#### DOCUMENT RESUME

PS 013 173

ED 223 334

AUTHOR TITLE Haffey, Nancy; And Others

The Differential Effectiveness of Two Models of

Skills Training for Working Class Parents.

PUB DATE

Oct 82

NOTE

25p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Council on Family Relations (Washington, DC,

October 12-16, 1982).

PUB TYPE

Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

Attitude Change; \*Child Rearing; \*Children;

Communication Skills; Intervention; Parent Child

Relationship; Parent Education; Parent Role; \*Parents; Pretests Posttests; \*Program Effectiveness;

\*Self Concept; \*Training Methods; Working Class

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to assess changes in parental skills and attitudes and to examine children's self-concept and behavior following parents' participation in one of two skills training programs. Pretest and posttest measures were administered to 15 working-class parents participating in a communication skills program, to 7 parents participating in a behavioral skills program, and to 12 parents assigned to a nonequivalent control group. It was expected that a 3-month follow-up with selected measures would reveal a changed attitude toward childrearing in parents participating in either skills training program. It was also expected that the children of parents who participated in either training group would show positive changes in self-concept. Results indicated that both skills training models were effective in developing their respective skills, in influencing parental attitudes, and in increasing the self-esteem of participants' children. However, evidence for children's behavioral change and perceptions of parental skill attainment remained inconclusive. (Author/MP)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EOUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

The Differential Effectiveness of Two Models of Skills Training for Working Class Parents

Nancy Haffey, Ed.D.

Amherst Medical Associates
170 University Drive
Amherst, MA 01002

Joel S. Kanigsberg, Ed.D. Royal Ottawa Hospital 1145 Carling Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K12 7K4

Ronald F. Levant, Ed.D. Boston University 765 Commonwealth Avenue Boston, MA 02215

Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Council on Family Relations, Washington, DC. October 15, 1982.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Ronald F.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to assess changes in parental skills and attitudes, and children's self-concept and behavior following parents' participation in one of two skills training programs. Pretest and posttest measures were administered to working-class parents participating in a communication skills program or a behavioral skills program, and to parents assigned to a non-equivalent control group. A three month follow-up was conducted with selected measures.

It was expected that parents would change their child rearing attitudes in a positive direction following their participation in either skills training program. This expectation was met to some extent, as parents perceived themselves as playing more of a role in the causation of their children's behavior and perceived a greater mutual understanding in the area of parent-child communication. These results were maintained at a three month follow-up. It was also expected that the children of parents who participated in either training group would show positive changes in self-concept. This hypothesis was supported at posttest and at follow-up.

The research finds indicated that parents who completed communication skills training demonstrated better communication skills than untrained parents. Their children, however, did not perceive increased skills. There were no perceived behavioral



changes in the children at posttest, but at the three month follow-up communication skills parents perceived their children as less withdrawn and/or hostile.

It was expected that parents who completed behavioral skills training would demonstrate greater knowledge of behavioral principles and that they would perceive behavioral changes in their children. The first hypothesis was borne out while the second one was not. Children of behavioral skills parents did perceive their parents as being more congruent.

It was concluded that both skills training models were effective in developing their respective skills, influencing parental attitudes, and increasing the self-esteem of the participant's children. The evidence for children's behavioral change and perceptions of parental skill attainment is still inconclusive.

The Differential Effectiveness of Two Models of Skills Training for Working Class Parents

Parent education groups have been conducted in the United States since at least 1815. Early parent education groups were relatively unstructured discussion groups, with leaders facilitating group discussion, providing support, and giving advice based on the needs and questions of the participants (Auerback, 1968; Brim, 1965). Later groups were more structured with group leaders providing more didactic instruction based on various theoretical materials (Ginott, 1957; Dreikurs & Soltz, 1964). The most recent development in parent education has been the skills training model, wherein parents are taught specific parenting skills in a structured manner.

Skills training groups differ from discussion groups and didactic/discussion groups in that the focus is on teaching the skills needed to implement the principles and techniques rather than imparting knowledge or facilitating group process. Specific parenting skills are taught in an organized manner, progressing from simpler to more complex skills. Aspects of skills training include: (1) Identification of explicit behavioral objectives; (2) practice of specific skills; (3) group discussion; (4) understanding the rationale for the use of specific skills; (5) sequential presentation of skills; (6) active trainee participation; (7) use of modeling techniques, and (8) use of immediate feedback (Danish & Hauer, 1973). Skills training has been utilized with two different approaches to parent education: behavioral and communicational.



Behavioral skills training is based on behavioral principles of child management. The goals of most behavior skills training groups are to: (1) train parents to focus on observable and measurable behavior; (2) teach parents learning theory concepts, i.e., reinforcement and punishment; and (3) help parents apply these concepts to their behavior with their children (Gordon & Davidson, 1981). Communication skills training groups are based on Carl Rogers' (1957) necessary and sufficient conditions for therapeutic growth: empathy, congruence or genuiness, and unconditional positive regard. Reflection and expression of feelings are emphasized as parents are systematically taught to recognize and respond to their children's feelings, and to be aware of and express their own feelings (Levant, 1978).

The evaluative research has provided moderate support for the efficacy of both skills training models. Behavioral skills group training has been found to be an effective and cost-efficient method for helping parents modify their children's behavior, especially for discrete, well-defined behavior problems (Cone & Sloop, 1971; Gordon & Davidson, 1981). Communication skills group training has been shown to be effective in terms of parental attitudinal change and skill attainment, and children's self-esteem and behavior (Levant, 1978; Gordon, 1980).

Research has recently focused on the differential effectivenss of the two training models, but with inclusive results. Several

studies have failed to differentiate skills training from discussion group approaches, comparing a skills training program from one theoretical orientation with a discussion group from another (Alexander & Parsons, 1973; Parsons & Alexander, 1973; Tavormina, 1975). These studies confounded theoretical orientation with pedagogical method, and used as an alternative treatment an approach (discussion group) which might be best considered a placebo control. Others have used standardized programs (i.e. Parent Effectiveness Training) in non-standard or abbreviated formats (Anchor & Thomas, 1977; Schultz, Nystul & Law, 1980; Schultz & Nystul, 1981), or have not equated the two skills training programs for contact time (Pinsker & Geoffroy, 1981; Schofield, 1976).

It is the purpose of this study to examine the differential effectiveness of communicational and behavioral skills training programs with a working class, clinic population of parents.

Comparable training methods were utilized and standardized measures which reflected the goals of each program were employed.

### Method

## Procedure

The study was conducted at two mental health clinics serving an ethnically diverse, working class population in the greater Boston area. A communication skills group and a behavioral skills group (with random treatment assignment) were conducted



and comparison groups were formed at each site. Participation in the groups was voluntary. Because of their comparability on demographic and dependent variables at pretest, the data from the two sites were pooled.

The research design consisted of a pretest-posttest model with two treatment groups and a no-treatment non-equivalent comparison group (Campbell & Stanley, 1963). The parents and one of their children were pre and posttested on the dependent measures before and after the skills training. Selected measures were administered at a three month follow-up.

#### Subjects

Fifteen parents constituted the behavioral skills group, and seven parents constituted the communication skills group. The comparison group was composed of twelve parents, six of whom were referred to the parents groups and were unable to attend, and six who were randomly selected from the clinic population.

The demographic data for each group, as it appears in Table 1, includes information on the parents and the child who was seen as the "problem", and with whom parents applied their skills. Across the three groups, referrals were comparable in terms of referral source, religion, marital status, child's school, sex and age of parent, sex and age of child, socioeconomic status, and ethnic background.

#### Interventions

Two systematic skills training parent education programs



were conducted: behavioral and communicational. Both groups had two hour meetings held in the evening once a week for eight weeks, and were led by the same team, consisting of two advanced doctoral candidates, one female and one male. One group leader had experience and training in the behavioral skills approach, while the other had training and experience in the communication skills approach.

Training for each group consisted of a sequential presentation of the respective skills, active parent participation through discussion and role play, leader modeling of desired skills, homework projects, and feedback to the parents on their use of the skills. The communication skills group focused on the skills of empathy and genuiness, and their application in problem-solving situations. Homework exercises were assigned from a Parents' Workbook (Levant & Haffey, 1981). The behavioral skills group focused on the skills of behavioral management--observing and recording behavior, and changing behavior through positive social reinforcement and effective punishment. Homework exercises were also assigned from a workbook (Kanigsberg, 1982).

## Dependent Variables and Measures

The effectiveness of the two skills training models was assessed by six instruments. The Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument was used to assess parents' ability to discriminate and communicate the skills of empathy and genuiness. The



Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory was to measure children's perceptions of parents' communication skills. The Knowledge of Behavioral Principles as Applied to Children was used to assess parents' knowledge of behavioral principles of child management. The Becker Bi-Polar Adjective Checklist was employed to assess parental preceptions of changes in children's behavior. The Piers-Harris Children's Self-Concept Scale was utilized to measure children's self-concept. Finally, the Hereford Parent Attitude Survey was used to measure parental attitudes toward childrearing.

## Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSEI)

This instrument, developed by Carkhuff and associates (Kratochovil, Carkhuff, & Berenson, 1969), presents parents with child statements which reflect common problem areas at home. To assess ability to discriminate good vs. poor parental responses, parents were presented with five child statements and asked to select the most helpful response to each from among four pre-rated alternatives. To assess the communication skills of empathy and genuiness, parents were presented with five additional child statements and instructed to respond in their most helpful manner.

# Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory (BLRI)

This instrument measures four aspects of any two-person re-



lationship: empathic understanding, level of regard, unconditionality of regard, and congruence or genuiness. Although the inventory was originally designed for use in a client-therapist relationship, Barrett-Lennard (1962) has indicated the measure was applicable to any close relationship. The present study used a modification of the Teacher Pupil Relationship Inventory form, substituting the words "mother" or "father" for "teacher", and "child" for "pupil".

#### Knowledge of Behavior Principles as Applied to Children

This instrument provides a general measure of knowledge of behavioral principles and their practical application to children and childrearing. It consists of 50 multiple choice items, and is scored according to protocol to yield a single summary score (O'Dell, Benlolo, & Flynn, 1979).

## Becker Bi-Polar Adjective Checklist

This instrument was originated by Becker (1960) and refined by Patterson and Fagot (1967). Parents are presented with a list of 47 bi-polar adjective pairs (e.g., adventurous vs. timid, happy vs. depressed) and are asked to place their child along a seven-point continuum. The instrument yields five factor scores: Relaxed Disposition, Withdrawn/Hostile, Aggressiveness, Intelligence/Efficient, and Conduct Problem.



# Piers-Harris Children's Self-Concept Scale

The Piers-Harris Children's Self-Concept scale (Piers & Harris, 1969) is a quickly completed (15-20 minutes) self-report instrument designed for children in grades three through twelve (ages 8-18). The 80 item questionnaire is in the format of "yes" or "no" response alternatives.

### Hereford Parent Attitude Survey

The Hereford Parent Attitude Survey (Hereford, 1963) consists of 77 forced choice items designed to measure parental attitudes in five areas: (1) confidence in the parental role, particularly in the uncertainty of handling problems brought about by their children; (2) causation, natural or inherent, of the child's behavior; (3) acceptance of the child's feelings, behavior, and normal developmental changes; (4) mutual understanding in the area of communication between parents and children; and (5) mutual trust in the child's individuality as opposed to his being merely an extension of the parents.

#### Results

The data was analyzed using analysis of covariance, with the pretest scores as the covariates. Post hoc comparisons were made using the .05 level of significance. The results are presented in Table 2.



The results indicated that parents in both treatment groups perceived themselves as playing more of a role in the causation of their children's behavior. These results were maintained at a three month follow-up. Children of parents who participated in either training group demonstrated positive changes in self-concept, both at posttest and at follow-up.

The research findings indicated that parents who completed communication skills training demonstrated better communication skills than untrained parents: Their children, however, did not perceive increased skills. There were no perceived behavioral changes in the children at posttest, but at the three month follow-up communication skills parents perceived their children as less withdrawn and/or hostile. Communication skills parents also perceived a greater mutual understanding in the area of parent child communication.

Parents who completed behavioral skills training demonstrated greater knowledge of behavioral principles than untrained parents. There were no perceived changes in their children's behavior, however. Children of behavioral skills parents did perceive their parents as being more congruent.

## Discussion

The data indicate that both parent training groups were effective in terms of influencing parental attitudes and children's



self-concept, but not children's behavior. The improvement in children's self-concept is an important finding since there are few studies where significant differences are found in such a short period of time. The durability of the changes suggests that the effects may be long term. The fact that parents felt more in control of their children's behavior and perceived greater mutual understanding between themselves and their children may well be related to the children's improved self-concept.

The results indicate that parents learned the skills they were taught when paper and pencil tests were used to measure skill attainment. Parents who completed communication skills training were able to demonstrate significantly greater communication skills on a written instrument, and parents who completed behavioral skills training were able to demonstrate significantly greater knowledge of behavioral principles on a written test. This replicates the findings of a similar comparative study (Pinsker & Geoffroy, 1981) while equating the groups for contact time, controlling for the leader variable and utilizing a working class population.

While there is evidence of skill attainment, the data yields little evidence of skill application, at least with regard to communication skills. Not only must parents learn communication skills but the children must also perceive their parents as communicating differently. Children of communication skills part-



icipants did not perceive an increase in communication skills as was expected. While perhaps the difficulties associated with assessing such perceptual changes in children have masked real changes in perception, it is more likely that additional time is needed before children would perceive changes in parental communication.

An unexpected finding was that behavioral skills participants were perceived by their children as being more congruent at the end of training. Behavioral training emphasized following through with consequences, both positive and negative, and may have resulted in more congruent behavior ("I mean what I say"), though not necessarily more congruent communication ("I say what I feel"). While the instrument was designed to measure congruent communication, the children may have been responding to increased congruent behavior, indicating that parents were utilizing behavioral principles with their children.

Neither behavioral nor communication skills parents perceived changes in their children's behavior. It is possible that children's behavior changed, but was not picked up by the Becker instrument (which focuses on traits and general behaviors rather than specific behavior). It is also possible that a change in perceived behavior would be more apparent after a longer follow-up period, or it may be that more extensive parent training is needed to have a direct effect on child behavior.

It was concluded that both skills training models were effective in developing their respective skills, influencing



parental attitudes, and improving the self-concept of the participants' children. The evidence for children's behavioral change and perceptions of parental skill attainment is still inconclusive.

#### References

- Anchor, K.M. & Thomason, J.C. A comparison of two parent-training models with educated parents. <u>Journal of Community Psychology</u>, 1977, 5, 134-141.
- Alexander, J.F. & Parsons, B.V. Short-term behavioral intervention with delinquent families: Impact on family process and recidivism. <u>Journal of Abnormal Psychology</u>, 1973, 81, 219-255.
- Auerbach, A.B. <u>Parents learn through discussion: Principles</u>
  and practices of parent group education: New York: John
  Wiley, 1968.
- Barrett-Lennard, G.T. Dimensions of therapist response as causal factors in therapeutic change. <u>Psychological Monographs</u>, 1962, 76 (43, Whole No. 562).
- Becker, W.C. The relationship of factors in parental ratings of self and each other to the behavior of kindergarten children as rated by mothers, fathers, and teachers.

  Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1960, 24, 507-527.
- Brim, O.R., Jr. Education for child rearing. New York: Free Press, 1965.
- Campbell, D.T. & Stanley, J.C. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1963.
- Cone, J.D., & Sloop, E.W. Parents as agents of change. In A.

  Jacobs & W.W. Spradlin (Eds.), The group as agents of change:

  Treatment, prevention, personal growth in the family, the school, the mental hospital and the community. New York: Behavioral Publications, 1974



- Danish, S.J., & Hauer, A.L. <u>Helping skills: A basic training</u>

  program, leader's guide. New York: Behavioral Publications,

  1973.
- Dreikurs, R. & Soltz, V. Children: The challenge. New York:

  Meredith Press, 1964.
- Ginott, H.G. Parent education groups in a child guidance clinic.

  Mental Hygiene, 1957, 41, 82-86.
- Gordon, S.B., & Davidson, H. Behavioral parent training. In A.S.

  Gurman & D.P. Kniskern (Eds.), <u>Handbook of family therapy</u>.

  New York: Brunner/Mazel, 1981.
- Gordon, T. Parent effectiveness training: A preventive program and its effects on families. In M.J. Fine (Ed.), <u>Handbook</u> on parent education. New York: Academic Press, 1980.
- Hereford, C. Changing Parental Attitudes Through Group Discussion.

  Austin: University of Texas Press, 1963.
- Kanigsberg, J. Changes in parental attitudes, children's selfconcept and behavior following parents' participation in
  parent training groups. Unpublished doctoral dissertation,
  Boston University, 1982.
- Kratchovil, D., Carkhuff, R.R. & Berenson, B.G. The cumulative effects of facilitative conditions upon the physical, emotional, and intellectual functionings of grammer school students. Journal of Educational Research, 1969, 63, 161-164.
- Levant, R.F. Client-centered approaches to working with the family:

  An overview of new developments in therapeutic, educational,

  and preventive methods. <u>International Journal of Family</u>

  Counseling, 1978, 6 (1), 31-44.

- Levant, R.F., & Haffey, N.A. Skills training for parents: A

  personal developmental program. Boston: Family Development,

  1981.
- O'Dell, S.L., Benlolo, L.T., & Flynn, J.M. An instrument to measure knowledge of behavioral principles as applied to children. <u>Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry</u>, 1979, 10, 29-34.
- Parsons, B.V., & Alexander, J.F. Short-term family intevention:

  A therapy outcome study. <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical</u>

  Psychology, 1973, <u>41</u>, 195-201.
- Patterson, G.R., & Fagot, B.I. Selective responsiveness to social reinforcers and deviant behavior in children. The Psychological Record, 1967, 17, 369-378.
- Piers, E.V., & Harris, D. Manual for the Piers-Harris Children's

  Self-Concept Scale (The Way I Feel About Myself). Nashville:

  Counselor Recordings and Tests, 1969.
- Pinsker, M., & Geoffroy, K. A comparison of PET and behavior modification parent training. <u>Family Relations</u>, 1981, 30, 61-68.
- Rogers, C.R. The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic personality change. <u>Journal of Consulting Psychology</u>, 1957, <u>21</u>, 95-103.
- Schofield, R.G. A comparison of two parent education programs:

  PET and behavior modification and their effects on the child's self-esteem (Doctoral dissertation, University of Northern Colorado, 1976). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1976, 37, 2087A.

- Schultz, C.L., & Nystul, M.S. Mother-child interaction behavior as an outcome of theoretical models of parent groups education. Journal of Individual Psychology, 1980, 36, 3015.
- Schultz, C.L., Nystul, M.S., & Law, H.G. Attitudinal outcomes of theoretical models of parent group education. <u>Journal of Individual Psychology</u>, 1980, 36, (1), 16-28.
- Tavormina, J.B. Relative effectiveness of behavioral and reflective group counseling of parents of mentally retarded children. <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</u>, 1975, 45, 22-31.

Table 1

Demographic	Data	for	Treatment	and	Comparison	Group	Participants
-------------	------	-----	-----------	-----	------------	-------	--------------

		1	4 4	
Variable	BS (N=15)	CS (N=7)	C (N=12)	Test of Significance
Referral Source		1		
Intake worker	9 (82%)	2 (18%)	0	$x^2 = 11.75$
Therapist	6 (27%)	5 (23%)	11 (50%)	(p > .19)
Outside agency	. 0	0	1 (100%)	
Religion				
Catholic	, 11 (44%)	6 (24%)	8 (32%)	$x^2 = ^{\prime}.86$
Protestant	4 (44%)	1 (12%)	4 (44%)	(p 7.50)
Marital Status				
Single	1 (14%)	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	$x^2 = 5.25$
Married with spouse attending group	9 (60%)	2 (13%)	4 (27%)	(p > .50)
Married without spouse attending group	5 (42%)	2 (16%)	5 (42%)	. •
Child's School	• •	•	•	
Public	8 (44%)	4 (22%)	6 (34%)	$x^2 = .112$
Parocial	7 (44%)	3 (19%)	6 (37%)	(p > .50)
Sex of child	•		•	
Male	5 (28%)	7 (39%)	6 (33%)	$x^2 = 8.54$
Female	10 (62.5%	0	6 (37.5%)	(p > .15)
Sex of parent		•		· ·
Male	4 (44%)	1 (12%)	4 (44%)	$x^2 = .86$
Female	11 (44%)	6 (24%)	8 (32%)	(p > .50)
Ethnic background				
Anglo Saxon	9 (45%)	(4 (20%)	7 (35%)	$x^2 = 9.55$
French Canadian	. 0	1 (100%)	0	(p 7 .50)
Italian	2 (100%)	<b>0</b> ***	; <b>O</b>	•
Irish	4 (44%)	2 (23%)	3 (33%)	
Black	0	0	1 (100%)	
Polish Polish	D	0	1 (100%)	, 1
	_	•		

	·	<u> </u>	Table 1 (cont.)			· ·
Variable	BS		cs		С	Test of Significance
<i>y</i> .	•					
Age of Parent				<i>;</i>		
Mean	38.3	•	39.4	* - 4	38.2	$F = .116^{(a)}$
SD	1.5		2.1		5.1	(p > .50)
Age of Child	•	· /				
Mean	10.5		10.7		12.0	$F = .760^{(a)}$
SD	1.0		1.0		2.2.	(p > .45)
Socioeconomic Status (b)			. *	•		•
Mean	3.6		3.6		3.3	$F = .203^{(a)}$
SD	.2	*****	.3		1.2	(p > .50)
			• •			

<sup>(</sup>a) df = 2, 31, unadjusted one way analysis of variance

<sup>(</sup>b) Socioeconomic status based on Hamburger's (1957) revision of the Warner, Meckev, Eells Occupational Classification System (1949). This system was chosen because of its wider range of socioeconomic class specification and its lessened degree of sexual bias.

Means and Standard Deviations for Pre-test, Post-test and Follow-up Measures

	Behavioral Skills		Communic Skil		Comparison			
Measure	Mean	SD	Hean	SD	Mean	SD	. F	,
Piers-Harri	s Childr	en's Se	lf-Concer	t Scale	2			
Pre-test	53.00	10.80	58.71	6.76	46.60	11.58	2.627	
Post-test	57.42	8.36	62.14	4.41	46.50	10.95	4.272	
Follow-up	61.46	6.33	61.43	5.65	49.50	10.18	4.524	c * .
Becker: les	s relaxe	ed d					5.1	
Pre-test	3.73	6.41	4.29	4.20	2.18	3.66	0.394	
Poststest	4.13	5.44	4.43	2.51	5.73	5.10	0.957	
Follow-up	2.67	3.68	3.71	3.04	2.64	5.03	0.134	Ç
Becker: les	s withdr	awn/hos	itile			·		·•
Pre-test	-0.80	6.85	4.57	4.00	-0.73	4.77	2.213	a 🖳
Post-test	-0.13	5.96	6.43	4.28	-0.09	6.09	1.442	b .
Follow-up	-1.08	4.42	6.29	6.73	-2.36	5.37	3.752	c *
Becker: mor	e aggres	sive	•		-			
Pre-test	6.20		9.57	1.92	4.18	3.81	2.784	a
Post-test	5.80	4.57	9.43	2.51	5.82	4.88	0.617	<b>b</b> . '
Follow-up	4.58	4.01	7.71	2.98	5.55	5.13	0.787	С
Becker: int	elligent	/effici	lent					
Pre-test	0.80	6.64	1.71	2.31	5.46	3.37	2.619	a
Post-test	2.73	3.52	0.57	3.69	4.00	4.54	1.429	Ъ
Follow-up	0.58	3.73	4.57	2.99	3.55	5.52	1.916	C
Becker: cor	nduct pro	oblems						• ~
Pre-test	0.80	3.79	-1.43	2.87	-0.46	5.40	0.295	а
Post-test	0.80	5.94	-1.86	3.24	0.82	4.09	0.705	b
Follow-up	1.25	4.18	-2.29	4.07	0.00	5.23	1.124	Č ·
Hereford:	onfiden	ce ,	· .	,				
Pre-test	1.60		3.43	5.26	3.18	4.26	0.365	а
Post-test			1	6.85	3.36	6.77	0.658	ь
Follow-up		5.99		12.93	1.36	7.81	2.260	C .
Hereford:	causatio	n '	•					
Pre-test	12.67		13.00	3.93	12.36	5.01	0.023	а
Post-test				5.13	12.00	7.09	3.449	b *
Follow-up				8.04	12.27	6.12	3.959	c *

# Table 2 Continued

Hereford: acceptance	Behavioral Skills		Communication. Skills			Comparis	•		
Pre-test 13.13 7.13 14.47 7.58 9.36 7.62 1.173a Post-test 10.87 7.82 13.43 12.27 8.64 5.64 0.236b Follow-up 12.75 7.68 14.71 10.98 8.36 6.59 0.324c  Hereford: understanding Pre-test 14.00 8.25 16.71 7.38 13.18 5.64 0.479a Post-test 13.27 8.36 19.43 8.30 11.36 7.15 4.533b* Follow-up 15.08 6.57 18.86 8.15 10.91 7.00 4.434c*  Hereford: trust Pre-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c  Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSFI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 4 2.8 6 2.6 5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 4 3.1 .2 2.7 .5 3.640b*  HSEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 5 30.754b***  HSEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*	Measure	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		Mean	SD	F
Pre-test 13.13 7.13 14.47 7.58 9.36 7.62 1.173a Post-test 10.87 7.82 13.43 12.27 8.64 5.64 0.236b Follow-up 12.75 7.68 14.71 10.98 8.36 6.59 0.324c  Hereford: understanding Pre-test 14.00 8.25 16.71 7.38 13.18 5.64 0.479a Post-test 13.27 8.36 19.43 8.30 11.36 7.15 4.533b* Follow-up 15.08 6.57 18.86 8.15 10.91 7.00 4.434c*  Hereford: trust Pre-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c  Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSFI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 4 2.8 6 2.6 5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 4 3.1 .2 2.7 .5 3.640b*  HSEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 5 30.754b***  HSEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*									
Pre-test 13.13 7.13 14.47 7.58 9.36 7.62 1.173a Post-test 10.87 7.82 13.43 12.27 8.64 5.64 0.236b Follow-up 12.75 7.68 14.71 10.98 8.36 6.59 0.324c  Hereford: understanding Pre-test 14.00 8.25 16.71 7.38 13.18 5.64 0.479a Post-test 13.27 8.36 19.43 8.30 11.36 7.15 4.533b* Follow-up 15.08 6.57 18.86 8.15 10.91 7.00 4.434c*  Hereford: trust Pre-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c  Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSFI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 4 2.8 6 2.6 5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 4 3.1 .2 2.7 .5 3.640b*  HSEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 5 30.754b***  HSEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.1 4 17.0 2.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*	Hereford:	accept	ance	•			•	•	•
Post-test 10.87 7.82 13.43 12.27 *8.64 5.64 0.236b Follow-up 12.75 7.68 14.71 10.98 8.36 6.59 0.324c Hereford: understanding Pre-test 14.00 8.25 16.71 7.38 13.18 5.64 0.479a Post-test 13.27 8.36 19.43 8.30 11.36 7.15 4.533b* Follow-up 15.08 6.57 18.86 8.15 10.91 7.00 4.434c* Hereford: trust Pre-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSFI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 4 2.8 6 2.6 5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 4 3.1 .2 2.7 5 3.640b* HSEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 4 1.4 3 1.2 4 .847a Post-test 1.4 5 2.7 .9 1.5 5 30.754b***  HSEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.6 8 2.4 4 2.0 3 4.936a* Post-test 2.2 4 3.0 5 2.3 3 10.047b***  BLRI: Level of Regard Pre-test 21.4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 23.0 12.0 21.7 6.7 22.7 19.7 .042b   BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 1.8 10.9 11.3 8.4 -3.4 16.2 2.700a Post-test 6.6 11.6 9.6 6.5 3.2 17.8 1.018b   BLRI: Unconditionality Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b   BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*				14.47					
Hereford: understanding Pre-test 14.00 8.25 16.71 7.38 13.18 5.64 0.479a Post-test 13.27 8.36 19.43 8.30 11.36 7.15 4.533b* Follow-up 15.08 6.57 18.86 8.15 10.91 7.00 4.434c*  Hereford: trust Pre-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c  Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSEI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 4 2.8 6 2.6 5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 4 3.1 .2 2.7 5 3.640b*  HISEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 4 1.4 .3 1.2 4 .847a Post-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 5 30.754b***  HESEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.2 4 3.0 5 2.3 .3 10.047b***  BLRI: Level of Regard Pre-test 23.0 12.0 21.7 6.7 22.7 19.7 .042b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 1.8 10.9 11.3 8.4 -3.4 16.2 2.700a Post-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*  O'Dell Pre-test 1.9 9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a							and the second s		
Pre-test 14 00 8 25 16.71 7.38 13.18 5.64 0.479a Post-test 13.27 8.36 19.43 8.30 11.36 7.15 4.533b* Follow-up 15.08 6.57 18.86 8.15 10.91 7.00 4.434c*  Hereford: trust Pre-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c  Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSEI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 4 2.8 6 2.6 .5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 4 3.1 .2 2.7 .5 3.640b*  HISEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 .4 1.4 .3 1.2 .4 .847a Post-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 .5 30.754b***  HISEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.6 .6 2.4 .4 2.0 .3 4.936a* Post-test 2.2 .4 3.0 .5 2.3 .3 10.047b***  BLRI: Level of Regard Pre-test 21.4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 23.0 12.0 21.7 6.7 22.7 19.7 .042b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 1.8 10.9 11.3 8.4 -3.4 16.2 2.700a Post-test 6.6 11.6 9.6 6.5 3.2 17.8 1.018b  BLRI: Unconditionality Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*  O'Dell Pre-test 1.9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a	Follow-up	12.75	7.68	14.71	10.98		8.36	6.59	0.324c
Pre-test 14 00 8 25 16.71 7.38 13.18 5.64 0.479a Post-test 13.27 8.36 19.43 8.30 11.36 7.15 4.533b* Follow-up 15.08 6.57 18.86 8.15 10.91 7.00 4.434c*  Hereford: trust Pre-test 10.73 8.09 14.00 6.05 11.18 6.83 0.458a Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c  Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSEI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 4 2.8 6 2.6 .5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 4 3.1 .2 2.7 .5 3.640b*  HISEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 .4 1.4 .3 1.2 .4 .847a Post-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 .5 30.754b***  HISEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.6 .6 2.4 .4 2.0 .3 4.936a* Post-test 2.2 .4 3.0 .5 2.3 .3 10.047b***  BLRI: Level of Regard Pre-test 21.4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 23.0 12.0 21.7 6.7 22.7 19.7 .042b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 1.8 10.9 11.3 8.4 -3.4 16.2 2.700a Post-test 6.6 11.6 9.6 6.5 3.2 17.8 1.018b  BLRI: Unconditionality Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*  O'Dell Pre-test 1.9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a	Hereford	: unders	standing				•		•
Post-test   13.27				16.71	。 <b>7.38</b>		13.18		
Hereford: trust    Pre-test			8.36	19.43	8.30				
Pre-test   10.73	Follow-up	p 15.08	657	18.86	8.15		10.91	7.00	4.434c*
Pre-test   10.73	Hereford	: trust			,				•
Post-test 10.07 8.34 15.00 7.07 12.64 6.59 0.992b Follow-up 11.83 8.10 16.29 7.69 10.82 5.83 1.285c  Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSFI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 .4 2.8 .6 2.6 .5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 .4 3.1 .2 2.7 .5 3.640b*  HISEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 .4 1.4 .3 1.2 .4 .847a Post-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 .5 30.754b***  HISEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.6 .8 2.4 .4 2.0 .3 4.936a* Post-test 2.2 .4 3.0 .5 2.3 .3 10.047b***  BLRI: Level of Regard Pre-test 21.4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 23.0 12.0 21.7 6.7 22.7 19.7 .042b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 1.8 10.9 11.3 8.4 -3.4 16.2 2.700a Post-test 6.6 11.6 9.6 6.5 3.2 17.8 1.018b  BLRI: Unconditionality Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 1.8 10.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*  O'Dell Pre-test 19.9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a			8.09	14.00					
Helpee Stimulus Expression Instrument (HSFI): Discrimination Pre-test 2.9 .4 2.8 .6 2.6 .5 1.505a Post-test 2.8 .4 3.1 .2 2.7 .5 3.640b*  HISEI: Empathy Pre-test 1.4 .4 1.4 .3 1.2 .4 .847a Post-test 1.4 .5 2.7 .9 1.5 .5 30.754b***  HISEI: Genuineness Pre-test 2.6 .6 2.4 .4 2.0 .3 4.936a* Post-test 2.2 .4 3.0 .5 2.3 .3 10.047b***  BLRI: Level of Regard Pre-test 21.4 17.0 22.3 3.8 8.4 20.2 2.239a Post-test 23.0 12.0 21.7 6.7 22.7 19.7 .042b  BLRI: Empathy Pre-test 1.8 10.9 11.3 8.4 -3.4 16.2 2.700a Post-test 6.6 11.6 9.6 6.5 3.2 17.8 1.018b  BLRI: Unconditionality Pre-test 8.5 8.1 1.0 16.2 -3.3 12.8 2.949a Post-test 2.0 10.1 5.6 10.6 4.7 14.6 .332b  BLRI: Congruence Pre-test 1.3 15.7 11.1 10.4 3.7 15.0 .994a Post-test 18.1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*  O'Dell Pre-test 19.9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a			8.34	15.00	7.07				
Pre-test         2.9         .4         2.8         .6         2.6         .5         1.505a           Post-test         2.8         .4         3.1         .2         2.7         .5         3.640b*           HSEI: Empathy           Pre-test         1.4         .4         1.4         .3         1.2         .4         .847a           Post-test         1.4         .5         2.7         .9         1.5         .5         30.754b***           HSEI: Genuineness         Pre-test         2.6         .6         2.4         .4         2.0         .3         4.936a*           Post-test         2.2         .4         3.0         .5         2.3         .3         10.047b****           BLRI: Level of Regard           Pre-test         21.4         17.0         22.3         3.8         8.4         20.2         2.239a           Post-test         23.0         12.0         21.7         6.7         22.7         19.7         .042b           BLRI: Empathy         Pre-test         1.8         10.9         11.3         8.4         -3.4         16.2         2.700a           Post-test         8.5         8.1			8.10	16.29	7.69	•	10.82	5.83	1.285c
Pre-test         2.9         .4         2.8         .6         2.6         .5         1.505a           Post-test         2.8         .4         3.1         .2         2.7         .5         3.640b*           HSEI: Empathy           Pre-test         1.4         .4         1.4         .3         1.2         .4         .847a           Post-test         1.4         .5         2.7         .9         1.5         .5         30.754b***           HSEI: Genuineness         Pre-test         2.6         .6         2.4         .4         2.0         .3         4.936a*           Post-test         2.2         .4         3.0         .5         2.3         .3         10.047b****           BLRI: Level of Regard           Pre-test         21.4         17.0         22.3         3.8         8.4         20.2         2.239a           Post-test         23.0         12.0         21.7         6.7         22.7         19.7         .042b           BLRI: Empathy         Pre-test         1.8         10.9         11.3         8.4         -3.4         16.2         2.700a           Post-test         8.5         8.1	Helpee Si	timulus I	Expressi	on Instr	ument (I	HSEI	): Disc	riminat	
Note			.4	2,8	. 6		2.6	. 5	
Pre-test       1.4       .4       1.4       .3       1.2       .4       .847a         Post-test       1.4       .5       2.7       .9       1.5       .5       30.754b***         HSEI: Genuineness         Pre-test       2.6       .6       2.4       .4       2.0       .3       4.936a*         Post-test       2.2       .4       3.0       .5       2.3       .3       10.047b***         BLRI: Level of Regard       Pre-test       21.4       17.0       22.3       3.8       8.4       20.2       2.239a         Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI: Empathy         Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI: Unconditionality       10.1       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI: Congruence         Pre-	'	•			. 2		2.7	5	3.640b*
Pre-test       1.4       .4       1.4       .3       1.2       .4       .847a         Post-test       1.4       .5       2.7       .9       1.5       .5       30.754b***         HSEI: Genuineness         Pre-test       2.6       .6       2.4       .4       2.0       .3       4.936a*         Post-test       2.2       .4       3.0       .5       2.3       .3       10.047b***         BLRI: Level of Regard       Pre-test       21.4       17.0       22.3       3.8       8.4       20.2       2.239a         Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI: Empathy         Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI: Unconditionality       10.1       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI: Congruence         Pre-	HSET : E	mnathy			١				
Post-test   1.4			. 4	1.4	. 3		1.2	. 4	
Pre-test       2.6       6       2.4       .4       2.0       .3       4.936a*         Post-test       2.2       .4       3.0       .5       2.3       .3       10.047b***         BLRI:       Level of Regard       Pre-test       21.4       17.0       22.3       3.8       8.4       20.2       2.239a         Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI:       Empathy       Empathy       Empathy       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI:       Unconditionality       Unconditionality       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI:       Congruence       Congruence       Congruence       15.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell       Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.5</td> <td>. 5</td> <td>30.754b***</td>							1.5	. 5	30.754b***
Pre-test       2.6       6       2.4       .4       2.0       .3       4.936a*         Post-test       2.2       .4       3.0       .5       2.3       .3       10.047b***         BLRI:       Level of Regard       Pre-test       21.4       17.0       22.3       3.8       8.4       20.2       2.239a         Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI:       Empathy       Empathy       Empathy       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI:       Unconditionality       Unconditionality       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI:       Congruence       Congruence       Congruence       15.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell       Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a <td>HSEI: G</td> <td>enuinene:</td> <td>ss \</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	HSEI: G	enuinene:	ss \						
BLRI:       Level of Regard         Pre-test       21.4       17.0       22.3       3.8       8.4       20.2       2.239a         Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI:       Empathy         Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI:       Unconditionality       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       8.5       8.1       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell       Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a				2.4	. 4		2.0		
Pre-test       21.4       17.0       22.3       3.8       8.4       20.2       2.239a         Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI: Empathy         Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI: Unconditionality       Pre-test       8.5       8.1       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI: Congruence         Pre-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell       Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a				3.0	. 5		2.3	. 3	10.047b***
Pre-test       21.4       17.0       22.3       3.8       8.4       20.2       2.239a         Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI: Empathy         Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI: Unconditionality       Pre-test       8.5       8.1       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI: Congruence         Pre-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell       Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a	BLRI: L	evel of	Regard				•		
Post-test       23.0       12.0       21.7       6.7       22.7       19.7       .042b         BLRI: Empathy         Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI: Unconditionality       Pre-test       8.5       8.1       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI: Congruence         Pre-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a				22.3	3.8			,	
Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI:       Unconditionality       10.1       10.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI:       Congruence       2.0       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a				21,7	6.7	,	22.7	19.7	.042b
Pre-test       1.8       10.9       11.3       8.4       -3.4       16.2       2.700a         Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI:       Unconditionality       10.1       10.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI:       Congruence       2.0       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a	BLRI: E	moathv							
Post-test       6.6       11.6       9.6       6.5       3.2       17.8       1.018b         BLRI: Unconditionality         Pre-test       8.5       8.1       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI: Congruence         Pre-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell         Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a			10.9	11.3	8.4		-3.4	16.2	2.700a
Pre-test       8.5       8.1       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI:       Congruence       Pre-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a	· ·						3.2	17.8	1.018b
Pre-test       8.5       8.1       1.0       16.2       -3.3       12.8       2.949a         Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI:       Congruence       Pre-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a	RI.RT · II	nconditi	onality					i.	2
Post-test       2.0       10.1       5.6       10.6       4.7       14.6       .332b         BLRI: Congruence         Pre-test       1.3       15.7       11.1       10.4       3.7       15.0       .994a         Post-test       18.1       11.9       16.1       9.0       5.6       15.6       4.134b*         O'Dell Pre-test       19.9       8.2       24.0       12.8       14.0       7.5       2.697a				1.0	16.2		-3.3	12.8	2.949a
Pre-test     1.3     15.7     11.1     10.4     3.7     15.0     .994a       Post-test     18.1     11.9     16.1     9.0     5.6     15.6     4.134b*       O'Dell Pre-test     19.9     8.2     24.0     12.8     14.0     7.5     2.697a									
Pre-test     1.3     15.7     11.1     10.4     3.7     15.0     .994a       Post-test     18.1     11.9     16.1     9.0     5.6     15.6     4.134b*       O'Dell Pre-test     19.9     8.2     24.0     12.8     14.0     7.5     2.697a	RI.RT C	Cngruenc	- e.				1		
Post-test 18.1 11.9 16.1 9.0 5.6 15.6 4.134b*  O'Dell Pre-test 19.9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a				11.1	10.4		3.7		
Pre-test 19.9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a							<b>5.</b> 6		
Pre-test 19.9 8.2 24.0 12.8 14.0 7.5 2.697a	O'Doll	* -		и	•	***		•	
		10 0	A 2	24.0	12.8		14.0	7.5	2.697a
								7.2	26.408b**
					-				



## Table 2 Continued

- a df=2,30 unadjusted one-way analysis of variance
- b df=2,29 adjusted for covariance
- c df=2,26 adjusted for covariance "
- d On each Becker subscale, a high score reflects more of the trait listed, i.e., a high score on the first subscale indicates that parents perceive their child as less relaxed.

\*= p4.05

\*\*= p<.01

\*\*\*= p<.001