | Expc. | Imp.        | Expc. | Imp.     | Expc. | Imp. | Expc. | Imp. |
| Can get exact seats           | 2.71         | 3.06 | 2.54  | 3.11        | 2.64  | 3.02     | 2.88  | 3.14 | 2.69  | 3.08 |
| Theater is nearby             | 2.70         | 2.78 | 2.81  | 2.77        | 2.59  | 2.79     | 2.70  | 2.58 | 2.70  | 2.73 |
| Comfortable with audience     | 3.26         | 3.05 | 3.27  | 3.09        | 3.24  | 3.05     | 3.46  | 3.25 | 3.31  | 3.11 |
| Play not too<br>long**++      | 2.99         | 2.95 | 2.89  | 2.98        | 2.62  | 2.89     | 3.26  | 3.24 | 2.93  | 3.02 |
| Feel personally involved*     | 2.95         | 3.24 | 2.96  | 3.20        | 2.82  | 3.00     | 3.19  | 3.17 | 2.98  | 3.15 |
| Find friends there**++        | 2.10         | 2.29 | 2.51  | 2.62        | 2.55  | 2.50     | 2.80  | 2.75 | 2.49  | 2.54 |
| Feel pleased before going**++ | 2.87         | 2.85 | 2.85  | 2.81        | 2.98  | 2.82     | 3.20  | 3.17 | 2.98  | 2.91 |
| Tickets inexpensive           | 2.37         | 3.05 | 2.72  | 3.06        | 2.50  | 3.01     | 2.55  | 3.08 | 2.54  | 3.05 |
| Not too formal                | 2.67         | 2.67 | 2.60  | 2.65        | 2.62  | 2.73     | 2.79  | 2.81 | 2.67  | 2.72 |
| Performers excellent**+ .     | 3.10         | 3.39 | 3.06  | 3.37        | 2.96  | 3.32     | 3.30  | 3.56 | 3.10  | 3.41 |
| Not spent too much            | <b>2</b> .63 | 3.01 | 2.82  | 2.99        | 2.69  | 3.15     | 2.89  | 3.13 | 2.76  | 3.07 |
| Like play                     | 3.23         | 3.64 | 3.34  | 3.55        | 3.28  | 3.48     | 3.45  | 3.61 | 3.33  | 3.57 |
| Understand                    | 3.47         | 3.52 | 3.40  | 3.54        | 3.29  | 3.43     | 3.43  | 3.53 | 3.40  | 3.51 |
| Friends have good time*++     | 3.16         | 3.40 | 3.33  | 3.31        | 3.16  | 3.23     | 3.41  | 3.54 | 3.27  | 3.37 |
| Learn a lot                   | 3.10         | 3.12 | 3.18  | 3.21        | 3.04  | 3.17     | 3.29  | 3.33 | 3.15  | 3.21 |
| Not feel time wasted**        | 3.10         | 3.43 | 2.88  | 3.26        | 2.81  | 3.35     | 3.21  | 3.44 | 3.00  | 3.37 |
| Feel stimulated**             | 2.95         | 3.21 | 3.05  | 3.22        | 3.01  | 3.23     | 3.38  | 3.37 | 3.10  | 3.26 |

Appendix C was treated as a midpoint and the remaining values were rescaled.)

<sup>++</sup>Differences in importances across cities significant at the .01 level.



<sup>\*</sup>Differences in expectations across cities significant at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Differences in expectations across cities significant at the .01 level.

<sup>+</sup>Differences in importances across cities significant at the .05 level.

TABLE 9

EXPECTATIONS ABOUT OUTCOMES OF ATTENDING SYMPHONY AND IMPORTANCE OF THESE OUTCOMES, BY CITY<sup>2</sup>

|                           | Atlanta       |      | Baton Rouge |       | Columbia |      | Memphis |      | Total |      |
|---------------------------|---------------|------|-------------|-------|----------|------|---------|------|-------|------|
| Outcome                   | Expc.         | Imp. | Expc.       | Imp.  | Ехрс.    | Imp. | Expc.   | Imp. | Expc. | Imp. |
| Can get exact seats*      | 2.65          | 2.96 | 2.33        | 2.90  | 2.63     | 2.98 | 2.70    | 2.93 | 2.58  | 2.94 |
| Hall is nearby++          | 2.79          | 2.79 | 2.80        | 2.90  | 2.66     | 2.88 | 2.57    | 2.51 | 2,70  | 2.77 |
| Comfortable with audience | 3.16          | 2.98 | 3.16        | 2.98  | 3.14     | 2.95 | 3.25    | 3.23 | 3.18  | 3.03 |
| Concert not too           | <b>§</b> . 85 | 3.04 | 2.62        | 3.03  | 2.76     | 3.00 | 2.91    | 3.17 | 2.79  | 3.06 |
| Feel personally involved  | 2.88          | 3.04 | 2.75        | 3.04  | 2.92     | 3.04 | 3.02    | 3.15 | 2.89  | 3.07 |
| Find friends<br>there**++ | 2.12          | 2.21 | 2.44        | 2.72  | 2.52     | 2.53 | 2.63    | 2.60 | 2.42  | 2.52 |
| Fec1 pleased before going | 2.99          | 2.75 | 2.81        | 2.84. | 3.00     | 2.96 | 3.01    | 3.06 | 2.95  | 2.90 |
| Tickets inexpensive       | 2.52          | 2.99 | 2.59        | 2.93  | 2.39     | 3.04 | 2.44    | 3.06 | 2.49  | 3.00 |
| Not too formal            | 2.78          | 2.62 | 2.47        | 2.59  | 2.65     | 2.85 | 2.79    | 2.75 | 2.67  | 2.70 |
| Performers excellent      | 3.17          | 3.40 | 3.16        | 3.35  | 3.16     | 3.26 | 3.35    | 3.51 | 3.21  | 3.38 |
| Not spent too much        | 2.94          | 2.99 | 2.65        | 2.86  | 2.78     | 3.02 | 2.89    | 3.14 | 2.81  | 3.00 |
| Like program              | 3.17          | 3.47 | 3.12        | 3.45  | 3.06     | 3.43 | 3.23    | 3.61 | 3.14  | 3.49 |
| Understand                | 2.99          | 3.40 | 3.09        | 3.31  | 3.20     | 3.44 | 3.23    | 3.47 | 3.12  | 3.40 |
| Friends have good time    | 3.11          | 3.22 | 3.04        | 3.21  | 3.23     | 3.21 | 3.19    | 3.41 | 3.14  | 3.26 |
| Learn a lot               | 3.08          | 3.07 | 2.98        | 3.26  | 3.10     | 3.11 | 3.27    | 3.25 | 3.11  | 3.17 |
| Not feel time wasted**+   | 3.03          | 3.26 | 2.68        | 3.18  | 2.93     | 3.44 | 3.13    | 3.41 | 2.94  | 3.52 |
| Feel stimulated*          | 3.07          | 3.08 | 2.84        | 3.20  | 3.10     | 3.27 | 3.21    | 3.31 | 3.05  | 3.21 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Higher scores indicate more positive expectations or greater importance. Scores ranged from 1 to 4 on each expectation scale and from 1 to 5 on each importance scale. (Note that "neutral" in the importance questions 10 and 12 in Appendix C was treated as a midpoint and the remaining values were rescaled.)

<sup>++</sup>Differences in importances across cities significant at the .01 level.



<sup>\*</sup>Differences in expectations across cities significant at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Differences in expectations across cities significant at the .01-level.

<sup>+</sup>Differences in importances across cities significant at the .05 level.

- (4) Anticipated with pleasure
- (5) Pleasing to those going with them
- (6) Stimulating
- (7) Not wasteful of one's time

Memphis respondents are also likely to indicate that several of these attributes are more important to them. Atlantans less often expect to find their friends at the theater, and more respondents in Columbia than in other cities expect to find the plays long. In Baton Rouge, respondents expect those going with them to have a good time.

With respect to symphony concerts (Table 9), the respondents again indicate that the performers, the program, and their understanding of what is going on are most important factors, while finding friends there, having the occasion informal, and having the hall near-by are least important.

There are fewer differences across the four cities for symphony than for theater. Table 9, however, does indicate some significant differences. Thus, among respondents in the four cities, those in Baton Rouge believe that it is harder to get the exact seats one wants and that one is more likely to waste one's time at the symphony and less likely to feel stimulated. On the other hand, Memphis and Atlanta respondents are less likely to expect to waste time by attending the symphony. Atlanta respondents are also less likely to expect to find their friends at the symphony, but they seem to think that this is less important. Memphis respondents place less importance on having the hall nearby.



In the regression analyses discussed later, the expectation and importance scores were combined to yield an overall score for attitude toward the act of going to the theater or to a symphony concert. Each individual's expectation score on each of the 14 dimensions was multiplied by the corresponding importance score. These 14 products were then summed to yield the overall individual attitude score. This method of computation assumes that consumers permit high expectation scores on some important dimensions to compensate for low expectation scores on other important dimensions. Alternative combinational rules that have been suggested are summarized by Wright (31); however, their predictive power has not been proven any greater at this stage of our knowledge of attitude modeling.

This model also takes account of a more recent advance in attitude research. Fishbein (6) has argued that the likelihood of engaging in a behavior is not only a function of the individual's own attitude toward a behavior but also depends on what the individual thinks significant others expect him or her to do. Therefore, respondents were asked to indicate how much they agreed or disagreed with the following statement:

People who are important to me think I should go to classical symphony concerts (plays).

The resulting attitude model, then, is the following form:

$$BI_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{14} I_{ik} B_{ijk} + NB_{jk}$$

Separate analyses of alternative decision rules as well as tests of the reliability of the attitude models indicate that for the present



where BI = likelihood of respondent k attending performing art j;

 $I_{ik}$  = the importance weight given to consequence i by respondent k;

 $B_{ijk}$  = the respondent k's belief about the extent to which attending performing art j will result in consequence i; and

NB jk = normative belief--the extent to which respondent k perceives that significant others believe he or she should attend performing art j.

#### 4. Family Life Cycle

A major alternative to the approach of life-style and attitude theorists is the more mundane approach of social demographers. These researchers argue that behaviors can be predicted by socioeconomic characteristics (such as education), which predispose one to engage in the behavior or which (as with income) remove constraints that bar the carrying out of existing predispositions. These socioeconomic characteristics can be seen, then, as potential determinants of life styles or attitudes, which may then determine behavior or perhaps as codeterminants (with life styles or attitudes) of behavior.

The present study includes a wide range of socioeconomic measures.

One combined index developed from several of these measures is a Family

Life Cycle (FLC) Index, which is based on the notion that many patterns

of behavior are affected by where, chronologically, a person is in his



data (1) the "extended model" does well relative to alternative formulations and (2) correlations of all measures with future attendance at symphony and theater vary considerably across independently drawn samples. The latter suggests instability in the attitude models. On the other hand, the overall attitude component did turn out to be a significant predictor of behavior in the multiple regression analysis described later.

or her life cycle. Age itself only approximates this chronology; a better measure is one that accounts for the fact that there are significant points of change in a traditional life cycle that radically alter one's values and life style (11, 28). These points of change and the life cycle that they imply are the following:

Young single.--This is the first stage of the traditional pattern.

The individual is under 40 years of age and has never been married.

Young married.--The first important change in one's life (and life style) is marriage. The individual is under 40, married, and has no children.

Children under six. -- The next major event is the arrival of young children. In this stage the individual is married and has one or more children under six. This stage can last a considerable period of time.

Children six or over. -- The next important event is when the children are all old enough to be in school and both spouses can be free for more activities outside the home.

Empty nest.--Eventually the children leave home and the older married couple is again alone. 7

Widowed .-- The final stage arrives when one of the partners dies.

It was expected that families in the middle stages of the family life cycle would be significantly less likely to be arts attenders owing to the inhibiting presence of children and the accompanying lack of time and money.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In our analysis, this classification also includes married couples over 40 who never had children.

### 5. Regression Results

The question that we consider now is whether these new variables are important predictors in multiple regression analyses of likely future symphony and theater attendance. (It should be kept in mind, however, that we shall be returning to the deficiencies in this approach, particularly emphasizing that the correlations we find do not necessarily mean causation.) In these analyses, variables were used to predict the likelihood of theater and symphony attendance. Table 10 reports simple correlations between the 56 variables and the likelihoods of attending theater and symphony. Simple correlations greater than ±.045 can be considered statistically significant given the sample size.

From the point of view of a total prediction from these correlations, one problem is that many of the variables are related. For example, as income increases so does the likelihood that the spouse is employed (r = .36) and the number of cars in the family (r = .46).

The problem then is to conduct an analysis that enables us to assess the importance of several variables in explaining the likelihood of attendance while taking account of these variables' interrelationships. Cne useful technique for doing this is stepwise regression. In this technique, predictors are selected one at a time, starting with the single best predictor and adding the one variable at each "step" that increases predictive accuracy the most. This continues until the best remaining predictor that could be added produces no significant improvement in overall predictive accuracy.



Susing Version 7 of SPSS (19).

TABLE 10

SIMPLE CORRELATIONS OF SELECTED RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS AND LIKELIHOOD OF ATTENDING THEATER AND SYMPHONY

| Variable  | Correlation hood of a | with likeli-<br>ttending |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|   | Theater               | Symphony                 |
| Culture Patron <sup>a</sup>                     | . 32                  | . 34                     |
| Attendance at theater in last 12 months         | . 32                  | .25                      |
| Interest in theater when growing up             | .28                   | .24                      |
| Interest in classical music when growing up     | .23                   | .35                      |
| Urbanism  | .21                   | .19                      |
| Attendance at symphony in last 12 months .      | .20                   | . 34                     |
| Parents' interest in live theater               | .20                   | . 23                     |
| Hedonism/Optimism <sup>b</sup>                  | .20                   | .20                      |
| Self-Confidence/Opinion Leadership              | 20                    | 11                       |
| Parents' interest in classical music            | .19                   | .28                      |
| Education of respondent                         | .19                   | .16                      |
| Ever attended three plays (but none last year)  | 19                    | 13                       |
| Ever worked for theater/music/dance production  | .18                   | .14                      |
| Lives in Columbia                               | 15                    | 13                       |
| Traditionalism <sup>b</sup>                     | 15                    | 12                       |
| Passive Homebody <sup>a</sup>                   | 15                    | 12                       |
| Listened to classical music 10+ times last year | r .14                 | .17                      |
| Active Sports Enthusiast <sup>a</sup>           | 13                    | 16                       |
| Single adult life-cycle stage                   | .13                   | .11                      |
| Plays musical instrument                        | .12                   | .14                      |
| Education of mother                             | .12                   | .12                      |
| Years in area                                   | 12                    | 09                       |
| Age of respondent                               | 12                    | 07                       |
| Education of father                             | .11                   | .14                      |
| Defeatismb                                      | .11                   | .08                      |
| Retired   | ·11                   | 04                       |
| Active Homebody <sup>a</sup>                    | 09                    | 09                       |
| Young-married life-cycle stage                  | . 09                  | .06                      |



(Table 10 continued)

TABLE 10--Continued

| ° Variable                            | Correlation with likel hood of attending |          |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|--|
|                                       | Theater                                  | Symphony |  |  |  |
| Socially Active <sup>a</sup>          | .08                                      | .10      |  |  |  |
| Lives in Atlanta                      | 08                                       | .08      |  |  |  |
| Income over \$25,000                  | .08                                      | .05      |  |  |  |
| Employed part time                    | .08                                      | .04      |  |  |  |
| Empty-nest life-cycle stage           | 07                                       | 07       |  |  |  |
| Number of children over 14            | 07                                       | 06       |  |  |  |
| Spouse employed                       | .07                                      | .00      |  |  |  |
| Homemaker                             | 06                                       | 06       |  |  |  |
| Employed full time                    | .06                                      | . 04     |  |  |  |
| Lives in Memphis                      | .06                                      | .04      |  |  |  |
| Widowed life-cycle stage              | 06                                       | 01       |  |  |  |
| Income \$10,000 - \$11,999            | 05                                       | 03       |  |  |  |
| Income \$15,000 - \$19,999            | . 05                                     | .03      |  |  |  |
| Income under \$7,000                  | 05                                       | 02       |  |  |  |
| Outdoorsiness                         | .05                                      | .02      |  |  |  |
| Inner-Directed Self-Sufficienta       | 04                                       | 08       |  |  |  |
| Young-single life-cycle stage         | 04                                       | .00      |  |  |  |
| Children-under-six life-cycle stage   | 03                                       | 05       |  |  |  |
| Not employed                          | 03                                       | 03       |  |  |  |
| Income \$12,000 - \$14,999            | .03                                      | .00      |  |  |  |
| Number of cars owned                  | .02                                      | .18      |  |  |  |
| Income \$20,000 - \$25,000            | .02                                      | , . 03   |  |  |  |
| Amount of leisure time available      | .02                                      | 02       |  |  |  |
| Female                                | 02                                       | 01       |  |  |  |
| Income \$7,000 - \$9,999              | .01                                      | .03      |  |  |  |
| White                                 | .01                                      | 02       |  |  |  |
| Temporarily unemployed                | .01                                      | .00      |  |  |  |
| Children-six-or-over life-cycle stage | .00                                      | 02       |  |  |  |

aLeisure life-style group.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>General life-style dimension.

#### a. Theater

Of the 56 variables examined, six were found to add to the prediction of theater attendance likelihood at the .05 level of significance. These six predictors were jointly able to predict 28 percent of the variability in the reported likelihoods of theater attendance.

Although this leaves the majority of the variability in these likelihoods "unexplained" (and potentially related to factors not examined in the study), over one-quarter of the variability of theater attendance likelihoods can be accounted for by these factors. This is a relatively high level of predictive power for a marketing study.

The variables that aided this prediction are shown in descending order of usefulness in Table 11. The beta weights in this table may be interpreted as an indication of the relative importance of each predictor variable; the larger the weight, the more useful the variable was found to be. These results may be compared to the simple correlations between each variable and likelihood of attendance. The reason that the importances of variables in the multiple regression are different from those in the simple correlations is that, in the full prediction, the information supplied by variables introduced at one point in the analysis can be highly related to the information supplied by variables entering the analysis at other points, so that the other variables do not appear as important as they would have alone.

Table 11, shows that by quite a substantial margin, the best predictor of the likelihood of future attendance is attitude toward going to the theater. Not surprisingly, the more favorable one thinks the outcomes of attendance will be, the more important these outcomes are;



TABLE 11

MULTIPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR VARIABLES PREDICTING LIKELIHOOD OF ATTENDING THEATER<sup>a</sup>

| Variable                                 | Standardized beta weight_ | Simple<br>correlation |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Attitude toward attending theater        | .31                       | .38                   |
| Culture Patron <sup>b</sup>              | .15                       | .32                   |
| Interest in live theater when growing up | .15                       | .28                   |
| Theater attendance during past year      | .14                       | . 32                  |
| Traditionalism <sup>C</sup>              | 13                        | 15                    |
| Self-Confidence/Opinion<br>Leadership C  | 12                        | 20                    |
| Number of cases                          | (222)                     | (222)                 |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>                  | .279                      | d. so                 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Significant at the .05 level.



bLeisure life-style group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>General life-style dimension.

the more that significant others are seen as favoring attendance, the more one will report likely future attendance. Three variables of approximately equal importance are the next best predictors. All three are measures of positive past experiences with the arts. One variable is interest in live theater when growing up. Favorable socialization to the theater as a child seems to have a strong and lasting effect on future attendance independent of whether one presently has favorable attitudes toward attendance. Also in this predictor group is theater attendance during the past year. As we discussed in an earlier section, past behavior is a good predictor of likely future behavior. However, as we also saw, it is not a perfect predictor. A great deal of variation remains to be explained by the other variables in this equation. One factor that is important is leisure life style--particularly whether one is classified in the Culture Patron leisure life-style group. Here we see that past attendance at not only theater but also at several arts institutions -- as well as having other interests and opinions reflecting an arts-centered leisure life style--makes a significant contribution to our knowledge beyond the fact that a given target consumer merely attended the specific art form in the past year or has a favorable attitude toward such attendance in the future. This lends support to our contention that performing arts attendance can profitably be seen from its perspective within particular life styles. It also supports the contention of DiMaggio, Useem, and Brown that "aficionados of one arts form also attend others" (5, p. 176).



Following the three experience variables at a slightly reduced level of importance are two general life-style dimensions. Both of these are negatively related to future attendance.

Traditionalism is negatively associated with likely attendance, indicating that those with old-fashioned tastes, a traditional family role structure, and a preference for a slow-moving life are not likely to attend the theater. This suggests that overcoming the inertia of traditional patterns may be a major task of future promoters anxious to broaden theater audiences.

The Self-Confidence/Opinion Leadership dimension is also negatively correlated with likely attendance. This suggests that theater attendance may be seen as distracting from the self-esteem of a significant number of respondents. This is a puzzling finding that bears more investigation. (One speculation is that arts attendance is associated with elitism in many people's minds, and joining such a group may be perceived as putting distance between a self-confident leader and those he or she wishes to lead.)

## b. Symphony

Table 12 reports beta weights and simple correlations for the five variables that explain about 29 percent of the variance in likely attendance at symphony concerts. Most striking is the fact that although this is an entirely different sample than in the theater analysis, the first four variables—those with the most weight in this equation—are the same four variables that are the most important in the theater analysis. Again, attitudes are a significant factor, although not the



TABLE 12

MULTIPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR VARIABLES PREDICTING LIKELIHOOD OF ATTENDING SYMPHONY<sup>a</sup>

| Variable                                    | Standardized beta weight | Simple correlation |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Culture Patron <sup>b.</sup>                | .25                      | . 34               |
| Attitude toward attending symphony          | .21                      | .33                |
| Symphony attendance during past year        | .20                      | . 34               |
| Interest in classical music when growing up | .20                      | . 35               |
| Socially Active <sup>b</sup>                | .12                      | .10                |
| Number of cases                             | (232)                    | (232)              |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>                     | .289                     |                    |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Significant at the .05 level.



bLeisure life-style group.

most important variable as in the theater equation. Also, the three experience dimensions--past attendance, interest in the art form when growing up, and membership in the Culture Patron life-style group-- are again the remaining variables in this set of most important predictors.

The single new variable in this equation is membership in the Socially Active leisure life-style group. It will be recalled that this was the second group with a life style positively predisposed toward the arts. This finding may lend credence to the suspicion that symphony attendance for some patrons serves social needs beyond any cultural needs it may fulfill.

#### c. Nonuseful Potential Predictors

The fact that a particular set of variables entered the equations in the preceding two regression analyses does not mean that those which did not enter do not have substantial simple correlations with likely attendance. Table 10 attests to this. What the equations do is capture the best linear combinations of predictors. Given this task, it is interesting to consider which variables did not enter the equations.

First, all the standard socioeconomic variables used in other studies—education, sex, income, occupation, and so forth—do not turn out to be significant predictors of likely attendance when the atti—tude and general and specific life—style factors that we have included here are entered into the analysis. This would strongly suggest that where these standard socioeconomic variables are found to be significant in other studies, it is only because the richer set of variables added here are not included.



The second factor that does not show up in our analysis is variation across cities. We did find Columbia generally less responsive to the performing arts at the time of our study, but the analysis determined that even this apparent difference is not sufficiently strong to produce a significant effect on likely attendance. This gives us some confidence that the results reported here are generalizable across cities of different sizes and different cultural opportunities—at least those in the South.

# 6. Implications of the Associational Approach

The associational approach to segmentation has rather clear implications for building arts audiences. It says that one should take the factors now leading to likely attendance and use them to identify target audiences; where there is some opportunity to modify these characteristics, one should use them in programs to motivate attendance by present nonattenders. In the present analysis, three factors show through in both analyses, and these three should be the starting point for any marketing approach based on this analysis.

#### a. Attitudes

How positive one expects the outcome to be clearly affects whether one will attend theater or symphony. It will be recalled that these attitude measures had three components:

- (1) Expectations regarding the likelihood of obtaining particular benefits;
  - (2) The importance of those benefits; and
  - (3) The perception of whether others expect one to attend.



Thus, one can deduce that future attendance may be increased and arts audiences broadened by one of the following three approaches:

- (1) Improving expectations about important outcomes;
- (2) Increasing the importance weights for outcomes where expectations are highly positive; or
- (3) Increasing the perceived pressure brought by significant others to attend.

Improving expectations.--Table 13 indicates that there are 12 expectation dimensions on which nonattenders are significantly less positive than attenders about outcomes from going to the theater; there are nine such dimensions for symphony. Table 14 further indicates that there are seven attributes with average importance scores (above 3.15) for nonattenders for both theater and symphony. If we look at the intersection of these two groups--those attributes where expectations are significantly low while the importance weight is high—we find four dimensions for theater and five for symphony that merit attention. Improved attendance for both theater and symphony may result if nonattenders become more positive about the following:

- (1) The likelihood that they would like the particular program (the effect of changing programs is discussed further below);
- (2) The likelihood that they would understand what is going on;
- (3) The likelihood that those with whom they attend would have a good time; and
- (4) The like hihood that the evening would prove stimulating.

In addition, theater attendance might be enhanced if nonattenders felt that the performers were better than we believe to be the case at



TABLE 13

EXPECTATIONS ABOUT OUTCOMES OF ATTENDING THEATER AND SYMPHONY, BY PAST ATTENDANCE<sup>a</sup>

| • ;                            | Th               | eater ,             | Symphony         |                     |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Outcome                        | Past<br>attender | Past<br>nonattender | Past<br>attender | Past<br>nonattender |  |  |
| Can get exact seats            | 2.83             | 2.58**              | 2.73             | 2.54                |  |  |
| Theater/concert hall is nearby | 2.80             | 2.62*               | 2.77             | 2.69                |  |  |
| Comfortable with audience      | 3.44             | 3.21**              | 3.34             | 3.14                |  |  |
| Play/concert not too long      | 2.97             | 2.90                | 2.78             | 2.79                |  |  |
| Feel personally involved       | 3.15             | 2.85**              | 3.31             | 2.81**              |  |  |
| Find friends there             | 2.66             | 2.35**              | 2.79             | 2.35**              |  |  |
| Feel pleased before going      | 3.14             | 2.84**              | 3.41             | 2.86**              |  |  |
| Tickets inexpensive            | 2.63             | 2.45*               | 2.88             | 2.40**              |  |  |
| Not too formal                 | 2.74             | 2.62                | 2.83             | · 2.64              |  |  |
| Performers excellent           | 3.22             | 3.01**              | 3.37             | 3.18                |  |  |
| Not spent too much             | 2.87             | 2.67*               | 2.99             | 3.00                |  |  |
| Like play/program              | 3.51             | 3.18**              | 3.43             | 3.09**              |  |  |
| Understand                     | 3.48             | 3.32**              | 3.36             | 3.08**              |  |  |
| Friends have good time         | 3.41             | 3.15**              | 3.42             | 3.08**              |  |  |
| Learn a lot                    | 3.21             | 3.11                | 3.19             | 3.09                |  |  |
| Not feel time wasted           | 3.03             | 2.98                | 3.24             | 2.88**              |  |  |
| Feel stimulated**              | 3.20             | 3.02                | 3.41             | 2.98**              |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Attendance within past 12 months.

<sup>\*</sup>Differences between attenders and nonattenders significant at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Differences between attenders and nonattenders significant at the .01 level.

TABLE 14

IMPORTANCE OF OUTCOMES OF ATTENDING
THEATER AND SYMPHONY, BY PAST ATTENDANCE<sup>a</sup>

|                                | · Th             | eater               | Symphony         |                     |  |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Outcome                        | Past<br>attender | Past<br>nonattender | Past<br>attender | Past<br>nonattender |  |  |
| Can get exact seats            | 3.17             | 3.02*               | 2.92             | 2.95                |  |  |
| Theater/concert hall is nearby | 2.73             | 2.73                | 2.56             | 2.81*               |  |  |
| Comfortable with audience      | 3.18             | 3.06                | 3.04             | 3.03                |  |  |
| Play/concert not too long      | 3.03             | 3.01                | 2.90             | 3.09                |  |  |
| Feel personally involved       | 3.34             | 2.99**              | 3.16             | 3.05                |  |  |
| Find friends there             | 2.56             | 2.53                | 2.53             | 2.51                |  |  |
| Feel pleased before going      | 2.94             | 2.89                | 3.03             | 3.88                |  |  |
| Tickets inexpensive            | 3.00             | 3.10                | 3.03             | 3.00                |  |  |
| Not too formal .               | 2.68             | 2.75                | 2.62             | 2.72                |  |  |
| Performers excellent           | 3.51             | 3.33**              | 3.51             | 3.35                |  |  |
| Not spent too much             | 3.10             | 3.05                | 2.99             | 3.00                |  |  |
| Like play/program              | 3.62             | 3.53                | 3.60             | 3.47                |  |  |
| Understand                     | 3.58             | 3.44**              | 3.49             | 3.39                |  |  |
| Friends have good time         | 3.44             | 3.32                | 3.23             | 3.27                |  |  |
| Learn a lot                    | 3.21             | 3.21                | 3.20             | 3.17                |  |  |
| Not, feel time wasted          | 3.44             | 3.31                | 3.41             | 3.30                |  |  |
| Feel stimulated**              | 3.23             | 3.30                | 3.33             | 3.19                |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Attendance within past 12 months.

<sup>\*</sup>Differences between attenders and nonattenders significant at the .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Differences between attenders and nonattenders significant at the .01 level.

present, and symphony attendance might be increased if nonattenders would come to believe that they were not going to waste their time.

Increasing importances.—Increasing importance weights is a much more difficult task than changing perceptions in marketing in general and often takes many years. The analysis here suggests that the problem in the arts is even more difficult because there are only two cases where there are significantly lower importances reported by nonattenders than by attenders and expectations are also relatively high (i.e., average scores over 3.00). Both of these cases are for theater: One is understanding what was going on; the other is feeling that those with whom you were attending we having a good time. The fact that these are both dimensions where expectations are also significantly lower for nonattenders suggests that they may be areas particularly ripe for promotional focus, although the task of changing both dimensions is admittedly much more difficult.

Increasing the impact of significant others. -- Attenders are substantially more likely than nonattenders to agree that significant others expect them to attend theater and symphony. The scores for the two groups are as follows:

|              | Theater | Symphony |
|--------------|---------|----------|
| Attenders    | 2.29    | 2.54     |
| Nonattenders | 1.99    | 2.04     |

This factor may potentially be used to induce more attendance through promotions aimed at stimulating personal influence. This may be accomplished



by showing the different types of present attenders in promotions or by encouraging present attenders to bring nonattenders to performances.

The latter is a suggestion that we shall discuss more fully later.

## b. Leisure Life-Style Groups

As any arts marketer knows, Culture Patrons are excellent prospects for attendance at arts events. The use of mailing lists, programs, and billboards for one performing art to encourage attendance at another should be commonplace in the arts, although reluctance to share mailing lists seems surprisingly high among administrators in this field. What is new and intriguing is the indication that likely attendance at symphony concerts is high among the Socially Active group. This finding would suggest that promotions emphasizing the social dimensions of symphony attendance may bear considerable fruit among this group.

If one is to focus a strategy on members of a specific leisure life-style group, such as the Socially Active, it is quite useful to know their socioeconomic characteristics, media habits, general life-style tendencies, and so forth. Unfortunately we did not find significant differences across the six groups in media habits. Tables 15-18, however, do report those factors that were significantly different across the six leisure life-style groups. These differences yield the following additional insights:

The Passive Homebodies tend to be older, with a high proportion being retired and/or widowed. They are less educated and lower in socioeconomic status than other groups. Slightly over one-fourth of them are nonwhite. They are highly traditional and see themselves as opinion



TABLE 15
SIGNIFICANT DEMOGRAPHIC DIFFERENCES AMONG
LEISURE LIFE-STYLE GROUPS

|                            |   |                     |                                | Life                                      | -style group      | ר                  |                    |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Vari <b>able</b>           | Means or<br>level in<br>overall<br>sample | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner-<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active |
| Number of automobiles      | 1.8                                       | Low                 | Very high                      | lligh                                     |                   | High               |                    |
| Years in present area      | 19.7                                      | Very high           | Low                            |   | Low               |                    |                    |
| Education (years)          | 13.1                                      | Very low            |                                |   | Very high         |                    |                    |
| Father's education (years) | 12.1                                      | Very low            | High                           |   | High              |                    |                    |
| Mother's education (years) | 11.7                                      | Very low            | High                           |   | High ·            |                    | ·                  |
| Employed full time         | 48%                                       | Very low            | High                           | High                                      | ,                 |                    |                    |
| [wo-worker household       | 58%                                       | Very low            | High                           |   | High              | Low                |                    |
| Occupation:                |   | ,                   |                                |   |                   |                    |                    |
| Professional               | 10%                                       |                     |                                |   | Very high         |                    |                    |
| Manageria1                 | 10%                                       |                     |                                | *   | Very high         |                    | •                  |
| Sales/clerical             | 8%  |                     | High                           |   | High              |                    |                    |
| Retired                    | 8%  | Very high           |                                | 4   |                   | , High             | High               |
| Income                     | \$10,714                                  | Very low            |                                | Very high                                 |                   | lligh              | ·                  |
| Nonwhite                   | 21%                                       | Very high           | lligh                          | Very low                                  |                   |                    | High               |
| Males                      | 37%                                       | Low                 | High                           | Low                                       |                   | lligh              |                    |

a Chance probability less than 5 percent by F-test or Chi-square test.



7i

| une la la cuma dumante estratada de translation. | ಮಾಜ-ಮಾಡ್, ಜನಾ ವ್ಯಕ್ತೀಕ್ ತಿನಿವಿಸಿಕ | Life-style group    |                                |   |                   |                    |                    |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Variable   | Level in overall sample           | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner-<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active |  |
| Childhood interest in theater                    | Moderate                          | High                |                                |   | Very high         |                    | High               |  |
| Parents' interest in theater                     | Low                               |                     |                                | Low                                       | Very high         | Low                | . Hìgh             |  |
| Childhood interest in classical music            | Moderate                          | High                | A Low                          |   | Very high         |                    | Very high          |  |
| Parents' interest in classical music             | Moderate/low                      |                     |                                |   | Very high         | Low                | lligh              |  |
| Amount of leisure time compared to others        | About the same as others          | •                   | q                              | High                                      |                   | Very high          | lligh              |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Chance probability less than 5 percent by F-test or Chi-square test.

TABLE 17
DIFFERENCES IN FAMILY LIFE CYCLE AMONG
LEISURE LIFE-STYLE GROUPS

|                        |                    |                     | group                          |   |                   |                    |                    |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Life-cycle<br>variable | Percenta of sample | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner-<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active |
| Teenagers              | 15                 |                     | lligh                          |   | lligh             |                    |                    |
| Single adults          | 8                  | •                   | lligh                          |   | High              |                    | 1                  |
| Young marrieds         | 10                 | ,                   | High                           | High                                      |                   |                    |                    |
| Children under six     | 22.                |                     |                                | High                                      |                   |                    |                    |
| Children six or over   | 27                 | lligh               |                                | High                                      | ļ                 |                    | High               |
| Older marrieds         | 11                 | lligh               |                                |   |                   | High               | High               |
| Widowed                | 8                  | lligh               | •                              | ø   |                   |                    | ٠                  |

| General life-style factor                 | Level in overall sample | Life-style group    |                                |                                   |                   |                    |                    |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|   |                         | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner- Directed' Self- Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active |
| Traditionalism                            | Moderate                | Very high           | 4                              |                                   | Very low          |                    |                    |
| lledonism/Optimism                        | Moderate                | Very low            | ,                              | :                                 | Very high         |                    |                    |
| Defeatism                                 | Moderate                | Low                 | Very high                      | Low                               |                   |                    |                    |
| Self-Confidence/<br>Opinion<br>Leadership | Moderate                | Very high           |                                | Low                               | Very low          | Very low           |                    |
| Urbanism                                  | Moderate                | Very low            |                                |                                   | Very high         |                    |                    |
| Outdoorsiness                             | Moderate                | Very low            |                                |                                   |                   |                    | High               |

leaders. They have had some exposure to theater and classical music as children.

The Active Sports Enthusiasts tend more often to be teenagers or single adults of highly educated parents. They are slightly more likely than the overall sample to be nonwhite, to constitute a high proportion of two-worker households, and to work full time at moderate status jobs. They feel more defeated in life and have more automobiles than the sample average.

The Inner-Directed Self-Sufficients tend to be married, to have young children, to have the highest income, level of all six groups, and to be the lowest percent nonwhite of the groups. They tend not to feel defeated or to see themselves as opinion leaders but are outdoors people.

The Culture Patrons are members of a highly distinctive group heavily represented in the earlier life-cycle stages. They are optimistic, city-oriented, and highly educated, with high-status occupations but moderate incomes.

The Active Homebodies tend to be older married couples, a relatively high proportion of whom are retired. Despite this and the resulting fact that they represent a low proportion of two-worker households, they tend to be high-income families. These characteristics, however, explain why they often report that they have more leisure time.

The Socially Actives tend to have older children who may have left the household. Socially Actives are also more likely to be retired and nonwhite. They have more leisure time than the rest of the sample and much more often have had exposure to classical music and theater as children.



These profiles suggest that if symphony marketers wish to promote to Socially Active respondents, they should aim their messages toward older, retired people who are active in giving and going to dinners and parties, possibly portraying a visit to the symphony with other mature, socially active people as a natural complement to their active, social life style. The fact that we have discovered that this group has more leisure time and apparently fewer family responsibilities than other groups leads to the speculation that they may be good workers as well as attenders at the symphony, if working on a fund drive or a related activity can be seen as carried out in the company of other mature adults and as part of an active social life style.

## c. Interest in the Arts as a Child

It seems clear that early exposure is a major determinant of arts attendance, as it is of many other leisure behaviors. It appears reasonable to suggest, therefore, that if they have not already done so, both theater and symphony organizations should develop active youth programs, young people's concerts or plays, in-school programs, youth discounts, and the like. Bradley Morison, a marketing consultant to many arts organizations, recently stated his belief that the development of an active children's theater program at the Guthrie Theater in Minneapolis was largely responsible for a drop of five years in the average age of attenders at the Guthrie between 1963 and 1973.

This infusion of youthful attendees is, Morison argues, a source of continuing vitality to such established organizations. Constant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Comments made at a Conference on Planning for the Arts, University of Illinois, Urbana, January 17, 1978.



measurement of the effects of youth programs seems necessary, preferably through panel studies. It should also be commonplace for these organizations to develop mailing lists of participants in school or youth programs if this early exposure is to be turned into active adult patronage of symphony and theater. It may also be useful to consider longer-term series discount programs (perhaps billed as "learners' discounts" to encourage adult patronage.

## 7. Deficiencies of the Associational Approach

The associational approach suffers from three major defects. First, it measures association, not causation. The implications drawn above assume causation, but it is entirely possible that the causation may be in the other direction or due to some third variable. Attendance at theater and symphony now may, for example, lead to favorable attitudes and not the other way around. This is not an implausible explanation. Further, attendance at theater or symphony may have led to attendance at other cultural institutions, not the reverse. This is somewhat less plausible, but as Ryans and Weinberg (24) point out, we know very little about how people "learn" to attend arts events over time. It is even possible, although much less plausible, that childhood interest in the arts and likely future patronage have a causal structure opposite to reasonable expectations if present involvement causes people more often to remember childhood involvements. The problem with this "causation/association" deficiency is that we do not know if a particular change in marketing strategy will cause the desired result just because it is associated with the desired result.



A second deficiency is that the associational approach is based.

on a measure of likely future attendance that may lack external reliability and validity (i.e., it may predict actual future behavior poorly).

A third deficiency with this approach is that it seldom directly relates to the marketing mix elements that an arts administrator can manipulate. It does not show that if, say, one varied price, a particular result would be likely to occur. We have said, for example, that if specific attitude elements were changed, a general increase in arts attendance could occur; but we did not say how to make such changes.

As a consequence, we cannot say that a particular message strategy developed by an administrator or his or her communications specialists would lead to the desired changes in the attitude elements and hence to the desired behavior change. In that sense, our associational findings are one step removed from specifying managerial action outcomes.

In order to be in a better position to suggest such outcomes, we now turn to the "manipulations" approach.

# C. The Manipulations Approach

A principal concern in this study was to assess the responsiveness of the present sample to changes in the offerings made by the performing arts in the communities under study. To do this, we constructed
a series of "what if" statements embodying new offerings that had been
tried in other communities (and in a few cases, in the communities
studied 10) or had been proposed elsewhere and that could be explained to our

 $<sup>^{10}\</sup>mathrm{Telephone}$  and credit card purchases are possible in Atlanta, and all four cities offer season tickets.



respondents in telephone interviews. 11 It is essential to point out at this juncture that "what if" questions are biased predictors of actual short-run behavior. Respondents are speculating on their future behavior with respect to hypothetical alternatives. The likelihood of their carrying through their speculation in the event the alternative was introduced is low. For this reason, the absolute responses reported in the following discussion should not be considered very good predictors of absolute levels of response. That is, to say that X percent of respondents in the study will respond to offer Y is not to say that the result of actually offering Y will be X. It is our belief that our responses in general overestimated the true responses.

Then why ask about hypothetical offers? The answer is that we are willing to assume that whatever bias is found in the answers is constant across the hypothetical offers. That is, if the response to offer Y is "really" too high, then the response to offer Z is also likely to be too high. This reasonable assumption permits us to compare similarly biased offerings. This is, indeed, our objective: To discover which offerings are relatively more effective in broadening the audience for the performing arts.

## 1. The Manipulations

The marketing strategies of major business organizations revolve around manipulations of what one author has called "the four P's" (15):

Product: What products and services are offered

 $<sup>^{11}{\</sup>rm This}$  criterion restricted us from asking about several of the voucher plans in practice or planned around the country.



Price: What the level and conditions of retail cost are

Place: Where and how the products and services are offered

Promotion: Where and how one communicates information and

attitude change messages about the offerings

In the context of telephone interviews, it was not possible to manipulate the 'promotion' element of the mix of marketing variables. However, the following variables were manipulated:

- a) Product variables
  - Type of performance (play or concert)
  - (2) Quality of performance
  - (3) Formality of atmosphere
  - (4) Extent of learning opportunities
  - (5) Quality of seating
- b) Price
  - (1) Price of individual tickets for single performances
  - (2) Price of multiple tickets for single performances
  - (3) Price of individual tickets for multiple performances (season tickets)
  - (4) Cost and effort of securing tickets at regular prices
  - (5) Other special reduced ticket prices
- c) Place: Location of performance

Some of the variables were offered individually; some were introduced in combinations either to assess interactions or to make particular offerings more realistic. The offerings were the following:

- a) Product variables
  - (1) Type of performance

It has been argued that a major vehicle for broadening



audiences is to offer programs that would better

meet the needs of light attenders or nonattenders. Thus,

in this study, respondents were asked whether they would

go "much more often," "somewhat more often," "as often,"

or "less often" if more of the following kinds of programs

were offered:

### (a) Symphony

- i) Symphonies by classical composers such as Mozart and Beethoven
- ii) Symphonies by romantic composers such as Brahms and Tchaikovsky
- iii) Music by contemporary composers such as Stravinsky
  - iv) Concertos with soloists
  - v) Choral music

#### (b) Theater

- i) Musical comedies such as "South Pacific" or "Showboat"
- ii) Classical plays such as "Hamlet" or 'Macbeth"
- iii) Well-known American dramas such as "Death of a Salesman" or "A Streetcar Named Desire"
- iv) Modern comedies such as "The Sunshine Boys"
- v) Original plays that have never been done before

## (2) Quality of performance

Again, it has been argued that new audiences can be attracted by the appearance of well-known performers.



<sup>12</sup> It is recognized that fame of the performer and quality of the performance are not perfectly correlated.

Thus, respondents were asked if they would change their frequency of attendance in the following cases:

#### (a) Symphony

"If guest conductors and famous soloists appeared with the orchestra more frequently"

#### (b) Theater

"If famous actors and actresses appeared with the company more frequently"

### (3) Formality of atmosphere

Many nonattenders seem intimidated by what they think is the formality of arts performances, particularly at symphony concerts. We asked respondents whether they would go more or less often "if you knew that people were dressing more informally at the concert (theater)."

### (4) Extent of learning opportunities

Many of those who rarely or never go to arts events say they do so because they "wouldn't understand what was going on." Therefore, respondents were asked whether they would attend more often in the following cases:

#### (a) Symphony

"If there was a short introductory talk about the music by the conductor before the performance"

#### (b) Theater

"If there was a short discussion of the play by the director after the performance"

#### (5) Quality of seating

It was recognized that the purchase of season tickets



can represent for many theatergoers or concertgoers the guarantee of good seats for each performance. To ascertain the effect of this, respondents were asked the following:

If you could get series tickets which guaranteed good seats for several symphony performances (plays) but there was no price discount, would you purchase a series ticket?

#### b) Price

Economists argue strongly that the demand for most goods and services is determined largely by price. We asked a series of questions to test this proposition, i.e., that light and nonattenders might be responsive to price manipulations. We asked about prices for different numbers of tickets and performances.

(1) Price of individual tickets for single performances

Here we asked respondents whether they would change their patronage if prices went up or down as follows:

Would you attend more symphony concerts (plays) than you do now if individual tickets were . . .

Reduced by \$1?

Reduced by \$2?

Reduced by \$3?

Would you attend fewer concerts (plays) than you do now if individual ticket prices were . . .

Increased by \$1?

Increased by \$2?

Increased by \$3?

(In both cases, interviewers continued until respondents



said "yes" that they would change their patronage or until all three options were covered.)

(2) Price of multiple tickets for single performances

Businesses often stimulate sales by offering price
reductions when customers buy second or third items.

Thus, we asked respondents the following:

Would you go more often, as often, or less often than you do now if after purchasing one ticket at regular price you could get a second ticket at 50% off?

(3) Price of individual tickets for multiple performances

As with individual tickets, we sought to see if

demand would be stimulated as follows:

If you could get series tickets guaranteeing good seats for several symphony performances (plays), would you purchase such a series if there was a.

10% discount?

20% discount?

30% discount?

(Again, interviewers continued until respondent said "yes" that they would change their patronage or until all three options were covered.)

Busines is have long recognized that the cost of a purchase to a consumer is more than the price of the good itself. The purchasing act involves information seeking beforehand, going to the selected outlet, paying sometimes scarce cash for the item, and carrying it home. To reduce such costs, merchants permit telephone and credit sales and offer home delivery.



Such options are not always available for the performing arts. Thus, we asked respondents whether they would go more often

If tickets could be purchased by telephone and charged to a national or department store credit card.

## c) Location of performance

Businesses have recognized that a cost of purchasing by consumers in old downtown stores is the effort to get there and, in some cases, the fear and disquiet of being in what is perceived as an increasingly "foreign" environment. This is also a problem for many arts centers and theaters located in central-city areas. Many of them are seeking to overcome the problem by bringing performances to the people in neighborhood schools, auditoriums, and. theaters. We wished to tap this alternative in the present study by asking about neighborhood performances. However, we recognized that suggesting this alternative alone would be unrealistic. In most communities, neighborhood performances are given in performing spaces that are poorer than downtown theaters or concert halls and therefore may deter many would-be patrons. To compensate for this and to add an extra incentive, most touring companies offer some price discounts. To capture these features, the following alternative was presented:

Suppose that symphony (theater) performances were given five times a year in a location nearer your home. The



performing space wouldn't be as nice as (name of major theater or concert hall in city) but the prices would be 20% lower. Would you go much more often, somewhat more often, as often, or less often than you do now?

#### d) Combinations

(1) A combination of price, seat quality, and purchase effort

Several major cities are experimenting with discounts for tickets unsold on the day of the performance. While this represents a price saving for consumers, it usually involves poorer seating and added efforts to go to a central location and stand in line to get the desired discount. To capture these complicated features, respondents were asked about their likely patronage under the following circumstances:

Suppose that next year unsold tickets for performances of the (name of symphony or theater) could be obtained at regular ticket outlets for 50% off on the day of the performance. The seats usually would not be as good as those bought in advance. Would you go much more often, somewhat more often, as often, or less often than you do now?

(2) A combination of type of performance and price

In marketing, product improvements are often accompanied by price increases. We, therefore, sought to learn whether the respondent would pay more if more of his or her favorite music or plays were offered. This could yield us a feeling for the interaction between product characteristics and price. After asking respondents what their



favorite type of music or play was, the following question was asked:

Let's suppose that your favorite kind of music (play) were presented more often during the year, but ticket prices were raised. Would you go to the symphony (theater) less frequently than you do now if ticket prices were . . .

Increased by \$1?
Increased by \$2?

Increased by \$3?

(Interviewers continued until respondent said "yes" that they would change their patronage or until all three options were covered.)

### 2. Results

The manipulations, described in detail above, are of two general types. First, there was a diverse set of offerings in response to which the respondents could say that they would go (1) much more often, (2) somewhat more often, (3) as often, or (4) less often. Then, there was a set of questions about series tickets and/or price changes that would indicate at what price the respondent would change behavior. Since they involved different types of responses, the two sets, to be referred to as "new offerings" and "price and series strategies," will be analyzed separately in the subsections to follow.

## a. New Offerings

All those who indicated some likelihood of attending theater or symphony in the next year or two were asked whether their attendance would change if several changes were made in the offerings of these



performing arts. Two questions are of interest here: (1) Are some changes in offerings more effective than others in increasing arts attendance;

(2) Are some changes more effective than others in broadening the audience.

The answer to the first question is clearly "yes." Indices of relative effectiveness were computed for 12 new offerings for symphony and 12 for theater as follows:

- (1) Respondents saying they would go "much more often" as a result of a new offering were counted as two additional attendances; respondents going only "more often" were counted as one. Respondents claiming they would go "less often" were counted as one fewer future attendance.
- (2) The resulting number of net new attendances was divided by the number of respondents responding to **the** new offering to yield an effectiveness score for the offering.
- (5) Each effectiveness score was divided by the average effectiveness score for all 12 new offerings and multiplied by 100 to yield the indices reported below.

These calculations make what we believe are reasonable and conservative assumptions in order to allow comparisons of the relative effectiveness of each manipulated offering. The resulting indices calculated for all likely future attenders broken down separately for those who did and did not attend in the past year are reported in Tables 19 and 20. The indices for nonattenders show two obviously superior strategies for drawing more members of this group to the theater and symphony: introducing more "star" performers and offering second tickets for half price. Equally as powerful for nonattending theatergoers is presenting more musical comedies. Of somewhat lesser effect for nonattending concertgoers is offering tickets at one-half off on the day of the performance or presenting a short discussion of the work before the performance.

## b. Price and Series Strategies

Table 21 reports indices for price and series strategies for past



TABLE 19
INDICES OF EFFECTIVENESS FOR NEW SYMPHONY
OFFERINGS, BY PAST ATTENDANCE<sup>a</sup>

| Offerings                                     | Past<br>attenders | Past non-<br>attenders | Total     |  |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--|
| Product variables:                            |                   |                        |           |  |
| Type of performance                           | 100               |                        | 105       |  |
| More classical music                          | 102<br>107        | 107 .<br>90            | 105<br>97 |  |
| More romantic music                           | <del></del>       | 90<br>54               | 97<br>54  |  |
| More contemporary music More concertos        | 53<br>56          | 54<br>57               | 54<br>57  |  |
| More choral music                             | 31                | 49                     | 43        |  |
| Quality of performance                        | c                 |                        | • •       |  |
| More famous performers                        | 150               | 166                    | 161       |  |
| Formality of atmosphere                       |                   |                        |           |  |
| Dressing more informally                      | 61                | 100                    | 87        |  |
| Extent of learning opportunities              |                   |                        |           |  |
| Short talk/discussion                         | 101               | 121                    | 114       |  |
| Price:  |                   |                        |           |  |
| Second ticket one-half off                    | 199               | 180                    | 186       |  |
| Telephone/credit purchasing                   | 77                | 81                     | 80        |  |
| Combination strategies:                       |                   |                        | ÷         |  |
| One-half off day of performance, poorer seats | 106               | 121                    | 116       |  |
| Nearer location, 20 percent discount          | 76                | 112                    | 100       |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Attendance within past 12 months.



TABLE 20
INDICES OF EFFECTIVENESS FOR NEW THEATER OFFERINGS, BY PAST ATTENDANCE<sup>a</sup>

| Offering  | Past<br>attenders             | Past non-<br>attenders        | Total                         |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Product variables:  | -                             |                               |                               |
| Type of performance More musical comedies More classical plays More American drama More modern comedies More original plays | 142<br>32<br>112<br>124<br>47 | 150<br>15<br>115<br>104<br>30 | 145<br>25<br>113<br>116<br>40 |
| Quality of performance<br>More famous performers  | 160                           | 160                           | 160                           |
| Formality of atmosphere Dressing more informally  | 65                            | 83                            | 72                            |
| Extent of learning opportunities Short talk/discussion  | 65                            | 63                            | 64                            |
| Price: Second ticket one-half off Telephone/credit purchasing   | 173<br>72                     | 157<br>60                     | 166<br>67                     |
| Combination strategies:   | 7                             |                               |                               |
| One-half off day of performance, poorer seats   | 176                           | 95                            | 144                           |
| Nearer location, 20 percent discount  | 87                            | 81                            | 85                            |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Attendance within past 12 months.

TABLE 21 .

INDICES OF EFFECTIVENESS OF PRICE AND SERIES STRATEGIES, BY PAST ATTENDANCE<sup>a</sup>

| Strategy   | Past<br>attenders                             | Past non-<br>attenders | Total      |  |
|--|---|------------------------|------------|--|
|  |   | Symphony               | <u>(*)</u> |  |
| Series discount                                  | 96  | 112                    | 107        |  |
| Individual ticket discount                       | 112   | 124                    | 120        |  |
| Individual ticket increase                       | 99  | 84                     | 89         |  |
| Favorite program with individual ticket increase | 93  | 87                     | 89         |  |
| Average  | 100   | 102                    | 100        |  |
| Average sample size                              | (273)   | (142)                  | (415)      |  |
|  | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | Theater                |            |  |
| Series discount                                  | 108   | 91                     | 101        |  |
| Individual ticket discount                       | 108   | 99                     | 104        |  |
| Individual ticket increase                       | 101   | 99                     | 101        |  |
| Favorite program with individual ticket increase | 92  | 96                     | 94         |  |
| Average  | 102   | 96                     | 100        |  |
| Average sample size                              | (253)   | (384)                  | (637)      |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Attendance within past 12 months.



by far the best for increasing revenues from nonattenders is to decrease individual ticket prices. Not surprisingly, this appears substantially better than increasing prices. For theater, price discounts are also somewhat higher in their relative effectiveness, but the variation across all manipulations here is minimal. This is, however, primarily a function of the smaller sample size for symphony manipulations, to which the indices are quite sensitive.

It should be noted, however, that comparing the various price reduction strategies reported in Table 21 with the strategy of offering a second ticket for half off (Tables 19 and 20) indicates that the latter is a substantially better strategy for increasing revenues. 14 That is to say, these data suggest that more customers will be brought in by offering second tickets at half price than by giving series discounts as high as 30 percent or individual ticket discounts of up to \$3. It may well be that the expression "half off" is the key to this manipulation's success. Alternatively, it may be that it is the "second ticket" aspect of the offer that is crucial, given the importance of interpersonal influences that we have pointed out elsewhere in the study.

This conclusion was drawn by comparing the proportion of people going more often or much more often in response to a second ticket at one-half price to the proportion of respondents who would go more often with any of the individual or series price discounts offered.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Indices were computed by calculating the proportion of respondents who responded positively to each alternative (e.g., would buy series tickets, would go more if prices were decreased, or would not go less often if prices were increased) and then comparing the score for the responses of each group for each manipulation to the average responsiveness across all groups for all manipulations within symphony or within theater.

### c. Broadening the Audience

The above strategies broaden the audience in the sense that they have high impact on nonattenders, but many also have high impact on attenders. To ascertain whether some of the strategies just discussed have different effects on each group, direct comparisons were made of responses of those who attended symphony or theater in the past year and those who did not. Table 22 shows that there were four strategies by which symphony nonattenders were more positively affected than attenders: 15

- (1) Offering choral music.
- (2) Knowing that people were dressing more informally.
- (3) Offering symphony performances five times a year nearer home, with a performing space not as nice but prices 20 percent lower.
- (4) More famous performers.

There are two problems with these findings. First, it should be noted that according to Table 19, offering choral music had the lowest total effectiveness of all the strategies and nearer locations and greater informality drew only average responses among past nonattenders. A second, more critical problem is that it is not clear whether the differences found are because past nonattenders responded positively or because past attenders responded negatively. Indeed, more detailed analyses of responses within life-style groups to be reported later do indicate that the latter may be the case, at least for the "dressing informally" manipulation. The fact that of these four manipulations only 'more famous

<sup>15</sup> Probability of attenders and nonattenders being the same <.05. Note that the significance levels may not be reflected in the indices reported earlier because the latter used a weighting scheme that the significance tests ignored.



TABLE 22

PROBABILITY THAT RESPONSES TO NEW OFFERINGS AND PRICE AND SERIES STRATEGIES OF PAST NONATTENDERS AND ATTENDERS ARE THE SAME<sup>a</sup>

| Offerings  | ymphony                  | Theater                         |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Product variables:   | <del></del>              |                                 |
| Type of performance Nore classical music More romantic music More contemporary music More concertos More choral music                    | .23<br>.34<br>.99<br>.73 |                                 |
| More musical comedies More classical plays More American drama More modern comedies More original plays                                  |                          | .82<br>.09<br>.95<br>.43<br>.57 |
| Quality of performance More famous performers  | .10                      | .19                             |
| Formality of atmosphere Dressing more informally   | .02                      | .12                             |
| Extent of learning opportunities Short talk/discussion   | .52                      | .57                             |
| Quality of seating Series with good seats, no discount   | .89                      | .58                             |
| Price:   |                          |                                 |
| Individual ticket reduction Second ticket one-half off Series ticket at discount Telephone/credit purchasing Individual ticket increases | .37<br>.22<br>.19<br>.82 | .33<br>.46<br>.08<br>.61        |
| Combination strategies:  |                          |                                 |
| One-half off day of performance, poorer seats Favorite performance with individual ticket increase Nearer location, 20 percent discount  | .48<br>.45<br>.05        | .01<br>.22<br>.40               |
| Approximate number of cases <sup>C</sup>   | (420)                    | (652)                           |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Probabilities are the likelihoods of obtaining computed Chi-square value when responses to offerings are truly independent of past attendance classification.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Selected from the five alternatives indicated above.

CActual number of cases varies by offering.

performers" scored more than 100 on the effectiveness index (Table 19) for both attenders and nonattenders also casts doubt on the ability of these manipulations to broaden the audience while not alienating current patrons.

For theater, two strategies show significant differences between nonattenders and attenders: increasing individual ticket prices and offering tickets at half price on the day of the performance. A glance at Table 20, however, shows that offering half-price second tickets for theater has a more positive effect on theater attenders than on nonattenders. The effect of price increases is more complicated. The proportion of those decreasing planned future attendance for each group at each price increase is shown in Table 23. The total proportion who will decrease attendance is approximately the same for both groups, as Table 21 indicates. However,

TABLE 23
PERCENTAGE DECREASING PLANNED FUTURE ATTENDANCE
OF THEATER, BY PRICE INCREASE

| Price increase | Past<br>attenders | Past<br>nonattenders |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| \$1 increase   | 41                | , <b>61</b>          |
| \$2 increase   | 30                | 20                   |
| \$3 increase   | 28                | 19                   |
| Total          | 99 <sup>a</sup>   | 100                  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Not 100 percent because of rounding.

not surprisingly, past attenders seem to need a somewhat greater price increase before they will decrease planned attendance. Thus, this



strategy is also ineffective for broadening the audience, since low and moderate price increases keep the nonattenders away more whereas higher increases have equal effects on attenders and nonattenders.

## d. Responses to Manipulations across Life-Style Groups

As we have emphasized throughout this analysis, a key to understanding people's responses to arts opportunities is an understanding of their leisure life styles. Since these life styles reflect general orientations toward leisure, one might expect that responsiveness to the manipulations employed will also vary by life-style group. And, indeed, as Tables 24, 25, and 26 indicate, there is substantial variation in this responsiveness across life-style groups. <sup>16</sup> In two cases for symphony and four for theater, the differences across these groups in response to the manipulations are significant. For symphony, the only significant differences were in the effects of introducing more choral music and of moving the performance nearer to the respondent's home combined with offering a 20-percent ticket discount. More choral music was most attractive to Active Homebodies and least attractive to Culture Patrons. A nearer location appealed to Active Sports Enthusiasts but not to Passive Homebodies.

For theater, four manipulations had differential effects across life-style groups. Both having more famous performers and dressing more informally are most attractive to Passive Homebodies and least attractive to Culture Patrons. Culture Patrons are most responsive to individual ticket discounts and Active Sports Enthusiasts least responsive. On the

<sup>16</sup> The indices in these tables were computed in the same manner as those for Tables 19-21.



| Variable   | ,                                | Life-style group           |                                |   |                              |                              |                             |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|  | Means or level in overall sample | Passive<br>Homebody        | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner-<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron            | Active<br>Homebody           | Socially<br>Active          |
| Product variables:   |                                  |                            | ,                              |   |                              |                              |                             |
| Type of performance  More classical music  More romantic music  More contemporary music  More concertos  More choral music | 122<br>61<br>31<br>89<br>84      | 90<br>82<br>73<br>27<br>37 | 93<br>97<br>-10<br>67<br>39    | 103<br>105<br>77<br>42<br>19              | 110<br>93<br>60<br>75<br>-12 | 111<br>116<br>42<br>69<br>85 | 106<br>97<br>54<br>57<br>42 |
| Quality of performance<br>More famous performers   | 121                              | 148                        | 186                            | 170                                       | 168                          | · 169                        | 162                         |
| Formality of atmosphere Dressing more informally   | 99                               | 97                         | 110                            | 83  | 75                           | 75                           | 87                          |
| Extent of learning opportuni<br>Short talk/discussion  | ties<br>93                       | 130                        | 128                            | 120                                       | 93                           | 114                          | 115                         |
| Price:   |                                  |                            |                                |   |                              |                              |                             |
| Second ticket one-half off<br>Telephone/credit purchase  | 176<br>60                        | 176<br>76                  | 189<br>115                     | 199<br>84                                 | 145<br>87                    | 195<br>71                    | 188<br>81                   |
| Combination strategies:  |                                  |                            |                                |   |                              |                              |                             |
| Nearer, 20% discount <sup>a</sup>  | 124                              | 74                         | 132                            | 86  | 81 *                         | 117                          | 100                         |
| One-half off day of performance, poorer seats  | <b>86</b>                        | 79                         | 132                            | 135                                       | 104                          | 117                          | 117                         |
| Average  | 94                               | 93                         | 103                            | 102                                       | 90                           | 107                          | 100                         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Probability is .10 or less that all groups responded equally, using Chi-square analysis of responses collapsed into "more often" and "less often."

10i

<sup>a</sup>Probability is .10 or less that all groups responded equally, using Chi-square analysis of responses collapsed into "more often" and "less often" or "the same."

TABLE 26
INDICES OF EFFECTIVENESS OF PRICE AND SERIES STRATEGIES, BY LIFE-STYLE GROUP

|  | Life-style group                 |                     |                                |   |                   |                    |                    |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Variable   | Means or level in overall sample | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner-<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active |
|  |                                  |                     | S                              | ymphony                                   |                   | ,                  |                    |
| Series discount                                  | 86                               | 114                 | 87                             | 105                                       | 94                | 132                | 106                |
| Individual ticket discount                       | 100                              | 111                 | 127                            | 124                                       | 126               | 116                | 118                |
| ndividual ticket increase                        | 97                               | 94                  | 90                             | 90  | 86                | 74                 | 88                 |
| avorite program with individual ticket increase  | 88                               | 84                  | 106                            | 90  | 71                | 82                 | 88                 |
| Average  | 93                               | 101                 | 103                            | . 102                                     | 94                | 101                | 100                |
|  |                                  | •                   |                                | Theater                                   |                   |                    |                    |
| Series discount                                  | 88                               | 113                 | 87                             | 99  | 104               | 113                | 101                |
| Individual ticket discount                       | 102                              | 107                 | 87                             | 110                                       | 113               | 101                | 104                |
| Individual ticket increase                       | 120                              | 98                  | 93                             | 97  | 101               | 100                | 101                |
| Favorite program with individual ticket increase | 99                               | 92                  | 108                            | 91  | 82                | 92                 | 94                 |
| Average  | 102                              | 102                 | 94                             | 99  | 100               | 101                | 100                |

Probability is .10 or less that all groups responded equally using Chi-square analysis of responses collapsed into whether or not attendance would (a) increase with a 10 or 20 percent price discount or (b) decrease with a 10 or 20 percent price increase.

100

other hand, more Active Sports Enthusiasts said they would pay more for their favorite type of theater presentation than Culture Patrons.

## e. Responses to Manipulations within Life-Style Groups

Of critical interest to the issue of broadening the audience is whether there are also differential effects on attenders and nonattenders in each life-style group. To investigate this question, a series of cross tabulations was constructed comparing the responses of past attenders and past nonattenders to the new offerings, price manipulations, and series ticket offers within each life-style group. In four cases each, theater and symphony manipulations yielded different effects for attenders than for nonattenders within specific life-style groups. These data are reported in Table 27.

Symphony.--For symphony, each of the four manipulations had more positive effects on nonattenders than on attenders. However, closer examination of the data in Table 27 allows us to assess whether these differences are due to the nonattenders being "turned on" by the manipulation or to the attenders being "turned off." Such an assessment is possible by comparing the responses of attenders and nonattenders within each of the significant life-style groups listed with the average responses of the remaining sample responding to the manipulation.

This analysis revealed that for three of the four manipulations the significant effects are due to the attenders having a below average response



<sup>17</sup> Because of the sample sizes, the full range of responses to the new offerings was collapsed into two categories: (1) will go more often and (2) will not go more often.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Significant at .10 level, Chi-square test.

TABLE 27

SIGNIFICANT PROBABILITIES THAT RESPONSES TO NEW OFFERINGS
OF PAST NONATFENDERS AND ATTENDERS ARE THE SAME
WITHIN LIFE-STYLE GROUPS<sup>a</sup>

| Manipulation                   | Life-style<br>group affected      | Group having more positive responses | Significance<br>level |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Symphony:                      |                                   |                                      |                       |
| Dressing more informally       | Culture Patron                    | Nonattenders                         | .01                   |
| More famous performers         | Socially Active                   | Nonattenders                         | .02                   |
| More contemporary music        | Socially Active                   | Nonattenders                         | . 03                  |
| More choral music              | Passive Homebody                  | Nonattenders                         | .07                   |
| Theater:                       |                                   | •                                    |                       |
| Telephone/credit<br>purchasing | Inner-Directed<br>Self-Sufficient | Attenders                            | . 10                  |
| Telephone/credit purchasing    | Socially Active                   | Attenders                            | .10                   |
| More modern comedies           | Socially Active                   | Attenders                            | .02                   |
| Series ticket at a discount    | Culture Patron                    | Attenders                            | .02                   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Probabilities are the likelihoods of obtaining the computed Chi-square value when responses to offerings are truly independent of past attendance classification within life-style groups.

to the offering rather than being due to nonattenders having a significantly above average response. Only for the choral music manipulation were the nonattenders in the significant life-style group (Passive Homebodies) positively affected by the offering.

Thus, the life-style analysis is useful on two counts. It indicates not only which groups are affected by the manipulation but also whether the particular offering has differential impact because of its attractiveness or lack of attractiveness to the attenders versus the nonattenders in a particular segment. The finding that the lack of attractiveness for attenders is often the case leads to the suggestion that a differential strategy, except for Passive Homebodies, is not likely to be warranted. (And it will be recalled that offering choral music was the weakest strategy overall in Table 19)

Theater. -- of the four theater manipulations for which attender-non-attender differences emerged within life-style groups, none offers the opportunity to broaden the audience. The analysis shows that offering the opportunity to buy theater tickets by telephone on credit appeals more to attenders than to nonattenders among both the Socially Active and Inner-Directed Self Sufficient life-style groups. The same is true of offering more modern comedies: This appealed more to the present attenders among the Socially Actives. For price discounts on series tickets, the data show that nonattending Culture Patrons are less responsive to the manipulation than attenders. They require larger discounts before they will increase their patronage.

It would appear, therefore, that for both symphony and theater, it is not feasible, except in one instance, to develop strategies that





selectively broaden the audience, i.e., strategies that positively affect nonattenders while not turning off attenders. The preferred strategy for bringing in more past nonattenders is clearly to offer alternatives, such as second tickets at half price, that have a high impact on that group while also attracting more patronage from past attenders.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The conclusions to be drawn from this study fall into two broad categories, practical and analytical. The former are the findings of interest to arts managers who would like to translate the study results into concrete actions. The latter are methodological conclusions of interest to those doing further audience studies. We shall discuss each in turn and then close with a brief reminder of some of the limitations of the study.

## A. Managerial Conclusions and Recommendations

This study has developed two broad approaches to the issues of whether and how one can broaden the audience for the arts. The first approach, it will be recalled, was to seek out correlates of future attendance intentions. There the findings were rather striking, since the four major factors that predicted symphony attendance were also the four major predictors of theater attendance (attitudes toward attendance, attendance in the past year, interest in the art form as a child, and membership in the Culture Patron life-style group). On the other hand, it was pointed out that the results were based on association, not causation, and hinged on a dependent measure of future behavior that might be relatively weak.



The second approach, the manipulations analysis, was useful in the sense that for both theater and symphony, it pointed clearly to the need to focus on high-impact strategies rather than on selective strategies.

And, in this analysis, the two highest impact strategies were the same for theater and symphony (i.e., offering second tickets at half price from time to time and including more famous performers in the production). Here again, the analyses had their limits, particularly because the evaluations concerned future behavior and were in response to possible offerings that may have been hard to visualize.

On which set of conclusions should the practicing manager rely?

If the associational analysis is to be relied on, and if one ignores

cost, the recommended actions would include the following:

- (1) Improve nonattender symphony/theater expectations about the likelihood that
  - (a) They would like the program;
  - (b) They would understand what was going on;
  - (c) Those with whom they were attending would have a good time;
  - (d) The evening would prove stimulating;
  - (e) They would find the performers better (theater only);
  - (f) They would feel they weren't wasting their time (symphony only).
- (2) Increase the importance in leisure-time decisions of
  - (a) Understanding what was going on (theater only);
  - (b) The feeling that those with you were having a good time (theater only).
- (3) Stimulate personal influence of attenders on nonattenders.



- (4) Market actively to two life-style groups:
  - (a) Culture Patrons through promotions developed through other art forms. (Theater patrons may be a particularly good source of symphony patrons.)
  - (b) Socially Actives (symphony only) through promotions designed to emphasize the positive social benefits of symphony attendance.
- (5) Strengthen young people's programs and develop strategies to convert early socialization to the arts into active adult, patronage, possibly through improved mailing lists of young people or "learners' discounts."

On the other hand, if the manipulations analysis is to be relied on, as we have already noted the emphasis (again ignoring cost) would be on

- (1) Offering second tickets at half price from time to time (although obviously not on a routine basis);
- (2) Including famous performers more often in programs;
- (5) Including more musical comedies in theater offerings;
- (4) Offering more choral music to Passive Homebodies;
- (5) Possibly offering symphony tickets at one-half off on the day of the performance or presenting a short discussion before the performance.
- (6) Otherwise concentrating on the Inner-Directed Self-Sufficients,
  Active Sports Enthusiasts, Passive Homebodies, and possibly
  Socially Actives.

It is hard to selectively motivate Culture Patrons beyond their present level of high attendance, and Active Homebodies are generally unresponsive. More generally, the manipulations analysis suggests that it may be difficult or impossible to devise marketing strategies that will



**/**...

selectively entice past nonattenders and thus only broaden the audience.

Instead, strategies will have to be adopted that work best on nonattenders even though they also seem to work well on attenders.

One theme that does recur in both the associational and manipulations findings is the role of interpersonal influence on attendance.

Key attitude items were (1) feeling that those with whom one was attending were having a good time (both expectations and importance) and (2) believing that "people who are important to (you) expect (you) to attend." A lifestyle group that appeared promising for increased symphony attendance was the Socially Active group, whose leisure life style revolved around being with others in various social activities. Childhood socialization to theater clearly involves the influence of important (parental) others. Finally, one of the two most effective manipulations—offering second tickets at half price—clearly implies attendance with others, perhaps facilitated by this special reduction.

It would seem desirable, therefore, to tie these threads together in a marketing program that uses the second-ticket-half-off manipulation to attract an audience through the stimulation of interpersonal influence. Clearly one possiblity would be to develop a program in which past attenders would buy the regular price tickets and would use the second tickets at half price for past nonattenders or light attenders. The danger, of course, would be a decline in revenues should past attenders use the second ticket at half price themselves, as we have seen they are inclined to do. However, three suggestions (not directly tested in this study) may prove reliable:

(1) The simplest approach is to offer the second tickets at half price, say, once or twice a season and back this with a heavy



media campaign designed to encourage attenders to use the opportunity to introduce nonattenders to the performing arts. This approach might be particularly appealing to the Socially Active group. The disadvantages of this approach are (a) it is costly, since there will be considerable wasted exposure in the media campaign; and (b) present attenders could still use the tickets for spouses, dates, or family members who are also present attenders.

- (2) A minimum of two tickets would have to be purchased once or twice a season before a third and fourth ticket could be purchased at half price. 19 This should preclude spouses and dates from receiving the benefit of the reduction. It presumably would also lead to use by couples who would be inviting other couples, which would considerably heighten the sociability and interpersonal influence of the occasion. This again, one suspects, might be a particularly appealing strategy for the Socially Active life-style group.
- (3) The offer could be made only to season ticket holders, who could buy up to two additional tickets at half price for one or two events provided they used the inexpensive tickets to invite previous nonattenders to the theater (or concert hall). The season ticket holders might be much more inclined to follow the spirit of this suggestion, and, of course, they could not use the tickets for themselves.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>This strategy was suggested to us by Fedor Salva, University of Illinois graduate student.



Once initial exposure by nonattenders was achieved, our regression results suggest that, since prior attendance is a strong predictor of future attendance, the effect of such occasional price promotions might be to create self-sustaining, season ticket patronage after the price special ceases.

The strategy just noted could thus be feasible even though it might well result in a net decrease in revenues for the particular programs for which it was offered. For a second ticket at half price to be offered on a frequent basis, it would require a sufficient increase in attendance to compensate for the reduction in ticket prices. This possibility would vary according to current unsold seating capacities and market response. It may well deserve experimentation.

The social appeal approach, on the other hand, does seem safe to use regardless of current attendance. In general, social appeals should emphasize that others are increasingly attending theater and symphony locally and are having fun doing so.

In the final analysis, however, it is clear that experimentation is necessary if the real-world value of all the above suggestions is to be assessed. Careful tests could be devised (with the help of outside consultants, if necessary) to explore each of the major proposals offered here, and measures would have to be developed before and after the experiments so that their effects could be ascertained. This obviously argues strongly for a program of experimentation in the four cities studied here, since baseline measures have already been developed. A logical next step for the National Endowment for the Arts in its efforts to determine the feasibility of broadening the audience for the arts would be to subsidize a carefully planned series of such experiments.



### B. Analytical Conclusions and Recommendations

This study also yields several conclusions and recommendations of interest to those who wish to conduct studies of arts audiences in the future.

- (1) Information about consumer life style (both general and specific), attitudes toward attendance, and childhood exposure to the arts are, along with past attendance patterns, predictors of planned future attendance that are superior to the more commonly used demographic measures. Where feasible, such variables should be included in future arts studies.
- (2) Rather lengthy batteries of questions about life styles and attitudes can be asked in telephone interviews, as can proposals of a large number of new offerings. (For example, approximately one-third of our sample was asked to respond to 97 life-style items, 34 attitude items, and at least 17--often 34--offer manipulations, in addition to standard behavioral and demographic questions.)
- (3) Results of both the associational and manipulations analyses were quite similar for symphony and theater, and so significant differences in effects in the associational analysis appeared across cities. This would encourage one to conduct joint research among different cultural art forms (e.g., dance or opera) and across other cities (e.g., in the North or West). The ability to make such generalizations, however, is an empirical question, one that bears attention in future replications. The methodology and the questionnaire reproduced here should permit--and, we hope, encourage--such replication.



- (4) Although past attendance is an important predictor of future attendance, there is a good deal of volatility between time periods, especially among those who attend only one art form.

  A useful direction for future research would be to develop panel data on arts attendance over a relatively long period of time. This is an approach now used by most major product marketers. Panel data can provide not only better descriptions of behavioral patterns (e.g., how one "learns" to become a patron) but also insights into the effectiveness of specific marketing programs (e.g., precisely who changed behavior when second tickets to a production were introduced). The possibilities using such data are substantial (see 1).
- (5) The attitude model developed in the associational analysis, although quite useful, exhibited some instability. Further analysis of the present data is clearly warranted to improve the attitude instrument for future research.
- (6) A final limitation of the present study was that both analytical approaches relied on respondents' indications of intended future behavior. If future studies are to rely on such planning information, it is important to learn the extent to which such plans are actually carried out. A follow-up to the present study could evaluate that critical question.

# APPENDIX A DETAILED STATISTICAL TABLES

TABLE A1

PERCENTAGE OF FINAL SAMPLE TO MEET SCREENING CRITERIA

| Screening criterion                           | Percent |
|---|---------|
| Went to one or more popular or rock concert   | 35.3    |
| Listened to classical music ten times or more | 46.1    |
| Visited art gallery or museum                 | 44.3    |
| Saw ballet live or on television              | 43.0    |
| Attended one or more plays                    | 42.3    |
| Attended one or more symphony concerts        | 14.0    |
| Plays a musical instrument                    | 35.7    |
| Worked in theater/music/dance production      | 12.3    |

TABLE A2
SAMPLING RESULTS, BY CITY

| Category                  | Atlanta . | Baton Rouge | Columbia | Memphis | Total |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Original sample           | 1,623     | 1,411       | 1,520    | 1,779   | 6,333 |
| Missing interviewer forms | 109       | 3           | 175 °    | . 113   | 400.  |
| Attempted contacts        | 1,514     | 1,408       | 1,345    | 1,666   | 5,933 |
| Business and others       | 146       | 140         | 130      | 130     | 546   |
| Not in service            | 339       | 307         | 323      | 462     | 1,431 |
| Attempted screening       | 1,029     | 961         | 892      | 1,074   | 3,956 |
| Noncontact                | 123       | 164         | 63       | 203     | 553   |
| Refused                   | 318       | 235         | 314      | 322     | 1,189 |
| Screened                  | 588       | 562         | 515      | 549     | 2,214 |
| Incligibles               | 79        | 75          | . 66     | 94      | 314   |
| Half of the heavy users   | 58        | 58          | 37       | 14      | 167   |
| Attempted main interview  | 451       | 429         | 412      | 441     | 1,733 |
| Unavailable and other     | 31        | 28          | 10       | 19      | . 88  |
| Refused                   | 63        | 43          | 17       | 31      | 154   |
| Completed interviews      | 357       | 358         | 385      | 391     | 1,491 |
| Total refusals            | 27.0%     | 28.9%       | 37.1%    | 32.9%   | 33.9% |
| Ineligible                | 13.4%     | 13.3%       | 12.8%    | 17.1%   | 14.2% |
| lleavy users              | 19.7%     | 20.6%       | 14.4%    | 5.1%    | 15.0% |



#### APPENDIX B

### TECHNICAL NOTE ON FACTOR ANALYSIS

The analysis of respondent life styles was facilitated by factor analyses of two sets of data.

The first factor analysis of the life-style data was a "Q-type" factor analysis of 50 activity, interest, or opinion items (see Table B1) selected to obtain a profile of the respondents' life styles with respect to leisure time only. In this case, the intent was to classify people into relatively homogeneous leisure-specific life-style groups rather than to obtain factor scores for each person on six life-style dimensions. Thus, the Q-type factor analysis analyzes similarities among people for their responses to different questions, while the R-type factor analysis to be discussed below analyzes similarities in responses to different questions over the entire sample of people. Interpretability and stability were the main criteria guiding the analysis.

The algorithm employed for the Q-type factor analysis is a principal-components analysis with varimax rotations. As with the R-type analysis, the sample was split into two halves, and initial analyses were done separately on each half. Solutions deriving two through nine groups were compared and on the basis of interpretability and comparability of solutions in the two halves, the six-group solution was selected. The normalized means for these six groups on each of the 50 variables used to classify them are shown in Table Bl along with interpretive group titles. Since individuals

-98-

TABLE B1

MEAN VARIABLE SCORES (NORMALIZED) FOR EACH
LEISURE-SPECIFIC LIFE-STYLE GROUP

|   |                     |                                | Life-s                                    | tyle group        |                    |                    |                         |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Activity/interest/ opinion                              | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner-<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active | F<br>ratio <sup>b</sup> |
| Number of times attended rock concert (A)               | -0.33               | 0.24                           | -0.19                                     | 0.43              | -0.18              | -0.11              | 25.78                   |
| Number of times listened<br>to classical music (A)      | 0.11                | -0.11                          | -0.18                                     | 0.13              | -0.08              | 0.07               | 4.34                    |
| Number of times attended art galdery/museum (A)         | -0.28               | -0.19                          | -0.09                                     | 0.59              | -0.04              | -0.05              | 30.59                   |
| Number of times attended classical performance (A)      | -0.10               | -0.12                          | -0.10                                     | 0.38              | -0.09              | -0.04              | 11.42                   |
| Number of times saw a ballet (A)                        | 0.25                | -0.19                          | -0.16                                     | 0.25              | 0.05               | 0.01               | 4.10                    |
| Number of times saw a play (A)                          | -0.30               | 0.17                           | -0.25                                     | 0.84              | -0.08              | 0.01               | 23.77                   |
| Number of times attended symphony orchestra concert (A) | -0.17               | -0.19                          | -0.23                                     | 0.67              | -0.12 <sup>†</sup> | -0.10              | 37.28                   |
| Go bowling (A)  | -0.68               | 0.76                           | 0.12                                      | -0.02             | -0.12              | -0.05              | ° 77.26                 |
| Go to sports event (A)                                  | -0.65               | 0.58                           | 0.08                                      | 0.14              | 0.09               | 0.17               | 55.85                   |
| Watch a sports event on TV (A)                          | 0.02                | 0.31                           | 0.12                                      | -0.50             | -0.09              | 0.22               | 25.50                   |



TABLE B1--Continued

|   | Life-style group    |                                |  |                   |                    |                    |                         |  |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Activity/interest/ opinion                | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner -<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active | F<br>ratio <sup>b</sup> |  |
| Give or attend a party (A)                | <b>-0.63</b> °      | 0.36                           | -0.15                                      | 0.29              | -0.40              | 0.50               | 65.22                   |  |
| Go out to dinner at restaurant (A)        | -0.66               | 0.04                           | 0.22                                       | 0.29              | -0.22              | 0.43               | 49.25                   |  |
| Go to meeting of social, service club (A) | -0.44               | -0.21                          | 0.07                                       | 0.07              | 0.01               | 0.71               | 39.98                   |  |
| Play tennis (A)                           | -0.64               | 0.53                           | -0.08                                      | 0.34              | 0.02               | -0.24              | 59.80                   |  |
| Go on a picnic (A)                        | -0.60               | -0.00                          | 0.45                                       | 0.06              | -0.23              | 0.51               | 50.38                   |  |
| Work on crafts project of your own (A)    | -0.67               | -0.11                          | 0.44                                       | 0.38              | -0.06              | 0.15               | . 53.50                 |  |
| Read a book for pleasure (A)              | -0.05               | 0. 38                          | 0.53                                       | 0.49              | -0.44              | -0.24              | 51.57                   |  |
| See a movie in a theater (A)              | -0.69、              | 0.54                           | 0.13                                       | 0.34              | -0.36              | -0.07              | 71.50                   |  |
| Do yard work or gardening outdoors (A)    | -0.28               | -0.13                          | 0.56                                       | -0.40             | 0.29               | 0.29               | 40.21                   |  |
| Play golf (A)                             | -0.35               | 0.25                           | -0.16                                      | -0.04             | 0.73               | -0.28              | 40.50                   |  |
| Work on your car (A)                      | -0.55               | 0.32                           | , 0.14                                     | -0.15             | 0.55               | -0.10              | 42.60                   |  |
| Watch TV other<br>than sports (A)         | 0.38                | 0.31                           | 0.08                                       | -0.50             | -0.78              | 0.37               | 71.08                   |  |

(Table B1 continued)

123

123

TABLE B1--Continued

| - Carterina - Cart |                     |                                | Life-                                     | style group       | •                  |                    |            |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Activity/interest/ opinion   | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner-<br>Directed<br>Self-<br>Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active | F<br>ratio |
| Go hiking (A)  | -0.60               | 0.02                           | 0.26                                      | 0.42              | 0.03               | -0.07              | 39.86      |
| I have more leisure<br>time (0)  | 0.34                | 0.19                           | -0.33                                     | 0.05              | -0.18              | -0.32              | 20.55      |
| I have more spare time<br>than I need (0)  | 0.34                | 0.19                           | -0.33                                     | 0.05              | -0.18              | -0.32              | 20.55      |
| Cultural organizations should pay own way (0)  | 0.35                | 0.18                           | -0.30                                     | -0.53             | 0.21               | 0.13               | 36.61      |
| I like to attend sporting events (I)   | -0.35               | 0.51                           | 0.10                                      | -Ó.33             | 0.01               | 0.14               | 32.55      |
| My friends are interested in theater (I)   | 0.07                | -0.53                          | -0.27                                     | 0.50              | -0.12              | 0.30               | 44.72      |
| My days follow definite . routine (I)  | 0.43                | -0.01                          | 0.03                                      | -0.24             | -0.05              | -0.24              | 17.60      |
| I know which plays are here (I)  | -0.13               | -0.45                          | -0.29                                     | 0.47              | -0.14              | 0.55               | 49,67      |
| I watch TV to quietly relax (I)  | 0.52                | 0.41                           | -0.22                                     | -0.51             | -0.54              | 0.15               | 67.53      |
| I enjoy jazz music (I)   | -0.05               | 0.09                           | -0.24                                     | 0.24              | ' -0.30            | 0.13               | 11.01      |
| I'd rather read a good book (I)  | -0.03               | -0.17                          | 0.49                                      | 0.38              | -0.27              | -0.51              | 38.93      |

(Table B1 continued)



TABLE B1--Continued

|  |                     |                                | Life-                            | style group       | )<br>              |                    |                         |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Activity/interest/ opinion <sup>a</sup>          | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner- Directed Self- Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active | F<br>ratio <sup>b</sup> |
| I enjoy many foreign  films (I)                  | 0.14                | -0.02                          | -0.47                            | 0.27              | -0.16⊋             | 0.08               | ~17.32                  |
| I'd pay extra for high J quality TV programs (I) | 0.02                | -0.03                          | 0.09                             | 0.08              | -0.27              | 0.06               | 3.65                    |
| Arts are more important to me than to others (I) | -0.10               | -0.29                          | -0.28                            | 0.61              | -0.13              | 0.09               | 35.47                   |
| TV is my primary source of entertainment (I)     | 0.79                | 0.25                           | -0.25                            | -0.64             | -0.20              | -0.11              | 92.68                   |
| I like to spend a quiet evening at home (I)      | 0.46 .              | -0.58                          | 0.45                             | -0.31             | 0.49               | -0.33              | 77.94                   |
| I like adventure movies (I)                      | -0.02               | 0.27                           | 0.04                             | 0.12              | -0.12              | -0.10              | 6.42                    |
| Arts/cultural activities are not for me (I)      | 0.13                | 0.38                           | -0.18                            | -0.43             | 0.35               | -0.22              | 32.79                   |
| I am a homehody (I)                              | 0.53                | -0.46                          | 0.41                             | -0.50             | 0.35               | -0.16              | 69.85                   |
| My major hobby is my family (I)                  | 0.39                | -0.18                          | 0.35                             | -0.68             | 0.26               | 0.07               | 55.52                   |
| I do more things socially than my friends (I)    | -0.22               | 0.20                           | -0.43                            | 0.16              | -0.11              | 0.34               | 21.72                   |
| I like to read nonfiction books (I)              | 0.01                | -0.16                          | 0.38                             | 0.29              | -0.30              | -0.34              | 22.73                   |

(Table Bl continued)



17

TABLE B1--Continued

|   |                     | *                              | Life-                           | style group       | )                  |                    |            |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Activity/interest/ opinion                                  | Passive<br>Homebody | Active<br>Sports<br>Enthusiast | Inner Directed Self- Sufficient | Culture<br>Patron | Active<br>Homebody | Socially<br>Active | F<br>ratio |
| My friends like symphony concerts (I)                       | 0.21                | -0.53                          | -0.41                           | 0.43              | 0.03               | 0.22               | 46.39      |
| I don't often listen<br>to radio (A)                        | 0.15                | -0.31                          | -0.09                           | -0.14             | 0.40               | 0.14               | 16.16      |
| I know which symphony con-<br>certs are performed here (I   | ) 0.08              | -0.51                          | -0.39                           | 0.45              | 0.06               | 0.39               | . 47.13    |
| I can't see myself going to an opera (I)                    | 0.09                | <b>0.49</b>                    | -0.07                           | -0.45             | 0.29               | -0.36              | 39.89      |
| I glance at most pages of the newspaper (A)                 | 0.12                | 0.07                           | 0.01                            | -0.22             | 0.02               | 0.21               | • 6.07     |
| I go to movies to see<br>certain actors or<br>actresses (I) | -0.10               | 0.07                           | -0.03                           | 0.07              | -0.18              | 0.13               | 3.20       |
| Number in group   | (295)               | (285)                          | (216)                           | (295)             | (190)              | (210)              |            |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a}}$  For specific measures, see screener questions and questions 5, 21, and 30 in Appendix C.

- (A) Activity.
- (I) Interest.
- (0) Opinion.



The F ratio is a measure of the dissimilarity of the means; all F ratios are statistically significant at the .01 level.

were classified as being in only a single group, there was no need for the Q-type analysis to proceed further.

The second factor analysis was an "R-type" factor analysis of 43 activity, interest, or opinion questions (shown in Table B2) selected from lists to obtain a general life-style profile of respondents. Since these same questions were included in the earlier pretest data and had been factor analyzed at that point, the researchers had some prior-knowledge of the types of factors that would be obtained. It was also necessary that the factor solution obtained be stable and not unduly influenced by chance relationships in the data or peculiarities in the responses of a subset of the sample. Thus, the two major criteria in evaluating the analysis were, again, interpretability and stability.

The R-type factor analysis of general life-style items sought meaningful composites of the original 43 questions through principal-axes factor analyses with varimax rotations and iterative estimation communalities.

On the basis of eigenvalue plots and interpretations of various solutions using 2 through 15 factors, it was decided to retain six factors that together account for 33 percent of the variance on the original questions.

Factor loadings and interpretations for these six rotated factors are shown in Table B2. In order to examine the stability of these factors before accepting this solution as final, all solutions using five through eight factors were derived separately for randomly selected halves of the data and then examined for comparability. It was determined that both five-and six-factor solutions were the most stable and allowed derivation of nearly identical factors in both halves of the data. These two solutions were also tested separately with the data from each of the four cities in which samples were obtained. Again both solutions proved to be stable.



TABLE B2

VARIMAX ROTATED FACTOR MATRIX: CORRELATIONS
OF VARIABLES WITH GENERAL LIFE-STYLE FACTORS

|  |                |                       | Fa        | ctor  | •        |                  |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|----------|------------------|
| Activity/interest/ opinion   | Traditionalism | Hedonism/<br>Optimism | Defeatism | Self-<br>Confidence/<br>Opinion<br>Leadership | Urbanism | Outdoorsiness    |
| Travel by airplane (A)   | 14             | .06                   | 18        | .15   | .17      | 03               |
| Go on a picnic (A)   | 06             | .11                   | .04       | 03  | . 05     | .44              |
| Go to church or synagogue (A)  | . 36           | .00                   | 08        | 15  | 05       | .15              |
| Go hiking (A)  | 17             | .17                   | 04        | .11   | 06       | . 27             |
| I have old fashioned tastes and habits (I)                           | .31            | 01                    | 02        | .07   | 15       | 03 <sup>**</sup> |
| I like being considered a leader (I)                                 | 01             | . 19                  | 09        | ° .40   | . 04     | .07              |
| I want to leave my present<br>life and do something<br>different (I) | 05             | .12                   | .49       | .04   | .01      | 09               |
| My family is close knit (I)  | . 28           | .09                   | 31        | .08   | .03      | .13              |
| Shopping is no fun (I)   | 01             | 08                    | .08       | . 19  | 07       | 09               |
| I wish for the good old days (I)                                     | . 33           | .01                   | . 37      | . 12  | 15       | 07               |
| I work under pressure (I)  | 07             | .11                   | . 14      | .23   | . 09     | 07               |
| Everything is changing too fast (0)                                  | . 36           | 03                    | . 39      | 03  | 20       | 02               |

(Table B2 continued)

| •              | •                           | Facto   | or •   |   |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Traditionalism | Hedonism/<br>Optimism       | Defeatism   | Self-<br>Confidence/<br>Opinion<br>Leadership  | Urbanism  | Outdoorsiness  |
| .06            | . 29                        | 02  | . 20   | .06   | 02   |
| . 36           | 14                          | .13   | .15  | 31 <sup>°</sup>   | 16   |
| . 35           | .01                         | . 02  | .01  | 03  | 01   |
| .38            | .02                         | .02   | .15  | 36  | 21   |
| 02             | . 29                        | ° <b>03</b>   | .01  | .17   | .04  |
| 03             | .21                         | 06  | .17  | .01   | 01   |
| .05            | .06                         | 25  | .20  | .28   | 01   |
| 27             | .25                         | 02  | .15  | .05   | 04   |
| 10             | .63                         | .09   | 06   | . 12  | 03   |
| . 32           | 09                          | . 19  | .22  | 01  | 03 ·   |
| 04             | . 39                        | 02  | . 10   | 09  | .08  |
|                | .06 .36 .35 .380203 .052710 | Traditionalism Hedonism/Optimism  .06 .29 .3614 .35 .01 .38 .0202 .2903 .21 .05 .0627 .2510 .63 .3209 | Traditionalism         Hedonism/Optimism         Defeatism           .06         .29        02           .36        14         .13           .35         .01         .02           .38         .02         .02          02         .29        03          03         .21        06           .05         .06        25          27         .25        02          10         .63         .09           .32        09         .19 | Traditionalism         Hedonism/Optimism         Defeatism         Self-Confidence/Opinion Leadership           .06         .29        02         .20           .36        14         .13         .15           .35         .01         .02         .01           .38         .02         .02         .15          02         .29        03         .01          03         .21        06         .17           .05         .06        25         .20          27         .25        02         .15          10         .63         .09        06           .32        09         .19         .22 | Traditionalism         Hedonism/Optimism         Defeatism         Self-Confidence/Opinion Leadership         Urbanism           .06         .29        02         .20         .06           .36        14         .13         .15        31           .35         .01         .02         .01        03           .38         .02         .02         .15        36          02         .29        03         .01         .17          03         .21        06         .17         .01           .05         .06        25         .20         .28          27         .25        02         .15         .05          10         .63         .09        06         .12           .32        09         .19         .22        01 |

13 ±

TABLE B2--Continued

|   | Factor         |                       |           |   |          |               |  |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|----------|---------------|--|
| Activity/interest/<br>opinion · .                     | Traditionalism | Hedonism/<br>Optimism | Defeatism | Self-<br>Confidence/<br>Opinion<br>Leadership | Urbanism | Outdoorsiness |  |
| We have more to spend on extras than my neighbors (I) | . 07           | . 01                  | 09        | .17   | .01      | .01           |  |
| I want to rest and relax on vacation (I)              | . 35           | . 08                  | .18       | . 04  | 03       | .00           |  |
| I would try anything once (I)                         | 09             | . 21                  | .20       | .27   | .12      | .10 .         |  |
| Λ college education is very important (0)             | . 26           | .16                   | 07        | .11   | . 10     | 08            |  |
| I am more self-confident than my friends (I)          | . 04           | . 14                  | .05       | . 46  | . 06     | , <b>. 04</b> |  |
| Security is more important than money on a job (I)    | . 36           | 00                    | .00       | 08  | 01       | .08           |  |
| I spend for today (I)                                 | .03            | .04 -                 | . 31      | .03   | .03      | .08           |  |
| I dread the future (I)                                | . 19           | 19                    | . 35      | .03   | . 16     | 00            |  |
| I am among the first to<br>try new products (I)       | .07            | 13                    | .11       | .12   | .18      | . 16          |  |
| I like to feel attractive to the opposite sex (I)     | 10             | . 46                  | .07       | . 19  | .05      | .04           |  |
| I prefer to live near a big city (I)                  | 03             | .12                   | 07        | . 06 <sup>°</sup>                             | . 34     | 19            |  |
| Friends and neighbors ask me for advice (I)           | . 14 ,         | . 23                  | .03       | .27   | .11      | .25           |  |

(Table B2 continued)



TABLE B2--Continued

|   |                |                       | Fac       | tor   | •        |               |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|----------|---------------|
| Activity/interest/ opinion                          | Traditionalism | Hedonism/<br>Optimism | Defeatism | Self-<br>Confidence/<br>Opinion<br>Leadership | Urbanism | Outdoorsiness |
| A drink is a good way to relax (I)                  | 18             | . 03                  | . 25      | . 24  | .25      | 01            |
| I would do things differently in life (I)           | . 09           | 11                    | .47       | 03  | 01       | .01           |
| I want to look different from others (I)            | .03            | . 32                  | . 10      | .07   | . 14     | .17           |
| Women's Liberation is<br>a good thing (0)           | 19             | . 20                  | . 13      | .04   | .45      | .03           |
| I'd like to live a year in London or Paris (I)      | 09             | .53                   | .18       | .03   | . 26     | 05            |
| I like to eat (I)                                   | .07            | . 39                  | .01       | .04   | 03       | .08           |
| I don't like to take chances (I)                    | . 35           | 10                    | .08       | 07  | . 06     | 08            |
| I would be content to live my life in this town (I) | .45            | 23                    | 03        | 01  | 02       | .07           |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> For specific measures, see questions 5, 21, and 30 in Appendix C.

- (A) Activity.
- (I) Interest.
- (O) Opinion.

133

On the basis of its superior interpretability, the six-factor solution was selected.

The final step in the R-type factor analysis of general life styles was to develop a set of factor scores for each individual that represented this person's score on each of the six composite life-style dimensions. These factor scores were developed by least-squares regression estimates and served as the representation of the amount of each general life-style dimension possessed by each individual in further analyses.



# APPENDIX C SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE WITH FREQUENCY COUNTS



## University of Illinois SURVEY RESEARCH LABORATORY

## Symphony and Theater Attendance Study Selection Chart

| Hello, my name is         | , and I am calling from the (Organization) in |
|---------------------------|---|
| (City) We are doing       | a study for the University of Illinois of how |
| people in this area spend | their leisure time. People 14 years of age of |
| older will be interviewed | in several southern communities.              |

- 1. How many people, 14 years of age or older, are currently living in this household?
- 2. So that we can randomly choose which household member to interview, could you please tell me, starting with the head of the household, the sex and age of each person 14 years of age or older, and their relation to the head.

| Relation to Head | Se | × |   | Age | Person No.                            | Check                                 |
|------------------|----|---|---|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| HEAD             | M  | F |   |     |                                       |                                       |
|                  | M  | F |   |     |                                       | <del></del>                           |
|                  | М  | F |   |     | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                                       |
|                  | М  | F | • |     |                                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|                  | М  | F |   |     |                                       |                                       |
|                  | ۰м | F |   |     |                                       |                                       |
| •                | M  | F |   |     |                                       |                                       |

(INTERVIEWER: Starting with the oldest, number each person listed in order of age.)

| Selection<br>Number On |     | If to | he number<br>lder in th | of people<br>se househo | 14 years<br>ld is: | ,         |
|------------------------|-----|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| IRF                    | 1   | 2     | 3                       | 4                       | 5                  | 6 or more |
|                        |     | •     | then                    | select:                 |                    |           |
| 1                      | 1   | 1     | 2                       | 2                       | 3                  | 3         |
| 2                      | · 1 | 2     | 3                       | 3                       | 3                  | 5         |
| 3                      | 1   | 2     | 3                       | 4                       | 5                  | 6         |
| 4                      | 1   | 1     | 1                       | 1                       | 2                  | 2         |
| 5                      | 1   | ı     | 1                       | 1                       | 1                  | 1         |
| 6                      | 1   | 2     | 3                       | 4                       | 5                  | 5         |
| 7                      | 1   | 2     | 2                       | 3                       | 4                  | 4         |
| 8                      | 1   | 1     | 1                       | 2                       | 2                  | 2         |

## Screener Questionnaire

|   |     | •  |   |
|---|-----|--|---|
|   | 1.  | In the past 12 months, how many times did you.                             | * Nean # 1.08                           |
|   |     | a. go to a live popular or rock concert? {                                 | None                                    |
|   |     | b. listen to classical music on radio, TV, records, or tape?               | 10 or more <u>62.58</u>                 |
|   | -   |  | Less than 10 803                        |
|   |     | c. visit an art gallery or museum? {                                       | #_1.39<br>None 845                      |
|   |     | d. go to a live classical music performance other than a symphony concert? | # <u>0.51</u><br>None                   |
|   |     | • •  |   |
|   |     | e. see a ballet either live or on TV? {                                    | #_1.06_<br>None 848                     |
|   |     |  | Voc. E77                                |
| ŧ | 2.  | Can you play a musical instrument?   | Yes                                     |
|   | 3.  | Have you ever worked for a theater, music                                  | Yes                                     |
|   |     | or dance production?   | No 1308                                 |
|   | 4a. | In the past 12 months, how many times did                                  | 1.09 (Skip to Q.5)                      |
|   |     | you go to see a play?  | None 860                                |
|   |     | b. Have you attended 3 or more live  | Yes(Skip to Q.6a) . 678                 |
|   |     | plays some time in your life? {  | No (Skip to Q.6a) 813                   |
|   | 5.  | In the past 12 months, how many times did                                  | (Skip to GREEN)                         |
|   |     | you go to a symphony orchestra concert? {                                  | None (Skip to BLUE) 1343                |
|   |     | 0.29   |   |
|   | 6a. | In the past 12 months, how many times did                                  | (Skip to PINK)                          |
|   |     | you go to a symphony orchestra concert? {                                  | None 1431                               |
|   |     | b. Have you attended 3 or more symphony                                    | Yes(Skip to WHITE). 287                 |
|   |     | concerts some time in your life? {   | No (END INTERVIEW IF ALL O'S, OTHERWISE |
|   |     |  | SKIP TO WHITE) 1204                     |
|   |     | 1 A S .  |   |

|  | Type                                    |
|--|---|
|  | [Includes those answering on p.3]       |
| Fine interview began Ali   |   |
|  |   |
| . Now I would like you to think about t                              | he <u>last</u> time you went to a play. |
| a. How much did one ticket cost?                                     |   |
| a  | Don't know 132                          |
| b. Did you or anyone in your househo                                 | ld pay for that ticket?                 |
|  | Yes 448                                 |
|  | No                                      |
| c. Besides the ticket, how much woul household? Please include items | d you say the occasion cost your        |
| parking, food, drinks, etc.  | Mean:<br>\$ 15.03                       |
| ,  | Nothing (Skip to WHITE) .               |
|  | Don't know 103<br>Not asked 862         |
| d. How many people's expenses did th                                 | Mean: 1.97                              |
|  |   |

(SKIP TO WHITE)

| Time in | iterview began   II                      | ncludes those answering on p.                                      | 3]            |
|---------|--|--|---------------|
|         | I would like you to think about the last | time you went to a symphony  | ų.            |
| a.      | How much did one ticket cost?            | Mean:<br>\$_5.38   |               |
| ¢ ,     |  | Don't know   | • 69          |
| ъ.      | Did you or anyone in your household pay  | for that ticket?   |               |
|         | •  | Yes  | . 123         |
|         |  | No Dk  |               |
| c.      |  | Not asked say the occasion cost your s babysitters, travel,  Mean: | i 1283        |
|         |  | \$ 12.03   |               |
| -       | •  | Nothing (Skip to WHITE) .  |               |
| d.      | How many people's expenses did this cov  | Not asked  Mean:  1.77   | 32<br>1 1.283 |

(SKIP TO WHITE),

| e interview began  | ☐ AM [For] F:::   | frequencies see preceding 2                 |
|--|---|---|
| Now I would like you to  | think about the last t  | ime you went to a play.                     |
| a. How much did one tic  | ket cost?   |   |
| d. How mach ald one cro  |   | Don't know                                  |
|  |   | •   |
| b. Did you or anyone in  | your household pay fo   | r that ticket?                              |
|  |   | Yes   |
| ı  |   | No  |
| c. Besides the ticket,<br>household? Please i<br>parking, food, drink  | include items such as t   | the occasion cost your pabysitters, travel, |
| ົດ<br>3  | , ;<br><b>1</b>   | lothing (Skip to WHITE)                     |
| ₩ .,   |   | Don't know                                  |
| ·  | xpenses did this cover  | time you went to a symphony                 |
| concert.   | think about the last  | , 6 5                                       |
| concert.  a. How much did one tie  |   | \$  |
| concert.   |   | \$<br>Don't know                            |
| a. How much did one tic  | cket cost?  | \$<br>Don't know                            |
| concert.   | cket cost?  | \$<br>Don't know                            |
| a. How much did one tic  | cket cost?  | \$  |
| <ul> <li>concert.</li> <li>a. How much did one tie</li> <li>b. Did you or anyone in</li> <li>c. Besides the ticket, household? Please</li> </ul> | cket cost?  n your household pay f  how much would you sa include items such as | Don't know                                  |
| a. How much did one tied  b. Did you or anyone in  | how much would you sainclude items such as ks, etc.                             | S   |
| <ul> <li>concert.</li> <li>a. How much did one tie</li> <li>b. Did you or anyone in</li> <li>c. Besides the ticket, household? Please</li> </ul> | n your household pay f  how much would you sa include items such as ks, etc.    | S   |
| <ul> <li>concert.</li> <li>a. How much did one tie</li> <li>b. Did you or anyone in</li> <li>c. Besides the ticket, household? Please</li> </ul> | n your household pay f  how much would you sa include items such as ks, etc.    | S   |



| Time | interview | began |  | يندوي<br>رين<br>رين |
|------|-----------|-------|--|---------------------|
|------|-----------|-------|--|---------------------|

### ASK EVERYONE:

5. For each of the following activities, please tell me whether it is something you do often, sometimes, seldom, or never?

|     |  | Often | Sometimes | Seldom      | Never           | DK   |
|-----|--|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------|
| a.  | Go bowling?                                    | . 127 | 321       | 368         | 675             | 0    |
| b.  | Travel by airplane other than for business?    | . 123 | 307       | 413         | 647             | 1    |
| c.  | Go to a sports event?                          | . 583 | 432       | 266         | 208             | 2    |
| d.  | Watch a sports event on TV?                    | . 892 | 344       | 153         | 101             | 1    |
| e.  | Give or attend a party?                        | . 484 | .552      | 324         | 128             | . 3. |
| £.  | Go out to dinner at a restaurant?              | . 804 | 488       | 153         | <sup>°</sup> 45 | 1    |
| g.  | Go to a meeting of a social or service club?   | . 281 | 351       | 405         | 454             | ď    |
| h., | Play tennis?                                   | . 178 | 234       | 246         | 832             | ` 1  |
| i.  | Go on a picnic?                                | . 256 | 616       | 439 ·       | 178             | 2    |
| j.  | Work on an arts or crafts project of your own? | . 424 | 315       | <b>29</b> 1 | 460             | 1    |
| k.  | Go to church or synagogue?                     | . 914 | 275       | 174         | 125             | 3    |
| 1.  | Read a book for pleasure?                      | . 717 | 455 ,     | 213         | 103             | 3    |
| m.  | See a movie in a movie theatre?                | . 393 | 520       | 396         | 181             | 1    |
| n.  | Do yard work or gardening outdoors?            | . 712 | 348       | 210         | 217             | 4    |
| ο.  | Play golf?                                     | . 72  | 92        | 167         | 1159            | 1    |
| p.  | Work on your car?                              | . 207 | 307       | 201         | 771             | 5    |
| q.  | Watch TV other than sports events?             | . 887 | 412       | 160         | 31              | 1    |
| r.  | Go hiking?                                     | . 104 | 308       | 356         | 723             | 0    |



|       | <b>∵</b>  |              | 11/-       |              |                       | P                        |              |
|-------|---|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 6a.   | If you were making plans to go you get information about what | out in       | the nex    | ct mo<br>wás | nth, from wavailable? | hat source<br>(Circle as | s would many |
| •     | as apply)   | •            | •          | News         | paper ads .           |                          | . 899        |
|       |   | . `          |            | Radi         | .o                    |                          | . 384        |
| •     | •.  |              |            | TV           |                       | ·                        | . 367        |
|       | •   |              |            | Frie         | ands .                |                          | . 727        |
|       |   |              | 2          |              | paper artic           | ·1es                     | . 351        |
|       |   |              | **         |              | material .            |                          | . 119        |
| r     | •   |              | •          |              | ers and lea           |                          | 128          |
| ,     |   | •            | ٠.         |              |                       |                          |              |
|       |   | •. •         |            | Othe         | r (Specify)           |                          | . 103        |
| , .   | •   |              | 7          |              |                       |                          |              |
| •     | b. Which newspapers, if any,                                  | do you       | read re    | gular        | ·1y?                  | •                        |              |
|       |   | į            |            | •            | None                  |                          | 124          |
| ,     |   |              |            |              |                       |                          |              |
|       |   |              | •          | ·            |                       |                          |              |
| • *   |   |              |            |              |                       |                          |              |
|       |   |              |            |              |                       |                          | _            |
| ę     |   | •            |            |              | NI de accesso         | Not at all               | Don!+        |
|       | int   | Very erested | Somew      | nat<br>sted  | interested            | Not at all interested    | know         |
| . 7a. | How interested were you in                                    |              |            |              |                       |                          |              |
| 7     | live theater when you were growing up? Would you say          | . 286        | 41         | 5            | 478                   | 303                      | . 9          |
| ъ.    | How interested were your paren                                | its          |            |              |                       |                          |              |
| ٠.,   | in live theater when you were                                 |              |            |              |                       |                          |              |
|       | growing up? Would you say they were                           | • 135        | 24         | 5            | 477                   | 577                      | 56           |
| , c.  | How interested were you in                                    | ,            | •          |              | 1                     | , ,                      |              |
|       | classical music when you were                                 |              | , ,        | _            |                       |                          | • •          |
|       | growing up? Would you say                                     | . 233        | 38         | 6            | 394                   | 467                      | .11          |
| d.    | How interested were your parer                                |              | ,          |              |                       | •                        |              |
|       | in classical music when you we growing up? Would you say they |              |            |              |                       |                          |              |
|       | were  |              | 33         | 1            | <b>3</b> 56           | 567                      | 56           |
| 8.    | How much leisure time would you                               |              | ou have    | com          | pared to ot           | her people               | you          |
|       | know? Would you say you have                                  | • • •        | M          | uch r        | nore leisur           | e time,                  | . 227        |
|       |   |              | Á          | liti         | tle more .            | ,                        | - 275        |
|       |   |              |            |              | the same,             |                          |              |
|       |   |              |            |              | tle less, o           |                          |              |
|       |   |              |            |              | less?                 |                          |              |
|       |   |              |            |              |                       |                          |              |
|       |   | 3            | <i>L</i> _ | UTL' U       | ~1:0w · •             |                          |              |

ERIC

9. If you were to go to a live, professional play in the next month or two, how likely would it be that you would experience the following:

|              | now likely would it be blist yet well  |                |                    | • .               |                  |               |               |
|--------------|--|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
|              |  | Very<br>likely | Somewhat<br>likely | Somewhat unlikely | Very<br>unlikely | Don't<br>know | Not<br>asked  |
| a.           | You could get exactly the seats you wanted? Would it be  | . 95           | 185                | 99                | 66               | · 40          | 1006          |
| b.           | It would not take a long time to get from your home into the theater. Would it be                          |                | 180                | 100               | 51 °             | 24            | 1006          |
| c.           | You would feel comfortable with the audience?  |                | 180                | <b>5</b> 0        | 13               | 20            | 1006          |
| d.           | You would not find the play too long?  | . 121          | 175 .              | 90                | 29               | 70            | 1006          |
| е.           | You would feel personally involved with what was going on at the performance?                              | . 133          | 199                | 78                | 31               | 44            | . 1006        |
| f.           | You would find your friends there?   | 63             | 179                | 127               | 84               | 32            | 1006          |
| g.           | You would feel pleased that you were going long before the performance da                                  |                | 205                | 68                | 38               | 39            | 1006          |
| h.           | You would find the tickets inexpensive?  | 69             | 151                | 134               | 64               | 67            | 1006          |
| i.           | You would not feel that it was too formal an occasion?   | . 99           | 164                | 129               | 59               | 34            | 1006          |
| j.           | You would find the performers excellent?   | . 113          | 231                | 47 .              | 12               | 82            | 1006          |
| , k.         | You would not feel you'd spent too r<br>for the occasion, that is, for ticke<br>travel, food and the like? | ets,           | 168                | 120               | 44               | 49            | 100 <b>6</b>  |
| 1.           | You would like the play?   | . 188          | 202                | 36                | \$               | 54            | .100 <b>6</b> |
| m.           | You would feel you understood what was going on?   | . 218          | 211                | 24                | 6                | 26            | 1006          |
| n.           | You would find that those you were with were having a good time?   | . 179          | 218                | 32                | 14               | 42            | 1006          |
| ٥.           | You would learn a lot?   | . 156          | 215                | 63                | 12               | 39            | 1006          |
| p.           | You would not feel you were wasting your time?   |                | 186                | 73                | 39               | <b>3</b> 5    | 10 <b>06</b>  |
| ided by ERIC | You would feel stimulated?   | . 146          | 213]               | 14 68             | 16               | 42            | 1006          |

10. We've just talked about how likely it would be to experience certain things when going to a five play. If you were to go to a live, professional play in the next month or two, how important would it be that you would experience these same things? What about . . .

|    | these same things? What about .   | Yery<br>impor-<br>tant |     | Somewhat<br>unimpor-<br>tant | Very<br>unimpor-<br>tant | Neu-<br>tral | Don't<br>·know | Not<br>asked |
|----|---|------------------------|-----|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| a. | Being able to get exactly the seats you want? Would that be .                 | . 5                    | 148 | . 84                         | 17                       | 222          | 9              | 1006         |
| ъ. | Not taking a long time to get from home into the theater?                     | . 5                    | 89  | 149                          | 40                       | 192          | 10             | 1006         |
| с. | Feeling comfortable with the audience?  | . 6                    | 157 | 89                           | 13                       | 211          | 9              | 1006         |
| d. | Not finding the play too long? .  | . 5                    | 129 | 85                           | -23                      | 224          | 19             | 1006         |
| e. | Feeling personally involved in wigoling on at the performance?                |                        | 148 | 66                           | 12                       | 237          |                | 1006         |
| f. | Finding your friends there?   | . 5                    | 61  | 190                          | 48                       | 167          | 14             | 1006         |
| g. | Feeling pleased that you were going long before the performance day?          | e .                    | 113 | .93                          | 34                       | 224          | 17             | 1006         |
| h. | Finding the tickets inexpensive?  | . 7                    | 140 | . 84                         | 23                       | 213          | 18             | 1006         |
| i. | Not feeling that it was too forma an occasion?                                | al<br>. 3              | 82  | 141                          | 39                       | 200          | 20             | 1006         |
| j. | Finding the performers excellent  | ? 2                    | 222 | 23                           | 5                        | 216          | 17             | 1006         |
| k. | Not feeling that you had spent to much for tickets, travel, food at the like? | nd                     | 155 | . 81                         | 22                       | 210          | 15             | 1006         |
| 1. | Liking the play?  | . 1                    | 286 | 13                           | 2                        | 172          | 11             | 1006         |
| m. | Feeling you understood what was going on?                                     | . 0                    | 257 | 16                           | 1                        | 198          | 13             | 1006         |
| n. | Finding that those you were with were having a good time?                     |                        | 209 | 26                           | 4                        | 234          | 11             | 1006         |
| ٥. | Learning alot?  | . 2                    | 172 | 60                           | 9                        | 228          | 14             | 1006         |
| р. | Not feeling that you were wastin your time?                                   | g o                    | 227 | 35                           | 9                        | 201          | 13             | 1006         |
| q. | Feeling stimulated?   | . 3                    | 176 | 37                           | 12                       | 242          | 15             | 1006         |

11. If you were to go to a symphony concert in the next month or two, how likely would it be that you would experience the following:

|                                   |  |        |     | Somewhat unlikely | Very<br>unli <b>kely</b> |     | Not<br>asked |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------|-----|-------------------|--------------------------|-----|--------------|
| a.                                | You could get exactly the seats you wanted? Would it be  | . 88   | 150 | 93                | 86                       | 84  | 990          |
| b.                                | It would not take a long time to get from your home into the concert hall? Would it be                     | . 110  | 160 | 1 <del>2</del> 5  | 60                       | 46  | 990          |
| с.                                | You would feel comfortable with the audience?  | . 185  | 189 | 48                | 29                       | 50  | 990          |
| . d.                              | You would not find the concert too long?   | . 102  | 176 | 99                | 47                       | 77  | 990          |
| e.                                | You would feel personally involved with what was going on at the performance?                              | . *120 | 191 | 92                | 38                       | 60  | 990          |
| f.                                | You would find your friends there? .   | . 66   | 158 | 127               | 99                       | 51  | 990          |
| g.                                | You would feel pleased that you were going long before the performant day?                                 |        | 159 | 87                | 46                       | 53  | 990          |
| h.                                | You would find the tickets inexpension   | re? 53 | 150 | 124               | 65                       | 109 | 990          |
| i.                                | You would not feel that it was too formal an occasion?   | . 98   | 153 | 124               | 58                       | 68  | 990          |
| j.                                | You would find the performers excellent?   | . 153  | 190 | 32                | 19                       | 107 | 990          |
| k.                                | You would not feel you'd spent too much for the occasion, that is, for tickets, travel, food and the like? | . 118  | 163 | 97                | 51                       | 72  | 990 .        |
| 1.                                | You would like the program?  | . 160  | 192 | 41                | 29                       | 79  | 99 <b>0</b>  |
| m.                                | You would feel you understood what was going on?   | . 159  | 205 | 56                | 24                       | 57  | 990          |
| n.                                | You would find that those you were with were having a good time?   | . 158  | 208 | 41                | 28                       | 66  | 990          |
| ٥.                                | You would learn a lot?   | . 152  | 205 | 57                | 24                       | 63  | 990          |
| p.                                | You would not feel you were wasting your time?   | . 149  | 175 | 72                | 52                       | 53  | 990          |
| ERIC  *Full Text Provided by ERIC | You would feel stimulated?   | . 138  | 212 | . 48 15           | L 54                     | 69  | 990          |

12. We've just talked about how likely it would be to experience certain things when going to a symphony concert. If you were to go to a symphony concert in the next month or two, how important would it be that you would experience these same things? What about . . .

|      |  | Very<br>impor-<br>tant | Somewhat important | Somewhat<br>unimpor-<br>tant | Very<br>unimpor-<br>tant | Neu-<br>tral | Don't   | Not<br>asked |
|------|--|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| а.   | Being able to get exactly the seats you want? Would it be  | . 7                    | 137                | 116                          | 31                       | 179          | 31      | 990          |
| ь.   | Not taking a long time to get frome into the concert hall? Would it be                                     |                        | 100                | 136                          | 42                       | 188          | 30      | 990          |
|      | Feeling comfortable with the audience?   | . 8                    | 140                | . 84                         | 28                       | 210          | 31      | 990          |
| d.   | Not finding the concert too long?  | 10                     | 144                | 82                           | 27                       | 201          | 37      | 990          |
| e.\  | Feeling personally involved in what's going on at the performance  | e? 11                  | 124                | 77_                          | 18                       | 234          | . 37    | 990          |
| f.   | Finding your friends there?  | . 6                    | 72                 | 183                          | 64                       | 143          | 33      | 990          |
| g.   | Feeling pleased that you were goilong before the performance day?  |                        | 113                | 91                           | 43                       | 207          | 38      | 990          |
| h.   | Finding the tickets inexpensive?   | . 4                    | 157                | 85                           | 39                       | 179          | 37      | 990          |
| i.   | Not feeling that it was too forms an occasion?   |                        | <b>8</b> 8         | 143                          | 46 '                     | 181          | 38      | 990          |
| j.   | Finding the performers excellent?  | , 6                    | 220                | <b>36</b>                    | 11                       | 189          | 39<br>\ | 990<br>®     |
| k.   | Not feeling that you had spent to<br>much for the occasion, that is,<br>tickets, travel, food and the like | for _                  | 135                | 91                           | 27                       | 206          | 37      | 990          |
| · 1. | Liking the program?  | . 3                    | 267                | 24                           | 11                       | 160          | 36      | 990          |
| m.   | Feeling you understood what was going on?  |                        | 228                | 27                           | 9                        | 201          | 33      | 990          |
| n.   | Finding that those you were with were having a good time?  | . 2                    | 174                | 35                           | 11                       | 243          | 36      | 990          |
| ٥.   | Learning a lot?  | . 9                    | 155                | 64                           | 14                       | 226          | 33      | 990          |
| p.   | Not feeling that you were wastin your time?  | g<br>. 3               | 208                | 38                           | 13                       | 205          | 34      | \$<br>990    |
| ERIO | Feeling stimulated?  | . 5                    | 156                | 46                           | 11                       | 241          | 42      | 990 .        |

| 13a. | How<br>yea: | likely do you think you are to attend a symphony concert in the next or two? Would you say |     |
|------|-------------|--|-----|
|      |             | Very likelŷ  | 419 |
|      |             | Somewhat Tikely  | 641 |
|      |             | Not very likely, or (Skip to Q.21) . 2   | 248 |
| 1    |             |  | 181 |
|      | ь.          | About how much would you expect to pay for a ticket to asymphony orchestra concert? Mean:  | •   |
|      | *           | \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\  | 90  |
|      | c.          | How would you describe the quality of a typical symphony concert? Would you say it is      | ů   |
|      |             | Excellent,   | 2   |
|      |             | Good,  | 29  |
|      |             | Fair, or   | 209 |
|      |             | Poor?  | 130 |
|      |             | Don't know   | 61  |
|      |             | Not asked 10   | 060 |
|      | d.          | About how many minutes does it take to get from your home to  Mean:                        | ÷   |
|      | •           | 49.18 minutes  |     |
|      |             | Dont' know   | 11  |



Now I'm going to suggest some different kinds of symphony offerings for you to react to. Somewhat Much Not more As Less more often often often often DK 14a. Suppose that next year unsold tickets for performances of the Symphony could be obtained at regular ticket outlets for 50% off on the day of the performance. The seats usually would not be as good as those bought in advance. Would you go much more often, somewhat more often, as often, or less often than you do now? . . . . . 56 181 151 30 13 1060 b. Suppose that symphony performances were given five times a year in a location nearer your home. The performing space wouldn't be as nice as but the prices would be 20% lower. Would you go much more often, somewhat more often, as often, or less often than you do now? 164 149 50 11 1060 15. Now, would you go much more often, somewhat more often, or less often than you do now . . . (a) If tickets could be purchased by telephone and charged to a national or department store credit card? . . 105 224 33 15 1060 If there was a short introductory talk about the music by the conductor before 16 the performance? . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 66 141 192 16 1060 If after purchasing one ticket at regular price you could get a second ticket at 50% off? 174 108 15 1060 (d) If you knew that people were dressing more informally at the concert? . . . . . . 50 234 19 116 12 1060 If guest conductors and famous soloists appeared with the orchestra more 200 126 1060

| 16-                   | De very grandelly subsemile to semise ticket  | e for symphony performances?                    |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| loa.                  | Do you currently subscribe to series ticket   | Yes (Skip to Q. 16c) 31                         |
|                       |   | No 400  |
| •                     |   | Not asked 1060                                  |
| `                     |   | •   |
| \<br>\<br>\<br>\<br>\ | b. If you could get series tickets guarant symphony performances, would you purchawas a (Repeat until "Yes", then | ise such a series ir there                      |
|                       | 109   | discount? Yes 123                               |
|                       | 20%   | discount? Yes 42                                |
|                       | 303   | discount? Yes 57                                |
|                       |   | No 174  |
|                       | •   | DK 4  |
|                       | c. If you could get series tickets which g  | Not asked 1091 waranteed good seats for several |
|                       | symphony performances but there was no purchase such a series ticket?   | price discount, would you                       |
|                       |   | Yes 127   |
|                       |   | No 202  |
|                       |   | Don't know 102                                  |
|                       |   | Not asked 1060                                  |
| 17a.                  | Would you attend more symphony concerts the ticket prices were (Repeat until "Ye                                  | an you do now if individual es", then circle)   |
|                       |   | Reduced by \$1? Yes 122                         |
|                       | •   | Reduced by \$2? Yes 65                          |
|                       |   | Reduced by \$3? Yes 79                          |
|                       |   | No 158  |
| . •                   | •   | DK 7<br>Not asked 1060                          |
| •:                    | b. Would you attend <u>fewer</u> concerts than you ticket prices were (Repeat unt                                 | ou do now if individual                         |
|                       | <del>-</del>  | Increased by \$1? Yes 120                       |
|                       |   | Increased by \$2? Yes 65                        |
|                       | •   | Increased by \$3? Yes 44                        |
|                       |   | No 200  |
|                       |   | DK 2  |
|                       |   | Not asked 1060                                  |



Not asked

18. If the symphony concerts were to include more of the following kinds of music, would you be likely to go much more often, somewhat more often, as often or less often than you do now? What about . . .

|     |            |  | Much<br>more<br>often | Somewhat<br>more<br>often | As<br>often  | Less<br>often   |                 |   |
|-----|------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
|     | a.         | Symphonies by classical composers like Mozart and Beethoven  | . 68                  | 144                       | 163          | 42              | 14              |   |
|     | ь.         | Symphonies by romantic composers like Brahms: and Tchaikovsky  | . 58                  | 152                       | 155          | 50              | 16              |   |
|     | c.         | Music by contemporary composers like Stravinsky  | . 44                  | 110                       | 165          | 81              | 31              |   |
|     | d.         | Concertos with soloists  | . 45                  | 119                       | 162          | 83              | 22              |   |
|     | e.         | Choral music   | . 51                  | 109                       | 139          | 115             | 17              |   |
| 19. | Of         | these five types of music, which is you  | r most                | favorite?                 | (Rea         | <u>a)</u>       |                 |   |
|     |            | Ci   | assical               | symphoni                  | e <b>s</b> , |                 |                 |   |
|     |            |  |                       | symphonie                 |              |                 |                 |   |
|     |            | Co   | ntempor               | ary class                 | ical m       | usic,.          |                 |   |
|     |            | Co   | ncertos               | ,                         |              |                 |                 |   |
|     |            | Ch   | oral mu               | sic, or.                  |              |                 |                 |   |
|     |            | -  | me othe<br>pecify)    | er type of                | music        | ?               | 28              |   |
|     |            | Do   | n't kno               | w (Skip t                 | o Q.21       | )               | . 22<br>ed 1060 |   |
| 20. | dur<br>les | 's suppose that your favorite kind of meing the year, but ticket prices were rass frequently than you do now if ticket epeat until "Yes", then circle) | išed.                 | monta Aon                 | go to        | re oft<br>the s | en<br>ymphony   | ĸ |
|     |            |  | Incr                  | eased by                  | \$1? Y       | es              | . 126           | , |
|     |            |  | Incr                  | reased by                 | \$2? Y       | es              | ., 42           |   |
|     |            |  | Incr                  | reased by                 | \$3? Y       | es              | . 51            |   |
|     |            |  |                       |                           | N            | · ·             | . 191           |   |
|     |            |  |                       |                           | No           | ı<br>ot aske    | oK 2<br>ed 1079 |   |



21. Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements.

|              | d.r 295 | ILES WITH THE TOTIONT'S aggressions  |     |                 |               | 1        |                   |            |
|--------------|---------|--|-----|-----------------|---------------|----------|-------------------|------------|
|              |         |  | St  | rongly<br>agree | Agre <b>e</b> | Disagree | Strongly disagree | DK         |
|              | (1)     | I have more spare time than I need   | •   | 66              | 260           | 788      | 374               | 3          |
| ,            | (2)     | If cultural organizations cannot pay their own way, they should go out of business   | •   | 32              | 375           | 881      | 152               | 51         |
|              | (3)     | I like to attend sporting events   | •   | . 407           | 811           | 232      | 37                | 4          |
|              | (4)     | I have somewhat old fashioned tastes and habits  | •   | 161             | 866           | 404      | 48                | . 12       |
|              | (5)     | I like to be considered a leader   | •   | 119             | 728           | 607      | 25                | 12         |
|              | (6)     | Many of my friends are interested in the theater   |     | 38              | 476           | 838      | 119               | 20         |
|              | (7)     | I wish I could leave my present life and do something entirely different   | •   | 87              | 398           | 813      | 175               | 18         |
|              | (8)     | Our family is a close knit group   | •   | 385             | 957           | 118      | 26                | 5"         |
|              | (9)     | My days seem to follow a definite routineeating meals at the same time each day, etc   | • • | 130             | 646           | 596      | 115               | 4          |
|              | (10)    | Shopping is no fun   |     | 99              | 446           | 791      | . 147             | <b>'</b> 8 |
|              | (11)    | The schools in this area provide adequate opportunity for children to participate in the arts and cultural activities.   | 9   |                 | 790           | 338      | 76                | 209        |
|              | (12)    | I often wish for the good old days .   |     | 125             | 556           | 731      | 68                | 11         |
|              | (13)    | I usually know which plays are being performed around here   |     | , <b>43</b> ,   | 580           | 767      | 97                | 4          |
|              | (14)    | I work under a great deal of pressure most of the time   | • • | 94              | 493           | 808      | 94                | 2          |
|              | (15)    | I watch TV in order to quietly relax   |     | 119             | 768           | 541      | 58                | 5          |
|              | (16)    | Everything is changing too fast these  | day | /s 109          | 660           | 667      | 36                | 19         |
|              | (17)    | People tell me I am good looking   | •   | . 66            | 878           | 508      | 19                | 20         |
|              | (18)    | Every home with children should have a complete set of encyclopedias   | •   | . 255           | 1059          | 163      | 5                 | 9          |
|              | (19)    | A woman's place is in the home   |     | . ,98           | 486           | 749      | 13 <b>3</b>       | 25         |
| \"<br>\<br>2 | (20)    | I enjoy jazz music   | 57  | • 157           | 864           | 432      | 34                | 4 -        |
|              |         | and the second s |     |                 |               |          |                   |            |

|      | ·   |                   |              |             |                   | 0   |
|------|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|-----|
| ı    |   | Strongly<br>agree | Agree        | Disagree    | Strongly disagree | DK  |
| (21) | I'd rather read a good book than a newspaper                                  | . 168             | 706          | 581         | 20                | 16  |
| (22) | American made is the best made  | . 95              | 782          | 552         | 19                | 43  |
| (23) | The father should be the boss in the house                                    | . 160             | 770          | 495         | 51                | 15  |
| (24) | I enjoy many foreign films  | . 26              | 5 <u>1</u> 1 | 884         | 58                | 1.2 |
| (25) | I am interested in the cultures of other countries                            | . 131             | 1021         | 312         | 21                | 6   |
| (26) | I will probably have more money to spend next year than I do now              | . 118             | 839          | 454         | 40                | 40  |
| (27) | People who are important to me think I should go to classical symphony concer | ets 14            | 219          | · 1064      | 182               | 12  |
| (28) | Most of my friends have graduated from college                                | . 71              | 693          | 665         | 49                | 13  |
| (29) | I will probably move at least once in the next five years                     | . 127             | 689          | 572         | 81                | 22  |
| (30) | I would like to take a trip around the world                                  | . 373             | 739          | 345         | 33                | 1   |
| (31) | I'd pay extra for high quality television programming                         | . 107             | 825          | 515         | 33                | 11  |
| (32) | Children are the most important thing in a marriage                           | . 95              | 567          | 745         | 67                | 17  |
| (33) | My greatest achievements are ahead of me                                      | e 162             | 949          | 346         | 10                | 24  |
| (34) | We have more to spend on extras than most of our neighbors                    | . 29              | 491          | 880·        | 46                | 45  |
| (35) | On a vacation, I just want to rest and relax                                  | . 126 ·           | 741          | 582         | 39                | 3   |
| (36) | The arts are more important to me than to most other people                   | . 55              | 435          | 947         | 49                | 5   |
| (37) | I am the kind of person who would try anything once                           | . 114             | 725          | <b>59</b> 6 | 41                | 15  |
|      |   |                   |              |             |                   |     |



|  | Very likely,  |
|--|---|
| ·  | Somewhat likely,                                      |
|  | Not very likely, or (Skip to Q.30) .                  |
| ·  | Not at all likely? (Skip to Q.30)                     |
|  | DK  |
| b. About how much would you performance at       | expect to pay for a ticket to a theater ? Mean:       |
| v  | \$ 7.67   |
|  |   |
|  | Don't know  |
|  | Don't know  |
| c. How would you describe th Would you say it is | e quality of a typical performance there?             |
| c. How would you describe th Would you say it is | e quality of a typical performance there?             |
| c. How would you describe th Would you say it is | e quality of a typical performance there?             |
| c. How would you describe th Would you say it is | e quality of a typical performance there?  Excellent, |
| c. How would you describe th Would you say it is | e quality of a typical performance there?  Excellent, |
| c. How would you describe th Would you say it is | e quality of a typical performance there?  Excellent, |
| Would you say it is                              | Excellent,  |



Now I'm going to suggest some different kinds of theater offerings for you to react to.

|           |                               | !  |                       |                           |              | ÷ /    |           |             |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|-----------|-------------|
|           |                               |  | Much<br>more<br>often | Somewhat<br>more<br>often | As.<br>often |        | <u>DK</u> | Not<br>aske |
| 23a.      | perfo<br>outle<br>The s       | ose that next year unsold tickets for theater primances could be obtained at regular ticket ets for 50% off on the day of the performance seats usually would not be as good as those at in advance. Would you go much more often, | •                     | <b></b>                   |              | •      |           |             |
| <b>b.</b> | you d<br>Supportimes<br>perfo | what more often, as often or less often than do now?   | . 104                 | 243                       | 250          | 55     | 5         | 834         |
|           | much                          | more often, somewhat more often, as often, ess often than you do now?  | - 75                  | 224                       | 289          | 62 · . | 7 -       | 834         |
| 24.       |                               | would you go more often, as often, or less o you do now  | ften                  |                           |              |        | •         | •           |
|           | (a)                           | If theater tickets could be purchased by telephone and charged to a national or department store credit card?  | - 76                  | 150                       | <b>3</b> 60  | 69 /   | 2         | 834         |
|           | (b)                           | If there was a short discussion of the play by the director after the performance?   | . 51                  | 171                       | 376          | 52     | 7         | 834         |
|           | (c)                           | price you could get a second ticket at 50%   | . 142                 | 302                       | 190          | 15     | 8         | 834         |
|           | (d)                           | If you knew that people were dressing more informally at the theater?  | . 65                  | 149                       | 413          | 26     | 4         | 834         |
|           | (e)                           | If famous actors and actresses appeared with the company more frequently?  | . 145                 | 279                       | 215          | 16     | 2         | 834         |



| 25a. 4 | Do y | ou currently s                                    | ubscribe to seri  | es tickets   | for                | the theat              | er?   |                          |                |                                 |
|--------|------|---|---|--------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
|        |      |   |   |              |                    | (Skip to               |       | c) .                     |                | 46                              |
|        |      |   | •   |              | No                 |                        |       | • • •<br>•               | DK             | 610                             |
| 1      | b.   | plays would you                                   | et series ticket<br>u purchase such<br>"Yes", then circ | a series if  | eing<br>E the      | good seat<br>ere was a |       | Not as<br>sever          |                | 834                             |
|        |      | •   | •   |              | 10%                | discount?              | Yes   |                          |                | 185                             |
| •      |      | ú   | ,   |              | 20%                | discount?              | Yes   |                          |                | 58                              |
|        |      | •   |   |              | 30%                | discount?              | Yes   | •. •                     |                | 92                              |
|        |      |   |   | •            |                    |                        | No    | · · ·                    | DK             | 275<br>.5                       |
| (      | c.   | plays but ther                                    | et series ticket<br>e was no price d                    | s which gua  | arani<br>ould      | teed good<br>you purch | seats | Not as<br>for s<br>uch a | evera          | <b>8</b> 76<br>.1<br>: <b>s</b> |
|        |      | ticket?   |   |              |                    | Yes                    |       |                          |                | 178                             |
|        |      | v .   | ь   |              |                    | No                     |       |                          |                | 309                             |
|        |      |   | ·   |              |                    | Don't kn               |       | <br>ot ask               | <br>ed         | 170<br>834                      |
|        |      |   | ore plays than y  | rou do now i | if <sub>/</sub> t: | icket pric             | es we | re                       | •              |                                 |
|        | •    | •   |   |              | Red                | uced by \$1            | ? Ye  | s                        |                | 151                             |
|        |      |   |   | ħ            | Red                | uced by \$2            | 2? Ye | s                        |                | 89                              |
|        |      |   |   |              | Red                | uced by \$3            | 3? Ye | s                        |                | 130                             |
| ā      |      |   | a   |              |                    |                        | No    | • •                      | DK             | <b>28</b> 1                     |
|        | b.   | Would you atte                                    | end fewer plays then circ                               | than you do  | now                | if ticket              | pric  |                          | asked<br>e     |                                 |
|        |      | , a. a. p. a. |   | -            | Inc                | reased by              | \$1?  | Yes .                    | • • "          | 145                             |
|        |      |   |   |              |                    | reased by              |       | Yes .                    |                | 77                              |
|        |      | •   | r   | •            |                    | reased by              |       | Yes .                    |                | 73                              |
| •      |      | _   |   |              |                    | ·                      |       | No .                     | <br>DK         | 358<br>4                        |
|        |      | •   |   |              |                    |                        |       | Not                      | ask <b>e</b> d | 834                             |

If the theater offerings were to include more of the following kinds of plays, would you be likely to go much more often, somewhat more often, as often, or less often than you do now? How about . . .

|     | less      | s often than you do now? How about  | Much<br>more<br>often                           | more       | As<br>often | Less<br>often   | Don't<br><u>know</u>  | Not<br><u>ask<b>ed</b></u> |
|-----|-----------|---|---|------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| •   | a.        | Musical comedies like "South Pacific" or "Show Boat" $2_r$                                | . 173   | 229.       | 165         | 79              | 11                    | 834                        |
|     | b.        | Classical plays like "Hamlet" or "Macbeth"?   | . 76  | 150        | 205         | 214             | 12                    | 834                        |
|     | c.        | Well known American dramas like "Death of a Salesman" or "A Streetcar Named Desire"?      | • 114   | 244        | 201         | 86              | 12                    | 834                        |
|     | d.        | Modern comedies like "The Sunshine Boys"?   | . 126   | 234        | 187         | 94              | 13                    | 834                        |
|     | e.        | Original plays that have never been done before?  | . 70  | 165        | 224         | 171             | 26                    | 834                        |
| ?8. | Of<br>(Re | Musical comes Classical pla Well-known A Modern comed Original pla Some other t (Specify) | dies,.  ays, .  mericar  ies, .  ys, or  ype of | dramas,    | fferir      |                 | · 59 · 120 · 113 · 61 |                            |
| 29. | Let       | Don't know (  | v were  | presented  | N<br>I more | ot ask<br>often | ed 834                |                            |
|     | the       | e year, but ticket prices were raised. Wo equently than you do now if prices were.        | · ·   | u go to ti | 10 01100    |                 |                       | •                          |
|     |           |   |   | reased by  |             |                 |                       |                            |
|     |           |   |   | reased by  |             |                 |                       |                            |
|     |           |   | Inc   | reased by  | \$3?        | Yes .           |                       |                            |
|     |           |   |   |            | ]           |                 | DK 0                  | _                          |
| 3   |           | -   | 16  | , -<br>%   |             | ot ask          |                       |                            |

30. For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree.

|      |   | St  | rongly<br>agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't<br>know |
|------|---|-----|-----------------|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|
| (1)  | Television is my primary source of entertainment. Do you                          | •   | 126             | 478   | 676      | 193               | 18            |
| (2)  | A college education is very important for success in today's world. Do you .      |     | 320             | 747   | 358      | 33                | 33            |
| (3)  | I would rather spend a quiet evening at home than go to a party                   | •   | 107             | 736   | 533      | 55                | 60            |
| (4)  | I like adventure movies   | •   | 111             | 1104  | 243      | 11                | 22            |
| (5)  | I am more self-confident than most of my friends are                              | •   | 94              | 779   | 535      | 12                | 71            |
| (6)  | Most of the arts and cultural activities in this area are not for someone like me |     | 35              | 461   | 869      | 54                | 72            |
| (7)  | I am a home body  |     | 91              | 710   | 617      | 56                | 17            |
| (8)  | On a job, security is more important than money                                   |     | 74              | 868   | 423      | 36                | 90            |
| (9)  | My major hobby is my family   |     | 127             | 848   | 467      | 21                | 28            |
| (10) | I pretty much spend for today and let tomorrow take care of itself                |     | 65              | 540   | 792      | <b>7</b> 5        | 19            |
| (11) | I do more things socially than most of my friends do                              |     | 32              | 450   | 936      | 46                | 27            |
| (12) | I dread the future  |     | 20              | 136   | 1080     | 226               | 29            |
| (13) | I like to read nonfiction books   |     | 73              | 956   | 413      | 25                | 24            |
| (14) | Many of my friends are interested in symphony concerts                            |     | 19              | 312   | 930      | 176               | 54            |
| (15) | I don't often listen to the radio   |     | 38              | 268   | 925      | 245               | 15            |
| (16) | I usually know which symphony concerts are being performed around here            |     | 31              | 408   | 847      | 187               | 18            |
| (17) | I am usually among the first to try new products                                  |     | 29              | 548   | 839      | 42                | 33            |
| (18) | People who are important to me think I should go to live plays                    | • • | . 19            | 267   | 1031     | 125               | 49            |



.-133-

|      |   | St     | rongly<br>agree |      | Disagree | Strongly disagree |    |
|------|---|--------|-----------------|------|----------|-------------------|----|
| (19) | I like to feel attractive to <b>people</b> of the opposite sex            | •      | 213             | 1047 | 191      | 7                 | 33 |
| (20) | I can't see myself going to an opera .                                    | •      | 93              | 507  | 797      | 75                | 19 |
| (21) | I often seek out the advice of my friends regarding brands and products . | •      | 38              | 861  | 551      | 22                | 19 |
| (22) | I would rather live near a big city that in or near a small town          | n<br>• | 92              | 673  | -614     | 69                | 43 |
| (23) | My friends and neighbors often come to me for advice                      |        | 91              | 952  | 423      | 6                 | 19 |
| (24) | I glance at most of the pages of the daily newspaper                      | •      | 138             | 1050 | 260      | 30                | 13 |
| (25) | A drink or two at the end of a long day is a good way to relax            | •      | 50              | 461  | 795      | 151               | 34 |
| (26) | If I had my life to live over I would do things differently               | •      | 81              | 607  | 697      | 75                | 31 |
| (27) | I want to look a little different from others                             |        | 63              | 921  | 460      | 12                | 35 |
| (28) | I go to some movies to see certain actors or actresses                    |        | 51              | 834  | 544      | 39                | 23 |
| (29) | I think Women's Liberation is a good thing                                | •      | 80              | 766  | 479      | 78                | 88 |
| (30) | I would like to spend a year in London or Paris                           |        | 223             | 566  | 603      | 66                | 33 |
| (31) | I like to eat   | •      | 402             | 943  | 128      | 1 .               | 17 |
| (32) | I don't like to take chances  | •      | 63              | 695  | 661      | 48                | 24 |
| (33) | I would be content to live in the same town the rest of my life           |        | 90              | 784  | 496      | <b>.</b> 88       | 33 |

| many are man | dren under the age of 14 under 6 years of age? 5 to 13 years? les does your household of st grade or year of school None | Yes  No (Skip to   | Q.33) . Mean:  | DK/NA<br>0.32<br>0.43<br>DK/NA<br>1.80  | 650<br>840<br>1   |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| many are man | ander 6 years of age?  5 to 13 years?  les does your household of st grade or year of school None                        | Yes  No (Skip to   | Q.33) . Mean:  | DK/NA<br>0.32<br>0.43<br>DK/NA<br>1.80  | 840<br>1  |
| many are (   | to 13 years?  les does your household of st grade or year of school  None  | No (Skip to  | Mean:  | DK/NA<br>0.32<br>0.43<br>DK/NA<br>1.80  | 840<br>1  |
| many are (   | to 13 years?  les does your household of st grade or year of school  None  | wn?  | Mean:  | DK/NA<br>0.32<br>0.43<br>DK/NA<br>1.80  | i   |
| many are (   | to 13 years?  les does your household of st grade or year of school  None  | own?   |  | 0.43<br>DK/NA<br>1.80   | . 1 <b>2</b>  |
| y automobi   | les does your household of st grade or year of school None   | own?   | completed  | DK/NA<br>1.80   | 12  |
|  | st grade or year of school  None   | ol you have o  | completed  |   |   |
|  | st grade or year of school  None   | ol you have o  | completed  |   |   |
| the highe  | None   |  | completed:   | ?   |   |
| the nighe  | None   |  |  |   |   |
|  | Elementary   |  |  |   |   |
|  | <u>-</u>   |  |  | • • •   |   |
|  |  |  |  |   | . t   |
|  | High school  |  |  |   |   |
|  | College  |  |  |   |   |
|  | Some graduate school   |  |  |   |   |
|  | Graduate or professional   | l degree .   |  | • • •   | DK  |
|  |  |  |  | Not ask   | ed  |
| u presently  | ·  |  |  |   |   |
|  | •  |  |  |   |   |
|  |  |  |  |   |   |
|  | _ ,  |  |  |   |   |
|  |  |  |  |   |   |
|  | Not usually employed? (  | Skip to Q.36   | 3)   |   |   |
|  | Keeping house/homemaker  | (Skip to Q.  | 36)  |   |   |
|  | Other (Specify) (Skip t  | o Q. 36)   |  |   |   |
|  | •  |  |  | DK  | /NA   |
| ?  | etired" or   | Employed part-time,  Temporarily out of work  Retired, or  Not usually employed? (  Keeping house/homemaker  Other (Specify) (Skip t | Employed full-time,  Employed part-time,  Temporarily out of work,  Retired, or  Not usually employed? (Skip to Q. 36)  Keeping house/homemaker (Skip to Q. 0ther (Specify) (Skip to Q. 36). | Employed full-time,  Employed part-time,  Temporarily out of work,  Retired, or  Not usually employed? (Skip to Q. 36)  Keeping house/homemaker (Skip to Q. 36)  Other (Specify) (Skip to Q. 36)  etired" or "Temporarily out of work" ask about last occur | Employed full-time,  Employed part-time,  Temporarily out of work,  Retired, or  Not usually employed? (Skip to Q. 36)  Keeping house/homemaker (Skip to Q. 36)  Other (Specify) (Skip to Q. 36)  DK  etired" or "Temporarily out of work" ask about last occupation) |

| 36. | Are | you | the | head of | this | household | ? |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|-----------|---|
|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|-----------|---|

|     |                              | Yes (Skip to Q.39a) 719   |          |
|-----|------------------------------|---|----------|
|     |                              | No  |          |
|     |                              | DK/NA 1   |          |
|     | to a decale had              |   |          |
| 37. | what is the high household?  | hest grade or year of school completed by the head of this      | *2       |
|     |                              | None  | 0        |
|     |                              | Elementary  | ,52      |
|     | •                            | High school   | 395      |
|     |                              | College   | 279      |
|     |                              | Some graduate school  | 25       |
|     |                              | Craduate or professional degree                                 | 20       |
|     | ن                            | DK Not asked  | 719      |
| 7 Q | To the head of               | the household presently   | , 20     |
| JU. | 13 the head of               | Employed full-time,   | 628      |
|     |                              | Employed part-time,   | 19       |
|     |                              | Temporarily out of work,  | 14       |
|     | y ,                          | Retired, or   | 69       |
|     | <u> </u>                     | Not usually, employed? (Skip to Q.39a)                          | 20       |
|     |                              | Keeping house/homemaker (Skip to Q.39a)                         | 11       |
|     | •                            | Other (Specify) (Skip to Q.39a)                                 | 4        |
|     |                              | DK Not asked  | 7<br>719 |
|     |                              | NOT ASKOD   | , 13     |
|     | (If "Retired" o              | or "Temporarily out of work" ask about last occupation.)        |          |
|     |                              | as) the main occupation or job title of the head of the househo | old?     |
|     | b. What is (wa               |   | ,        |
|     |                              |   |          |
|     | c. What kind o               | of work does (did) he/she do, that is, what are (were) his/her  | <b>:</b> |
|     | duties on t                  | :his job?   |          |
|     | (3)                          |   |          |
|     | I To the second              | pe of business or industry is (was) this, that is, what produc  | :t       |
|     | d. In what type is (was) mag | ade or what service is (was) given?                             | •        |
|     | , ,                          |   |          |



| 39a. What is the highest grade or year of school | your father completed?                |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| None   |                                       |
| Elementary                                       | ` 254                                 |
| High school                                      |                                       |
| College  |                                       |
| Some graduate school                             | 16                                    |
| Graduate or professional de                      | gree 63                               |
| Father is head                                   |                                       |
| Don't know                                       |                                       |
| Not applicable                                   |                                       |
| b. What is the highest grade or year of scho     | ol your mother completed?             |
| None   |                                       |
| Elementary                                       | 208                                   |
| High school                                      |                                       |
| College  |                                       |
| Some graduate school                             |                                       |
| Graduate or professional de                      |                                       |
| Mother is head                                   |                                       |
| Don't know                                       |                                       |
| Not applicable                                   | j                                     |
| 40a. What is your marital status? Are you        |                                       |
|  | rried, 859                            |
| Se   | eparated, (Skip to Q.41) 4            |
| Di   | vorced, (Skip to Q.41) 88             |
| Wi   | dowed, or ( <i>Skip to Q.41</i> ) 124 |
|  | ever married? (Skip to Q.41) . 37     |
| b. Is your spouse employed?                      | •                                     |
|  | Yes 620                               |
|  | No 23-                                |
|  | Not asked 63                          |

| 41.                             | What is your racial back not understand. | cground? (Don't read categories unless "R" does  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
|                                 |  | White/Caucasian  |
|                                 | 1  | Black/Negro/African-American 302   |
|                                 | ••                                       | Oriental/Asian-Américan 4  |
|                                 |  | Mexican-American/Puerto-Rican/Latin American. 4  |
|                                 |  | American Indian/Native-American 6  |
|                                 |  | Other (Specify)  |
|                                 |  | NA 29  |
| 42.                             | everyone in your househ                  | income from employment and from all other sources of old, was your total household income before taxes last tuntil "No" then circle) |
|                                 |  | More than \$7,000? No  |
|                                 |  | More than \$10,000? No   |
| ***                             |  | More than \$12,000? No   |
|                                 |  | More than \$15,000? No   |
| 0                               | )  | More than \$20,000? No   |
| ,                               | 1  | More than \$25,000? No   |
| ,                               | · ·                                      | More than \$50,000? No 101   |
|                                 |  | Yes 19   |
| _                               | `,                                       | Don't know   |
|                                 | •  | Would not state income   |
|                                 | c .                                      |  |
|                                 | IT \                                     | ANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.  |
| Time                            | interview ended                          | []AM<br>[]PM   |
| •                               | e.                                       | Mean:<br>#14 or over <u>2.27</u><br>M 1155 <sub>NA 1</sub>   |
|                                 |  | Head: Sex <u>F</u> 335 NA 1  |
|                                 | *  | Mean:<br>Age <u>36.</u> 00   |
| •                               | •  | R: Sex   |
|                                 |  | Age  |
|                                 |  | Coder  |
| ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC | n .                                      | . 163 K.P. —   |

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Andreasen, Alan R. "Potential Marketing Applications of Longitudinal Methods," in Peter D. Bennett, ed., Marketing and Economic Development. Chicago: American Marketing Association, 1965, 261-75.
- 2. Baumol, William and Hilda Baumol. Last Minute Discounts on Unsold Tickets: A Study of TKTS. New York: Theater Development Fund, 1974.
- 3. Burger, Philip C. and Barbara Schott. "Can Private Brand Buyers Be Identified?" Journal of Marketing Research, 9 (May 1972), 219-22.
- 4. Darden, William R. and Fred D. Reynolds. "Predicting Opinion Leadership for Men's Apparel Fashions," Journal of Marketing Research, 9 (August 1972), 324-28.
- 5. DiMaggio, Paul, Michael Useem, and Paula Brown. The American Arts Audience: Its Study and Its Character. Cambridge, Mass.: Center for the Study of Public Policy, 1977.
- 6. Fishbein, Martin and Icek Ajzen. Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1975.
- 7. Frank, Ronald, William Massy, and Yoram Wind. Market Segmentation. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1972.
- 8. Good, Walter S. and Ho Suchsland. "Consumer Life Styles and Their Relationships to Market Behavior Regarding Household Furniture,"

  Michigan State University Research Bulletin, No. 26 (1970).
- 9. Hughes, G. David. "Distinguishing Salience and Valence." Paper presented at the Attitude Research Workshop, University of Illinois, 1970.
- 10. King, Charles W. and George B. Sproles. "The Explanatory Efficiency of Selected Types of Consumer Profile Variables in Fashion Change Agent Identification." Paper No. 475, Institute for Research in the Behavioral, Economic, and Management Sciences, Krannert Graduate School of Industrial Administration, Purdue University, 1973.



-138-

- 11. Lansing, John B. and Leslie Kish. "Family Life Cycle as an Independent Variable," American Sociological Review, 22 (October 1957), 512-19.
- 12. Lehmann, Donald K. "Television Show Preference: Application of a Choice Model," Journal of Marketing Research, 8 (February 1971), 47-55.
- 13. Eric Marder Associates, Inc. The Finances of the Performing Arts. New York: Ford Foundation, 1974.
- 14. May, Eleanor G. "Psychographics in Department Store Imagery."
  Working paper P-65, Marketing Science Institute, Cambridge, Mass.,
  1971.
- 15. McCarthy, E. Jerome. Basic Marketing: A Managerial Approach. (4th ed.) Homewood, Ill.: Irwin, 1971
- 16. National Endowment for the Arts. Research Division Program Solicitation, February 4, 1976.
- 17. National Research Center of the Arts, Inc. Americans and the Arts. New York: National Committee for Cultural Resources, 1976.
- 18. Newman, Danny. Subscribe Now! New York: Theater Communications Group, 1977.
- 19. Nie, Norman et al. SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. (2nd ed.) New York: McGraw-Hill, 1975.
- 20. Pessemier, Edgar A. and Douglas J. Tigert. "A Taxonomy of Magazine Readership Applied to Problems in Marketing Strategy and Media Selection." Institute Paper No. 195, Institute for Research in the Behavioral, Economic, and Management Sciences, Krannert Graduate School of Industrial Administration, Purdue University, 1967.
- 21. Plummer, Joseph T. "Life Style Patterns and Commercial Bank Credit Card Usage," Journal of Marketing, 35 (April 1971), 35-41.
- 22. ——. "Life Style Patterns: A New Constraint for Mass Communication Research," Journal of Broadcasting, 16 (Winter 1971-72), 79-89.
- Rosenberg, Milton J. "Cognitive Structure and Attitudinal Affect," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 53 (November 1956), 367-72.

