DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 219 143

PS 012 956

AUTHOR

Segal, Jonathan

TITLE

Parental Sex-Typed Perceptions of Their Infants at

Two Different Ages.

PUB DATE

Apr 82

NOTE

10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Eastern Psychological Association (Baltimore, MD,

April 15-18, 1982).

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage

Age Differences; *Individual Characteristics; *Infant

Behavior; *Parent Attitudes; Questionnaires; Sex

Differences; *Sex Role; *Sex Stereotypes

ABSTRACT

Parental sex-typed perceptions of infants at two different ages were examined in this study. Twenty-nine primiparous couples were recruited from a local hospital where they had been participating in various childbirth and child-care education programs. Sixteen were parents of boys, and 13 were parents of girls. First when their children were 5 to 9 months old and again when they were 12 to 17 months old, all parents completed questionnaires consisting of 20 bipolar word pairs arranged on 7-point scales. Parents were asked to circle the point on the scale which most closely represented their perception of their child. Results indicated that both parents' perceptions of daughters differed rather consistently and often significantly from perceptions of sons. On both questionnaire administrations, boys were seen as more aggressive, firmer, bigger, calmer, rougher, more stubborn, and less easily scared than girls. For the second administration only, boys were regarded as significantly noisier and Yess cuddly than girls. It was concluded that, at both ages, parents do stereotype their infants according to sex. (MP)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

(1) Parental sex-typed perceptions of their infants at two U.S DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION different ages

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION **EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION** CENTER (ERIC)

- (2) Jonathan Segal Catholic University
- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
 - Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
 - Points of view or opinions stated in this docu ment do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 7

- (3) N/A
- Parents of first-born children responded to a . questionnaire concerning perceptions of their infants at age 5-9 months, and again when their children were 12-17 months old. Half were parents of boys, half of girls. At both ages, parents tended to perceive their children in sex-stereotypic fashion.
- (5) Developmental, Infant, Sex Differences
- (6) No slides
- r(7) Jonathan Segal Catholic University

(301) 587-1743 (Home)

(Office) (202) 635-5750

Department of Psychology

20064 Washington, DC

~ (8) N/A

.(1) Title of Paper

Parental sex-typed perceptions of their infants at two different ages

(2) Topical Session Preference
Developmental, Infant, Sex Differences

(3) Problem

It seems palpably clear that sex-role stereotypes exist, and there is general agreement among both men and women concerning the specific adjectives that are used in this stereotyping process (Williams & Bennett, 1975). However, debate still rages over whether these stereotypes are a cause or an effect of actual sex differences. In attempting to address this question, it seems fruitless to study individuals beyond a certain age, since the socialization process confounds nature with nurture, making it impossible to separate their effects.

When infants are studied, two trends become apparent.

First, few if any consistent and significant sex differences emerge (Birns, 1976; Jacklin, Maccoby, & Dick, 1973; Maccoby & Jacklin, 1974; Moss, 1974). The second trend is that parents and others do perceive and behave toward in fants differentially as though sex differences do exist (Condry & Condry, 1976; Fagot, 1974; Gurwitz & Qodge, 1975; Lewis, 1972a, 1972b; Seavey, Katz, & Zalk, 1975; Sidorowicz & Lunney, 1980; Smith & Lloyd, 1978).

The parental sex-stereotyping process begins as early as the first 24 hours of life (Rubin, Provenzano, & Luria, 1974). However, no study to data has attempted to tap pamental perceptions when their children were beyond the neonatal stage, and before the toddler stage when socialization has already had sufficient impact to produce observed sex differences. If such differences in perception do appear, when in reality we know that actual differences are at best weak and inconsistent, this result would indicate support for the social learning explanation of sex role differentiation and development.

(4) Subjects

The subjects were 29 primiparous couples recruited from a local hospital at which they had been participating in various childbirth and child-care education programs. Sixteen were parents of boys, and 13 were parents of girls.

(5) Procedure

The parents completed questionnaires consisting of 20 bipolar word pairs arranged on seven-point scales (see Table 1). Parents were asked to circle the point on the scale which most closely represented their perception of their child. The parents first completed the questionnaires when their children were 5-9 months old. They were contacted again over half a year later, and completed the questionnaires a second time, when their children were 12-17 months old. For this second questionnaire administration, two sets of parents failed to

participate, reducing the sample size to 15 sets of parents of boys, and 12 sets of parents of girls.

(6) Results

The means for each of the 20 scaled items are displayed in Table 1 as a function of sex of infant for the two question-naire administrations. The evaluations of daughters differed rather consistently and often significantly from those of sons, collapsing across sex of the parents. Only two items reached statistical significance on both administrations: Boys were seen as significantly more sturdy and more masculine. However, eight other items either approached significance on both administrations, or were significant for one and approached significance for the other: Boys were seen as more aggressive, firmer, bigger, calmer, rougher, more daring, more stubborn, and less easily scared then girls. Finally, for the second (12-17 months old) administration only, boys were perceived, as significantly noisier and less cuddly than girls.

(7) Implications and Conclusions

The major finding of this study was the demonstration that parents stereotype their infants in a sex-typed fashion, in spite of the absence of "objective" measurable sex differences. As Rubin, Provenzano, & Luria (1974) pointed out, this process begins at birth, with the Stereotyping centering on physical traits, such as big-little. By the time the infants have reached the age of 5-9 months; this stereotyping extends to

psychological traits (such as delicate-sturdy), as well. By pre-school age, most of these stereotypes have indeed become true (Birns, 1976). The question is, do these parental perceptions somehow translate themselves into differential behavior which then produces these differences? Or are our behavioral measures too insensitive to detect these differences during infancy, differences which the parents (somehow) are able to discern? Alternatively, it might be that these parental perceptions are indeed only "in the eye of the holders," yet are without any effect on their infants who are destined to develop these sex differences biologically over the next several years of maturation.

Df these three competing explanations, the first seems to be the most compelling, and has received some indirect empirical support (Goldberg & Lewis, 1969; Lewis, 1972a, 1972b; Seavey, Katz, & Zalk, 1975). More recently, a combination of social-learning and cognitive-developmental explanations has received some theoretical support. Lewis & Weinraub (1979) hypothesized that even if differential parental reinforcement does not contribute directly to the development of sex-typed behavior, it may still serve to establish in the child's and that,

"... rewards can be obtained by conforming to sex-appropriate standards.... The child's task may be to develop sex-role knowledge and to choose appropriate-sexed behaviors." This approach is in substantial agreement with that of Constantinople (1979), who claimed that infants may have an inherent readiness, to attend to gender-related stimuli. Thus parents, may provide

sex-typed labels which are readily absorbed by their children due to differential reinforcement and observational learning.

Once the label "boy" or "girl" has been internalized, it provides a cue for subsequent behavior.

Further extensions of the present study are mandatory, studies which would assess early parental perceptions of and . behaviors toward their children, and subsequent actual development of the children over the first four of five years of life. It would be interesting to see if parents interact with their children as a function of how they perceive them, or as a function of how they actually develop. A longitudinal study might help to discriminate between these two explanations, especially if it turns out that parental perceptions and biases' (such as the importance they place on the masculinity or femininity of their child) differ before their infant's behavior differentiates itself, and the behavior develops in accord with the parental perceptions and biases. If this is indeed the case, the "eye of the beholder" effect might then be extended from a mere perceptual phenomenon to one that has actual impact on behavior.

(8) References*

- Birns, B. The emergence and socialization of sex differences in the earliest years. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 1976,.
 22, 229-254.
- Condry, J., & Condry, S. Sex differences: A study of the eye of the beholder. Child Development, 1976, 47, 812-819.
- Constantinople, A. Sex-role acquisition: In search of the alephant. Sex Roles, 1979, 5, 121-133.
- Fagot, 8. Sex differences in toddlers' behavior and parental reaction. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 1974, <u>10</u>, 554-558.
- Goldberg, 5, & Lewis, M. Play behavior in the year-old infant: Early sex differences. Child Development, 1969, 40, 21-31.
- Gurwitz, S., & Dodge, K. Adults' evaluations of a child as a function of sex of adult and sex of child. <u>Journal of</u>
 Personality and Social Psychology, 1975, 32, 822-828.
- Jacklin, C., Maccoby, E., & Dick, A. Barrier behavior and toy preference: Sex differences (and their absence) in the year-old child. Child Development, 1973, 44, 196-200.
- Lewis, M. Parents and children: Sex-role development. School Review, 1972a, 80, 229-240.
- Lewis, M. State as an infant-environment interaction: An analysis of maser-infant interaction as a function of sex. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 1972b, 18, 95-121.
- Lewis, M., & Weinraub, M. Origins of early sex-role development.

 Sex Roles, 1979, 5, 135-153.

Maccoby, E., & Jacklin, C. The psychology of sex differences.

Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1974.

5.

- (Mose, H. Early sex differences and mother-infant interaction. In R. Friedman, R. Richart, & R. Vande Wiele (Eds.),

 Sex differences in behavior. New York: Wiley, 1974.
 - Rubin, J., Provenzano, F., & Luria, Z. The eye of the beholder: Parents views on sex of newborns. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1974, 44, 512-519.
 - Seavey, C., Katz, P., & Zalk, S. Baby X: The effect of gender labels on adult responses to infants. Sex Roles, 1975, 1, 103-109.
 - Sidorowicz, L., & Lunney, G. Baby X revisited. <u>Sex Roles</u>, 1980, <u>6</u>, 67-73.
 - Smith, C., & Lloyd, B. Maternal behavior and perceived sex of infant: Revisited. Child Development, 1978, 49, 1263-1265.
 - Williams, J., & Bennett, S. The definition of sex sterpotypes, via the adjective check list. Sex Roles, 1975, 1, 327-337.

Table 1

Mean Parental Ratings for 20 Bipolar Adjectives . as a Function of Sex and Age of Infant

	Sex and Age	of Infants
	5-9 Months	12-17 Months
Bipolar Adjectives	Boys Girls	Boys Cirls
Delicate-Sturdy	6.25*** 4.77	6.10 ** 4.92
Aggressive-Unaggressive	2.75 * 3.38	2.30 * ﴿ 2.63 ر
Shy-Outgaing	5.56 * 5.12	5.57 5.79
Fussy-Easy Going	5.13 5.46	4.90 5.25
Quiet-Noisy	4.56 4.19	5,10 ** 4,29
Messy-Neat	4.09 4.23	4.00 3.92
Firm-Soft .	3.28 * 4.08	2.60 + 73.46
Whiny-Uncomplaining	4.97 + 5.19	4.37 + 4.83
Active-Inactive	1.56 1.65	1.13 * 1.29
Sociable-Unsociable	1.69 1.96	1.60 1.58
Interested in People-Objects	2.69 * 3.50	3.03 2.92
Masculine-Feminine	1.78 ** 5.96	1.43 ** 6.00
Cúddly-Not Cuddly	1.81 1.65	2.93 ** 2.00
Big-Little .	2.59 **\ 3.73	3.00 * 3.83
Dependent-Independent	3.88 3.58	5.00 4.92
Excitable-Calm	3.38 ** 2.42	3.20 * 2.67
Gentle-Rough	4.28 * 3.77	5.03 ** 4.38
♥ Daring-Cautious	2.28 ** 2.96	2.33 * 2.79
Stubborn-Not Stubborn	2.91 ** 3.54	. 2.23 * 2.58_
Easily-Not Easily Scared	4.31 * '3.54	4.73 * 4.04

NOTE- The largef the mean, the more the perception was in the direction of the second (right-hand) adjective in each pair.

* Approached Significance (.05<p<.15)