DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 211 297

RC 013 103

AUTHOR Matter, Kevin: And Cthers

TITLE Evaluation Design: Iow Socioeconomic Status and

Minority Student Achievement, 1981-82. Fublication

No. 81-16-

INSTITUTION Austin Independent School District, Tex. Office of

Research and Evaluation.

PUB DATE Oct 81

NOTE 20p.: Paper copy not available due to small print

size.

EDRS PRICE MF01 Plus Postage. FC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS *Academic Achievement: Blacks: *Data Collection

*Academic Achievement: Blacks: *Data Collection: Dropouts: *Economically Disadvantaged: Flementary Secondary Education: *Evaluation Methods: Federal Programs: Information Sources: Low Income Groups: Mexican Americans: Migrant Education: *Minority

Groups: Program Design: Program Effectiveness:

*Program Evaluation: Public Schools
IDENTIFIERS *Austin Independent School District IX

ABSTRACT

The Low Socioeconomic Status and Mincrity Student Achievement Study is designed to investigate the overall impact of the Austin Independent School District's programs on low socioeconomic status (SES) and minority students achievement and school leaver rates. This evaluation is designed to monitor low SES and minority student achievement on districtwide achievement tests, minority student participation in tests for college-bound high school juniors and seniors, and minority student school leaver rates. Chapter I presents names of persons who have been provided portions of the design for review and comment. Chapter II describes the program and related evaluation activities. Chapter III states all the decision questions addressed and relates them to the evaluation questions and objectives and to their data sources. Charter V specifies the means of information dissemination, date of distribution, and persons receiving the information. Chapter VI lists each information source, population from which obtained, date of collection, and analysis techniques. Chapter VII is a timeline for data collection. Chapter VIII summarizes evaluation work estimates by position for each aspect of the evaluation. (CM).

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

Research

and

Evaluation

EVALUATION DESIGN:

Low Socioeconomic Status and Minority Student Achievement, 1981-82

October, 1981

Austin Independent

School District

Texas

"PERMISSION TO PEPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Freda Holley

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
DUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy



Evaluators: Kevin Matter Nancy Baenen

Senior Evaluator: Glynn Ligon, Ph.D.

Evaluation Assistants:
Rick Battaile
Phil Jones
Elaine Jackson

Testing Technician: Nancy Lanier

Programmers:
Jose Bazan
Tom Roudebush
Carol Pankratz

Secretaries: Irene Fabian Ruth Fairchild

Approved:

Freda M. Holley, Pr.D.

Director, Research and Evaluation

Publication No. 81.16



EVALUATION DESIGN:

1981-82

October, 1981

Low Socioeconomic Status and Minority Student Achievement,

EVALUATION DESIGN REVIEW FORM

The following people have reviewed pertinent sections of this design.

Lawrence Buford Acting Associate Superintendent for Instruction

Ruth MacAllister
Assistant Superintendent of Elementary Education

David Hill, Ph.D Acting Assistant Superintendent of Secondary Education

Timy Baranoff, Ph.D Director of Elementary School Curriculum

Hermelinda Rodriguez
Director of Elementary School Management

Maud Sims
Director of Secondary School Curriculum

J. M. Richard
Director of Secondary School Management

HA

PROGRAM SUMMARY

There are approximately 55,000 students served by 81 elementary, junior, and senior high schools within the Austin Independent School District. As of December 1980, 23,760 (43%) of the students were participating in the free and reduced lunch program. Approximately 54% of AISD's students were Anglo, 27% were Hispanic, and 19% were Black as of October 3, 1980.

Although AISD does not have a single unitary plan to serve either students of low-income background or minority students, the District and the Board priorities have recognized the improvement of minority students' achievement in all basic skills areas at all grade levels as a priority student need. The desegregation plan makes it particularly important to concentrate on and monitor minority achievement.

Austin ISD does spend considerable resources for low socioeconomic status (SES) and minority students. Programs for low SES students tend to concentrate on the elementary level. Federal Title I funds provide instructional programs which emphasize the development of basic language skills, a special reading program, and a parental involvement program. Title I funds are distributed to 28 elementary schools on the basis of average income level of students at each school. In addition other state—and locally—funded programs serve to help low—achieving and/or minority students at the elementary level, such as Local/State Bilingual and State Compensatory Education. The Title I Migrant Program provides migrant students in Austin's elementary and secondary schools with supplemental and ancillary services. The Fundamentals of Math and Reading Tutorials p—ovide instruction in basic skills to low—achieving students at the high school level. A variety of smaller programs help low SES and minority students in the District, also.

The Low Socioeconomic Status and Minority Student Achievement Study is designed to investigate the overall impact of the Austin ISD's programs on low SES and minority students' achievement and school leaver rates.



5

IIB

EVALUATION SUMMARY

Although some of the special programs for students from low socioeconomic and minority backgrounds have been operating in the District
for up to ten years, the first analysis of the overall achievement of
these groups was conducted by the Office of Research and Evaluation in
1976-77. This analysis revealed that low SES/minority achievement
levels at all grade levels was extremely low in comparison to nonminority or higher SES student achievement. This evaluation made it
clear that the programs and efforts of the past, however successful on
a small scale, were not accomplishing desired goals. The gap between
minority and Anglo student achievement has been narrowed slightly in the
past few years, but it is still wide and pervasive.

This evaluation is therefore designed to monitor:

- . low SES and minority student achievement on districtwide achievement tests,
- . minority student participation in tests for college-bound high school juniors and seniors,
- . minority student school leaver rates.

Other projects evaluated by ORE which publish reports relating to low SES and minority students include:

- . Title I,
- . Title I Migrant,
- . State Compensatory Education,
- . Local/State Bilingual
- . ESAA Desegregation.



III A DECISION QUESTIONS ADDRESSED

D1. Based on the data from the 1981-82 school year, should the third year of the five-year priorities plan for improvement of achievement of low socioeconomic status and minority students be implemented as planned?

III B DECISION QUESTIONS OVERVIEW

school year, abould the third year of the five-year priorities plan for improvement of achievement of low socioeconomic status and minority students be implemented as planned?	UATION INFORMATION SOURCES ECTIVES	DATE EEDED	DECISION DATE	DECISION QUESTION
minority students be implemented as planned? a) each of the other ethnic groups? b) the nationwide norming sample? c) The urban district norming sample? d) previous years' achievement scores? D1-2. How did AISD high school students, by ethnic group, perform in 1981-82 compared to: a) each of the other ethnic groups? b) the 1970 nationwide norming sample? c) the 1970 nationwide norming sample? c) the 1978 STEP III nationwide norming sample? d) previous years' achieve-	1 students, perform	, 1982	Fm11, 1982	school year, ahould the third year of the five-year priorities plan for improvement of achievement of
students, by ethnic group, perform in 1981-82 compared to: a) each of the other ethnic groups? b) the 1970 nationwide norming sample? c) the 1978 STEP III nation~ wide norming sample? d) previous years' achieve-	norming rict norming			minority students be implemented as planned?
groups? b) the 1970 nationwide norming sample? c) the 1978 STEP III nation- wide norming sample? d) previous years' achieve-	ic group, (STEP)	1		. *
_ ment scotes:	nwide ? III nation- ample?			
D1-3 What percent of each ethnic group achieved at or above the national average, at or below the 25th % ile, and at or above the 75th % ile?	or above STEF age, at or le, and at	ŀ		·



III B DECISION QUESTIONS OVERVIEW

	DECISION QUESTION	DECISION DATE	DATE NEEDED	RELEVANT EVALUATION QUESTIONS & OBJECTIVES	INFORMATION SOURCES
		·		D1-4. How do the schievement scores of AISD students who were tested in each of the past three (ITBS) or four (STEP) years, by ethnicity, compare to the scores for all students tested in those years?	ITBS STEP
,			,	D1-5. How did AISD students from low-income families, by ethnic group, perform in 1981-82 compared to: s) AISD students from high- income families? b) low-income students from previous years?	Student Master File ITBS STEP
	,	•		D1-6. How do the districtwide rates for school leavers and graduation compare by ethnicity: a) for the 1981-82 school year?	District School Leaver Records Distric: Greduation Recorda
		· •		b) with previous years rates? D1-7. Do staff percaive low SES and minority student achieve- ment to be improving as a result of the emphasis in this area?	Staff Survey

III B DECISION QUESTIONS OVERVIEW

DECISION QUESTION	DECISION DATE	DATE NEEDED	RELEVANT EVALUATION QUESTIONS & OBJECTIVES	INFORMATION SOURCES
			D1-8. How does the percentage of AISD students taking college entrance exams (SAT, ACT) in 1980-81 compare:	Scholsstic Aptitude Test American College Test District Enrollment Data
,	•		 s) by ethnicity? b) with previous years, by ethnicity? c) with the national percentage, by ethnicity? 	
			D1-9. How does the percentage of AISD students taking the PSAT in fall 1981 compare:	Preliminary Scholastic Aptitude Test District E _n rollment Pata
			 by ethnicity? with previous years, by sthnicity? with the n. nsl percentage, oy ethnicity? 	
				,
			·	
	•	•		

12

DISSEMINATION

INFORMATION	DISSEMINATION FORMAT	DATE	PERSONS RECEIVING
Low SES and Minority Student Achievement Results	Final Report in Findings Volume	June, 1982	Principals, administrators, school libraries
Selected Achievement Results	Brochure	August, 1982	Principals, other adminis- trators
Ethnic Breakdowns of Achievement by School	Achievement Profiles by Ethnicity	June, 1982	Principals, other administrators, superintendent
	-		
•			
•			•

VI INFORMATION SOURCES

	INFORMATION SOURCE	POPULATION	EVAL. QUES. REFERENCED	DATE COLLECTED	ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES	REMARKS
	1738	Blementary and Junior High Studenta	D1-1, D1-3, D1-4, D1-5	April 1982 February 1982	Descriptive statistics based on testing reports	
	STEP	High School Students	D1-2, D1-3, D1-4, D1-5	April 1982	Descriptive statistics based on testing reports	
	District Regords	All AISD Students	D1-5, D1-6, D1-8, D1-9,	Continuous	Descriptive statistics	Includes school leaver, discipline, graduation, and enrollment data
	Staff Survey	Sample of AISD Staff	D1-7	March 1982	Frequency distributions, other descriptive statistics	
1	ACT	College-bound Seniors	D1-8	1980-81	Descriptive statistics	
	8AT	College-bound Seniors	D1-8	1980-81	Descriptive statistics	
	PSAT	College-bound Juniors	D1-9	October 1981	Descriptive statistics	•
	ı					
	1				,	
				,		
1						
1	,					
Ì		<u> </u>	1 1	1	4 . 1	İ

VII

DATA TO BE COLLECTED IN THE SCHOOLS

March 1981

A. AISD Staff

1. Staff Survey - AISD staff will respond to a multiple-choice questionnaire asking for their views on various educational issues of relevance to AISD.



VIII

EVALUATION TIME RESOURCES ALLOCATION

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	ACTIVITY	DIRECTOR	SENIOR EVALUATOR	EVALUATOR	PROGRAMMER	EVALUATION ASSISTANT	SECRETARY
` A.	Design	.5	1	2		1	2
В.	Information Sources		,				
	 ITBS STEP District Records Staff Survey ACT SAT PSAT 	.5	.5 .5 .5 1 .5 .5	3 2 1 2	15 10 5	20 15 10 15 5 5	11 5 1 4 1 1
c.	Interim Dissemination	2	2	1	5	5.	1 .
D.	Technical Report	1	2	5	10	15	5
E.	Administrative and Other Indirect Time Costs	5	5	7	1	5	5
	Total	9	14	23	46	101	37
18	3	,				·	19

ERIC

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Will D. Davis, President Nan Clayton, Vice President Manuel Navarro, Secretary

Steve M. Ferguson

Peter W. Werner, M. D.

Ed Small

Jerry Nugent

SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. John Ellis

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION

Dr. Freda M. Holley