#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 225 187 CS 207 340

AUTHOR Messelear, Dirk

TITLE Writing Needs Assessment Survey: Rationale, Logistics

and Sample Results.

PUB DATE Oct 82

NOTE 18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

New England Association of Teachers of English

(Bedford, NH, October 8-10, 1982).

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Business Skills; \*Curriculum Development; English

Curriculum; Higher Education; Job Skills; \*Needs Assessment; \*School Business Relationship; Student

Needs; Surveys; \*Technical Writing; \*Writing

Instruction

### **ABSTRACT**

The University of Lowell (Massachusetts) conducted a writing needs assessment in order to help its English department and department of continuing education systematically formulate a professional writing curriculum responsive to documented needs. Questionnaires were mailed to representatives of industries and businesses in Massachusetts and New Hampshire and to alumni in engineering, management science, and computer science. Questionnaires were also given to students and faculty members in these three fields. Sample results taken from the business and industry respondents indicated that they perceived writing skills as "important" or "critically important" in their work performance, and important in influencing advancement in their fields. In addition, they valued organization, clarity, and a clear statement of purpose in writing, and most frequently wrote memoranda, letters, short reports, and instructions. The entire results of the needs assessment will be used to help revise present professional writing courses and formulate objectives for more specialized ones. In addition to spurring curriculum revision, the assessment yielded significant increases in alumni interaction, business and industry participation, faculty development, and university involvement with the community. (A copy of the business and industry survey instrument is appended.) (FL)

\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made



## WRITING NEEDS ASSESSMENT SURVEY: RATIONALE, LOGISTICS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

Dirk Masselear University of Lowell

(Paper presented at the annual meeting of the New England Association of Teachers of English; Bedford, NH; Cctober 8-10, 1982.) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or puls y

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Dirk Messelear

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Ð

## FOREWOPD

The refinement of present introductory technical and business writing courses and the development of an expanded professional writing curriculum at many colleges are dependent on a comprehensive needs assessment. These specialized professional writing courses can be developed largely in response to the needs expressed by students, alumni, faculty, and most importantly, business and industry. We at the University of Lowell have, therefore, conducted a writing needs assessment project which will help Continuing Education and the English Department systematically formulate a professional writing curriculum responsive to documented needs. This paper presents a rationale and a design for a writing needs survey, and then offers some sample survey results.

#### **SUMMARY**

The target population for this writing needs assessment survey included: (1)
Massachusetts and New Hampshire industries and businesses, especially those who have
worked with the University's Placement Office; (2) University day school and Continuing Education alumni in engineering, management science and computer science;
(3) University Continuing Education and day school students in those three majors;
and (4) day school and Continuing Education faculty in these same disciplines.
Needs assessment results will be used to help revise present professional writing
courses and to help formulate objectives for more specialized and expanded Continuing Education and day school professional writing courses. In addition to curriculum revision, this project—which required a minimal budgetary outlav—
yielded significant increases in alumni interaction, business/industry participa—
tion, faculty development, and University involvement with the community. The
cumulative result of all this productivity should be the increased marketability

and placement of our students in engineering, management science, and computer science employment positions.

### INTRODUCTION

Industry, government, and the business world need college graduates who can write. Responding to this need, colleges are, on one hand, developing writing programs for the exceptional student and, on the other hand, demanding competency in writing from all the students. The University of Lowell has responded in both these ways. The recently adopted Core Curriculum establishes "competence in writing the English language" as a standard required of all University students, and the English Department has established a Concentration in Writing for its majors. Moreover, Continuing Education has developed a program in Technical Communications for ollege graduates while expanding offerings in writing for undergraduates.

Essential to the success of these University programs is an awareness of the kinds of writing required in the real world. Technical and business writing instructors must know what communication skills are now demanded by technology and business. Moreover, these same instructors must know how their colleagues in the College of Engineering, the College of Management Science, and the College of Pure and Applied Science are handling written assignments. And it follows, of course, that the Engineering, Management and Science faculty must also know what is going on in both the real world and the English Department. In short, there must be a coordinated effort, an interdependent and interdepartmental cooperative endeavor, for University day and Continuing Education students to acquire those writing skills so highly valued in the world today.

There are several strategies available to gather the information this cooperative effort needs: interviewing employers, asking for written specimens from business and industry, reading professional journals, or gathering specific information from survey questionnaires. The survey questionnaire is the most effective format for the proposed writing needs assessment. Used successfully at both the University of Michigan and Miami University, and recommended by every recognized authority in the field, the survey questionnaire provides all the specific data needed to generate professional writing course and curriculum chjectives: course preferences, majors, degree levels, employment status, job specifications, employment relative to major, and amount/type/importance of writing done in the classroom and on the job. (It should be pointed out that the survey results are not validly transferrable between universities; that is, the constituencies of



the University of Lowell are different from their counterparts at the University of Michigan.)

## Survey Justification

As a survey questionnaire necessarily requires a commitment of both money and people from its university, it must be justified explicitly. The writing survey project will benefit the University in the following five specific areas:

(1) relations with business and industry; (2) alumni involvement; (3) student job placement; (4) faculty development; (5) curriculum evaluation and development. Furthermore, in a broader sense, this writing needs survey can make a major contribution to the University, a contribution which noves well beyond the province of writing instruction. As one stage in a decision-making system could be used to evaluate and re-design University courses, this survey could serve as a needs assessment model which could be replicated in other University disciplines.

- 1. Relations with business and industry. As participants in this survey, business representatives will not only discover the University's commitment to good writing but have the opportunity to contribute to that goal. The survey will open a direct line of communication between the business office and the classroom, between the corporate executive and the teacher.
- 2. Alumni involvement. Invited to help define the quality of education at their University, alumni will experience a sense of importance, a feeling of community. Their ideas will be respected, their suggestions weighed. They will know they count.
- 3. Student job placement. Industry, government, and business will recognize that the University's curriculum is responsive to their needs and that University students are graduating with immediately transferrable communication skills. These same three constituencies will be asked to identify what career opportunities they have for skilled writers. This information will in turn provide invaluable assistance to the Placement Office; in fact, the new Placement Director was so supportive of the assessment survey that he has included the questionnaire in his corporate mailing. Finally, students will appreciate their improved career opportunities, value the relevance of their writing assignments, and, accordingly, become better students.
- 4. Faculty development. University faculty will enefit directly from the survey, especially, of course, those teaching writing courses. However, instructors in disciplines from marketing to mechanical engineering should also discover an increased awareness of the relevance of good writing in



their fields. Moreover, Continuing Education and the English Department plan to use the survey results as a data base for faculty workshops in writing.

5. Curriculum evaluation and development. The survey results will permit a careful reconsideration of the present technical and business writing courses and help to generate more advanced professional writing courses. In addition, the results will contribute to an evaluation of writing assignments in engineering, management, and computer courses. The development of interdepartmental curriculum review would be greatly enhanced by the anticipated sabbatical project of Professor Haber: specifically, to spend a full academic year working with Engineering, Management, and Computer faculty, both day and Continuing Education. The long-range goal in this area is to achieve a University-wide consistency in writing standards; not only to implement the recommendation of the Core Curriculum "to enlist the faculty at large...to improve writing skills," but also to fulfill the larger Mission Statement goal of providing a "liberal arts education both for its own sake and as a major component of professional preparation."

In conclusion, we wish to emphasize that each of the preceding five reasons for justification is a stated Priority Goal of the University as delineated in the Master Plan.

#### NEEDS ASSESSMENT MODEL

The concept of a replicable model deserves an additional comment. College administrators are continually faced with decisions and, all too often, have inadequate resources for making them. At the University many of these decisions are attempts to reconcile the idealistic goals of the Mission Statement and Core Curriculum with the financial facts of life, the ever-shrinking budget. This writing needs assessment project not only required one of those difficult decisions but, magnanimously, suggests how that decision might be both informed and systematic. Specifically, this project is an integral part of the seven stages in the decision-making process:

- identification of those University goals served by the project;
- 2. justification of the project within the context;
- articulation of project design and strategy;
- 4. analysis of project results;
- 5. formulation of academic recommendations;



- 6. administrative implementation of those recommendations;
- 7. development of a project budget for the preceding six steps.

## ITEMS OF INVESTIGATION

The needs assessment survey was structured to elicit data which can help answer the following questions:

- 1. What is the relationship between a student's/alumnus academic background, work experience and writing at work?
  - 1.1 What is the relationship between undergraduate degree (level and type) and the amount and kind of writing done at work?
  - 1.2 What is the relationship between at student's/alumnus job title/job description/professional status and the amount/ type of writing done at work?
  - 1.3 What is the relationship between the student's/alumnus length of employment and the amount/type of writing done at work?
  - 1.4 What is the relationship between a student's/alumnus advancement within the organization and the amount/type of writing done at work?
- 2. Which writing skills are most important in various career fields?
- 3. What writing skills and formats are required by various departments in industry and business?
- 4. What writing skills and formats are required by University of Lowell faculty in engineering, management science and computer science?
  - 4.1 What is the relationship between the writing skills and formats required by University faculty and those of industry and business?
  - 4.2 What is the relationship between the writing skills/formats required between various departments in the University?

## DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH PROCEDURE

A needs assessment system for a given set of priority goals involves:

- identification of
  - target population and areas to be assessed
- -- development and/or selection of instruments
- -- administration
- -- collection and analysis



## - reporting of assessment results

## TARGET POPULATION AND SOURCES

In general, the target population for this survey encompassed four distinct groups:

- --industry and business
- --alumni in selected majors
- -- undergraduates in selected majors
- -- faculty in selected departments.

Selected industries from Massachusetts and New Hampshire were targeted. Continuing Education has already programmed the address labels for 2700 Massachusetts industries (as identified by Associated Industries of Massachusetts) and 500 New Hampshire industries (as identified by the New Hampshire Office of Industrial Development). Similarly, approximately 2500 Massachusetts businesses and 500 New Hampshire businesses were targeted as identified through either Moody's or Standard and Poor Fublications. The Placement Office sent out information packets to about 500 companies who have recruited through that office in the past. The Director of Placement included the writing assessment survey in these packets (a substantial postage savings for this proposed project). We included any business or industry which has members on University advisory boards or has provided the University with consultants. Department chairmen were a good source for identifying this latter constituency.

The students, alumni and faculty surveyed were either in engineering, management science or computer science. Majors in these three academic areas have the most contact with technical/scientific writing or business writing during their undergraduate years (in the classroom and while working), and after graduation on the job. Alumni were selected from the last five years (1976-1981).



## Headcount

The questionnaires were sent out in the following numbers:

,	unmailed	mailed	total	
day school students	3426			
day school alumni (BA/BS)		5935	•	
CE students	2286			
CE alumni (AA/BA/BS)		730 -		
Mass. and N.H. industries	s	3200		
Mass. and N.H. businesses		3000		1
selected U Lowell faculty	<u>110</u>		· ·	•
TOTAL TARGET POPULATION	5816	12865	18681	نه.

Table 1 provides a breakdown of the alumni and student target population by major.

## Questionnaire

The duestions are designed to be unambiguous, readily answerable, quantifiable for computer analysis and related to important questions about University technical and business writing courses. In all versions the questionnaire appears reasonably professional, unintimidating and compact. It is important that the questionnaires sent to alumni and industry/business be as aesthetically impressive as funding will allow. However, the questionnaires given to Continuing Education and day school undergraduates and faculty might best be photocopied.

Any one of four cover-letter versions (industry/business, student, alumni, faculty) accompanied the questionnaire, each letter with a salutation and third paragraph tailored to its particular group. (See Attachment II).

## Mailing`

Three classes of the target population did not require mailed questionnaires: students, faculty and industry/business contacted by the Placement Office. All others were surveys mailed bulk rate using a specially-printed envelope. Each questionnaire was accompanied by a pre-printed, postage-paid envelope in which the respondent can return the completed questionnaire.

## COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

## Processing Responses

After the questionnaires were returned, student assistants were assigned a serial number to each. The student then coded the responses from each questionnaire and transferred the coded responses to a computer card which also bears the serial



# TABLE 1 PROJECTED SURVEY STUDENT/ALUMNI POPULATION (Source: 1981 University Fact Book)

	UndergraduateDay School					Undergradu	uateContinuing			Education	
,	,	students	alumni (BA/BS)	total	. ,		students	alumni (BA/BS)	alumni (AA)	total	
Engineering	Chemical	249	188	437	,	,		ν,	,		
	Civil	302	218	520		Civil Engineering	101	31	25	157	
	Electrical	799	462	1261.	,	Electrical Engineering	569	82	54	705	
	Mechanical	594	346	940		Mechanical Engineering	332	64	67	463	
,	Nuclear	80	110	190	•	`					
•	Plastics	206	183	389		Industrial Technology	92	15	<b>1</b> 5	122	
	Industrial Technolog	262 IY	209	471		Manufacturing Option Waste and Water Option Plastics Option				^	
ianagement	Economics	38	33	71		Management	566	168	100	834	
Science		742	760	1502	,	Accounting	189	35	15	239	
	Management	743	. 760	1503	,	Banking	141	5	. 3	149	
	•		• 4	*	:						
Computer Science ,	Computer Science	153	0	153	!	Information Systems	296	40	11	347	
	SUBTOTAL	3426	2509	5935		. SUBTOTAL,	2286	440	29 Q	3016	

ERIC

10

number. (The serial number makes it possible to check for and correct coding and keypunching errors.)

## Data Analysis

- 1. Department by department. A separate analysis of the results of each department will define the writing experiences and needs of students and graduates by major. Most specifically, the analysis will aid in the revision and expansion of introductory professional writing courses and the institution of interdisciplinary writing assignments in engineering, management science and computer science courses. The analysis of data for each department will involve two distinct activities: first, a summary of results for all students within each department and then a grouping of respondents into subgroups so that: responses from students can be compared with those from alumni
  - responses from alumni and students can be compared with those from employers
  - -- responses from employers can be compared with those from University faculty
- 2. All respondents. The survey from all the respondents taken together will provide the information most useful to administrators and faculty responsible for evaluating and refining professional writing courses and articulating coordinated writing assignments in management, computer science and engineering.

## Statistical Analysis

Using the Statistical Program for the Social Sciences (SPSS), four kinds of statistical tests were applied to analyze the data in the ways just described:

 $\frac{T-Test}{a \text{ single question}}$  to compare the responses that two groups of respondents give to

 $\frac{\text{Paired T-Test}}{\text{make to a pair of questions}}$  to compare the responses that a single group of respondents

Analysis of Variance to make either of the following comparisons:

- -- comparison of the responses to three or more questions to determine if there is a statistically significant difference among them (for example, to see if there is a statistically difference among the responses about how often respondents prepare various forms of communication).
- -- comparison of the responses that three or more groups of respondents made to a particular question (for example, to know if there is a significantly significant difference among the amounts of time at work that the various departments spend writing).

Duncan's Multiple Range Test for Variable Response: when an analysis of variance indicates that there is a statistically significant difference among a group of respondents.



#### SOME SAMPLE RESULTS

The significant data yielded from a writing needs assessment survey can best be evidenced by reporting some specific results. By way of example, the business-industry survey yielded respondent characteristics, the value of writing, a writing skills priority and format frequencies.

## Characteristics of Respondents

Job Titles. The preponderance of respondents were administrators who are in the best position to assess the writing competencies required of company employees. As displayed in Table 2, over two-thirds (67.6%) of the respondents classified themselves as personnel directors (managers) or managers (administrators). This does not include the 13% of respondents who classified themselves as either a president or vice-president of a company-top level executives.

Table 2. BUSINESS - INDUSTRY WRITING SURVEY

JOB TITLES	<u> </u>	No.
Director or Manager of Personnel	39.9	144
Manager/Administrator	27.7	100
Vice-President	9.1	33
Administrative Assistant	5.5	20
President	3.9	14
Recruiter	3.0	11
Engineer	1.4	5
Other	9.4	34
Blank		1
•	100	362

Company Classifications. As might be expected from a survey sampling of a geographic area with one of the world's greatest concentrations of high-technology industries, almost one quarter (24.1%) of the responding companies fell in the high tech areas: electronics-electrical (19.3%) and aerospace (4.8%). Although business (banks 14.1%, communication 2.3%) also constituted a significant part of the sampling who responded, industry easily contributed the largest share of the sampling (including machinery, chemicals, metals, autos, textiles and containers).

TABLE 3. BUSINESS - INDUSTRY WRITING SURVEY

(LARGEST)	COMPANY CLASSIFICATIONS	<del></del>	NO.
Elec	tronics-Electrical	19.3	60
Bank	ing :	14.1	44
	inery	12.2	<b>3</b> 8



·	-1.1-	<u>*</u>	NO.
Chemicals	,	7.4	23
Metalis-Nonferrous		5.1	16
Aerospace		4.8	15
Auto-Auto Parts	`	3.5	11
Health Care		3.2	10
Textiles		2.6	8
Containers		2.6	8
Communication		2.3	7
Blank			51
Other		22.9	<u>71</u>
		100	362

The 362 area companies who responded included many of the largest firms in New England. On the average, they each employed 112 engineers, 94 managers, 30 human resource personnei, 53 computer personnel and 14 technical writers. The spokesman for these companies appears to speak for among the largest consumers of college-trained professionals; therefore, these companies' writing skill and format requirements certainly merit academia's attention.

General Writing Importance. As might be expected, the average responding company indicated that general writing skills ranged in importance from "important" to "critically important," with respect to both general importance and effect on advencement. However, there is a significant difference in the general importance and advancement affects of writing skills for the four professional categories rated — engineers, managers, computer, personnel and human resource personnel. Rank-ordered, they appear as follows:

general importance		advancement			
human resource (4.32)	n	human resource (3.87)			
managers (4.32)	ç	managers (3.80)			
engineers (3.80)		engineers (3.43)			
computer personnel (3.23)		computer personnel (3.0)			

It appears, then, that companies generally rank order the four professions identically with respect to their relationship to both importance of general writing and importance to professional advancement. However, writing is perceived as having less influence on advancement than it has on general work performance.



Writing Skills Priority. Given a set of twelve writing skills, responding businesses and industries prioritized these skills as follows:

TABLE 4. WRITING SKILLS PRIORITY - ALL PROFESSIONS

RANK	MEAN RATING	SKILL
1	4.25	Knowing how to organize
. 2	4.23	Writing clearly
3	4.20	Clearly stating purpose
4	4.09	Writing concisely
· 5	3.98	Using acceptable grammar
6	3.94	Using appropriate spelling, punctuation, tone of voice
7	3.85	Selecting information readers need
,8	3 <b>.</b> 76 ·	Instructing tables & graphs
9	3.72	Writing persuasively
10	3.72	Other
11	3.63 .	Understanding readers' attitude
12	3.45	Using visual aids

It is clear from these responses that business and industry value organization, clarity and a clear purpose statement in its writing. They value less the use of visual aids and understanding the reader's attitude.

Beyond this general ranking, there is a clear agreement of these eleven skills relative to the importance for engineers and computer science personnel as opposed to managers and human resource personnel. (See Table 5.)

TABLE 5. WRITING SKILLS PRIORITY - BY PROFESSION

	W. 14-14-1						
Rank	-Engineers	Computer	Manager	Human Resource		Key:	
,	12	11	4	4	*,	3.	Attitude _
T	8	 8	8	6		4.	Organize
2	_	4	6	8		5.	Information
3	6 `		7	11		6.	Purpose
4	4	` 6	•			7.	Concise
5	. 7	<b>7</b> ,	10	<sup>10</sup> .			•
6	. 10	12	9 .	7		8.	Clear
7	5	10	5	9		9.	Persuasive
8	14	5	11	5		10.	Grammar
-	, ,	14	14	3		11.	Tone
9	` 13		3 .	14		12.	Tables
10	9	3	·			13.	Visual Aids
11	3	9	12	13			
12	11	13	13	12		14.	Other



For example, managers and human resource people both valued organization and persuasion (both skills taught in conventional composition courses) and devalued the use of tables considerably more than did their engineer and computer science counterparts. The companies considered all the remaining skills equally important or unimportant for all four professional categories (except the importance of tone of voice which evidenced a random distribution across the four professions).

Most important writing skills. When asked to rate the one most important writing skill, almost half of the company representatives (47.6%) perceived "knowing how to organize" as the most important writing skill. Although much less important, other skills seen as consequential included "clearly stating purpose to reader," "writing clearly," and "selecting the information readers need." It is interesting to note that relatively few professionals viewed "using acceptable, grammar, spelling, punctuation" as the most important writing skill.

## Format Frequency

When given 13 of the most common writing formats and an opportunity for other suggestions, company representatives were asked to rate on a five-point differential the frequency of each format's use ranging from "never" to "very often."

The given formats were ordered as follows:

Memoranda

Letters

Short reports (1-5 pages)

Instructions

Proposals (in-house)

policy statements

Long reports (6 or more pages)

Proposal (clients)

Minutes

Scripts

Articles

Advertising

News releases

Again, similar to the close correlation of writing skills to profession, engineers and computer personnel, on the one hand, and managers and human resource personnel, on the other hand, seem to use the varying formats with similar frequency. Managers and human resource personnel tend to use letters, policy statements and minutes of meetings more than the other two professions surveyed.



Conversely, engineers and computer science personnel tend to use more instructions, scripts for speeches or presentations, and advertising or promotional materials.

## Conclusion

A writing needs assessment survey is of minimal cost to a university, yet yields significant, long-term benefits: public relations, job placement, faculty development, interdisciplinary writing systems, improved course design, student motivation and publication. The tentative results of the University of Lowell's writing survey of New England business and industry concretely illustrates the value of surveys in academic decision making and, specifically, in designing professional writing courses.





## University of Lowell

## One University Avenue Lowell, Massachusetts 01854

47).452-5000

March 16, 1982

Dear Industry or Business Representative:

As part of its commitment to improve the quality of student writing, the University of Lowell invites your participation in some crucial curriculum revision. This curriculum change can help us meet your particular company's needs; your help can ensure that our students graduate with immediately transferable communication skills.

The Division of Continuing Education is working with the University's . Engineering, Management Science, Computer Science and English departments to learn how much and what kinds of writing University of Lowell graduates will do in their professional careers. The results obtained in this survey will be used to advise us about the kinds of writing the University of Lowell should teach in its technical/scientific writing and business writing courses, and to determine if other, more specialized courses should be introduced during the day and evenings.

You can help by completing the enclosed questionnaire and then returning it in the postage-paid envelope. Because we want the results to be as accurate as possible, we are especially hopeful that you will respond.

Of course, your answers will be kept confidential and will be reported only in statistical summaries of the overall results of the survey.

If you would like to receive a free report on the findings of this research, just write your name and address at the end of the questionnaire, or if you prefer, request the results of the University of Lowell Writing Survey in a separate letter to the Division of Continuing Education.

rLEASE RETURN THE ENCLOSED QUESTIONNAIRE at your earliest convenience, but no later than April 19. Thank you for your help.

Sincerely, Messelaar

Dr. Dirk Messelaar Assistant Director of Continuing Education

DM:mm Enclosure (1)



# University of Lowell Industry-Business Writing Survey

BACKGROUND									
Your Title					Approximate Number of Employees Who Can be Classified as:				
Company Name					Engineers Computer Personnel				
Address					Managers Technical Writers		_		
Company Classification (* Give Gode Number — See Below)		Human Resource							
Uny the following business and industry coding system to identify your  1. Aarospace 8 Chemicals 2. Air Transport 9 Communication 3. Apparel 10 Containers 4. Auto - Auto Parts 11. Electronica - Electrical 5. Banking 12. Food Proceeding 6. Beverage and Tabacco 13. Health Care 7. Building 14. Home Furnishings			15. k 16. k 17. t 18. k 19. k	t on the nsurance nvestment eisure • Achiner Jetals • I Office Eq	2 Oil - Gas Drilling and Service 27 Hubber 17 10	ial nunicat Electric	ions		
DIRECTIONS  Use a Number 1 to 5 for Each Block In Questions 1 Thr	DC	) NC			Oyee Training, Counseling, Organizational Development, Personnel  HECK MARKS!  Use Numbers 1 to 5 to Answer Questions 18-32	Offic	e, etc		
Values 1—Unimportant (would be of no help) 2—Not Very Important (would be of little help) 3—Important (would help somewhat) 4—Very Important (would help greatly) 5—Critically Important (would be essential)			_		Values 1Never 2Rârely 3Sometimes 4Often 5Very Often				
GENERAL WRITING IMPORTANCE	<u> </u>	Pers	onnel	_	WRITING FORMATS	-	Perso		_
	Engineers	Manager	Comruter	Human Resource		Engineers	Menagar	Computer	Human Resource
How important is the ability to write well in your company?					How often do your personnel use the following kinds of communications?		-		
What effect does the ability to write well have on advancement?					18. Letters	$\vdash$			_
WRITING SKILLS PRIORITY	$\vdash$		_	-	19. Memoranda				<u> </u>
					20. Short Reports (1-5 pages)				
How important are the following skills for college graduates entering your company? (Use the same 1-5 scale)					21. Long Reports (6 or more pages)				-
3 Understanding reader's attitude					22. Proposals (In-house)	$\vdash$			-
4. Knowing how to organize and communicate					23. Proposals (To customers or clients)	<u> </u>			-
5 Selecting the information readers need				*	24. Step-by-step Instructions/Procedures			_	-
6 Clearly stating purpose to reader					25. Policy Statements/Guldelines	-		-	
7 Writing concisely					26. Minutes of meetings		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>
8. Writing clearly				-	27. Articles for professional journals	_		-	
9. Writing persuasively			1		28, Scripts for speeches/presentations			-	_
10. Using acceptable grammar, spelling, punctuation					29. Advertising or promotional material		-	<u> </u>	├
11. Using appropriate tone of voice		Γ			30. Feature articles or news releases	$\vdash$	<i>.</i>	-	-
12 Knowing how to construct tables & graphs					31. Other (please specify)	-	_	-	-
13. Knowing how to use visual aids					Sz. Other	$\vdash$	<u> </u>	ļ -	-
14. Other (please specify)					EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS  33. Do you anticipate additional hiring needs within the				
Of the writing skills lieted above (including any you may have 15, added) rank the three that you think are most important for		(1)	(1)	(1)	next three years for (yes or no)	L			
college graduates entering your company (therefore, use only numbers 3 through 14).	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	SUGGESTIONS				
17.	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	On the Reverse Side Indicate —  34. Titles of writing courses Ut, owell should offer	_			

35. Suggestions for helping our writing courses meet your company's needs.