#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 217 939

JC 820 314

TITLE

Update of Community College Transfer Statistics, June

1982. Commission Report 82-24.

INSTITUTION

California State Postsecondary Education Commission,

Sacramento.

PUB DATE

Jun 82

NOTE

35p.

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

**DESCRIPTORS** 

Age; \*College Transfer Students; \*Community Colleges;

\*Enrollment Trends; Ethnicity; Females; Majors (Students); Males; Postsecondary Education; State

Surveys; \*State Universities; \*Student. .

Characteristics.

**IDENTIFIERS** 

\*California

#### ABSTRACT .

A statistical study was conducted to provide information about the flow of transfer students from California community colleges to the University of California (UC) and the California State University (CSU) in 1981-82 and to gather data on the ages, majors, and ethnicity of those who transferred at the upper-division level. Study findings include the following: (1) the decline in the number of transfers which began in the mid-1970s continued in the fall 1981 term, with the number of transfers. dropping to a level attained around 1970; (2) the campuses with the largest number of transfer students (i.e., Berkeley, Los Angeles, and Santa Barbara) showed a steady decline between 1979 and 1981, which amounted to more than 700 students; (3) percentages of Asian, black, and Chicano transfers increased slightly between fall 1980 and fall 1981, with a concomitant decrease in the percentage of white transfers; (4) the most popular majors for UC transfers were in the social sciences, while in the CSU business and management ranked first; engineering was the second most popular field for transfers to both systems; (5) transfers to UC were generally younger than transfers to CSU, with the modal age at both systems between 20 and 24 years; and (6) the volume of transfers from UC and CSU to the community colleges and between community colleges vastly exceeded transfers from the community colleges to universities. Appendices provide detailed transfer statistics by community college district and ethnicity data by college. (HB)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## UPDATE OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER STUDENT STATISTICS

JUNE 1982



"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Dorothy M. Knoell

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- U This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION 1020 TWELFTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

## CONTENTS

	Page
HIGHLIGHTS OF THE FINDINGS	1
BACKGROUND	, <b>3</b> ^
TRENDS IN TRANSFER	- 3
Transfer Students to University Campuses	6 7
SEX AND ETHNICITY OF TRANSFERS	8
MAJORS OF TRANSFER STUDENTS	10
AGE OF NEW TRANSFERS STUDENTS	. 12
OTHER TRANSFER GROUPS	13
PLANS FOR FURTHER REPORTING	14
APPENDIX A	15
APPENDIX B	23
REFERENCES	33

#### HIGHLIGHTS OF THE FINDINGS

## Trends-in Numbers

Numbers of Community College transfer students to both the University of California and the California State University declined between fall 1980 and fall 1981 from the highs reached in the mid-1970s to the level which had first been attained around 1970.

## Differences Ámong Campuses.

In the University, the campuses with the largest numbers of transfer students—Berkeley, Los Angeles, and Santa Barbara—all showed a steady decline between 1979 and 1981 which amounted to more than 700 students. Patterns of change in the State University were more difficult to detect at the campus level because of a small statewide increase between 1979 and 1980 which did not persist into 1981.

#### Ethnic Distributions

Percentages of Asian, Black, and Chicano transfer students to the University increased slightly between fall 1980 and fall 1981, with a concomitant decrease in the percentage of white transfers. Changes in the ethnic distribution of transfers to the State University appeared to be largely an artifact related to the identification of American Indians.

#### Transfer Student Majors

Engineering was the second most popular major for male students transferring to both segments at the upper-division level. The social sciences ranked first among transfers to the University among both men and women, while the biological sciences ranked lower but attracted more than 10 percent of both men and women. In the State University, business and management ranked first among, both men and women transfers, attracting more than 20 percent of each group. Women transfers to both segments were less likely than other types of students to have selected majors when they entered the upper division.

#### Age of Transfers

Transfers to the University were generally younger than those who transferred to the State University, and students who enrolled full time after transferring were younger as a group than those who enrolled part time. Among transfers to both segments and both enrollment types, the modal age was between 20 and 24 years.

### Other Transfers

Students not only transfer from Community Colleges to universities, they also transfer between the University and the State University, and from these segments to the Community Colleges, and among Community Colleges. The volume of transfers from the University to the Community Colleges and among Community Colleges vastly exceeds the flow of students from the Community Colleges to the University and between the University and the State University.

#### · BACKGROUND

For the past four years, the Commission's annual report of California college-going rates for recent high school graduates has included information about the flow of transfer students from Community Colleges to the University of California and the California State University (CPEC, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982). This year staff is presenting information about transfer students in advance of the 1981 update of the college-going rates report because of the high level of interest in and uncertainty about recent trends in the numbers of transfer students. Interest in the performance of transfer students is at least as high as in their numbers but the present report is limited to the flow of transfers and their non-academic characteristics. Its scope is also limited to the University of California and the California State University, with information about transfers to independent colleges and universities scheduled to appear in a later report.

In addition to information about the flow of transfer students, this report presents for the first time information about their ages and the majors of those who transferred at the upper-division level. It also contains information about their ethnicity.

#### TRENDS IN TRANSFER

Numbers of Community College students who transferred to the University and the State University between 1965 and 1981 are shown in Table 1, together with numbers of first-time freshmen in the University and the State University those same years. Numbers of transfers from each Community College in fall 1980 and fall 1981 are displayed in Appendix A. As these data indicate, the decline in the numbers of transfers which began in the mid-1970s continued in the Fall 1981 term for most Community Colleges and for the state as a whole, and, in the case of the University, accelerated somewhat. The 1980-81 increase at the State University was reversed in 1981-82, with the number of transfers dropping to the lowest level since 1970.

Because of the small number of transfers to the University compared with that to the State University—now less than 5,000, compared to 30,000 to the State University—continued decline in University transfers may cause some Community Colleges to question their ability—to allocate the resources which are needed to maintain a high quality, two-year transfer curriculum for the relatively few

TABLE-

NUMBERS OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDENTS WHO TRANSFERRED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY TOGETHER WITH NUMBERS OF FIRST-TIME FRESHMEN.

FROM CALIFORNIA HIGH SCHOOLS: (1965-1981)

<i>,</i>	Community	College Trans	fer Students	First-Time	::Freshmen
Year	, Fa1 	1 TermCSU	Full Year CSU'	Fall Te	erm'Only CSU*
1965	2,948	14,603			14,023
1966	3,761	. 19,295.		12,341	15,574
1967	3,702	22,059		13,072	16,082
1968 .	3,785	26,596		11,665	18,844
. 1969	4,458	28,207	43,963	12,066	17,539
1970.	5,166.	29,059	49,245	13,233	18,984
1971	6,154	32,546	52,989	<b>¥3</b> ,637	19,306
1972	7,165.	34,619	53,820	14,358	4 22,094
\1973·	8,193	33,089	51,335	15,011	22,210
1974	7,813	32,646	51,144	14,915	22,886
1975	38,002	35,537	52,917	15,460	23,239
1976	7,123	32,653	51,230	14,935	23,498
1977	6,392	34,001	51,159	14,820	23,867
1978	6,193	31,609 .	47,430	15,850	24,668
1979	5,649	30,428	46,326	16,534	25,703
1980	5,428	30,490	46,649	16,340	25,470
. 1981	4,778	30,026	44,871**	16.,580	23,500

<sup>\*</sup>Fall statistics represent about 90% of first-time freshmen who enter during the full year.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission, June 1982.

Estimated June 1, 1982.

who will transfer to the University, particularly in engineering and the hard sciences. Two-thirds of the Community Colleges had fewer than 50 studepts transferring to the University in the fall 1981 term, and 30 percent had 10 or fewer such transfers. eight colleges -- Santa Monica, Orange Coast, Diablo Valley, Santa Barbara, Cabrillo, Pasadena, Foothill, and El Camino--accounted for one-fourth of all Community College transfer students to the University in fall 1981. Between fall 1980 and fall 1981, rather large. declines in students transferring to the University were experienced by the City College of San Francisco, Foothill and De Anza Colleges. the College of San Mateo, Sacramento City College, Monterey Peninsula College, all in northern California, and by El Camino College, Los Angeles City College, and Los Angeles Valley College in the The only colleges which showed sizable increases between fall 1980 and fall 1981 were San Diego City and San Diego Mesa Colleges, but neither had as many as 100 students transfer to the University in fall 1981.

The flow of Community College transfer students to the State University in fall 1981 was six and one-fourth times greater than the flow to the University. Fifteen Community Colleges had fewer than 50 new transfers enrolled on State University campuses, while 78 had at least 100 and 20 had more than 500 transfers. A few colleges sustained large declines between fall 1980 and fall 1981--among them, American River and Sacramento City Colleges, Bakersfield College, College of San Mateo, and Los Angeles Valley College-while some had relatively large increases, including Butte College, Cabrillo College, Diablo Valley College, Santa Rosa College, West Valley College in the north, and Golden West College and Santa Monica College in the south. The net decline for the State University transfers was about 1.5 percent, compared with almost 11 percent for the University.

The difference between the University and the State University in volume of transfer students can be attributed to many factors with differing values under changing conditions. Nonacademic factors include the proximity of State University campuses to where Community College students live and their lower total cost, taking into account student charges, subsistence, books, and related educational expenses. Other factors which influence student choice include the likelihood that the State University offers baccalaureate degree programs leading directly to employment and awards some transfer credit for occupational courses taken at a Community College. In addition, articulation of Community Colleges with the State University may be easier than with the University because of the State University policy which has allowed Community Colleges in the past to certify their courses as baccalaureate level with infrequent challenges. Finally, State University transfer admission standards

for students who would be ineligible to enter directly from high school are easier to meet than those of the University, at least under current, policies. The State University admits transfer students who have earned at least 56 semester units of baccalaureate work with a grade-point average of C (2.0) or better, while the University expects a C+ (2 4) on a more restricted list of transferable courses—and—also requires transfer applicants to make up any subject deficiencies they incurred in high school.

#### Transfer Students to University Campuses

Numbers of transfer students enrolling at the eight general purpose. University campuses between fall 1979 and 1984 are shown in Table 2. Los Angeles, Berkeley, and Santa Barbara enrolled the largest numbers during the three years shown in the table, but Berkeley's total declined almost 30 percent compared with a University-wide decline of 15 percent. The Irvine and Santa Cruz campuses enrolled more new transfer students in 1981 than in 1979, but both experienced decreases between fall 1980 and fall 1981. Only San Diego increased its transfers between 1980 and 1981, most of them coming from San Diego City and San Diego Mesa Colleges.

Both the Berkeley and Los Angeles campuses are overenrolled and may be attempting to divert transfer applicants to other University

TABLE 2 . J.

NUMBER OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFERS TO EAGH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CAMPUS, FALL 1979-1981

Campus	<b>.</b>			Fa11 -1979	•	Fall 1980	Fall 1981
Berkeley	,			1,115		1,060	793
Davîş .	)			· 792	•	797	637
Irvine				522	٠,	591	541
Los Angeles '.				1,198	•	1,068	∕99€
Riverside			٠	<sup>.</sup> 255		228.	213-
San Diego	•		• .	404		341	388
Santa Barbara			•-	1,021	•	911	833.
Santa Cruz	/			342	' æ .	432	<u> 377</u>
Total		,	• ·	5,649		5,428	4,778

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission, June 1982.

campuses without much success. This possibility is suggested by

the large recent decrease in University transfers from the City
College of San Francisco, Foothill and De Anza Colleges, and the
College of San Mateo, whose students would be likely to enroll at
Berkeley, and from various Los Angeles area colleges. (Commission
staff is attempting to find out how the University's policy of
giving preference to, qualified Community College applicants is
being implemented.) The results of the Santa Cruz campus' efforts
to recruit actively were evident in fall 1980 but were not sustained in 1981, when the number of new transfer students declined more
than 10 percent.

Transfer Students to State University Campuses

Numbers of students transferring from Community-Colleges to the 19 State University campuses between fall 1979 and fall 1981 are displayed in Table 3. While the statewide decrease between 1979

NUMBER OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFERS TO EACH CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, FALL 1979-1981

Campus	Fall 1979 *	Fall 1980	Fall 1981
Bakersfield	439	√ 399 √1,726 *`	331 . ′1,787
Chico	1,777 874	901	. 840
Dominguez Hills	1,52 <b>2</b>	1,601	1,593
Fresno Fullerton	2,044	2,099	2,219
Hayward	1,013	997	1,085
Humboldt	804	748	783
Long Beach	3,062	3,021	3,269
Los Angeles	1,434	1,506	1,582
Northridge '	2,371	2,323	2,180
Pomona	. 1,390 '	,1,472 -	1,208
Sacramento	2,789	2,812	2,732
San Bernardino	514	611	596
San Diego	3,304	3,379	2,908
San Francisco	2,090	2,099	2,084
"San Jose	2,541	2,400	2,359
San Luis Obispo	1,287	1,214	1,266
Sonoma	718	670	663
Stanislaus , , ,	<u>455</u>	512	
-Total	30,428	30,490	30,026
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7 7 3	C.mminaina	Time 1082

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission, June 1982

and 1981 was less than 2 percent, 6 of the 19 campuses had percentage decreases which ranged from 7 percent for San Jose to 25 percent for Bakersfield. Northridge and Sonoma had declines of 8 percent, while San Diego and Pomona had declines of about 12 percent. At the same time, four campuses had percentage increases of at least 7 percent. Stanislaus had the highest (19 percent), while Fullerton and Los Angeles had increases of about 8 percent. Percentage changes were small at the other nine campuses.

Thus, despite the general decline in Community College transfers since the mid-1970s, considerable variation exists among State University campuses, particularly in southern California. Transfers to Los Angeles, Long Beach, and Fullerton increased between 1979 and 1981, while those to Pomona and San Diego declined. Several campuses which contributed to the statewide increase in 1980 over 1979 had a decrease in 1981 which placed them below their 1979 figures. Reasons for fluctuations in transfer enrollments are not at all clear, although the perceived popularity of particular campuses may be in part responsible for overenrollment and then subsequent redirection to campuses with unfilled enrollment quotas.

## SEX AND ETHNICITY OF TRANSFERS

The ethnic distribution of fall 1980 and fall 1981 transfer students is displayed in Table 4; Appendix B displays the distribution for each Community College for 1981. At the University, a total of , 1,056 Community College transfer students from ethnic minority groups enrolled in fall 1981, 42 percent of whom were Asians. The percentages of Asians, Blacks, and Chicanos increased very slightly--less than 1 percentage point--between 1980 and 1981. The largest increases were for Asians and Chicanos, the smallest for Filipinos. However, the percentages and the decimal increases represent very small numbers of students at most University campuses. Although there were at least 50 new Asian transfer students from Community Colleges at each of four University campuses in fall 1981, only one campus (Los Angeles), had more than 50 Blacks (66) and only two campuses, Santa Barbara and Los Angeles, had more than 50 Chicanos (51 and 97, respectively). The incidence of a dozen or fewer ethnic minority group transfers on a particular campus was 22, times out of 40 (eight campuses x five minority groups).

For the State University, the percentage of new transfer students whose ethnicity was unknown declined from 37 percent in 1980 to 16 percent in 1981. However, five campuses had unacceptably high percentages of unknown ethnicity for fall 1981: Pomona, 56 percent;

Los Angeles, 51 percent; San Francisco, 39 percent; San Luis Obispo, 30 percent; and Fresno, 15 percent. Moreover, the State University's questions designed to elicit responses concerning ethnicity appear to have resulted in serious over reporting of American Indians among new transfers. Eight campuses reported them as constituting over 5 percent of all transfers with known ethnicity. Thus, comparison between 1980 and 1981 would appear to be unwarranted, as would an analysis of the data for 1981.

Since some of the five campuses with high percentages of unknown ethnicity might be expected to have relatively large enrollments of Blacks and Chicanos among their transfers, statewide enrollments of these ethnic groups in the State University may be underestimated in recent reports. Fifty-one percent of the Community College transfers in fall 1981 were women, 49 percent men. These are the percentages which were also found for the transfers to the State University. However, men comprised 51 percent of the transfers to the University and women 49 percent. A comparison of the ethnic

#### TABLE 4

ETHNIC DISTRIBUTION OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE-TRANSFER STUDENTS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FALL 1980 AND 1981 (In Percents)

Trans*		· .	•	• •	<u>Eth</u>	<u>nicity</u>			۰
fer to	· <u>Year</u>	<del></del> .	American Indian	Asian	Fili- piño	Black		White	Percent Unknown
UC .	1980 1981	5,356 4,778	1.1%	9.6% 10.2	1. <del>1</del> %. 1.2	3.7% 4.0	7.4% 8.1	77.1% 75.5	10.0% 9.9
csu	1980 1981	30,527 30,026	1.5 5.6	6.1 7.1 ~	1.2	6.1	10.0 8.4	75.1 71.2	\37.2 16.3

Source: . California Postsecondary Education Commission, June 1982.

\*N includes nonresident aliens, "other" ethnicity, and nonrespondents, all of whom were excluded from the Non which the computation of percentages for the various ethnic groups were based. Therefore, Ns for specific ethnic groups which might be computed from the data in this table would likely be larger than those actually reported.

distributions of men and women who transferred to the University. showed that the percentages of Asians and Chicanos were higher in the distribution for men than for women, while the percentage of Blacks was slightly higher for the women. Sex and ethnicity differences in the transfer groups were similar to those found for first-time freshmen in the University and the State University who were recent high school graduates (CPEC, 1981).

#### MAJORS OF TRANSFER STUDENTS

In fall 1981, 64 percent of the students who transferred from Community Colleges to the University and 60 percent of those who transferred to the State University were granted sufficient credit on transfer to enter at the upper-division level. Table 5 lists their majors. The percentage of State University transfers with declared majors was larger than the percentage of University transfers with majors, as was the percentage of men in each group, compared with women.

At the University, male transfers chose majors in the social sciences, engineering, and biological sciences most frequently. These three fields accounted for more than half of the choices made by males, with none of the other fields attracting as many as 10 percent. At the State University, business and management enrolled more than 30 percent of the male transfers with declared majors, while engineering attracted less than 15 percent. Social sciences and biological sciences—the two other most popular majors among male transfers to the University—enrolled about 10 percent of the State University male transfers. The remaining fields each enrolled less. The differences in male choices between the University and the State University may be explained at least in part by the lack of undergraduate programs in business and management on most University campuses.

Among women transfers with declared majors, 47 percent at the University chose social sciences, biological sciences, or interdisciplinary studies. Other relatively popular choices were majors in the fine and applied arts, letters, and psychology. However, 17 percent of the women transfers to the University had not declared majors at the time they enrolled, compared with 12 percent of the men. The most striking difference between the choices of men and women who transferred to the University was in engineering, which enrolled relatively few women among both native and transfer students but, was the second most popular major for men in both groups.

About one-fourth of the women who transferred to the State University enrolled in majors in business and management. No other major attracted as many as 10 percent, although the health professions and interdisciplinary studies each enrolled hearly 10 percent of the declared majors.

These choices of transfer students from Community Colleges can be compared with those of native students with junior standing in the University and the State University. As might be expected, the percentages of transfer students with undeclared majors were significantly larger than those found for native students but differences in the distribution of majors were quite small, considering

TABLE 5

MAJORS OF NEW UPPER-DIVISION TRANSFER STUDENTS FROM CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES, FALL 1981 (In Percents)

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		UC	₹#/ c	su .
• ,	(N =	3,064)		7,970)
Majan	Male	Female	Ma/le	female
Major \	· Marc	,10,110,10	<del></del>	-
Agriculture	1.1%	7,*1.7%	2.8%	1.5%
Architecture	0.4	0.3	0.8	0.3
_	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1
Area Studies	12. i	12.6	2.9	2.6
Biological Sciences	4.6	2.9	28.4	22.6
Business and Management		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.5	3.6.
Communications	0.9	1.4		2.5
Computer Sciences	3.5		4.7.	
Education	0.4	~0 +3 <sub>**</sub>	5.3	4.5
Engineering	16.4	2.9	12.9	1.5
Fine and Applied Arts	5.2	9.7	5.0	6.4
Foreign Languages	0.8	2.3	0.4	0.9 •
Health Professions -	0 ? 1	0.5 `	1.7	*8.4
Home Economics	0.0	0.5	0.0	2.6,
Letters	5.1	7.4	2.2	3:1
Mathematics	2.0	1.4、	0.9	0.6
Physical Sciences	7,4	3.1	2.7	-1.1
Psychology	2.8	6,5	3.1	6.0,
Public Affairs	0.0	0.1	3.9	4.6
Social Sciences	18.0	. 14.3	6.5	<sup>-</sup> 6.3
• •	7.2	11.9	2.5.	8.2
Interdisciplinary	11.8	17.0	9.8	12.6
Unknown	11.0	17.2	مد، م	12.0

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission, June 1982.

the impaction of some majors. The percentage representation of the various ethnic groups for all majors combined served as the standard against which comparisons for the most popular choices of major were made. For male transfers, the ethnic distribution of engineering majors differed significantly from the overall ethnic distribution in that the percentages of Asians and nonresident aliens in \ the former were about twice as large as in the distribution for all majors combined. The percentages of engineering majors who were reported to be Black, Chicano, and white were correspondingly lower. Ethnic differences were also found for male transfers with social science majors and in interdisciplinary studies. The percentage of Chicanos in each of these disciplines was larger than in the overall distribution, while Asians were less likely to major in these fields. White male transfer's were better represented in the physical and social science majors than in engineering. The social sciences were also a relatively popular choice for Black male transfers.

For women transfers to the University, ethnic differences were found in the distributions of majors in the fine arts, letters, social sciences, and interdisciplinary studies, with percentages of whites in fine arts and letters significantly higher than in the overall ethnic distribution. The percentages of Asians in these majors were smaller, particularly in letters. The percentages of Chicanas in social science majors and in interdisciplinary studies were relatively high, but near zero in fine applied arts, and letters.

Other majors enrolled too few transfer students to warrant comparisons by ethnicity. Furthermore, the ethnic data for transfer students to the State University were not sufficiently reliable to be used in making such comparisons.

#### AGE OF NEW TRANSFER STUDENTS

The age of the fall 1981 transfer students is displayed in Table 6-by full-time and part-time status. The modal age for both segments and both enrollment types was between 20 and 24 years. However, the percentages of University transfers under 20 years of age were larger than those of the State University transfers, as were the percentages of full-time transfers in both segments. As might be expected, the percentages of transfer students at least 35 years of age were larger among part-time than the full-time students. However, only 7.3 percent of the University transfers and 23.5 percent of the State University transfers were enrolled part time during their first term after transferring.

Stated another way, almost 80 percent of the full-time transfers of the University were in what is regarded as the traditional college-going age group, of 18 to 24, compared with almost 70 percent of the State University transfers, Only 50 percent of the transfers who enrolled part time in the State University were in this age group when they first enrolled, compared with about 62 percent of the part-time transfers to the University.

AGE OF NEW COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER STUDENTS
AT TIME OF TRANSFER
('In Percents')

	Full	Time :	Part	-Time
, ,	UC ·	· CSU /	. UC	CSU
Age .	(N = 4,778)	(N = 30,026)	(N = 374)	(N = 9,230)
Under 20	11.8%	6.9%	9.3%	2.6%
20 - 24	67.7	´_ 62.7 • ·	52.4	47.8
25 - 29	12.4	14.8	18.7	21.0
30 - 34 '	4.5	7.2	9.4	12.3
35 - 39	1.6	3.7	.∖ 4.8	, 7.0 "
40 - 44	<b>0.8</b> .	<sup>‡</sup> 2.1	2.4	4.2
45 - 49	0.5	. 1.3	0.8	2.5
50 - 54	0.4	0.7	1.1	, 1.3
55 - 59 *	0.1	0.3	0.3	`0.7
60 & Over	0.1	0.3	8:0	0.6

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission, June 1982.

#### OTHER TRANSFER GROUPS

Community College students who transfer to the University and the State University are, of course, only two of many transfer groups. Community Colleges enroll many more transfer students than any other segment—from other Community Colleges within and outside their district as well as from four—year institutions in California and elsewhere. No statistics for transfers to Community Colleges are presented here, however, because of the complex nature of this transfer group, which includes lifelong learners who may hold baccalaureate or higher degrees, students enrolled concurrently in four—year institutions, and students enrolled intermittently in two—and four—year institutions who have transferred to a Community College with the intent of reentering a four—year institution.

Others, sometimes with baccalaureate degrees, transfer to a Community College in order to undertake an occupational program leading to employment. The assumption that most or all of the students who transfer to Community Colleges from four-year institutions do so for reasons of unsatisfactory performance in a baccalaureate program is not supported by data at this time.

In fall 1981, about 1,500 University students transferred to the State University and nearly 1,000 transferred from the State University to the University. Because of the proximity of State University campuses to most California high school graduates, students sometimes enroll there for two years before transferring to a University campus away from home to complete a baccalaureate degree. In a similar fashion, some University students transfer after one or two years to a State University campus which is closer to home or where an undergraduate major is offered which prepares them for employment.

## PLANS FOR FURTHER REPORTING

The present report focuses on the flow of Community College transfer—students into the University and the State University in fall 1981. Information about fall 1981 transfer students to independent California colleges and universities will be presented this fall in the Commission's next report on college-going rates for recent high school graduates.

Issues related to the performance of Community College transfer students are not discussed in this report because of an absence of new information. This spring, the State University began to provide the kind of annual statewide reports to Community Colleges which the University has provided for some time. Both reports, however, are limited to students who completed their first year after transfer to the University or, in the case of the State University, continued their enrollment after one year and do not include those who dropped out during or at the end of their freshman year.

The Chancellor's Office for the Community Colleges will soon present to the Board of Governors a report of a survey of current practices and opinions relating to the identification of and the provision of services for potential transfer students. That report will be given to the Commission at a later date, together with staff comments.

FLOW OF TRANSFER STUDENTS FROM THE CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY (FALL 19.77, 1979, AND 1981)

	•	•		· Transfer	r Indices,	1980-81
,	•	•,	a	Baccalau		
				Transfer (		
Community	: • '	Numbe	rof	<i>*</i>	Percent	· Total
College			ers to	Workload	of Total	Enrollment
District	Year	UC	CSU 5		Workload	for Credit
3 <u>01301100</u>	rear		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	,	
Allan	1977	· 39	207		<i>`</i>	•
Hancock	1979	40	209	1,769,000	48%	· 8,735
;	1981	21	17Q			
			,	<b>&gt;</b>		
Antelope	1977	30	158	,		, ,
Valley	. 1979	21,	141	<b>`</b> 976,000	55 -	6,908
,	1981	<b>∖1</b> 8́	123	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	* 1
• •		1		•	*	•
Barstow	· 1977 /	7	45	-0	F. ( .	1 (20
	1979(	3 ,	41	385,000	<b>5</b> 6	1,638
•	1981	<b></b>	. 33	• • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
•	Ų.	: \'		* * **********************************	`,	1
Butte	1977		364	706 000	. 46	. 7 111
٠.	1979	10	344	786,000	. 40	7,444
,.• × -	1981	9	348		. <del>१</del> ५ _	
*		7.76	0/0	,		
Cabrillo	1977	176	242	2 276 200	72	11,152
· Aur	1979	118	259	2,876,000	73	. 11,132
· ( -	1981	151	256			•
Cerritos	1977	. 24	589	•		
cerricos	1977	48	520	4,409,000.	4T .	21,619
*,			535	4,405,000.	77.	,
>	1981,	40	`	٠ .	•	<b>3</b>
Chaffey	1977	43	347		•	
Ser Alexan	1979	- 23	257	1,667,000	53	12,259
£	. 1981 ·	38	236	, ,		• •
5.30	×	,		•	, •	*
Citrus	-1977	1;9	286	W. C.	• •	•
	1979		237	1,898,000	54	9,395
	.1981	. 22	225	, .	موں <sup>ا</sup>	
٠٠٠٠		•		and the diameter	• • •	•
Coachella		. 38	106	, <b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>		
Valley '	<u> 1979 (</u>		, 92	967,000	50	6,433
	1981	16	, 81	-	•	
				122		•
Coast	1977	219	1,243	•	'	70.047
*	1979	324	1,301	12,977,000	56	72-,047
	1981	288	1,475			**\$**o&
	•		,			-

Z.	`					- 1		
		• • •		Transf	er Indices,	1980-81		
•				Baccalaureate/				
			•	Transfer	Courses*			
Community	~ `	Numbe	r of 1		Percent"	Total		
College			ers to	-Workload	of Total	Enrollment		
District	Year	UC	<u>csu</u>	(in hours)	Workload	for Credit		
	•			<del></del>				
Compton	1977	33	225		٠, ،	•		
_	1979	- 3 7	203	2,056,000	、53% <i>、*</i>	6,465		
	1981	7	191	• .	• \			
	•	•	•	•	•	,		
Contra	1977	. 260	1,022			JE.		
Costa	1979	291	998	6,348,000	62,	34,724		
•	1981	240	. 1,120		•	`\$		
•				,				
El Camino		160	825 <sup>-</sup>					
	1979	158 -	800	\ 4,487,000	ຸ53 ູ	30,530		
,	1981	. 118	802		• •	,		
•	•	4			•	•		
7	1977	<b>\3</b> 18	1,101					
DeAnza	1979	285	951	6,799,000	54	39,801		
• •	1981	224	′ 9 <sub>.</sub> 50	<b>'</b> -	•	`		
	1977	8 1		,				
Fremont-		16	159	1 0(0 000	· /¬	. 0 051		
Newark	1979	12	182	1,369,000	47	8,251		
e ex	1981	22`	237	* `		•		
••	3077 "	7 -7	⊴e 91		Z	, ·		
Gavilan	1977 1979	17	76	405, 000	48	3,132		
`		12 <sub>.</sub> · 10	76 75,∗		40	. 3,132		
	1981	10	15,		•			
Glendale	1977	69	307	ا المام	•	,		
Grendare	1977	90:	256	2,523,000	58	9,848		
	1981	53	312	2,323,000	. 50	3,040		
	1901		512	• ,`				
Grossmont	1077	79	552					
` Grossmone	1979	73	. 528	3,786,000	64	17,250		
Š	1981	65	543 /	3,7,00,000		17,200		
🐞 ` - <sup>1</sup>	1,701	05.	343 ,					
Hartnell.	1977	36.	172	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	(		
Hareneri.	1979		161	1,990,000	38	7,680		
•	1981	29	185		٠, ٠	.,		
•	**		; 100	, .	,	•		
Imperial	1977	. 22	128	` <b>a</b> `. ·	,	•		
Valley	1979	17	146	88,000	<b>37</b>	4,122		
·/m3	1981	10.	150	2-,		,		
•,	<del></del> .			`-				

, ,	•	•		Transfe	r Indices,	1980-87			
. • • • .			•	Baccalaureate/					
<i>\$</i>			•	Transfer	Courses*				
. Community		Number	of	-	Percent	Total			
College		Transfe	ers to	Workload .	of Total	Enrollment			
District	Voar	_UC	CSU	(in hours)	Workload	for Credit			
DISCITICE	<u>Year</u>	_06_	000	<u>(111 110010)</u> ,	HOLK TOOLS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Kern:	1977	35	474	•	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Bakers-	1979	35 <sub>k</sub>	521	1,838,000	49	12,452			
field	1981	22次	373		•				
,	_•	7							
. Porter-	1977	ío ·	93		•				
ville	1979	. 8	74	434,000	55	2,186			
	`1981	. 3	67			•			
		•	•		~				
Cerro	1977	6	. 41	. 14					
Coso	1979	2	54 .	375,000	40	4,013			
	1981	5 🔨	38			•			
•	•	. \		•					
Lake	1977	O	22		٠	1 7 (07			
Tahoe	1979	3 ,	23 ^	236,000	72	1,627			
	1981	· 3	15						
_ ′.	1 0 7 7	•	<b>~</b> 0	•	, ,				
Lassen	1977	. 3	<b>52</b> -			2,762			
•	1979	. 5 \	• 72	375,000	22.	2,702			
	1981	6	. 42	•	, ,	**************************************			
T	1977	62	833 (		; 				
Long }		, 55	727	√%±3,508,000 .	576	27,258			
Beach	1979 1981	· 50	681	* 12,500,000	33.7%	with the sail			
	. 1901	. 30	001	, m,					
Los .	1977	684.3	3,829		. ₹	* * **			
Angeles	1979	519		^ 23,747,000	48	132,473			
ungeres	•	395		,		,			
,	1301,	;. J93.	5,117	• •		-			
Los Rios	1977	· 328 ·	1,938	` .		••			
. 202 3123	1979	- 289	1,777	7,258,000	.56	~44,479			
1	1981	217	1,535		144	· · · · · · · ·			
			,	<b>,</b>					
Marin	-1977	152			/				
	1979	138	. 45 <del>6</del>	2,148,000	·65	. 10,751			
	1981	90	401	·	•	, [			
•		•		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Mendocino	1977	· 2 ·	<i>-</i> ₹69 ·	•	ું ૧૬૩				
	1979	` 5	- 48	379,000	<sup>*</sup> 49 .	3 <b>,23,2</b>			
, ,	1981	· 0·	. 46	*					

			•	Transf	er Indices,	 1980-81
	•			Baccala	uroato.	1300 01
•		·.		* Transfer	.Courses*	٠.
Community		Numbe	r of	1101(3161	Percent	Total
College		Tyansf	ers to	Workload	of Total	Enrollment
	·Vaan	UC		(in hours)	Workload	for Credit
District	Year .	-00	<u>csu</u> _	( III Hours)	WOLKIDAG	NON Credic
Merced	1977	. 18	256	`.	, =	
	1979 <sub>v</sub>	· 12		1,520,000	44	7,948
£	1981	12	245	1,520,000	. • • •	7,540
1 -	1301	12	<sub>i</sub> 2,45 i	, · ·		
Mira	1977	24	,. 92 <sup>,</sup>	·		4
Costa	1979	30°	94	1,154,000	56	6,077
COSCa	1981		82	1,134,000	. 30 ,	0,0,7
`	1901 )		02	• •	•	
Monterey	1977	100	234	.'	• •	•
Peninsula		• 74	191	2,092,000	. 66.	7,856
Lenrusora	1981	50	188 -	2,002,000		,, <b>0</b> 50
	1901	,	100	• • •		, ,
Mt. San	.1977	<b>\$</b> 5	63	•	•	•
Antonio	1979	40	520	3,848,000	45	21,077
. '	1981	30 · "	495	3,040,000	, -,5	1
4.	1901	30		404		1.
Mt. Sān	1977.	15	` <sub>\</sub> 40			. •\
Jacinto,	1979	18	44	. 6	,	3,135
24CIII CO.	1981	19	36	and the same	• •	ς; σ,±33
in the contract of	1901	13	. 50			.,
Napa 🐧	1977	. 38	· 172 ·		, .	•
napa -	1979	30	_	856,000	54	5,431
<b>33</b>	1981	25		1000,000	, 54 ,	3,45,1
es San	1901	٠, ٣٠	100		. 94	
North	<sup>2</sup> 1977	107.	1,225	. * '		
Orange	1979	105	1,165	6,939,000	55	31,620
Orange	1981	· 88	1,154	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		. 51,510
•	1901		1,107	•	<u>,</u>	
Palo	1977.	` · · ·	16		o *	,
Verde	-1979	ī	12	72,000	<b>41</b>	590
Actac	1981	, 0	5 %	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7.	330
•	1901	. ,				•
Palomar	1977	125	341	a ,	* <b>*</b>	•
101000	1979	102	426 _	•3,763,000	. 52	16,589
, .	1981	87	411	3,,03,000		20,025
	-50-		,		4.5	
Pasadena	19,77	196	782		, ,	
M. J. E.	1979	140	647	5,492,000	59	19,992
,	1981	135	617		-	. 3
**	,	·	•			•
Peralta	1977	177	664	•		, •
,	1979	164	542	. 7,355,000	51	40,053
	, 1981	134	455			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	,	,—••	, <b>,,,,</b>			

	Transfer Indices,	1980-81
	* Baccalaureate/	
··\ /- · · ·	Transfer Courses*	
Community Number of	Percent	Total
College Transfers to	Workload of Total	Enrollment
District Year UC/ CSU	(in hours) Workload	For Credit
. ———		
Rancho 1977 27 418		•
Santiago 1979 56 . 342	2,520,000 - 4 36	18,790
1981 44 308		1
	6 0	
Redwoods 1977 15 305		
1979 14 244 5	1,633,000 47	10,524
` 1981 12 <sup>.</sup> 4-224		
8		
Rio Hondo 1977 41 398		
1979 23 294	3,450,000 52	11,642
1981 16 275		
) , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 3 00	• •
Riverside 1977 1544 333		
1979. 129 334	2,526,000 55	15,063
1981 86 3 310		
9		
Saddle- 1977 72 326	Ser C	
back 1979 304 315	2,338,000 38	25,4048
1981 111 373	2,530,000	<u> </u>
1901		
San 1977 101 556		
	4,675,000 62	18,674
	4,0,0,000	2 10,074
dino 1981 59 497	**	
San Diego 1977 184 ** 1,088		•
	16,650,000	44,977
1979 162 862	6,560,000 51	44,977
1981 151 3 855		4
100		
San 1977 189 974	7.700,000	25 27 0
Francisco 1979 157 821	7;782;000, 67.	25,318
1981 95 812		• '•
	cu fi	
San 1977 82 511		
Joaquin 1979 73 483	2,737,000 50	16,467
Delta 1981 68 478		1-1
<b>Y</b>		•
San Jose 1977 28 -474		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1979 23 412.	3,871,000 47 4	21,170
1981 13 389		,
San Luis 1977 16 162		٠,
Obispo 1979 28 172	1,433,000 60	5,848
1981 21 193*		

. •		. ***	, 111,121	11 (001101111111		
			٠.	Transfe	r Indices,	1980-81
r	•	•		Baccalau		
•		•		Transfer	Courses*	• •
Community	• •	Numbe	r of	, -	Percent	Total
College	· · · •		ers to	Workload	of Total	Enrollment
District	Year	UC	CSU	(in hours)	Workload	for Credit
<u>5150110</u>				<u> </u>		, <u> </u>
San Mateo	1977	205	1,079			, ,
	1979	·189 `	888	2,270,000	52	33,673
•	1981 .	152	858	•	• • •	
•	_•	V		•		
Santa *	1977	302	237			<b>.</b>
Barbara	1979 ·	219	207	1,564,000	61	9,736
,	1981	194	231			
•			·	••		,
Santa	1977	11	112			
Clarita	1979	15	. 81	, 495,000	60	3,600
•	1981	14	75		• •	•
	. (	•••	•		y. Jan	•
- Santa	1977	323	489	. ,		•
Monica	1979 ·	237	406	5,108,000	, 64	18,452
•	1981	. 225	445		* ;	•
				•		
Sequoias	1977	29	329 \	,	•	· , *
-	1979	37	271	1,225,000	54	/ 1.7,486
•	1981	48	308		: 1 -	
•	,		•	, ,		
Shasta-	1977	. 31	239	و الم	•	, ,
Tehama-	1979、	17.	200	1,011,000	45	10,568
Trinity	1981 \	23	259	•	•	•
`	ts.	•			<b>^</b>	•
Sierra	1977	51	-323	•		
	1979	38	263	1,485,000	. 49	9,671
, \	1981	29	253	•	<b>,</b> 4	• 1
•	-	٠			8	i
Siskiyous		8	_ 59		· .	٠, ١
•	1979	• 4	65	457,000	- 60	2,012
	1981	4	83		-	
•					<b>:</b> *	
.Solano	1977	61	223			, , , , ,
	1979	、45	190	1,006,000	33	9,829
·,	1981	<b>43</b> .	195	•		. `
•	4					••
Sonoma <sub>,</sub> ,	1977	63	593			4.10.000
	1979 .	- 81	573	2,997,000	. 45	19,333
•	.1981 .	- 89	, 600	•	•	
			م طیر	• • •	F - 7	ىنى
South /	1977	- 66	544		 مناسط	10.006
County	19.79	75	.555	2,858,000	56	18,986
the back and the second	1981	67	483	~ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		•

°k.	•			Transfer	r <u>Indices</u> ,	1980-81 <del>-</del> ′						
/.	1		,	. Baccalaureate/								
. \	1 ,	*	•	Transfer	Cou <u>rses</u> *	•						
Community		Numbe	er of		Percent	Total ,						
College	1		fers to	Workload	of .Total	Enrollment						
District	Year	UC	ĊŠU	(in hours)	Workload	<u>for Credit</u>						
	<u> </u>	مبنت										
State	1977	42	865	•	1							
Center .	1979	. 42	785.	2,824,000	48	17,760						
·, ·	1981	. 28	783 <sup>·</sup>	.								
•		•		•		•						
Sweet-	1977	61	366		-							
water	1979	, 24	-298	2,167,000	42 °	↑ 12,941						
	1981	33	256	•	• 、	•						
. , , , ,			•	;	•							
Ventura	1977 .	219	687			•						
	1979	215	. 612	4,620,000	61 ,	. 27,976 •						
A CONTRACTOR	1981	167	575	/	-							
		•			•	• • •						
Victor	1977	10	82	1								
Valley '	1979	, 10	· 74	, 220,000 <i>c</i>	47	3,782						
•	1981	1 '6	77.	1		•						
•	,	•		· ·								
West	1977	. 6	' 69	1								
Hills	1979 -	-1	55	327,000	. 34	2,421						
•	1981	1	53		٠							
		•		e		,						
West Kern		2	26		•	, , , , ,						
•	1979	2	25	204,000	63	1,183						
7	1981	.2	. 40			,						
•			-4-			, <b>*</b>						
West	1977	142	742	- 4		. 00 (01						
Valley	1979	104	696	3,983,000	56	23,681						
	1981	114	756 •		, ,							
				. •		_						
Yosemite	19,77	62	561			15,676						
,	1979	53	462	2,187,000	50	. 13,070 /						
`	1981	، 38	481	,	•	•						
		,	, 000	•	7	• •						
Yuba	1977	.30	266		. 39	8,632						
•	1979	24	^226	1,269,000	, 29	. , 0,032						
•	1981	25	254		•	<b>.</b>						
-mome-	1077	6:000	22 021	- •								
TOTAL	1977	6,392	33,931	207 752 000	52	1,191,953						
, .	1979		.30,458	207,752,000	JŁ							
	1981	4,767	29,991									

\*One measure of a district's performance of the transfer function is the number of student contact hours it generates in baccalaureate level/transfer courses, together with the percentage of the

(over)

-21-

...

ERIC

total credit workload of each district which is in such courses. The implementation of the Course Classification System this year has yielded a preliminary set of data which have been used in this Appendix to indicate both volume and proportion of district workload in baccalaureate/transfer courses in 1980-81. Data have been taken from the March 1982 report of the Chancellor's Office, Course Classification System Report of Data Collection and Description of Offerings, with the exception of Long Beach City College for which the entries in the report were incorrect.

## APPENDIX-B

# ETHNIC DISTRIBUTION OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER STUDENTS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY (FALL 1981)

## (In Percents)

Ethnicity

			•	1.474		1.		•	Percent
Community	Transfer		American	``	Fili-				ͺʹ Uhknowπ ˈ
College	to'	N	'Indian	<u>Asian</u>	pino_	<u>B/lack</u>	Chicano	<u>White</u>	Ethnicity
•	7.5					1		-	۵
•	<b>13</b> 00	-	-			1 .	,		. 3
Allan	UC .	2Î	5.0%			/10.0%			4.8%
Hancock	csu 📜	170	3.7	8.0	1.5	3.6	8.0	75.2	19.4
	* .	113	• , ,	2.9	2.9	1.0	1.0	87.4	9.7
American	UC			2.9	0.5	5.5	4.5	81.5	7.0
River	CSU	 	. 5.8.	2.2	0.5	3.3	4.,5	· .	7.0
Antelope .	→ UC	18	0.0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	88.2	5.6
Valley		123		0.9	1.8	2.7	4.5	84.8	<b>28.9</b>
i			,		.)		•		
Bakersfield	UC	22	0.0	4.8.	0.0	0.0	9.5	85 <sup>2</sup> 7	4.5
	CSU	373	11.6	2.7	0.9	4.4	10.4	70.0	9.7
	_	•		,¢		•	•		i.
Barstow	ับC	10	0.0	0.0				100.0	40.0
	CSŲ <sup>.</sup>	33	. 0.0 .	0.0	0.0	26.1	··13.0	60.9	30.3
,	:	•,		•	. :				
Butte	· UC	9			0.0	0.0		88.9	0.0
	CSU	348	4.9	1.2	\.0.0	3.0	.4.0	· 86.9	5.7
	***	`	· ·	0 0	0.0	0.0	8.7	86.6	⁵ 15.9
Cabrillo	UC	151		2.3	0.8	0.8 1.5	. 4.0	85.7	20.7
	. ; csv	256	4.4	3,9	0.5.		4.0	65.7	20.7
Canada 💉	UC	28	4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	12.0	76.0	10.7
Canada	CSU	132		1.0	1.0	,3.9	4.8		- /
	. 656	132			'n	, .			
Cerritos	· uc ·	⁴ 48	2.2	17.8	2.2	4.5	22.2	51.1	6.3
	csu	535		9.2		- 5.3	14.3	58.5	15.0
		77-				•			
Cerro Coso	UC	· 5	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	80.0	
	csu	38		3.1	0.0	9;1	3.0	81.8	. 13.2
	•		•	· ;		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, .	_
Chabot	UC		0.0	9.4	, ,	6.3		73.4	
	CSU	483	3.7.	7.1	3.2	.4.8	4.6	76.6	• 9.9

<b>53</b>	ŧ	. •	~		Ethnici	ty .		Company	Percent
Community College	Transfer to	N'	American Indian	<u>Asian</u>	Fili- pino.	<u>Black</u>	Chicano	White	Unknown Ethnicity
Chaffey	UC CSU	.38 236	0.0%	2.9% 2.4	0.0%	2.9% 3.0	11.8%	82.4% 80.2	10.5% 29.2
Citrus	UC CSU	22 225	0.0 8.6	10.5	0.0	0.0	15.8 11.7	73.7 75.8	13.6 43.1
City College of S.F.	uc csu	_95 812	1.1 1.2	66.7 40.0	2.3	1.1	1.2 7.5	27,6 39.5	8.4
Coastline	csu .	4 45	0.0	0.0 20.5	0.0 0.0	*0.0 2.6	0.0	100.0 74.3	0.0 13.3
College of Alameda	uc csu	40 126	0.0 3.0	12.8 11.0	2.6		-10.3 5.0	56.4 48.0	2.5 20.6
College of Marin	uc* . · csu	78 306	0,0 3.1 -	4.1 4.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	93.2 89.0	6.4 25.8
College of San Mateo	UC.	104 510	2.2 4.2	14.1 9.6		1.1			11.5
College of • the Canyons	uc csu	14 75	0.0	.0.0 1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.Q 85.5	7.1
College of the Desert	uc csu	16 81	0.0 6.0	0.0 4.5	0.0 1.4	0.0 4.5	7.1 16.4	92.9 67.2	12.5
College of the Redwoods	UC ~CSU>~			1.5	0.0	0.0 1.0	0.0	90.9 87.1	8.3 9.8
College of the Sequoias	UC: \ CSU		2.2 2.0	8,9 2.8	0.0	2.2 4:0	13.3 17.4	73.4 73.0	6.3
College of the Siskiyous	ÚC CSU	4 83	0.0 7.1	0.0	<b>Q</b> .0 0.0	25.0 5.6	0.0 4.2	75.0 80.3	
Columbia	UC CSU	5 64		0:0		0.0 6.0	0.0 8.0	100.0 84.0	0.0 21.9

•				-	•		•	· · · .	
• .	من المناسبة		•	8	thnic	ity	•		Domaont '
•			•		Fili-	•		æ	Percent Unknown
	Transfer		American	Anian	rill"	Black	Chicano	White	Ethnicity
College	to	<u>_N</u>	Indian	ASTAN	pino	DIACK	Circano	MITTE	<u>Edilite 103</u>
				/					
Compton	UC 🧳	7	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	.0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Composition (		191		2.8		79.8	8.6	7.3	42.9
	ű G	•	** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					:	`n
Contra	UC.	32	0.0	20.0		12.0			
. Costa •	CSŲ	174	3.7	16.2	1.5	19.9	9.6	49.3	21.0
0	. טכ	9	10.0	22.2	0 0	0.0	22.2	55.6	. 0.0
Cosumnes	CSU .			7.7		13.4		67.1	11.7
	050		<b>"</b>   <b>-</b> ' '.	• • •		<b>1</b> ,			
Crafton Hills	UC; '	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3		
A to the second	ĆŞU	105	10.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	3.7	83.8	23.8
• • •			.	,	,	0.0	5.3	94.7	9.5
Cuesța		21		0.0				41	
	CSU	193	3.0	0.7	1.4	1.4	3.0	07.,7	. 2.10
Cùyamaca	·UC	, <u>2</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0
Guyamaca .	CSU	42		2.8	5.5			86.1	14.3
	•	-	-	•	4				
Cypress	UC	30		6.9	0.0	0.0		75.9	
` ' '	CSU	426	10.5	5.7	2.4	4.6	8 .9	70.9	10.3
, i	IIC	100	0.0	18.0	1.1	0.0	1.1	79.8	11.0
De Anza	UC CSU			6.5	0.8			82.7	12.2
		3-77	3.3	•_	• • •	`4		•	
Diablo Valley	ָ טַכּ	199	. 1.1	4.9				88:1	
	CSU	875	5.8	3.7	1.0	1.7.	2.0	85.8	9.7
\ <u>.</u>			7.,	`15 0	, ,	0.0	72.7	. 68	15.4.
East L.A.	UC CSU		0.0. ,, 0.5	19.5	4.6 0.4		61 4	13.2	
	CSU	, ' <del>4</del> ŤO	,, 0.5		0,4	, ,,,,	01.4	*	• • • • •
El Camino	HC .	118	0.9	14.5	0.9	7.3	9.1	67:3	. 6.8
DI Gamirio			7.4	9:1	1.1	10.9	6.9	64.6	9.6
	خوا معارض	1	E C	7.			٠ ٠.		
Evergreen	UC		0.0					. 57.1	12.5
	csu	147	5.9	18.5	5.0	11.8	10.3	. 44.9	19.0
Feather River	- 11C	3	. h n - :	, 0 0		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.70
reatner kiver	TIPO A	34	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	5.9	82.3	\ 0.0
	CSU	ر د بر		*.		,			

•			•	E	thnici	ity		•	,
Community	Transfer to	N	American Indian	Asian	Fili- pino	Black	Chicano	White	Percent Unknown Ethnicity
Foothill	UC	124	•			0.0%		83.3%	•
	CSU	403	2.9	6.3	0.9	2.3	5.5	82.1	13.9
Fresno	UC CSU	20 620	0.0	11.8 3.3	0.0 0.4	17.6	15.6	75.6	22.6
Fullerton		58 728	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	6.0 6.1	80.0 74.1	13.8 12.4
Gavilan	UC CSU	10 75	0.0 7.8	11.1	1.6	11.1	22.2	55.6 75.0	10.0 14.7
a.G.Tendale	UC CSU	53 312	0.0	6.1 10.5	2.0	0.0	12.3 9.6	79.6. 75.1	7.5 26.6
Golden West	UC CSU	4 61 535	0.0 9.8	20.7 8.9	0.0	0.0	5.7	73.6 75.5	13.1 9.9
Grossmont	uc csu	63 501	0.0 7.4	0.0° 3.9	0.0	3.8 <sup>2</sup> 1.1	5.8 7.0	90.4 79.3	17.5 8.2
Hartnell .	UC	29 185		6.9 7.4	0.0	0.0	13.8	79.3 64:4	0.0 19.5
Imperial Valley	UC CSU	10 150	_	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.5° 60.2	12.5 33.4	20.0
indian Valley	uc csu	12 95	-	0.0	0.0	0.0 3.8		83.4 88.5	0.0 17.9
. Lake Tahoe	UC. CSU	'3 15		0.0	0.0 8.3	0.0	0.0 8.4	100.0 75.0	20.0
Laney	UC CSU	43 131;		12.8 13.5	0.0	17.9 38.5		59.0 35.4	9.3 26.7
Lassen	UC CSU	6 42	0.0 2.9	0.0		0.0		100.0 79.4	0.0 19.0

	***	** >_	è,		Ethnic	ity	•	•	
	Transfer		Américan	Aaian	Fili-	Plack	Chicano	White	Percent Unknown
College	to	<u>N</u>	Indian	ASTAIL	pino	BIACK	Cirruano	MIII CE	<b>Ethnicity</b>
				`	ماران	,		-	<i>:</i>
Tanta "Dasah	uc -	٠ د ۸	ລີລອ	10.09			13.0%	63.0%	8.0%
Long Beach	CSU	681		7.4			6.1	67.4	8.5
``	CSU	001	<b>0.</b> 0	4.4	1.4	8.0	0.1	h . 4	
L.A. City	UC	69	/.05:0	23 9	4.5	26.9	16.4	28.3	2.9
H.R. City	CSU	452	•	15.2				30.1	34.1
	050	752	-			0		•	
L.A. Harbor	UC '	35	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1	`12.1	69.7	<b>5.</b> 7·
1 1102502	CSU	379		9.3		11.9		59.4	
			*		•	•	,		
L.A. Mission	υĊ	1	. 00	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	. 0.0
,	CSU			8.6			14.3	57.1	5.4
		~: •			• `		•	,	
L.A. Pierce	υc	94	0.0	9.5	1.2	0.0	1.2		10.6
	csu	763		4.4	0.5	2.7	4.6	79.9	13.9
. ,		•	•	,			, A*		
L.A. Southwest	t UC *.	7	0:0	. 0.0	0.0	100.0	. 00	0.Ò	0.0
, ,	csu	128	1.0	.1.9	0.0	91.3	3.9	1.9	19.5
•	7					u			• ,
L.A. Trade-	ue .	8				62.5			
Tech ·	· csu	141	3.3	7.8	0.0	56.7	23.3	8.9	36.2
Ľ.Á. Valley		90		8.7	1.2	5.0	6=3	78.8	11.1
. * *	· CSU	577	7:3	3.3	0.6	5.4	·8.3	75.1	16.6
	-		•	-					
Los Medanos	UC	.9				0.0		88.9	
	CSU	71	3.3	3.3	1.7	10.0	6.7	/5. <sub>0</sub>	15.5
	-					· ·		. 4	
Mendocino	UC	0				· ·	11.9	76.2	8.7
,	CSU	46	<u>.</u> . †.1	2.4	0.0	2.4	14.9	70.2	0.1
	** ***				0 0	0. 1	10.0	54.5	8.3
Merced	UC-	12		9.1	0.0		18.2 9.2	77.4	
	csū	245	1.9	3.2	0.0	0.3	··. 9.2	11.4	4.TT • 4.
Manual tra	יייי.	z oʻ	0.0	16.3	-	20.9	14.0	48 8	10.4
Merritt	UC	48	1.5			20.3	3.9		
	CSU	153	T.O.	13.9	-			39.4	10.1
Mina Casta	ŪĈ'.	70	0.0	0.0	U U .æ.	۱ ۲ ۱	7.2	85.7	26.3
Mira Costa	CSU	.00 TA	2.6	3.9		. 2.6	6.5		6.1
	· · · · · · · ·	ŲΖ	٠٠ چ٠٠,		1.5	. 2.0	<b>0.</b> 5	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

ERIC

30

• 4	٠, ,	•	11 1 EMD 27 ,1	3 (0011	o i iiaça,	,				
			1		Ethnici	iţy			Percent	
Community College	Transfer to	<u>N</u>	American <u>Indian</u>		Fili- pino		<u>Chicano</u>	<u>White</u>	Unknown Ethnicity	
Mission	uc csu	, 8 57					66.7% 7.8	16.7% 64.7	25.0% 10:5	
Modesto	uc csu .	33 417	0.0	3.4		0.0 1.1	6.9 7.5	89.7 85.5	12.1 13.7	
Monterey Península	UC CSU '	50 188	0.0 2.5			2.1 11.9	0.0	79.2 61.9	4.0 14.5	
Moorpark	uc csu	52 266	0.0	4.1		0.0 2.9	8.2 5.0	87.7 83.7。	5.8 10.2	
Mt. Sản Antonio	UC CSU	30 495	0.0	13.8	10.3		6.9 11.3		3.3 32.3	
Mt - San Jacinto	uc csu	19. 36			0.0	5.6 11.1	0°.0 7.4	88.9 81.5	5.3 25.0	
Napa	uc csu	25 160					20.0	72.0 84.5		
Ohlone	UC CSU	22 237		15.8	5.3		10.5 ·		13.6 10.5	
Orange Coast		223 895		* 9.3 *8.9	0.0	1.5	2.4 3.3	86.3 74.0	8.5 9.3	
0xnård	UC CSU	. 4 34	0.0	0.0	0.0	0(0) 25.0.	33.3 7.1	66 7 57.2	25.0 17.6	
Palo Verde	uc csu	5	*	NO D	ATA	0.0	, 33.3	66.7	40.0	
Palomar	uc csu	87 411	0.0 4.9	3.9 4.9	0.0	0.0	8.3	.90.9 79.7	11.5	
Pasadena 🐫	UC	135 617	0.0 4.1 **	16.9 8.1	1.7 0.3	8.5 10.7	6.8 9.4	66.1	12.6 36.3	

· · · · ·				E	thnici	ity	\ \		Donasat
Community T	ransfer to ~	<u>N</u>	American Indian.	<u>Asian</u>	Fili- pino	Black	Chicano	<u>Whi.te</u>	Percent Unknown Ethnicity
,		•				, -	• • •	*	. `
Porterville .	UC CSU	3 67	4	0.0% ·3.3	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 3.3	0.0%	100.0% 81.7	33.3% 10.4
Reedley	UC ª		0.0	28.6 8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0 19.0	71.4 68.1	12.5 28.8
Rio Hondo	UC CSU	16 275	0.0 10.5	16.7		0.0 1.5	16.7 33.0	66.6 48.5	
Riverside	csú nc	86. 310		4.1	0.0	8.2 13.5	9.6 7.3	75.4 72.2	
Sacramento	UC CSU	95 588	3.7	39.8 21.5	0.0	7.2 11.0	3.6 9.2	53.8	12.6 16.8
Saddleback	UC CSU	111 373		\6.5 2.6	2.8 0.3	2.8	6.6 2.4	80.4- 85.7	
San Bernardino	UC CSU**	36 392	0.0	0.0 2.4		0.0	19.4 12.5	80.6 68.3	13.9 16.3
San Diego City	UC CSU	52 232	2.2	4.4 7.1	3.8	2.2 18.9	8.9 17.1	75.6 50.7	13.5 9.1
San Diego Mesa	UC CSU	98 588		3.5		3.5 3.6	11.8	77.6 76.3	13.3 6.0
San Diego Miramar	,csu	35	0.0 5.9	0.0 2.9	0.0 17.6	0.0 3.0	0.0	0.0 64.7	100.0
San Joaquin Delta	uc csu	68 478	4.3		4.9. 1.7			65.6 71.4	10.3
San Jose	ÜÇ CSU		0.0	12.3	0.0	8.4	12.3	50.0 56.7	
Santa Ana	UC CSU	308	9.1	*30.6 12.5	0.0	0.0 2.7	8.3 8.0	61.1 67.7	18.2 14.6

Ethnicity

					,	•			Percent.
Community	Transfer		American		Fili-				Unknown
College	to`	N	_Indian	Asian	pino	<b>Black</b>	Chicano	<u>White</u>	Ethnicity
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-			- ,	~ ' .	·		
** (**				•	J		•	•	•\
Santa Barbara	UC	194		1.1%			7.4%		
	CSU	231	4.2	2.1	1.6	1.1	5.3	85.7	.18.2
Santa Monica	UC	225	0.5	6.9	0.0	3.9	4.9	.83.8	9.3
•	CSU	445	4.2	8.8	0.8	6.6	7.8	71.8	18.7
Santa Rosa	UC	89	71.2	3.7	0.0	2.5	· 2.5	90.1	9.0
Danta Nosa	CSU	600	1.7	2.0		0.7	3.3	91.4	9.5
•	050	OUU	1.7	2.0			3.3	,	, , ,
Shasta	UC	23	.0.0	4.7	0.0	0.0	4.8	90.5	. 8.7
•	. CSU	259	6.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.9	90.1	, 6.6
Sierra	UC	29	3.5 \	0.0	` `3.6	0.0	3.6	89.3	3.4
DICIIG	CSU.	253	5.2	4.7	0.8		2.6	86:7	7.9
<i>±</i>			,		•	**	:	*	
Skyline	UC	20	0.0	<b>`5.</b> .0	0.0	10.0	15.0	70.0	0.0
**** *********************************	CSU	216	2.6	5.9	7.2	9.9	14.5	59.9	29.6
- 5, ,	7				- 3	÷ .	~	· · · · ·	.*•
Solano	UC	43	:0.0	4.9	7.3	7.3	14.6	65.9	4.7
·	CSU	195	3.5	5.3	5.3	3.6	8.3	74.0	13.3
Southwestern	UC	<sup>-</sup> 33	3.7	3.7	3.7 °	3.7	22.2	63.0	18.2
<b>V</b>	csu	256	2.2	6.9	6.9	4.7~	19.0	60.3	9.4
Taft	UC	2	50.0 .	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
Idit	CSU	40	18.8	0.0		0.0	3.1	78.1	20.0
	3.5,0		10,0					,	
Ventura	UC	111	0.0	5.6	2.8	0.0	15.0	76.6	3.6
•	CSU	275	3.2	4.0	2.0	2.0	12.8	76.0	9.1
**	which he	,		•		•	. •		
Victor Valley	UC.		0.0	0.0		.0.0		100.0	0.0
,	CSU	77	0.0	۪٥٠٥ <del>`</del>	3.1	9-2	12.3	75.4	15.6
Vista	UC	ງ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 <b>:</b> :0	0.0	100.0	0.0
VISCO	CSU		. 0.0	0.0		40.0		60.0	44.4
. 1 * 4		, ,	,	•••	0.0		0.0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
West Hills	UC.	i	0.0	0.03	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.'0	- 0.0
•	ຸ csin	53	0:0	~ 4.7•	0.0	9.3	11.6	74.4	18.9

/_	· ·\. ·				Percent					
	Community College	Transfer to	<u>N</u> .	American <u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	Fili- pino	Black	<u>Chicano</u>	White	Unknown Ethnicity
,	West L.A.	UC CSU	39 226	0.0%	2.7% 5.7	0.0%	27.0% 477	2:7% 6.9	67.6% \ 38.5	5.1%
	West Valley	uc csu	106 699	1.1	9.1 6.7	0.0 <sub>%</sub> 0.5	1.2 0.8	6.8 5.0	81.8 78.7	17.0 10.9
\	Yuba	uc csu	25 254	0.0 1.8	20.0	0.0 0×0	0.0 4.5	5.0 \ 5.4	75.0 82.9	20.0 12.6
دیم. محسب	TOTAL	UC 4	,767 991	1.0	10.2	1,2	4.0	8.1	75.5 71.2	9.9 16.3

## REFERENCES A

- California Postsecondary Education Commission. Access in a Broader Context: College-Going Rates in California. Commission. Report 78-14, October 1978.
- --. College-Going Rates in California: Fall 1978 Update Commission Agenda Item, September 16, 1979.
- --. College-Going Rates in California: 1979 Update. Commission Report 81-3, January 1981.
- --. California College-Going Rates and Community College Transfers, 1980. Commission Report 82-2, January 1982.

AUG 1 3 7982

ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges
96 Powell Library Building
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024