

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 227 096

SP 022 071

TITLE Public Hearing on Science, Mathematics and Technology Education (Stanford, California).

INSTITUTION National Commission on Excellence in Education (ED), Washington, DC.

SPONS AGENCY Department of Education, Washington, DC.

PUB DATE 11 Mar 82

NOTE 299p.; Published by Williams & Borgarding Reporting.

PUB TYPE Legal/Legislative/Regulatory Materials (090) -- Viewpoints (120) -- Collected Works - Conference Proceedings (021)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC12 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Demonstration Programs; *Educational Assessment; *Educational Quality; Elementary Secondary Education; Futures (of Society); Innovation; *Mathematics Education; Public Schools; *Science Education; Social Change; *Technical Education; Technological Literacy

IDENTIFIERS *National Commission on Excellence in Education

ABSTRACT

This document presents a transcript of the hearing on science, mathematics, and technology education in the public schools, held by the National Commission on Excellence in Education at Stanford University, March 11, 1982. National perspectives on the topic were given by representatives from the National Academy of Sciences, the Council of Scientific Society Presidents, the National Science Teachers Association, the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, and a technical consultant from the Hewlett-Packard Company. Following the statements of these panelists, questions and comments were elicited from Commission members and other members of the panel. Opportunity was provided for statements from individual educators on problems and programs in science, mathematics, and technology in which they were currently involved. General testimony was received from members of the audience about existing programs that represent excellent examples of educational practices. (JD)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED227096

NATIONAL COMMISSION ON EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent the official
position or policy.

PUBLIC HEARING

on

SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS AND TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION

Tresidder Student Union
Room 281
Stanford University
Stanford, California

March 11, 1982
8:30 a.m.

SP 022 071

C O N T E N T S

		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1		
2	<u>OPENING REMARKS</u>	
3	Donald Kennedy	3
4	Glenn T. Seaborg	7
5	<u>NATIONAL PERSPECTIVES</u>	
6	H. Guyford Stever	15
7	Bernard M. Oliver	27
8	Henry L. Adler	38
9	Sarah E. Klein	55
10	Harold D. Taylor	65
11	DISCUSSION	80
12	LUNCHEON RECESS	117
13	<u>PROGRAMS AND PERSPECTIVES</u>	
14	John Martin	120
15	Ruth Willis	127
16	Sam Dederian	133
17	LeRoy Finkel	144
18	Robert C. Bell	150
19	Olivia Martinez	156
20	Judith Hubner	163
21	Robert W. Walker	169
22	Nancy Kreinberg	175
23	Robert Finnell	181
24	Marian E. Koshland	187
25	Alan M. Portis	193

	<u>PROGRAMS AND PERSPECTIVES (Continued)</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1		
2	Leon Henkin	198
3	John Pawson	203
4	Alan Fibish	210
5	Juliet R. Henry	215
6	Jess Bravin	221
7	<u>GENERAL TESTIMONY FROM MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE</u>	
8	<u>ABOUT EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION</u>	
9	Frank Oppenheimer	239
10	Leigh Burstein	244
11	Judy Chamberlain	250
12	Michael Summerville	255
13	Ted Perry	261
14	Paul Hurd	266
15	Elizabeth Karplus	269
16	Louis Fein	273
17	Bob McFarland	279
18	Katherine Burt	282
19	Leo Ruth	284
20	ADJOURNMENT	293
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 APPEARANCES:

2 COMMISSIONERS:

3 GLENN T. SEABORG, Chairman

4 YVONNE W. LARSEN

5 ALBERT H. QUIE

6 CHARLES A. FOSTER, Jr.

7 SHIRLEY B. GORDON

8 JAY M. SOMMER

9 DAVID P. GARDNER

10 STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

11 PETER GERBER

12 MILTON GOLDBERG

13 JOHN MAYS

14 SUSAN TRAIMAN

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

, Voluntarily Submitted
 Written Testimony
Public Hearing - Science, Mathematics and Technology Education

Listing of the Testimony Submitted

Gordon M. Ambach, Commissioner of Education, State Education Department,
Albany, New York

James L. Casey, Administrator, Planning, Research, and Evaluation, State
Department of Education, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Carolyn Graham, Teacher, Jefferson Elementary School, Burbank, California

Marcy Holteen, Parent, Ambler, Pennsylvania

Howard C. Mel, Director, and Kay Fairwell, Senior Editor, Laurence Hall of
Science, University of California, Berkeley

Jean B. Phillips, Thousand Oaks, California

Simon Ramo, The TRW-Fujitsu Company, Redondo Beach, California

Gerhardt W. Riedel, President, University of West Los Angeles, Culver City,
California

Carl L. Riehm, Virginia State Department of Education, Richmond, Virginia

John H. Saxon, Jr., Norman, Oklahoma

Thomas O. Sidebottom, Associate Researcher, Interactive Sciences, Incorporated,
Palo Alto, California

Karl Weiss, Vice Provost for Research and graduate Studies, Northeastern
University, Boston, Massachusetts

Jan West, Oroville, California

P R O C E E D I N G S

(8:40 a.m.)

1
2
3 DR. KENNEDY: Good morning, everybody. I am Don
4 Kennedy, President of Stanford. I want to welcome the
5 members of the Commission, witnesses and auditors to this
6 program on behalf of Stanford University.

7 Mike Atkin, the Dean of Stanford's school of
8 education, and I are especially happy to act as hosts for
9 the first national hearing of the National Commission on
10 Excellence in Education.

11 As you know, the subject of this particular hearing
12 will be dealing with Science, Mathematics and Technology
13 Education, and that is a matter of particular interest to a
14 number of people in this university and in this part of the
15 world generally.

16 We have also come to a place in which concern for
17 public education in general is alive and well. I want to
18 assure you our own worries on this topic have led Mike and me
19 to initiate a major effort here at Stanford on public
20 education.

21 And I will risk sounding a little bit more like a
22 witness than I should by taking a minute or two to tell you
23 something of the genesis of that concern.

24 It occurred to both of us that it wasn't very
25 difficult at Stanford to assemble 50 or 60 faculty members in

1 a room to talk about health policies, none of whom were
2 members of our medical school faculty. But to get even a
3 third that number of faculty members outside the school of
4 education to be concerned about the equally important matter
5 of public education policy was much more difficult.

6 And we thought that it was high time not only to
7 demonstrate our pride and faith in our own school of education
8 and in its capacity to work productively on the problems
9 of public education, but to make a significant institutional
10 effort to get more faculty members from outside that school
11 concerned about, troubled about working on the problems of
12 public education.

13 That effort is just the beginning, but obviously
14 it is helpful to us and to our own attention to that kind of
15 problem to have this distinguished group of people visit and
16 hold this hearing. And we are terribly glad you decided to
17 do it.

18 I might say that one of the panels in the study
19 Mike and I are setting up will be one on curriculum. And I
20 suspect that a very important part of it will deal with
21 science curriculum matters.

22 I have a modest set of credentials of my own in
23 this area, which I won't bore you with, but it does produce
24 a kind of occasion for reminiscence seeing people like Paul
25 Hurd and Paul Cartlett with whom I have been associated

1 briefly in the past in such matters.

2 It reminds me of the excitement that all of us who
3 taught science in the universities felt about the science
4 curriculum revision efforts of the late 50s and early 60s.
5 It was an extraordinary time. A lot of us learned a great
6 many things.

7 I can't give you a complete assessment of the
8 lessons that I took away from the Biological Sciences
9 Curriculum Study and the various travels to NFS summer
10 institutes in trying to set up laboratories to demonstrate
11 various things to extraordinarily eager and interested high
12 school teachers.

13 But it was a really splendid experience from which
14 I learned at least the following.

15 It is possible to involve wide and enthusiastic
16 national participation in a venture like that if the challenge
17 is right, and if even modest resources can be supplied to
18 fuel the effort. And nothing can be more rewarding to the
19 people who involve themselves in it.

20 And, finally, as a personal and rather limited
21 lesson I drew from it, I discovered in my travels around the
22 high schools in which I was shown what real teaching was like,
23 that for a university professor, in order to establish a
24 verisimilitude, it is not necessary to require them to teach
25 eight consecutive periods of seventh grade general science.

1 Four will suffice.

2 I do want to conclude with one reflection about the
3 importance of this venture. And I think I have learned it
4 equally in the capacity of university professor and research
5 scientist, head of a government regulatory agency and
6 president of the university.

7 And it is that I firmly believe in the importance
8 of scientific literacy, not merely as a tool that exceptional
9 young people in our society bring to the task of innovation
10 and to the task of improving on national productivity and to
11 all the other national social goals to which we turn the
12 scientific process.

13 But because general scientific literacy is critical
14 to an understanding of the world -- and I mean to any
15 understanding of the world -- and toward the intelligent and
16 thoughtful support of public policies.

17 Because there is scarcely a major issue facing us
18 today, whether it is a domestic or an international one, that
19 doesn't have a scientific or technical component.

20 And we as a nation will experience dreadful
21 difficulty in resolving these in a way that can win the
22 support of our citizens if the level of scientific literacy
23 is not improved over its present level.

24 So, what you are up to is terribly important. We
25 are very glad that you are starting the undertaking here and

1 glad to have you on board. And I will conclude this with
2 the impertinent quote that when you are through, the folks
3 who appointed you will still be there and that they will
4 have some resources to put in place all the good ideas that
5 I know you will generate.

6 Mr. Chairman, it is a pleasure to have you here.
7 I welcome you.

8 DR. SEABORG: Thank you, President Kennedy.

9 My name is Glenn Seaborg and I am serving as
10 chairman of this public hearing on Science, Mathematics and
11 Technology Education which is being conducted under the
12 auspices of the National Commission on Excellence in
13 Education.

14 Let me begin by welcoming all of you here this
15 morning, and by expressing my pleasure for the opportunity
16 to open this first series of hearings of the National
17 Commission on Excellence in Education.

18 I also want to thank President Kennedy and Dean
19 Atkin for hosting this hearing at Stanford University.
20 President Kennedy referred to his participation in the
21 exciting days of curriculum improvement in the late 1950s
22 and early 1960s.

23 I, too, participated in that, serving as chairman
24 at that time of the Chemical Education Material Study, the
25 Chem-Study program, which revised the chemistry curriculum

1 for high school chemistry.

2 Now, just by way of background, I want to note
3 that the Commission was established last August by the
4 Secretary of Education, Terrel H. Bell, in response to the
5 widespread public perception that the quality of American
6 education has been undergoing a severe decline in the last
7 two decades.

8 The Commission is charged with issuing a final
9 report to the American public and to the Secretary by March
10 of next year. Today's hearing on the quality of education in
11 science, mathematics and technology is but the first of six
12 hearings which the Commission has scheduled for this year.
13 In addition to this hearing, the Commission will also be
14 holding hearings on the following topics:

15 language

16 literacy

17 foreign language instruction

18 teacher education

19 college admissions

20 education and the student's life work

21 education for gifted and talented students

22 It is hoped that these hearings will provide
23 information which the Commission can use in developing its
24 final report, that they will enable us to identify common
25 themes and problems in American education, and that they will

1 provide as broad an opportunity as possible for interested
2 members of the educational community and the public to bring
3 to our attention their views regarding the issues in American
4 education. Finally, we hope that the hearings will help us
5 establish relationships with those individuals who will have
6 the responsibility for acting on our recommendations.

7 And I think this is perhaps the most important
8 objective of our hearings, to establish these relationships,
9 to somehow evolve a method for implementing the recommenda-
10 tions that our Commission will come up with in its report.

11 These hearings are but one method which the
12 Commission will use in order to gain the information it needs
13 to make the practical recommendations which it is charged to
14 include in its final report.

15 In addition to these hearings, the Commission will
16 organize symposia and forums on specific topics. And it will
17 commission a number of papers on a wide variety of topics
18 related to educational quality.

19 These topics will include the quite specific
20 issues outlined in the Commission's charter which requires
21 us to pay particular attention to the following:

22 Assessing the quality of teaching and learning in
23 our nation's schools and colleges; comparing American
24 education with that of other advanced nations; examining the
25 relationship between college admissions requirements and high

1 school success; identifying particularly effective
2 educational programs; and assessing the degree to which
3 social change in the last quarter century has affected
4 student achievement.

5 Before introducing our witnesses for the day and
6 saying a few words about the format we hope to follow in
7 this hearing, I do want to touch upon the importance of the
8 topic we are considering today.

9 It is not, I think, too much to say that the strength
10 of our technological and scientific enterprise will do much
11 in the coming decades to determine the economic well-being,
12 security, as well as the health and safety of Americans.

13 That enterprise rests on at least two pillars. The
14 first is the inventiveness and competence of professional
15 scientists and engineers who design and maintain the systems
16 making up the enterprise. And the second is the overwhelming
17 remaining proportion of the population who do not become
18 professional scientists and engineers, but who need to
19 understand science and technology if they are to function
20 effectively as technicians, repairmen and technology users
21 in business, government and the armed services.

22 I hope that today we will be able to explore not
23 only the sophisticated training which is provided to the most
24 capable of our students so they can pursue careers in science
25 and technology, but also the quality of education which is

1 provided to the rest of the population who will not enter
2 scientific careers.

3 Because it is my sense that the relatively few
4 students interested in science and education careers are
5 studying and learning as much science and mathematics as
6 they have ever before.

7 However, it appears that the larger body of
8 students is ending the study of this subject at earlier ages
9 and performing less and less well at tests of their
10 knowledge.

11 If I am correct, several troubling consequences
12 may follow from this state of affairs:

13 . Today's secondary school students may not know
14 enough to function effectively in an increasingly scientific
15 and technological society.

16 . The pool from which future scientists and
17 engineers can be drawn may decrease as more and more
18 students end their education in science and mathematics
19 after the tenth grade, thus effectively eliminating the
20 possibility of careers in these areas.

21 . Students from low income families and high school
22 girls may forego potential professional careers in science
23 and technology if they do not receive an adequate rounding
24 in these subjects throughout high school.

25 . The remaining competitive edge we enjoy in

1 relation to such countries as Japan, West Germany and the
2 Soviet Union is in danger of being lost if we permit the
3 declining emphasis in science and mathematics in secondary
4 schools to continue.

5 . The nation may lack a citizenry capable of
6 participating knowledgeably in matters of public policy in
7 science and technology. The ability of scientists to
8 contribute to our society and prosperity requires better
9 understanding on the part of our citizens.

10 The hearing we are convening today therefore is of
11 interest to me as a practicing professional scientist, but it
12 is also far more than that. Education in science, mathe-
13 matics and technology is of profound significance to every
14 one of our citizens and to our society and to our nation.

15 We are privileged to day to hear from a number of
16 distinguished experts on the quality of education in science,
17 mathematics and technology education.

18 In order of appearance this morning, our witnesses
19 will be Dr. H. Guyford Stever, National Academy of Sciences.
20 He has had many other positions of high policy level in the
21 United States Government.

22 Dr. Bernard M. Oliver, Hewlett-Packard Company;
23 Dr. Henry L. Alder, University of California, Davis;
24 Ms. Sarah E. Klein, National Science Teachers Association;
25 Dr. Harold D. Taylor, Hillsdale High School, San Mateo,

1 California.

2 And I trust that we can keep this proceeding
3 relatively informal. A stenographer is present and will keep
4 a record of the exchanges between the witnesses and the
5 Commission.

6 I suggest that we proceed in the following manner.
7 Each witness will have 15 minutes in which to make a
8 statement. And I would hope that each of you will be able
9 to summarize your prepared remarks in that time.

10 Your complete statements will be included in the
11 written record of the hearing. After we have heard the
12 statements from the entire panel, we will have questions from
13 the Commission Members and comments by members of the panel
14 regarding the remarks of other panelists.

15 This afternoon, we will hear from individuals who
16 will tell us about problems and programs in science,
17 mathematics and technology in which they are currently
18 involved. I will announce the names of these witnesses when
19 we reconvene after lunch.

20 During the latter part of the afternoon, there
21 will be time for members of the audience to present five
22 minutes of testimony on specific examples of educational
23 excellence. These statements may address either today's
24 topic or other topics related to the pursuit of educational
25 quality.

1 If you are interested in testifying during that
2 time, please fill out an index card at the registration
3 table during the morning coffee break. A schedule of
4 witnesses will be announced before lunch.

5 We urge everyone who is interested in testifying
6 to submit testimony in writing, since we can only hear from
7 a limited number of witnesses today. The record will remain
8 open until April 11.

9 Now, I would like the other Commission Members on
10 this panel to introduce themselves.

11 MS. LARSEN: My name is Yvonne W. Larsen. I am the
12 immediate past president of San Diego Unified School District
13 and I serve as Vice Chairman of this Commission.

14 GOVERNOR QUIE: I am Al Quie, I am Governor of the
15 State of Minnesota.

16 MR. SOMMER: My name is Jay Sommer. I am the
17 National Teacher of the Year and I teach foreign languages.

18 MR. FOSTER: I am Charlie Foster, President of the
19 Foundation for Teaching Economics in San Francisco.

20 MS. GORDON: I am Shirley Gordon. I am President
21 of the Highline Community College in Seattle.

22 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

23 Now we will start the morning's testimony. And in
24 order to help the recorder, would each of you as you begin
25 your testimony identify yourself and your institution.

1 STATEMENT OF DR. H. GUYFORD STEVER

2 NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

3 DR. STEVER: Dr. Kennedy and Dr. Seaborg, members
4 of the Commission, and ladies and gentlemen, I am Dr. Guyford
5 Stever, Chairman of the Assembly of Engineering of the
6 National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences.
7 It is an honor to be asked to testify here.

8 You members of this Commission are to be envied
9 the importance of your task. If you can only give a road map
10 for the next ten or fifteen years in education, you may have
11 attacked the most important social problem we have; certainly
12 the most important problem we have in science and engineering
13 is science education.

14 The agreement between society and its individual
15 members by which society provides high-quality educations
16 must be one of the finest social contracts that we have
17 today. Though our first requirement of our educational
18 institutions is to inculcate general literacy, the schools
19 also bear the heavy responsibility of teaching science and
20 technology. Our science and technology have been principal
21 engines of social change and progress in the last two
22 centuries, and particularly in the last 35 or 40 years, and
23 our educational system deserves a lot of credit for that.

24 I think our quality of life, our individual health,
25 our economic strength, and the increasing number of

1 opportunities for leading interesting lives have been greatly
2 furthered by our progress in science and technology. And it
3 is important for people not in science and technology to
4 know that the scientists are still producing many, many
5 results that will keep that process going. There is no let-up
6 in the production of science.

7 Today, we want to discuss the present and future
8 needs of science and technology from the standpoint of the
9 educational institutions. Let me talk a little bit about some
10 of those needs, and let me begin at the mundane end, the
11 technological end of all technology and science.

12 You know, much of the technological infrastructure
13 that we are accustomed to -- the roads, bridges, urban
14 transportation systems, railroads, water supplies and so on --
15 are growing obsolete and old, and our society has not done a
16 good job of replacing them. Replacing the technological
17 infrastructure of the country will be a very large job.
18 Furthermore, many of our factories are growing old along with
19 our manufacturing technologies. Again, we must refurbish and
20 renew them if we are going to stay competitive and strong
21 economically and otherwise.

22 But fixing up the old is not the only task for which
23 our society will require engineers and scientists; as I said,
24 many new results are also promised. Most frequently cited
25 among the emerging fields that are going to change society

1 a great deal is information and communications. It is
2 already changing so many of our ways, such as the ways
3 secretaries type, correct, edit, and reproduce letters or
4 documents. The ways managers of countries and companies and
5 other organizations run their far-flung enterprises all over
6 the world have all been changed too by instant communications;
7 they have become more centralized.

8 Others, who dream even farther into the future
9 of this revolution, predict that people will not travel on
10 business as much as they do today, that they will do just as
11 well at business interchanges by using communication systems.
12 Involving computers in that process will give people the
13 ability to do their own work at home or far from crowded
14 urban centers. Man is only beginning his symbolic relation-
15 ship with the computer and the emerging communications.

16 Another far-reaching revolution is in the field of
17 biological sciences. Biologists have already brought
18 genetics to the point at which they can modify plant and
19 animal life, and even construct it. There is no reason that
20 this resolution will result not only in new foods, new
21 medicines, and new ways of producing goods, but also in
22 great enhancements of our understanding of how the human
23 system works, and of our preventive medicines. Environmental
24 and resource studies also represent a very fertile field.
25 This is the tenth anniversary year of the "limits to growth"

1 concept, so-called from the title of the Meadows' book
2 supported by the Club of Rome. Those who developed these
3 ideas and espoused them were recently at a meeting, and they
4 were rather pessimistic because they didn't see any adequate
5 effect on the human race with respect to reducing or limiting
6 resource consumption and population growth.

7 There are another group of people who don't believe
8 that is the way the problem should be attacked, and I happen
9 to be among them. I believe, with others, that we can find
10 substitutes for our limited resources, and we can find new
11 ways of doing things that will save us from "running out" in
12 the future. (Of course, I don't know of anyone who believes
13 that unlimited population growth can be sustained forever.)
14 Science and technology will be increasingly called upon by
15 society to find cleaner, more efficient, and more
16 conservative ways of making progress.

17 Just think, for example, of our air transport
18 system. All of the aircraft in that system should be
19 replaced with more efficient ones, and some of the science
20 and technology to do that are already appearing.

21 The changing international scene in science and
22 technology in itself provides further persuasive reasons why
23 the United States must continue to be strong in both science
24 and technology, rather than slackening the pace of their
25 advances in those fields. In my generation of scientists,

1 right after World War II, the United States attained such a
2 dominant position in science and technology that when we
3 recently lost this lead we were surprised. Some people even
4 went into shock.

5 For a few decades this country was the only leading
6 country who had its industrial plant, its labor system, its
7 educational institutions, and all the other things that make
8 a country strong that had not been badly dislocated by war.
9 Our country also had other strengths -- the freedom of
10 opportunity and the rewards of careers in science and
11 engineering that allowed scientists and engineers to prosper.

12 That could not last forever. For the life of me I
13 don't know why anyone was surprised that it didn't, because
14 other countries have expressed very strongly the belief that
15 science and technology are the roots by which they
16 can attain economic strength and better lives for their
17 citizens.

18 It is a mistake to consider that our people are
19 any stronger intellectually than others, or that our
20 educational institutes have all the secrets. There are other
21 very strong nations, with intelligent citizens, good
22 educational traditions, and strong work ethics. They are
23 steadily and strongly catching up in science and technology.

24 Though our overwhelming lead has gone, most of the
25 scientists I know still believe that we are either preeminent

1 or on a par with the scientists of other countries in
2 practically all scientific fields, and that in total we are
3 still scientifically the strongest.

4 We must maintain that strength. Though the
5 application of a given piece of scientific knowledge,
6 resulting economic rewards do not necessarily go to the
7 nation first to discover that knowledge. There is a good
8 chance that the first to discover will be the first to invent
9 and apply.

10 On the technology side, however, there is a different
11 dynamic apparently. For technology is pervasive and is often
12 the principal ingredient in international industrial
13 competition. The need to strengthen ourselves in that
14 competition with the advanced technological nations is now
15 well recognized, and it has been mentioned before. However,
16 in this international arena, another strong force is emerging
17 -- the newly industrialized nations and other emerging nations.
18 One of the best things that is happening in the world today
19 is that more and more of these nations are beginning to
20 participate in science and technology. They are using it to
21 strengthen their economies, to make their countries better
22 and stronger. Newly emerging nations like Brazil, Taiwan,
23 Korea, Mexico, Singapore, and Israel are increasing their
24 shares of world output, and they are being followed by others.

25 Now, the most comforting thought in all this is that

1 when these new nations learn to participate more in science
2 and technology, they will have not only goods to export, but
3 also stronger economies for imports. And there will be more
4 world commerce for everyone to participate in. However,
5 these international changes will pose challenges for many
6 decades, we need to keep our education in science,
7 mathematics, and engineering very strong.

8 From what I have said, it may appear that society
9 is asking, on the science and technology side, that the
10 educational system concentrate on educating professional
11 scientists, engineers, and mathematicians. Certainly that is
12 needed, but there are other needs in scientific and
13 technological education. Two groups in particular should be
14 considered. First, the workers in our factories and our
15 offices and even the people in our homes are finding their
16 daily lives affected more and more by high-technology devices.
17 Just think of how the secretaries are turning from typewriters
18 to highly complex and very productive word processors. The
19 other skilled workers of the world are also changing from
20 doing a lot of things themselves to maintaining and
21 constructing and operating highly sophisticated machines;
22 just mention the word "robot," and you get the idea.

23 The second group is all educated people. We must
24 have an educated electorate, informed about science and
25 technology issues. Science and technology are becoming more

1 and more powerful in the everyday affairs of citizens. Our
2 political leaders and the electorate need more understanding
3 of the processes by which science and technology are carried
4 out and of the kinds of products they produce. These
5 technological products will continue to change society, and
6 unless managed properly they will result in harm as well as
7 good. We must promote general scientific literacy for these
8 reasons.

9 And now to sum up the first part of my statement,
10 let me say clearly that we must maintain the health of our
11 science and technology. We cannot do that without
12 strengthening our educational institutions at the high school,
13 college, and graduate school levels, so that graduates of our
14 schools may have lives that are interesting and profitable,
15 and may have the satisfaction of contributing to social
16 progress.

17 I have dwelt on the side of the educational social
18 contract that represents what society wants from this
19 contract. But what must society contribute, and for what
20 should it aim?

21 The science and technology that we will do in the
22 future will need unusually, highly creative people. They are
23 scattered throughout our society; you can name lots of them
24 yourselves. Some fraction of our students, who have the
25 innate creative ability for science, must be recognized

1 early and given opportunities through the educational system
2 to express their talents. A broader group of students, who
3 are going to need the capability to solve problems using all
4 the necessary sciences and arts (including many mathematical
5 and technical tools), must also be trained. Finally, all
6 students, because they will work in a technological society,
7 will require general scientific literacy.

8 Let me point out that my colleagues in science and
9 engineering who are outside the educational world have
10 become very deeply concerned about the ability of American
11 education to produce these vital results. Most of my
12 colleagues believe that the problems of the university and
13 college system are primarily those of finding financial
14 support, and that there is going to be an even greater
15 strain on that support.

16 However, by and large, most people think that they
17 know how to do the job. In the secondary and primary
18 schools, though, much more work needs to be done.

19 In preparing this testimony, I ran into a problem
20 with which the members of the Commission are no doubt
21 familiar. The reports pile up, giving statistics showing
22 that we are falling behind our international competition.
23 I planned to refer to a few in my testimony, but then I
24 realized it was a hopeless task. Anyway one looks at it, one
25 can see that we are in for a rough time ahead with respect to

1 our international competition in schooling, in terms of the
2 numbers of people taking courses, the numbers of people
3 majoring in science, and other indicators.

4 I would like to stress here, briefly, the importance
5 of inspired teachers and exceptional school principals and
6 administrators. We depend on them very heavily at present,
7 and we should somehow expand the kinds of things that lead to
8 their success. I have mentioned a few of them.

9 I also think that mathematics, as one of the
10 basics of primary and secondary education, deserves a very
11 high priority. Saunders MacLane, a great mathematician, gave
12 me a report, in which he listed these things that all of us
13 do all the time that are mathematical in nature:

14 counting
15 measuring
16 shaping
17 forming
18 estimating
19 moving
20 calculating
21 proving
22 puzzling
23 grouping

24 All of us do those all the time, and the better we
25 do them, the better we can live and earn our way.

1 If mathematics is basic, physics, chemistry, and
2 biology are hardly less so. One of the sad things to me
3 about the changing picture in government is that the
4 National Science Foundation has had to drop out of its
5 support for the teacher training institutes and the
6 science support for research, at colleges and universities
7 and in industry. If the National Science Foundation
8 cannot resume this support, we will have to find other
9 sources of funds. I have a microscopic suggestion as to
10 a grass roots approach to this problem; people who need
11 scientists -- the industrialists of the country -- must
12 work together with the school systems. If each of us sat
13 down with the head of the science department and the head of
14 the mathematics department, to have dinner and talk over
15 their problems, it might help a little. Then if we could
16 get the companies of the country, to ask each general
17 manager to sit down once a year with the mayor, the principal
18 of the school, and the heads of the science and mathematics
19 departments and talk over their problems, those teachers
20 would begin to see more support. Industrial concerns might
21 even put together some of their own institutes locally.

22 I am sorry I have had to rush, Mr. Chairman, but
23 thank you.

24 DR. SLABORG: That is fine. You stayed within
25 your time limit very well.

1 The next speaker is Dr. Bernard M. Oliver. I
2 would like to say a word about Bernie's appearance here
3 today.

4 When I called him to ask whether he would make an
5 appearance here, he told me he had another engagement. And
6 I must say that I just held him on the phone until he agreed
7 that this was more important than his other engagement. I
8 guess he figured he couldn't get anything done that day if
9 he didn't say yes.

10 So I think we all are grateful to you for changing
11 your plans and coming. Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF DR. BERNARD M. OLIVER

2 TECHNICAL CONSULTANT, HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

3 DR. OLIVER: Than you, Glenn. I do recognize
4 the importance of today's hearings, and I feel honored to
5 be chosen by the Commission to address you.

6 I am humbled by the task that you are all facing.
7 It is an extremely important one and I hope that my remarks
8 will be interpreted in a positive sense because I am going
9 to be very critical of our educational scene.

10 But even as I criticize, I am fully cognizant
11 that anything one says about education has exceptions. We
12 have in the room today some of the people from Palo Alto
13 School District whom I respect very much, I don't want
14 them to feel offended as I point out some areas of
15 deficiency because I recognize that there are areas of
16 strength as well.

17 But if we are to move ahead positively, we don't
18 want to concentrate on the areas of strength. We want to
19 look at the areas of deficiency. So, without apology, let
20 me begin my comments.

21 I was interested to have Dr. Kennedy mention the
22 importance of scientific literacy. I agree that it is
23 extremely important that the populace as a whole comprehend
24 what science is and be somewhat knowledgeable about it.

25 Some of our issues that are so hot today,

1 such as the nuclear power issue, for example, would be
2 very different if there were greater scientific literacy.
3 The phobia that exists about nuclear power is based on fear
4 and ignorance.

5 If we had more scientific literacy in the
6 country, we would be in a different position with respect
7 to energy.

8 I learned yesterday from the director of the public
9 understanding of science of the National Science Foundation,
10 who happens to be in our audience that, under the broadest
11 definitions that one can make, the scientific literacy in
12 this country at the present time is in the order of five
13 percent.

14 In other words, the people that understand what
15 science is about even in a vague way -- don't have any great
16 knowkedge of science but just understand what science is
17 about -- number about one for every 20 citizens.

18 That is a pathetic situation and I think it is
19 something that has got to be corrected. That is really what
20 your job is.

21 My direct contact with public schools began
22 in 1953 when my first child enetered kindergarten, and ended
23 about 1971 when I retired from the role of board member
24 of the Palo Alto Unified School District, a span of nearly
25 two decades. My last contact was, as I say, in 1971.

1 I spent about 10 years trying in vain to move
2 education ahead, pushing on a glacier, but with relatively
3 little effect.

4 So what I have to say may be somewhat out of date.
5 But I don't really think so. I don't think there has been
6 all that much change since I left.

7 My concern about our public schools began in 1955
8 when I discovered that my first child, who was by then a
9 second-grader, couldn't read. She was memorizing her books
10 and pretending to read them for her friends, but she was not
11 able to read any new material.

12 My response probably should have been to discuss
13 this with her teacher. But my actual response was to go to
14 the Cubberley Library of this institution and draw out the
15 1916 reading series written by Emma Gordon by which I had
16 learned to read.

17 I got a rubber-stamp outfit and some Bristol board
18 and I made up the charts that were required in this system
19 and the flash cards and all the other things that were
20 needed.

21 Every evening before supper, Karen and I had
22 a little reading session. Eight weeks later I stopped this
23 program, not because we weren't making any headway, but
24 because by then we discovered that almost every night we had
25 to go into her bedroom and turn out the light around

1 midnight because she was reading everything she could get
2 her hands on. She was also writing.

3 Now, why was my attention better than those of the
4 professionals? I asked myself this question. When I
5 discovered that her inability to read was pandemic in our
6 schools, I decided to run for the school board.

7 It happened that in the interregnum that existed
8 when I first came on the board, board members took an
9 unusually active role in the school system. Together with
10 some professionals who were there, we developed a reading
11 system for the Palo Alto schools.

12 It got published eventually and was distributed
13 nationally. The experience that we had with it was that at
14 the end of the first grade, our first graders had developed a
15 reading vocabulary based upon word attack skills that gave
16 them a vocabualry of about 2500 words, as compared with the
17 200-word vocabulary that was produced by the then-existing
18 systems.

19 At the end of the second grade, they could read
20 virtually any word that they used in their speech. And I
21 will say, without fear of contradiction, that for some reason
22 there were no dyslectics in these classes.

23 Now, you would think that primary grade teachers
24 would be eager to try so promising a method. But that was
25 not the case. Whether it was because it was not blessed by

1 the priesthood or whether it was because it would require
2 them to abandon old concepts and try new ones, I don't know.
3 I don't know what the reasons were but they did not accept
4 this method, in fact, they resisted it.

5 In 1965, I visited the English-speaking school in
6 Moscow. I was over there on professional society business
7 and we were invited to attend the school and witness the
8 educational scene. I was vastly impressed with what I saw.

9 I saw first and second graders writing Cyrillic
10 script in ink and the results were calligraphic. The
11 corrections that were made on their work were very minor to
12 my eye. Their work was beautiful. There were no crudely
13 scrawled block letters. Their penmanship was excellent.

14 There I heard first and second graders address us
15 in correct English. There I saw a disciplined class rather
16 than the chaos I saw in our own district at the time.

17 The same had been true when I visited Japanese
18 schools in 1963. There is no question that the standards of
19 education in the public schools in both of these countries
20 are much, much higher than they were at that time in the
21 U. S. A., and I believe they are higher than they are at the
22 present time.

23 Their teachers seemed to me to be better trained.
24 There was little, if any, educational nonsense about what
25 they did. They venerated the subject matter they taught,

1 rather than the method. And the results were impressive. I
2 think they were working harder and the results were paying
3 off.

4 Now, there is also no question in my mind that the
5 phenomenal rise of Japan's economy and technology would not
6 be possible without the superior schooling that these kids
7 were receiving at the time I visited them.

8 I think the foundations for what we are seeing
9 today were laid at the time I was looking at their schools.
10 They have gone ahead to achieve. I think our children could
11 do better than they do, if given comparable training.

12 If Russia had a decent political system, I think
13 they might be outstripping us as well. Because there is no
14 question but that their educational foundations were equally
15 solid.

16 I would encourage this Commission to ascertain the
17 validity of what I have just been saying by making actual
18 visits to these countries. It is something you have the
19 power and the right to do, and I think you should do it. I
20 think you should see for yourselves firsthand what is going
21 on in the competing countries I have been mentioning.

22 I hope that this Commission's concern over the
23 quality of math and science education will not cause you to
24 duplicate some of the follies of the post-Sputnik era.

25 There is no question that some of the

1 materials developed in that time were very good, with the
2 emphasis on understanding rather than rote. That was very
3 well conceived.

4 But there was also introduced at that time a degree
5 of abstraction in certain subjects that removed them from
6 the world of everyday experience and made the value of the
7 subject seem questionable.

8 The "new math" is an example of that. It
9 turned a lot of youngsters off. I think it did more harm to
10 math education than any other single factor.

11 Year after year, the students who suffered this
12 approach learned about sets and intersections and unions
13 and things like that; the banalities of introductory set
14 theory. And year after year, they repeated these same
15 trivia because they only got about a quarter of the way
16 through the text each year.

17 So each year they had to start all over again at
18 the beginning. Ninety-nine percent of those students will
19 never have any occasion to use the concepts of set theory.
20 And I ask: What possible excuse is there for spending so much
21 time in schools on these things -- so many public school
22 hours on such a subject?

23 Now, there are a lot of problems that have gone on
24 for a long time in public schools. Tenure and the trade
25 unionism of teachers prevent the upgrading of staff.

1 That is a serious problem.

2 But the schools are decreasing in their enrollment.
3 It is not possible to keep the best teachers. You know who
4 they are and you can't keep them. You have to fire the last
5 ones to be hired. Seniority is the overriding principle.

6 A lot of teachers, in fact the majority, never left
7 the school scene for the real world. They came from being
8 educated themselves to being educators. In a sense, they
9 have lived in a world apart.

10 I think this results in a certain amount of
11 inbreeding and a certain distortion of their values.

12 A lot of teachers in their eagerness to communicate
13 with the young descend to their level rather than providing
14 adult leadership. I think that is a mistake. I think they
15 should be adult figures to be emulated.

16 There is curriculum chaos, as well as classroom
17 chaos. The times of change and turmoil have led us
18 to present things not necessarily in a sequential
19 fashion, where one step leads to the next, but rather in a
20 way that jumps about and puzzles students rather than letting
21 them learn easily in an orderly fashion.

22 Finally, there is always the danger of indoctrina-
23 tion, rather than education, in the classroom. And that is
24 something we have to guard against.

25 To some extent a policy of egalitarianism exists

1 in which we concern ourselves overmuch on the less able
2 and spend less time than perhaps we should on the gifted.

3 And I think one way to correct these problems is
4 not by study commissions such as this, talented though you
5 may be. Regulations will not correct all these problems.

6 Some think that by forcing the public schools
7 to fight for survival against real competition on a fair
8 footing might be a way to correct these problems.

9 Rather than support public schools, some argue
10 that the state should support the child's right to education
11 by financing that education wherever the parents chose so
12 long as the school meets certain standards.

13 Competition is a good thing. Darwin thought
14 highly of it. If the schools had to compete for attendance,
15 their success would be determined by their performance as
16 judged by the parents who, after all, on the average are
17 very concerned about the child's education.

18 This "free market" undoubtedly would correct,
19 overnight practically, much of the nonsense that goes on
20 today. But it would not do so without a price.

21 There are some problems that attend the voucher
22 system, as it is called. Private schools, you see, can be
23 selective about the children that they admit. And if the
24 voucher systems were to be put in effect, some fear that
25 soon only the dregs would remain in our public schools.

1 Now that is a real problem and I don't know how to
2 avoid it except by honoring vouchers only at those private
3 schools that agree to accept everyone.

4 But I would remind the public schools that the
5 present trend toward private schools, if it continues, is
6 going to bring about a de facto segregation of this kind,
7 leaving them with the dregs whether there is a voucher
8 system or not.

9 So if the public schools want to continue to
10 attract the capable, they have got to clean up their act
11 to a great extent.

12 In short, I would like to summarize by saying that
13 I don't believe we are going to materially improve math and
14 science education until we improve the teaching of skills in
15 the primary grades, and until we improve the content and
16 teaching of the subjects at the secondary level using
17 teachers that are trained in math and science themselves.

18 Physical education instructors cannot educate in
19 physics.

20 Thank you.

21 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

22 The next speaker is Dr. Henry L. Adler. He is also
23 representing the Council of Scientific Society Presidents.
24 That is an organization composed of the presidents of the
25 Scientific Societies in our country.

Dr. Adler.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATEMENT OF DR. HENRY L. ADLER

2 COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC SOCIETY PRESIDENTS

3 DR. ADLER: Dr. Seaborg, Members of the Commission,
4 I represent the Council of Scientific Society Presidents of
5 which I became a member as President of the Mathematical
6 Association of America. I served as Chairman of the Council
7 of Scientific Society Presidents in 1980. I am still a
8 member of its committee on science education.

9 I am a professor of mathematics at the University
10 of California at Davis.

11 It is gratifying that your Commission has
12 identified science, mathematics and technology as requiring
13 separate consideration because its problems are substantially
14 different from -- indeed in many cases diametrically opposed
15 to -- those of education in the other subject areas.

16 It is essential to be aware of these differences.
17 I would like to take a moment or two to point out these
18 differences and therefore pinpoint the special problems of
19 education in science and mathematics.

20 1. There is a crisis in pre-college education in
21 science and mathematics, more than that in any other area,
22 that is a serious threat to our nation's economic, political
23 and military strength.

24 Industry, business, and the military commonly
25 report that the competencies of new employees and recruits

1 are inadequate in light of the increasing technological
2 demands of our age.

3 As already has been pointed out by your chairman,
4 the nation's economic health and security depend upon
5 scientific research and technological development and the
6 well-educated men and women who carry them out. Motivating
7 young men and women to be interested in science and assuring
8 that they have the opportunities to pursue more advanced
9 scientific study in college and graduate school are, there-
10 fore, vital to the future well-being of the nation.

11 Accomplishing these tasks is one of the primary
12 goals of high quality science and engineering education.

13 2. There is a dynamism in science and mathematics
14 education whose constantly evolving content and methodology
15 makes it a unique and valuable endeavor.

16 Scientific education depends upon data which are
17 generated experimentally, upon development of healthy
18 curiosity among students, and upon confident teachers who
19 can help these students understand these data, extrapolate
20 from them and draw logical, well-reasoned conclusions from
21 their efforts.

22 These very aspects, which make the study of science
23 so fascinating to its practitioners, make it inappropriate
24 to codify it into a fixed, static curriculum.

25 The framework within which science and mathematics

1 education is carried out must be valid but fluid, must allow
2 for individual interests and experiments, and must be
3 thought-provoking, not merely knowledge imparting.

4 3. There is a critical shortage of secondary
5 science and especially mathematics and physics teachers,
6 though the shortage is only marginally less severe for
7 chemistry, earth science and general science.

8 According to a 1980 survey conducted by the State
9 Department of Public Instruction in Iowa, a shortage or
10 critical shortage of mathematics teachers was identified in
11 35 states.

12 By 1981, this figure had risen to 43. In physics,
13 the 1980 survey reported shortages or critical shortages of
14 teachers in 43 states. By 1981, this figure was 42 with six
15 more states characterizing the shortage as critical.
16 Chemistry teachers as of 1981 were in short supply in 38
17 states.

18 The Association for School, College and University
19 Staffing confirms these figures in a separate survey that
20 shows that no region of the country, except for some reason
21 Alaska, has an adequate supply of qualified mathematics or
22 science teachers.

23 One consequence of these shortages is that secondary
24 schools are employing unqualified teachers for mathematics
25 and science classes. The recent survey of the National

1 Science Teachers Association -- NSTA, for short -- of
2 secondary science teachers found that approximately one-third
3 of the respondents had majored in fields other than science
4 and nearly one-half of the teachers had not taken a college
5 science course in the past five years.

6 To add et another dimension to the current
7 shortages of qualified science teachers, the average age of
8 science teachers responding to the NSTA survey mentioned
9 previously was 41 -- a clear indication that an insufficient
10 number of new science teachers are entering the profession.

11 Also, more than 25 percent of hte teachers
12 responding to the survey expected to leave teaching in the
13 near future.

14 More recent information from NSTA indicates that,
15 for some regions of the country, the majority of newly-
16 employed science and mathematics teachers were unqualified
17 to teach their subjects and were being employed on an
18 emergency basis only.

19 Incidentally, up to 84 percent were reported
20 unqualified in the Pacific states for example.

21 4. There is a substantial increase in enrollment
22 in undergraduate mathematical sciences courses at both the
23 secondary and college levels.

24 Among reasons for this increase in the secondary
25 schools are desires for minimum competence and the resulting

1 remedial courses needed to bring students up to those minima
2 -- for example, in Florida -- the increased number of girls
3 taking higher mathematics courses, the increase in computer
4 science enrollments, the increase in university and college
5 admissions requirements in mathematics.

6 For example, the Advisory Commission on Articula-
7 tion between Secondary Education and Ohio Colleges has
8 recommended that a student desiring to be admitted to a
9 private or state supported four-year college or university
10 in Ohio should have a minimum of three years in mathematics.

11 The University of Washington will change its
12 admission requirement in mathematics from two to three years
13 affective fall of 1984. And the University of California
14 plans to do the same thing in the fall of 1986.

15 An additional factor contributing to the increase
16 in enrollments in secondary school mathematics courses is
17 the pressure from colleges where an increasing number of
18 majors require at least three years of high school
19 mathematics.

20 Statistics show, for example, that at UCLA 60
21 percent of the graduating seniors in 1981 had majored in
22 fields which required for entrance at least three years of
23 high school mathematics -- that is, mathematics through
24 intermediate algebra.

25 The same phenomenon exists for enrollments in

1 college and university courses in the mathematical sciences.
2 The following highlights from a survey of the Conference
3 Board of the Mathematical Sciences published late last
4 year, illustrates this:

5 "From 1975 to 1980 mathematical science
6 course enrollments in universities and
7 four-year colleges increased by 33 percent
8 compared to an increase of only seven
9 percent in fulltime equivalent under-
10 graduate enrollments in these
11 institutions.

12 "In the same period, enrollments in
13 computer science courses in these
14 institutions have tripled."

15 5. There is a critical shortage of United States
16 graduate students in science and engineering, including the
17 mathematical and computer sciences.

18 A 1980 report of the National Science Foundation
19 indicated that 26 percent of full-time graduate students
20 currently enrolled in all science and engineering programs
21 were foreign nationals and that for all engineering programs
22 the figure is 71 percent of those currently enrolled.

23 In 1980, 46.3 percent of the engineering doctorates
24 were awarded to foreign nationals according to a recently
25 published NRC report.

1 In 1980, in mathematical and computer sciences,
2 47 percent of the currently enrolled full-time graduate
3 students were foreign nationals.

4 Now, several of the previous speakers have already
5 reported on the decline in student performance. So I will
6 say very little about that. But you all know that the past
7 two decades have seen a pronounced deterioration in the
8 academic performance of students headed for college.

9 The only group of students whose performance has
10 not declined are those at the very top. Highly motivated
11 students continue to come to universities very well pre-
12 pared, in some cases even better than the students a
13 generation ago.

14 Many of them are the product of the very successful
15 Advanced Placement Program of the College Board.

16 The decline in the performance of the great
17 majority of students has been measured by test scores. It is
18 also perceived by faculties throughout the United States as
19 shown by the recent widespread increase in the use of
20 remedial programs in colleges and universities.

21 Data from the Conference Board survey, which I
22 just mentioned, show that enrollments in remedial, that is
23 high school level, courses in four-year colleges and
24 universities in the United States -- this refers to mathe-
25 matical remedial courses -- rose in the period from 1975 to

1 1980 by 72 percent compared to only a seven percent increase
2 in undergraduate enrollments in the same period.

3 Yet remedial courses are only rarely effective in
4 curing the ills of inadequate mathematical preparation. Let
5 me explain.

6 Typically, a student who has, for example, failed
7 for whatever reason to take the intermediate algebra course
8 in high school and needs this course as a prerequisite at a
9 college or university, will take it as a remedial one-quarter
10 course in college.

11 Now, the typical high school intermediate algebra
12 course meets five times a week for about 36 weeks, or a
13 total of 180 hours.

14 On the other hand, the corresponding college
15 remedial course typically meets three times a week for 10
16 weeks, or a total of 30 hours, that mean one-sixth of the
17 time allotted to it in high school.

18 How the typical student who was either not
19 motivated enough to take or unable to pass a one-year high
20 school course in intermediate algebra can be expected to
21 succeed in taking the same course in the university in one-
22 sixth of the time allotted to it in high school, I have
23 always found very difficult to comprehend.

24 In addition, aside from being often ineffective,
25 remedial courses offered in colleges or universities are very

1 expensive to the institution and divert previous resources
2 and energy from the proper function of the institutions of
3 higher learning.

4 I want to conclude this by making a few
5 recommendations:

6 The state of precollege education in this country
7 is stirring widespread and serious concern in the scientific
8 and engineering communities. Paralleling this concern are
9 feelings of helplessness and frustration engendered both by
10 the magnitude of the problems and the absence of mechanisms
11 for addressing them.

12 Yet, mechanisms do exist and their implementation
13 is achievable without excessive effort and at relatively
14 modest cost. But they do require badly needed leadership
15 at both the federal and state levels.

16 Clearly, solutions need to be considered which
17 address the problems in the long run as well as in the
18 short run.

19 Let me first briefly address some recommendations
20 for the long term.

21 The available data clearly indicate the need to
22 find ways and means to increase the number of qualified
23 persons to become teachers of science and mathematics and to
24 be retained in the profession.

25 These problems have been addressed in an exemplary

1 fashion in the 25 recommendations recently announced by the
2 Task Force on Higher Education and the Schools in a report
3 to the Southern Regional Education Board entitled "The Need
4 for Quality."

5 Two of these recommendations seem especially
6 appropriate in the solution of the problem of the acute
7 shortage in the supply of science and mathematics teachers.

8 Let me read these two recommendations. They are
9 very brief.

10 Number 1.: States should develop an array of
11 incentives to attract science and mathematics teachers,
12 including scholarships or loan programs for prospective
13 teachers tied to the teaching of these subjects within the
14 state, following the established pattern of state subsidies
15 to train medical personnel in short supply.

16 Number 2.: States should modify certification
17 requirements to permit graduates in mathematics and science
18 who lack professional education preparation to teach at the
19 secondary level, with safeguards to insure the quality of
20 instruction.

21 Certification should also accommodate teachers in
22 related surplus fields to teach mathematics and science,
23 with refresher courses as needed.

24 I would like to make only one other recommendation
25 for the long term.

1 Number 3.: The shortage of science and mathematics
2 teachers make it especially important that these teachers
3 should be in the classroom teaching these subjects and not
4 be used for other tasks in the school.

5 Available estimates indicate the surprisingly
6 large loss of teaching hours in mathematics in junior high
7 school resulting from the use of these teachers for other
8 tasks.

9 School administrators should, therefore, be urged
10 to insist that teachers trained in science or mathematics
11 are used in the classroom to teach these subjects and not be
12 used for other tasks.

13 Finally, I would like to make similarly three
14 recommendations for the short term. Widespread consultation
15 on possible mechanisms for addressing the acute problems of
16 science and mathematics education has convinced us that the
17 following three recommendations are likely to produce the
18 best results in a relatively short time.

19 Number 1.: The most serious of the existing
20 problems is the shortage of pre-college mathematics and
21 science teachers. This nation cannot afford to wait till
22 students now entering college are ready to start filling
23 the many vacant positions.

24 We therefore recommend that programs be organized
25 for in-service training and retraining of current middle level

1 and secondary mathematics and science teachers who are
2 inadequately prepared to teach in these subjects, but have the
3 capacity to profit from such programs to strengthen their
4 mathematical or scientific preparation and teaching skills.

5 Such in-service training could be intensive summer
6 institutes followed by in-service training during the
7 academic year. It could also consist of academic year
8 institutes or other types of institutes suited to local
9 circumstances.

10 Participants in such institutes should be selected
11 with the objective to increase the pool of teachers competent
12 to teach mathematics and science.

13 This means that preference should be given to those
14 with some competence in mathematics or science, where
15 additional training would make it possible to teach more
16 advanced or more thorough courses in these fields.

17 Teachers already able to teach advanced courses in
18 mathematics or science should not be considered candidates
19 for such institutes, as additional training for them would
20 not increase the pool of qualified mathematics and science
21 teachers and indeed might increase their qualification
22 sufficiently to provide an incentive to leave high school
23 teaching for other positions, for example, in a two-year
24 college.

25 To maximize the impact from such institutes, some

1 participants in a summer institute who have distinguished
2 themselves for their enthusiasm and interest in improving
3 student mathematical preparation might be designated as
4 Fellows and asked to conduct intensive in-service activities
5 within their school district for which activity they would
6 receive an additional stipend.

7 Instructors in these institutes would be primarily
8 college and university faculty members familiar with the
9 needs of pre-college education in mathematics and science and
10 especially capable to motivate and inspire the participants.

11 One of the most beneficial effects of such
12 institutes would be the resulting interaction between college
13 and pre-college teachers which has become almost nonexistent
14 with the discontinuance of the NSF institutes and which is
15 so vital to prevent the feeling of isolation and neglect
16 among pre-college teachers.

17 Number 2.: It is widely recognized that the
18 students' attitudes toward mathematics are generally formed
19 by their experiences in elementary school.

20 The influence of the elementary teacher, therefore,
21 is of paramount importance. Not every elementary teacher,
22 however, can be expected to convey a positive attitude
23 toward mathematics to his or her students, and, indeed, many
24 don't.

25 We therefore recommend that programs be initiated

1 to put mathematics specialists into the elementary schools.
2 They will generally teach the mathematics courses in grades
3 4, 5 and 6, and some feel that they might be used earlier.

4 Mathematics specialists could be recruited from
5 elementary and lower middle grade school teachers with an
6 interest in mathematics, some competence in it and,
7 especially, motivation to work in and enthusiasm for
8 mathematics.

9 Such teachers could be given special training
10 either during the summer or on weekends or other appropriate
11 times during the academic year to qualify them as mathematics
12 specialists in the elementary schools.

13 Training of mathematics specialists has assumed
14 added importance as a result of the changes in the very
15 nature of arithmetic which the computer-calculator age has
16 brought about.

17 The paper and pencil algorithms will be much less
18 important while estimation and "feel" for numbers,
19 particularly in applications, will need increasing attention.

20 In addition, test results show that students are
21 not benefitting from their study of arithmetic to the extent
22 they should. They lack problem-solving skills and the
23 ability to determine the reasonableness of answers, partly
24 due to a lack of exposure to elementary, but creative,
25 aspects of mathematics.

1 There is an urgent need to make arithmetic teaching
2 much more effective, that is, to increase children's
3 "numerical awareness."

4 This implies the need for the development of new
5 material for both teacher training and the use by teachers
6 in the classroom. The preparation of such material clearly
7 requires federal involvement, as there are no other feasible
8 means for this.

9 Number 3.: For reasons indicated earlier,
10 efforts to help unprepared students by means of remedial
11 courses and special programs at the university level have
12 generally been disappointing, both to students and to the
13 sponsoring institutions.

14 In recent years, it has become increasingly clear
15 that university programs which are to effect significant
16 improvements will involve intervention at the public school
17 level.

18 We recommend specifically that universities and
19 colleges assist public schools by providing them with place-
20 ment tests to be administered to high school juniors so that
21 they can be advised to make up possible deficiencies by
22 taking appropriate courses in the senior year.

23 A successful model for such a placement test
24 program is provided by Ohio State University which this year
25 is testing approximately 35,000 students in 219 schools.

1 Students can request information in terms of
2 requirements at Ohio State University or at the University
3 of Akron this year. In time, the program hopes to include
4 all state-assisted Ohio universities. One result has been
5 sharp increases in senior mathematics enrollments.

6 A similar project for assessing students'
7 mathematical preparation has recently been launched in
8 California by a joint committee of faculty members from the
9 California State University and the University of California
10 assisted by high school mathematics teachers.

11 We recommend that these types of assessments be
12 expanded to earlier educational levels to include, for
13 example, assessment of proper preparation for beginning
14 algebra courses since, without proper preparation in
15 arithmetic, a student is most unlikely to succeed in an
16 algebra course.

17 The institutes proposed earlier should be used as
18 one suitable device to inform participants about diagnostic
19 testing and the use of test results for proper placement of
20 students.

21 Finally, Mr. Chairman, and Members of the
22 Commission, I would like to inform you that CSSP, the
23 Council for Scientific Society Presidents, is ready to assist
24 you in any way it can in your deliberations.

25 As you must be aware, there is a widespread feeling

1 in this country that the time is ripe to address the serious
2 problems in science and mathematics education.

3 We urge you as strongly as we can to seize the
4 special opportunity presented by the existence of this
5 widespread concern to provide the needed leadership for a
6 significant improvement.

7 I hope you will be able to become the catalyst for
8 the needed reform in the same way as Sputnik did in an
9 earlier age.

10 Thank you.

11 DR. SEABORG: Thank you. I am sure the Members
12 of the Commission will take note that you have made a number
13 of challenging recommendations.

14 The next speaker is Sarah E. Klein, who is the
15 President of the National Science Teachers Association.

16 I saw Sarah, and I did Guy, at the Science Talent
17 Search in Washington last week. I noticed that Guy, in his
18 prepared remarks that he didn't have time to allude to makes
19 reference to this also.

20 These prepared remarks I believe are available on
21 the back table for those of you who want to see them. The
22 Science Talent Search is certainly a bright spot in the
23 selection and support of scientists. But, of course, it is
24 only a small part of it.

25 Sarah?

1 STATEMENT OF SARAH E. KLEIN

2 PRESIDENT, NATIONAL SCIENCE TEACHERS ASSOCIATION

3 MS. KLEIN: Good morning Members of the Commission,
4 Members of the Testimony Panel and friends.5 Believe me, I would feel much more comfortable if
6 you were 30 to 50 seventh- or eighth-grade general science
7 students than who you are.8 My role this year has changed dramatically from
9 my role of last year. I am a general science teacher and
10 have been for a number of years.11 You know, it is very interesting to me because we
12 have come almost full cycle with regard to science education.
13 As I visited other countries this year, it was very flattering
14 to say, "Ah, Dr. Klein, what university are you with? One
15 from the west coast? Are you from Berkeley?"16 And I would say, "No, I am from Roton Middle School
17 in Norwalk, Connecticut." Then of course they needed to
18 know what a middle school was and what I was doing as an
19 eighth-grade general science teacher as president of the
20 National Science Teachers Association.21 And I am sure the majority of you are wondering
22 exactly the same thing. Have you ever stopped to think why,
23 when you clip or tear from the newspaper, that one direction
24 that you tear the newspaper it goes very easily and the other
25 direction you tear the newspaper you have great difficulty

1 and you get very scraggly edges?

2 Have you ever wondered why an elastic band
3 stretches? Have you ever wondered why -- well, clap your
4 hands. Do you know how far you traveled when you clapped
5 your hands? Not physically, but on the face of this earth?
6 This earth is rotating at the rate of 19 miles per second.
7 You traveled 19 miles in that one second. These are
8 simple examples but illustrate that the simplest of
9 questions could tell us why we are having difficulty today.
10 Have we as adults stopped wondering? have we as adults
11 stopped our ordinary wool-gathering, as it were? Are we
12 trying to inflict our feelings and thoughts on youngsters
13 in the classroom?

14 Everyone of us here today is an expert.
15 Everyone of us here today is really in some capacity an
16 expert, or we wouldn't be here. We have expertise that as we
17 talk, we should solve all of these problems.

18 But then, we would have to inflict our decisions
19 on someone else. Have we educated the general public to
20 accent the decisions that others have made for them? In
21 some ways, I think our education is going through a
22 rebellion because we are rebelling against things -- thoughts,
23 rules, regulations, that others have said that we have to
24 live by. We have practically come full cycle -- as I
25 started with my comments. Others making decisions and

1 expecting the youth of today to carry out decisions
2 tomorrow.

3 My degree is in home economics. My masters is in
4 education. I have spent a lifetime trying to upgrade,
5 trying to be that better science teacher.

6 I was told never to teach. Now this is the
7 importance of the supervisor at the college level. When a
8 student has one more semester and they are told do not
9 teach it is very discouraging even in home economics where
10 anybody knows that anyone can teach home economics.

11 Have you ever tried to tell anyone how to make a
12 dress? Have you ever tried to tell anyone how to straighten
13 a piece of fabric? Have you ever tried to tell anyone how
14 to make a white sauce without lumps. Have you ever tried
15 to tell anyone how to do these things? We have to learn
16 by doing.

17 The majority of the U. S. populace are not
18 elitists. Physical scientists and physicists are elitists.
19 There are very few in that capacity. Yet the media would have
20 the general public believe that we in science and math are
21 all elitists. You are right, I am not sticking with my
22 testimony as written, but though I have tremendous support
23 of the National Science Teachers Association there is much
24 more to tell. Others can write and put together facts and
25 figures much better than I can.

1 But I am a classroom teacher. I am the important
2 person. And I am speaking on behalf of not only the 40,000
3 NST members but I am speaking on behalf of every classroom
4 teacher in the United States and in the world.

5 I have a tremendous responsibility here in seeking
6 excellence in education. There are critical shortages in
7 math, chemistry and physics. That has been pointed out.
8 There is a decline in numbers. That has been pointed out.

9 If you want to refer to the chart that Henry Adler
10 referred to, it is on page 3 of my testimony -- the 84
11 percent of Pacific States. The collapse of support systems,
12 79 percent of the secondary science teachers have not had a
13 course in ten years. The average age of science teachers is
14 41; the length of time teaching averages to 16 years.

15 I could go on but all of those points are brought
16 out in the written testimony.

17 Another important factor is the low salaries that
18 science teachers get -- and I don't want to limit that only
19 to science teachers. I think we can put every teacher in
20 that category. It is no wonder that a math teacher will
21 leave the teaching profession to join the business and
22 industry profession when they can double their salary in
23 24 hours by signing a contract with a company.

24 This year on sabbatical, the City of Norwalk was
25 very generous in giving me the sabbatical, and I am grateful

1 to them. The Board of Education did not feel that it would be
2 a year of work away from the classroom. So, consequently, on
3 sabbatical I receive 60 percent salary. \$14,000. This is
4 one way of saving money for school districts.

5 But, nevertheless, salaries are an important
6 factor in teaching and in keeping teachers. There is a
7 decline in the federal support at the pre-college level.
8 This is true, and I think we have to mention this because that
9 was one tremendously unifying thing for teachers -- the fact
10 that there was someone, someplace, somehow, an overall
11 governmental organization that felt that teachers were
12 important and that they deserved financial support through
13 NSF funding.

14 And I bring you to another point with regard to
15 the United States and the U. S. S. R.. I think the fact
16 that numbers in science are not as important in the U. S. S. R.
17 as we maybe give them credit for being.

18 I think we have to realize that many -- and I
19 could relate those statistics to you as stated by Dr. Wirzup
20 from the University of Chicago and quoted them on several
21 occasions -- the large number of engineers that are driving
22 trucks in the U. S. S. R.. We do not usually expect our
23 engineers to function in that capacity.

24 The other thing that we need to remember is that
25 the U. S. S. R. and Japan both have national systems of

1 education. We do not have a national system of education.
2 We have an individual community system. Whatever that
3 community decides it wants its educational system to be,
4 that is what it is.

5 And there is an accreditation system that,
6 fortunately, we have. But what does your community want?
7 What does your board of education want? I think these are
8 things that we have to consider when we consider backgrounds
9 in education and that we each can have input into our
10 educational processes.

11 If we have guidelines, if we have a definition of
12 excellence in education, I am convinced that every teacher,
13 and every board of education, would be more than willing to
14 do everything that could to focus that particular community
15 to a standard of excellence.

16 I think we have sold our teachers short. I think we
17 sell our students short. I believe that any task we give
18 these children to do, they can do it. As adults we do not
19 expect enough of our students.

20 Mr. Oliver had really experienced and internalized
21 the lack thereof in education through his personal
22 experiences.

23 Believe me, in talking with George Keyworth,
24 when I said to him, "It is so nice to meet you," and
25 did all the pleasantries, then I said, "And do you have a

1 family?" "Oh, yes."

2 "What ages are they?" Well, one was ready to go
3 in the fifth grade and one into the seventh. And I said,
4 "Oh, my goodness, it is exciting. You are a middle school
5 parent. I am delighted to meet you."

6 He said, "Well, you know, this really bothers
7 me." He said, "I understand that that middle school is
8 in serious trouble, educationally."

9 I said, "Yes, it is. And what can you do to
10 help us?" An eighth grade general science teacher really
11 can make education become relevant to parents. It makes
12 education come right back to, goals and standards for
13 what my child needs to learn.

14 My children went through the public school
15 system. Windsight, we should have put one of them in a
16 private school. But then he couldn't have played football
17 for the private school that we wanted him to go to.

18 What are the other factors that influence this
19 excellence in education? What is the motivating factor?
20 Why do children stay in school past age 16?

21 Schools are a tremendous social agency. In
22 our definition of excellence, how can we include all of
23 the motivating factors.

24 Now, again, I am probably on the minus 30 second
25 side, but there is much to say. Personal as well as

1 professional examples. NSTA has its own search for excellence
2 in science programs, to try to identify them. We began this
3 last year when funding for this was not granted through the
4 National Science Foundation. We felt this was indeed
5 important and plan to pursue it and publish our findings.

6 We will be glad to cooperate with you by
7 identifying where these excellent programs are ongoing.

8 We are working with the state science consultants.
9 In those states that do not have consultants, we have
10 identified outstanding people in the science education
11 field to help with this excellence in science education.

12 It is an interesting note that one of these
13 persons that has been identified as an outstanding person
14 to help search for these excellent programs is an elementary
15 teacher. I think that this is important because we need
16 to begin using teachers at the elementary level in
17 connection with excellence in science and mathematics
18 education.

19 We do have recommendations. We need to be sure
20 that science and math education are publicized. We need to
21 make the community aware of the fact that we do have some
22 good things going on in science and math.

23 But unless they can see the products, they won't
24 believe us. It is another time where science and math
25 teachers are very elite. We talk to each other about these

1 programs, about these great things that we are doing, but
2 we do not share these good things with others.

3 And the only things that parents and the community
4 can see are negative things that seem to happen to "my"
5 child.

6 We need some undergraduate colleges for free teacher
7 training. We need some kind of inservice programs for the
8 capable teachers right now, both summer and academic year.
9 Some sort of an incentive program for these teachers to take
10 advantage of inservice programs.

11 I firmly believe that if we had a science
12 consultant in every elementary school the science
13 consultant would take the responsibility for seeing that
14 science is taught, our problems would be minimized at
15 the middle and secondary level.

16 Dr. Morris Shamos, in a talk to business, industry
17 and science educators, June, 1981, pointed this out very
18 clearly and gave us a way this could be done. This is
19 not an original idea.

20 We need to continue regional resource centers.
21 Examples of excellence that are in existence right now are a
22 resource center in Fairfax County in Virginia and the
23 one that Susan Sprague has in Mesa, Arizona. Susan Sprague
24 is an elementary resource center and Doug Lapp's is more
25 K through 12.

1 Equipment is outdated, equipment is broken in
2 schools. We need to have an updating of equipment. And
3 this brings us into our age of rebotic electronics and
4 computers.

5 We feel that these are areas where we must pay
6 close attention. These are areas where we can make a
7 difference, if these are addressed.

8 Yes, I do have children. I have five children,
9 and seven grandchildren. I am interested in excellence
10 in education. I am not going to give up. I will go back to
11 that classroom next year because I am needed there.

12 Thank you very much.

13 DR. SEABORG: Thank you very much. I am sure
14 that George Keyworth met more than his match in his
15 encounter with you.

16 I think the Members of the Commission will also
17 appreciate your presentation with emphasis on your
18 viewpoint as a classroom teacher.

19 The next and last speaker is Dr. Harold D. Taylor
20 who is representing the National Council of Teachers of
21 Mathematics.

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF DR. HAROLD D. TAYLOR

2 NATIONAL COUNCIL OF TEACHERS OF MATHEMATICS

3 DR. TAYLOR: My name is Harold D. Taylor. I am a
4 classroom teacher of mathematics at Millsdale High School
5 in San Mateo, California, a position I have held for the
6 last sixteen years.

7 Prior to coming to San Mateo, I was a mathematics
8 teacher in Patterson, California, Covelo, California and
9 in the State of New Mexico. I have been in public education
10 for 23 years.

11 I received my B. S. degree in mathematics from
12 Southeastern Oklahoma State University, and my M.A. in
13 mathematics and Ed. D. in mathematics education from the
14 University of Northern Colorado.

15 I am a member of the Board of Directors of the
16 National Council of Teachers of Mathematics and a past
17 president of the California Mathematics Council, Northern
18 Section.

19 I am pleased to have the opportunity to appear
20 before the National Commission on Excellence in Education to
21 testify on behalf of mathematics education. I represent the
22 approximately 60,-00 members of the National Council of
23 Teachers of Mathematics.

24 The Council is dedicated to achieving excellence
25 in mathematics education at all levels, kindergarten through

1 university, and we strive to achieve our goal through the
2 dedication of classroom teachers throughout our nation.

3 Mr. Chairman, it gives me great pleasure to appear
4 before you today on behalf of all classroom teachers of
5 mathematics. Your Commission's concern for the status of
6 mathematics and science education gives us hope that, working
7 together, we can effect a reversal in the trend toward
8 technological illiteracy in this country, and thus to its
9 threat to our national economy and our national security.

10 I wish to place my testimony in the following
11 context:

12 1. The youth of this country is our most valuable
13 natural resource;

14 2. The classroom teacher is the heartbeat of free
15 public education;

16 3. Our national economy and our national security
17 are already seriously compromised;

18 4. Immediate action on the part of both state and
19 federal governments is essential in order to reverse the
20 current trends in mathematics and science education; and

21 5. The education of our youth must become a
22 pressing national commitment.

23 The decline in the mathematical ability of
24 entering college freshmen and the resulting decrease in the
25 number of students who elect majors in science represents a

crisis in education. The fact that this program exist is documented in a report by Joan R. Leitzel of the Ohio State University to the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and reported in the Chronicle of Higher Education in January 1982.

Recent studies have shown that the United States is lagging Japan, West Germany, Eastern Europe, and Russia in the production of engineers and scientists. For example, in 1979, Japan produce approximately 22,000 electrical engineers, while the United States produced only slightly over 16,000. These figures are in contrast to somewhat less than 12,000 electrical engineers for Japan and slightly over 16,000 for the United States ten years earlier.

While considering these figures, it must be kept in mind that Japan has about one-half the population of the United States. Our domination of the field of high technology is seriously compromised by failure to train engineers and scientists, and thus, our national economy and our national security are surely threatened. We had a trade deficit with Japan in 1981 of \$18 billion with a deficit that is projected to go to \$20 billion in 1982. According to Project Atlas, Richardson, Texas, 1981, engineers accounted for 6 percent of the bachelors degrees awarded in the United States during 1980.

1 Comparable percentages are: Japan 21 percent, West Germany
2 37 percent, and Eastern Europe 42 percent. The American
3 Electronics Association projected that in 1981 U. S.
4 colleges and universities would produce only 43 percent of
5 the demand for new graduates in the electronics industries.

6 The reasons for the decline in student mathematical
7 ability are complex and difficult to formulate. I believe,
8 however, the primary culprit is our curriculum. At almost
9 all levels of education, our curriculum is geared too low.
10 We teach to the average or below average student, our
11 curriculum materials are directed to the average or below
12 average student, and far too small a portion of teacher
13 time is directed toward those who are capable. Classroom
14 behavior of students is such that a teacher's energy must
15 be directed toward keeping discipline, rather than teaching.
16 School attendance is often a problem. Education is forced
17 to compete with television, sports, electronic games, drugs,
18 alcohol, and sex for student time and interest.

19 I am thoroughly convinced that our compulsory
20 education laws are antiquated and need replacing. Large
21 numbers of students who consider school attendance to be a
22 jail sentence, and behave accordingly, are forced by law to
23 be enrolled in our schools. Physical, verbal, and
24 psychological abuse of teachers is commonplace on school
25 campuses. Vandalism, including arson, costs schools far to

1 great a portion of their resources, both human and financial.
2 These students cause the public to pay for educating them
3 year after year over the same subject matter and still often
4 graduate illiterate. In short, they are a small minority of
5 the total number of students, but demand a disproportionately
6 large share of the school's time and resources and the
7 public's money. They should no longer be forced to attend
8 traditional schools. Alternatives must be provided them
9 through continuation schools, technical schools, vocational
10 schools, or work groups such as the California Conservation
11 Corps. Legislation must be enacted whereby student
12 discipline can be controlled.

13 Another factor in the decline of mathematical
14 ability of students is the long-standing practice of social
15 promotion, especially in the elementary and middle schools.
16 A student's advancement into secondary school often is a
17 function of years spent in school rather than performance
18 in academic subjects. The advancement of a student into
19 higher grades, without the accompanying skills, is an
20 insurmountable obstacle in the way of further academic
21 progress. The second national assessment of mathematics
22 has pointed out the critical need for attention to higher-
23 order cognitive skills. Almost every student is seriously
24 deficient in reasoning and listening skills and very few
25 have developed reasonably good study habits by the time they

1 reach the secondary level. A high school diploma often
2 represents time spent in school rather than student
3 achievement. Grade deflation seriously detracts from the
4 value of the diploma and makes college entrance
5 requirements, with respect to grade point average,
6 meaningless.

7 The attitude of teachers, students, parents, and
8 the public at large, impact the learning of mathematics.
9 Students who want to learn and are prevented from doing so
10 are frustrated and tend to blame the school and their
11 teachers. Parents and the general public, those who
12 provide the financial support for education, blame the
13 schools and teachers for not doing a better job. They have
14 voiced their displeasure at the ballot box by voting down
15 bond measures and voting in tax reform. Teachers feel
16 they are neither respected nor appreciated. In such a
17 setting of frustration and unhappiness, mathematics
18 education cannot attain excellence.

19 It must be understood by those who criticize
20 mathematics teaching as bland, that educating oneself is a
21 personal responsibility, is hard work, and is often boring.
22 Some of the strongest motivation to which human beings
23 respond is extrinsic rather than intrinsic. Teachers are
24 not trained as entertainers, they are not paid as ,
25 entertainers, and cannot be expected to motivate students

1 to study mathematics by entertaining them. Critics must
2 understand that the typical secondary teacher of mathematics
3 not only must meet 150 students in the classroom each day,
4 five days a week, but must make and grade tests, evaluate
5 homework, send progress reports or grade reports each month,
6 plan lessons, keep records, write college and job
7 recommendations for students, maintain communication with
8 parents and counselors and administrators, tutor students
9 who have been absent or fallen behind for other
10 reasons, develop and plan curriculum, and numerous other
11 tasks. Meanwhile, they must maintain discipline in the
12 classroom and survive many classroom interruptions.

13 Some teachers in our classrooms also
14 contribute to the decline in student's mathematical ability.
15 In our elementary schools many teachers suffer math anxiety
16 and pass this along to their students. A teacher who is
17 excellent in other subjects -- and elementary teachers are
18 expected to be expert in all subject areas -- quite often is
19 not a good mathematics teacher. There are some states that
20 do not require an elementary teacher to study mathematics
21 beyond basic arithmetic in grade nine. Poor mathematics
22 teaching is not restricted, however, to the elementary
23 level. Some states do not require that secondary teachers of
24 mathematics study even up to the level they may teach.

25 Before we can attain excellence in mathematics

1 education, our classrooms must be staffed with well-trained,
2 dedicated teachers. The Association for School, College and
3 University Staffing indicates that 22 percent of all high
4 school teaching posts in mathematics are vacant at the
5 present time. At the same time, the National Council of
6 Supervisors of Mathematics reports that 26 percent of the
7 mathematics positions are filled by teachers who are not
8 certified, or are only temporarily certified, to teach
9 mathematics. As a specific example, in Maryland, a survey
10 by the State Department of Education at the end of 1979-1980
11 school year estimated that 50,000 secondary students
12 received their mathematics instruction from more than 400
13 teachers who were not certified to teach secondary
14 mathematics. Howe and Gerlovich in their National Study of
15 Estimated Supply and Demand of Secondary Science and
16 Mathematics Teachers said that 43 of 45 reporting states
17 indicate a shortage or critical shortage of secondary
18 mathematics teachers. Because of the shortage of secondary
19 mathematics teachers and the oversupply in other areas, there
20 continues to be more and more teachers assigned to teach
21 mathematics who have neither the training or the desire to
22 do so.

23 Kenneth Ashworht, Texas Commissioner of Higher
24 Education, stated that in 1978, in the entire south,
25 colleges graduated 445 mathematics education majors and 7,502

1 coaches and physical education majors. The 1980 Conference
2 Board of the Mathematical Sciences Survey shows that the
3 number of mathematical science bachelor's degrees with majors
4 in secondary teaching has dropped by 64 percent since 1975.
5 Few people are choosing to become teachers of mathematics.
6 The profession simply is not attractive enough to draw
7 students in sufficient numbers. The salary differential
8 between business and industry and teaching is far too
9 great and the working conditions simply not good enough to
10 attract potential candidates. If we are to attract an
11 adequate supply of teachers of mathematics for our nation,
12 and we must, these deterrants have to be eliminated.
13 William S. Graybeal reported in The Mathematics Teacher in
14 December of 1979 that the average salary of a beginning
15 teacher with a bachelor's degree was only 73.1 percent of
16 the beginning salary offered by private industry to bachelor
17 degree graduates in mathematics and statistics. This ratio
18 has steadily declined and is presently no more than 60
19 percent. U. S. News and Worl Report has stated that, "Math
20 teachers coming out of college already realize that the
21 \$12,000 paid to a beginning teacher is a lot less than the
22 \$20,000 that could earn in their first year with some
23 computer firms. What's more, many say they feel more
24 appreciated in private sector jobs than in classrooms."

25 In February 1982, U. S. News and World Report

1 stated that, "Alleviating the math-teacher shortage is one
2 of the most immediate and pressing tasks facing colleges
3 and school systems." I wholeheartedly agree. The time has
4 come for differential pay to mathematics teachers. Houston,
5 Texas, has already implemented such a plan with no major
6 difficulties and the plan is being expanded for for the
7 academic year 1982-83. The precedent for differential
8 salaries has been set by school districts throughout the
9 country that have paid incentives for teaching in inner
10 city schools. All teachers in short supply should be offered
11 incentives to enter, or to remain in, the profession.

12 If teachers trained in fields other than mathe-
13 matics are to teach mathematics, they should be retrained
14 to the extent necessary to become well qualified. All
15 teachers must undertake a continuing education program just
16 as attorneys and medical doctors do. Groups such as the
17 National Council of Teachers of Mathematics and the
18 California Mathematics Council offer excellent opportunities
19 for continuing education through their publications and
20 their many sectional, regional, and national meetings each
21 year. Local school districts, states, and counties have
22 the obligation to offer opportunities for self improvement
23 to classroom teachers. The teacher training institutions
24 must offer summer programs for the continued training of
25 teachers as they once did, under the financial leadership of

1 the National Science Foundation. One of the most important
2 contributions to the strengthening of mathematics and
3 science instruction in this nation was that of the National
4 Science Foundation grants and programs offered after Sputnik
5 in 1957.

6 The public at large, and especially state and
7 federal governments and courts, must stop expecting our
8 schools to be a major vehicle for social change. Please
9 note that on February 6, the President released his fiscal
10 year 1983 budget proposal with recisions for fiscal 1982.
11 He not only asked for the dismantling of the Department
12 of Education, but that science and engineering education be
13 funded, through the National Science Foundation, for the
14 amount of 9.9 million. At the same time, he asked for
15 bilingual education to be funded, through the same
16 authority, in the amount of \$126 million. Bilingual education
17 requirements, bussing for racial integartion, rehabilitation
18 of juvenile delinquents, problems created by a high divorce
19 rate and families where both parents work, and malnourished
20 and abused children are but a few of the social problems
21 that public schools are expected to solve. We are not
22 equipped nor trained to handle these problems.

23 If given the financial, philosophical, legislative,
24 and societal backing, the problems that exist in public
25 education can be solved. This solution cannot occur overnight.

1 and since we are currently in a state of crisis with
2 respect to mathematics education, it is imperative that a
3 plan for a solution be formulated immediately and that we, as
4 a nation, dedicate our energies and resources to the
5 implementation of that plan. We must have teachers trained
6 to teach mathematics in the classrooms at all levels, grades
7 one through 12. With proper support, the development of
8 reasoning, listening, and reading skills can start in the
9 first year of a student's formal educational training.
10 The proper attitudes toward studying and learning
11 mathematics should be developed beginning with grade one.
12 It is exceptionally important that we begin to generate
13 computer literacy and the rudiments of computer programming
14 with all our students. Let me hasten to say that pouring
15 money into education does not guarantee excellence. Our
16 expenditures must be carefully considered and indiscriminant
17 and wasteful spending avoided.

18 I shall use the Resolution on Technological
19 Innovation proposed by the National Governor's Association
20 Task Force on Technological Innovation as an example of an
21 ineffective strategy for achieving excellence in
22 mathematics education. This task force was co-chaired by
23 Governor Brown of California and Governor Milliken of
24 Michigan and was adopted at the National Governors'
25 Conference in February 1982. In stated, in part, that:

1 "Be it resolved that the states and the
2 federal government join with business,
3 labor, and academic leaders to:

- 4 1. Channel capital investment toward
5 technological innovation and transforma-
6 tion of our economic base;
- 7 2. Demand excellence in math, science
8 and engineering, and computer sciences
9 in our schools and universities; and
- 10 3. Provide sufficient vocational
11 education and on-the-job training for
12 the new jobs in micro-electronics,
13 computers, robotics, telecommunications
14 and biotechnology."

15 The key term used by the authors of this resolution,
16 as passed by the States' governors, is "Demand." It must
17 be noted that according to this resolution, they will "assist"
18 technological innovation by channeling capital investment
19 in the proper direction, they will "provide" sufficient
20 vocational education and on-the-job training to assist the
21 high technology industries, but they will "demand"
22 excellence in math, science, engineering, and computer
23 education.

24 Certainly this is a most naive approach to solving
25 the problems that exist in achieving excellence in

1 mathematics and science education. While it is most easy to
2 "demand," it does nothing toward a solution. In fact, such
3 a demand, with no assistance to the profession for
4 implementation, is probably detrimental.

5 In summary, in my testimony to you here this
6 morning, I have called for the following:

- 7 1. A national commitment the
8 training of mathematics teachers, on
9 a level at least equal to what was done
10 through the National Science Foundation
11 in the late 50s and early 60s;
- 12 2. An end to the practice of staffing
13 mathematics classrooms with the
14 overstaffing from other subject areas;
- 15 3. Placing mathematics specialists
16 and mathematics teachers in our
17 elementary school classrooms as well
18 as in the secondary classrooms;
- 19 4. A commitment on the part of
20 teachers to professional organizations
21 and continuing education;
- 22 5. The dedication of teachers to the
23 improvement of mathematics education;
- 24 6. Differential pay for teachers
25 who are in short supply;

1 7. An end to social promotions;

2 8. A major upgrading of academic
3 standards;

4 9. A change in compulsory education
5 laws;

6 10. Legislation to allow for the
7 enforcement of standards of student
8 behavior;

9 11. A national financial commitment
10 to education through state and federal
11 governments; and

12 12. A national philosophic commitment
13 to free public education opportunities
14 for all who live in the United States.

15 It has indeed been an honor for me to appear before
16 you this morning. I thank you for your kind attention.

17 DR. SEABORG: Thank you very much.

18 Before we start our break, I would like to say how
19 pleased we are that so many of you have elected to spend
20 this time with us, including the representatives of the news
21 media. And we hope that you will be able to stay with us
22 throughout the day to help us consider this issue that is so
23 important to the future of our country.

24 We are now going to take a break and, even though
25 we are running behind schedule, I would like to suggest that

1 we take the full 15 minutes. On the other hand, also try
2 to get back here at the end of the 15 minutes.

3 (Short recess.)

4 DR. SEABORG: Let's get started again.

5 We have with us now David Gardner, who is the
6 Chairman of the full Commission.

7 David, would you like to say a few words? Not
8 too long, we don't have that much time.

9 DR. GARDNER: That is easily responded to. I am
10 pleased to say how appreciative I am of the efforts you have
11 expended in anticipation of this hearing and your willingness
12 to chair it.

13 And to all Members of the Commission throughout
14 the country who could be here, we are very grateful indeed.
15 Also to those who testified this morning, those who will
16 testify later today, we are enourmously grateful for your
17 help, your advice and your perspective. We welcome it and
18 appreciate it.

19 And also advise you that very strong headwinds are
20 blowing east from Salt Lake which accounts for the lateness
21 of my arrival.

22 And we appreciate also the courtesies President
23 Kennedy and Dean Atkin have shown the Commission in
24 connection with our hearings today.

25 This is the first in a series of six public

1 hearings. And I believe everyone knows the schedule between
2 now and the fall.

3 Any of you who are participants, those of you who
4 are here as observers, any of you who are here to testify,
5 and members of the media as well, if you have any suggestions
6 for ways in which the format of this hearing could be
7 improved, we would welcome those suggestions and hope to
8 benefit from them with respect to the scheduling and format
9 in the future hearings.

10 Glenn, thank you for the opportunity to extend my
11 welcome and appreciation.

12 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

13 Now we have 50 minutes for an interactive
14 discussion between the Members of the Commission, the panel
15 on science, mathematics and technology education that is here
16 today, and the panelists who have spoken this morning.

17 May I begin by asking if there are any members of
18 the Commission who would like to make any comments or ask
19 any questions of the panel?

20 Governor Quie?

21 GOVERNOR QUIE: I would like to ask Mr. Oliver,
22 being a member of the school board for such a long time, how
23 would the proposal of Mr. Taylor work out in your experience
24 of having differential pay, as I understand it?

25 In most businesses, if you wanted to get somebody

1 in there, you would pay enough to bring him there. It
2 depends on how important it is. You keep on increasing the
3 offer until you secure that person.

4 Now there seems to be such a standardization of
5 pay based on how many hours of time and study, rather than
6 having a differential in what one doesn't and does pay.

7 DR. OLIVER: I would say from my experience that
8 it would be a very traumatic process to get such a concept
9 across. I think it would take a great deal of discussion
10 and long argumentation.

11 But it is certainly possible to achieve that, if
12 it is necessary. It is happening now in our university
13 system in the engineering staff who have achieved differential
14 in pay. And that will extend down to the state universities
15 as well.

16 I think the laws of supply and demand are going to
17 function in spite of all attempts to suppress them. In the
18 end they will prevail.

19 GOVERNOR QUIE: When you suggest, Mr. Taylor, that
20 to English teachers, do they agree with you?

21 DR. TAYLOR: To be honest with you, I haven't said
22 this to an English teacher. I do suggest, however, that
23 there probably will be some trauma, probably in some places
24 more than others.

25 But two weeks ago in Washington, D. C., I spoke

1 with a representative from the Houston district and they
2 indicated that they initiated this process last year and
3 that they paid an \$800 differential for a year to mathematics
4 teachers.

5 And it was indicated to me that they intend to
6 extend that to \$2,000 next year.

7 GOVERNOR QUIE: Do they have their ---

8 DR. TAYLOR: They also indicated that they have
9 no problems, no real difficulties in initiating this program.

10 GOVERNOR QUIE: Do they have collective bargaining
11 in that school?

12 DR. TAYLOR: I really don't know.

13 GOVERNOR QUIE: All right.

14 MR. FOSTER: Right, I think I can address that. I
15 believe they have collective bargaining, but this national
16 right-to-work is there as a state law. It does have an
17 effect on them.

18 GOVERNOR QUIE: Well, I like your idea.

19 DR. OLIVER: I would like to add to my previous
20 comment that I favor higher salaries generally to teachers,
21 not just in the science and mathematics departments.

22 I think the teaching profession is very much
23 underpaid and a very great many of our problems are the
24 result of that.

25 This is a thing that only pays off in the long

1 run because it really works by attracting better people
2 generally to the teaching profession and raising the general
3 standard of competence.

4 And it is a very difficult thing to sell to most
5 communities.

6 MS. LARSEN: Dr. Seaborg, we have drawn a broad
7 spectrum of input from our five experts this morning which
8 has been most enlightening.

9 We have certainly gone from some of the despair
10 and the indictment of public education in a few of the
11 comments made by Mr. Oliver to the constructive suggestions
12 by Mr. Taylor as far as trying to resolve some of the
13 problems that were identified in our earlier testimony.

14 I know that those of us who are strongly supportive
15 of public education, and I believe this Commission is, are
16 extremely committed to the fact that a literate society is
17 a free society and that is our goal for the future. We must
18 see that public education is a quality education.

19 We do know that the schools have become the
20 whipping boy for everyone's frustrations and we have been
21 asked to be all things to all people.

22 The mandates for social change that have been
23 placed on our institutions have been enormous, and we must
24 address this and look for some constructive resolve.

25 I feel without a doubt that the focus of interest

1 from coast to coast is on this issue at this point in time
2 and that people collectively want to work together on this.

3 One issue that came out that I think the Commission
4 has not specifically addressed, and I think perhaps we should
5 fact it in some of our future deliberations, is that of the
6 personal responsibility of the student.

7 We always talk about how the teacher must teach the
8 student to learn. But we don't really identify that there
9 is a need for personal responsibility and a commitment by the
10 students to be involved and to be the captains of their
11 ships, so to speak.

12 And I think going into citizenship, their
13 character education, or whatever we would like to call it,
14 that is the component that we need to further address.

15 The other day when we were coming back from a
16 Commission meeting in Washington, D. C., we were talking
17 about the elementary type of education that is at the science
18 level.

19 And perhaps, Ms. Klein or Mr. Taylor -- your
20 knowledge is somewhat in the elementary level -- is the
21 shoebox type of science program or the elementary type of
22 involvement of students in science, is that antiquated and
23 out of date at this point in time. Or could their interest
24 be generated by some of this new equipment? We talk about
25 broken equipment and funding needs for equipment are

1 Could we use some of the more primitive types of equipment
2 that we used to have?

3 Ms. Klein or Mr. Taylor, do either of you wish to
4 respond?

5 MS. KLEIN: I would be glad to talk to that for
6 just a couple of minutes.

7 I do not think that that is antiquated. The thing
8 that I feel we really need to do is to give the elementary
9 teachers the confidence that they have the knowledge to do
10 this kind of science.

11 The other thing we must do is to try to give them
12 some kind of help with regard to the time in setting up
13 equipment, getting materials and this sort of thing, to bring
14 those things into the classroom.

15 An elementary teacher has only so much time during
16 the day to teach all subjects. This is why I feel that the
17 consultant in an elementary school is a good person to have
18 because that person can become responsible for seeing that
19 the equipment and everything else is ready for the elementary
20 teacher.

21 She acts, or he acts, as a clearinghouse for
22 questions that need to be answered. It would be the blue
23 blanket, if you want to call it that, for that elementary
24 teacher in the field of science.

25 Most elementary teachers are not prepared in the

1 field of science. Mathematics yes, because mathematics is
2 considered to be a basic. Reading and language are basics.
3 But science is not considered a basic.

4 Some of the best reading material, some of the
5 high interest reading material, is in the field of science.
6 It really should be included as basic and should be included
7 as relevant for this elementary teacher.

8 Back to the equipment part. I do not think that
9 these are out-of-date or antiquated, but they must be looked
10 at from the standpoint of what we want that child to
11 learn. Is it relevant to that particular experience? And
12 I think that if we were to investigate and see how many
13 boxes are there that haven't been touched in five years. If
14 it hasn't been used in five years the chances are it won't
15 be used in the next five unless somebody takes a look at it
16 and says yes, it can be used.

17 But too many teachers use that as an excuse. And
18 some of the best learning that is done is done through
19 broken equipment and equipment that has to be put together.

20 MS. LARSEN: Perhaps it would be better for us to
21 look at the structure of the elementary school day and have
22 the ---

23 MS. KLEIN: Yes.

24 MS. LARSEN: --- curriculum taught by the classroom
25 teacher and restrict the specialized study types of pull-out

1 programs for the science program.

2 MS. KLEIN: I think that is very possible.

3 MS. LARSEN: Thank you.

4 DR. SEABORG: Yes, Mr. Foster?

5 MR. FOSTER: Professor Alder, I am looking at your
6 speech, your recommendations. And you are proposing a rather
7 substantial inservice training and retraining program.

8 This sounds like a rather -- well, let me start
9 again. In the light of the urgency that we feel, all of us
10 feel, about doing something about this, how responsive is
11 this proposal of yours? How long would it take to put this
12 in place, to get this thing done across the country where it
13 is so badly needed? What would it take to do that?

14 DR. ADLER: Of course, it depends whether you have
15 in mind a federal program or one conducted by the states.
16 I am not sure that you are aware that many states
17 are giving serious consideration to do such a thing within
18 their state. Governor Brown in the State of California, in
19 state of the state address, has proposed special funding for
20 science and mathematics. Under such a program, such
21 institutes might be one of the possibilities. I cannot give
22 you a precise estimate of how long it would take to do this
23 on a national basis. But there is a lot of experience there.
24 I do not think it would take very long to do it.

25 MR. FOSTER: Did you want to ---

1 MS. KLEIN: I just want to concur with that. I
2 think that the mechanisms are there. I think that we just
3 need some place that says go ahead. I think the studies are
4 there, we don't have to reinvent that wheel.

5 MR. FOSTER: Thank you very much.

6 DR. SEABORG: Mr. Sommer?

7 MR. SOMMER: Mr. Oliver, you gave public education
8 a pretty bad report card. You compared some of the things
9 with the Soviet Union. Are you fairly well acquainted with
10 the total picture of the education in the Soviet Union, or
11 are your few visits -- I don't know how many you had-- the
12 criteria by which you plug the statistics that we are
13 reading in our newspapers?

14 DR. OLIVER: Well, I don't pose as an expert on
15 education in the Soviet Union. But I did see many classrooms
16 in the course of this particular visit. And I also visited
17 the universities and saw the quality of education that was
18 going on there.

19 They had very poor equipment, but the things that
20 they were studying were certainly not elementary by any
21 stretch of the imagination. There was an air of concentration
22 in the classrooms everywhere I went that was rather
23 interesting to see.

24 And education there appeared to be much more of a
25 sought-after thing by the students themselves. It was

1 considered a great privilege to be able to attend Moscow
2 University, for example. And you didn't find the negative
3 attitudes that are ---

4 MR. SOMMER: You may ---

5 DR. OLIVER: --- in our own universities and our
6 own schools.

7 MR. SOMMER: You realize why that was such a
8 privilege, don't you?

9 DR. OLIVER: Oh, yes.

10 MR. SOMMER: Because of a very small percentage
11 of ---

12 DR. OLIVER: That is correct. On the other hand,
13 I was interested in the remarks that were made earlier about
14 the possibility of abandoning the idea of compulsory
15 education.

16 I think the opportunity for education should be
17 compulsory. In other words, no student should be denied the
18 opportunity to attend school.

19 But the idea of forcing a student against his will
20 or her will to attend so many years converts, I think
21 psychologically, what should be a privilege into an obligation.
22 And I think that is the wrong thing to do.

23 MR. SOMMER: One more question. I would like you
24 to tell me whether you really think that the voucher system
25 would be a solution to our problem, in view of the fact that

1 I believe that public education is the very foundation of
2 our democracy?

3 You mentioned something about that.

4 DR. OLIVER: I certainly think the public education
5 has a vital role to play in our democracy. I am not
6 advocating its overthrow. I think one of the consequences of
7 the voucher system would be a competition between public and
8 private education that would be more evident than it is
9 today.

10 But I am not necessarily in favor of the voucher
11 system for the reasons I indicated. I think there are
12 problems attending it. And unless those can be answered
13 satisfactorily, I would not be in favor of going that way.

14 MR. SOMMER: One final question. I have a feeling
15 that we are going to best achieve our goal in improving
16 education if our assessment is not the type that is McCarthy-
17 like in nature. Because after the McCarthy hearings, we had
18 to find Communists, and we finally did.

19 I am afraid that we are going to have to conclude
20 that everything is so bad in our educational system that we
21 have to find an alternate, a completely alternate system.

22 Do you think things are really as bad as one reads
23 in the newspapers? Because I think that would be a very
24 important matter if we assess it properly. Is it that bad?
25 Or is it a matter of fact that education is very

1 dynamic and there are constantly changes going on in our
2 society -- reevaluation, is that what is basically at hand?

3 Or have we fallen behind so badly?

4 DR. OLIVER: Well, as I tried to indicate at the
5 outset, education is not a homogeneous scene by any means.
6 There are bright spots in the educational picture, and there
7 are very sad spots in the education picture. There are
8 good teachers and there are porr teachers. And there are
9 well-run districts and there are poorly-run districts. You
10 can find almost anything you are seeking.

11 And I think that the newspapers or the media in
12 general like to find trouble rather than things that are
13 running well. So those tend to be featured.

14 On the other hand, I don't think that we can be
15 comp;acent about our national scores in many subjects. I
16 think that those are an overall indicator that show we
17 have work to do.

18 I further believe that we will never be satisfied
19 with education. There will always be criticism of it, no
20 matter how good it is.

21 But I do really believe that we are not doing as
22 good a job as we should be doing, particularly in the field
23 that you are concerned with. And there is need for
24 improvement.

25 I was accused earlier of issuing an indictment of

1 education. I think I certainly was critical. But I would
2 also like to make some positive suggestions.

3 I believe that the villain in the piece is not the
4 teacher, so much -- or our system, so much as the material
5 that she or he is asked to present.

6 I believe that the criticism that I have, and the
7 most important criticism I might make of math teaching in
8 particular is that it is too abstract. An already abstract
9 subject has been made ~~more abstract in the new math.~~ It has
10 been further removed from the personal experience of the
11 child.

12 And the cry for relevance that developed I think
13 was partly due to this. What are we learning all this stuff
14 for, the students asked. It doesn't relate at all to our
15 lives. And I think unless subjects do relate to the life of
16 a student, unless by learning them the student immediately
17 feels more aware and more competent and enriched, the whole
18 motivation disappears.

19 There has to be a translation of the work of
20 learning into a feeling of a larger individual, a more
21 knowledgeable individual, that makes the educational process
22 worthwhile.

23 You don't, in my opinion, motivate learning by
24 phony rewards. The reward for learning is the learning
25 itself, that which is appreciated as being valuable by the

1 student.

2 So subjects have to build on the child's knowledge
3 that he has to start with.

4 MR. SOMMER: Mr. Chairman, could I ask another one?

5 DR. SEABORG: Yes, go ahead.

6 MR. SOMMER: I was wondering -- this is to the
7 entire panel -- whether you believe that there are some
8 serious signs that other basic skills, such as reading, are
9 a very strong interference -- the lack of good basic reading--
10 in the science and mathematics program?

11 DR. TAYLOR: May I respond? I find that to be a
12 very serious hindrance in my endeavor to teach mathematics
13 to high school students. They do have a serious deficiency
14 in their ability to read.

15 But even more important than that, they have a
16 very serious deficiency in their ability to reason. They
17 not only have difficulty with the comprehension of the
18 written material, but their ability to reason is definitely
19 not developed to the extent that it should be.

20 One other item I would like to put in there that is
21 probably more important than reading is their listening
22 skills. They simply cannot listen. And it is not a matter
23 they don't. It is matter that they simply do not know how to
24 listen. They do not hear.

25 MR. SOMMER: Thank you.

1 DR. SEABORG: Shirley?

2 MS. GORDON: I would like to ask you, Dr. Taylor,
3 if you would be willing to respond to the comments regarding
4 the mathematics instruction made by Mr. Oliver?

5 DR. TAYLOR: With regard to the new math?

6 MS. GORDON: With regard to the new math and the
7 abstract nature of it, and so on?

8 DR. TAYLOR: All right. You will correct me. Dr.
9 Oliver, if I have misspoken. I thought you said earlier in
10 your statement that you felt a great of our problem
11 today in mathematics education relates back to the new math.

12 And I would like to say that I agree with you on
13 that. I do, however, suspect that we agree on the same thing
14 for different reasons.

15 I do not necessarily believe that our difficulty
16 with nre math was the abstract -- that was part of it, but
17 it really was not the major problem.

18 The major problem we had with new math was the
19 fact that teachers did not understand what it was all about.
20 They did not understand the goals, the concepts involved
21 actually.

22 And many, many students then were misdirected.
23 They were poorly taught. And this especially happened in
24 the elementary grades.

25 There was a feeling among elementary teachers

1 throughout this nation that new math meant that you don't have
2 to do anymore drill and practice. All you worry about is
3 just the abstract part of the mathematics.

4 And I never understood new math to be that way,
5 although that misunderstanding was there and that misunder-
6 standing led to what we see today.

7 Perhaps Henry Adler would like to add something
8 to that?

9 DR. ADLER: No, I am in substantial agreement with
10 the major trouble of the new math having been such a
11 substantial change in the curriculum that it requires well-
12 prepared teachers. And that part of the process was neglected.

13 I really think that is somewhat a misconception of
14 the new math, that it was its primary purpose to emphasize
15 as you suggested sets and intersection and union. That
16 really was not the main feature of it. I think it has been
17 misunderstood.

18 And I think the lesson to be drawn from this in
19 any future curriculum changes is that it is most important to
20 not neglect the proper preparation of the teachers to teach
21 the curriculum.

22 DR. SEABORG: David?

23 DR. GARDNER: Mr. Chairman, I believe Mr. Stever
24 is trying to get your eye. And perhaps after his comment,
25 I might have some questions.

1 DR. STEVER: Yes, I would like to put a couple of
2 these comments together. I notice in the fact sheet that
3 the Commission is to spearhead a major campaign to encourage
4 all of America's schools, colleges and universities to
5 enhance excellence in education. And somehow every time we
6 get a problem, it falls on the high schools and the problems
7 of the schools.

8 But the colleges have immense responsibility here.
9 And I would go even beyond that. I would like to see you
10 encourage all of America's schools, colleges and universities
11 and those organizations who use and come in contact with the
12 product of the students, to get behind this.

13 There was a question about reading capability --
14 how it relates to teaching of mathematics or science. That
15 goes far beyond the teaching of mathematics and science.

16 A great number of complaints of the uses of
17 engineers particularly and scientists to some extent --
18 scientists are a little isolated -- is that while they know
19 their engineering very well, their capability of communicating
20 is not good enough. Now around here we happen to have a
21 fellow who can communicate very, very well. But a lot of
22 them can't. And I think you should aim at that total system
23 once you get this going here.

24 And, for example, I think that the college community,
25 the university community, came on like gangbusters after

1 Sputnik, and did some things right and did some things wrong.
2 And they did some things half right, half wrong, if we
3 believe what we hear.

4 I think we have to get a system by which the
5 universities and colleges and their scientists and engineers
6 stay closer to the total education system. And I believe one
7 of the jobs the Commission has is to point out that this is
8 a totality and not some isolated thing you are going to have
9 to work on.

10 DR. SEABORG: All right, Dave?

11 DR. GARDNER: The comment, Mr. Chairman, of Dr.
12 Stever leads right into the question I have.

13 We have heard this morning with respect to the
14 adverse outcome of a science and mathematics education in
15 terms of this assessment of the performance of students in
16 the last 10 years.

17 We have learned something about the nature of the
18 problem in the classroom and the constraints and encumbrances
19 associated with teaching math and science.

20 We have been acquainted with the significance of
21 students not studying these courses at levels and with a
22 measure of intensity that permits them to open doors with
23 respect to their own careers. Indeed, tends to close them.

24 We have had comments with respect to the importance
25 of the universities and colleges interacting in the schools

1 and the reverse, so that that relationship can be mutually
2 benefitting.

3 And we have heard some comments with respect to
4 the role of government. We also understand -- if I properly
5 read these testimonies and heard you correctly -- that there
6 is a significant implication for the country if the present
7 trends persisting are not reversed as regards our
8 international economic and political position, as well as
9 perhaps our military security.

10 Now there is quite a debate at work in the country
11 today as to the role of states and as to the role of the
12 federal government with respect to education. Whose
13 responsibility is it, is the question.

14 And different people answer that question
15 differently. I would appreciate any member of the panel,
16 or all five, sharing with us their view as to the division
17 of labor between 16,000 school districts that comprise the
18 educational system in this country, the 300 universities
19 and colleges that exist, the state school boards, state
20 government and the federal government and all the agencies
21 associated with the federal government who have expressed an
22 interest in this field.

23 That debate is going to be raging throughout the
24 life of this Commission. It will be coming up, I believe,
25 at every hearing we have. And I would very much appreciate

1 your counsel and your insight on that question.

2 DR. SEABORG: Guy?

3 DR. STEVER: Let me start out and shoot from the
4 hip while they are doing some serious thinking.

5 I think that is a terribly important question.
6 It was magnified by a statement of one of the panelists who
7 expressed the dismay the school teachers have with respect
8 to seeing one of their big friends in government, the
9 National Science Foundation, back away from science
10 education the way they have. And they mentioned the
11 teacher institute in particular.

12 And, of course, we do have a major new social
13 shift and that is the new federalism and the shift away from
14 the federal government. And maybe that is right.

15 But I believe that whatever substitute ~~we~~ get for
16 it, we must have some centers where the professionals who are
17 engaged in this business feel that there is something bigger
18 -- they are part of something bigger. They are part of a
19 big and important profession.

20 For the engineers and scientists who are in the
21 universities and in the industry, there are many centers
22 that are very powerful that they work with and their
23 professionalism is advanced.

24 Of course, the high school teachers, the teachers
25 of mathematics, have their groups too. But they are not as

1 well connected to the power centers as they should be. And
2 I don't think that they can become without some help.

3 These spiritual centers, the professional centers,
4 the things that get people together, are the organizations
5 that really set the standards and pound on the rest of
6 society to get the financial support. And that I think is
7 a thing that is going to be missing as one of the key
8 elements.

9 If you look at my microscopic suggestion there --
10 and I was only struggling for one approach which might
11 bring a new way to get the teachers in the elementary
12 and secondary schools in contact with the power structure of
13 our society.

14 In that case, I proposed the industries. But this
15 is the missing link in the new ideas. And we have got to
16 get something to replace it.

17 DR. OLIVER: I don't know about the quality of
18 our concept of national involvement in education. But I have
19 concern that politics that arise and practices that may
20 arise because of these powerful organizations may be not
21 addressing the problems that really exist.

22 And I think that it should be incumbent upon any-
23 body in a state or national organization concerned with
24 education to spend a good fraction of the time in the
25 classroom seeing what actually goes on, assessing the

1 education at the point of delivery rather than inventing
2 theories that may or may not have bearing on the problem.

3 DR. STEVER: Here, here.

4 DR. ADLER: I personally believe that no matter
5 how this question is addressed, certain initiatives need to
6 be guided at the federal level.

7 It seems to me highly inefficient, for example --
8 just to take the example of the institutes of which one
9 member of your Commission asked me a question earlier.
10 If each of the states independently initiates the
11 methodologies and procedures around them, it is going to be
12 it seems to me much more costly than having at least
13 national guidance on how to do this.

14 Also, I think, on curricular rules, as I indicated
15 in my testimony, national guidance seems to me highly
16 desirable.

17 The only other comment I would like to make, as I
18 indicated earlier, I would strongly subscribe to having
19 bonds of college faculties in all subject areas with their
20 counterparts in precollege education.

21 This I think is one of the big defects resulting
22 from the discontinuance of the NSF institutes. There was a
23 close liaison built up between precollege education and
24 education at the college level.

25 I hope we can find some ways to reestablish this.

1 There are some signs that it is starting to happen at the
2 local level. And I think while I have the floor, let me
3 urge in this connection that the Commission look for models
4 of types of successful endeavors which have occurred.

5 Mr. Sommer asked a little while ago how bad
6 education really is in this country. To put it very briefly,
7 I think there are a lot of good things going on. But too few
8 people know about them.

9 I think the Commission has the opportunity to
10 highlight such models.

11 MS. KLEIN: I think I can concur heartily with
12 the thing Dr. Alder has just said.

13 The other thing that I would like to point out is
14 with regard to the National Science Foundation programs. You
15 know, there has been a tremendous amount of criticism about
16 how difficult some of those curricula were.

17 And I would just like to remind everybody that at
18 the middle school level I had goals to shoot for, knowing
19 what I had to teach youngsters, knowing that they had
20 specific things that they needed to know before they got to
21 BSCS Biology or Chemistry, or whatever the course was at the
22 high school level.

23 I knew that my students needed to be prepared to go
24 into that. The ones that didn't have to go into that, fine,
25 that was all right. Because there were plenty of other

1 things there for them to gain from it.

2 But it gave me a goal of excellence, in order to
3 try to attain that goal for the students that were in my
4 classes. It gave me a reason to teach spelling, it gave me
5 a reason to teach sentence structure, if I needed to.

6 Science really is a systemized way of learning, or
7 a planned way of learning.' Some days my courses look more
8 like an art class. Some days they look more like a reading
9 class. It depends upon what had to be done.

10 But the curriculum gave us excellence to teach to.

11 Now, the other thing that I would like to point out
12 with regard to these curricula, the BSCS curricula, it was
13 very interesting in our research that we had just completed
14 when we found out that -- and I am going back to the written
15 testimony that was presented, on page 6 where we stated that:

16 "According to preliminary results of a
17 very recent study, the new curricula
18 were far more successful than most
19 people realized. In this NSF-support
20 project, directed by Ronald Anderson
21 and Jim Shymansky, an analysis was
22 made of 105 studies involving 45,000
23 students.

24 "Comparisons were made between
25 students enrolled in new science

1 curricula and traditional curricula.

2 On every kind of measure, including
3 achievement, attitude process skills,
4 students taking the new NSF curricula
5 scored overall 13 percent higher.

6 "For the BSCS Biology and Chem Study
7 materials, the students scored higher
8 by more than 17 percent."

9 Now this is the clincher:

10 "What is most significant is the fact
11 that students from low socio-economic
12 groups scored 24 percent higher using
13 the new NSF-supported curricula than
14 traditional curricula. Thus, since
15 larger proportions of our minority
16 population are in the low socio-economic
17 categories, the new curricula supported
18 by NSF gave minority children a
19 decided edge over similar children
20 exposed to traditional materials."

21 I would just like to verify that from experience.

22 I felt that those youngsters that I worked with, even though I
23 was in the middle school level, better prepared than the
24 students that I am working with today because there doesn't
25 seem to be a standard of excellence to teach to.

1 And I would heartily support the things that
2 Dr. Alder has suggested.

3 DR. OLIVER: May I ask whether the test that you
4 are quoting the scores on was addressed to the new materials
5 or the old?

6 In other words, if you teach two groups and give
7 them this test -- teach one by one method and the other by
8 another method -- then they are going to do best in the test
9 that is addressed to the way they you were taught.

10 MS. KLEIN: I can't answer that. I just know that
11 my classes most of the time that I have been teaching,
12 have been hetergeneous classes and not homogeneous classes.

13 DR. OLIVER: Well, you understand my point?

14 MS. KLEIN: I understand the point.

15 DR. OLIVER: One method covers certain materials
16 and another method covers other materials.

17 MS. KLEIN: That is exactly right. And it depends
18 on whether you are teaching for processes and skills or
19 whether you are teaching for content.

20 And I maintain that at the high school level it
21 should be approximately 90 percent content. Whereas, at the
22 spot that I am in, it is about 50-50. At the elementary
23 level you are teaching for maybe 10 percent content and 90
24 percent basic skills.

25 Dr. Glen Burkheimer has just completed a study on

1 that. And I think that it would be interesting for you to get
2 a copy of that study to show where process should be taught
3 and where content should be taught.

4 And I concur heartily that we can always test for
5 what you want the test results to show.

6 MS. LARSEN: I believe it was Dr. Alder whose
7 testimony stated that the top students are on a par with
8 where the top students were a generation ago. They have
9 not declined.

10 But it was the great middle group that were
11 falling so far behind.

12 Now, in the last generation public schools have
13 ceased to use the tracking type of philosophy. They have
14 felt that there is must to be gained by having a broad
15 spectrum of students within each class.

16 That therefore teachers' expectations of students
17 should be uniform and students should be able to perform
18 adequately.

19 Do we need to look at that again and perhaps
20 direct students according to their potential or to their
21 particular tendencies, using aptitude tests, et cetera? Is
22 that something we should review again?

23 Dr. Alder, do you want to speak to it? Or anyone
24 else?

25 DR. ALDER: Maybe I should defer to somebody else.

1 As you can presumably detect from my accent, I
2 didn't go to school in this country. Maybe somebody else
3 can answer that.

4 DR. TAYLOR: I would like to respond to that, as
5 well as respond to the original question.

6 The answer to your question, Ms. Larsen, is, very
7 briefly, yes. We definitely need to consider tracking
8 students according to their ability. Very definitely.

9 With respect to the original question, I believe
10 the task before the Commission is to carefully distinguish
11 between what is perhaps philosophy and reality.

12 Philosophically, one may believe that all education
13 problems should be solved at the local level and that neither
14 state or federal governments should be involved.

15 But I think reality very clearly points out that
16 the problems caused by failure to educate students in this
17 country are not local problems alone. They are national
18 problems, and for that reason must be addressed by the
19 national government -- by the federal government.

20 And if that is the case, the the federal government
21 and the state governments must be involved in solving
22 those problems to see that our educational system stays on
23 par with where we want it.

24 One other brief point. Pragmatically speaking,
25 at least in California, our tax base has -- for supporting

1 education locally -- been removed from us. We have no way
2 of raising the money through taxes to support our local
3 schools. We simply have to go to the state for that.

4 And if that is the case, I am sure that the state
5 can say the same thing -- that they are unable to raise
6 enough money through taxes to support their state's
7 educational system and must look to the federal government.

8 As constitutional decisions are made, such as,
9 local property taxes are no longer constitutional in
10 California for supporting local educational systems,
11 our tax base is removed from us and someone else simply
12 with the authority to raise taxes must support us.

13 GOVERNOR QUIE: I would like to make one comment.
14 Right now I am a little troubled by the federal
15 government's involvement and I hoped that some way or other
16 there would be a federal solution to our problem. Because in
17 the years that I spent in Congress we went from very little
18 federal help in education to where it is now.

19 So if the federal government is going to help, it
20 seems to me it would have helped by now.

21 Secondly, it is the National Science Foundation
22 that has changed this whole relationship with the secondary
23 schools -- and even the undergraduate schools.

24 And that change began before this present
25 administration came to office. And I always thought that the

1 National Science Foundation probably set up the proper way,
2 because it is more direction by peers than some of the
3 other federal agencies.

4 So I have ^{been} got a little trouble waiting for that
5 President who is going to solve it all for us, or that
6 Congress that is going to solve it all for us. All those
7 characters come from the same place.

8 I like to think of the federal government bringing
9 a correctness. But it still comes back to the people who
10 are responsible in the field.

11 And if I was going to lay a finger on one group of
12 people that have to break this cycle that we are in, it
13 would be the people who are involved in the institutions
14 of higher education. Because that is where you are training
15 teachers of the future. That is where you set the standards
16 for students that you track out of the elementary and
17 secondary schools.

18 I believe instead of federal solutions, what we
19 are talking about is setting some national policies and then I
20 would hope that the institutions of higher education
21 would be strengthened to address themselves to the
22 improvement of science and math teaching.

23 Because that is where I think you could do
24 something about it.

25 DR. SEABORG: Jay?

1 MR. SOMMER: How about having the dynamics and
2 methods changed in view of the computer sciences and all of
3 the new things that have come into science?

4 Is it possible that we have to evaluate methods
5 in science teaching completely? I know for example that in
6 my own area, foreign language study, the methods are quite
7 loose and there is no uniformity. And I think that is one
8 of the problems of foreign language study.

9 How does that apply in the sciences?

10 MS. KLEIN: I don't see how anyone can teach
11 science unless you have taught home economics.

12 (Laughter.)

13 MS. KLEIN: Now that really sounds very ludicrous.
14 But every year that I ever taught home economics, I always
15 had to teach one science class. They would say, oh, we see
16 you are certified in science. Well, we just happen to have
17 a chemistry class, well, we just happen to have a biology
18 class or a senior health class.

19 And do you know, those methods for organizing
20 materials, those methods for putting everything together,
21 just fell in place whether it was biology, chemistry,
22 general science.

23 And I even was foolish enough at one time to think
24 that I might even be able to teach a math class because some
25 of my kids didn't understand math and I said I am going to

1 apply these same things.

2 What is the problem? How do I go about it? And
3 organize it from that standpoint. I can't answer for anyone
4 else, but I just know that one has to be well organized in
5 one's own mind before one can approach a student.

6 And I just feel that someplace along these 27 years
7 of teaching, I read a statistic saying that teachers reach
8 their peak at about the seventh year that they have taught.
9 And I can heartily agree with that because those first six
10 years you are trying to live with yourself.

11 And about the seventh or eighth year you think well,
12 it wasn't too bad after all. I believe I can manage most
13 anything. And you feel pretty complacent. And all of a
14 sudden you meet that youngster that says you don't know it
15 all, and you have to go back and you have to rethink,
16 relearn and start in all over going with new methods.

17 And you have to be convinced yourself that you can
18 work with youngsters. And you have to feel some degree of
19 success yourself. I don't know that there is a methods
20 course that has been written that could apply to everyone.

21 MR. SOMMER: Well, I didn't really mean to
22 everyone. But I was hoping that there was something a little
23 more specific because I suspect that the colleges will narrow
24 down even further the teacher preparation programs. And they
25 will say, well, now we discover that it doesn't matter what

1 subject you are teaching as long as you know class management.

2 And I tend to believe that that wouldn't be the
3 case.

4 MS. KLEIN: No, that wouldn't be the case.

5 DR. SEABORG: Well, maybe as one last question --
6 perhaps a touchy one but it may evoke a lively response. It
7 has to do with the length of the school day.

8 I learned from the news media just the other day
9 that California seems to have one of the shortest school
10 days in the nation.

11 Does anybody have any thoughts on that?

12 DR. TAYLOR: I would like to respond to that. I
13 don't know whether what you are saying is true about the
14 length of the day in California. But I do know there is
15 legislation either pending or about to be introduced in the
16 legislature to lengthen the school day in California.

17 It has been suggested by Mayor Bradley of Los
18 Angeles that perhaps if we lengthen the school day, we can
19 keep the kids in school. That way they won't go home and
20 watch television and we can break their addiction to
21 television that way.

22 If that is the purpose of lengthening the school
23 day, I suspect we had better not.

24 DR. SEABORG: No, I don't think that would be the
25 reason.

1 DR. TAYLOR: My serious comment is, in my mind
2 there is no question that teacher contact with students
3 improves their learning. And if you are going to give me
4 more time with the student, I can enhance their learning.

5 And in that respect, I would certainly be in favor
6 of a longer school day so that I could have more minutes
7 with the students.

8 DR. SEABORG: Sarah?

9 MS. KLEIN: I was just going to say that I don't
10 know that it's the length of the day that makes the difference.
11 Again, it is the contact with the student.

12 Someone on the panel mentioned the fact that
13 teachers were doing so many other things with students now
14 that schools have become a total social agency rather than
15 a learning institution.

16 And I believe that we really need to address this
17 fact also, that we must make schools a learning institution
18 instead of all of the social functions that go on.

19 So, if we can have X-number of minutes with that
20 student, fine. But not interrupted. And try to get some
21 sort of -- again, we mentioned listening skills because they
22 are literally trained for a commercial break.

23 They have listening skills that last for maybe
24 seven minutes, and then a minute and a half for commercials,
25 the seven more minutes and a minute and a half of commercial,

1 within a fifteen minute span or a half-hour span.

2 And so you plan your class program so that you have
3 that break, and then more concentration, a break and then
4 more concentration. I have learned to group and regroup over
5 the years many times depending upon the media of the moment,
6 and depending upon the thrust of the times.

7 And in Connecticut, there was a mandate that we
8 must have some guidelines in 11 field areas, 11 subject
9 areas, to be instituted if the communities needed them by the
10 fall of 1981. And I was fortunate, or unfortunate as the
11 case may be, to work on that set of guidelines.

12 And one of the areas we looked at was length of time
13 -- maybe you would like to look at that study that we did for
14 science. And I know math was the same thing.

15 And I think it is direct contact with the students
16 that is important.

17 DR. SEABORG: Bernie?

18 DR. OLIVER: There is some evidence that the length
19 of the day doesn't matter. I don't know whether to believe
20 the evidence or not.

21 During the baby boom, many California schools had
22 to go to double session. The results of tests there seemed
23 to indicate that students on double sessions did just as
24 well as students that were not on double sessions.

25 On the other hand, there were several things that

1 each student would learn, whether it be double session or not.
2 And there may very well have been less learning in the double
3 sessions students, even though the tests indicated higher
4 scores. So one would really have to study that with care.

5 My own feeling about the length of the school day
6 is that it shouldn't be so long as to not give the teacher
7 time to do adequate preparation and correction of papers and
8 all the other things that must attend the educational process.

9 DR. SEABORG: All right, I think that if we are
10 going to reconvene at 1 o'clock, which is the plan, we
11 probabaly should break now.

12 I had mentioned earlier that I was going to announce
13 the individuals who will have an opportunity to testify
14 beginning at 4 p.m. Each individual will have five minutes
15 to make a statement and that will take us to the end of the
16 day.

17 I will have more to say about the earlier part of
18 the afternoon right after lunch. The record will remain
19 open until April 11 and we welcome written testimony from all
20 other interested individuals.

21 These are the 11 people who have indicated a desire
22 to testify this afternoon:

23 Frank Oppenheimer

24 Leigh Burstein

25 Judy Chamberlain

1 Kathleen Hulbard

2 Ted Perry

3 Paul Hurd

4 Elizabeth Karplus

5 Louis Fein

6 Bob McFarland

7 Katherine Burt

8 Joe Hoffman

9 So that you for your attention. We will see
10 you at 1 o'clock.

11 (Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m., the public hearing was
12 recessed until 1 p.m.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(1:05 p.m.)

DR. SEABORG: Shall we start?

Our agenda for this afternoon is very tight. We are going to have a rather complicated technological way of keeping people on schedule. I will try to explain that to you here.

There are 17 individuals who have been invited to present testimony on programs in which they are involved or on perspectives that have on the topics before us today.

Rather than announce the complete list now, I will introduce each person in the order listed on the agenda. I ask each of the people as they come up to make their presentation, to identify themselves and their connection.

Each person will have five to seven minutes in which to make a statement. An electronic device will begin to beep after five minutes to help us stay on schedule. And I would appreciate -- I assume each of those who are going to speak has a schedule -- I would appreciate it if the next two that are on schedule would come up and sit at the table as the person that is up there is speaking and sit there as the individual testifies.

We will then begin with Mr. John Martin, and

1 then two more come up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF JOHN MARTIN, ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT

2 PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

3 MR. MARTIN: Chairman Seaborg, Members of the
4 Commission, ladies and gentlemen, I come to you from
5 a school district that I believe to have a fairly enviable
6 reputation for excellence in math and science education.
7 The Palo Alto School District weathered the student storms
8 of the sixties and is in the process of attempting to
9 weather the financial storm that followed the passage of
10 Proposition 13.

11 Student enrollment in college-recommended
12 science and mathematics courses and students' performance in
13 college entrance examinations or achievement tests and
14 SAT advance placement examinations continue to demonstrate
15 the magic that results from a combination of a supportive
16 community, a motivated and goal-oriented student
17 population and a competent and dedicated teaching faculty.

18 Before making the move from this pointing with
19 pride to a position of viewing with alarm, permit me to
20 make a few additional observations.

21 Palo Alto operates two high schools for the
22 student population of about 3,500 students. Seven periods
23 of instruction are available and the average student
24 enrollment is in excess of six periods over the four
25 years.

1 Historically, 85 percent of graduating seniors
2 enroll for post-secondary educational opportunities,
3 with 55 percent earning at least a bachelor's degree.

4 Currently -- and I think this will be of interest
5 to you -- 72 percent of the 11th grade students in our
6 two high schools are enrolled for Math III, with 66
7 percent for Math IV, with 26 percent of that latter number
8 taking a calculus course and another 200 enrolled in Math
9 42, which is a computer programming course.

10 Of the juniors and seniors currently enrolled
11 in courses beyond the geometry, 45 percent are female.
12 Of the 2628 grades 10 through 12, 80 percent are enrolled in
13 college-recommended courses in laboratory science,
14 biology, chemistry, geology or physics.

15 Three hundred and sixty-four of those students
16 are enrolled in district-supported college level courses
17 in physics, chemistry and biology. Of the 10th to 12th
18 grade students currently enrolled in laboratory science
19 courses, 44 percent are female.

20 The 10-year history of the college entrance
21 examination course for Palo Alto students shows an
22 increasing number of seniors in each class, some 60 to 70
23 percent over that period of time, and various achievement
24 tests currently at about the 50 percent level, giving the
25 array of opportunities in that setting.

1 Although those proportionate test-takers are
2 double the state and national average, the scores have
3 consistently resisted the downward trend found in national
4 scores. In fact, there has been a slight trend in the
5 upward direction over this 10-year period, particularly
6 when compared to national and state averages.

7 The two achievement scores which best demonstrate
8 the level of mathematic and scientific achievement are
9 Level II Math and Biology. The Level II Math test scores
10 average for the class of 82' was 706. That was the
11 average score, compared to the national average of
12 651.

13 The district's 10-year average on this test
14 was 705, compared to a 10-year average nationally of 660.

15 There is additonal data on the performance of
16 the students in the Palo Alto Unified School District
17 on the college entrance examination board that I have
18 included in the packet. And I will not take time on this
19 occasion to speak to that.

20 I would just observe, however, that of the June
21 1982 graduates, the average checmistry score was 602 and
22 the physics score 676. And we currently have 10 sections
23 of physics on both high school campuses.

24 Of the 130 seniors who wrote AT examinations
25 in calculus, 50 earned a score of -- 50 out of 130 --

1 96, a college credit producing score of between 3 and 5.

2 Comparable data for physics are 100, the
3 number taking the test, 39 who got 5 and 96 percent who
4 scored between 3 and 5.

5 For chemistry, the data are 30, 11 and 100
6 percent. For biology, 149, 57 and 89 percent.

7 Now for the other critical element in the
8 chemistry of excellence in math and science education,
9 the district's teaching faculty.

10 Of the 47 full-time high school math-science
11 faculty, 43 have undergraduate majors in either math
12 or science, 14 have earned master's degrees, masters of
13 science degrees in the area of their instructional
14 responsibilities -- I am not speaking of education degrees --
15 and three hold doctorates.

16 Forty-one of the faculty, 41 of those 47, have
17 90 or more units beyond the bachelor's degree, with the
18 majority of these units in either science or mathematics.

19 Since Palo Alto not only attracted competent
20 well-trained math-science faculty, but also succeeded
21 in maintaining those teachers in its employ, its faculty
22 has benefitted greatly from the NSF institutes that have
23 been spoken to earlier today, both in mathematics and
24 the various science retraining programs that were developed
25 in the post-Sputnik era.

1 That retraining has continued. Granted the
2 opportunities are far more limited. But I would like to
3 observe that the most recent evidence of this is the fact
4 that two of our science faculty are currently engaged in
5 field testing and redesigning the materials in Basic
6 Genetics: A Human Approach.

7 A highly motivated, goal oriented student body
8 requires this quality of teaching faculty if the students
9 are to achieve their aspirations. And now for the
10 alarm....

11 The time is rapidly approaching when large numbers
12 of the district's science and math faculties will be
13 eligible for retirement. The average age of one high
14 school math faculty is fifty-one. This fact -- coupled
15 with the impression, if not the fact, that fewer and
16 fewer bright, high-achieving undergraduates in math and
17 science aspire to teach -- gives testimony to the problem.
18 Moreover, statutes governing the placement of tenured
19 faculty who have been "surplussed" from the department of
20 their major preparation and experience require the district
21 to assign these teachers to openings in science and math
22 for which they are "paper qualified" because they have
23 a weak minor in math or science and a general secondary
24 teaching credential. At the very best these faculty
25 members can be expected to teach only the lowest level

1 courses in math/science. No longer then, can any member
2 of the high school math faculty teach any department
3 offering from the pre-algebra to the Calculus. Increasingly,
4 junior high math and science teachers are assigned to
5 high school teaching vacancies in these departments -- an
6 action that frequently depreciates the quality of
7 instruction at both levels. Let there be no doubt, when
8 the middle school math or science faculty demonstrates
9 weak academic preparation, the quality of student
10 performance at the high school level is bound to suffer.

11 Unless some things happen soon, the testimony
12 received by groups like this in the 1990's will be
13 different, and the difference will not be in the best
14 interests of our citizenry. I believe the problem to be
15 one of enormous proportions. In the words of one of the
16 district's instructional supervisors of mathematics,
17 ".... I predict an absolute disaster in the field of
18 mathematics education in the near future unless drastic
19 measures are taken."

20 While I don't know the extent of the actions
21 which might be taken, I believe that a first step is to
22 convince decision-makers of the gravity of the problem.
23 I suspect that the ultimate solution to the problem will
24 be costly. The opportunity to teach math and science in
25 high school must be made more secure, more rewarding.

1 Only then will the talented undergraduate look favorably to
2 teaching as a profession. The single salary schedule may
3 have outlived its usefulness. Indeed, the solution may
4 well prove very costly, but failure to solve the problem
5 is unaffordable. I suspect that quality college and
6 university programs to train curricular and instructional
7 leaders in math and science could be expected to follow,
8 even though at the moment such programs are non-existent
9 or -- at best -- in disarray.

10 I don't know the answer. I believe, however, that
11 I have a feel for the problem. I welcome the opportunity
12 to elicit the help of this Commission in verifying the
13 problem and in addressing itself to its solution. I see
14 little way that a single district, however successful,
15 can respond effectively.

16 Ladies and gentlemen, I am sorry for having
17 overshot my mark.

18 DR. SEABORG: No, that is all right. You have
19 a minute or two after you hear the beep, which you used.

20 MR. MARTIN: Which I have used.

21 DR. SEABORG: All right, the next speaker is
22 Ruth Willis. Introduce yourself and your connection.

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF RUTH WILLIS

HAMILTON JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL, OAKLAND

MS. WILLIS: Thank you. My name is Ruth Willis and I am at Hamilton Junior High School in Oakland, California.

Let me tell you quickly something about my school. It is a seventh to ninth grade school. There are 1100 to 1250 students in the school. That is the difference from fall to spring enrollments.

The student body is 42 percent black, 35 percent Spanish surname and of the Spanish surname, roughly two-thirds of those students have been in this country five years and one-third are recent arrivals. Ten percent of the student body are Asian. Half of those are U. S. born and half recent immigrants, mostly Cambodians, Laotians, Indonese and Vietnamese.

The Asians that are U. S. born tend to be Chinese. We have few Filipinos. There is a boast that we have more Native Americans at our school than any school in California. I don't know if that is true, but it seems about 30 to 45 students -- and five percent white students and a smattering of other people.

The teaching staff is a direct reflection of the ethnicity of the students, with the exception that there seems to be a lack of Spanish-speaking women and a lack of

1 black males. But in numbers, they are about the same
2 proportion.

3 In addition, more than 80 percent of the student
4 body come into Hamilton below grade level on national
5 test scores.

6 The school has a history that is important, that I
7 had better quickly mention. Twenty-five years ago it was a
8 white middle-class, upper middle-class prep school. Today,
9 obviously, it isn't.

10 Six years ago, the school was denounced by lots
11 and lots of people in many, many areas for being a school
12 where there were race riots and extortion and incompetent
13 faculty.

14 There was a change of administration. The students
15 after six years can actually go through a career program.
16 And since that time, we are still stuck with a PR problem.
17 It is typified by the fact that 60 or so identified sixth
18 graders -- identified by the teachers as high potential --
19 were enrolled in Hamilton last spring and only eight or so
20 showed up in the fall.

21 A positive look on that is that what also happened
22 to us is that in previous years, parents who kept their
23 students at Hamilton through the eighth grade program, when
24 it was time to go to Algebra, we would typically lose ten
25 students before they go to Algebra. They would go to other

1 schools for high school.

2 An indication of success is that last year we lost
3 only three.

4 On our staff, we have eight teachers teaching
5 math at Hamilton. One has an undergraduate degree in
6 mathematics, one has a minor in mathematics and two have had
7 at least one course in math since they have left high
8 school.

9 The rest of the teachers have no formal math
10 training after high school. And I am afraid that that is
11 typical of math teachers in junior high schools across
12 the country.

13 A difference I think in Hamilton math teachers is
14 that they have all taken advantage of inservice support.
15 And a change has been that the teachers manage somehow to get
16 enough energy and enough enjoyment to do what they want to
17 do.

18 And those inservice supports that I know of,
19 that people talk about, and will talk about more, are of
20 three kinds.

21 One, curriculum support. Teachers need to know
22 more about how to teach analysis. They need to know more
23 about how to teach word problem skills, word problem-
24 solving skills, statistics, probability, computer literacy.
25 They need more support in mathematics.

1 A wholly-NFS-supported program was very important
2 to a couple of teachers at our school. They just learned
3 more about algebra and calculus.

4 And the other kind of support they need is in
5 methodology. And the best way for them to get it is that the
6 inservice be taught in a way that we would like to see them
7 teach their students. So that they get a chance to
8 participate in doing mathematics and not just have it poured
9 down their throats.

10 And I know Nancy Kreinberg is here and will
11 certainly speak to that.

12 The other kind of inservice in methodology that is
13 very important is that someone come out to the classrooms
14 to help teachers. There is a program, CCPT, that I am sure
15 you will hear about at some point where teachers get support
16 in their classrooms in watching each other teach and
17 watching another teacher teaching.

18 Enough about the teachers.

19 The kind of problems and successes we have had:
20 One of our successes is that our math teachers' typical
21 day starts at 7:30 and ends at 5. And I don't know that
22 teachers typically do that.

23 The other thing, we have a problem with boys in
24 mathematics. The top of our seventh grade class are boys
25 due to regular sexism. The top of our ninth grade class are

1 are girls; possibly due to extraordinary sexism and
2 racism, but we don't know.

3 But the thing that we have found that has made a
4 difference is that we had mentors in. The boys could
5 identify with the mentors. We have had after-school,
6 before-school classes. And we have typically 45 students
7 spending an extra hour after school voluntarily three
8 days a week at Hamilton.

9 We created peer groups for the boys where
10 mathematics is important, as well as for the girls.

11 And since my time is almost about up, I might
12 stop except for one more thing.

13 The most important thing we had to do was to make
14 sure that the students felt they were capable. And in
15 order to feel that they are capable, it is important to
16 give them lots and lots of praise for doing language, as
17 well as mathematics.

18 And the result of that is that my students ask
19 better questions, they ask questions like, "but how can I
20 tell the degree of this polynomial if zero is a legitimate
21 coefficient? I mean it could be hiding, zero times into the
22 15th somewhere."

23 That is important to me. And the way you get that
24 to happen is, you do things like, "tell me how to add
25 one-half and one-fourth. Explain it using the word numeric.

1 Explain it using the word numerator and denominator in a
2 sentence that has at least 20 words. Write a paragraph
3 about it."

4 "Describe to me how to draw a triangle. Turn
5 it around, you can't tell me what to do. I just need the
6 words."

7 And it builds up that precision and confidence --
8 and I am out of time.

9 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

10 The next speaker is Sam Dederian. Because
11 Mr. Dederian is representing several groups in San
12 Francisco, he has been allotted 10 minutes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF SAM DEDERIAN

2 SCIENCE SPECIALIST

3 SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

4 MR. DEDERIAN: Wonderful.

5 I am Sam Dederian, the science specialist for the
6 San Francisco Unified School District. I am going to
7 describe to you two exemplary programs, one in science
8 and one in mathematics.

9 And then what I was asked to do is give some
10 personal reflections on teacher training in science and
11 mathematics.

12 If you want to know something well, just try to
13 teach it. Successful teaching not only requires knowledge,
14 but the ability to analyze, to organize and to know your
15 audience.

16 The science docent program at George Washington
17 High School in San Francisco gives high school students
18 the opportunity to learn and then to teach in an exciting
19 and realistic environment.

20 The science docents are trained to teach elementary
21 school children who visit the Strybring Arboretum in Golden
22 Gate Park. The rich collection of living plants in an
23 outdoor setting provides visitors with so many things to do
24 that one must return several times. And the children do
25 that.

1 The science docents conduct four different walks
2 over the school year. The topics include seed dispersal,
3 flowers, the redwood forest, native California plants,
4 and this year they are going to begin a walk on endangered
5 plant species.

6 Typically, the high school students spend about
7 two weeks preparing for each of these walks. The read from
8 a variety of resources, carry out laboratory activities
9 and participate in discussions with their teacher and the
10 professional staff from the Arboretum.

11 At the same time, the skills they need to present
12 the information to the children are developed. Role playing
13 is one of these useful techniques.

14 When they are ready, teams of docents go to the
15 park during their lunch period and class period. Each
16 docent leads a group of seven or eight children and, as
17 they move about, points out features of plant adaptation,
18 reproduction, ecological relationships and even uses by the
19 Native American Indians.

20 Each walk takes about 50 minutes. And at other
21 times, the high school students visit classrooms at one of
22 the four elementary schools that participate in this project.
23 And at that time, they present lessons or demonstrations
24 which were not conveniently presented in the park.

25 When not preparing for or conducting lessons for

1 the elementary school students, the docents are busily
2 engaged with their teacher learning the biology and ecology
3 of plants, preparing their own projects and discussing
4 various aspects of plant ecology and environment in general
5 with guest speakers.

6 This instructional level is high as this is
7 considered to be a college-preparatory course. Initial
8 funding for this program was provided for by the Environmental
9 Education Fund of the State Department of Education. This
10 program has been going on for about three years.

11 The science docent course is a combination of
12 theory, practice and communication which is rarely allowed
13 in high school students. Perhaps its most important benefit
14 has been the development of interpersonal relationships.

15 We also have a mathematics program which was
16 developed in our school district and has spread out through-
17 out the state. And that old saying that "one is not a
18 prophet in one's own home town" is absolutely true here.
19 I think this program is more popular outside of San
20 Francisco than it is in San Francisco.

21 But this year that has changed somewhat. The
22 program is called Consumer Math in the Electronic Age --
23 very briefly called Calculator Math.

24 Over the years there has been heated discussion
25 about placing calculators in the hands of students. A

1 primary concern seems to have been the assumption that
2 students would not develop skills for doing computation
3 mentally. We all know, however, that the successful use
4 of arithmetic in real life involves the ability to
5 understand the problem, to select the appropriate
6 operation and to estimate the result. Only then does one
7 engage in computation.

8 Since the calculator performs the computation
9 accurately, the student using one may be able to
10 concentrate on the other operations.

11 It would be interesting to know if computational
12 skills can be enhanced when a student performs the other
13 operations with confidence.

14 The program was developed for the ninth grade
15 arithmetic curriculum as a supplement. And the students,
16 on the average of one period a week, use the calculator
17 math program materials that have been developed.

18 Usually, about 15 calculators are all that is
19 needed. And two students per calculator. The interaction
20 of two students working on one calculator usually helps
21 the learning process.

22 The lessons deal with the individual skills that
23 are a part of the regular curriculum in a relatively
24 consistent manner. Each operation is introduced with an
25 instruction sheet which describes how the calculator can

1 be used to carry out that particular operation.

2 This is followed by a work sheet on which the
3 student is asked to estimate the answer before operating
4 the calculator. Once that is finished, the student is
5 directed to word problems using the same skills.

6 Finally, two tests are given, one where the
7 calculator is used and one where it is not used.

8 The program has been evaluated quantitatively
9 and qualitatively. Here are some of the findings.

10 In one evaluation of ninth graders, the pre-test
11 and post-test scores for the experimental -- that is,
12 the calculator-using group -- was two units. And for the
13 control group, it was 1.2 units. That is an increase in
14 both cases.

15 But the test -- and this is important -- the test
16 for both groups was taken without the calculator.

17 In another study, again both groups not using
18 the calculator, the low-grade level experimental
19 population gained 2.1 years, and the control population
20 gained 1.3 years.

21 This might help some teachers. Teachers reported
22 that the attendance on those days that they used the
23 calculators was higher than on those days when they used
24 the traditional type mix.

25 Evaluators also observed that low ability students

1 and girls -- that is not the same group, but two separate
2 groups -- were motivated and interested in using the
3 calculator.

4 They speculate that the instrument gave students
5 confidence. And here is another remarkable thing. The
6 teachers were receptive to the workshop training, and these
7 were very well-experienced teachers who said, no, not
8 another workshop. But they wanted to go to this workshop
9 because they recognized they could use the material
10 immediately.

11 The program adheres to the priorities developed
12 by the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics in 1980.
13 Those are:

- 14 1. Problem solving should be focus of
15 school mathematics; and
- 16 2. Basic skills should be defined
17 to encompass more computation facility;
18 and finally
- 19 3. Mathematics programs should take full
20 advantage of the power of calculators
21 and computers at all levels.

22 Most of the work problems deal with real life
23 situations and consumer situations, such as calculating
24 food bills, electric bills, and so forth.

25 Students engage in mental arithmetic, estimation,

1 rounding off with a problem-solving focus. Finally, the
2 students are using a tool which will allow them to do more
3 sophisticated computation more rapidly.

4 Now, what I have to say here are personal
5 reflections. This is not a scientific report by any means.
6 But bear with me.

7 It has been said at times that mathematics
8 instruction in our schools does not adequately prepare
9 students for collegiate training. Perhaps there are many
10 reasons for this phenomenon.

11 I have chosen to characterize one factor -- the
12 status of teacher training, and support it with a little
13 bit of data from my own school district. No national
14 studies here.

15 Perhaps what I have to say describes the
16 situations in other school districts. I will leave that
17 to the judgment of others.

18 There appears to be a need to develop in
19 practicing science and mathematics teachers the techniques
20 which are effective. Closely following this is the need
21 to reexamine the curriculum. And what we mean by
22 curriculum is content and a process. That is, what is
23 taught and how to teach it.

24 I believe, however, that the nature of the
25 curriculum ultimately depends upon the quality and

1 effectiveness of the teacher training effort.

2 The curriculum is a tool used by teachers to
3 promote learning. What good is a tool if one doesn't know
4 how to use it? The teachers, not the curriculum, do the
5 teaching.

6 I suggest that our attention should be directed at
7 the need for training practicing teachers. There is only
8 one critical mass in education, and that is the teacher.

9 What that person knows, how that person perceives
10 his role and how that person refines his students' natural
11 curiosity into the skills of inquiry and analysis will
12 determine the outcome.

13 This nation has had some successfull experiences
14 with the training of practicing science and mathematics
15 teachers. The National Science Foundation curriculum
16 projects and inservice institutes of the 1960s have had
17 profound influence on teachers, text books and instructional
18 materials and even laboratory facilities.

19 But the effect was transitory. For soon after the
20 nurturing of a student is a process consuming several
21 years, so too is the sustenance of a teacher.

22 Someone once described pre-service education
23 courses as survival training. And I had to agree with that,
24 that they are not sufficient to qualify the beginning teacher
25 to confidently and effectively carry out his responsibilities

1 over a teaching period of thirty years.

2 Our society -- if you don't believe me, read
3 Alvin Toffler's Third Wave -- our society has been changing
4 by decades not lifetimes. My colleagues and I have been
5 one, two, three decades away from our respective schools'
6 education.

7 School districts do indeed require the teachers to
8 take college courses to qualify for higher salary
9 classifications. But once the teacher has reached the top of
10 his salary schedule, what incentive is there to continue
11 training?

12 In the San Francisco school district, one need not
13 accumulate any academic credits beyond the twelfth year.
14 That is, if one is at the third level.

15 In a quick survey, the secondary school teachers
16 with major degrees in science and mathematics -- and an
17 interesting statistic appeared at the middle and high school
18 levels -- 89 percent of the science teachers and 91 percent
19 of the mathematics teachers have twelve or more years of
20 seniority.

21 Dr. Seaborg, can I have two more minutes?

22 DR. SEABORG: All right.

23 MR. DEDERIAN: Thank you.

24 This does not imply that all teachers who have
25 reached this level of seniority do not seek further

1 training. They do. But considering the changes in our
2 society in general, and changes in student populations
3 in many schools, the need for more and extensive and
4 continued training may be indicated.

5 The training of members of the faculty should be a
6 planned affair which supports the educational objectives
7 of the school. If the school intends to establish a new
8 process, many members of the faculty must undergo that same
9 training experience.

10 There is no use in having one member of the
11 faculty go to a workshop. A school should not be the result
12 of an accidental collection of scholars.

13 May I suggest another factor which may inhibit
14 the training of science and math teachers. College fees
15 are particularly costly these days. Some teachers have
16 taken on second jobs in summer employment to support their
17 responsibilities.

18 Perhaps some teachers do not take courses because
19 of the cost. I don't fault them. And the message I wanted
20 to transmit is that the training of teachers is too
21 important to leave to chance and the financial status of the
22 individual.

23 I want to look at the age distribution of teachers
24 in San Francisco. If you take the age of 55 years as a
25 reference point, about 16 percent of our present teachers

1 who majored in mathematics and about 20 percent of our present
2 teachers who majored in science are within ten years
3 of retirement.

4 However, at the other end of the spectrum, there
5 are no mathematics majors younger than the age of 32, and
6 only four who have science majors younger than the age of 32.

7 What will be the teachers, teachers who average
8 about 47-48 years of age, what will be the schools when they
9 become senior faculty members? Who will be the teachers
10 entering the lower grades of the profession, what kind of
11 training will they receive?

12 Now we come to the question of what the
13 government can do to improve the schools. In the past,
14 enormous amounts of money have been pumped into the schools
15 from all levels of government.

16 All right, a promise is a promise.

17 DR. SEABORG: All right.

18 LeRoy Finkel.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATEMENT OF LEROY FINKEL

SAN MATEO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

MR. FINKEL: Thank you, Chairman Seaborg, Members of the Commission and members of the audience among whom I see a lot of friends.

I am LeRoy Finkel, Instructional Computing Coordinator for the San Mateo County Office of Education. I am a little bit uncomfortable I think because I spent my undergraduate years at Berkeley. And every time I step on this campus, I get a little nervous.

This report will describe the software evaluation, software dissemination, and inservice training services provided to schools by the Microcomputer Center of the San Mateo County Office of Education.

Our program differs somewhat from the other exemplary programs you will review in that we influence the educational development of students indirectly, by providing training and service to teachers and school administrators, some of which you have heard the need for earlier.

We are in full operation now. We have been for two years. Our entire operation has been funded to date from base program funds with no financial assistance from special state or federal programs.

We have three primary goals. The first of which is to train teachers and administrators in the use of

1 computers so they can make sound plans and wise decisions
2 regarding the purchase and use of computers in their
3 classrooms.

4 A second goal is to tprovide and develop free and
5 inexpensive classroom computer materials for teachers to
6 use with children.

7 A third goal is to provide a resource where
8 teachers can come to screen and evaluate classroom materials
9 as they come out of the marketplace and to disseminate
10 reviews of thse materials to a wide national audience.

11 The microcomputer center currently serves as a
12 de facto prototype regional center for the State of
13 California. The center was primarily designed to meet the
14 eEducational needs of San Mateo County.

15 However, since we are the first and the most
16 complete center in terms of staff, computer hardware,
17 computer software and related resources, we have also acted
18 as the regional center for many school districts outside
19 our own county.

20 Most of the other offices in California that are
21 planning to establish microcomputer centers like ours have
22 visited our center as part of their planning.

23 Our central location in the San Francisco Bay
24 Area near the Silicon Valley manufacturers has made us a
25 very convenient visiting point. In addition, we have gained

1 the reputation of having both an experienced and knowledge-
2 able staff and a strong overall office commitment to the
3 uses of microcomputers in education.

4 During our first two years of operation, we have
5 had visitors from 35 California counties, 22 other states,
6 three Canadian provinces and nine other foreign countries --
7 something over 1700 visitors.

8 Our office works very closely with a very unique
9 organization called "Computer Using Educators." It is a
10 three-year-old not-for-profit professional organization,
11 California-based, that acts as a support group for
12 computer using teachers.

13 It is a very exciting group of people, now with
14 a national reputation, 2600 dues-paying members in 41 states
15 and nine foreign countries.

16 CUE holds large conferences in California, one
17 coming up again in May. Again, CUE is a not-for-profit
18 organization sponsored by low registration fees paid by
19 participants. At no time has any federal, state or other
20 grant money been made available for CUE activities.

21 With CUE's help, our microcomputer center was
22 formed, just about two years ago. It is a joint operation
23 between CUE and the county office. It is part of the
24 library facility which makes it unique. It is part of
25 our instructional materials display center.

1 We consider that computer hardware and particularly
2 computer software should be treated as a new form of
3 instructional media to be integrated into existing media
4 collections. And we demonstrate that in our office.

5 We currently have eleven microcomputers systems in
6 the center, and educators from all over the country come in
7 to have a "hands-on" experience in a noncommercial, relaxed
8 environment.

9 All the hardware has been placed in the center on
10 a long-term loan from the manufacturers. However, I don't
11 suggest that anybody here should try that, because I don't
12 think that you are going to get away with it.

13 We have an on-going series of demonstrations of
14 new computer hardware and of new software on a regular basis.
15 We also have other scheduled events for local teachers.

16 One of the major tasks of our staff is to train
17 teachers and school administrators in this new technology.
18 The training ranges from computer awareness sessions for
19 absolute beginners, to software evaluation sessions, to
20 hands-on computer programming workshops for beginners and
21 advanced.

22 We have created active computer-users groups for
23 each major computer type that are used in the schools, and
24 for special educators.

25 These groups meet to change ideas and teaching

1 suggestions and have been a great source of in-service
2 training.

3 All of the area colleges and universities have used
4 our center for their computer-related courses, including
5 Stanford University. We have supported these college courses
6 and expect to sponsor a number of courses through other Bay
7 Area colleges.

8 Our instructional Computing Coordinator works
9 closely with county school districts helping them plan their
10 computer related curriculum, directing their evaluation of
11 software and helping them select computer hardware.

12 We maintain at the center an expensive collection
13 of books and journals, including all of the current computer
14 publications and computer in education publications, in
15 addition to curriculum guides that we have collected from
16 throughout the country.

17 We have a software of public domain computer
18 programs that have been donated by teachers throughout the
19 country. We have something over 300 programs that are
20 available to teachers who come into our center, free.

21 If you come into our center, you can copy the
22 disks that we have and walk out of there with over 300
23 programs free.

24 We also sell these diskettes by mail order, and in
25 six months we have sold over 1000 diskettes throughout the

1 country. We place these programs free in other offices
2 throughout the country and other county offices in California
3 where teachers are able to come in and have them free
4 there, too.

5 The most recent project in our center is called
6 the California Media Consortium for Classroom Evaluation.
7 What we have discovered is that there are 3000 software
8 packages available on the market for schools, but less than
9 5 percent of them have been critically reviewed.

10 A group of 56 librarians in the State of
11 California have taken it upon themselves to encourage
12 teachers to critically evaluate these programs, using them
13 with children. Our hope is that we will be able to
14 disseminate these evaluations throughout the country soon.

15 We will disseminate both these evaluations and our
16 free programs through electronic means. We haven't decided
17 exactly how to do that. That is a tough one.

18 In your packet you will find a variety of
19 supplemental materials including an application form for
20 Computer-Using Educators.

21 Thank you.

22 DR. SEABORG: Thank you, LeRoy.

23 All right, the next speaker will be Robert Bell.
24
25

1 STATEMENT OF ROBERT BELL

2 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, SAN JOSE

3 MR. BELL: Dr. Seaborg and Members of the
4 Commission, I am Robert C. Bell, Manager of Employee
5 Communication and Community Relations for General Electric
6 Company's nuclear energy business in San Jose, California.

7 In addition, I am currently president of the
8 Industry Education Council of Santa Clara County in the heart
9 of Silicon Valley.

10 The focus of my remarks today will be from General
11 Electric's perspective as a member of industry. I will
12 describe first our philosophical view of science, math and
13 technology education and, second, I will translate those
14 thoughts into action.

15 First of all, our views in this area go back to
16 the very founding of General Electric and the reasons we
17 still exist today more than 100 years later.

18 Our past and continued success as a profitable
19 enterprise has been and will be the result of the creative
20 efforts of thousands upon thousands of well-trained and
21 educated scientists and engineers.

22 Over the past 100 years, few people's lives in this
23 world have not been touched directly or indirectly by G. E.
24 developed technologies. From our first light bulb to
25 explorations in outer space, G. E. engineers and scientists

1 have remained on the leading edge of numerous technological
2 disciplines.

3 Our emphasis on technology is an integral part of
4 our corporate culture. For the future we see no lessening
5 of our need for a vast reservoir of technology-trained
6 creative men and women.

7 And based on that view, we are concerned about the
8 quality of science and math instruction in America. Overall,
9 education in this country is a national resource
10 of which we should all be proud.

11 All sectors of our society must work to protect and
12 preserve our investment in the quality of its institutions.
13 We also believe there is a second factor with much broader
14 implications for our society as a whole, that is greater than
15 General Electric's concern as a commercial high-technology
16 enterprise.

17 As we look ahead, we see the computer being one
18 of the most pervasive influences in our lives, at and away
19 from work. Using that term "computer," I want to imply the
20 broadest possible context. That is, the total body of
21 hardware and software required to produce computers and all
22 the products and services we can now and might in the future
23 derive from their use.

24 I believe the importance of high-quality science
25 and math education is clear. General Electric also feels

1 General Electric also feels that our role in the
2 educational process should be to serve as an information
3 resource, not as educators.

4 We think that we can best serve the educational
5 process by stimulating and motivating students, teachers and
6 counsellors to learn more about careers in industry.

7 Having discussed our philosophical point of view,
8 I want to shift gears from that plane to a pragmatistical level
9 and describe three specific programs General Electric
10 currently sponsors:

11 Educators in Industry

12 World of Work

13 Program to Increase Minority Engineering Graduates,
14 or PIMEG, as we call it.

15 The Educators in Industry program is the result
16 of General Electric's historic interest in what is now known
17 as career education.

18 For more than 30 years, General Electric has
19 published and provided career guidance booklets, posters and
20 other materials for students, teachers and counselors.

21 In today's complex social climate, career
22 education takes on new significance. The prospect of
23 continuing high youth unemployment can be traced to a number
24 of problems of various institutions that will not be solved
25 easily.

1 However, the Educators in Industry concept
2 addresses part of the dilemma. It provides educators with
3 opportunities to learn about industrial careers by gaining
4 first-hand knowledge of the nature and range of employment
5 in their regions.

6 Educators are thus better equipped to prepare
7 students for the world of work. In turn, communities and
8 employers benefit from a better qualified labor pool. These
9 graduate credit programs are usually held once a year under
10 the auspices of local colleges and universities, with
11 faculty members acting as coordinators to plan the course
12 content in cooperation with representatives from G. E. and
13 other businesses.

14 Each program is tailored to the employment needs
15 and resources of our participating G. E. plant communities.
16 Sessions are held at our industrial sites, with participation
17 by employees of various backgrounds.

18 The program can include workshops, panel discussions,
19 lectures and real time work experience. The General Electric
20 Foundation, which is a separate independent trust, underwrites
21 the major expenses for these programs in support of career
22 education.

23 A second program sponsored by G. E. is called the
24 World of Work. Principally a secondary school communication
25 program, it is an integral part of our overall corporate

1 objective of being an effective resource for education.

2 We seek to build mutually-rewarding relationships
3 by, one, stimulating interest in the world of work and
4 motivating students to be better than the best in school
5 subjects and prepare themselves for their careers.

6 And, two, demonstrating support for educators by
7 providing them with helpful career education materials.

8 The third General Electric effort I want to describe
9 is PIMEG. That is our Program to Increase Minority
10 Engineering Graduates.

11 Now ten years old, PIMEG was the first in a
12 national effort to increase substantially the proportion of
13 minorities in the pool of engineering talent in the United
14 States.

15 Our most recent company-wide survey in 1980
16 reveals these efforts have improved and matured. They have
17 evolved into many collaborative efforts with over 430 other
18 employers and some 50 minority organizations.

19 This was accomplished through 80 continuing
20 activities in 48 cities and communities in 22 states. We
21 also have involved 1200 educators and more than 1100 parents
22 in this process.

23 The participating G. E. businesses are contributing
24 over one-half million dollars in scholarships and other
25 activities that involve 28,500 minority students in PIMEG.

1 These resources are in addition to those committed at our
2 corporate level, and those of the independent General
3 Electric Foundation.

4 I have provided samples of these materials for the
5 Commission staff. I would be happy to answer any
6 questions you may have.

7 Thank you.

8 DR. SEABORG: Thank you. I hope that all of the
9 speakers will stay until the end of this series of
10 presentations. Then if there is time, we will have
11 questions.

12 The next speaker is Olivia Martinez.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATEMENT OF OLIVIA MARTINEZ
SAN JOSE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

AND

CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION FOR BILINGUAL EDUCATION

MS. MARTINEZ: Good afternoon. I want to begin by saying that I want to speak on a topic that is extremely timely for those of us in education.

My name is Olivia Martinez. I am the administrator of instruction and student services for the San Jose Unified School District. I am here speaking on behalf of our 33,000 students as well as the Limited English Speaking Student population in California.

Also, I am here on behalf of the California Association for Bilingual Education, of which I am the President.

In California, we have a very diverse population, as you well know. And my concern in preparing my remarks this morning was to try and give you some considerations regarding the numbers of limited English proficient students not only in California, but throughout the country.

Mathematics, science and technology have been, right here in the middle of Silicon Valley, a matter of deep interest to us. Mathematics particularly has been a subject that has been of considerable significance for limited English proficient students.

1 This is true mainly because students who do not
2 fully comprehend or speak the English language often have
3 been able to survive in the public schools because of their
4 knowledge of the international language of mathematics.

5 Often it has been that grasp, that ability to
6 perform in math that has really saved them from being
7 relegated to special education programs or from labels of
8 mentally retarded or otherwise put in a category of
9 disparagement regarding their true potential.

10 So we consider mathematics to be a really key area
11 for our students to be able to demonstrate their true
12 potential and capability. Even given the fact that much of
13 our mathematics instruction obviously is dependent upon
14 language skill.

15 Now, our public schools in California and
16 throughout the nation are in a period of very troubled times,
17 as you well know. The situation of declining enrollment,
18 coupled with the economy, the fiscal cutbacks and all of the
19 critical budgetary issues that are facing public schools
20 are causing us to rise to a challenge that we have not
21 really had to meet before.

22 At the present time, our own district is going
23 through considerable layoffs in personnel. As teacher
24 seniority laws are written in our state, and I am sure
25 throughout the country, the main consideration is, of course,

1 to seniority.

2 This means that, for example, in our area many of
3 the teachers that we will be losing from our system are in
4 fact math and science teachers, younger math and science
5 teachers, who have been hired in the more recent years. They
6 are the very teachers who have come out benefitting from the
7 most recent advances in mathematics and science education.

8 That is a real loss. That means the teachers that
9 will remain in our schools teaching math and science may not
10 have taught those subjects for many years or may never have,
11 in fact, majored in either of those two topics.

12 This of course happens at a time when the
13 universities are raising their requirements in math and
14 science. I am sure most of you are aware of that. New
15 demands have been made on the public school systems to excel
16 their math and science instruction just when we may be
17 losing our very best math and science teachers.

18 Now, some people may say that the quality of our
19 math and science instruction in public schools has been
20 lacking to begin with. Some will go even farther than that
21 and say that we have not done a good job in teaching our
22 teachers to teach math, that we have not paid enough
23 attention to it, and that we have not done a good job in
24 teaching, especially the qualitative aspects of mathematics.

25 Quantitatively speaking, we have been able to

1 teach the children to compute and to master the basic
2 requirements. But when it comes to their conceptual skills
3 and analytical skills, the ability to really use
4 mathematics in terms of being able to apply them to
5 situations that demand a systematic analytical solution or
6 problem solving, than we have been unable to teach them
7 basic thinking skills -- teaching children to think and
8 reason.

9 Now, we know that those skills are extremely
10 important, not only for academic success in our public
11 schools, but for success in life.

12 And into all of this dilemma, I ask you to
13 consider wherein lies the limited English proficient student,
14 the student who comes from a home where English is not the
15 first language, or comes from an environment where another
16 language is spoken.

17 We know from the basic research that has been done
18 in the last 10 years, and from our practical experience
19 really over the last few years, that a student learns best
20 when he is taught in a language he understands.

21 We also know that once a student has mastered
22 basic concepts and basic skills, he can very easily transfer
23 those skills over to the newly acquired-second language,
24 English.

25 And we know that a student can learn English very

1 capably and in fact master the English language without
2 having to sacrifice learning the basic subject matter at the
3 same time.

4 So given this experience, and seeing how children
5 can succeed when they are placed in an environment where
6 their language needs are taken into consideration, we have to
7 consider that of the ---

8 Is that my time is up?

9 DR. SEABORG: Two minutes, one or two minutes.

10 MS. MARTINEZ: Of the approximately 3.8 million
11 children in the United States today who are limited English
12 proficient, fewer than 25 percent -- in fact, barely 17
13 percent -- are currently in programs where their language
14 needs are taken into consideration.

15 Given these two situations, with the struggle we
16 have in our public schools and the numbers of language
17 minority students who need and can excell in math-science
18 areas, we were really at a loss as to what to do.

19 We were elated several years ago when we had the
20 opportunity to come into contact with several researchers,
21 Dr. Edward De Avila, Dr. Elizabeth Cohen and Dr. Joann Intili,
22 who were able to come into our school system, in our
23 environment, consider our needs and bring to us a language-
24 based math-science curriculum that has been outstanding.

25 It has been a remarkable success, and we thought

1 it was one of the most promising things we have seen in a
2 long time. That is basically what I wanted to bring to your
3 attention today.

4 Because we have gotten teachers who by their own
5 admission tell us, "science and math is not my forte. I
6 don't feel comfortable teaching it." They have now become
7 some of the most exciting math-science teachers that we have.

8 And a lot of that has to do with the fact that a
9 good teacher will teach and master the basics. But we
10 desperately need new ways to approach problems that are not
11 readily solved in traditional ways.

12 We feel that this project, the Multicultural
13 Improvement of Cognitive Abilities Project, is one that
14 really could be considered as it particularly addresses the
15 language needs of children whose language is not English.

16 We are also thinking of applying it to our gifted
17 population because of the ability it has to take mathematics
18 and science and turn it into an exciting learning
19 experience, even into complex analytical problems that would
20 not even be introduced until at least the high school level.

21 So we are really pleased about that. And now my
22 time is up. So I have brought for you to take to read at
23 your convenience a copy of the executive summary of the final
24 report that explains more in detail the report I referred to.
25 So I will leave that for your information.

1 Thank you.

2 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

3 Do we have a representative from the Governor's
4 office here today?

5 MS. HUBNER: Yes.

6 DR. SEABORG: I thought so. Would you introduce
7 yourself?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF JUDITH HUBNER
2 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE
3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4 DR. HUBNER: My name is Judith Hubner. I am a
5 special assistant in the Governor's office. Governor Brown
6 regrets very much that he could not be here. He has to be
7 in Sacramento today to work with the Legislature on pressing
8 budget issues.

9 It is a privilege for me to present to you the
10 Governor's proposal for improving math, science and
11 technological education in California.

12 First, we want to congratulate the National
13 Commission on Excellence in Education for holding this public
14 hearing on Science, Mathematics and Technology Education.
15 There are few more important issues for our nation.

16 In his January 1982 State of the State address,
17 Governor Brown said, "Our propriety, even our survival
18 depends on our will to invest in people."

19 He called for policies to insure that every
20 California high school student studies at least three years
21 of mathematics and two years of science, with even more
22 courses for those going on to higher education.

23 The Governor's concern for math, science and
24 computer education is rooted in the fundamental shift
25 occurring as become an information society.

1 Nationwide, most workers today are engaged in the
2 creation and processing of information. Computer programming
3 and other technological skills are demanded, not only in new
4 industries but in such traditional occupations as banking,
5 teaching, insurance and clerical work.

6 Between 1955 and today, information-related jobs
7 increased from 17 percent to 55 percent of the total work
8 force. In California, nearly 40 percent of all new jobs in
9 this decade will depend directly or indirectly on high
10 technology-related business.

11 The new technologies such as microprocessing,
12 robotics, satellite communications and biotechnology are
13 already in process of transforming the way we work and
14 live.

15 And yet at the same time we are failing to produce
16 the scientists, mathematicians, engineers, teachers and
17 technologically literate citizens required by these changes.

18 As California switches to an information-based
19 economy, our society is being transformed as profoundly as
20 during our 19th Century shift from agriculture to industry.
21 This means that education's mission also must change:

22 . The public must make an adequate
23 investment in public schools to
24 accomplish the necessary changes.
25

1 Teachers must be brought up to
2 date both in new subject matter
3 content and in effective teaching
4 methods.

5 The public and private sectors
6 will have to cooperate to ensure
7 that our youth finish school
8 equipped and ready for productive
9 participation in the work force

10 We must find new incentives to
11 continue attracting fine teachers
12 and professors to provide our
13 children and young people with
14 the education they deserve.

15 Families, schools and private
16 industry must join together in a
17 concerted effort to provide all
18 students with the technological
19 sophistication necessary to work
20 and live in the information
21 society of the 1980's.

22 I would particularly like to call your attention
23 to the importance of promoting interactive computer learning.
24 Computers not only offer the potential for improving student
25 achievement in existing subject-matter areas through

1 computer-assisted instruction and computer-managed
2 instruction; but more importantly, computers can expand the
3 intellectual and problem-solving capabilities of children
4 through interactive learning activities never before possible.

5 They offer the potential to go beyond our present
6 understandings of many specific subject domains, even into
7 new understandings of the very process of learning itself.

8 And, finally, computers offer mass access to
9 information banks which have heretofore been the preserve
10 of technical specialists.

11 We stand today at the threshold of an intellectual
12 revolution. We have yet to begin to assimilate the
13 sweeping potential of electronic technology to transform the
14 way we think and live and work.

15 The Governor has set out a series of proposals in
16 this year's budget to begin a new process for fostering
17 excellence in public school math/science, computer and
18 related instruction; in community college high technology
19 job training; and in university engineering and computer
20 science education.

21 Let me today outline his proposals for our
22 elementary, junior and senior high schools.

23 The thrust of the K-12 initiative is to meet the
24 states' shortage of qualified math, science and computer-
25 literate teachers. The Governor's K-12 initiative has three

1 basic components.

2 The key component proposes a California-wide
3 network of regional teacher education centers (TECs). TECs,
4 controlled by the local education community, would establish
5 summer institutes and school-year training programs, house
6 computer demonstration and training facilities, and coordinate
7 training efforts between the public and private sector and
8 between higher education institutions and local schools.

9 TEC centers would also provide software evaluation
10 services and programming capabilities for enhancing teachers'
11 computer literacy.

12 The second component of the K-12 initiative
13 proposes funding for local schools to send their teachers to
14 TEC-approved training programs. Local school site councils --
15 with teachers, administrators, parents and students
16 represented -- would prepare staff development plans to meet
17 their local needs for improving their math, science and/or
18 computer education.

19 The plan could include stipends and release time,
20 as well as the purchase of instructional materials for use by
21 teachers in the classroom, including math and science text
22 books, science equipment and computer software.

23 Priority would go to teachers in grades 7 to 12,
24 where there is an immediate need for improvements, in order
25 to meet both the new university entrance requirements and

1 current employer demands for an entry-level workforce trained
2 in math, science and technology.

3 The third component of the K-12 initiative proposes
4 funding for a diversity of local and state-wide programs to
5 provide quality inservice training for our teachers in math,
6 science and computer education.

7 An advisory council composed of representatives
8 from California's education, business and labor communities
9 would allocate grants for summer institutes and exemplary
10 projects. Priority would be given to programs with
11 demonstrated effectiveness.

12 For your interest, I am providing you with a copy
13 of this testimony and a fuller description of each of the
14 Governor's proposed programs.

15 Thank you for this opportunity to present the
16 Governor's proposal. I will be happy to answer any
17 questions.

18 DR. SEABORG: Thank you. Can you spend another
19 hour with us?

20 DR. HUBNER: I would be delighted.

21 DR. SEABORG: The next speaker is Robert W. Walker.
22
23
24
25

1 STATEMENT OF ROBERT W. WALKER

2 FOOTHILL-DE ANZA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

3 MR. WALKER: I represent the Foothill-De Anza
4 Community College District as Director of Vocational
5 Education.

6 I guess I will take just a slight change of pace,
7 in the sense that we have concentrated pretty much on the
8 secondary issue.

9 The Foothill-De Anza Community College District is
10 one of surprising size. We have a population base of
11 300,000 people in six communities in our area, and 41,000
12 students -- 8,000 of those are full-time students.

13 We have the equivalent of 23,800 full-time students
14 attending the two campuses, three off-campus sites and the
15 70 random industrial community sites.

16 From the inception, our district has maintained a
17 very active and responsible, I believe, vocational and
18 technical program built upon the concept that basic and
19 university education should not be separated from technical
20 education.

21 Each of the seventy-nine vocational programs in our
22 district are housed within an academic division and
23 administered, managed and coordinated as any other academic
24 program on the campus.

25 We have therefore avoided, I believe, the

1 technical college influence. We are an academic
2 institution even at the vocational-technical level.

3 As a result, I believe our programs simply are
4 exemplary. They are exemplary because of the very close
5 working relationship between those math and science teachers
6 who are teaching transfer students for the university who are
7 able to work very closely with the technical faculty whose
8 primary concern is moving students into the work force at
9 some level.

10 When I was asked to identify exemplary programs in
11 our district, I found myself facing quite a dilemma. I
12 really don't know what an exemplary program is. I guess I
13 would define an exemplary program at the community college
14 in vocational-technical education as being one which is:

15 (a) Satisfying to the students who are
16 trying to enter the program and are
17 graduating.

18 (b) Is serving the marketplace by
19 providing qualified graduates.

20 (c) Is providing a group of
21 students and graduates who are
22 demonstrating every day high levels
23 of competency.

24 I think if we use those definitions to identify
25 exemplary programs at Foothill College or De Anza College,

1 we probably would be talking about some 30 or 35 of the
2 70-some programs in the district.

3 Each of our programs, I believe, is measured on
4 the basis of how well they satisfy that exemplary
5 definition. Each of them is retained, expanded on the basis
6 of that type of definition.

7 As a result, in the last two or three years, as the
8 financial crisis has struck the district -- as they have
9 almost any other district in the State of California -- it
10 is programs which did not meet that definition of exemplary
11 status that have been reduced in size, eliminated, or are in
12 the process of being very carefully examined to see whether
13 or not the district has the resources to support a program
14 which cannot meet the definition of exemplary status.

15 In my mind, the reason that many of our programs,
16 at Foothill-De Anza and any other community colleges in the
17 State of California, have maintained the quality of technical
18 education for which they have been given credit has been
19 four-fold.

20 I think a magnificent accumulation of highly-
21 qualified faculty have been available to us, certainly since
22 I entered the system in 1963.

23 We have had unusual levels of financial support.
24 We have had an unbelievable level of qualified entering
25 students into the vocational programs.

1 To give you just a single example, I spent 14 years
2 directing a dental hygiene program at Foothill College. In
3 that 14 years, we never were put in a position of accepting
4 a student -- being forced to accept a student -- who did not
5 qualify at the highest level for entry into the university
6 status.

7 As a matter of fact, from that program, from the
8 dental hygiene program, in the most recent 300 graduates, 116
9 have received since graduation from Foothill their
10 baccalaureate degrees, their masters degrees or a doctorate.

11 That kind of student entering a vocational program
12 at the community college level cannot help but provide
13 excellent graduates from a program.

14 And, finally, we have had continued excellent
15 support from the community, commercial and professional areas.
16 Now that is the good news.

17 The bad news is what may lie across the horizon for
18 us. We are finding it very, very difficult to maintain
19 programs in which we are required to provide very small
20 numbers -- or provide very large numbers of faculty for very
21 small numbers of students.

22 The health sciences, for instance, is a good example
23 -- a very good example. Most of the vocational
24 programs, particularly those represented by high technology,
25 fall into that category.

1 Secondly, we are finding it more and more difficult
2 to attract qualified high technology faculty. Try to compete
3 with General Electric and Bob Bell, or Hewlett-Packard for
4 an engineer instructor in electronics or in data processing.

5 We are also faced with an increasing sophistication
6 and cost of equipment. This year we had requests from our
7 technical-vocational programs for \$600,000 worth of
8 equipment, \$400,000 of which we identified as being critically
9 necessary for replacement or addition to the programs.

10 We were able to fund only \$55,000 of that \$400,000
11 critical need.

12 We have a significant problem with the diversity
13 of the types of training whihc we are being asked to provide.
14 Electronic firms need very specially trained people. We
15 cannot provide that kind of specificity for every graduate
16 from our program.

17 We must agree with the community, the commercial
18 community, on what basic training levels are. I think we
19 will be able to maintain the quality of our educational
20 program in the Foothill-De Anza District.

21 We most likely will not be able to continue to
22 provide the large number of programs and the breadth of
23 programs, unless very significant changes occur in funding
24 and organization of the community college programs.

25 Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

1 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

2 The next five speakers are colleagues of mine.

3 The next is Nancy Kreinberg.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF NANCY KREINBERG

2 DIRECTOR, MATH AND SCIENCE EDUCATION FOR WOMEN

3 LAWRENCE HALL OF SCIENCE, BERKELEY

4 MS. KREINBERG: I am director of the Math and
5 Science Education Program for Women in Lawrence Hall of
6 Science, which is a public science center teacher training
7 institute, and research and development center in science
8 education at The University of California, Berkeley.

9 My work for the last ten years has been to
10 increase the participation of girls and women in math and
11 sciences courses, so they will be better prepared to enter
12 scientific and technical fields of study.

13 The program I direct encompasses direct services
14 to students, teacher training, curriculum development, and
15 parent and community education.

16 I have described each of these components in my
17 written testimony, and I have brought samples of our
18 material with me.

19 I would like to use my time to summarize what my
20 colleagues and I have found to be the essential elements for
21 successful teacher-training programs and to suggest what are
22 the major components of change needed to significantly
23 increase the participation of women and minorities in math
24 and science education over the next decade.

25 Our teacher-training program, which is called

1 EQUALS, has provided 1500 California teachers with 30 hours
2 of inservice in math education and computer education, and
3 we have provided another 2000 teachers outside of California
4 with approximately 10 hours of inservice each.

5 The impact of this program, in schools
6 in which we have been working for two or more years, has been
7 to increase the enrollment of women in advanced mathematics
8 classes.

9 We are also seeing that all students of our EQUALS
10 teachers are showing improved attitudes towards the study of
11 mathematics and an increased interest in math and science
12 related careers.

13 We have found four components to be essential
14 to an effective teacher training program.

15 First, the participants must have an investment in
16 the problem. In EQUALS, we have the teachers conduct research
17 studies before they come to our program:

18 studies on student enrollments

19 career aspirations

20 students' attitudes toward computers

21 The teachers come to EQUALS as experts on certain topics in
22 their schools. Then together we talk about how we might solve
23 some of these problems that they have found.

24 Second, the materials that we provide the teachers
25 must be immediately usable in the classroom. That means the

1 next day, not next week, not next summer. The EQUALS staff
2 are all experienced teachers, and the materials that we give
3 the teachers have been thoroughly field tested both with
4 teachers and students.

5 Third, we establish a nonthreatening, cooperative
6 learning environment. We minimize the fear of failure and
7 we encourage risk-taking. Once teachers see the power of
8 this kind of learning environment, they are motivated to try
9 and cultivate it in their own classrooms.

10 And, finally, we encourage professional development.
11 At a time when many teachers are leaving education, programs
12 such as ours provide a place where teachers can air their
13 problems, grievances, and frustrations, while at the same
14 time they work toward a constructive goal for their students.

15 They begin to see themselves as advocates and
16 leaders in achieving the goals of the program. For example,
17 one of our high school teachers wrote:

18 "I find that I do not have to be in total
19 control of the class at all times. I was
20 always afraid to move off the straight
21 line of my objective. I have learned
22 that my teaching objective can be reached
23 by other means. You have changed my
24 opinion about workshops and what can be
25 done in classrooms. You helped me learn

1 next day, not next week, not next summer. The EQUALS staff
2 are all experienced teachers, and the materials that we give
3 the teachers have been thoroughly field tested both with
4 teachers and students.

5 Third, we establish a nonthreatening, cooperative
6 learning environment. We minimize the fear of failure and
7 we encourage risk-taking. Once teachers see the power of
8 this kind of learning environment, they are motivated to try
9 and cultivate it in their own classrooms.

10 And, finally, we encourage professional development.
11 At a time when many teachers are leaving education, programs
12 such as ours provide a place where teachers can air their
13 problems, grievances, and frustrations, while at the same
14 time they work toward a constructive goal for their students.

15 They begin to see themselves as advocates and
16 leaders in achieving the goals of the program. For example,
17 one of our high school teachers wrote:

18 "I find that I do not have to be in total
19 control of the class at all times. I was
20 always afraid to move off the straight
21 line of my objective. I have learned
22 that my teaching objective can be reached
23 by other means. You have changed my
24 opinion about workshops and what can be
25 done in classrooms. You helped me learn

1 courses are needed. The traditional junior high shop
2 course that you and I took is still being taken. This course
3 could be replaced by an Introduction to Technology, helping
4 students learn how things work and exploring various
5 technological systems through hands-on activities such as
6 building a circuit board or solar panel. Girls in particular
7 would benefit from such courses.

8 Third, we need increased course requirements. It
9 will be necessary to increase the math and science require-
10 ments for high school graduation if our students are to
11 achieve some math and science literacy. But without the
12 necessary teacher preparation and curriculum improvements,
13 increased enrollments by themselves will not improve
14 literacy.

15 We need industry education linkages. A
16 collaborative program could increase industries awareness
17 of the need and potential for women and minorities to enter
18 scientific fields. Research in industry projects for
19 counselors could facilitate getting relevant career
20 information into the schools. Mobile vans might travel to
21 elementary and secondary schools offering hands-on pre-
22 vocational courses with tools and equipment not normally
23 available in the schools. Both boys and girls could then
24 have a chance to learn mechanical skills and understand how
25 they are used.

1 new things about students and gain a
2 better understanding of myself. You
3 have given me the opportunity to try
4 things I never would have done on my
5 own."

6 That is one of the things we mean by professional
7 development.

8 Now, to what is needed over the long run to improve
9 math and science education for all of our students. First
10 on my list, and I suspect most people's lists in this room,
11 is teacher training.

12 We are losing our math and science teachers to
13 retirement and industry. Our inservice resources are being
14 cut back. Rather than cut back, what we need at this time
15 is a recommitment to teacher training.

16 We need new courses focusing on mathematical
17 literacy to help teachers to acquire an overview and a
18 familiarity with mathematical topics.

19 We need indepth work on the teaching of problem
20 solving and the use of manipulative materials to teach
21 abstract concepts, and we need instruction in small group
22 teaching and learning strategies.

23 These courses need to be offered to both inservice
24 and preservice teachers.

25 Second, we need curriculum improvement. New

1 Finally, my favorite innovation would be parent
2 education. Not in the old sense of parent awareness, but
3 parents and children learning mathematics together. We
4 have developed a pilot program called "Family Math" that
5 seems very promising in this area.

6 But to do all this, it will take a national
7 commitment and federal leadership to make quality math and
8 science education a national priority.

9 Thank you.

10 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

11 The next speaker is Robert Finnell.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF ROBERT FINNELL

MESA PROJECT, LAWRENCE HALL OF SCIENCE, BERKELEY

MR. FINNELL: My name is Bob Finnell. I am executive director of Mathematics, Engineering and Science Achievement Program at the Lawrence Hall of Science in Berkeley.

MESA's objective is to increase the number of high school graduates within three or four years of math, science and English, so that they have the option to pursue a math-based field of study at a university.

MESA operates in 100 high schools in 29 school districts. About half of our 2700 students are young women. We have an equal number of black and hispanic students and about 2% American Indian, Puerto Rican and other students.

Why are we working on this task? After looking at the 200 racially-isolated schools in California we found that very few students were pursuing math-based fields of study. We felt MESA could enable students from those schools to become a part of the economic and professional life vital to our nation.

I want to tell you two things about MESA:

How we work and what we produce.

In the sweet bye and bye, we will perhaps solve the problems of teacher shortages, lack of funds, and other major challenges and structural problems faced by schools.

1 But in the meantime, we will produce fewer prepared students
2 if we do not use program models that are available today to
3 produce the educational results that many of us here today
4 feel our society needs. How does the MESA model work?

5 First, MESA has been able to accomplish what it did
6 because it had a clear objective. We wanted to graduate
7 students with three or four years of math, science and
8 English.

9 Second, MESA built our program around incentives.
10 We only keep the students in the program when they pursue
11 math and science courses. They are allowed to participate
12 in academic year activities on our summer program (or our
13 field trips or study workshops) in recognition they are
14 doing extra academic work.

15 Third, MESA built a cooperative network of faculty,
16 industry, school district, professional society and other
17 volunteers. At each center MESA is associated with five to
18 10 high schools where faculty members, corporate volunteers,
19 professional society volunteers, and parents work with the
20 students or serve on the local advisory boards.

21 In addition, the MESA program is built around a
22 sense of community so the MESA students receive reinforcement
23 from peers, from parents, and/or from outside volunteers.
24 They communicate that math and science achievement in the
25 technical areas is important. This support counteracts what

1 you and I know goes on in schools where academics does not
2 always occupy a high value.

3 There are several other factors that I think are
4 crucial to MESA. It is essential to have a professional
5 staff dedicated to work with the teachers and volunteers.

6 It is crucial to have multiple sources of funding.
7 MESA spends about \$400 a year on its students. We don't
8 think you can prepare students adequately within predominantly
9 Black and Hispanic schools without supplementing the
10 existing programs with additional activities and services
11 that cost money.

12 MESA's resources come mostly from the private
13 sector: Hewlett Sloan Foundations at the major corporations
14 in California. But we have seen support from the public
15 university systems.

16 And, finally, MESA measures the results of the
17 program to see if we are altering the behavior patterns of
18 students at schools. The average Hispanic or Black student
19 in California did not complete the first year of math and
20 science.

21 MESA measures whether the students have taken the
22 courses. We also measure whether they are graduating, then
23 we measure whether they are pursuing math-based fields of
24 study at a university.
25

1 Let me spend a couple of minutes reporting on the
2 MESA graduates and what teachers say about our program.
3 Follow-up studies were conducted for the June '79, '80 and
4 '81 graduates. We had about 250, 500 and 700 graduates,
5 respectively, in each of those three years. And the pattern
6 has been about the same.

7 Ninety-four percent of them have gone on to attend
8 a post-secondary institution. You are aware that 90 percent
9 of the minority students in California go to community
10 colleges.

11 From 10 to 15 percent of the students in MESA
12 attend a community college. On the average each year, 28
13 percent attend the University of California system, about
14 29 percent the state university system, and about 20 percent
15 private or independent institutions within the state, and
16 about 10 percent go out of the state.

17 In terms of their career choice, about 69 percent
18 of the MESA graduates have chosen math-based fields of study:
19 over half of the latter chose engineering. Currently, for
20 example, about 30 Blacks a year (out of 4000 graduates in
21 engineering) receive B. S. engineering degrees from
22 California . MESA has had over 200 a year who have chosen
23 engineering. If you take the worst case scenario, which
24 would be 15 percent, or your best case scenarios, you see
25 that we should have major impact on graduation rates in the

1 years ahead.

2 MESA has been in business since 1970. We did a
3 study of the students who were in the program in the early
4 1970's. We found that had every other racially-isolated
5 high school produced as many B. S. graduates, at the first
6 MESA high school, we would not have been able to make a
7 case for expanding the MESA program because a high number
8 of B. S. graduates would have been minority.

9 We are now studying the second group of graduates
10 from the mid-70s. So far 90 percent of them have received
11 B. S. Degrees.

12 In the MESA program we have been able to track
13 our students and see if they are graduating in math based
14 areas.

15 MESA is in racially-isolated high schools in large
16 school districts in suburban areas as well as rural areas.
17 The MESA model though is not restricted to working with
18 minority students. We felt it vital to recognize that design
19 could be applied on a wider scale.

20 99 percent of the dollars directed at minority
21 students went to nontechnical areas, although most of our
22 jobs are in high technology areas. 20 to 25 percent of our
23 university degrees are in these areas. We want resources
24 allotted for minorities to reflect the countries need for
25 math based graduates.

1 MESA, then, is an approach to using existing
2 resources to produce the kinds of graduates that our nation
3 needs to strengthen its economy.

4 Thank you.

5 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

6 The next speaker is Marian Koshland.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATEMENT OF MARIAN E. KOSHLAND

2 PROFESSOR OF MICROBIOLOGY AND IMMUNOLOGY, BERKELEY

3 MEMBER, NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

4 MS. KOSHLAND: I am Marian E. Koshland, Professor
5 of Microbiology and Immunology at the University of
6 California at Berkeley. I am also a member of the National
7 Science Board, chairman of their budget committee. And it is
8 in that capacity that I am here today.

9 I appreciate the opportunity to meet with the
10 Commission on Excellence in Education, and discuss the
11 concerns of the National Science Board regarding the quality
12 of science and mathematics and engineering education in the
13 United States.

14 And as I am sure most of you are aware, recent
15 budget stringencies have considerably reduced the educational
16 activities of the National Science Foundation.

17 This reduced support has come at a time when there
18 is evidence that the United States' position in science,
19 technology and industry is deteriorating.

20 The reduced support has also come at a time when
21 there are symptoms of serious deterioration in our education
22 of scientists, engineers and technologists.

23 In view of these circumstances, the National Science
24 Board met last summer and tried to consider how a foundation
25 with limited resources could carry out its responsibilities

1 under the National Science Act, and I quote, "To recommend
2 and encourage national policies for the promotion of
3 education in the sciences and to initiate support programs
4 to strengthen science education at all levels."

5 Well, the board agreed on two approaches. The
6 first priority lies in supporting research-level education
7 because that is the unique responsibility of a board. And
8 this priority is being implemented in the form of free
9 doctoral fellowships and by the support of undergraduate
10 students, graduate students and post-doctorate fellows by
11 research grants.

12 The second priority, however, that the board agreed
13 on was to play a catalytic role in areas of science education
14 where the foundation did not have the major responsibility.
15 And this role could be carried out by identifying needs and
16 concerns in these areas and by intervening in high-leverage
17 areas where you could expect significant outcomes with an
18 investment of very limited amounts of money.

19 Now, as the first step in implementing this
20 catalytic role, the director of the foundation and the board
21 have established a commission on pre-college education in
22 mathematics, science and technology.

23 And Lew Branscomb, chairman of the board, met with
24 your Commission on February 25th in Washington to describe
25 the plan to the Commission and our desire to work with you.

1 And it is in that spirit that I am here today.

2 Now, as the title implies, the work of the
3 Commission will focus on secondary education. In discussing
4 the possible catalytic roles of the foundation with a number
5 of people in the scientific and education communities, we
6 heard again and again about the critical role of secondary
7 education.

8 The qualities and basic skills provided by secondary
9 education are essential to later success in the demanding
10 fields of science and engineering. Even eligibility for
11 further training is at stake. And seemingly innocuous
12 student decisions to avoid difficult courses can effectively
13 preclude their participation in important educational and
14 occupational areas.

15 So the secondary education is really an important
16 time of specialization and focus. For approximately half the
17 student population, this is their only opportunity for formal
18 education in mathematics and science.

19 And for the half that enters higher education, this
20 is of course the stage for differentiation into and prepara-
21 tion for future study.

22 We also, of course, heard again and again from
23 advisors about the problems confronting science education at
24 the secondary level. And I am sure you have heard these
25 catalogued many times.

1 They include the declining level of requirements
2 for achievement in science and mathematics in order to
3 graduate and thus the declining enrollment in mathematics
4 and science courses.

5 They include outmoded curricula, archaic and non-
6 functioning equipment, critical shortages of teachers in
7 biology, science, physics, chemistry, et cetera, and a high
8 incidence of unprepared and unqualified persons who are
9 teaching these courses.

10 Now of course, the problem -- can I have a few
11 minutes more?

12 DR. SEABORG: You have two minutes more.

13 DR. KOSHLAND: All right, two minutes more.

14 Now, of course, the problem of education improve-
15 ment is basically that and has to be solved by the local
16 school boards. It is their responsibility and we would want
17 it that way, in order to maintain the kind of diversity and
18 independence and flexibility to which we are all committed.

19 But because there are so many issues of national
20 importance attached to secondary school education, the board
21 felt it had to do something, find some way to engage the
22 nation's scientific community in local activities and
23 establish a stronger base of secondary school education.

24 And the Commission's charge is not simply to do
25 with study, not to assess the quality of secondary education,

1 but it is really to come up with an action plan to
2 prescribe ways to address the needs of secondary science
3 education and identify the specific responsibility of
4 the National Science Foundation and other federal
5 agencies, state and local governments, private foundations,
6 et cetera.

7 And the board is excited by the prospects of
8 the Commission's work and eagerly awaits its findings.

9 I think I will submit the rest to testimony
10 and say only in conclusion that, as the Commission on
11 Excellence in Education continues its work, we hope you
12 will examine particularly carefully the needs and
13 problems in secondary education in science and
14 mathematics.

15 And that the efforts of the National Science
16 Board Commission will have the effect of extending
17 and complimenting your activities.

18 Thank you.

19 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

20 As Marian has indicated, our Commission has
21 close cooperation with the National Science Board
22 through its Chairman, Lewis Branscomb, in their efforts
23 toward the solution of this problem of education in
24 science and mathematics and technology which is so
25 critical to the future of our country.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Thank you.

The next speaker is Alan M. Portis.

1 STATEMENT OF ALAN M. PORTIS

2 PROFESSOR OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
3 CHAIRMAN, EDUCATION COMMITTEE, AMERICAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY

4 MR. PORTIS: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission
5 and staff, I am Alan Portis. I teach physics at the Univer-
6 sity of California, Berkeley. And I am presently chairman
7 of the Education Committee of the American Physical Society.
8 And it is in this latter category that I am here today.

9 Thank you very much for inviting me to appear at
10 this hearing concerned with science, mathematics and
11 technology education. This is a subject of particular
12 concern to the American Physical Society, the professional
13 membership organization of American physicists.

14 The American Physical Society and its Education
15 Committee are engaged in a number of programs to improve
16 physics education at the secondary, college and graduate
17 levels.

18 For example, the Education Committee, in coopera-
19 tion with the American Association of Physics Teachers is
20 engaged in a college/high school teacher interaction project
21 that encourages college physics departments as well as
22 physics research laboratories to assist local high school
23 physics teachers.

24 Through this program, nearly three thousand high
25 school physics teachers have been surveyed regarding their

1 needs.

2 The two organizations are now working with local
3 physicists to address those needs. Other American Physical
4 Society programs are designed to involve industrial organi-
5 zations in the improvement of physics education.

6 I believe that we are now in a period of substantial
7 change in which industrial organizations as well as regional
8 and state government, not to mention colleges and universi-
9 ties, must take major initiatives to improve the educational
10 process.

11 The Honorable James Hunt, the Governor of the State
12 of North Carolina, early this year addressed the annual
13 meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of
14 Science on the topic "Academia, Industry and Government: The
15 Organization Frontier of Science Today."

16 Governor Hunt described an emerging crisis in
17 economic productivity, education and environmental management.
18 His feeling is that the private sector, through free market
19 forces alone, will not be able to avert this crisis, nor
20 will a return to what has traditionally been the federal role
21 be adequate.

22 Instead, a redefinition of the role of government
23 is needed. The governor calls for state, regional and local
24 government to take the responsibility for encouraging
25 technological development with the federal government playing

1 an important supportive role.

2 As Governor Hunt points out, such an effort must
3 engage industry and academia as well as government. I would
4 add to this triad professional organizations such as the
5 American Physical Society, the American Association of
6 Physics Teachers and the American Association for the
7 Advancement of Science, certainly, as well as many others.

8 We are hopeful in the American Physical Society
9 that the National Science Board's Commission on Pre-College
10 Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology will
11 effectively be able to address the very serious concerns that
12 we have with the quality of secondary school education in
13 mathematics and science.

14 As Professor Koshland mentioned and as Dr. Seaborg
15 also mentioned, the charter of this group explicitly directs
16 the NSB Commission to interact with the National Commission
17 on Excellence in Education in a manner designed to achieve
18 maximum coordination and to minimize duplication of effort.

19 Speaking for my physics colleagues, we have every
20 confidence that this Commission will for its own part work
21 closely with the NSB Commission.

22 Finally, I would like to take this opportunity to
23 make some personal comments on the problem of promoting
24 excellence in education while maintaining access to education.

25 I was heartened, as I know you were, Glenn, by

1 Ruth Willis' mention -- the unsolicited mention, really --
2 of the Lawrence Hall of Science and its program and what
3 that has meant to her and the programs with which she is
4 involved.

5 Many have felt that in the recent past because of
6 limited resources the quality of education has suffered as
7 increased access has been achieved.

8 We are now seeing, for example in the increased
9 admissions requirements of public universities in California
10 and elsewhere, a renewed concern with the preparation of
11 undergraduates.

12 To improve the level of preparation of
13 undergraduates while reducing access to higher education is no
14 great trick.

15 To do this and at the same time to maintain access
16 will require major new initiatives of the sort that Governor
17 Hunt has suggested and that the National Science Board's
18 Commission, as well as this Commission, contemplates.

19 Although, in part, these initiatives may be expected
20 at local, state and regional levels, we must look to the
21 national level -- and I underline this -- we must look to the
22 national level for leadership.

23 To maintain access while achieving excellence is
24 to my mind the major challenge to this Commission.

25 Thank you.

1 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

2 The Members here of this Commission are going
3 to visit the Lawrence Hall of Science tomorrow morning.

4 And I was interested to hear your reference
5 to the address by Governor Hunt. I also heard an impressive
6 talk by him at the annual meeting of the American
7 Association for the Advancement of Science in Washington
8 earlier in January.

9 I think the program in North Carolina is
10 worthy of careful study by this Commission. It is
11 very impressive.

12 MR. PORTIS: Yes, that is the address to
13 which I referred. I did bring a number of copies of
14 his address along for these Commissioners who are here.

15 DR. SEABORG: Good. I think they would like
16 to have that, yes. Thank you.

17 The next speaker is Leon Henkin.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATEMENT OF LEON HENKIN

PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
CHAIRMAN, U. S. COMMISSION ON MATHEMATICAL INSTRUCTION

MR. HENKIN: I am Leon Henkin, Professor of Mathematics at the University of California at Berkeley. And I have been sent here to tell you something by an outfit called the United States Commission on Mathematical Instruction, which I chair.

Members of this National Commission on Excellence in Education, I have been sitting here with you all day, and I think you will be relieved to know that I have no new ideas to place before you.

(Laughter.)

MR. HENKIN: All of the ideas that I came to tell you about in my five to seven minutes have been expressed by others earlier today, and indeed many other fine ideas have been expressed.

It goes without saying that there is no chance in the world that we can put into effect all these fine ideas, really. Besides generating ideas, we have to have some ways of narrowing down, choosing, focusing on resources.

And this is where our Commission on Mathematical Instruction has something to say.

In 1981, the Commission adopted the following statement:

1 "Despite the very serious and urgent
2 problems of improving scientific and
3 mathematical education to meet personal
4 needs of this decade, the United States
5 Commission for Mathematical Instruction
6 believes that the greatest need and
7 opportunity for improvement in
8 mathematical instruction over the long
9 term lies at the elementary school level.

10 "There is a widespread but fallacious
11 view that elementary school mathematics
12 must consist solely in the learning
13 of mathematical rules of computation.

14 "Elementary school teachers must be
15 provided with the knowledge and
16 instructional skills that enable them
17 to bring a fuller notion of mathematics
18 to their classes. Work on the problem
19 at this level cannot be postponed."

20 I was interested to hear Dr. Koshland
21 stressing the secondary level. And I think it is important.
22 But our Commission really thinks the elementary teacher plays
23 a more crucial role.

24 Here are a few of the reasons I put together to
25 explain this.

1 First of all, many students are "de-motivated" from
2 continuing their mathematical studies by the impressions
3 received from their contact with mathematics at the earliest
4 garde levels.

5 Secondly, mathematical learning, more than in any
6 other subject, is cumulative, so that weakness in the early
7 learning undermines comprehension and skills in the later
8 parts of mathematics.

9 I know that we are concerned here with science and
10 technology, as well as mathematics. But college instructors
11 in science and in engineering generally feel that mathematical
12 preparation is more important for the success of students in
13 their courses, then pre-college work in the sciences
14 themselves.

15 Also, as has been mentioned today, to function as
16 citizens in a democratic society where technological
17 developments are accelerating, it is essential to raise the
18 mathematical comprehension of students who study even the
19 least amount of required mathematics.

20 And I may add to that, at the elementary level, we
21 really don't know yet which students are going to go on to
22 the farthest studies of mathematics. Too often, on the
23 basis of ethnicity, social class and the like, students are
24 expected not to go on. But obviously we must try to develop
25 our schools in such a way that at the elementary school level

1 we don't -- we give the kind of opportunities for each
2 student to go as far as possible in their later studies.

3 One of the last reasons I put down for emphasizing
4 the elementary school level is, that enlarging the perspect-
5 ive of mathematics possessed by elementary students, provides
6 a way of broadening their parents' views on what mathematics
7 is and how it functions, improving in turn the level of their
8 influence back upon the schools. I have seen many fine
9 efforts at the improvement of mathematics instructions tackled
10 and brought to a halt because of doubts that this material
11 was really considered to be mathematics, because it was so
12 different from what traditionally had been thought of as
13 constituting mathematics.

14 Well, paying heed to the beeping I hear coming
15 through my right ear, I would go back to the idea that we
16 need to tackle this problem both in the long term and the
17 short term.

18 From the long-term point of view, we must quite
19 change the nature of our mathematics teachers in elementary
20 schools, getting highly trained people. And that of course
21 involves restructuring the financial basis in order to
22 attract these people in competition with the other
23 possibilities that they have.

24 But in the short term, we must obviously pour in a
25 tremendous amount of support for our current elementary

1 school teachers, with continuing help. Not just the
2 institutes of the kinds NSF used to have, but I dream of a
3 situation where any evening that puzzling question comes up
4 for an elementary teacher, he or she can make contact with
5 high school teachers or a university teacher in order to get
6 some needed help.

7 Also, the idea came to us earlier today of
8 involving current scientists in industry, as well as in
9 universities, in keeping in contact with the school teachers
10 at all levels.

11 I think the main focus has to be on teachers. But
12 as several other speakers have mentioned, a lot more has to
13 be done on new curriculum developments, and on bringing the
14 new technological developments in to students, as a large
15 part of the old curricula will become obsolete.

16 Thanks.

17 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

18 The next speaker is John Pawson.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATEMENT OF JOHN PAWSON

EDISON HIGH SCHOOL, HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA

MR. PAWSON: My name is John Pawson. I have taught science at Edison High School, Huntington Beach, for the last 10 years. I am currently involved in a program for the gifted and talented kids. And I also teach anatomy and physiology programs at the high school.

Dr. Seaborg, and distinguished members of the Commission, I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation for allowing me this time to give oral testimony today.

For the past two summers, I have lobbied the White House, Members of Congress, the Department of Education for just such a Commission that is here today.

And I take great pleasure that this Commission has been established as one positive step towards solving the educational plight that faces the United States today.

As you have read in my written testimony, as a teacher in the public schools I have become increasingly concerned about the quality of education that is provided for today's students.

The myriad of educational problems that the schools face today lies with the attitudes of the American society. For too long, Americans have expected the schools to shoulder the burden not only of educating the student, but also of

1 curing society's discontents.

2 Now, with severe financial cutbacks, the voting
3 populace wants even more from the schools. The current
4 attitude toward public schools is quite negative. I
5 personally believe that if we are to correct the educational
6 problems that schools face today, the public attitude toward
7 education must change.

8 Yes, we as teachers and educators have done a poor
9 job in informing the public as to the school's problems,
10 needs, and on the positive side, their academic achievements.
11 Public relations have not been a strong suit in our
12 educational community.

13 The political winds of our times have put the
14 schools in a financial quagmire, and these undue pressures
15 might spell the end of public education and with it the end
16 of our American society and its democratic freedom.

17 As a science teacher, I am very concerned about
18 the future of this country with respect to our technological
19 superiority.

20 The public has failed to make the vital connection
21 between our fabulous lifestyle, our national security, our
22 technology and the quality of education.

23 Many countries around the world are spending a
24 great deal of money and effort in their science and
25 technology programs, while the United States wallows in

1 indecision as to what programs to cut.

2 My recommendations are:

3 1. Reduce the social pressures on the schools.

4 The main purpose of schools is to provide the student with
5 information and develop within him the ability to make
6 reasonable and rational decisions based upon that information.
7 Schools only reflect society's ills and they really cannot
8 correct them.

9 2. Reduce class size. Increasing the contact
10 between the student and the teacher on an individual level
11 is far more educationally influential than in large group
12 instruction.

13 A small teacher/student ratio would also
14 increase the effectiveness of the teacher in getting to know
15 the student and his needs and thereby improving the quality
16 of education.

17 3. Increase money for the schools in
18 noncategorical aid. Let's face the facts that teachers'
19 salaries must be made equivalent with industry or we will
20 lose good teachers.

21 School instructional supplies must be in
22 adequate supply. Each school district with different
23 parameters should also be allowed to distribute money
24 according to their individual needs. Although money will not
25 solve all of education's multitude of problems, it will help.

1 4. Create a new reprioritizing of national
2 commitments to education. President Reagan must set the
3 national tone and convey to the public the importance of
4 education to our national survival. Twenty percent cuts in
5 education and twelve percent cuts in science research grants
6 is an indication of the severity of the situation.

7 Mathematics and science education are
8 interwoven in the fabric of our national security and the
9 public must come to understand this. To remain a viable
10 world power, the United States is going to have to come to
11 grips with its destiny and plan for the future.

12 The pervasive attitude across this country
13 had been quite the opposite with education falling in the
14 lower priority than other types of entertainment.

15 5. The curriculum must become more relevant for
16 the 1990s and through the early 2000s. Increasing graduation
17 requirements in mathematics and science is a must if we are
18 to compete successfully in a future world of highly complex
19 technology.

20 Our students of today are leaders of tomorrow
21 and they must be better prepared to meet those future
22 challenges.

23 6. Education planning must be done on a long-
24 term basis. The United States today needs an educational
25 plan based on the future needs of the country and not on the

1 current day-to-day crisis.

2 The Department of Education and each state's
3 department of education should work together to devise a
4 plan that will deal with long-range problems.

5 7. Increase the length of the school day and
6 provide for summer school programs. Like increasing the
7 graduation requirement, today's students must come to
8 realize that they must maximize their educational experiences
9 if they, as individuals, are going to be successful. Summer
10 school programs offered enrichment to the standard educational
11 programs and provided additional help to students who fell
12 behind during the school year.

13 8. Straighten out the financing of the schools.
14 Uncertainty and obvious political decisions have kept school
15 boards across our country floating on a nightmarish sea.

16 To be effective, schools must have a stable
17 financial knowledge so they can plan accordingly, and
18 decrease the decimating effect that these financial crises
19 have brought upon staff morale and educational programs
20 which benefit students.

21 9. Finally, maintain a special presidential
22 commission for education. This commission would be necessary
23 to bridge the gap between governmental leaders and the
24 realities of the educational world.

25 From my limited experience, I have found

1 governmental leaders willing to listen and to work with you,
2 if you only communicate with them.

3 This permanent commission made up of teachers,
4 business, and governmental leaders is one small step
5 increasing the communication between the educational
6 community and government.

7 I realize that many of my proposals involve the
8 responsibilities of the states and local school boards along
9 with the federal government.

10 This Commission here today can provide the national
11 direction and work with those groups. A further perusal on
12 your part of my complete written testimony will give you
13 more information and insight into my proposals.

14 Education contributes to the wealth and quality
15 of our society and without adequate support there will be
16 increased unemployment, welfare, crime and incarceration of
17 our citizens.

18 Professor John Bormuth of the University of
19 Chicago has stated that for every dollar spent on education
20 there are six dollars added to our national income.

21 Here is where we stand today. The time is now to
22 make a commitment for our future. We are at the proverbial
23 crossroads and the path that we choose to take and our
24 decisions we make today will have a profound effect on the
25 future of this country.

1 We will have to bear the burden of a wrong decision.
2 We, as teachers and educators, cannot do it alone. It is
3 up to the public and the government leaders to give the
4 schools some positive support in order to ensure the
5 survival of our freedoms and, ultimately, our democracy.

6 Thank you.

7 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

8 Our next speaker is Alan Fribish.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATEMENT OF ALAN FRIBISH

PRINCIPAL, LOWELL HIGH SCHOOL, SAN FRANCISCO

MR. FRIBISH: Let me start by thanking Mr. Pawson for words that I wish I could have said as eloquently. He really put me in a position where I can't follow him.

I am from Lowell High School in San Francisco where I have been principal for the last two and a half years.

Lowell is a school which has had some recent public attention. It is a superb school and it is a model that I would invite you to come and see. It has 3000 students from every part of San Francisco who come there to excel in every one of the disciplines.

The school is a minority school. And the paradox is, the majority of our students come from identified minority groups. Our teachers are students. They continued school, one recently completed his Ph. D. in Chemistry at U. C. Berkeley.

They act as leaders in the college boards, Advanced Placement Workshops, test construction sessions and read examinations. Our students are teachers. They teach each other.

We have a scheduling model which gives them time to work together and they do this, and they do this very well. We have done an excellent job in Advanced Placement examinations.

1 In the last year, 326 of our students attended 459
2 courses and they passed at over the 90 percent level.

3 I would like to call your attention to a couple of
4 things in my remarks. One is the concept of commitment. In
5 1955 as a young science teacher, I had the rare opportunity
6 of working at the University of California Radiation Labora-
7 tory with Professors Harvey and Chopin who published under
8 the name of Harvey, Chopin, Seaborg and others.

9 It was a marvellous program in the summer of
10 1955 and served as a model on which the National Science
11 Foundation institutes were based and prospered.

12 My entire science and math faculty have been
13 influenced by these institutes and they have been the source
14 of inspiration for them in their teaching.

15 I have some concerns that I came to speak to you
16 of today. The first concern is funding. Jerome Bruner in
17 his little book, The Process of Education, states, "A
18 student learns science only when he acts as a scientist."

19 He acts as a scientist only when he has the
20 materials of science at his hands. Those materials cost
21 money. He needs supplies for every day. We have to replace
22 the existing equipment. And we need to enter the technology
23 of today.

24 I regret to tell you that Lowell High School is not
25 now in the computer age in a significant way. We recently

1 had a conference of the principals of 12 schools which were
2 identified by a national magazine as 12 top schools -- 12 top
3 public schools in the country.

4 When the principals came together two weeks ago,
5 the first thing that was said was, these schools are not
6 alone. Each of us can point to a handful or a double handful
7 of schools in our communities which have a level of excellence
8 which is comparable. There are excellent public schools all
9 through the country.

10 The second thing that came up was our concerns about
11 our teaching staffs. There has been a competition from the
12 private sector, of industry, for teachers presently teaching
13 science and mathematics. They have offered them inducements
14 -- financial inducements, that have not been able to be
15 matched by the schools.

16 I would caution the industries that they are -- to
17 borrow a metaphor from a friend -- eating their seed corn.
18 We will not be able to produce the people that they need
19 in the next years if they continue to steal from us.

20 There has been a dearth of teaching candidates for
21 precisely the same reason. People are not coming into
22 education when Fairchild can offer more than can Lowell High
23 School. We have had accelerating retirements from Lowell
24 High in San Francisco and the Bronx High School of Science as
25 well, and schools in between. The concern was the same.

1 Mr. Dederian had some statistics here today,
2 and I did a rapid summation of them. The average age of
3 teachers of science in San Francisco is 47 years. Fifty of
4 131 are at age 50. They will be retiring when their
5 replacements graduate from college if their replacements
6 enter college this fall.

7 There is also a phenomenon called the echo boom,
8 the baby boom of the fifties. This produced a boomlet which
9 is coming along. We are faced with the intersection of a
10 whole bunch of lines which cause me great concern.

11 If we are going to replace these teachers, if we
12 are going to find what we need, there must be the type of
13 commitment that existed in 1955 when you helped me.

14 Thank you.

15 DR. SEABORG: Well, I thought you looked familiar,
16 but I guess both of us have changed in appearance somewhat.

17 MR. FIBISH: You haven't.

18 DR. SEABORG: Also I would like to make the comment.
19 that I serve as one of the judges for the science talent
20 search every year. I have for years. And the performance
21 of students from Lowell High School has come to my attention
22 -- maybe not quite at the level of the Bronx High School of
23 Science, but certainly one of the best high schools in the
24 west.

25 MR. FIBISH: Yes.

1 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

2
3 The next speaker, speaking for Edward Foglia, will
4 be Juliet R. Henry.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATEMENT OF JULIET R. HENRY

2 CALIFORNIA TEACHERS ASSOCIATION

3 MS. HENRY: Thank you, Chairman Seaborg,
4 Commissioners and audience. I am Juliet Henry. I am a
5 teacher in Los Angeles.

6 For years, the last 17 years, I taught elementary
7 school, regular classrooms at first, then math only for about
8 six years, to fifth and sixth grade students.

9 I became a math coordinator, a Title I Coordinator
10 whose major responsibility was to staff development and
11 inservice. And right now, I am a math teachers in junior
12 high school.

13 But I came to you today representing approximately
14 200,000 teachers in Los Angeles. The California Teachers
15 Association testimony is not aimed at improving mathematics,
16 science and technology, but much more, including student
17 experience in the humanities, the practical and fine arts,
18 career and vocational education and physical education.

19 We applaud the stress on science, mathematics and
20 technology and the many ideas expressed here today. But the
21 non-science curriculum is just as vital as producing
22 scientists and other technical persons.

23 Some of the obvious skills are writing and drawing.
24 These and other noteworthy skills are necessary to scientists
25 and technicians to communicate their ideas to professional

1 and lay people. That is an obvious point.

2 But what may not be obvious is the need for
3 financing and currently, additionally, improving the non-
4 science curriculum. Because improving the teaching in the
5 science and technical curriculum will require writing, art --
6 physical and practical art skills, and broad philosophical
7 points of view about science and non-science.

8 The non-science curriculum may not appear to be of
9 equal priority, but it is. I know of an artist in the valley
10 who works with engineers to illustrate their ideas because
11 they do not have the skills or the capacity to illustrate
12 their own ideas.

13 Newspapers carry stories and advertisements about
14 writing consultants whose prime activity is to teach
15 scientific personnel how to write more clearly.

16 Our suspicion is that the increase in the public
17 dollars spent for science, mathematics and technology will
18 not be matched in deed, that the increase may be at the
19 expense of non-scientific curriculum.

20 Recently, some of our representatives talked with
21 staff from Governor Brown's office about staff development in
22 computers, math and science. We are talking about approxi-
23 mately \$49 million, \$11 million dedicated to staff
24 development. This is an increase in educational spending.

25 The Governor dedicated nothing extra to the

1 non-scientific curriculum. We sense this will be the
2 direction for others to follow because industry and business
3 have a voracious appetite for trained mathematicians,
4 scientists, engineers, technicians and experts.

5 We believe the public schools are the major
6 suppliers of that kind of brain power for universities, and
7 in turn they are major suppliers of professional graduates
8 for the career or the work world.

9 We are interested in supplying in business terms
10 students who will think and speak about the social issues
11 affected by science. Science is loaded with values and points
12 of view about the social world, but they are often expressed
13 better in historical, literacy and artistic ways.

14 We are sure you have heard this balanced curriculum
15 argument before. We want the argument to remain in your
16 minds constantly. We want you to be vigorous about expanding
17 the minds of our young scientific students into the non-
18 scientific school curriculum and to help us to make non-
19 scientific curricula vigorous and applicable to elementary
20 and secondary science students.

21 The extra dollars spent in the non-scientific
22 curriculum will build that necessary context to generate
23 vigor.

24 We believe money for staff development for mathe-
25 matics, science and technical teachers in California should

1 be channeled into teaching centers, federal and state, and
2 to staff in school districts during the school year.

3 The legacy of the National Science Foundation is
4 rich but we have not forgotten how strange it was to be one
5 of two or three people who received the extra knowledge,
6 because only a few were chosen to be trained.

7 We believe training must occur during the school
8 year as well as in the summer. We believe there should be
9 a significant number of dollars in the training account for
10 individual teachers -- perhaps \$1,000 to start each training
11 account.

12 It is not uncommon for a weekend workshop to cost
13 about \$350 for registration only. Schools will also have to
14 invent circles of practicing teachers to share their
15 expertise. Often the experts needed live at home, but the
16 organization of public schools is designed to keep every-
17 body's nose to the grindstone interacting with students, with
18 little time left to share the expertise they have of
19 either the students or the content.

20 All of the preceding require money. Most informed
21 people close to education know that money must be spent
22 to improve teaching instruction and the curriculum. But few
23 chose to fight over the delivery of staff development for a
24 variety of reasons.

25 The number one reason being the waste of tax

1 dollars. That is, spending money on teacher training after
2 they have been trained.

3 Or the number two reason, teacher training gives
4 teachers more responsibility.

5 Our reaction is to present reason number one and two
6 to any major corporation in the United States as reasons
7 for rejecting employee training and they would laugh at the
8 faulty reasoning, for retraining employees is one of their
9 major responsibilities. Business and industry recognize
10 that trained people generate profit.

11 We have followed the work of this Commission. We
12 understand that the Commission has five more hearings on
13 different topics. We know this topic, staff development,
14 inservice training, teacher evaluation -- whatever you want
15 to call it. The constants are clear.

16 Any change, innovation, addition and deletion in
17 the educational process requires the affirmation of teachers.
18 The emphasis should be placed on staff development.

19 All teachers need to be trained. All teachers need
20 to be paid more. The elitist position of paying math-
21 science teachers more can generate an elitist idea in the
22 minds of math teachers.

23 We would like to say thank you for allowing us to
24 present our ideas here today.

25 Thank you.

1 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

2 The next and the last speaker is Jess Bravin.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF JESS BRAVIN

STUDENT MEMBER, BOARD OF EDUCATION, LOS ANGELES

MR. BRAVIN: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, staff and guests, good afternoon.

My name is Jess Bravin. I am the student member of the Los Angeles City Board of Education. My remarks today basically expand on those in my letter to you last month.

I don't have any studies to quote or experiments to cite, and my highest academic degree is a junior high school diploma. Nevertheless, I do have some perspective as the representative of a million students in the Los Angeles city schools.

In speaking to students throughout Los Angeles, it appears that the subject found most distasteful by teenagers is mathematics. There is no question that math in the lower grades is absolutely necessary. Further, there are some students who take naturally to mathematics and abstract thinking at all levels.

But for many students, even those labeled high achievers, it is often unclear why higher mathematics should be studied at all. Students are often displeased in other subjects as well. But this uncertainty about the purpose of study is not nearly so definite in English, social studies, foreign languages and other disciplines.

Teachers themselves seem vague about the reasons

1 for studying higher mathematics, and I speak of algebra and
2 geometry for high school students.

3 Students pursuing careers in medicine, engineering
4 or related areas know that advanced mathematical study is
5 necessary for those fields.

6 But for the rest of the students, math teachers can
7 only say that somehow math makes you think better, or will
8 help you better deal with the technological world.

9 I admit clearly that this is true. And exposure
10 to abstract and conceptual thinking is clearly beneficial to
11 many young people and increasingly necessary in the world.

12 Somehow though, the claim that it makes you think
13 better is hardly a motivating factor for students. Adding
14 this to the rote work that is necessary for the study, the
15 result is classrooms full of students uneager to learn math.

16 Many students are clearly indifferent to higher
17 mathematics. And in spite of programs mentioned here today,
18 most teachers mainly seem to replace enthusiasm with
19 discipline as the motivating factor in math courses.

20 There are many educators who call for more math
21 courses without examining the content of those already offered
22 and why they are unpopular with students today.

23 Now, perhaps strict discipline in the classroom and
24 the meting out of lots of assignments does generate an
25 adequate amount of homework turned in. But education by

1 decree, which is far more from the norm -- at least in our
2 school district -- will very often succeed only in turning
3 off students to math.

4 Faced with poor math performance, schools seem to
5 be retreating to a stricter, more limited math curriculum
6 that emphasizes more of the same -- work and exercises out
7 of textbooks without supplementary experience.

8 Rather than perpetrate a system that is unpopular
9 among students and far from being an amazing success, I
10 suggest that math be seen in a new light. .

11 Instead of being presented just as a theoretical
12 philosophy, math should be shown to be more of a demonstrable
13 science -- as many other speakers have suggested today.

14 Governor Brown and other leaders have echoed a call
15 for better instruction in math, science and technology fields
16 which are clearly related. The fast growing job areas are in
17 engineering and science.

18 Math education I believe should be expanded to meet
19 this new challenge. Science courses do not rely on lecture
20 and text alone to impart scientific principles. To supplement
21 that, they conduct experiments and generally try to demon-
22 strate science in the world around us.

23 To treat math more like this, courses would require
24 the use of computers and other devices which can demonstrate
25 math in action.

1 Instruction would not only be strict axiom, but
2 also involve physics and logic and other disciplines which
3 are inexorably linked to mathematics.

4 Generally speaking, I think that young people need
5 an education that goes beyond the textbooks to make studies
6 in school meaningful out of school as well.

7 Further, we want initiative and innovation on the
8 part of students and staff encouraged by the administration
9 of schools and districts. Usually, however, the opposite is
10 true. School districts seem wedded to a tradition that exists
11 simply because it has existed before.

12 Now, as I mentioned in my letter, students are --
13 instead of being encouraged to take on new challenges, they
14 are discouraged from taking challenging courses, partly
15 because the marking system doesn't take into account the
16 relative difficulty of courses offered.

17 In other words, students have nothing to gain
18 except knowledge for knowledge's sake by taking advanced
19 courses or ones which they find more challenging.

20 The problem is not so great at the college level --

21 Two minutes?

22 DR. SEABORG: Two minutes.

23 MR. BRAVIN: The problem is not so great at the
24 college level where a different number of units are assigned
25 to courses. But in junior and senior high schools where

1 classes are uniformly five credits or one unit, or whatever,
2 all classes are calculated the same way in the grade-point
3 average.

4 A weighted marking system, as I proposed in that
5 letter, would be one way to alleviate this problem.

6 I have some remarks in a more general area, that
7 of student participation in educational policy. If there is
8 time later on, I would like to bring those out.

9 Thank you.

10 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

11 Well, this leaves about 15 or 20 minutes for
12 questions by the panel.

13 I might start this with a comment. I wish that
14 the 17 speakers this afternoon would repeat their presenta-
15 tions, perhaps a little bit expanded beyond the five minutes,
16 up and down the State of California and throughout the west.

17 That would certainly advance our cause very much.
18 I don't want to single out anybody, but it appears to me that
19 if John Pawson could be supported and make a speaking tour,
20 I think this would be very good.

21 (Applause.)

22 DR. SEABORG: All right, with that, may we have
23 some questions.

24 MR. FOSTER: Mr. Bell, May I have a question --
25 with your permission, Mr. Chairman.

1 DR. SEABORG: Yes.

2 MR. FOSTER: Mr. Bell, you remarked that you were
3 representing other organizations besides General Electric.
4 Could you describe that for us?

5 MR. BELL: Industry-Education Council of Santa
6 Clara County is a cooperative effort between the larger
7 industries in the county and superintendents in the various
8 schools to develop programs which are supportive of
9 educational needs in the county.

10 We have, for example, a multiple computer van
11 which provides computer familiarization for the elementary
12 schools forward. We have just launched a program that is
13 designed to show job-related aspects of high school
14 curriculum in an inner-city school.

15 We have a number of other projects that are similar
16 -- career passport, which is a way of helping students focus
17 what they have learned in school and what they like to do
18 personally into a resume that is job-oriented to assist them
19 in finding work.

20 MR. FOSTER: I think Mr. Walker told us about some
21 of his difficulties finding equipment that was absolutely
22 essential.

23 Does the industry education council give any help
24 in this respect to schools?

25 MR. BELL: We don't specifically. Our console in

1 the computer van, per se, is equipped with 16 Atari personal.
2 computers which have been given to us by the Atari Foundation.

3 There are loans of equipment. There are individual
4 corporations which on occasion do donate equipment. But this
5 is not a project of the industry council, per se.

6 MR. FOSTER: But you are aware of the De Anza-
7 Foothill Community College problem? Is this something that
8 is of interest to somebody like your organization, or not?
9 Or will they have to find their own solution to the problem?

10 We have heard a lot about industry and business
11 becoming more involved in helping as part of the duty of
12 business to do this.

13 Is this something that we should discuss here?

14 MR. BELL: Well, I think it has been a topic that
15 has been discussed, most recently I think about three months
16 ago we heard from the superintendents of two community
17 colleges who said that they needed help, they wanted help.

18 There were representatives I believe from about 12
19 different companies that day, all of whom said tell us what
20 it is that you want us to do to assist you.

21 And as yet, they have not come back to the industry-
22 education council.

23 MR. FOSTER: Thank you very much.

24 MS. LARSEN: Is Mr. John Martin of Palo Alto
25 Unified School District still in attendance?

1 Mr. Martin, in your testimony you speak to an item
2 that I think we would all like to replicate. And I wonder if
3 you can tell us how it is achieved?

4 And that is that a highly-motivated goal-oriented
5 student body requires a quality teaching faculty if the
6 students are to achieve their aspirations.

7 I understand you have a good track record. What is
8 particularly in action at Palo Alto Unified School District?

9 MR. MARTIN: I am not sure I can give you any more
10 insights than I suspect you already have.

11 Our community is peopled by adults, by and large
12 for the most part, who have been broadly and well educated.
13 They maintain education as a high priority. They have
14 translated their priorities into community support and have
15 insisted that schools and their teachers be good, be well
16 trained, and have assisted the staff in getting student
17 cooperation and participation. The communication between
18 home and school I would say is superb at all levels. I think
19 it is set in that chemistry.

20 MS. LARSEN: Is your district primarily a
21 neighborhood school?

22 MR. MARTIN: Yes. It is small and compact. And
23 there is great parent-school communication at all levels.

24 MS. LARSEN: It sounds very good. You are to be
25 complimented.

1 MR. MARTIN: Thank you.

2 MS. LARSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3 MR. SOMMER: I would like to pursue this just a
4 little bit and ask you another question.

5 MR. MARTIN: Fine.

6 MR. SOMMER: Do you have any idea of the salary
7 scale of your teachers in your community?

8 MR. MARTIN: Yes. The average teacher -- well, the
9 distribution of personnel in terms of tenure in the district
10 as you can well imagine -- from other comments that I made
11 -- is very skewed.

12 People come to Palo Alto and stay. With benefits
13 in our benefit package, I believe the figure this year for
14 the average teacher salary is in excess of \$30,000, with
15 benefits.

16 MR. SOMMER: Would you say that selection of the
17 teachers is based on some sort of exclusivism where they
18 realize that these people have excellent training?

19 MR. MARTIN: No question. No question about it in
20 my mind. I would say that kindergarten through 12th grade
21 personnel, we were very fortunate in being able to be very
22 selective. It was a marvelous opportunity to pick people not
23 only well-trained in science and math, which is our primary
24 concern today, but even those science and math teachers have
25 brought with them a broad education in the humanities, an

1 exciting thing which the humanities group in this state has
2 looked at with some envy.

3 Because students come out of those science and math
4 courses keyed to look at their newly-developed scientific
5 skills and insights in a broader context that is very value
6 oriented.

7 And that, I think, provides a kind of broadness and
8 intensity that we are looking for, over and above just their
9 technical knowledge and skills.

10 MR. SOMMER: So we can conclude that one can find
11 good teachers in spite of the bad things we have heard about
12 education today?

13 MR. MARTIN: I would hope so. If I had been more
14 attentive to time, I would like to have spoken in greater
15 detail. As I tried to say very hurriedly, my great concern
16 rests in what I believe to be the fact that the same kind of
17 people who presented themselves for training in the late 40s
18 and early 50s are not for obvious reasons presenting them-
19 selves for teacher training at the current moment.

20 And my great concern is not that Palo Alto Unified
21 School District would not be able to fill existing vacancies,
22 but that they will not be able to fill them with the
23 qualifications that their predecessors brought to the task.

24 MR. SOMMER: Thank you.

25 MR. GARDNER: Mr. Chairman?

1 DR. SEABORG: Yes.

2 MR. GARDNER: Is Juliet Henry still here?

3 There were two comments in your testimony I should
4 very much appreciate your commenting on.

5 One had to do with concern -- if I am paraphrasing
6 this correctly -- a concern that an emphasis on mathematics
7 and science would tend to draw resources from other parts
8 of the curriculum. In other words, it would imbalance the
9 relationships among the students.

10 My first question is, if that is a correct state-
11 ment, are all programs offered in the schools of un-
12 differentiated significance? That is the first question I
13 have.

14 And the second question has to do with what I took
15 to be an unsympathetic regard for differentials in salary
16 based upon discipline.

17 Do you believe there is any basis for any
18 differentiation in salary whatsoever, other than longevity,
19 irrespective of discipline or perceived ability?

20 Those are the two questions I have, which are not
21 easy but I would appreciate your comment.

22 MS. HENRY: Number one, the California Teachers
23 Association has always been committed to a single salary
24 schedule, because we do believe that all of the teachers are
25 important, and that the teaching profession itself is in need

1 of a financial upgrading, and not just one section of one
2 department or one curriculum area.

3 And if you grant additional income or additional
4 salary differential for the math-science area, then this can
5 create an elitist idea, a notion in the minds of many teachers
6 at the sacrifice of other teachers.

7 And what we are saying is that the other teachers
8 who teach the other subject areas are just as important to
9 a balanced curriculum for our children as math and science.

10 MR. GARDNER: Putting aside this question of
11 whether you can differentiate from one discipline as against
12 another, do you favor any differentiation of salary based
13 upon perceived ability and competence?

14 MS. HENRY: Well, most teachers, after returning
15 to school and receiving additional credits in a school, do
16 receive additional income. Our position on that is that you
17 have earned those credits and you deserve the differential.

18 But just paying a teacher a differential because
19 they are teaching a different subject is a major concern of
20 ours.

21 MR. GARDNER: The first question then, which I may
22 not have raised properly, had to do with the shifting of
23 resources to math and science which may occur. There is that
24 emphasis on it.

25 MS. HENRY: We are not saying that emphasis should

1 not be placed on math and science because we do believe
2 there is a need for emphasis.

3 But we don't want to sacrafice the other areas
4 while we are placing all the emphasis on math and science
5 today.

6 MR GARDNER: Do you regard that any one area of
7 the curriculum is more significant than any other area?

8 MS. HENRY: For a totally well-rounded student,
9 we believe that all of the areas are important.

10 MR. GARDNER: Equally important?

11 MS. HENRY: Equally.

12 MR. GARDNER: Thank you.

13 GOVERNOR QUIE: May I piggy-back on that a little
14 bit?

15 I understand what you said on differentiation in
16 salaries. What about special emphasis on programs for
17 instance, in special education?

18 In recent years, there has been special emphasis
19 on those programs, special attention to them. And the
20 feeling of many of us is that if just left to being treated
21 like everybody else, they would probably be on the wane.
22 They need some special attention outside of the school
23 district.

24 MS. HENRY: Unfortunately, even being treated
25 specially, some of them are on the wane.

1 GOVERNOR QUIE: Well, that may be. But if they
2 weren't to be treated specially, does your organization feel
3 that there is anything that goes contrary to your policy
4 to treat them specially?

5 In Minnesota, some of the people in the Minnesota
6 Education Association have been critical of the special
7 attention given to special education because this has caused
8 some classroom teachers, if they have an opportunity for a
9 job, to go to extra training in special education.

10 MS. HENRY: Our organization does not want to
11 mitigate the importance of special education or any other
12 curriculum area.

13 What we are saying is that the total curriculum is
14 important for the total development of the students. And
15 when you place undue emphasis on any one part of the
16 curriculum, many times the other part is sacrificed, such as
17 reading.

18 If you are going to place all the emphasis on math,
19 there is the possibility that reading could be sacrificed,
20 that art could be sacrificed.

21 What we are saying is that all of these are
22 important as far as training our future citizens for
23 tomorrow.

24 GOVERNOR QUIE: Well, I think it is important
25 because teacher organizations have quite a bit of political

1 clout.

2 MS. HENRY: Thank you.

3 GOVERNOR QUIE: We talked this morning that there
4 has been a change in emphasis on math and science since
5 the end of the 1950s. And I recall listening to teacher
6 organizations say that should not be a special area of
7 attention. And the same thing you say for balance, you need
8 all the other parts of the curriculum.

9 As a result of that, if that political impact did
10 make a change -- and now we are coming back to science and
11 math being shorted in schools. Do they need special treat-
12 ment like special education in order to provide for our
13 needs for those who otherwise wouldn't receive it?

14 MS. HENRY: Well, I am not sure that that is the
15 result of that. As a member of one of those groups who
16 participated in the National Science Foundation science and
17 mathematics program in the late 60s, the math for elementary
18 school teachers, math specialists, and all of that, the
19 emphasis then was placed in this area for a short period of
20 time, and the long-range need was ignored.

21 Long range needs are sacraficed for short-term needs
22 and I think this might contibute to some of the problems
23 which we have today. If we had thought in terms of long-
24 term needs along with the short-term needs, we might have
25 been able to generate more teachers.

1 However, we all know that the space industries in
2 the 1950's contributed a lot to that. They have contributed
3 a lot to the position we are in today.

4 MS. GORDON: I believe Nancy Kreinberg is out
5 there?

6 At the conclusion of your remarks, I believe it was
7 about signal time, you mentioned the concept of parent
8 education in which students and parents would learn together.

9 I wonder, have you had experience with this? What
10 sorts of approaches are being used?

11 MS. KREINBERG: Well, we have started a program in
12 Richmond, which is a high minority community a little bit
13 north of Berkeley, called "Family Math," where we have
14 parents and children learning mathematics together.

15 The point being, to do two things at once -- have
16 parents learn how they can help the children, and also have
17 parents acquire some math skills themselves.

18 This is the first year of the program. It is
19 funded by FIPSI in the Department of Education. And we
20 started with about 25 parents and their children at the K-2
21 level. We finished that sequence of courses and we are now
22 starting with a similar number of parents and children at
23 the 3-5 level. We will end up this year by working with
24 parents and their children at the junior high level.

25 In addition to the math, we also have at least one

1 evening in which we have the parents bring their teenage
2 children and hear from role models, men and women in the
3 community who are working in scientific and technical fields,
4 so they can relate the math that they are learning to future
5 dialogue opportunities.

6 It is a pilot program and we are learning a lot.
7 One of the questions is whether it is better to teach parents
8 and kids together or separately. So we have two kinds of
9 programs. One was with the parents alone and one with the
10 parents and their children. And we haven't drawn any conclu-
11 sions yet.

12 But it is an interesting program. If we don't get
13 refunded, it will not return.

14 MS GORDON: We talked about students and teachers.
15 And I keep wondering what the role of the parent is going to
16 be as we try to improve the quality of education.

17 Thank you, Ms. Kreinberg.

18 DR. SEABORG: All right. Well, I think we should
19 take our 15-minute break now and come back promptly at 4
20 o'clock.

21 (A short recess was taken.)
22
23
24
25

1 DR. SEABORG: We are going to proceed as we have
2 this afternoon. Five-minute presentations of those who
3 have asked to be heard to make their contribution.

4 And the first person will be Frank Oppenheimer.
5 And each of you should introduce yourself and tell us your
6 connections, and so forth.

7 Mr. Oppenheimer?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF FRANK OPPENHEIMER

DIRECTOR, EXPLORATORIUM, SAN FRANCISCO

MR. OPPENHEIMER: I am Frank Oppenheimer.

I am the director and founder of the Exploratorium in San Francisco.

I have also taught on a great variety of levels, at the University of Colorado and at several other places. I have taught graduates and undergraduates. I have also taught high school, and developed science curricula at the junior high school and the elementary school level.

But about 13 years ago, I felt that one needed a place where all students at all levels could get familiar with the processes of nature and develop intuition about them, and perhaps have a place where teachers and parents and children could all use the props together.

So we have set up about 500 exhibits arranged in a series of interlocking curricula. That will grow to around 750 in a few years. The place is attended now by around 450,000 people a year, of which half are adults and the other half are under 19. About a quarter of our visitors are under 10.

It is certainly the most rewarding form of teaching that I have ever done. And I think it is a necessary part of the overall education establishment to have such places. Science centers have been growing

1 all over the country, in fact, all over the world in the
2 last 10 years. And our organization has found that it takes
3 an interest in coordinating what we have to do with what
4 others are trying to do.

5 One of the things that I really appreciate,
6 Dr. Seaborg, is the difficulty of what the Commission has
7 to do. One of the things about education that I have found
8 is that almost everything that anybody says about it is
9 true.

10 But that, I think, does not reflect the great
11 richness that is needed for teaching. No one of the
12 avenues for teaching is going to do the job, especially
13 with the complexity of this society and the complexity of
14 what has to be taught.

15 And I wanted to talk to the Commission today
16 because I think that science centers are such important
17 places. They are a delight too. The schools use them,
18 and we have a great many teacher-training sessions.

19 We have developed curricula that are useful to the
20 schools. We have developed props that can go to the
21 schools. But in addition to the formal training that we
22 can offer to the school children and to the teachers -- and
23 this is an ongoing thing -- the exhibit teaching materials
24 are here year after year. It is not like something
25 you just hand a teacher.

1 In fact, some of the teachers who have gone
2 through our workshops have returned and pulled out of
3 the closet some of the curriculum materials developed
4 many years ago. So this is a live teacher-training center
5 to which the teachers can return over and over again.

6 But another wonderful thing happens. Parents
7 come here and use those props to teach their children,
8 and also to teach each other and their friends. It is a
9 place where all kinds of people come and use the props
10 to do the kind of teaching and self-learning that they would
11 like to do. And I have found this extraordinarily rewarding.
12 It fits in with so much else that is happening.

13 I wanted to mention that we have tried to do it
14 very economically. I think in the 12 years we have been
15 going, we have spent around 11 million to build these
16 props. And about a quarter of that money has come from
17 the federal government -- very largely from the National
18 Science Foundation, but also very substantially from the
19 National Endowment for the Arts and from the Endowment
20 for the Humanities and from FIPSE in the Department
21 of Education.

22 This money, this Federal money, has helped
23 legitimize this whole kind of education. I think it is
24 playing that role throughout. And it isn't particularly
25 the curriculum that has been developed through the

1 National Science Foundation, but the fact that through those
2 efforts the academic community, the university community,
3 became very much more interested in improving science
4 education at all levels.

5 So that it did represent a national priority,
6 and the only way that you can show there is a national
7 priority is to have some Federal money helping what is
8 going on. I have been disappointed that things look
9 shaky at the moment. But I am sure that in the future it
10 will work out again, and the support will come back.

11 Science centers have been spreading in this
12 country. They occur not only in major urban communities,
13 but also in many smaller ones. And therefore I think they
14 can play, in all these places, a very substantial role
15 in improving education.

16 Schools cannot do it all by themselves. People
17 have complained that schools have been asked to do too many
18 things. But I don't think those jobs, are going to
19 be taken away from the schools. I think that what has
20 to happen is that one has to begin to view public
21 education not just as school, but as a conglomerate of
22 adjunctive organizations which include museums and
23 libraries as well as television programs. I think all
24 of these must play an extraordinarily important part in
25 education.

1 And here the emphasis has been today. I have
2 heard so much on the schools. But I think if the
3 Commission doesn't consider all the facilities that can
4 help education, there is no way of turning around
5 some of the difficulties that people have pointed out
6 are happening in the schools.

7 Thank you.

8 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

9 The next speaker will be Leigh Burstein.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATEMENT OF LEIGH BURSTEIN

GRADUATE SCHOOL, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

ASSOCIATION, CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION

MR. BURSTEIN: Thank you. My name is Leigh Burstein. I am at the University of California at Los Angeles in the Graduate School of Education, and I am associated with the Center for the Study of Evaluation there.

I am in part speaking in my responsibilities for that job. And also I have some personal views that are as yet unfinished.

My colleague and I are in the process of developing an opinion for the L. A. Times, something unusual for us, dealing with the problems of pre-college math-science instruction, the teacher training problem, and the teacher shortage problem. And I thought I might share some of our as yet unpolished ideas with this group.

A couple of observations to begin with. Even if all of the money that was put into science, math and technology education in the late 50s and early 60s were available today, even after adjusting for inflation, that it would not be enough to solve the pre-college teacher-equipped shortage and talent problem.

The issues are just more complex today. The need

1 for citizens to have a certain degree of technical
2 literacy today are just much greater.

3 Second, the nature of hte economic conditions
4 at present and governmental directions, federal and
5 state adjustments in education in general, makes it
6 unlikely that we will get these needed resources devoted
7 to education strictly out of federal, state and local
8 government offers. Certainly not in the magnitude that
9 is needed.

10 This leads to my third point. If we can't get the
11 money directly from the federal-state governments as we
12 have in the past, it seems to me it is time to think
13 more seriously about tapping the enormous potential
14 for industrial cooperation in dealing with this problem.

15 We will need industry cooperation and investment
16 to deal with what I consider three problems.

17 One, Income levels of teachers has both an
18 economic and a psychological impact on skill levels.
19 And there has been a lot of discussion of that.

20 Another thing that is not part of the pre-college
21 teacher training and equipment shortage problem but is very
22 relevant to industry is the problem that technology is
23 facing in terms of professional burnout and retention of
24 highly-skilled and trained engineers in the jobs that they
25 are currently employed.

1 I think that the private sector can make a
2 significant dent in math and science instruction
3 deficiency in the secondary education. At the same time,
4 they can contribute to reducing their own problems
5 with employee burnout and retention.

6 With renewed university pressure and parental
7 awareness and support, students will begin to take
8 more math and science courses in high schools if there
9 are sufficient skilled teachers and equipment. But
10 not enough college students are being trained to teach
11 math and science and there are neither incentives nor
12 resources to redirect teachers from oversubscribed
13 fields into these areas.

14 The private sector's role in solving the teacher
15 shortage can be that of an investor and an educator.
16 Since the three main reasons in my view of the teaching
17 shortage are economic -- teachers don't make enough
18 money to maintain the middle-class existence --
19 intellectual and psychological -- the whole notion of
20 the professional status of teaching has eroded over
21 the years -- it seems like industrial investment can
22 begin to help solve these problems.

23 The mechanism we propose, which is very sketchy
24 at present but will be elaborated later, goes something
25 like this.

1 The mechanism for private sector contribution is
2 through support, either direct or indirect, of ongoing
3 math, science and technology institutes for improving
4 teacher skills or retraining teachers from other
5 subject areas to enter these fields.

6 The institutes would be somewhat akin to the
7 programs formerly sponsored by the National Science
8 Foundation. The purpose of the institutes would be
9 to provide improved up-to-date knowledge of science and
10 math computing for present teachers and for teacher transfers
11 from oversubscribed fields.

12 Second, but I think in some sense more importantly,
13 industry can provide income supplements through institute
14 stipends and fellowships to augment teacher earnings, and
15 provide teachers with the opportunity for part-time
16 work in the private sector for further augmentation of
17 earnings and better awareness of industrial applications,
18 with the possible benefit of improved relevance of teaching
19 and better career decision making.

20 And in the case of year-round institutes, not
21 just summer programs, these programs can provide
22 opportunities for additional part-time -- and I put this
23 in quotes -- "consulting" for teachers, many of whom are
24 already working a second job to maintain their standard
25 of living.

1 The institutes can also serve to partially
2 alleviate the industry's problem of employee burnout and
3 retention. Volunteers can be offered release time or paid
4 leave, to serve either as the instructors in the institutes
5 or with special exemptions from the credential regulations,
6 as part-time teachers in local schools and colleges.

7 The teacher's part-time consulting through the
8 institute will partly offset the lost personnel, time and
9 with lower costs in terms of salaries and benefits, than
10 actually hiring additional full-time employees.

11 Returns from this investment are both tangible
12 and intangible, long term and immediate. If the institutes
13 were successful in providing financial and motivational
14 incentives for retaining teachers, preparing them to do
15 a better job, encouraging a higher percent of the talented
16 students to enter the teaching profession, while at the
17 same time reducing problems with employee burnout and
18 retention, then this investment could be in the industry's
19 self-interest.

20 Each retained teacher, each recruited teacher,
21 makes available a person who can handle another 150 high
22 school students at a time when industry wants more
23 technical skills.

24 Other tangible benefits are the use of lower-cost
25 part-time teachers assistants and consultants -- quote,

1 teacher assistant consultants -- to cover shortfalls in
2 staffing and relieve highly-skilled employees for more
3 creative duties.

4 Thank you.

5 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

6 The next speaker is Judy Chamberlain.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF JUDY CHAMBERLAIN

GIFTED AND TALENTED PROGRAM TEACHER, CUPERTINO

MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Chairman Seaborg and Members of the Commission, thank you for the opportunity to put some input in from the front-line trenches.

I am an elementary school teacher who is teaching with the Gifted and Talented Program in Cupertino Unified School District and teaching computer awareness to second graders and up.

I started in 1977 not knowing the difference between a microchip and a chocolate chip.

I moonlight for the industrial education council that you heard about earlier that has the computer van that goes to schools and so forth. And I also teach a class that we found was desperately needed in our district for parents, called "Computers Without Trauma." So that they could speak "computerese" with their children. Children were coming home speaking a language that left parents feeling a little behind.

The Cupertino school district has at the moment an enrollment of 12,000 from kindergarten through eighth grade. I was very pleased today to hear a large number of speakers refer to the importance of elementary education. That is where the action is.

I have second graders who tell me, "I hate math."

1 But I watch those same children enjoy challenging problem-
2 solving activities when they don't come out of the math text.
3 We need to change the way students perceive mathematics and
4 we need to change their attitudes early. And we can do that.

5 Our district, in the heart of Silicon Valley, is
6 good. We take pride in being an excellent school district,
7 and I think I could give you perhaps three reasons why that
8 is true.

9 First is that we have an effective partnership
10 among parents, teachers, administrators, and the school
11 board trustees. We all work together very well. In fact,
12 sometimes our legislators are taken aback when we appear
13 before them as a unit and ask to be heard.

14 Second is the excellent staff development program
15 within the district. We discovered a few years ago that
16 declining enrollment means you can't hire new teachers.
17 That means we could no longer depend on an annual injection
18 of new ideas and enthusiasm and we needed to look for
19 other ways to keep our staff professionally up to date
20 and innovative. With participation of teachers,
21 administrators, parents, and trustees, we developed an
22 inservice program that is excellent. It provides all kinds
23 of carrots to get people to do things and improve their
24 skills long after salary improvement is possible.

25 The third element is that we have had federal

1 seed money for innovative projects. We also have money from
2 the state in the form of the Gifted and Talented Education
3 funds. These outside funds have enabled us to get things
4 going in our district that would not have happened otherwise.

5 And I am a little worried when I look at the
6 future.

7 I would like to give you a couple of examples of
8 things I think got started because we had start-up money and
9 which have now been assumed by the district and are going
10 well.

11 One of these, of course, is the computer program
12 in the district. It was developed with a combination of
13 federal Title IV(c) and state Gifted and Talented funds.
14 It now extends to almost every student in our district.
15 By the end of next year, it will be every student in the
16 district. And I am talking about kindergarten to eighth
17 grade, Gifted to Special Ed. Over half of the teachers of
18 our district have had at least one course in computer
19 literacy. And I am talking about 800 teachers. So we are
20 advancing and learning and doing what we need to do.

21 Another thing that began in our district because
22 of start-up money is an environmental education program for
23 elementary school students, again starting at kindergarten
24 level. It began as a project with outside funding;
25 a curriculum was written for each grade level and it's

1 being used throughout our district. We also have an energy
2 conservation program which involves students as well as
3 classified and certificated members of our school
4 district in learning what we can do to conserve energy on
5 a practical basis within our own district. And I am sure
6 this applies to their lives outside school.

7 We have a pilot project in economics going on this
8 year at one junior high school. This appears to be a good
9 level to begin a more thorough study of this subject.

10 We have a program on human health known as
11 Berkeley Health Project, which enables students to learn
12 more about themselves, beginning at an early age. This
13 hands-on science has proved a more effective approach than
14 reading it in the book.

15 Now, all of these things need leadership and they
16 need financial support. And I am worried about the strong
17 possibility that help is not going to be there in the future.

18 You won't very often hear teachers stand up and
19 say we want more administrators. But I stand here and
20 say that we need to have an organization like the Department
21 of Education that says to the nation and to the world:
22 Education is important in this country!

23 We need to have leaders in state capitals. We
24 need leadership in those special fields such as the Gifted
25 and Talented. In California there is a real danger that we

1 may lose the Gifted and Talented Education management team
2 and local coordinators as well because of a lack of funding.

3 You have the opportunity to take what you have
4 heard today and the information you'll be receiving in the
5 future hearings and use it to make things happen in
6 education. As I listened to you today, I felt you were with
7 me.

8 I wish you good luck. We all need your work.

9 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

10 The next speaker is Michael Summerville.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF MICHAEL SUMMERVILLE
2 FREMONT UNIFIED HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
3 LOS GATOS JOINT UNIFIED HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

4 MR. SUMMERVILLE: My name is Mike Summerville, and
5 I am here today to represent two high school districts in
6 the middle of Silicon Valley, Fremont Unified School
7 District and Los Gatos Joint Unified High School District.
8 Cupertino Unified School District feeds into our district.

9 So you can see that we are getting quite a product
10 from our elementary and senior district in terms of
11 computer awareness.

12 The two school districts are embarking on a
13 rather unique venture which I would like to share with you
14 today. And it was suggested by Governor Brown's staff
15 for us to come to this Commission to speak about this
16 concept. Because it encompasses several of the components
17 that he has included in his "Investment in People"
18 proposal.

19 We are embarking on an Institute for Computer
20 Technology, which is to supplement the regular
21 curriculum in both high school districts in the area
22 of high technology instruction.

23 We see that even with the equipment we can
24 purchase and make available to all of our high school
25 curriculums in the entire area of math, science and

1 computer technology, that there are specialized teaching
2 skills and specialized environments that we need to be able
3 to provide for a segment of our students that high
4 schools cannot expect to generate out of their own
5 sources.

6 Therefore, we are starting a venture in
7 September of this year which involves not only two school
8 districts working together to establish a common
9 facility which breaks down the boundaries of attendance
10 between the two school
11 districts for schools, but also it is a merger of the
12 two districts and industry people who are involved
13 in the venture.

14 We are meeting with our local high technology
15 industries in the area right now to talk about this
16 venture and saying to them that many times they see
17 curriculum needs from the high school students that
18 high schools are not providing.

19 And we don't know exactly what they mean in
20 those curriculum areas. We are meeting with them now to
21 define curriculum that will be part of our venture
22 that starts in September. That curriculum will be developed
23 jointly by the members of the semiconductor, electronics
24 and computer industries in Santa Clara Valley, and our
25 districts, and will be offered to high school students

1 starting in September.

2 The importance of having industry merge with us
3 in this venture is that they can supply us with the
4 intended product needs, not only for students who could
5 enter into their industries and their firms when they
6 graduate from high school, but we also have industry
7 working with us to define the longer-range needs --
8 the engineers and the scientists and the computer
9 specialists that they need.

10 And we are going to have as part of this
11 institute, curriculum to take the very gifted and
12 talented and motivated students who are involved in
13 computers and electronics, and give them a specialized
14 education using industry-provided equipment and teaching
15 expertise to prepare them to either go on to college and
16 maintain their interest as they get to college, or to
17 give them the option to go on into industry immediately
18 out of high school and be qualified for the technical-
19 skill job areas that are available to them in great
20 numbers in our country.

21 We feel that part of this program will be an
22 extensive work experience program and a summer internship
23 program for the students to take part in the industries
24 to earn a little bit of money.

25 But more so to be able to use the skills that they

1 are getting in course work in the schools out in real life
2 as part of an industry setting.

3 In addition, we see this institute providing course
4 work for students, adults in the area, handicapped students,
5 and all segments of our community. So that not only are
6 we meeting the needs of our 18 and under year old students,
7 but also offering training and instructional programs for
8 adults in the community who may want to enter those
9 particular area' and get some instruction.

10 We also are expecting that a teacher center for
11 training will be part of this institute so that our
12 existing teachers that are in our school systems now will
13 be able to obtain more background and more skills so that
14 they may themselves be qualified to teach in some of these
15 more technical areas.

16 We feel that the benefits of this kind of merger
17 between industry and education will work. And the
18 demonstrations that we have received back from the business
19 industry people in our area are verifying that.

20 They are willing to come with education and go
21 into a joint venture if education has its act together
22 and is willing to work with industry on industry's basis.

23 We feel that some of the benefits are not
24 quite so obvious -- one is the ripple effect of this
25 curriculum. While we have this model going in this school

1 providing technical skills to students, we see our own
2 teachers in our own programs in all of our high schools
3 being able to receive the benefit of that instruction.

4 As we give technical instructions, say in
5 electronics, at the higher level, we expect that the
6 electronic instruction at the basic level which is provided
7 in all high schools will receive that ripple effect at
8 the higher level instructions so that all of our basic
9 programs will be enhanced and the level of instruction
10 will be improved.

11 So, this model will not only benefit our
12 students in the apecialized situation, but pervade itself
13 out through the community and through our regular
14 teachers and programs in the schools.

15 Lastly, we expect to provide a demonstration
16 site f r computer-related innovations that are taking
17 place, and electronics innovations, in a setting where our
18 community and our schools may bring students in to share in
19 the advancements that are taking place right on our doorsteps.

20 So I would like to say to you that I think that
21 this kind of a merger between industry and education is
22 very possible, and that industry will buy into it if we
23 give them the right kind of buy-in basis.

24 We are attempting to create a model which will
25 show that kind of leadership.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Thank you.

DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

The next speaker is Ted Perry.

STATEMENT OF TED PERRY

SAN JUAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

MR. PERRY: Mr. Chairman and Commission Members,
I feel honored to be permitted to testify.

I am a psychologist and I am working with a
computer program project in the San Juan Unified School
District in suburban Sacramento.

Our school district has 50,000 kids on 150
computers. I would like to give you another way of
looking at technology as used in the school.

I have heard a lot of things here today and
I believe about 99 percent of them. I am not sure that
I agree with all of the outcome or results therefrom.

We have heard today of the need for improvement
in math and science in the classroom. Who could disagree?

We have heard today about the amount of
influence the elementary teacher may have over the future
of the children in her class relative to math and science
later on.

And then we have heard that some of these
teachers are not all that comfortable with math and
science and technology. And heaven knows, I have seen
that is true.

We have heard the future scientists and the
masses need to be computer literate. They need to be

1 math and science literate to some level. Everyone needs
2 to be. The scientists need to be very literate, but the
3 masses need to be able to deal with the technology that
4 is here.

5 All right. And the results that I hear being
6 presented again and again are that what we need to do now
7 is focus our attention on the math and science classes
8 and the math and science specialists in the school system.

9 It feels to me like we are missing a piece. If
10 we are asking to educate the population, the masses, are
11 we going to do this by just having specialized teachers,
12 educate and literate themselves?

13 A little bit of hte direction we went in our
14 district. Through Title IV(c) project, funding that is
15 going down the tubes, we were able to start and build
16 a computer-authoring system.

17 In other words, a way that normal human teachers,
18 as opposed to programmers, could put their own lessons
19 into a computer system instruction format. And we have,
20 again, normal human teachers, not just the science and math
21 teachers, but teachers who were trained in English or in
22 art or in -- you name it.

23 They are building lessons for their students
24 and they are using the equipment and they are
25 understanding some of the technology. But in fact it is

1 being used on a day-to-day basis.

2 The authoring system, the project was finished
3 two years ago and it is currently being used in over
4 1100 school districts throughout the United States and
5 Canada.

6 We think that the technology needs to be
7 brought to all of the educators, not just for the math
8 and science teacher.

9 Please don't misinterpret. I am saying that
10 you should not focus on math and science. I think that it
11 is very much needed. But I do think the general
12 population of educators need to be focused on.

13 I am concerned as schools within my district
14 call me and are about to being in a computer system --
15 I said we have 150 computers, but we have got 74 schools
16 and 50,000 kids. So really the number of computers per
17 kid is not that much.

18 And they say we are going to bring in computers
19 into the math and science department. And I know darned
20 well in many of those schools the English teacher will
21 never appear in that department.

22 And I am pushing to make the computers
23 appear in a neutral territory. How about the library, the
24 media center? And how about giving the training at the
25 same time to the English teacher as to the science teacher?

1 Governor Brown has recognized the need for
2 intensified studies in the area of math and science and
3 computer technology in his "Investment in People" program.

4 I was working originally in a deaf and hard of
5 hearing program with kids that are very high risk. The
6 average deaf adult reads at about third or fourth grade
7 level. And they aren't very well motivated because English
8 is not their language. And it sure as heck is not easy
9 for them to translate from sign to English. It is a
10 whole other world.

11 These teachers are basically English teachers
12 with special ed backgrounds. At the end of the project, the
13 teachers said to me -- in fact, we put it in the State
14 report -- "I don't understand this. I mean, yes, I
15 built these lessons but they're not games. This is
16 drill and practice language facts, the hardest things for
17 these particular kids to do. And they are fighting for
18 time on the computer."

19 I think we need to bring technology into
20 education, not just the math and science department.
21 I have said it before.

22 Funding is needed to provide this training,
23 to provide equipment and to provide education. The San
24 Juan District stands ready to provide some leadership and
25 some help in this direction.

1 General literacy is what I think is needed. I
2 will leave you with a copy of our documentation.

3 Thank you.

4 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

5 The next speaker is Paul Hurd.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATEMENT OF PAUL HURD

2 PROFESSOR EMERITUS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

3 MR. HURD: My name is Paul D. Hurd, Professor
4 Emeritus of Education at Stanford University. My area
5 of scholarship is science education.

6 My comments today are directed toward a
7 revitalization of the pre-college science curriculum.
8 It has been referred to my several speakers. And I would
9 like to offer my perspective. There seems to be little
10 question but that we are at a turning point in the human
11 venture largely influenced by the interactions of science,
12 technology, and society.

13 The influence of science and technology on
14 human life and living makes instruction in the sciences
15 imperative in general education.

16 The present status of pre-college science teaching
17 in the United States is not in harmony with the cluster
18 of activities that relate the natural sciences and
19 technology to social progress.

20 Although science and technology together are
21 responsible for much of the cultural uniqueness of America,
22 and serve as agents of social change, they are overlooked and
23 taught without reference to human affairs or competent
24 citizenship.

25 Increasingly, citizens are called upon to make

1 decisions and support policies which may serve to influence
2 the course of human well-being and the quality of life.
3 Many of these decisions demand an understanding of 1)
4 processes for generating bodies of knowledge that are science;
5 2) the powers of limitations of scientific procedures
6 and scientific information; 3) the social impacts of science
7 and technology; and 4) values, ethics, and perhaps morals.

8 This is not the context in which pre-college
9 science is taught, although nearly a thousand colleges and
10 universities have in the past decade developed courses
11 or programs along these lines.

12 Typically, pre-college science courses represent
13 a system for acquiring a large fund of specific facts
14 classified by broad disciplines such as biology, chemistry,
15 physics or earth science.

16 Only minimal attention is given to conceptualizing
17 the information. The criterion for the selection of
18 subject matter is that the information is historically
19 representative of the "structure of a discipline."

20 Recent advances in science and technology simply
21 add to the thickness of a textbook.

22 I take the position that for effective
23 citizenship, an education in the sciences should extend
24 beyond the acquisition of knowledge and include the means
25 for its utilization.

1 Linking science as a knowledge-producing
2 system with society as a knowledge-producing system is
3 the challenge confronting science education.

4 Excellence in terms of science teaching is
5 the attainment of scientific and technological
6 enlightenment.

7 I think the time has come to stress the
8 roles of science and technology as instruments of
9 service for resolving science-based social problems,
10 promoting the welfare of individuals, and shaping in
11 positive ways the future evolution of human life.

12 The central goal of an education in the
13 sciences, as I see it, is the one identified by
14 Francis Bacon (1620) in his statement,

15 "The ideal of human service as the
16 ultimate goal of scientific effort."

17 Alfred North Whitehead in his essay on The
18 Aims of Education comments,

19 "If knowledge is not usable, what is it?"

20 Thank you.

21 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

22 The next speaker is Elizabeth Karplus.

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF ELIZABETH KARPLUS

MORAGA HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER

MS. KARPLUS: My name is Elizabeth Karplus and I teach at Campolindo High School in Moraga. We have many excellent science and math programs including advanced placement courses and an excellent program in computer literacy which is a part of many sections of Algebra and Geometry classes. I invite you to come and look at these and other subject area excellent programs whenever you have time.

I am speaking in three capacities. First, as a recently retired school board member dedicated to supporting a broad educational program for all children. In this capacity I second Mr. John Pawson's remarks and list of needed supports, financial and legal, which would make it much easier for school boards to aid teachers in providing excellence in education. We certainly need to possess basic financial information on more than a one year basis to do this. For example, it is ridiculous to send lay-off notices in March to competent dedicated teachers we would like to retain because the Board does not know what its financial resources will be until July or August.

Secondly, I am a high school science, math teacher who has become in the last ten years a high school special

1 education teacher. In this new capacity, I have found that
2 math, science and problem solving materials are the best
3 learning materials I can use for remediating and for
4 furthering skills applicable to all subject areas. They
5 have status with the students, provide motivation, and
6 are simple enough logically so that almost all students
7 can understand them. Because the number of symbols
8 used are relatively few and the rules for manipulating
9 those symbols clear, they can also be used to remediate
10 reading or listening skills the students may lack.

11 Thirdly, I am also speaking as a parent of seven
12 young men and women and as a grandparent of seven young
13 children. In this capacity I am worried about the future
14 of the world today. My remarks here are a little
15 red\ndant to Dr. Paul Hurd who just preceeded me.

16 I wish to recommend that the Commission schedule
17 another hearing on Excellence in Education in the areas
18 of history, culture and citizenship. If the science and
19 mathematics program is to succeed in its aim of
20 training of professional and productive scientists and
21 mathematicians as well as improving scientific literacy
22 in the whole population, the math-science program must
23 be integrated with other experiences and understanding of
24 the students. As Julliete Henry said, "the math science
25 curriculum should not be out of proportion to the rest

1 of the curriculum."

2 Today the world is a small place. We all need
3 to know something of geography, history, economic concepts,
4 political realities, as well as differing customs of the
5 places, people and governemtns of the world. I believe
6 the mathematics and science curriculum, in particular,
7 can be an excellent place for students to learn some of
8 this global information. It is also an excellent place
9 to train students in the analysis of variables and
10 analytical problem solving which can help citizens evaluate
11 information that receive from newspapers, T.V., speeches
12 or conversations with friends.

13 I believe that some of the most powerful
14 educational programs are those where
15 students and teachers can integrate their learning with their
16 experiences or needs. For example, students should re
17 recognize what kind of things the numbers measuring
18 national GNP's, grain productions, average calories
19 per person, percent of GNP spent on education, social
20 programs, or on armaments tell us about national or world
21 problems or their possible solutions. With this kind of
22 integration in subject matter, teachers and students can
23 continue to learn all their lives.

24 Thank you.

25 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

1 The next speaker will be ---

2 MR GARDNER: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. It
3 might interest you to know that two weeks ago the
4 full Commission met in Washington, D.C., and gave the
5 entire day over to studying a comparison and contrasts
6 of the educational system in this country with the
7 educational systems in several advanced industrial
8 countries of the world.

9 It was not focused only on science and
10 mathematics but cut across all disciplines. I should
11 think that the issues you raised will in the normal
12 course of the hearing -- both of the ones scheduled
13 and of the full Commission -- will give rise to a
14 discussion of those issues.

15 If not, If it should somehow escape us, then I
16 think we certainly have to consider them.

17 DR. SEABORG: The next speaker will be Louis
18 Fein.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF LOUIS FEIN
2 VISITING SCHOLAR, SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, STANFORD
3 EXECUTIVE DIPECTOR
4 PALO ALTO LEARNERS ASSOCIATION

5 MR. FEIN: Chairman Seaborg, Members of the
6 Commission, my name is Louis Fein. I am this year a
7 visiting scholar at the Stanford School of Education where
8 I am writing a book on the impact of the form of school
9 governance on the quality of education.

10 My degrees are in physics, but I have worked
11 most of my professional life as a computer scientist.
12 Indeed, 25 years ago as consultant to Stanford University
13 I conceived and named computer science and designed a
14 model university computer science curriculum and research
15 program.

16 I have been a teacher of mathematics, physics,
17 astronomy and computer science at the secondary and post-
18 secondary levels. I have been Education Committee
19 Chairman of the Association of Computer Machinery: a
20 computer organization.

21 But I don't come to you today either as
22 physicist or as computer scientist or as teacher. You
23 have heard educators and others make recommendations for
24 what is needed to improve education in mathematics,
25 science, computer science and other fields.

1 I do come to you today as parent, grandparent and
2 as a citizen representative of a union. Yes, a union!
3 A union whose main interest is to promote and protect the
4 learner's interest, not only in excellence in mathematics,
5 science and computer science education, but in every
6 other aspect of the student's education.

7 Our union arose out of the recognition that local,
8 state and national teacher legislators they sometimes control,
9 use their governance power to resist and to block some of
10 the kinds of learner-benefitting reforms proposed to you
11 today.

12 I am executive director of a public school
13 client union in the Palo Alto Unified School District.
14 Families and others in the district with a strong interest
15 in promoting learner's educational interests are eligible
16 to join.

17 We call our union, founded in 1974, the Palo
18 Alto Learners Association. We have neither a state nor a
19 national learners association yet although we are
20 determined that ours is a forerunner of other local state
21 and national organizations. We believe that only a client
22 union can be depended on to protect the learners interest.

23 Teachers in our district, of course, are
24 organized into a union to protect and promote their
25 interests, as they should. The local teacher union is

1 called the Palo Alto educators Association whose representa-
2 tive addressed you about an hour or so ago. Their
3 national union is the National Education Association.

4 We believe and we urge the Commission to consider
5 that an important pre-condition for improving education
6 in the interests of the learner, and therefore of society,
7 is to give learners unions parity with teacher unions
8 as governors of school districts. In collective bargaining
9 these two unions would decide policies on what we call
10 the terms and conditions of learning, as well as the
11 terms and conditions of employment.

12 That is, they should together decide curriculum,
13 student, teacher and program evaluations; teacher layoffs;
14 tenure, textbooks; salary, inservice training and indeed
15 everything, since everything is involved in the terms and
16 conditions of learning or of employment or both.

17 We agree with the prevailing view among scholars
18 that education has no clear technology, and that therefore
19 there are no experts in education policy.

20 As long as that is true, school-client
21 representatives are as capable and certainly as interested,
22 if not more so, than teachers' and administrators'
23 representatives and school board members in making policies
24 aimed at improving education.

25 We hold that school boards elected by voter-tax

1 payers, voter-parents, voter-teachers, voter-students and
2 supported in their electioneering by such voters and by
3 other local interests (e.s. real estate interests) have
4 obligations to these various interests and therefore
5 can't be expected, even in principle, let alone practice,
6 to represent the learners interest, and therefore the
7 country's interest.

8 Only a union of learners and their parents can be
9 expected to represent the learners' interests, just as
10 only a union of teachers can be expected to represent
11 the teachers' interest. Our society has legally
12 recognized the latter proposition; I urge the Commission
13 to propose the legal recognition of the former.

14 We recommend that the Commission accept the
15 idea that client-provider governance is a necessary
16 condition for successfully implementing many of the reforms
17 that are being proposed to you.

18 Client unions and teacher unions should in a
19 normal collective bargaining procedure negotiate the terms
20 and conditions of learning, the terms and conditions of
21 employment, subject to the veto or approval of a public
22 interest representative.

23 But the public representative -- the school board--
24 must not, as it does now, be involved in the negotiations if
25 for no other reason than that the public interest is not

1 negotiable and the public representative should not be
2 permitted to trade off, as it does now, the public
3 interest against negotiable teacher union interests.

4 Let me just give you one example of what happens
5 when you don't have a client union to protect the
6 learners interest and presuppose that that interest will
7 be protected by others.

8 In California during the last three years, there
9 has been a coalition among the California Teachers
10 Association, the California Federation of Teachers, the
11 California School Boards Association, the Association of
12 California School Administrators, the PTA, the State Board
13 and the State Department of Education to push the
14 legislation only for more money and to resist any and
15 all reforms of the kind in previous years proposed by the
16 School Boards Association, the Administrators and even
17 the PTA, and of the kind being proposed to your Commission.

18 If we had local, state and national learners
19 asscoaiton with the financial and political muscle of
20 the employee organizations, such successful coalitions
21 to resist reform would be unlikely.

22 To summarize, as long as there is no organized
23 legally-enabled countervailing force to teacher unions and
24 the legislative and executive bodies they control, reform
25 in education in the learners interest and therefore in the

1 public, interest will be very difficult indeed.

2 Thank you.

3 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

4 The next speaker is Bob McFarland.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATEMENT OF BOB MCFARLAND

2 PRESIDENT, CALIFORNIA MATH COUNCIL

3 MR. MCFARLAND: I commend the Commission for
4 staying on to hear us out.5 I am Bob McFarland. For eight years I was a
6 sixth grade teacher, two years as a school resource specialist,
7 and 12 years as a county math consultant. I am here today as
8 president of the California Math Council which has a
9 membership of 5,000 elementary and secondary teachers of
10 mathematics.11 I want to share a cartoon with you which I
12 received in the mail as an editor of a local math
13 newsletter from the NCTM, the National Council of Teachers
14 of Math.15 It shows a principal sitting with a teacher,
16 saying to her, "Ms. Perkins, you teach five sessions of
17 English out of a seven-period day. How many unassigned
18 periods does that leave you?

19 She says, "Uh, two."

20 And he gets on the phone and says, "Hello, math
21 department? We have found one to fill your teacher
22 shortage."

23 (Laughter.)

24 MR. MCFARLAND: You have heard of math anxiety.
25 But what about the statement, anyone can teach math? I

1 haven't heard that expressed today, but yet I felt it to
2 be fairly prevalent especially in these days of the teacher
3 shortage when teachers are being reassigned to teach math,
4 and even among the elementary teachers, that anyone can
5 teach math.

6 And I can hold some substance to that, if we are
7 only talking about computational skills. Maybe anyone can
8 teach computational skills, given the right materials.

9 But I don't believe anyone can teach math if we
10 are trying to teach students problem-solving skills and
11 strategies, logical thinking and reasoning in mathematics.

12 Good teachers need to know how to ask good
13 questions. They need to know content. They need to know
14 how to be able to respond to good questions from students.
15 They need to be able to encourage good questioning from
16 students.

17 I don't believe teachers can do this without
18 good inservice programs, especially those teachers who
19 are at the secondary level now being reassigned who may be
20 unqualified or at least not have the background for
21 teaching mathematics.

22 I have heard many good suggestions for staff
23 development programs today. I certainly support and
24 want to reinforce four suggestions at least for inservice
25 training for teachers.

1 One would be through membership and support of
2 professional organizations. These organizations that are
3 supported by the membership dues and the time of the
4 members.

5 Second, through resource centers -- that has been
6 mentioned today -- state resource centers and the federal
7 professional development centers. Third, through courses
8 taught by motivated math educators, not just college
9 studies.

10 And some college types do tend to be somewhat
11 suspect among other teachers, I have found.

12 Fourth, through the use of math specialists at,
13 especially, elementary schools. That we don't have much
14 of today. And in fact, we are finding it increasingly so
15 that subject area specialists at the district and the
16 county levels are becoming less and less. Their jobs are
17 being eliminated.

18 One final note would be that pre-service
19 education certainly needs to receive more emphasis so
20 that we can attract new teachers in the areas of math,
21 science and computer technology.

22 Thank you.

23 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

24 The next speaker will be Katherine Burt.

25

STATEMENT OF KATHERINE BURT

KINDERGARTEN TEACHER

MS. BURT: Chairman Seaborg, and Members of the Commission, my name is Katherine Burt. And I thank you for the opportunity for being here because normally I would be among 31 kindergarten children.

I am grateful also to my school district that recognize the need for teachers to participate in all levels of developing excellence in education.

I wish to make the case for the port of entry -- kindergarten. We do recognize the correlation of math and science and do address this concern.

Inquiry training is vital to be a good teacher of any subject. ESS was my beginning and I thank the people who funded it years ago.

Personally, I encourage hands-on manipulative experiences before the symbolic or abstract level is presented. If the expectations are there, there is a relevant way to teach five year olds pattern recognition, problem-solving, graphing, geometry, estimating, probability and statistics.

Be assured that significant computer experiences are provided kindergarteners in some of our schools. All students next year will receive that training, as the rest of our computers arrive.

1 Although I recognize money will not resolve all
2 our concerns for the teaching of math and science, I hope
3 such valued resources for students and teachers as the
4 Exploratorium, Lawrence Hall of Science, San Mateo County's
5 Computer Center, will receive continued financial
6 support for their proven efforts.

7 We cannot leave to chance that these programs
8 will sustain themselves.

9 As teachers, I feel we have had to reinvent the
10 wheel too many times. It is possible for exemplary
11 programmings to be disseminated by videotape technology.

12 I encourage you to consider the Foundation
13 for Early Learners, because we have a need for excellence.

14 Build up on your model. The earliest
15 intervention for the sense of wonder is paramount. It
16 will provide significant results.

17 I thank you.

18 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

19 The last speaker this afternoon will be Leo
20 Ruth.

21

22

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF LEO RUTH

CALIFORNIA ENGINEERING FOUNDATION

MR. RUTH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission. I also want to thank the unnamed socalled last speaker who did not show up and thus I have the opportunity to address you. I consider it to be an honor and a pleasure.

My name is Leo Ruth. I am both a civil and mechanical engineer in private practice. I don't know whether to say I am a civil mechanical engineer or a mechanical civil engineer. It depends upon who the potential client might be.

I am the founder and the immediate past president of Ruth and Going, which is an architectural engineering firm in San Jose.

I am not a professional educator in the sense that I am employed by an educational institution. However, I believe that in my office we do a lot of education for potential technicians, technologists and engineers.

Nevertheless, I do have some extracurricular activities. This includes participation in the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology, which is the successor to ECPD, the Engineers Council for Professional Development. And I am currently chairman of

1 Region 7 of the Technology Accreditation Commission of
2 that board.

3 A second group in which I participate is the
4 California Engineering Foundation and I am a member of the
5 Board of Directors of that foundation.

6 And it is the organization which I represent
7 today.

8 CEF is a nonprofit corporation founded in 1974.
9 Its mission is to provide a vehicle for gathering the
10 technical community together to resolve problems in
11 guidance, career development, education and increasing
12 public understanding of engineering, of science, technology
13 and architecture.

14 There is a special need to increase the science
15 literacy of the general public so as to create a positive
16 public attitude for the development of the technology
17 needed to solve some of the perplexing and complex
18 problems in society.

19 On November 30 and December 1 of last year, at
20 the Kellogg Center in Pomona, California, the foundation
21 organized and sponsored a two-day conference on "Engineering
22 Education in California: Employer Needs and Constraints."

23 Significantly, of the more than 100 participants
24 in this conference, the ratio of industry representatives
25 to educators and legislators was three to one. This, to me,

1 indicates the significance that industry recognizes in the
2 industrial-educational complex today.

3 Four specific areas of concern in engineering
4 education were addressed at this conference:

- 5 1. Curricula.
- 6 2. Faculty development.
- 7 3. Laboratories, technology and applied
8 experience.
- 9 4. Administration.

10 I would like to excerpt some comments from the
11 preface to this conference.

12 "The primary objective of the conference
13 on engineering education was to provide
14 educational institutions with the
15 thinking of major industrial employers
16 concerning the type of education
17 technologists and engineers should be
18 receiving.

19 "Correspondingly, the problems that
20 universities face needed to be illuminated
21 to industry to increase understanding
22 of the current constraints on curricula
23 and faculty development.

24 "The state and nation face a major
25 challenge that strikes at a way and

1 quality of life second to none in the
2 world. For the United States to
3 continue its leadership in science and
4 engineering, deal effectively with its
5 national challenges and excell in a
6 highly-competitive world market, proper
7 technological education programs must
8 reflect the realities of the changing
9 environment in engineering and
10 industrial practice.

11 "However, insufficient attention has
12 been given to the decaying situation
13 in higher education. Many university
14 laboratories have become obsolete and
15 others have fallen into disuse because of
16 changes in curricula.

17 "In essence, universities have failed
18 to keep pace with the world of
19 changing technology. And the problem
20 is exacerbated by the shortage of funds
21 to update or upgrade laboratory
22 equipment to expose students to new
23 technology."

24 And I heard that echoed several times this
25 afternoon.

1 "The salaries for technical faculty
2 are now so far below those being
3 offered in industry that universities
4 can no longer attract or retain the
5 talent needed to properly educate
6 young engineers and scientists.

7 "In the past, technological and
8 engineering education has been considered
9 strictly the responsibility of
10 educational institutions. It is now
11 apparent that there must be a joint
12 effort between industry, engineering
13 practice and the university.

14 "There is also a need to communicate
15 the critical requirements of engineering
16 education more effectively to private
17 policy bodies and the general public."

18 I appreciate the opportunity to present to the
19 Commission a copy of the summary report of the findings
20 and recommendations from this conference. I have given
21 this to your staff representative.

22 Thank you very much.

23 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

24 The scheduled adjournment time for our hearing is
25 5:15, and we need to adhere to that in order to get the

1 Members of the Commission, who made a commitment, to
2 San Francisco this evening.

3 However, that leaves us five minutes, if someone
4 has an urgent question.

5 MR. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I have one.

6 DR. SEABORG: All right.

7 MR. FOSTER: Is Mr. Summerfield here?

8 MR. SUMMERVILLE: Yes, I am here.

9 MR. FOSTER: Mr. Summerfield, a question. This
10 Institute for Computer Technology, have you arrived at
11 any initiation fee for entry into this group?

12 MR. SUMMERVILLE: No, we are offering these
13 programs as part of continuing education in the district.
14 We will be open to students from the member schools.

15 The evening programs will be available for adults
16 and community members and will undoubtedly be fee-based.

17 MR. FOSTER: But the industry members are
18 supporting you with funds, and you are looking for more
19 of those, I suppose?

20 MR. SUMMERVILLE: Yes, we are. Yes, we are.

21 And we are continuing our marketing process to them as we
22 define the first-year curriculum at the start of
23 September. So if we talk specifically to one industry member,
24 we can say, here are our specific needs for our first
25 year of operation, which portion of them would you help

1 us with?

2 MR. FOSTER: Very good.

3 MR. SUMMERVILLE: However, the general commitments
4 have been made by all major companies in Santa Clara
5 County.

6 MR. FOSTER: Very good. Very creative.

7 MR. SUMMERVILLE: Yes?

8 MR. GORDON: You spoke of internships for students
9 in the summer so they could work as part of the program.

10 MR. SUMMERVILLE: Yes.

11 MR. GORDON: Did you think what would happen with
12 the teachers?

13 MR. SUMMERVILLE: We are right now in a separate
14 venture in our district, establishing -- and hope to have
15 it in place by the end of this month -- a training facility
16 at least in computer awareness for all of our staff.

17 We will have a room specifically set aside where
18 we will be able to train our teachers in those areas.

19 For the other technological areas that we want
20 to offer for teachers, we will have summer programs for
21 them in training in these areas, as well as teaming some of
22 them with members of industry during the actual teaching
23 of our students. So that our students get the benefit of
24 some state of the art technical instruction, at the same time
25 teaming the teacher who has a lot of classroom management

1 educational skills that are very necessary to create the
2 right environment for our students.

3 MR. GORDON: I am glad to see that you are
4 addressing the need of the teacher to be aware of what
5 is actually happening in industry.

6 MR. SUMMERVILLE: Thank you, yes we are.

7 MR. SOMMER: I would like to ask the last
8 speaker -- I forgot the gentleman's name?

9 DR. SEABORG: Leo Ruth.

10 MR. SOMMER: Mr. Ruth, how good are our chances
11 to attract more admirable gentlemen like you to support
12 our educational efforts from throughout the private sector?

13 MR. RUTH: Before I answer the question, I forgot
14 the punchline.

15 Parenthetically, I was going to say I am
16 disappointed that there were only two industry representatives
17 here today, Bernie Oliver of Hewlett-Packard and Bob Bell
18 of General Electric.

19 I wish there were an easy answer to your question.
20 My response from a personal standpoint simply is that I
21 feel that my engineering education and the modicum of
22 success I have in my business, I feel I should plow some of
23 that back into providing better technologists, engineers
24 and scientists to the nation.

25 The way I originally was appointed to the ECPD

1 accreditation commission was that I happened to be president
2 of the National Council of Engineering Examiners at the
3 time that I went to a meeting of ECPD.

4 And I said that I was quite upset that all of the
5 accreditation for the engineering programs was done by
6 a commission. It was not done by the user of the product
7 of the school.

8 Consequently, I was then appointed to the
9 accreditation commission. But I can't give you any answer
10 other than I think you just have to make it exciting.

11 And I spread the gospel whenever I can.

12 Thank you.

13 DR. SEABORG: Thank you.

14 Well, this brings to an end our public hearing on
15 Science, Mathematics and Technology Education of the
16 National Commission on Excellence in Education, the first
17 of six public hearings that are going to be held.

18 I think this has set a good example and, Jay
19 Sommer, I think you will have something to live up to in
20 your hearing in Houston.

21 On behalf of the Commission Members, I want to
22 thank the 28 speakers this afternoon for their interesting
23 and instructive talks. And I would like particularly to
24 thank the members of the audience who have supported us
25 by their attendance here, which heartens us very much in our

1 task.

2 So with this, we come to the end of our first
3 hearing.

4 Thank you very much.

5 (Whereupon, at 5:15 p.m., the Public Hearing on
6 Science, Mathematics and Technology Education of the
7 National Commission on Excellence in Education was concluded.)

8 --oOo--

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that this is the transcript of the Public Hearing on Science, Mathematics and Technology of the National Commission on Excellence in Education held on Thursday, March 11, 1982, and that this is a full and correct transcript of the proceedings.

Frances L. Rhudy

Frances L. Rhudy
WILLIAMS & BORGARDING REPORTING
754 Walnut Avenue
Burlingame, California 94010